

## HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

### Wednesday, 13 October 2021

**The SPEAKER (Mr Cregan)** took the chair at 10:30 and read prayers.

**The SPEAKER:** Honourable members, I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which this parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

#### *Members*

#### **SPEAKER, PRESENTATION TO GOVERNOR**

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (10:31):** I inform the house that Her Excellency the Governor will be pleased to have the Speaker presented at 11am today. I move:

That the sitting of the house be suspended until the ringing of the bells.

Motion carried.

*Sitting suspended from 10:31 to 10:50.*

**The SPEAKER (10:50):** It is now my intention to proceed to Government House to present myself as Speaker to the Governor, and I invite members to accompany me. In view of COVID-19 restrictions in the state, I might make a recommendation to the house that only a small number of members do follow. I appreciate, though, that of course it is not within my power to restrict anybody who may wish otherwise to attend.

At 10:50, accompanied by a deputation of members, the Speaker proceeded to Government House.

*On the house reassembling at 11:05:*

**Mr PICTON:** Mr Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**The SPEAKER:** Accompanied by a deputation of members, I proceeded to Government House for the purpose of presenting myself to Her Excellency the Governor and informed Her Excellency that, in pursuance of the powers conferred on the assembly by section 34 of the Constitution Act, the House of Assembly had yesterday proceeded to the election of a Speaker following a vacancy in the office and had done me the honour of election to that high office, whereupon Her Excellency has been pleased to make the following reply:

To the honourable Speaker and members of the House of Assembly.

I congratulate the members of the House of Assembly on their choice of the Speaker.

Frances Adamson,

Governor

#### *Parliamentary Procedure*

#### **SITTINGS AND BUSINESS**

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (11:08):** I move:

That notices of motion Nos 1 to 4 be postponed and taken into consideration after No. 5.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, member for Lee. Is your motion seconded? Does the member have the concurrence of those members in whose name the other motions fall?

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** Yes, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Very well. Member for Lee, please proceed. Member for Lee, I will ask for a seconder.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** Yes, sir.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir. Can I just clarify: I did not hear the answer to the question you put to the house, other than from the member for Light.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, minister. Certainly I asked whether business would be brought on. The member for Lee moved it and it was seconded. He is now seeking to amend the list of notices of motion.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I understand the member for Lee moved a motion to adjust the order, and I think the Speaker asked whether he had the concurrence of those whose names were listed next to the bills above, which was the convention, but the motion itself was not actually dealt with. You, sir, in your role of Speaker, chose to put a question to the house which, apart from the member for Light, I do not believe the members for Florey or Waite or Reynell answered, but if you have information otherwise I think the house would be very welcoming of that news, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, minister. The member for Lee has responded and I take it as his word.

**The Hon. J.A.W. Gardner:** Shall we move the motion to re-order then?

**The SPEAKER:** The question has been put and seconded.

Motion carried.

#### *Bills*

### **PUBLIC FINANCE AND AUDIT (GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING) AMENDMENT BILL**

#### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (11:10):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Public Finance and Audit Act 1987. Read a first time.

#### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (11:10):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I rise to introduce this bill to the house because this is a most important and urgent piece of proposed legislation. It seeks to ensure that the functions of government can continue while placing a necessary and appropriate constraint on some of the excesses which have become the hallmark of the Liberal government in South Australia.

Mr Speaker, you might recall that in the lead-up to the last state election the Premier, the Deputy Premier and the Treasurer in the other place made all sorts of pronouncements about what they perceived to be the evils of taxpayer-funded government advertising. The Treasurer appointed himself as the Chair of a new committee, the Government Communications Advisory Committee, which was to consider and approve government advertising campaigns. Of course, there are some government advertising campaigns which are legitimate and appropriate and in the taxpayers' interests.

For example, you would think that in the middle of a global pandemic we would have regular, high-profile and frequent messaging about what the current restrictions are on the community of South Australia, what the current health advice is and, perhaps in the current context, how South Australians can go about getting themselves vaccinated so that we can reach our target thresholds as quickly as possible. All these things are, of course, very important and, as we get towards summer, it is important to advertise necessary notices about bushfire seasons and so on.

However, that has not been the focus of this government. This government has engaged in taxpayer-funded splurges of millions and millions of dollars per year on government advertising wholly unrelated to the necessary functions of government. We are now told that the tsunami is coming and that it is nearly here upon our shore: millions and millions of dollars of taxpayer funds are about to be rolled out in an aggressive, multiformat, multimedia advertising campaign in an effort

to lift the credentials of this government and bolster their political fortunes in the lead-up to the next state election.

I for one find that incongruous with a community that has so far given its support to government efforts to protect it and keep it safe from the ravages of the COVID pandemic. I would have thought that the Premier, the Deputy Premier and the Treasurer would stay true to their word that they gave to the community of South Australia about making sure that taxpayer-funded advertising is only used for the necessary functions of government.

Yet, as I open up newspapers published both here and in other places in regional South Australia, for example, I see little evidence of additional effort going in to let the community know what the state of play is with COVID. I see little evidence of government advertising letting the community know what the current restrictions are for different activities in the community, whether it is in hospitality or tourism venues, or whether it is for home gatherings or whether it is for public places and so on. I see little broadscale advertising about the need for South Australians to get vaccinated.

Instead, what we are told is that this government is now about to embark on multimillion-dollar, taxpayer-funded campaigns to boost its credentials in infrastructure delivery and also in its last ditch efforts to prepare our health infrastructure and services for what we expect to be an increase in the number of COVID cases here in South Australia. This is completely contrary to what the government told us they would do in the lead-up to the last election.

They were the first ones to complain when the previous Labor government advertised how it was securing, for example, our energy networks. They were the first to complain when the former Treasurer, the member for West Torrens, was publishing taxpayer-funded advertising campaigns to let South Australian small businesses know how they could apply for grants, because they thought that was an outrageous use of taxpayers' money.

Now we are told that the government will publish, at excessive taxpayer costs, advertisements across television media, radio media, newspaper media, social media and other online platforms to try to bolster its political stocks. If a political party wants to do that, or if a political candidate wants to do that, of course they are free to do that within the appropriate strictures of our electoral laws, but it should not be done like this at taxpayers' expense.

This bill introduces some necessary and, I think we can say, overdue strictures when it comes to government advertising, particularly in the current context when the government is looking at trying to bolster its political stocks at taxpayers' expense. Effectively, what this regime will mean is that there is now an independent arbiter for when taxpayer-funded advertising is to occur, and that independent arbiter will be the Auditor-General under the auspices of the Public Finance and Audit Act.

So when Treasurer Rob Lucas chairs that government advertising committee and comes up with a whiz-bang idea to spend \$1 million or \$2 million or \$3 million trying to convince South Australians that they are doing a good job on infrastructure investment, or they want to spend several million dollars telling South Australians that, contrary to the performance of the health system under their watch, they are actually investing in our health networks, in our hospitals and in our health services in an effort to ready us for any onset of COVID cases, it will not be up to those, quite frankly, biased and self-interested politicians to approve this.

It will be up to a statutory officer, an independent officer, appointed by the parliament to reach a judgement about whether it is absolutely necessary for the functions of government. If it is not necessary for the functions of government, then it will not be approved. I think that is wholly appropriate, because as we have seen, we have a Liberal Party that has become accustomed to the habit of using taxpayer resources and using taxpayer systems and infrastructure to try to bolster its own stocks.

We have had the extraordinary arrangement where the Liberal Party of South Australia has installed its own IT links, its own web links, onto government servers in order to capture data from unwitting South Australians who are merely seeking access to official government information. That data is captured from these government websites and taken back to the Liberal Party for their own political use—something, of course, that has been—

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Point of order: that is outside the ambit of the bill, I suggest, but it is also highly offensive with no foundation whatsoever.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, Deputy Premier.

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, member for Lee. Member for Lee, I bring you back to the substance of your remarks.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** My comments, of course, were to exemplify how, similar to the use of taxpayers' money, this government has become accustomed to using taxpayer resources for its own naked political interests. That is something that this parliament should guard against, and that is what this bill does.

This bill will require the agencies that may be required to pay for government advertising to submit their plans for advertising for assessment by the Auditor-General. If that advertising campaign has an estimated cost of more than \$10,000, then it will be required to refer that campaign to the Auditor-General, and the Auditor-General will make an assessment about whether it is necessary for the functions of government.

The bill sets out quite specifically how that judgement should be reached by the Auditor-General. It provides a legislative framework about whether these funds can be approved or not for advertising. This is very important. I will give you some examples:

...government advertising will be taken to be necessary for the proper functions of government if the Auditor-General is satisfied that the primary purpose of the government advertising is to communicate information relating to any of the following:

- (a) public health and public safety;
- (b) road and public transport works or interruptions;
- (c) emergencies;
- (d) legal or statutory matters;
- (e) electoral material published under the authority of the Electoral Commissioner;
- (f) the engagement or employment of persons in the service of the government;
- (g) attendance at an event;
- (h) tourism;
- (i) auctions and other sales of property, goods or services;
- (j) courses at tertiary educational institutions.

But we should be clear that none of those provisions will enable the government to use taxpayer-funded advertising to generally promote what the government believes it has done or it is doing or it is planning to do for the people of South Australia in order to bolster its political stocks. The bill makes clear that government advertising for the purpose of generally promoting government programs or achievements, government spending or the future delivery of infrastructure projects or initiatives is not to be regarded as necessary for the proper functions of government.

If you are wondering how this might apply in reality, let's take for example what the government has been spending millions of taxpayers' dollars on so far. If you drive past one of the few infrastructure projects the government has finally got around to delivering in South Australia, one of those infrastructure projects that is almost without exception late, overdue and overbudget because of how badly handled infrastructure has been under this government, you will see it wrapped in this branding material with the curious slogan of Building What Matters, which I find ingenious by the government.

It is not often you see an advertising slogan that confuses the person looking at it as to what it is meant to say. For example, I will pick something close to the eastern suburbs so the Deputy Premier will be more familiar with it. If you look at the Magill and Portrush roads intersection upgrade, a project that was not recommended by the Department of Planning, Transport and

Infrastructure but identified as being a priority by the Liberal candidate for the federal seat of Sturt, taking over from Christopher Pyne, you will see this branding Building What Matters.

You are driving past and it is impossible to know as a motorist what actually is going on. The advertising campaign is designed to give a general impression that the government is active in infrastructure and getting on with things. That is not necessary for the functions of government. What would be necessary for the functions of government is if this branding said, for example, 'Upgrade of Magill and Portrush roads. Traffic interruptions, expect delays,' and some other information about how that project is actively being managed and will impact on motorists. But all that is cast aside so what the Liberal Party perceives to be slick government advertising for its own political benefit can instead be branded on it. That is not good enough.

I will give you a second example. At the moment, despite the fact that we have basically not had a flu season in South Australia during the course of 2021, and despite the fact that we basically have not had much of an impact of COVID on our health system, or certainly not to the same extent experienced in other jurisdictions, conditions in our hospitals and ramping outside our hospitals have never been worse than under this government.

Of course, the reason why is that they choose to appoint corporate liquidators to run our hospitals, to cut funds, to offer redundancies in the Department for Health, including nurses, doctors, and so on, and now the government say, 'Well, we need to spend millions of dollars on a taxpayer-funded campaign so that we can try to convince South Australians that we are actually doing something in health, rather than dragging our heels during the course of a pandemic and preparing our hospital system for any outbreak of Delta cases. While we have been doing nothing, we will spend money on advertising and try to create the alternate impression.'

They want to spend money on that, but if I get a phone call from a small business or a hospitality venue saying, 'I'm just a bit confused. I'm not sure what the current restrictions are as they relate to me,' well, there is no general advertising about that. If you are a member of the community and you want to find out how to go and get yourself vaccinated, there is no general information about that published broadly across different forms of media, and that is because this government's political priorities are wrong.

We bring this bill to this place with the intention of refocusing this government and future governments on spending taxpayers' money on advertising where it should be, and that is in promoting the proper functions of government in the public interest, not promoting the flagging political fortunes of the South Australian Liberal Party. I commend the bill to all those in the house.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (11:26):** I would like to advise the house that while you, Speaker, were prepared to take the word of the member for Lee that he had consulted with all—

**Mr Brown:** Is this a point of order? What is this?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No, it's my contribution to this debate.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I would like to put on the record the fact that the member for Lee—

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, I think you have to raise a point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —did not consult with the member for Waite.

**The SPEAKER:** I am sorry, minister, but it is not a vehicle for an impromptu speech, but if you wish to raise a point of order I will certainly hear you.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you, Speaker. The point of order is that you took the word from the member for Lee that he had consulted with everybody above him on the list. The member for Waite has confirmed to me that he was not asked any such question, and did not give any such agreement for the order to be changed.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, minister. I will seek to meet with the members concerned privately.

Debate adjourned on motion of Dr Harvey.

*Parliamentary Procedure*

**SITTINGS AND BUSINESS**

**Mr BROWN (Playford) (11:28):** I move:

That consideration of Private Members Business, Bills, take precedence until 12:40.

Motion carried.

*Bills*

**INQUIRY INTO PALLIATIVE CARE BILL**

*Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (11:28):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to provide for an inquiry into palliative care in South Australia to be conducted by the Health Performance Council, and for other purposes. Read a first time.

*Standing Orders Suspension*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (11:29):** I move:

That standing orders be so far suspended as to enable the bill to be taken through all stages without delay.

**The SPEAKER:** An absolute majority not being present, please ring the bells.

*An absolute majority of the whole number of members being present:*

**The SPEAKER:** I accept the motion. Is it seconded?

**An honourable member:** Yes, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Light, do you wish to speak to the suspension?

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** I think the house should support the suspension of standing orders and consider all stages of the bill. I gave notice of this bill some time ago. I circulated the bill on 26 August to all members. I also provided a briefing on 6 September, including the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. It was deferred. It is basically a one clause bill.

Motion carried.

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (11:32):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** The aim of the bill is to ensure that South Australians have a world-class palliative care system so people have a real choice in how they wish to be cared for at the end of their life. The bill directs the South Australian Health Performance Council to undertake an inquiry into the South Australian palliative care system and make recommendations on how it can be made better to ensure that all South Australians have equitable access to it. By equitable access, I mean both geographically and financially.

Why the inquiry? The Joint Committee on End of Life Choices, of which I was a member, along with other members here, made the following findings in relation to palliative care. Based on submissions from professionals in the field, a number of consistent themes emerged including, and I quote from the report:

1. Palliative Care is a critical part of our health and wellbeing system although it requires a greater level of funding to ensure that it provides more consistent and equitable access.
2. Any improvements to the Palliative Care system should design services that are appropriate and accessible for people in regional areas, Aboriginal people and those for whom English is not a primary language.
3. A key focus of Palliative Care should be on the dignity of people who are approaching the end of life.

4. There is a general need to improve data collection and reporting on palliative care—including the experiences of patients, their families and loved ones, clinicians and carers.

I have chosen to have the Health Performance Council undertake the review and that is based on feedback given to me during the voluntary assisted dying debate. The Health Performance Council is best placed to undertake the inquiry. There is legislative intent that it undertake such inquiries. I have consulted with the Health Performance Council, and they have indicated to me that the inquiry could be undertaken and also in the time frames I suggested to them. I have been advised that the review will probably take about 12 months to be completed, and they advised that they have the capacity to do that. The functions of the Health Performance Council—to read out the relevant portion—include:

- (1) such other functions—
  - (i) assigned to the HAC under this or any other Act;

This bill is consistent with the act itself. I seek the support of this bill at this time because I want to ensure that, when the voluntary assisted dying legislation comes into effect in about 12 to 18 months' time, our palliative care system is ready to make sure that people have a real choice.

One of the fundamental questions put by people who supported voluntary assisted dying was that people should have a choice at the end of life. Choices have to be real, not just theoretical. For people to have a real choice, we make sure we have a care system that actually provides that. The evidence is—certainly the evidence I have received in support from regional members—that it is patchy across our state, and that is why it is important that we do this now. In 12 months' time, the government of the day will have the recommendation to make sure that when VAD comes into effect we also have a palliative care system ready to respond to that challenge.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Moriaita—Minister for Education) (11:35):** I believe this bill has merit and the government will be supporting it. However, we do anticipate proposing an amendment, and we hope that it will get the support of the proponent—but, if not the proponent, then perhaps the majority of the house—because it is being put forward in absolute good faith. I am sorry that it is only now being circulated.

Apparently, parliamentary counsel is not able to circulate an amendment to a bill that has not yet been introduced but, now that the member has introduced it, it will be doing so. This is one of the things that happens when we move at pace, but this bill certainly is ready to progress. It is a relatively simple bill. I bring to the house's attention the reason that the amendment has not been circulated is only for that reason.

The amendment I will be moving—and I will explain it now, rather than wait until we get to the committee stage, to give members a couple of minutes to reflect—is a very simple amendment in relation to the commencement. The amendment will read:

1A—Commencement:

- (1) This Act comes into operation on 1 December 2023.
- (2) Section 7(5) of the Acts Interpretation Act 1915 does not apply to this Act or a provision of this Act.

It supports the intent of the bill to have the review, as described and outlined by the member for Light in his second reading contribution, but to postpone that review for a period in the order of a year, or potentially a bit longer, depending on the passage of the legislation in due course. I will briefly set out the reasons why.

The first starting point is that the Health Performance Council (HPC), which the member has identified as being the body that will be suitable to conduct a review, conducted a review of this matter in September 2013 as a midpoint review of the then current 2009-16 Palliative Care Strategy. The Health Performance Council conducted a follow-up review in 2018. Five years later, our proposal would see a further review—five years after that last one. SA Health is currently finalising the new Palliative Care Strategic Framework 2021-26 to guide and prioritise improvements in the quality and availability of palliative care services for all South Australians.

We are very clearly minded to achieve the policy outcome the member for Light is seeking to achieve by means of a review just by actually doing that work. Therefore, the review would have a significant benefit. It would be extremely effective if it were mid-cycle—a midpoint review, therefore, of that new Palliative Care Strategic Framework 2021-26. It would indeed be taking place a year and

a half into that work. The member highlighted the relevance of the voluntary assisted dying legislation for why he has moved this, and I certainly understand and appreciate that.

We all know that on 24 June this year the voluntary assisted dying legislation passed the parliament. At that time, it was identified that it would likely take 18 to 24 months to see the regulations drafted, the processes ready and the resources ready to enact it.

Up to 24 months puts us, of course, into 2023. Obviously, the government has identified that if it can be done earlier than that it would be great, but interstate experience certainly suggests that it is going to take a level of time. It should take a certain period of time, because you certainly do not want to cut corners in relation to preparing that body of work.

There will be by then the impacts of the Palliative Care Strategic Framework, which is going to be certainly taking effect before the end of this year, and improvements there, and there is some time before the voluntary assisted dying legislation comes in. We are committed to ensuring that there is a safe accessible scheme as outlined in legislation within the time period outlined. If it is 18 months, then great, but it could well be 24 months from the passage of the legislation.

Demand for palliative care services, I am advised, has been shown to increase in Victoria following the introduction of voluntary assisted dying. In part, this is believed to be due to the increased awareness and promotion of palliative care as a compassionate and meaningful option at the end of life. Therefore, the government's view is that deferring the commencement of the proposed inquiry, which we support, would allow the Health Performance Council to also assess the impact of the introduction of voluntary assisted dying on palliative care in South Australia.

It would not be a long wait between the resolution of the regulations and the beginning of the review, but I believe it would be enough of a period for the review to have extra meaning and value, as well as being mid point in the cycle of the new Palliative Care Strategic Framework.

With that, I indicate my support for the bill and the government's support for the bill. I foreshadow the amendment that I will put in committee stage. I hope the discussion will not detain the parliament for an unduly long time. Members can reflect on their support or otherwise for the amendment for the reasons I have outlined. In any case, the government will support the review with or without the amendment, but I think the amendment would add significant value to the very purpose of the review that the member seeks.

**Mr PICTON (Kaurua) (11:41):** I rise to speak in relation to the Inquiry into Palliative Care Bill 2021 that has been moved by my friend the member for Light. I note that this is something that he has raised previously in this parliament and it was something where, in different circumstances, we differed on this exact question.

However, to spell out the reasons why I am now very supportive, firstly the original proposition was that this was to be done by the Productivity Commission rather than the Health Performance Council, and the other element was that this was to be done before the voluntary assisted dying legislation would come into effect. Both of these elements have now changed and therefore I am fully in support of the legislation that has been proposed by the member for Light, and I appreciate the parliament working very well unanimously to allow this to be discussed in all stages this morning.

This is something that will have a benefit to looking at what the issues are in palliative care, because there are very real issues happening right now in terms of palliative care. In my role as shadow health minister, I regularly hear from people who cannot get access to the palliative care for their loved ones that they need.

This is particularly true in regional South Australia, and I know that members from the regions will know how difficult it can be for their constituents to get access to palliative care. I can speak, having recently gone to a forum on the Fleurieu at Victor Harbor about the services based in that region. Well over 100, maybe 150, people turned up at a forum about palliative care at Victor Harbor and spoke about some shocking stories in terms of their loved ones' care and not being able to access the care that they needed. Those people who can access that care by and large have a very positive experience. We have amazing palliative care doctors and nurses in this state, but the issue is for those people who cannot access that care.

That is particularly true in regional South Australia. Moving this review is very timely. I think that this is urgent. I completely disagree with the proposition that has been put forward by the government that we should delay this review even starting for over two years. That is completely at odds with the experiences that people are having, and I do not think I could look any of those family members in the eye and say, 'We agree that there's an issue, but we are only going to start looking at it in two years' time.'

This government made a lot of promises about palliative care and they have really failed to deliver significant improvements in palliative care. I believe that the issue has become a lot worse. So this review is very urgent. The Health Performance Council is the right vehicle to do it. I know that the member for Light has had discussions with the chair of the Health Performance Council, Professor Stephen Duckett, who has signalled his support for the inquiry to take place and the timing of the inquiry to take place as well.

I remind members that the government wanted to abolish the Health Performance Council and, through the upper house of parliament, we were able to stop that from happening. This really demonstrates how valuable a mechanism that is to provide independent oversight for our health services in South Australia. This will be important. This will shine a spotlight.

I do not believe that there is necessarily the connection that some would say between voluntary assisted dying and the need for this inquiry. I think that we made very clear in the debate on voluntary assisted dying how that is different from palliative care—and I maintain that position—but I think that there is still a very real need to look into palliative care services and how we can improve them and the accessibility of them for people right across South Australia. I wholeheartedly support this bill.

**Mr HUGHES (Giles) (11:46):** I think this is a very important bill and one that has my full support. Reference has been made to the very patchy nature of the quality of palliative care available in regional South Australia, and I would imagine that even in the metropolitan area some places are better than others as well. I have, unfortunately, had some insight into this area having had some of my family members pass away. I know that high-quality palliative care does make a real difference.

My brother passed away in Whyalla Hospital. That hospital had undergone a major upgrade courtesy of a federal Labor government and a state Labor government grant to the tune of \$70 million. Part of that upgrade was to get greatly enhanced palliative care rooms at the hospital, and it was in that hospital, in one of those rooms, that my brother passed away. That was over a six-week period.

The quality of what was provided was exemplary both in terms of the physical setting, which can make a difference, and the care, compassion and the professionalism of the nursing staff and the doctors who attended my brother. So what was an incredibly difficult and trying period was made somewhat easier. I was interested in the reflections that my sister made. She has worked at big hospitals and some smaller hospitals and private hospitals in New South Wales. When she came over and saw the quality of what was provided in a public hospital, she said that this was better than the hospitals she had worked in in New South Wales.

But a lot of communities do not have the quality that exists in Whyalla. There might be a shortage or non-availability of specialist staff. The physical settings might fall well short of what you would need. It will always be burned into my mind that when my father passed away from cancer in New South Wales he was in virtually an open ward with six other people also passing away. It was in what was a big weatherboard, almost transportable-type building at the back of Concord Hospital. It was an incredibly trying and difficult period. If you were looking at the quality of care, that was incredibly poor for a whole range of reasons.

When we debated the assisted dying bill, my view was that it is about choice. I think it is important that we need to provide good palliative care because it does give people that choice. I had that conversation with my brother, whether he would avail himself of assisted dying, and he said he could not see himself doing that. But he did say it was important that people have a choice. When palliative care—in a lot of circumstances, not all—is high-quality palliative care, people will probably not avail themselves of the need for assisted dying.

This is about respect, this is about dignity, this is about doing the right thing towards the end of somebody's life. For that reason, this bill is important. I think the sooner we progress the actions

needed to look at what we have, to look at the gaps, to look at what funding is necessary, the better. A report came out some time ago—and I am testing my memory here—that I think was saying there was a shortfall of about \$30 million in the provision of palliative care in South Australia.

It is not just about the person at the end stage of their life but it is also about the family, the friends, the acquaintances and, in some cases, especially in regional communities, the wider community where someone might be known extensively. I commend this bill. I think it is an important bill and it is a bill that deserves our support to progress it as quickly as possible.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Frome.

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome) (11:51):** Thank you, Mr Speaker, and congratulations on your appointment. I would like to briefly talk about this bill, the Inquiry into Palliative Care Bill 2021. As other members have mentioned here, this is something that is very important to everyone across all of South Australia, but in particular to regional South Australia. First up, let me say that I have the greatest admiration for the staff who look after people in the Port Pirie palliative care system and others I have visited and come across.

In regional South Australia in particular, most of the people who look after those who have to be admitted to palliative care know them and they know them very closely. They get very personal with them and it also becomes part of their lives and the way those staff and the nurses perform their duties there. Also, they take that memory home to their families and they have to put up with a lot of the emotional impact of that, and I can talk from previous experience.

My late father-in-law had a very aggressive form of cancer. He was admitted to the palliative care system in Port Pirie, where the staff themselves were absolutely fantastic. There was a separate room for these people, and there was a chapel, not that he was very religious, but he had the opportunity to go in there a couple of times with the minister of his choice at that particular point. My partner, Lyn, and I were in the room at the same time. We spent the last few nights there just before he passed away. He opened his eyes and said how he had enjoyed life and things like that.

I have had my mother-in-law do the same thing—she had to go into palliative care—and in the last five or six weeks I have had personal friends who have had some form of cancer and had to be put into palliative care. On three occasions, they were diagnosed with some form of cancer and admitted into palliative care, and one passed away within two weeks and another within six days.

People will look at this from outside an inquiry. Sometimes, people perceive an inquiry as not that particular avenue, or what you are inquiring into may not be working properly. I think that palliative care is doing a good job out there. I think we need to have inquiries into whatever we do.

We do that with our own lives: we inquire about how we perceive ourselves, how we manage ourselves every day, and try to improve. This bill will only do that, in my view. It will look at opportunities of how we can improve palliative care facilities, their operation and the attractiveness of how we can make it a lot easier for families and things like that.

The member for Giles mentioned the regional people. Some people out there cannot get to a location—and this is getting more prevalent—or maybe cannot get into a local palliative care facility and may have to go away from their own communities and therefore have the extra burden and the impost of not having the family come in to visit and so forth.

It is about how we can improve the services. As I said earlier, the staff are fantastic. I take my hat off to the people who do this. I personally would not emotionally be able to handle the job they do. We also need to look at the opportunity to train more of these specialised people to be able to come from the health system into palliative care because, if we do not have those people trained in the near future, some of them will get emotionally worn out.

A lot of them are under stress and some, unfortunately, go a bit further and have mental health issues. I know one person, in actual fact, went to the degree that they just about lost their life because of the pent-up emotion that built up over a period of time. Also, I think we need to understand what is the quality of the infrastructure out there and whether we have enough rooms, and the locations and things like that.

Another thing I would encourage if this bill gets through relates to the staff themselves. I would make certain that they have some form of counselling, as I said, especially in regional areas where they know personally those people; they take it home with them and it impacts their own families. They have to be able to have some counselling. I know there is some at the moment, but we need to make sure that there is plenty of counselling out there.

As the member for Giles has indicated, if this bill gets through, we need to have this commence sooner rather than later. We do see lots of things that take a long time to commence. The longer we leave this, the worse it is going to be. We should do this as soon as we can. We should have a complete inquiry and look at all the avenues—I talk about regional South Australia in particular—and then in actual fact we can bring that back to the parliament, bring that back to the ministers and to relevant government agencies to look at the infrastructure and move forward on this. I congratulate the member for Light on bringing this bill here and I will certainly be supporting it.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (11:57):** I would like to firstly thank all members for enabling this bill to go through all the stages this morning. I do appreciate that. I would also like to thank all members for their contributions. I understand that the government wish to go into committee to amend a part, so I close the debate. I will ask the parliament to support the original bill, though.

Bill read a second time.

**The SPEAKER:** There being an amendment on file, we must go into committee.

*Committee Stage*

In committee.

Clause 1 passed.

New clause 1A.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I move:

Amendment No 1 [Education-1]

Page 2, after line 3—Insert:

1A—Commencement

- (1) This Act comes into operation on 1 December 2023.
- (2) Section 7(5) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1915* does not apply to this Act or a provision of this Act.

I outlined the reasons for this in the second reading debate. I believe that it will add value to what is a good bill, but I think that the review will have significantly more positive impact and benefit if it is able to take into account potentially at least six, maybe 12, months of the new act of the legislative regime for voluntary assisted dying, rather than potentially making predictions about the impact that might have.

We know that there will be some impact, based on interstate experience. We would like to have the full impact understood so that the review can add maximum value. There is no doubt, there is no question, that improved services are absolutely the government's intent and commitment. That is one of the reasons why we have a framework for 2021-2026 for palliative care, a strategic framework that will guide and prioritise improvements in the quality and availability—critically the availability—of these services because, as members have outlined, they are tremendously important services.

The timing of the amendment would also allow that to be reviewed mid-phase, when any improvements would get maximum benefit in terms of what the HPC might recommend to government and in particular to the SA Health service that is being delivered.

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK:** I have a question to the mover of the amendment. This is basically putting it back 12 months further.

**The Hon. A. Piccolo:** Two years.

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK:** Sorry, it is two years. Can you specifically elaborate to the committee your reason as to why it needs to go back two years, other than what you have just said?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I do not think that it is necessarily two years. It may be, but the bill as it stands requires the Health Performance Council to complete the inquiry and prepare and deliver the report to the minister no later than 12 months after the commencement of this section. The member for Light might have some insight into when the cabinet and the Governor might commence the section, but he has not outlined a reason why it would be two years prior to 31 December 2023 at this stage. I would further outline that we would not be looking to have this delayed unnecessarily once a review had started.

Firstly, as I have outlined twice now, the point of 1 December 2023 is that the new Palliative Care Strategic Framework 2021-2026 will have then been in place for some two years and the improvements that it will be seen to have delivered can then be assessed by this review, rather than this review starting at the same time as the new framework starts. It will be reviewing a new framework which has not yet had a chance to deliver those improvements, which I think would diminish the capacity of the review.

Secondly, there is the voluntary assisted dying legislation, passed in June this year. We have committed that we will get the regulations done so that process can be underway and the intent of that legislation delivered as soon as possible, but it is complex work to deliver those regulations. At the time, it was estimated 18 to 24 months. We would very much prefer it to be 18, rather than 24 because that is what the people of South Australia want and that is what the parliament's will was, but we are not going to cut corners in delivering those regulations because it is tremendously important that we get them right.

That legislation, under a timetable that has it in place by the end of 2022, would then have had some 12 months in action and therefore you would be able to have some evidence of what further improvements were needed over and above those within which the framework of 2021-2026 has been designed. That is the purpose of the amendment.

I will make clear that the government will support the bill if this amendment does not pass. We are not trying to stymie the bill in any way, but this amendment would add tremendous value to the review that the HPC would be able to undertake and see much more impact in the way that all members are hoping as a result of the review in due course.

**Mr PICTON:** Firstly, I confirm for the house that my understanding from the member for Light is that he has spoken to the Health Performance Council chair, Stephen Duckett, who has confirmed that the Health Performance Council has the capacity and the willingness to undertake this work almost immediately. There has been no communication with the member for Light that this would need to be delayed until the end of 2023 to start.

Secondly, as we have noted, once the act commenced there would be 12 months to do the report. This would mean that, if this amendment were passed, the act would not deliver a report in terms of palliative care until the end of 2024 and probably considered by parliament in 2025. I think the question for the parliament is: is this an acceptable time period in which we should be considering this, or should we be considering it earlier?

The minister has raised as his rationale that, first, we need to see how South Australia's Palliative Care Strategic Framework goes. My understanding is that there is not actually a finalised strategic framework in operation. My understanding from even just checking the SA Health website today is that that strategic framework is still a draft document out for consultation, and that consultation closed back in July.

The question for the government is: you have had a document you put out there earlier this year, consultation closed in July, we are now halfway through October and we still do not have a finalised document. Rather than highlight the need to delay this, when you see that level of inaction in terms of putting this framework in place, I think it heightens the need to get started on this straightaway.

There are no apparent additional resources going into this strategic framework. There has been no announcement in terms of where additional funding is going, out of this strategic framework. My understanding is that the funding that has previously been allocated has largely been spent, and so I think that really highlights the need to get cracking with this as soon as possible.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I think there were a couple of questions in what was otherwise a reasonable statement, one that I did not entirely agree with, but I understand some of the points the member makes. Certainly, for consultation on the framework to have closed in July and our being in October highlights that, when we go out to consultation on such matters, it is a genuine consultation and we want to integrate the feedback from that consultation into the final outcome of the framework, and my understanding is that that is absolutely not very far away.

I have no doubt that the issues raised by some of the regional members in this house, in particular in relation to access—I do not say this with certainty, as I am not involved in the review—have been taken into consideration as a result of the consultation. Part of the purpose of the framework is to deliver the best services that we can.

In relation to whether the member for Light was consulted about this amendment or this commencement date, I make the point that we were not able even to table the amendment until about half an hour ago because, again, the bill had not been introduced formally into the parliament and read a second time. What usually happens is you adjourn and then you table amendments and circulate and discuss. That has been abbreviated, that part of the process has been conducted in front of the cameras in this chamber and I do not think the member for Light has taken offence.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** I will just perhaps respond in terms of the amendment. I would respectfully disagree with the minister's view on this matter, and I will outline why. I think this is very important, as my colleague has indicated. If this were to commence in 2023, as the amendment suggests, on 1 December 2023, I have been advised by the Health Performance Council it will probably take about 12 months, which means December 2024 and that parliament would not consider this until 2025. In the meantime, you would have the voluntary assisted dying legislation in place. The very purpose of this bill is to ensure we have a palliative care system in place which gives people real choice when voluntary assisted dying is the other choice—to make sure we have that system in place.

In terms of evidence, we had a committee that sat for I think 18 months and collected a whole range of evidence on this very matter of palliative care, and the findings of that are there for all to see. I think that evidence could be also put into this process for the Health Performance Council. If the department are already doing some work, there is no problem with the department handing over that information they already have to the Health Performance Council to assess. In fact, doing it now, while the department is undertaking its own strategy, probably makes for really good timing—to make sure that the final product the department comes up with is a strategy that actually has support.

I think this review would actually inform that strategy process. As I said, the previous committee, which I and I think the member for Davenport and a few others were on, already looked at this issue and has enough evidence. And if we need evidence as to what could happen, given this is based on the Victorian model, there is nothing stopping the Health Performance Council saying, 'What happened in Victoria in terms of voluntary assisted dying?'

Let's not forget that an overwhelming majority of people will not access voluntary assisted dying. The overwhelming majority of people will use palliative care as a way to end their life. While I did not agree with VAD, this bill does not impede the VAD legislation in any way, so if people want to exercise that choice, that is fine. But let's remember that the overwhelming majority of people will still use palliative care as a way to end their life, and I think it is important that they have that real choice when the time comes. As my colleague said, what do we say to people? 'We know this is an issue, but we're not going to do anything about it until 2025?'

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Apart from the last sentence, I think the member has just made a very good case for the amendment, because his suggestion that we have the review and then that feed into the work SA Health has already done I think gets it completely about the wrong way. The work SA Health has done, including community consultation, is with a purpose of improving services and then getting those improved services out as quickly as possible. I would submit that the member for Light has just argued to delay those improved services until after his review has happened. I may have misunderstood, and I apologise to the member if he did not argue that, but that was what I took out of his submission.

Further, in relation to the points he has made about voluntary assisted dying, I reiterate the point I made in my second reading speech and in response to I think the member for Frome: that in Victoria demand for palliative care services has been shown to increase following the introduction of

voluntary assisted dying. In part, this is believed to be due to the increased awareness and promotion of palliative care as a compassionate and meaningful option at the end of life, and I think that all members of the house would support that approach being taken.

The committee divided on the new clause:

Ayes ..... 24  
Noes ..... 20  
Majority ..... 4

#### AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Bell, T.S.	Chapman, V.A.
Cowdrey, M.J.	Cregan, D.	Duluk, S.
Ellis, F.J.	Gardner, J.A.W. (teller)	Harvey, R.M.
Knoll, S.K.	Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.
McBride, N.	Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.
Pederick, A.S.	Pisoni, D.G.	Power, C.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Teague, J.B.
van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.	Wingard, C.L.

#### NOES

Bedford, F.E.	Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.
Boyer, B.I.	Brock, G.G.	Brown, M.E.
Close, S.E.	Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.
Hughes, E.J.	Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.
Michaels, A.	Mullighan, S.C.	Odenwalder, L.K.
Piccolo, A. (teller)	Picton, C.J.	Stinson, J.M.
Szakacs, J.K.	Wortley, D.	

#### PAIRS

Tarzia, V.A.	Cook, N.F.
--------------	------------

New clause thus inserted.

**The CHAIR:** Are there any further questions on the bill at all? We have two more clauses. I am happy to take questions on clause 2 or clause 3 if I could get an indication from the floor. No further questions?

Remaining clauses (2 and 3) and title passed.

Bill reported with amendment.

#### *Third Reading*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (12:22):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

I would like to thank people who spoke on this bill. I will not deny that I am disappointed and it is disappointing that members, particularly those from regional areas, have decided to defer the commencement of this inquiry which would benefit their constituents the most, and I am sure their communities will take notice.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (12:22):** I am pleased to speak on the third reading of this bill, which has been much enhanced by the amendment which means that, unlike what the member for Light suggested in his last question on the amendment itself, where I believe his suggestion was that the improvements for regional South Australia be delayed

until after his review takes place, we now have a bill that will ensure we can improve services as much as possible, and then we will review them to make sure they are improved enough.

I think that all members are to be thanked for their contributions to this bill. This bill now has its best possible version. As I said, the government was happy to support the bill in its original form but we felt it could be improved and I am pleased that the house has seen fit to agree.

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (12:23):** I am drawn to provide a third reading contribution, in that I think the minister is misrepresenting the views of the member for Light. The member for Light has been staunchly advocating that this review should happen immediately because he is so concerned about the state of palliative care services. In fact, he wanted this review to happen originally before the voluntary assisted dying legislation would come into effect.

So I think, for the record, that has been the view of the member for Light. Obviously it is welcome that the bill is passing in some form, but we are now in a situation where unfortunately we will be considering the outcomes of this review in the last year of the next term of parliament, before not the next election but the election after that. I think that this is something that could be done sooner. I hope that we can find a way to commence this work sooner rather than wait as long as planned under the government's amendments.

Bill read a third time and passed.

### **STATUTES AMENDMENT (STRATA SCHEMES) BILL**

#### *Introduction and First Reading*

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (12:26):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Community Titles Act 1996 and the Strata Titles Act 1988. Read a first time.

#### *Second Reading*

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (12:27):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

This is a simple but necessary amendment to the Strata Titles Act and Community Titles Act relating only to those strata corporations or community corporations that have just two unit owners. These amendments only apply where there are two units but the property is strata or community title owned. It does not impact the strata or community corporations where there are three or more unit holders.

Currently, when a unit holder wishes to undertake prescribed building work, as set out under the act, essentially any work that alters the outside of the unit, the unit holder proposing the work must get the approval of the other unit holder. In a two-unit strata complex, this means that one neighbour essentially has 100 per cent veto over the other neighbour's building and design. From time to time, as it may be for some people, if you have a difficult neighbour you may never get the approval for the works that you or your family may want.

This issue has been brought to my attention by somebody in the community who went through the proper council process of obtaining a heritage and council approval for an addition to the back of their strata unit only to be refused permission by the neighbour, given that it is in a two-unit strata.

Whilst there is an opportunity to go to court and argue that any decision of the strata corporation is unjust or unreasonable, that comes at a huge cost in terms of money, time and emotional energy. I also believe it pits neighbour against neighbour and creates enemies at times, especially if this is before the court. This amendment takes away the power of veto on building work from one unit holder over the other, and leaves the decision regarding building work approval up to the normal planning and building approval process, and that is the council—a process that happens every single day across all of South Australia.

I believe these amendments will stop vexatious neighbours using the veto power unfairly and will free the courts of unnecessary claims. It will put these building matters in the same building and planning process as the rest the general community, which seems a fair and reasonable position when there are just two unit holders involved. It is a very simple amendment, and I ask the house for its support when the debate continues in due course.

Debate adjourned on motion of Dr Harvey.

**Mr BELL:** Deputy Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**CRIMINAL LAW CONSOLIDATION (COERCIVE CONTROL) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 2 December 2020.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (12:35):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes .....	22
Noes .....	22
Majority.....	0

**AYES**

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Ellis, F.J.	Gardner, J.A.W. (teller)	Harvey, R.M.
Knoll, S.K.	Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.
McBride, N.	Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.
Pederick, A.S.	Pisoni, D.G.	Power, C.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Teague, J.B.
Treloar, P.A.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.
Wingard, C.L.		

**NOES**

Bedford, F.E.	Bell, T.S.	Bettison, Z.L.
Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.	Brock, G.G.
Brown, M.E. (teller)	Close, S.E.	Duluk, S.
Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.
Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.	Michaels, A.
Mullighan, S.C.	Odenwalder, L.K.	Piccolo, A.
Picton, C.J.	Stinson, J.M.	Szakacs, J.K.
Wortley, D.		

**PAIRS**

Tarzia, V.A.	Cook, N.F.
--------------	------------

**The SPEAKER:** The result of the division is 22 ayes and 22 noes. I cast my vote with the ayes.

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed

*Motions*

**PORT AUGUSTA**

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome) (12:41):** I move:

That this house recognises the history of Port Augusta and the great benefits that Port Augusta has contributed to—

- (a) the state of South Australia;
- (b) the education for students in rural outback South Australia;

- (c) medical services for people living and travelling in outback South Australia;
- (d) the national railway networks over the years; and
- (e) the welfare of Indigenous people living in Port August and outback South Australia.

Today, I would like to talk about another great, proud city from the Upper Spencer Gulf: in this instance Port Augusta. Let me say that the three cities in the Upper Spencer Gulf—that is, Port Augusta, Port Pirie and Whyalla—are the backbone of the history of South Australia. As I say, this is the second one; I spoke about Port Pirie earlier, and now this is Port Augusta.

The City of Port Augusta has a very, very proud history. In the words of the late Mayor Joy Baluch, Port Augusta is the centre of the universe. It has also been well known as the crossroads of Australia. Forty years after Captain Matthew Flinders explored the area around Port Augusta, pastoral leases extended from the Flinders Ranges north to Leigh Creek. The survey of the harbour and the layout of the township, which it was then, was carried out in the mid-1850s by Thomas Elder, a wool merchant and also an MLC in the parliament.

Small vessels delivered supplies to the port, and these goods were then distributed through the Pichi Richi Pass or sent on to the Western Plains. At this period of time, about half a dozen 300 to 500-tonne ships left the port each September bound for the sales in London, bearing in mind at that particular point the only opportunity of getting stuff out was by the port. By 1900, Port Augusta's wheat industry was significantly impacted by the dry seasons, and the number of ships visiting were reduced dramatically. Therefore, this was the start of a very vast rail network which shielded the community's economy.

By 1878, the building of the Northern Railway and the Pichi Richi Railway and also the increase of people increased the business activity of Port Augusta and close proximity, which was the reason for the township's population being increased by 2,000 by November 1878. The extension of the rail network was extended in 1879 and completed to Quorn and then, in 1891, further to Oodnadatta. Can I just also reinforce that I love both of those communities.

In 1912, the commonwealth government commenced the design to connect Port Augusta with Kalgoorlie in Western Australia. With the outbreak of the war in 1914, the importance of the railway network was critical to the effort of Australia accessing the materials and workers critical to the war input. By 1916, more than 3,400 workers were employed on the project, laying the 1,693-kilometre rail system with over 2.4 million hardwood sleepers being used—over 140,000 tonnes of rail. This line was completed on 17 October 1917, and within five days the first passenger train left Port Augusta, arriving at Kalgoorlie 42 hours and 48 minutes later. That must have been a very trying journey across there. I would assume that the visibility and scenery around it would have been really interesting.

This line was extended north from Oodnadatta to Alice Springs in 1929, with the final section to Darwin being completed in 2004. Sir Thomas Playford, the Premier at the time, established the Northern power station, utilising at this stage the very good coal available from Leigh Creek. This was being built to maintain sufficient power to the Adelaide metropolitan region.

The Thomas Playford power station was completed in 1957, with 90,000 kilowatts of generating capacity and two 132,000-volt transmission lines to transfer the power to Adelaide metropolitan area, as mentioned earlier. The power station has since been decommissioned due to the owner at the time looking to dispose of their only coal operating power station, and since then Port Augusta has been the focal point of attention for renewable energy projects, not only by Australian proponents but, more importantly, international attention.

Let me also reinforce my agreeance with the opportunity for renewable energy projects. I think that this is the way we have to go with climate change and so forth, but the renewable energy projects from both sides—and I commend the introduction of battery storage, because without battery storage renewable energy is not very valuable at all, because we have to store it when we do not use it. Port Augusta and the Upper Spencer Gulf is the best location in the world for any form of renewable energy projects, and this will continue into the future with the international media focused on this location.

I have been to quite a few conferences, especially in the Upper Spencer Gulf. Ross Garnaut, who as we all know is a world-renowned expert in renewable energy, has indicated that Port Augusta, and the Upper Spencer Gulf in particular, is the best place in the world for any renewable energy

projects. I congratulate everyone on focusing on that. Every time I go to Port Augusta and travel up that way—and I know that the member for Stuart sees this every time he goes home—I see the great powerlines around what was known as the DP Energy on the south side of Port Augusta. It looks really terrific. I must admit that sometimes you are looking at it and it is a bit of a distraction on the highway; however, we will get used to all of that.

As I said, Port Augusta is the best location, and that area is the best location in the world, for any form of renewable. Port Augusta is well known for its varied cultural population and, as the member for Stuart has stated in the house previously, there are several different nationalities in the city who live and work in the city passionately and collaboratively together. We cannot forget the very great important contribution to our Indigenous population, with the Davenport Reserve on the eastern side of the city.

When I was living there years ago, I had opportunities to go out and look at that, but I know that the member for Stuart is a great supporter of that area on the Yorkeys Crossing Road. Port Augusta is also well served with education, with Port Augusta West Primary School, Wilsden Primary School, Port Augusta Special School, Christian colleges and a great secondary school there on the approach into Port Augusta. Congratulations to the government for spending some money on the Port Augusta Secondary School there. Every time I go through there it is progressing very well, and we need to be able to look at the opportunities to get as many education facilities in the Upper Spencer Gulf as we can.

Port Augusta also has a TAFE. I hope that this government, and the next government, stops any more cuts and raises the importance of these facilities to regional people and does not carry out any more reductions but in fact increases the learning opportunities. Whilst we have the opportunity for great facilities in Port Pirie, Port Augusta, Whyalla or any regional area, we have to have the courses in TAFE to be able to utilise those subsidised courses. I have said in this house before that regional people cannot afford to come down to Regency to access those certificates I, II and III in order to gain employment.

I know that my younger grandchildren believe in Zoom and things like that, but I am certainly not a great fan. I would rather have tutors in the room. I know for a fact there are some regional TAFE facilities that have to get a certain number of students in the classroom to make the course viable. My comment in relation to that is if one course has to have 15 students to be viable and they only get six or eight and another campus somewhere else may also not be able to get 15 students, they utilise the visual opportunities of both those facilities to enjoy the opportunity to gain those certificates.

Port Augusta is also home to the School of the Air. When people outside think about the School of the Air, they do not realise what it does. It allows students who live in regional and rural locations, where there are no actual schools, to carry out their education. Years ago, I had personal experience of this system when my late father was trapping rabbits at Lake Frome and we had to utilise the School of the Air to carry out our education. That is many, many years ago, but that was the only way we could get an education. I must admit that it was very hard to do that, but without the School of the Air some people in remote locations do not have the opportunity for education and they do not have access to the internet.

The Uni Hub started in Port Pirie and they are establishing a facility in the city of Port Augusta and they will work collaboratively with Port Pirie and Kadina. The Uni Hub is an addition to the university in Whyalla. It is mainly to do with tertiary education and hopefully they can work together with the community, the councils, the state government and the federal government. At the moment, the federal government is providing funds for the operation of the Uni Hub across Upper Spencer Gulf in particular and Kadina. Going forward to the next election, I encourage both parties to put money towards the operation and sustainability of the Uni Hubs in both Port Augusta and Kadina.

We cannot forget the great work that the Royal Flying Doctor Service does, servicing not only the outlying pastoral locations but, very importantly, any medical issues associated with the vehicular traffic in the vast outback and the network. We understand that there has been a lot of traffic up there. We see a lot of advertisements showing the new Royal Flying Doctor Service aircraft, but we certainly need to make certain that that service is available. When I was living in Port Augusta, prior to returning to Port Pirie many years ago, I recall visiting the aircraft base at the airport. The carrier at that particular time was Pagas Airlines, a very small operation but with very dedicated staff.

With regard to medical services, Port Augusta has many GPs who service the population and also, very importantly, the hospitals located at the southern end of the city. Both my daughters Hayley and Marissa were born in Port Augusta, even though we had returned to Port Pirie before Marissa was born. We were in Port Augusta visiting friends and, due to complications, my late wife had to be admitted to the hospital for the birth of my younger daughter.

My original appointment to the area was as an area manager for BP Australia, similar to the member for Stuart. We both worked for BP Australia in different organisations. I was living in Port Pirie at the time and then I was transferred to Port Augusta. I had the opportunity to go into sales and marketing in Adelaide. Then I did my training. I had only been married six months and they told me I was going to be allocated to a territory. I was allocated to territory 336, as it was known at that particular time. They told me I was going 'to Port' and I thought it was Port Pirie. I thought, 'This is terrific; I'm going home,' but they said, 'Port Augusta.'

Whilst the impact was not really good at the time, we went up there and made many friends. The territory, as I said, was known as territory 336. It was the largest BP territory in the world at the time. At that particular time, as I say, we were servicing all the areas at the top. I used to get in the Toyota LandCruiser and travel to some of the areas up there, a lot by road. Similar to the member for Stuart, he has a fairly large area up there at present.

In those days, in my day, there were no aircraft servicing those locations. I would be away for two or three weeks at a time seeing some of the scenery in the north. We are getting away from Port Augusta, but that is my connection to Port Augusta. I think Port Augusta has a great facility. However, at that particular point I was a bit frustrated. There were no challenges, so I then took on a roadhouse at Port Augusta in a partnership. We increased trade dramatically whilst diversifying the products and services available.

Port Augusta, as with other cities in the Upper Spencer Gulf, has suffered with an image issue, and I restate that these issues are not warranted; they are unwarranted. The communities of Port Pirie, Port Augusta and Whyalla do not deserve this image, and nor do the other Spencer Gulf cities. The outside media portrays those three cities with a lot of bad image. They are very capable cities. They are great cities.

Before closing, there are three current state members of parliament in the community at Port Augusta, Port Pirie and Whyalla—forget political allegiance. The three Upper Spencer Gulf cities have most of their meetings in Port Augusta these days. The three Upper Spencer Gulf city mayors and CEOs get together on a regular basis and work collaboratively together. On three or four occasions, the three state members get up there with the CEOs and mayors of the three cities and work collaboratively together and in a positive manner to ensure that we have their voice in parliament, on both sides of politics, to ensure that we get that message across.

I congratulate all the people involved with the city of Port Augusta, and its history, and I ask that the house endorse this notice of motion.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (12:56):** It is a pleasure to support this motion. The motion talks specifically about education, medical services, railway networks and Indigenous affairs in Port Augusta, but let me say that Port Augusta is all of those things and much, much more. With regard to education, we are blessed in Port Augusta to have six public primary schools. Port Augusta Secondary School is an outstanding facility in which our government is investing about \$6 million in upgrades at the moment.

There is the Port Augusta Special School and two independent schools: Caritas College, which goes from reception to year 12; and Seaview Christian College, which I think goes up to about year 10 now—a fantastic institution. It started with younger children and then, with every year that goes by, those children are in a higher year, and they have made a significant impact.

The School of the Air is a very famous institution that remains incredibly important. Remote and Isolated Children's Exercise (RICE) is another important educational and care institution in Port Augusta that supports families in not just an educational sense but very broadly, particularly families of younger children. The Isolated Children's Parents' Association (ICPA) is another organisation that is essentially outback, but in some southern areas as well, and based around Port Augusta. As the member mentioned, Uni Hub is also a very important institution.

Of course, our government is also focused very strongly on traineeships and apprenticeships. I have to give great credit to Minister Pisoni for his work in that area—there are a large number of apprenticeships and traineeships in the area.

With regard to medical services, the Royal Flying Doctor Service is a national icon, and internationally recognised for the fantastic work that it does with South Australia and the Northern Territory. It is based in Port Augusta but is still doing very important work from there into New South Wales, Victoria and occasionally even Tasmania, I am told, out of Port Augusta. With regard to medical services more broadly, it is an important regional centre for the north of the state. Attracting GPs is a challenge in Port Augusta, as it is all over country South Australia, and it is a matter that we are addressing.

The member has spoken about the railway heritage, and that is something that we are very proud of in Port Augusta. It continues to be an important railway hub with an active train station in Port Augusta, attracting a lot of interest whenever the *Ghan* or the *Indian Pacific* come through Port Augusta.

The welfare of Indigenous people living in Port Augusta and outback South Australia is the last topic on the member's list. The member did refer to the Davenport Reserve; we call it the Davenport community. I seek leave to continue my remarks.

Leave granted; debate adjourned.

*Sitting suspended from 13:00 to 14:00.*

#### *Petitions*

### **COUNTRY FIRE SERVICE**

**The Hon. L.W.K. BIGNELL (Mawson):** Presented a petition signed by 308 members of the Mount Compass Community Association and residents of greater South Australia requesting the house to urge the government to take immediate action to reinstate Captain Anthony Baker and Group Officer Todd Treacy and to reprimand CFS HQ staff for not commending these two committed volunteers for bringing safety issues to their attention.

#### *Parliamentary Procedure*

### **ANSWERS TABLED**

**The SPEAKER:** I direct that the written answers to questions be distributed and printed in *Hansard*.

### **PAPERS**

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Speaker—

Ombudsman SA—Annual Report 2020-21 [Ordered to be published]

By the Premier (Hon. S.S. Marshall)—

Electricity Industry Superannuation Scheme—Annual Report 2020-21

Funds SA—Annual Report 2020-21

Parliamentary Superannuation Board, South Australian—Annual Report 2020-21

Police Superannuation Board—Annual Report 2020-21

Public Sector Employment, Office of the Commissioner for—Annual Report 2020-21

Southern Select Super Corporation—Annual Report 2020-21

State Owned Generators Leasing Co. Pty. Ltd. (SOGLC)—Annual Report 2020-21

Superannuation Board, South Australian—Annual Report 2020-21

*Parliamentary Committees***LEGISLATIVE REVIEW COMMITTEE**

**Mr TRELOAR (Flinders) (14:02):** I bring up the report of the committee, entitled Report on House of Assembly Petition No. 62 of 2021, Climate Emergency.

Report received.

**Mr TRELOAR:** I bring up the 46<sup>th</sup> report of the committee, entitled Subordinate Legislation.

Report received.

*Question Time***MEMBER FOR NARUNGA**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:04):** My question is to the Premier. What is the Premier's response to the member for Narungga's challenge to effectively 'back him or sack him'? With your leave, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** In the Adelaide Magistrates Court this morning, magistrate Christopher Smolicz remanded the member for Narungga on continuing bail to face trial on 14 June 2022 on 23 charges of deception relating to the country members' allowance. After the hearing, the member provided a statement in which he said, and I quote:

As this matter will now not be resolved prior to the state election, I will write to the state executive of the Liberal Party inviting them to confirm that I remain the endorsed Liberal Party candidate for the seat of Narungga.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:04):** Thank you very much. I haven't seen that exact statement, but what I can say—

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Well, it would be very helpful if the Leader of the Opposition could provide it—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —and I thank him very much.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, Premier!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am sure he—

**The SPEAKER:** Premier, if I can bring you to order, these matters are sub judice, so it may be that you wish to refer to that in your answer, but I give you the option if you do not.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As I was saying, Mr Speaker, I am sure that the Leader of the Opposition was quite correct in his faithful presentation of the words of the member for Narungga but, as he would probably be aware, membership of the Liberal Party is something which is determined by the state executive. That is something that will need to be determined by them, and I am sure that is something that will be considered by them very shortly.

**STATE LIBERAL PARTY**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:05):** My question is to the Premier. Is the Premier a member of the Liberal Party state executive?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:05):** Well, it's an interesting arrangement. I am a member, but I can send a proxy, and mostly the Hon. Rob Lucas attends on behalf of the South Australian parliamentary team.

Of course, I would be entitled to attend state executive as a member of the South Australian parliamentary Liberal Party, as in fact the leader of the parliamentary Liberal Party, but Rob Lucas

has a few more years of experience on me—in round terms, about 27 extra years on me. He does an outstanding job but, yes, I can certainly attend that meeting if I wish.

#### MEMBER FOR NARUNGGGA

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:06):** My question is to the Premier. How will the Premier be voting when the question of the member for Narrunga's readmission to the Liberal Party—

**The SPEAKER:** There is a point of order.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** —comes before the state executive?

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader! Point of order, minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Mr Speaker, the Premier has no responsibility to the house whatsoever for these questions.

**The SPEAKER:** I am inclined to uphold that point of order, but I will give the leader the opportunity to rephrase the question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier support the member for Narrunga's readmission to the Liberal Party and his endorsement as a Liberal Party candidate at the next state election?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:07):** Thank you very much, Mr Speaker. It's interesting, again, the things that are important to the people of South Australia are the coronavirus—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —and how we come out of the coronavirus with a strong economy, but what we get from the Leader of the Opposition, the man with no plan whatsoever for South Australia—apart from the hydrogen plan with a big \$500 million black hole in it, but apart from that he has absolutely nothing. But, as I said in my previous answer, this is a matter for the state executive, and they will make a determination in due course.

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** Couldn't be any weaker!

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens is warned.

#### STATE LIBERAL PARTY

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:07):** My question is to the Premier. With Grant Stevens in charge of the state, the Liberal Party state executive in charge of the Liberal Party—

**The SPEAKER:** Leader, there is a point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The question offends standing order the 97. It contains argument and false argument.

**The SPEAKER:** I will give the leader an opportunity to rephrase the question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** My question is to the Premier. What is the Premier actually in charge of? With your leave, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** The police commissioner, Grant Stevens, is in charge of the state. The Premier is not in control of the parliament and the state Liberal Party executive runs the—

**The SPEAKER:** Leader, point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Granting leave is an opportunity for the person asking the question to introduce facts, not argument, so to say that the police commissioner is in charge of the state is blatantly inaccurate.

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, leave was granted, so I will allow the question, but I will keep very close watch over the matter he phrased.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I will say the question again. What is the Premier actually in charge of? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

**The SPEAKER:** Just facts, not argument.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Thank you, sir. With Grant Stevens in charge of the state, the Liberal Party state executive—

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, it's a colloquial expression as to whether Grant Stevens is in charge of those matters, but I will hear you out on the point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Point of order: standing order 97 and the fact that leave is an opportunity to introduce fact and not argument. To state that the police commissioner is in charge of the state is inaccurate.

**The SPEAKER:** I'm going to allow the question. Leader, put the question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** With the police commissioner in charge of the state, the state Liberal Party executive in charge of the Liberal Party and the crossbench and the opposition in charge of this parliament, what are you in charge of?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:10):** What a question! There is plenty that is facing this state at the moment in terms of the coronavirus and in particular the way that we can come out of the coronavirus with as many jobs and as many opportunities for young people as possible. But what we get from the opposition are questions that are obviously politically motivated and filled with inaccuracies right from day one, as we are now becoming accustomed.

The reality is that the police commissioner is the State Coordinator during this major emergency declaration. That is part of the legislation that we have in place, and of course he needs to make application to the cabinet for that on a 28-day basis, which is a cabinet decision and recommendation ultimately to Her Excellency the Governor of South Australia, Frances Adamson AC, who has done an outstanding job already in her new vice-regal role.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for Playford is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Right from day one, since this coronavirus emerged—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Wright is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —we have had a coordinated approach with South Australia Police, with SA Health and the entire cabinet and, most importantly, with the people of South Australia, providing them with an evidence-based, facts-based and science-based pathway to deal with the coronavirus. On just about any independently assessed metric whatsoever, South Australia has done well, despite the constant undermining of the Leader of the Opposition and the Labor opposition in South Australia, who love to carp and complain and catastrophise at every single opportunity, but South Australia has done well.

I chair the cabinet and I have to say that it's a very hardworking and dedicated cabinet, dedicated to the people of South Australia, which is delivering for South Australia after 16 years of complete and utter chaos under the previous government.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Take a look at what has been reported this week. When we look at the Deloitte Access Economics report, it's terrible reading for those opposite. It shows South Australia now has the strongest economic growth in the country.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** When we came to power, the 10-year—

*Ms Cook interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for Hurtle Vale is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —average economic growth under those lazy persons opposite was just a pitiful 1 per cent. It's currently 3.6 per cent, the highest in the nation. We've got the best export statistics in the history of the state. We've got more full-time employees than ever before in the history of the state. When we look at business confidence, consumer confidence and investor confidence they are at decade highs in South Australia.

*Ms Cook interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Hurtle Vale is warned for a second time.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We've got a net migration back to our state. For the first time in three decades, people are wanting to come back here to South Australia and we are now the number one most livable city in the country and the third most livable city in the world, so that's what we have been concentrating on.

I'm looking forward to the next election campaign. I'm looking forward to going to the people of South Australia with our record, focusing on more jobs, lower costs, better services and getting them through the coronavirus—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —compared with what we had with the previous 16 years of dysfunctional Labor administration—maladministration, in fact. It was absolutely hopeless. I'm looking forward to the election campaign. I hope it comes on sooner rather than later.

**The SPEAKER:** Members to my left and right, the noise in this room is considerable. If it continues, members will be departing today. There are five members who are already on warnings.

#### **SPEAKER, ELECTION**

**Mr PEDERICK (Hammond) (14:14):** My question is to you, Mr Speaker. Did you discuss becoming the Speaker with members of the Labor Party prior to you quitting the Liberal Party?

**The SPEAKER (14:14):** Thank you, member for Hammond. In fact, I'm yet to resign from the Liberal Party and so that question is hypothetical. Further questions? Leader.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*Mr Brown interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, the member for Playford!

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! I am on my feet. Order!

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Playford will leave for half an hour under 137A.

*The honourable member for Playford having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The SPEAKER:** However, member for Hammond, I am informed that correspondence is being rapidly drafted. Further questions? The leader.

#### **MEMBER FOR NARUNGA**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:15):** My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier accept that as the leader of the state, as the leader of the parliamentary

Liberal Party, South Australians are entitled to know whether the Premier will accept a person with criminal charges against their name as a Liberal Party candidate at the next state election?

**The SPEAKER:** There's a point of order, leader. Please be seated. The Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:15):** I refer the Leader of the Opposition to my previous answer, sir.

#### **MEMBER FOR NARUNGGA**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:16):** My question is to the Premier. Why won't the Premier simply rule out the readmission of the member for Narungga to the Liberal Party given the criminal charges against his name?

**The SPEAKER:** I think that question also involved considerable argument. I'm going to turn to the government side. Is there a question? The member for Elder.

#### **SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**

**Mrs POWER (Elder) (14:16):** My question is to the Minister for Education. Can the minister update the house on the impact the Marshall Liberal government's investment in capital works is having on jobs, schools and preschools across South Australia and in my wonderful electorate of Elder?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (14:16):** I thank the member for Elder for this important question. It has been a joy to travel around her community over the last 3½ years with her, visiting schools and preschools over the years, as it has been across South Australia because, of course, this is a government that is investing in education. The national school partnership reform agreement saw this state government commit an extra \$700 million and more in the decade to come and beyond in order to unlock billions of dollars of commonwealth money in recurrent funding.

In terms of capital spend, I recall congratulating the former Labor government when they committed to a \$692 million program of works. That was good and we are delivering on that program, and we have indeed increased it to a \$1.4 billion investment being delivered by our government in our public schools alone. It is an exceptional investment, it is a record investment, it is a sign of this government's commitment to education as not just indeed a service provided to our communities but an enabler of growth for students to achieve whatever they want in the world.

A world-class education system is one in which all students, no matter what town or suburb they live in, whatever classroom or kindy they are in, are supported to be their best, to fulfil their potential in life, to be successful at whatever they are passionate about. Indeed, in schools servicing the member for Elder's electorate, that investment is very significant indeed.

At Hamilton Secondary College, in excess of \$9 million is going towards supporting a revamped performing arts space, including South Australia's second only planetarium, which is our first school-based planetarium. There are not too many planetariums around. That will support the unique value proposition that Hamilton Secondary College has to provide to students living in not just the member for Elder's area but, indeed, in the broader area, being able to support their space program, taking it to entirely the next level and enabling our students to have a pathway to the extraordinary jobs of the future that are enabled by Premier Steven Marshall bringing the Australian Space Agency to South Australia, bringing the SmartSat Cooperative Research Centre to South Australia and bringing the space industry in massive amounts to South Australia.

Of course, the member for Elder would be aware that there is a \$10 million redevelopment at Springbank Secondary College, where concept plans have now been released. This was a redevelopment that under the previous government was contingent on a business model that was never fully fulfilled. When we looked into that and reviewed it—and I note that the member for Badcoe asked at the time; the member for Badcoe at the time was arguing for a shared zone with Springbank and Unley so that people who wanted to go to Unley could apply but wouldn't be guaranteed—our response instead was to make the school zone for Unley High School to take in the whole of the Springbank zone so that Springbank is now an unzoned school able to focus on what its focus areas are rather than trying to be a local comprehensive.

Unley is now the guaranteed school available for all the students living in the member for Elder's area who wanted to go to that school, much better than the member for Badcoe's plan, where they go into a pool to be chosen between Unley and Springbank. The member for Elder has indeed delivered that for Unley and at Unley. We are delivering a \$30 million upgrade, a \$32 million upgrade, well in excess of anything promised by those opposite at any point, an upgrade that has a three-storey building that will deliver fantastic new learning spaces in home economics, technology, arts, science, classrooms, a three-storey building where even though the top level is not yet complete there are already classes going on in there. It is a fantastic learning space.

Indeed, right across the primary schools and preschools, every single one of those preschools and primary schools in the public system is getting investment by the government. I also want to finish by highlighting the contribution of already in excess of \$200,000 to the Suneden Specialist School as well under our new non-government schools funding program, and they will do even better this year. The kids at Suneden deserve nothing less.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Badcoe is warned now for a second time.

#### **SPEAKER, PRESENTATION TO GOVERNOR**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:20):** My question is to the Premier. Why did the Premier not accompany the new Speaker over to Government House as is common practice, when the outgoing Speaker had the good grace to do so?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:21):** In fact, I am just being an obedient servant of the parliament. The Speaker himself actually made a plea to people, because of COVID—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier is quite right: I did.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —now confirmed by the Speaker. The Speaker has confirmed that he made a plea to people to reduce the numbers because of COVID. He made it clear in his comments to the house that, whilst he couldn't prevent people from coming, he was hoping that the numbers could be reduced and so I was happy to follow that instruction as an obedient servant of this chamber.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for Mawson is warned.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Interjections across the chamber are quite plainly disorderly and members are racking up demerits at an extraordinary rate today. Further questions.

#### **STATE GOVERNMENT**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:22):** My question is to the Premier. In the last 30 years of South Australian history, which government is the most divided and dysfunctional: the Olsen government, the Brown government, or the Marshall government?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Point of order.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:22):** I thank the Leader of the Opposition for this question. I remember a certain night; I was invited along to the opening of an excellent exhibition at the Art Gallery of South Australia. We were sitting out on the pavement in front of the facade of the Art Gallery and there was *Turner from the Tate* that had been delivered. We were all waiting in anticipation for the Premier of the day, Mike Rann, to arrive and make his speech.

This was a very important day for South Australia, to have a massive collection of Turners delivered here to South Australia to create wonderful, increased visitation to our state. We couldn't

find the Premier. Where was the Premier? Well, I will tell you exactly and precisely where he was. He was in a meeting. He was in a meeting with the Leader of the Opposition, in his former capacity as a union boss, and the former health minister, Mr Snelling.

They were having a meeting because they had decided that they had had enough. This wasn't a coup that was effected by members of the party room: it was the union stomping on the democratically elected Premier of South Australia. So most divided? I would put it down to that interface between the Rann and the Weatherill governments.

*Matter of Privilege*

#### **MATTER OF PRIVILEGE**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:23):** I rise on a matter of privilege.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens on a matter of privilege.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The Premier just said that he was at the opening of the *Turner from the Tate* awaiting the Premier, Mike Rann. Media reports show that that occurred in May 2013 when the Hon. Jay Weatherill was Premier.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Member for West Torrens.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Further to my matter of privilege, I ask if you could please assess in the *Hansard* the Premier's answer to the question and the relevant dates and report back to the house if a prima facie case exists.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on the government side!

*The Hon. V.A. Chapman interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Attorney!

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** If you could, sir, come back to the house and inform us whether a prima facie case exists for the Premier deliberately misleading the parliament to make a political point.

**The SPEAKER:** A matter of privilege has been raised, and I ask the member to provide me or furnish me with any materials relevant to that matter. I will consider those materials and return to the house with an answer.

*Question Time*

#### **SPEAKER, ELECTION**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:25):** My question is to the Premier. Given the Marshall Liberal government was elected with a 25-seat majority to this place, can the Premier please explain to the house why only 21 votes were delivered to the member for Flinders in the ballot for the Speakership yesterday evening?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Point of order, sir.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, deputy leader!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The Premier and, in fact, no member of this house is responsible to this house for the results of ballots. The Leader of the Opposition is asking the Premier to reflect on the result of a vote in this house—completely inappropriate.

**The SPEAKER:** I have considered the submissions made by the Leader of Government Business and I find them persuasive. I uphold the point of order, but I am going to allow the leader to ask a further question.

### SUICIDE PREVENTION ADVOCATE

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:26):** My question is to the Premier. Will the member for Kavel be allowed to continue his important work as suicide prevention advocate, or will the Premier dismiss him? With your leave, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** When the former suicide prevention advocate, the Hon. John Dawkins in the other place, took to the crossbench, the Premier sacked him from the role on World Suicide Prevention Day. Does he intend to issue the same punishment to the member for Kavel this time around?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:26):** As is practice, when the Premier's suicide prevention advocate goes to higher office, whether it be Mr Dawkins as the President, Mr Teague into the role of Speaker or, indeed, yourself, sir, into this role of Speaker, it has been common practice to appoint somebody new, and that's my intention.

I wrote to the Speaker earlier on this matter and, of course, we will be putting somebody else into that role very soon. Although, I would send a very strong message to the entire chamber that just by accepting my invitation to become the Premier's suicide prevention advocate, it does not mean that you are definitely entitled to become either the President or the Speaker, despite the fact the last three have ended up in exactly that specific role.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, Premier. Can I say, it was an honour and privilege to serve you in that role.

### COVID-19 QR CODES

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:27):** My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier undermined public confidence in the vital QR record system due to incorrect statements about all the data being deleted, and what will he do to restore confidence? With your leave, sir, that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** On 25 August, the Premier told the parliament, in relation to QR code data, 'The information is encrypted. It is kept for 28 days, after which it is destroyed.' Yet, in a report tabled in the parliament yesterday, entitled COVID-Safe Check-In Review, the Auditor-General found evidence that the QR code data was retained beyond the 28-day time line committed to by the Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:28):** That's certainly not my interpretation of the Auditor-General's Report, which was delivered and tabled in the parliament yesterday. We did, as a government, ask the Auditor-General to look at this process because, the Leader of the Opposition is quite right, we have an expectation that that data is kept for 28 days and then it is destroyed.

We are very pleased and proud that we have had now hundreds of millions of check-ins, using the QR code check-in, and this provides our Communicable Disease Control Branch with the information that they need to access 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to be able to inform those whom they need to get in contact with who may have come into contact with the coronavirus. It has worked extremely well and it's now, I think, universally accepted as the very best system in the country.

It is very important that we do make sure that that data is protected. It comes into the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. It is encrypted. After 28 days, it is destroyed. What the Leader of the Opposition seems to be referring to is that overall in government we do have a recovery process. I think it is called a backup. The Auditor-General has also identified that, in accordance with responsible and vital practices for recovering critical systems, in the event of a system failure regular backups occur and that some data is restored to the secure backup system.

This information has not been accessed. There are procedures in place for restoring that data but, as the Auditor-General identifies in his report, if that data does need to be restored all information regarding the QR codes is again immediately destroyed or rejected.

### **SPEAKER, ELECTION**

**Mr PEDERICK (Hammond) (14:30):** My question is again to you, Mr Speaker. As you are still a member of the Liberal Party, did you discuss becoming the Speaker with members of the Labor Party or Independent members before announcing you would resign from the Liberal Party late on Friday afternoon last week?

**The SPEAKER (14:30):** Member for Hammond, I think this is a matter of administrative detail and I'm not sure that I'm necessarily responsible to the house for it. It also risks reflecting on a vote of the house. What I can say is that I can refer you to comments made by the member for Florey. She was questioned in quite some detail by government members in relation to this issue. Her answers were very informative. If you have a question in relation to government business, I will take that. Do you have a question in relation to government business?

**Mr PEDERICK:** Well, Mr Speaker, in relation to that, and a further question in that line of questioning, you were supposedly elected as an Independent with all the talk that went on for hours yesterday and it's proven today that that is not so.

**The SPEAKER:** Point of order.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The member is now reflecting on a vote of the house and that is disorderly.

**The SPEAKER:** He certainly is. I warn the member for Hammond. I have informed the member for Hammond that the correspondence, I imagine, has been prepared or is rapidly being prepared. It's a matter of administrative detail. We are in the house, my electorate office is located quite some distance from this place and I am sure that these administrative matters will be taken care of appropriately and in due course. Further questions?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Point of order, sir: with respect, I understand what you are saying about a matter of administrative detail.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** That's about whether you are a member of the Liberal Party or not, but the substance of the question was: did you discuss becoming Speaker with any Labor MPs or any Independent MPs before announcing that you would leave the Liberal Party?

**The SPEAKER:** I have already ruled in relation to this matter. Are there further questions?

### **COVID-19 QR CODES**

**Mr PICTON (Kaurua) (14:32):** My question is to the Premier. Is the Premier concerned that in spite of his promise that all QR data would be deleted within 28 days, in some instances it is being kept indefinitely and is he concerned it affects public confidence in the scheme? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr PICTON:** In the report tabled yesterday in regard to the COVID-Safe check-in system, the Auditor-General found that data had been retained by the Department of the Premier and Cabinet indefinitely as a backup for the system but also by the Department for Health indefinitely under its obligations under the Health Care Act.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:33):** I think I answered this in the previous question, but I'm happy to go through it again. We have a situation where we are asking people to use the QR code check-in. That gives us some basic information as to who the person checking in is, where they were and what time. This is encrypted. It goes into the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. It's destroyed after 28 days.

As part of the overall whole-of-government IT management system, there is a backup which is kept, but there are very strict protocols about the restoration of that data; moreover, the Auditor-General identifies in his report that if there is a restoration of that data any data older than

28 days is again automatically destroyed. I don't think that there is any breach with what we have already said to the people of South Australia. Their data is extraordinarily protected, and I think that's borne out in the Auditor-General's Report.

I just remind the house that it was actually the government that asked the Auditor-General to do this review so that we could have assurance, the people of South Australia could have assurance. Those opposite might want to run a scare campaign. They may want to undermine what I think is an excellent system. Let me tell you what Grant Stevens, the police commissioner, the State Coordinator during this major emergency declaration, had to say this morning. He said:

I am absolutely confident that the intent and commitment that was made to destroy data is being honoured and I think DPC have done an amazing job in developing a system that has withstood the tests that are being put to it in terms of the volume of people who are using the system every single day and maintain that commitment to delete the data, I would remind people that we've probably got the best QR...system in Australia...

They are the words of the State Coordinator. We also have extraordinarily complimentary words from the Auditor-General, and I quote from page 2:

Overall, I concluded that reasonable controls were applied by DPC and SA Health to protect people's contact details obtained through the COVID-SAFE Check-In app. I note this is a point in time review and opinion.

He goes into quite a lot of detail, and I would encourage all members of the house to take a look at this report. We thank the Auditor-General for his comprehensive review because it is important that people need to know that when they do use the QR code check-in in South Australia there is not unauthorised use of that data. In other jurisdictions, they didn't have a central QR code check-in mechanism. In fact, in some places it was left up to individual venues. It then begs the question: who is going to use that information?

For example, you go along to a pub. Yes, you are checking in when you go to the pub, but does that pub have the opportunity then to use that information for marketing purposes? That cannot happen with this South Australian system. We respect the fact that we need this information to keep our state safe and our economy strong, and that's why we put in, I think, the very best system in Australia. But don't take my word for it: take the word of the police commissioner, the State Coordinator, Grant Stevens.

### COVID-19 QR CODES

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:36):** My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier taken steps to uncover who the hundreds of people are who have inappropriately had access to data, and will he take action to ensure it helps restore public confidence in the system? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr PICTON:** In his report to parliament, the Auditor-General found 345 inappropriate users of the COVID management systems. He said:

—of the 319 [case management system] user accounts, 95 were inappropriate including five administrators

—of 480 [contact management database users] 250 were inappropriate including 23 administrators

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:37):** It's great that the shadow minister has had time to read the report. Instead of stopping at page 35, he could have just flipped over to page 36 and he would have actually seen the response from SA Health, which said:

SA Health will formalise periodic user access reviews to ensure user access aligns to staff movement and changes in roles and responsibilities.

Password configuration settings will also be reviewed and adjusted if necessary. Multi-factor authentication will be considered if remote access is enabled.

The report mainly deals with the—

**Mr Picton:** That doesn't answer this question at all.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, member for Kaurna! The Premier has the call.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** The report mainly deals with the security of the QR code check-in data, and how it is stored and destroyed. But the member is right: sometimes that information on a very infrequent basis is accessed by SA Health staff, and the Auditor-General makes some further observations and recommendations that the member refers to and of course SA Health has responded to. Again, the Auditor-General is satisfied with the department's response.

While I am on my feet, I would just like to correct the record because it wasn't *Turner from the Tate*—another fine exhibition at the Art Gallery of South Australia; it was, in fact, *Saatchi Gallery in Adelaide: British Art Now*. Of course, that wasn't ultimately the most memorable part of that evening for some who got their way, committing regicide, destroying the career of the democratically elected—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** Do you think yourself a queen or a king?

**The SPEAKER:** Order, member for West Torrens!

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** Is that how you see yourself—as a king?

**The SPEAKER:** Member for West Torrens, you are warned for a second time.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Well, that's the way that the leaders in the Labor Party are fashioned. The reality is that was a fine event at the Art Gallery of South Australia. I am sorry if I in any way misled the parliament by suggesting that it was *Turner from the Tate*—another fabulous exhibition at that wonderful, wonderful institution that we have on North Terrace.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, Premier. Considering your answer and a prima facie case of privilege, I think, member for West Torrens, hearing that answer I don't need to resolve that matter. It has been resolved in—

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** No, the Premier was wrong as usual.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Member for West Torrens, you can leave for half an hour under rule 137A.

*The honourable member for West Torrens having withdrawn from the chamber:*

#### SKILLING SOUTH AUSTRALIA

**Mr McBRIDE (MacKillop) (14:40):** I have a question for the Minister for Innovation and Skills. Can the minister please update the house on how our skills programs are creating jobs and strengthening the workforce in MacKillop and Mount Gambier?

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Innovation and Skills) (14:40):** I thank the member for MacKillop for his interest in skills training in his electorate. It is important to understand that the Marshall Liberal government is creating jobs and supporting South Australians to get the skills and qualifications they need to work in rewarding careers, under our \$200 million Skilling South Australia program.

Not only is it a funding commitment but it's a reform commitment and a commitment to jobs in South Australia. We have successfully rolled out projects, bespoke design, right around the state. Gone are the days of the bureaucrat and union-designed, one-size-fits-all programs. These are bespoke design programs. Over 200 different businesses and industries have worked with the government to design programs that suit them to—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Wright!

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** —support apprentices and trainees in their industries and even individual businesses. It is great to see one such project in a critical growth sector, the aged-care sector, supporting the aged-care workforce in the Limestone Coast. Twenty-five new workers will take up traineeships in the aged-care sector with a partnership led by Career Partners Plus in collaboration with the Boandik Lodge, Mount Gambier; Resthaven, Mount Gambier; Boneham Aged Care, Millicent; and Longridge Village, Naracoorte.

The important thing about this new commitment is that these are paid traineeships. People are actually being paid to learn. I keep telling this story because I was so concerned when I first learnt, being the Minister for Skills, that in a feminised industry—an industry dominated by women—women are expected in that industry to learn in their own time to get their Certificate III in Individual Support and then work for free for six weeks in order to get their on-the-job training. You know what? Sixteen years of Labor and that was the outcome of training in that sector. Of course—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Attorney, you are warned for the first time.

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** —I also rack my brains, but are there any male-dominated industries that have the same pathway into skills? I couldn't find any at all. A big thank you to Hessel Group (Enhance Training), which will be delivering the training to these new trainees, who will learn and earn while they learn, gaining a qualification in Cert III in Individual Support.

The project is supported through government funding of almost \$125,000. It's another example of how the Marshall government has transformed the system and supported paid pathways, particularly in traditional female roles.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Wright, you have two warnings.

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** I will take this opportunity to thank the Morrison government for the Boosting Apprenticeship program because it has enabled us to start changing the culture in industries that haven't used paid pathways previously, with aged care, disability care, being one of them and the ICT sector being another, one of those sectors that hasn't used that paid pathway, where there are now careers being generated in the sector.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Wright, you are on two warnings.

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** We now have a situation in the aged-care sector, the disability sector, where we are lifting the status and we are delivering career pathways. These are no longer just jobs: these are careers. We are seeing many people over the age of 45 making career changes to move into this industry because they want to be there—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Reynell!

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** —and we are supporting them by paying them to do it. They no longer have to give up their job, go to school in their own time and work for free in order to get their qualification. They are now being paid to do that. Three thousand businesses in South Australia have employed an apprentice or a trainee for the first time under Skilling South Australia. Since the reforms that this government introduced, the extra funding and targeted funding this government introduced, we have seen 3,000—

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** —additional businesses take on apprentices and trainees.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Wright, you are on two warnings and I continue to hear your interjecting and it is causing great frustration.

#### COVID-19 QR CODES

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:44):** My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier taken steps to uncover who had registered to access the data through the COVID-Safe Check-In system using personal email accounts? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr PICTON:** In the report to parliament, the Auditor-General found that there were 28 users of the SA Health system who had accounts created using personal email accounts.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:45):** I will make an inquiry with regard to that, but I think it relates to the observations that the Auditor-General made with regard to people working from home and that remote access, but I am happy to make further inquiries. As the member would be fully aware, not the entire Public Service has been at work, at their desk, the entire year or through in fact the last 18 months with the global coronavirus pandemic.

In fact, we are very pleased with the way that the Public Service has been able to continue to work but often from home. From time to time, there have been directions or strong advice to reduce movement and not to come in to the CBD. We know the problems that has caused and that no longer is with us, but during some of these periods people have been working from home. My understanding from the Auditor-General's Report is that sometimes they have logged in with their personal login details rather than the credentials that they would have while they are at work. But I am very happy to make further inquiries with regard to that.

It is certainly, I think, a great comfort to the people of South Australia that we have had this independent report done by the Auditor-General. I think it was done in a timely way; it was certainly done in a thorough way. All of the comments with regard to it are very complimentary, but he has also pointed out some areas, some observations of things which were occurring which he thinks could be improved. He has already had very positive responses from the departments in terms of his inquiry and that's referenced in this document. We will make sure we have a full and complete response to all of the issues that have been raised by the Auditor-General in this report.

#### COVID-19 QR CODES

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:47):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier assure the house that none of the 345 people who were noted by the Auditor-General in his report as having inappropriate access to the system were outside the Department for Health and Wellbeing?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:47):** There was certainly no finding in the Auditor-General's comprehensive and thorough report which would suggest that, but if the opposition has any evidence to suggest that is correct, then I think that that should be something that he should forward not only to us but also to the Auditor-General. But I suspect, true to form, this is just another example of the scaremongering that goes on from those opposite, wanting to undermine one of the best QR code check-in—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —systems in the world, one that has been independently audited and found—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —in the Auditor-General's own words, to be of—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Kaurna!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —great service to the people of South Australia. I find it extraordinary that at every single opportunity those opposite are not there to support the great work of our health professionals in South Australia—

**Mr PICTON:** Point of order.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —but instead, cast—

**The SPEAKER:** Premier, there is a point of order. I must take the point of order.

**Mr PICTON:** The Premier is debating the answer.

**The SPEAKER:** I call the Premier to the substance of the question, but, member for Kaurna, can I say, whilst you are now seated, that your interjections are not assisting and neither are the Attorney's and I put you both on second warnings. It would be a rare thing for me to remove from the house two frontbenchers, but I will do it today if you keep up the interjections across the chamber.

### COVID-19 ECONOMIC RESPONSE

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (14:48):** My question is to the Minister for Trade and Investment. Can the minister update the house on how the Marshall Liberal government is contributing to the COVID recovery in South Australia through attracting investment and creating jobs?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Minister for Trade and Investment) (14:49):** I thank the member for King for the question. It is always a good opportunity to speak about what the Marshall government is doing to attract business and investment in South Australia. It was great to join the member for King very recently doorknocking and listening to her residents. They really love their hardworking member.

It was also a good opportunity to talk to them about what the Marshall government is doing not only to assist household residents but also business—whether that is reducing costs, bringing down their water rates, their water bills or electricity prices. We know what effect soaring electricity prices have had not only on the residents of King but on all residents of South Australia. We have brought them down, which is fantastic news.

From a business point of view, we have lowered payroll tax for small business. They don't have payroll tax now, which helps them to employ not only South Australians but also the constituents in the electorate of King. Of course, it was also great to talk about the health response that we have had during the global pandemic. We have been kept virtually COVID free, which has been great for the health of South Australians. It has also been very good for businesses to be able to continue to trade profitably.

I have talked previously in this house about attracting global companies to South Australia, whether it is Microsoft's Azure Space or Nokia's 5G innovation and incubation industrial hub employing South Australians. Further to that, we have had great news because Deloitte is basing its first Australian Technology and Innovation Centre right here in South Australia, which is going to create jobs for 500 South Australians. That is 500 jobs in areas such as automation, analytics, cyber and financial audit right here in South Australia. We are certainly positioning South Australia as a centre of excellence in the nation for innovation and technology.

Of course, I had the opportunity to meet with Adelaide's managing partner for Deloitte, Mr Hendri Mentz. I met him at the Space Discovery Centre, which was very apt. They've got a focus on seeing what is going on in space, and I thanked him for his significant investment in South Australia to help bolster our ecosystem.

It was interesting to hear from Mr Mentz about why Deloitte chose Adelaide as the home for its first Australian Centre for Innovation and Technology. Hendri said that it was because of the enormous confidence Deloitte has in the South Australian economy and also the exceptional talent market that exists in this region.

In fact, he was able to inform me that 41 graduate positions have already been filled to go towards this Australian Centre for Innovation and Technology, and there is more to come. He went on to add that this move into Adelaide is about harnessing the technology and innovation ecosystem in Adelaide and developing new offerings not only for South Australia but for the Australian market, so, again, setting up a hub here.

They have learnt from what they have seen their clients do, and they want to be a part of it. Of course, they would have also taken great interest in their very own Deloitte Economic Business Outlook report for September, which stated that the ability of South Australia to keep outbreaks at bay has allowed the recovery in South Australia to go from strength to strength.

That has seen strong employment growth in South Australia amongst the soaring housing and construction sector and net positive migration. That is another way that the Marshall government is encouraging business to come to South Australia and to create jobs in South Australia.

### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:53):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier confirm that focus groups were held in Adelaide on Monday night to test the government's next taxpayer-funded advertising campaign?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:53):** I don't have any information on that, but I am happy to make an inquiry.

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:53):** My question again is to the Premier. Is the Premier aware that SA Health has been testing a series of advertisements for television, print and social media?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:54):** Again, I don't have any information on that, but I am happy to make an inquiry. Of course, there are some very important public messages which are necessary and which have been necessary since the coronavirus but they will continue to be necessary. We are going through a difficult period over the coming weeks as we get to that 80 per cent double vaccination rate for those 16 and over, and ultimately we will be ending state lockdowns and state lockouts in South Australia, and so it is important that we get that public health messaging right.

Of course, we are not going to go to the extravaganza levels that we saw under Transforming Health, where we saw politicians' faces plastered absolutely everywhere. You couldn't walk down North Terrace without seeing that terrible logo. Everybody has forgotten what it looks like because those opposite have buried it deep, deep down, but nobody has really forgotten. Nobody has really forgotten about that incredible waste of taxpayer dollars trying to convince people of the merits of the Transforming Health campaign.

Not even the former health minister, the current Leader of the Opposition, will talk about Transforming Health. He did when he was in the parliament and, thankfully, we have a little clip of that when he was defending it, telling us how fantastic it was.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Point of order, Mr Speaker: standing order 98, relevance. The question was about a forthcoming taxpayer-funded government advertising campaign about health.

**The SPEAKER:** I will ask the Premier to come back to the substance of the question.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I don't have anything further.

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:55):** My question is again to the Premier. Is the Premier aware that a number of participants in the focus groups said it was their impression that the adverts were party political in nature? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** A member of the public recruited to the focus groups on Monday has told the opposition that a number of people attending the focus groups said that the adverts should have a Liberal Party authorisation, not a government of South Australia authorisation, because of the ads' party political nature.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:55):** I certainly wouldn't doubt the words of the member for Lee. I'm quite sure he's an honourable person who is faithfully representing the comments from somebody who attended our focus group, but the reality is that you need to create a focus group before you go out with a massive campaign. Why? I will tell you the reason why.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** When you are spending taxpayer dollars, it is prudent to do research to make sure that the message you are aiming to convey to the people of South Australia—important medical information—is conveyed in the right way to effect the outcome that you want. We do want vaccination rates to go up here in South Australia. We have not buried that strong message, but we do need to, from time to time, get paid advertising to support those critical messages.

What we know, without a shadow of a doubt, is that this is the disease of the unvaccinated. When we look at the statistics in Melbourne, Sydney and Canberra, where there are still very high levels of new infections, hospitalisations, admissions into ICU and ultimately ventilators and unfortunately and tragically deaths, we know there is this over-representation of people who are unvaccinated. So it is our quest and we are prepared to put government dollars—taxpayer dollars—towards making sure that people are aware of the value of getting vaccinated.

I constantly say that what we need to do is to roll up our sleeves and have that jab, not only for the individual but for their family, their community, their workplace and ultimately their state. The sooner we can get to that 80 per cent double vaccinated status for those 16 and over, the sooner we can end the punishing state lockdowns that are wreaking havoc on economies in New South Wales, in Victoria and of course in the ACT.

South Australia has done very well, but we have had dozens of cases of Delta in South Australia in the last month. We cannot keep it at bay forever without keeping these very strong border arrangements—state and international—in place. This is not feasible, so we do need to get that vaccination rate up.

But the assurance that I can give the house is that we are not plastering our own faces on it. This is not party political advertising, as the member for Lee suggested. If he wants to see what party political advertising looks like, he only needs to look back four years ago to the extraordinary expenditure that occurred in the lead-up to the 2018 election—extraordinary expenditure that had photographs of key people within their government. That hasn't occurred.

From time to time, we have used the image of Professor Nicola Spurrier, the Chief Public Health Officer in South Australia. She is a very trusted voice when it comes to matters to do with the coronavirus and we will continue to use that face and that image where appropriate, but we are certainly not reverting to the overt political ads that the previous government put in place which they ran in the lead-up to the election.

### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:59):** My question again is to the Premier. Can the Premier advise the house how much the 'massive' taxpayer-funded advertising campaign will cost?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:59):** I am not aware of the exact amount, but I am happy to make an inquiry, but what we need to do is to get that message out there and we need to do it in an effective way. A lot of times we use—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Premier please be seated. The member for Kurna and the Attorney are senior members of this place and they have made substantial contributions. As much as I enjoy at times some of their banter, I am going to ask that they both leave for five minutes and return at crossbench questions.

*The honourable members for Kurna and Bragg having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The SPEAKER:** Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Thank you very much, sir. I don't have the full details of the cost or the content of the campaign, but I have absolutely every faith in SA Health to make sure that the messages that they are getting out, whether it be on vaccination or other aspects to do with providing confidence to the people of South Australia in our health response, are the right messages for our state.

Of course, we will go out and we will seek to get feedback before we go and spend larger sums of money on a campaign. It's very important that we do so, so we make no apology for that. Those opposite, of course, should make an apology for the very obvious waste of taxpayer dollars promoting themselves and their government, whether it be their failed energy policy under the now member for West Torrens—

**The SPEAKER:** Premier, pardon me, there is a point of order.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Standing order 98: debate. The question was very specific about how much the 'massive' taxpayer-funded campaign would cost.

**The SPEAKER:** I will allow the Premier some latitude—he is the Premier—and I ask him to come back to the substance of the question.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As I was saying, sir, we are more than happy to get details of the campaign content and the campaign budget. I don't have that information with me at the moment, but I do have every single confidence in the leadership of SA Health to make sure that the messages that they are getting out are the messages that are crucial, and some of those messages, of course, are around issues like vaccination. Sometimes we promote the use of the QR code check-in, or the testing arrangements, or the overall capacity of our health system in South Australia. We are doing what is necessary in South Australia to make sure we come through this coronavirus.

We have reduced the number of people who contract this. We have reduced the number of people who are hospitalised, go to ICU, go onto ventilators. I think the statistics, the results, speak for themselves when we look at the number of people who have died in South Australia. As tragic as the four deaths we have had in South Australia are, it pales into insignificance compared with many other jurisdictions. I was very saddened yesterday when I read that in Victoria yesterday not only did they have around 1,500 new infections but they had eight deaths. That is double the number we have had.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Has the Premier concluded his answer?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Yes.

**The SPEAKER:** I don't see the need for a point of order, member for Lee, now that the answer has been concluded, but are you asking an additional question?

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Well, that may be; however, the member for Davenport has been waiting very patiently.

### WINE INDUSTRY

**Mr MURRAY (Davenport) (15:02):** My question is directed to the Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development. I ask the minister to please update the house on how the Marshall Liberal government-funded wine industry products are creating jobs for regional South Australia.

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM (Finniss—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (15:03):** I would like to thank the member for Davenport, a proud South Australian advocate for local industries such as the wine industry. South Australia produces about 80 per cent of Australia's premium wines. Again, as I mentioned yesterday, looking at the carpets here in the chamber, the importance of the wine industry alongside the grain industry is shown by the symbols on our carpets here in this chamber. It generates about \$1.9 billion in revenue and is so important to our economy.

There is no more premium wine district than up in the Barossa, where the member for Schubert is able to demonstrate his wonderful products to us by taking us to visit some of the local venues in his electorate. I was delighted to be up there late last week to be at the opening of the new Artisans of Barossa cellar door at Tanunda. I was also there with the Liberal candidate, Ashton Hurn.

Both the member for Schubert and the candidate are passionate advocates for the region. This is a great venue, and I had the privilege of going to see the start of that construction only back at the end of February. At that stage, there was just a better brick wall that stood about nine feet high and about 20 feet long. This venue is now complete, open and operating. It is a new cellar door that is a \$3.1 million development. It features tasting rooms, a restaurant, a produce store and new shared vineyards, catering for up to 200 people.

Artisans is a great collaboration of businesses promoting the local produce, fine dining and excellent wine known globally from the Barossa. Eight small wine businesses have joined together to market the produce of the region's food and wine products. They include John Duval Wines,

Spinifex Wines, Sons of Eden, Lienert Vineyards, Schwarz Wine Company, Purple Hands Wines, Hobbs of Barossa Ranges and Chaffey Brothers Wine Company. This is a great collaboration of these businesses coming together to promote their industries.

The new cellar door is an impressive venue and will be a great destination and drawcard for tourism in the Barossa. We will see this venue go from strength to strength, developing another destination within the Barossa. I commend the people in the operation of this facility. They are doing a wonderful job as well as creating 30 new jobs in the region since their opening.

### COVID-19 QUARANTINE

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (15:06):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier please explain to the house what criteria SA Health use when deciding who enters the home quarantine trial program and who goes into hotel quarantine when returning to South Australia? Additionally, when will the state government fully implement the home quarantine program?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (15:06):** I thank the member for Waite for his question on quarantine arrangements for when people come in. There are in fact three different types of quarantine that we have. We have, of course, the hotel quarantine, we have the home-based quarantine which is supervised by SA Police, and we have the home-based quarantine which is supervised using the home quarantine app, which was pioneered here in South Australia.

SA Health does an assessment of the risk associated with the person that's coming in. One of those areas of assessment is whether or not people have access to a place which is secure and isolated from other people visiting. Some people have made an application to do home-based quarantine and they are living with six people; this would not be appropriate. Other people may need some extra support, so they would move into the hotel quarantine arrangements. Increasingly, what we are seeing is a movement towards home-based quarantine.

One of the things we have done to prepare for that is make sure that we can have an application which can supervise a large number of people and reduce the risk that they may come into contact with other people. This home-based quarantine app, developed here in South Australia in my department, uses facial recognition software as well as a geolocator. At random times during the day, the person gets a call and they need to be where they are meant to be and they need to show their face. In a way, this makes sure this is where they are. Of course, if they are not there, then we send somebody out to determine why that is the case.

It has worked very well. It started to be used in New South Wales almost two weeks ago now and it is going to start the rollout in Victoria, so every South Australian should feel very proud. Hotel quarantine has been, if you like, the workhorse of the quarantine capacity we have in South Australia. We have in excess of 1,000 hotel rooms in South Australia. The member would be aware that we have currently a weekly cap of 265 people who are coming in from overseas. We sometimes go above that cap because we do have additional—

**Mr DULUK:** Point of order, sir: just to resolve the point of relevance. Premier, especially my constituents want to know when will the home quarantine be fully implemented as per your recent press release stating it was going to be in October?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Directly answering the question of the member, we conducted a pilot in September on people returning from interstate using the home-based quarantine app. Then, in addition to that, we have done around 90, I think, with the international pilot. That's being finalised at the moment.

I think we have currently, or certainly as of Monday, about 150 people still in home-based quarantine, but we are very likely to flex that up to around a thousand within the coming days. This will be a combination of people who are coming from interstate as well as those people who are coming from overseas. As I said, the methodology for determination is made by SA Health, but they also make a reference to South Australia Police to make sure that the person who is in that home-based quarantine is suitable for that type of quarantine arrangement.

If the member would like any further information, I am more than happy to arrange a briefing which can give specific information. It will evolve over time. There is some consideration at the moment of moving from 14 days' home-based quarantine down to seven. We are not signed up to

that in South Australia, but New South Wales has already suggested that this is something they will implement next month. That's not something we're contemplating at the moment, but ultimately it might be something which is appropriate down the track. I am happy to provide a further briefing for the member at his convenience.

#### **TARGETED LEAD ABATEMENT PROGRAM**

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome) (15:10):** My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Can the minister update the house on the progress and public release of the new or updated agreement with the Targeted Lead Abatement Program (TLAP), which was due to expire in 2024, and the future direction of funding for this program? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK:** This agreement, which was agreed to in 2014 for 10 years, was to have had a review at the halfway mark. The review was held in early 2020, which was over the halfway mark. However, I have asked in this house for an update on the new direction and commitment to the new program in November 2020, 31 March 2021 and again on 8 June 2021 and still no answer on the awareness of the new program or the public release.

The minister advised on 8 June that the new local manager would start his new position on 12 July and that at that time the minister would have much more information to share not only with me but also with the Port Pirie community. As the minister is aware, it has been several months since my first request for information on the new program, nearly 20 months since the draft was presented to the parties involved and nearly three months since the commencement of the new local manager, Mr Peter Dolan.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (15:12):** Thank you very much to the member for Frome for this question. I know that he is very, very genuinely interested in this topic and I know that, along with his former Labor cabinet colleagues, when he was a member of that cabinet, they put their very best efforts into the TLAP program that they developed.

But very sadly for the people of Port Pirie, their program has not delivered what they said it would. I say again that I don't doubt their efforts and I don't doubt their intention, but the results are not there. They failed in that endeavour. The people working on the TLAP program have done the very best they could. They have tried incredibly hard, but we in the Marshall Liberal government are absolutely determined to do better for the people of Port Pirie.

We commissioned the independent report. Mr Lew Owens, a highly respected South Australian, did that report for us. As I have said faithfully to the member for Frome several times, it remains the case that he will receive a copy of that report before it goes public. There's no change to that whatsoever. But he should also be comforted by the fact that we are already getting on with the work. We are already getting on with delivering on some of the recommendations that come out of that report.

As has been very clearly the case, we have delivered Mr Peter Dolan, the former 2IC of the EPA in South Australia, into the executive director's job. We had a recruiting process that looked far and wide and we had a number of very capable candidates. He was selected by the government, the company Nyrstar and also the Mayor of Port Pirie, who was on the selection panel for that position. He was identified as the best person for the job.

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK:** Point of order, Mr Speaker: we have heard all this before from the minister. What I'm asking for is two things: when are we going to have the public release of the review of that program plan and also the future direction for funding for the program going forward?

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, I understand that the member is seeking to draw you to the substance of the question. I appreciate that you are seeking to give quite some context to the question, but perhaps you will resume your answer.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Mr Peter Dolan is already doing an absolutely outstanding job. The TLAP program funds his employment money which comes in from the state government and from the company and goes into the TLAP program. He is funded out of that program.

We are going to do much better than the previous government and the member for Frome did. I say again that I don't doubt their intentions, but the results were not there. The report will be released and it remains as true as it ever was. The report will be released and the member for Frome will get a copy of that before it goes public. There is no change, there is no backing away from that whatsoever.

We are making sure that we are getting on with delivering for the people of Port Pirie, because this is actually about the people of Port Pirie. This is actually about the people of Port Pirie getting a better, vastly improved program for lead in air which leads to lead on surfaces which leads to lead in blood and many other things. We are determined to make sure that we change that.

We have already made it very clear that the council and the public will have a role to play, something which the local Port Pirie people complained about. They complained long and hard about the fact that the previous government and the member for Frome excluded the public from TLAP, so we are making sure that the local council and the public have a seat at the table with regard to future developments. The report that is available for public release will be provided publicly and there will also be announcements about funding as soon as possible.

### PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (15:17):** My question is to the Attorney-General in her capacity as Minister for Planning. How can local communities become more involved in the planning process without any legitimate appeal rights and very little input allowed on development applications affecting particularly traffic and the amenity of their residential areas?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Standing Order 97: that question clearly contained substantial argument.

**The SPEAKER:** I will give the member the opportunity to rephrase, but I'm not sure necessarily that it did.

**Ms BEDFORD:** How can communities become more involved in the planning process?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (15:17):** I welcome the question. As the fairly newly minted planning minister, I have loved this role and it has been refreshing to see one of the benefits of the PDI Act and the reform that followed the repeal of the Development Act. It was one on which I had strongly agreed with the former Attorney-General and Minister for Planning, and that is that it is too late to expect the people to look at the amenity within their district, township or suburb, at the time of an application for a development on a site—far too late.

What was really important was that whatever the new reform development law should do, it would be to allow the people to have a say at the time of the planning of the area. That is what we now call code amendments after the whole area has been zoned, a code amendment to change an area. Often, they used to be called DPAs, in short planning language, which were very commonly undertaken by councils.

The code amendment process enables the councils, as they have always done, to develop and consider—in consultation with their own community—the advance of a proposal, a code amendment, or in fact an individual developer can do that. What is critical is that the community have the opportunity, in a public consultation process, to make a decision about what is going to go on in that area, what are the rules going to be—not too late, when there's suddenly a six or a 10-storey building going on it.

I am very pleased about that initiative. In introducing it, one area which I think needed improvement which I have required now occur, is that at the time any application comes in—two of these code amendments have to come to my office apparently, to have the approval for the council to go out or the developer to go out—they have to publish at the time, to get that approval, their program of public consultation: meetings, anticipated dates, a format medium of which they are going to undertake that consultation process.

If there was any weakness in this new system, it is that there would be an announcement to the extent that somebody would find out about it, they would talk to their neighbours about it: 'What's

going on? Have you heard anything about this?' 'No, I don't know anything about it. Go online.' We now have an electronic portal and you can keep an eye on everything happening right in your own street if you want to. Every day you can go into it and you can check what's happening.

**An honourable member:** Do people do that?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** They do. There are people who are sitting at home doing it right now. That's something that's a great initiative, and I am very pleased that that has been undertaken. So, yes, there is. What I frequently get are calls from media or calls from people who say, 'I'm really cross about this development on such and such.' I say, 'Well, that was under the old Development Act.' So we did need significant reforms, and they are now in place as of 19 March, so I have welcomed that.

Where I find it a bit curious is this. Tea Tree Gully council, for example, last night made a decision that they were going to progress a 4,000 population—I think it's something like 1,500 homes—in their council district. There has already been a bit of public outcry about it. They decided that they are going to progress it. They are going to go through a public consultation period, and I applaud that. There are various ways by which people can become involved in both the planning of the region in which they live or work and, in addition to that, at the time of the proposed individual development. I urge the public of South Australia to stay involved in their community and the amenity around it.

**The SPEAKER:** Before members depart the chamber, I note that the crossbenchers only had three questions today. It may be that a member of the crossbench would wish to move to extend question time if an additional two questions might be asked, but that would be a matter for the chamber. So I invite a member of the crossbench to address me on that subject, if they wish. No further questions.

#### *Parliamentary Procedure*

#### **SPEAKER, ELECTION**

**The SPEAKER (15:22):** There is an additional matter. I refer to my answer to the member for Hammond and his question put to me. It is an open question as to whether an electronic communication is effective resignation or whether a letter is required. In any event, an electronic communication was distributed to the relevant party officer on Friday the 8<sup>th</sup>, which indicated an intention to stand as an Independent. So inferences can be drawn either way; it is administratively detailed. I appreciate the member for Hammond is not a barrister, though he has done his best today, and in any event—

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (15:22):** Speaker, given that clarification then, would you answer the question: did you talk to a Labor member or an Independent member before providing that notification?

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, I am not responsible directly to the house for those matters. In any event, I have already ruled and the basis of my ruling is in *Hansard*.

**Mr PEDERICK:** Point of order, Mr Speaker.

*Members interjecting:*

**Mr PEDERICK:** No, it's a point of order.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Hammond, you are trying to make good progress today, I appreciate that, but we are looking to move to grievances. I will hear briefly your point of order.

**Mr PEDERICK:** That is fine, Mr Speaker, but I would like to ask that you withdraw and apologise in your denigrating position that I am not a barrister. I acknowledge I am not a barrister, but I do not need to be reminded about that.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Hammond—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Order in the house! This matter is resolved very easily.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Members be seated. The test for whether a member is offended is a subjective one. I hold the member for Hammond in high esteem and, if he has taken any offence, of course it is very easily within my power to apologise, and I do so willingly to the member for Hammond.

*Grievance Debate*

**STATE LIBERAL PARTY**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (15:24):** This is a perfect entree to the theme of my contribution. I think South Australians woke this morning to the stunning news that any sense of normalcy or stability within their government was now completely lost. It became clear very quickly during the course of the last 48 hours, if not over the course of the weekend, that the cultural dysfunction within the South Australian branch of the Liberal Party had now risen out of the membership and the party apparatus right up through the highest levels of government in our state.

The problem with this dysfunction is that we have seen it all before. This is a cultural ineptitude that deprives the South Australian branch of the Liberal Party of the ability to govern. It prohibits them from being able to do justice to the high office that they now hold, occupying the treasury bench of our state. Of course, all of this is unfolding at the very time that South Australians are looking for stability in their government.

South Australians at this moment, as we transition towards living with COVID, need to have confidence that the people who are making decisions on their behalf are doing so only with their interests at heart and wholly and solely with the entirety of all focus that the cabinet can deliver towards the issues and deliberations at hand.

At some point or another into the future, the government are going to have to transition away from the current arrangements around COVID to not just living with COVID but also having to reassume the responsibility that they were elected to put into effect. That transition is going to be complicated. At the moment, it is becoming increasingly clear that the Premier is totally distracted by the collapse of the government around him.

During the course of the last seven days, we have seen the Premier dramatically elevate the hopes and aspirations of thousands of South Australian families looking forward to being able to spend time with their loved ones during Christmas. I think all of us, with a degree of relief, read the front page of the Adelaide *Advertiser* referring to the Premier's Christmas gift.

But we were all equally alarmed as the news started to unfold during the course of the beginning of this week when the Premier's own Chief Executive of the Department for Health made it clear that the Premier's musings around opening up before Christmas were not necessarily going to be realised in practice the way that was initially described. Then of course we had to have the State Coordinator, the police commissioner, Grant Stevens, attempt to provide another layer of clarity over and above the confusion that already existed.

This is all happening at the same time as the Liberal Party is imploding. The idea that the Premier is wholly and solely focused, rather than the government, beggars belief. We know that it is simply not true. If the Premier is not paying attention to providing stability of government at this time, what is he paying attention to? Of course, we know the answer to that question because we had the Premier himself illustrate quite clearly what was occupying his mind on the weekend: it was a Barossa wine festival, attending dinners at \$390 a head, popping into a dance party called Transcendence.

Surely, on the weekend when your government is collapsing, the Premier would rather afford himself of the time to speak to members of his own party room, to speak to members of the crossbench, speak to anybody he can who might be able to provide a degree of stability that would otherwise have staved off the complete chaos that has been on show in this parliament over the course of the last 36 hours, because this chaos we have not seen in this place for 20 years.

Not once during the course of 16 years of government under the Labor government, which of course included two terms of minority government, did we see anything quite like the display that this government put on show yesterday. This is a party that is culturally incapable of governing. How on earth any South Australian could go to the next election and look with confidence to a plan for the

future from this Premier, who has presided over nothing but chaos in terms of the parliamentary proceedings, how anyone could make that judgement is beyond us.

That then leads us to the question of the alternative, and what we have on this side of house is a united team. We have a fresh team committed to making sure that we deliver for the very people who put trust in us. We will offer an alternate vision. We will offer a plan to make sure that we capitalise—

**The SPEAKER:** The leader's time has expired.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** —on this extraordinary opportunity that exists, and we will do it in a united way acknowledging—

**The SPEAKER:** Leader!

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** —that the leader is only as good as their team, and we must work together.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

Time expired.

### GIBSON ELECTORATE

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Infrastructure and Transport, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (15:29):** I rise to share with parliament some of the events that have recently happened and events I have hosted in my electorate. Of course, we love getting out into our community and doing things for our community, and that is why we are here.

On 7 September, I was standing up there in the gallery and speaking to a group of new citizens in my electorate about what happens here in Parliament House. I invited these wonderful people and their families along to Parliament House to celebrate the fantastic milestone of their Australian citizenship. Some of them have lived in Australia for decades, while others just a few years. Everyone had a different story to tell, and it was a pleasure to listen to everyone's journey about how they came to be in Australia today.

Kids in the group were particularly excited to hear about the red bloodline in the House of Assembly carpet. That was a story that fascinated them greatly. You can imagine their shock when we went into the Legislative Council and they saw the carpet in there. 'A lot of fighting happens there,' was actually a quote from one of the children because of all the red in the upper house.

I thoroughly enjoyed meeting this fantastic group of people, and I want to welcome and congratulate Jane Hawkes, Romina La Cagnina, Kalyani Chakka, Paul Murphy, Alodia Doroja and Ksenija Malesevic. Again, they are wonderful people and it is great to have them as Australian citizens and wonderful to have them in our community.

In September, I also visited the Marion Croquet Club to wish three long-term members a happy birthday: Carolyn Stacey was celebrating her 80<sup>th</sup> birthday, Gemma de Ridder her 90<sup>th</sup> and Phyllis Boots her 100<sup>th</sup>. Sporting clubs like the Marion Croquet Club are so important in our community not just for the physical benefits of exercise but also for the social benefits for one's wellbeing. I would like to thank the president, Glenna Bulley, for helping organise the celebration and the lovely spread we enjoyed for afternoon tea. It was great to see so many people getting out and getting active as part of our Game On program as well.

Last month, I had the privilege of visiting several local schools in my electorate. Each school had its own very exciting news. The year 6 and year 7 students at Warradale Primary School have been studying civics and citizenship, and it was a delight to visit them to share what I do in my role as their state member of parliament. As part of my visit I also facilitated a mock debate. Students chose the question, which was: should we study more or less maths at school?

Both sides made some very persuasive points, and it was great to see these kids looking at issues from a range of angles. A massive thank you to principal Josh Vick and year 7 teacher Bec Maddigan for arranging the visit. Students were highly engaged and they clearly benefit from the fantastic support they receive from Warradale's school staff. Of course, they have a development happening there. I know that the school is incredibly excited about that. We look forward to seeing their new classrooms arrive very soon.

I also visited Brighton Secondary School for the official opening of Tarni Warra, the new middle school building. Tarni Warra means 'sound of waves' in Kurna. The state government invested \$13.8 million into this state-of-the-art building that has been intentionally designed to support teaching and learning for middle school students.

The Minister for Education was also there, and we were delighted to unveil a plaque to celebrate the opening of this Tarni Warra building. A number of people participated in the official opening ceremony, and I would like to acknowledge head prefects Nic Bergoc and Izzy Conner, who did an outstanding job as the MCs for the event. I also acknowledge Robert Taylor for the Kurna welcome. As always, it was outstanding. I acknowledge year 8 students Chante Waters and Asha Lawson, who shared their learning experiences so far in the new Tarni Warra building.

Lastly, I would also like to acknowledge principal Tony Lunnis, who made this all possible. It is a great school in our community that has been fantastic to me and my family, with my kids all going through the school. I thank everyone involved and look forward to the exciting times ahead in that new building.

The third local school was Brighton Primary School, where I was joined again by the Minister for Education and principal, Ian Filer, in unveiling a plaque to commemorate the opening of that school's superb new building. As part of the state government's capital works program, we invested \$5 million into this state-of-the-art building, with the school contributing a further \$154,000 to the project. This building features spacious learning classrooms, including a canteen and teacher preparation areas. It will be home to many positive learning experiences for students for many generations to come.

I would like to include in my speech and take a moment to congratulate Ian Filer on his retirement after more than 40 years of teaching. The contribution he has made during his time in the education department and at Brighton Primary School has been immense. I wish Ian all the very best.

### **MOUNT BOLD DAM**

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (15:35):** In 2016, the community of Old Noarlunga experienced devastating floods. It happened at night-time, there had been a period of very substantial rain over a number of days and the Onkaparinga River broke its banks and entered many people's homes in that community. The member for Mawson and I visited and met with many people who were devastated by those floods. In fact, we were out helping people sandbag through that spring period, I believe it was, when those devastating floods happened.

Since that time, I have worked with many constituents to try to put their lives back together. People have gone through devastating loss. They have gone through the trauma of having to deal with insurance companies, deal with contractors and try to put their lives back together. Floods can be very difficult to recover from because it takes so long to put your house and your life back together as they were before.

I think it is incumbent upon knowing what pain hundreds of people in Old Noarlunga have been through that we, as a parliament and a government, take every step possible to avoid that happening again. That is why I am so disturbed by the actions of this government at the moment in relation to the Mount Bold Dam. This government is considering an upgrade to that dam, which is, of course, welcome.

This is the dam that is upstream on the Onkaparinga River and is obviously what holds back the water from flooding the Old Noarlunga region. However, the government is considering an upgrade to the dam that would not provide a one-in-100-year flood protection but only a one-in-18-year flood protection. That means that the people in Old Noarlunga, if this goes ahead, are faced with the prospect of potential flooding once every 18 years, according to the government's own estimates.

The government have said, 'We will consider going to the higher standard of one in 100 years but, Onkaparinga council, you have to chip in millions of dollars for that to happen.' The Onkaparinga council does not own the dam, they do not own the infrastructure and it is not their responsibility in

terms of this house to manage that infrastructure. This is an SA Water/South Australian government asset under the purview of the Minister for Environment, the member for Black.

The member for Black has gone to this council and said that ratepayers have to pay millions of dollars, otherwise they are going to put in a solution that is going to see Old Noarlunga having to be flooded every few years. It is an absolute disgrace and shows the member for Black's contempt for the people of my community, that they would propose that.

I have received a letter that has now been sent to the Premier from the Onkaparinga council. It is signed not only by Mayor Erin Thompson but also by Deputy Mayor Simon McMahon, who happened to be the Liberal candidate running against me at the last election. The council is united in its view that this is a state government responsibility and that it is an absolute insult what is being put forward by the member for Black, the Minister for Environment, that the council should have to pay. They have said:

This is extremely concerning to Council and the community, especially as residents of Old Noarlunga are still recovering from the impacts of the 2016 flood...The Minister for Environment and Water, Hon David Speirs MP, has requested that Council makes a financial contribution of at least \$2.93 million towards the \$11.7 million shortfall between the proposed and superior upgrade options. This equates to a 2.1 per cent rate revenue increase for our ratepayers.

This is the government that has gone on about how they are reducing rates, but here they are trying to rack the rates up for the citizens of Onkaparinga. They continue:

Our ratepayers already pay state taxes and the majority are SA Water customers, effectively already paying for this upgrade. This request appears to seek a further local community subsidisation of an SA Water asset which ultimately benefits the entire metropolitan area. Council is fundamentally opposed to this request, as the dam is a state government asset for which our insurance scheme advises Council has no liability.

Given this, the Council has resolved to write directly to you—

being the Premier—

to ask that you step in and protect the community and this historically important town from unnecessary risk of flood.

This is now becoming an urgent issue because SA Water have indicated that the current upgrade option will be confirmed in October 2021, which is of course this month.

So this is now an issue for the Premier of this state. Is he going to force his minister, the Minister for Environment, to look after the people of Old Noarlunga and make sure they get an option for this dam that is going to protect them from floods or put in a half-hearted effort that is going to see their homes threatened by flood every couple of decades or so? It is a real test of whether they actually care about the whole state or whether they only care about their own electorates.

### ONE TREE HILL

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (15:40):** I gratefully rise in parliament today to speak about the beautiful area of One Tree Hill and its surrounding areas of Gould Creek, Uleybury, Yattalunga and Bibaringa. I feel grateful for the many friends I have made through the time I have been serving the people in the One Tree Hill area, including Michael and Domenica Ferraro, Donna Grantham, Bev, Peter and Margaret Watson, Bindi Onion, Ian and Faye Slater, Fred Riley, Brian Water, Ian Fordham, Carolyn and Garry Walsh and so many other people I meet on a Friday night at the Blacksmiths Inn for Patrons Night.

The One Tree Hill area is full of rolling hills, kangaroos, grazing sheep and cattle, large trees and a very welcoming community, and the community have been really helpful telling me their areas of concerns and opportunities. For example, after the previous Labor government ignored the need for a backup electricity generator for over a decade, the township made it really clear to me how important this was, and the Marshall Liberal government is delivering a solution by the end of the year.

I am really grateful to the dedicated One Tree Hill Progress Association, which played a key role in this outcome. The progress association regularly discusses and advocates for important matters in the area, such as the backup generator, the need for Blacktop Road to be resurfaced and rate hikes by the City of Playford. They organise the wonderful ANZAC Day services and the fabulous One Tree Hill markets.

The local progress association also produces the monthly *One Tree Hill Grapevine*, which is delivered to One Tree Hill postboxes in the area. The *Grapevine* shares local news and events and promotes local businesses. In this month's issue, locals are being asked to consider joining the One Tree Hill Cricket Club, the vet advice is back, and local councillor Natasha Steen has some wise words about helping our kids. You can also read about the fabulous One Tree Hill Scouts and Cubs' recent camping trip, which my son thoroughly enjoyed, and of course there is the regular report from the One Tree Hill Progress President, Graham Watson.

There are so many local businesses in the community that give back and fundraise for the community too, like Kamcheyenne Limousin, a beef cattle stud. They often set up at the One Tree Hill General Store Diner on market day, cooking up a barbecue to raise money for local groups, such as the One Tree Hill Primary School and the Northern Hills Pony Club. They also bring along their cattle to market and ask people to bring along a carrot as a special treat. You can buy their amazing meat, which is healthy, clean, lean, tender and local yearling beef.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank the One Tree Hill Sports Association, which recently invited many community members along to discuss the exciting plans the clubs, state government and council have been working on to upgrade the facilities at McGilp Recreation Park. Together, we have created plans and progressed plans and costings for an upgrade, which are now enabling us to lobby together for funding support to turn these plans into outcomes.

I would like to thank the president of the Sports Association, Gary, and the tennis club, soccer club, cricket club, CFS and importantly the local community for all contributing their ideas towards these plans. I am looking forward to the One Tree Hill Cricket Club, the Billies, whose motto is 'Fear the goat', commencing its 2021-22 season.

The Billies welcome players of all abilities to join them, and thanks to Ben Schmidtke for your leadership. I am stoked that our two King cricket teams, the Billies and the Brumbies, are having their first King playoff this year and encourage our community to come along and support their fundraising day. Two of King's soccer teams have already had a magnificent playoff and they raised thousands of dollars for the Tea Tree Gully CFS and One Tree Hill CFS.

I would also like to give a big plug for the awesome One Tree Hill Institute which is a beautiful, historic, maintained building, and I thank Pat Jones for your tireless efforts to make this available for weddings, engagement parties and, of course, community meetings. I ask everyone to come along to the markets at One Tree Hill on the first Saturday of the month in this beautiful place.

I would like to mention the One Tree Hill Uniting Church and their lovely Sunday morning service, the One Tree Hill Scouts, the visionary Vince at Da Vince and, finally, Hilltop Fresh Flowers, another local family business growing flowers on site at One Tree Hill and distributing them across the state. It is an amazing part of the King electorate.

### **MAWSON ELECTORATE**

**The Hon. L.W.K. BIGNELL (Mawson) (15:45):** I rise today to talk to the people in my electorate about the election on 19 March, and to compare and contrast two styles of government: one that listens to communities, one that cares about communities, one that acts on behalf of communities; and another one like we have seen for the past four years that completely ignores the wishes of an electorate, one that has no empathy for the people they are meant to represent in here.

We have seen many examples since the Marshall government came into power four years ago when that lack of empathy has been on show. I think the worst case I have seen of it was during the Kangaroo Island bushfires of 2019 and 2020 when the Premier and ministers would not talk to me as the local member representing the people of Kangaroo Island. I was on the island, camped out in a swag at Parndana footy oval. I was in evacuation centres overnight, I was in the command centre, I was talking to farmers. I remember on the morning of 4 January going out to deliver bullets so that farmers could put down their sheep and their cattle that had been so badly damaged during the night.

Not once did the Premier pick up the phone or respond to any of my inquiries, and it was the same with other ministers. In fact, I rang the minister for looking after the community and social welfare, Michelle Lensink, and said, 'What's available to the people who have lost their homes?' Let's

remember that 69 people lost their homes, or 69 homes were lost so it was more than one person in each home. These people had lost their homes.

When I rang and spoke directly to the minister, she said, 'They can do this and this.' I said, 'Look, I'm driving.' It was pretty chaotic so I asked, 'Could you please email those details through to my office?' and she said, 'We're too busy to send that through to your office.' I asked, 'Where am I meant to get it from?' She said, 'Get it from social media.' That is not an empathetic government. That is not an empathetic minister.

We saw the Premier arrive on the island. He spent less than two hours each time just getting out, taking selfies in front of CFS volunteers who he could not be bothered turning around and thanking. Then he rushed back to the airport and ran past 50 CFS and SES volunteers who were waiting to get onto a plane because they had spent two or three days away from their families on Kangaroo Island fighting those fires. We saw him not hanging out with the people who had lost so much—in fact, they had lost everything during those fires—but at a basketball game in Adelaide as the community that he was meant to be the Premier for was broken. I was with people that night and they were outraged.

When there was a proposal to dump PFAS, these poison toxins that they wanted to dump in the McLaren Vale region, I sat here one night with the Premier and I said, 'You could make yourself a hero. You could step in and demand the EPA does not examine this because what would be put at risk is an \$850 million food, wine and tourism sector which sustains thousands of jobs and supports hundreds of small businesses, and big businesses, in the McLaren Vale region.' The Premier told me to my face, 'If I got involved in making decisions, I would never get any work done.' I am sorry, but that is his job. If you are going to put up your hand to lead the state, that is your job.

We have seen absolutely zero action for 3½ years on the duplication of Main South Road from Seaford to Sellicks. It is something that we announced in the 2017-18 budget. Nothing has happened. I have lost track of how many times Peter Malinauskas (the Leader of the Opposition), the shadow transport minister and I were down there calling for this work to get underway, calling for it to happen, as we see Main South Road, from Aldinga to Sellicks, deteriorate by the day. It is full of massive potholes that people have to try to swerve around to avoid wrecking their cars or causing an accident.

Only at the last minute have the government shown any interest at all. But what have they done, the people who gave us the one-way expressway? They said, 'You're just in the south. We don't care about anyone in the south. You can cop two lanes in one direction, one lane in another direction from Sellicks to Aldinga.' I have to say to the people of Mawson: this is not good enough and on March 19, or for those who vote before then, please do not support the Marshall government. Vote for a member of parliament who is always going to stick up for you, who is always going to be with you, who empathises with you, because if you do not know what your voters want you cannot represent them.

Time expired.

### **PREGNANCY AND INFANT LOSS REMEMBRANCE DAY**

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (15:51):** Today, I would like to speak about Pregnancy and Infant Loss Remembrance Day, which is held on 15 October every year. On this day, parents, families and friends remember the children they have lost by miscarriage, stillbirth and newborn death. Miscarriage, stillbirth and newborn death are hidden tragedies impacting many thousands of families across our community. In fact, there are 106,000 cases of pregnancy and infant loss in Australia every year. Specifically, stillbirth impacts 2,000 families every year in Australia, which is six per day and, in fact, is the most common cause of child death in Australia.

As a wider community, we do not like to think about, much less talk about, miscarriage, stillbirth or newborn death. The thought of something as gut-wrenching as the loss of a child is difficult to contemplate, particularly as it hits immediately following all the excitement and anticipation of bringing a new life into the world. However, the grief is very real and it affects many people around us in our community more often than not without our knowledge.

I have not personally had a stillborn baby, but there are people close to me who have. The shock, trauma and grief of their loss is immense. For one family 12 years ago, the excitement and anticipation of having their first child were cruelly taken away on one night by the realisation that their

soon to be born daughter's heart had stopped beating. At a time when new parents should have been sharing the excitement of their new child with family and friends, their family and friends and work colleagues were being informed of their loss and they were instead planning a funeral for a child they had barely had the opportunity to meet. Mum's feelings of guilt and regret continue for years and there is a longing to turn back the clock to do things differently.

Another mum frequently refers to her stillbirth as when she 'stuffed up', as though it was her fault. Moreover, having more children following the death of the first child was an experience of joy and excitement but also a great deal of anxiety, apprehension and relief. However, as a loving tribute to their daughter, her birthday is acknowledged each year with a birthday cake and singing happy birthday and, importantly, this day is shared with her younger siblings. She was and is a member of their family.

I have also heard many stories of the trauma of miscarriage. One is difficult enough, but for some families they happen repeatedly. One couple recently shared with me how lost and alone they felt following the loss of their first son, the first of seven babies lost during pregnancy, which is truly heartbreaking. By acknowledging Pregnancy and Infant Loss Remembrance Day as a community, we can put our arms around those impacted and help raise awareness within our community.

Silence and stigma around pregnancy and infant loss prevent important conversations from occurring. Silence leaves impacted families feeling incredibly isolated, which exacerbates the trauma they experience, and as parents they are also less likely to be prepared to deal with the personal, social and financial consequences. Silence also creates constraints on the sharing of important information that could help save lives.

Increasing research and awareness, such as through campaigns like Red Nose Day, has been shown to be highly effective at reducing the incidence of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, in fact by 85 per cent since 1990. In the case of stillbirth, whilst not every stillbirth is preventable, many are and other countries have had success in reducing the incidence of stillbirth by 20 per cent to 30 per cent with better care.

Community awareness and awareness amongst medical professionals have played an important role in reducing rates of stillbirth through the sharing of evidence-based advice about the risk factors and signs associated with stillbirth. In recent years, the research effort in Australia has increased, particularly through initiatives such as the Stillbirth Centre of Research Excellence, with work that ranges from understanding the biology underlying pregnancy loss to better helping support bereaving families.

I would like to acknowledge the important work of the Adelaide-based organisation Still Aware led by Claire Foord, whose focus is on reducing the incidence of preventable stillbirth through increasing awareness and the sharing of evidence-based resources. I would also like to acknowledge John and Kate De'Laney, founders of Pregnancy and Infant Loss Australia, who reached out to me recently out of a desire to see Pregnancy and Infant Loss Remembrance Day recognised in all jurisdictions across Australia. I thank them for their commitment to this important cause and also for providing us with the pins that a number of us are wearing today.

There is so much more we can do as a community by helping raise awareness. So on 15 October let's support families who have experienced pregnancy and infant loss by acknowledging their loss, helping to bring their grief out from the shadows and doing our bit to reduce the incidence of preventable deaths within our community in the future.

#### **YORKE PENINSULA FIELD DAYS**

**Mr ELLIS (Narungga) (15:56):** I rise today in celebration of the Yorke Peninsula Field Days, which were held just recently at the field day site near Paskeville. As you would be well aware, Mr Speaker, the field days are a biennial event, held every second year, so they did not have to confront the difficult decision last year, with COVID running rampant in our community, of whether or not it was possible to host that event. Unfortunately, this year they were faced with the rather difficult decision of whether they could manage the at times onerous restrictions that would be placed upon them in holding such a big event.

As this house may well be aware, the field days over the three days can often attract in excess of 15,000 people to quite a large outdoor space, so it was necessary for that committee to secure a COVID-safe management plan. They found it quite difficult to negotiate the terms of that COVID-safe management plan. As such, I was pleased to host them here in Parliament House so they could have a face-to-face meeting with the Premier and negotiate the conditions on which they might be able to host the event.

It was pleasing that as a result of that meeting they were able to have 5,000 people per day, in addition to a certain number of exhibitors, which made the event feasible and made it possible for it to go ahead. It was a wonderful effort by the committee to confront those difficult circumstances, come out the other side and put on such a wonderful event.

I have to say that one of the unfortunate side effects of the necessary restrictions that we have been faced with in South Australia has been the impost on volunteers in running their community events and the extra onus it has placed on them in order to manage those restrictions and put in place the proper processes to avoid the community transmission of COVID.

It was wonderful to see this event go ahead, such an important event on our calendar on Yorke Peninsula, despite those restrictions that are in place. Full credit must go to Martin Kenny, the president of that wonderful committee, and Peter Anderson, who is the CEO and also whose birthday it is on this very day. Happy birthday to Peter. I am sure he is resting up after what has been an extremely busy time organising the field days.

I ordinarily would have closed my office for the three days and shifted it out to the field days and invited people to come and visit me there on the site. Unfortunately, with the restriction on the number of people who could visit and the restriction on the number of exhibitors, I decided to only take one staff member with me and leave the office open and running. We were pleased there were a large number of people willing to pop by and share their thoughts on the state of play in South Australia at the moment. I thank them all very much for taking the time to do so.

It was also pleasing to note at this juncture that I had a site adjacent to the federal member for Grey, Rowan Ramsey, who was also in receipt of a large number of visitors keen to weigh in on the current state of the federal government as well. It was a wonderful and worthwhile experience for the two of us to have our sites next to each other and to welcome so many people to have a chat.

I also have to note that we were visited by two members from the other place: the Hon. Nicola Centofanti and the Hon. Heidi Girolamo visited the field days on the Wednesday. By way of evidence of how small a world this is, the Hon. Nicola Centofanti's parents-in-law ran the coffee van immediately around the corner from my stand. Without knowing it, for the past few field days I had been buying coffee from the Hon. Nicola Centofanti's father-in-law—and what wonderful coffee it is as well.

We were down on exhibitors a bit this year. There were not quite as many as there normally would be because of border restrictions and various other things, but it was pleasing to see that there were still a large number of exhibitors and that those exhibitors did have what I believe was a successful time at the field days. From those I talked to, which was as many as I could possibly get around to, they were mostly happy with how they were able to sell their wares and promote their products. So it would seem like a worthwhile endeavour for those exhibitors to get out there to the field days, despite the reduced crowds.

I also know from talking to the large number of visitors that they, too, enjoyed it. There were a number of sceptics within our community who thought that the field days might be too dangerous an event to host, but I have to report that even those sceptics I talked to over the course of the three days were pleased that it went ahead. It was a wonderful opportunity for us to get out and run into some people we had not seen for a while and enjoy some comradeship with them. Full credit goes to the committee, as it is a huge and daunting task put on the head of the committee and those volunteers who help them out. Congratulations to Martin Kenny.

It was wonderful to have a stall there again and for so many local businesses and local community groups to have stalls there to promote their business and their wares. I really enjoyed it. I am looking forward to the event in two years' time. Hopefully, by then we are back at full rat power, there is no capacity on the number of people who can visit and we can get back to that 15,000 to 20,000 people visiting and the full number of exhibitors. For the time being, it was just wonderful to

see such an important community event go ahead again to give us the opportunity to get out and about and meet with people.

### MURIEL MATTERS AWARDS

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (16:01):** I put on record my appreciation to both the former Speaker, the member for Heysen, and the Minister for Education, for the establishment of the Muriel Matters Awards. 2021 is the inaugural year of the Muriel Matters Awards, a program for schools with secondary enrolments developed to acknowledge the trailblazing work and life of Muriel Matters, the South Australian-born suffragist, educator and social reformer.

The awards provide the opportunity for young South Australians to be recognised for their contribution through the use of democratic processes to take some form of action in their school and/or the broader community. The awards are to be conducted annually for the Department for Education, Catholic Education and Independent Schools and managed by the Department for Education.

Schools are able to nominate a student from years 7 to 12 to receive a Muriel Matters award. All students—that is, boys as well as girls—are eligible to be nominated. A student cannot be awarded the Muriel Matters Award, though, more than once. The selection of the awardees is a school-based process, using the following criteria. The nominated awardee:

- is self starting and shows initiative beyond school-arranged activities;
- is involved in democratic processes to promote change and make a difference;
- has taken action of some type; and
- has exhibited in their actions a degree of selflessness and personal challenge.

These qualities and actions are embodied in the following selection criteria statement:

The Muriel Matters Awards recipient is a student exhibiting self-initiative in promoting democratic discussion and activity in the community.

Awardees will receive an engraved Muriel Matters Award medallion and a copy of *Muriel Matters—That Daring Australian Girl* by Dr Collette Snowden. The medallions have been funded by the House of Assembly and the books have been donated by the Muriel Matters Society. Schools are invited to submit their award nominations online and, if required, there is also a paper-based nomination form. Upon receiving the school nominations, the Curriculum Programs Directorate of the Department for Education will arrange the engraving and distribution of the medallions and books to schools during November 2021. Nominations are to be received no later than Friday 5 November.

I would also like to mention a woman called Ada Lovelace and thank the National Council of Women for contacting me about her remarkable story. Born in 1815, Ada was, according to Wikipedia, an English woman who was a mathematician and writer, chiefly known for her work on Charles Babbage's proposed mechanical general purpose computer, known as the Analytical Engine. She was the first to recognise the machine had applications beyond pure calculation and published the first algorithm intended to be carried out by the machine, making her the first computer programmer.

Between 1842 and 1843, Ada was working on an article by Italian military engineer Luigi Menabrea, supplementing it with an elaborate set of notes containing what many consider to be the first computer program—an algorithm designed to be carried out by a machine. Further, she developed a vision of the capability of computers, examining how individuals and society relate to technology as a collaborative tool. She died in 1827. Her Wikipedia entry goes on much further, and there is a lot more to this woman than meets the eye.

But, as is often the case in history, the women who have been involved in the whole process of invention or discovery are hardly ever mentioned. DNA is one of the cases that we remember, but it is also the case of Howard Lord Florey and his refinement of the penicillin process. His wife, Ethel, worked really hard on the same projects and is barely mentioned in the notes. I will be working with the University of Adelaide next year in one of their breakfast sessions to make sure that Ethel is remembered. I look forward to being part of that event.

*Parliamentary Committees***PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE**

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:05):** By leave, I move:

That Mr Duluk be appointed to the Public Works Committee in place of the Hon. D.R. Cregan, (resigned).

Motion carried.

**CRIME AND PUBLIC INTEGRITY POLICY COMMITTEE**

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:05):** By leave, I move:

That Mr Teague be appointed to the Crime and Public Integrity Policy Committee in place of the Hon. D.R. Cregan, (resigned).

Motion carried.

*Bills***ABORIGINAL REPRESENTATIVE BODY BILL***Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (16:06):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to give Aboriginal people a voice that will be heard by the Parliament of South Australia, the cabinet, state authorities and other persons and bodies, to establish the Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement, to establish the Aboriginal representative body, to repeal the Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003, to make a related amendment to the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991, and for other purposes. Read a first time.

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (16:07):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

This is a bill to establish an Aboriginal representative body and a legislative framework for an Aboriginal voice to the South Australian parliament and to government. The initiative in this bill represents a landmark in the social and political development of our state and our people and an important step in the national journey towards reconciliation.

The Aboriginal nations of this continent have a rich and proud history spanning tens of millennia. When the British parliament passed legislation in 1834 and 1836 to establish the province of South Australia, the original intention was that the rights of Aboriginal peoples living in this land would not be adversely affected. Tragically, the opposite happened. For the traditional owners and First Nations peoples, the physical and social and spiritual effects wrought by the coming of Europeans were and have continued over successive generations to be devastating.

In more recent decades, there has been a gradual recognition of these effects and the need to address them at the state level alongside national measures. From the 1960s, a significant area of focus in South Australia was land rights. Areas of land were vested in Aboriginal South Australians by the Aboriginal Lands Trust Act 1966, now the 2013 act, and the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 and the Maralinga Tjarutja Land Rights Act 1984.

In 1988, the need to protect and preserve Aboriginal culture was recognised with the enactment of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988. In 2013, the Constitution Act 1934 was amended to include a formal statement recognising Aboriginal peoples as the first peoples and nations of South Australia. In addition to these legislative actions, in 1997 the South Australian parliament was the first in the nation to make an apology to the stolen generations, but further measures are needed. Our First Nations require and deserve a seat at the table in our representative system of government.

The national discussion about the needs for a First Nations' voice speaking to government and parliament has been gaining momentum, especially since the Uluru Statement from the Heart in 2017. There is a clear call for government at all levels to better engage with Australia's First Nations peoples and to find ways to formally include their voice at the highest levels of decision-making.

In the National Agreement on Closing the Gap 2020, our state, along with all other Australian governments, committed to building and strengthening structures that empower Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to accelerate progress in Closing the Gap. While the national conversation is still underway, this bill seeks to answer the call to include the voice of Aboriginal South Australians at the highest level of our state system of government. It will also provide an opportunity to link with any scheme that may be created at the commonwealth level for a voice to the national parliament.

The bill provides for a formal, ongoing, regular dialogue between a body representing all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in this state and both the South Australian parliament and the executive government. It may be thought that existing mechanisms are sufficient. The South Australian Aboriginal Advisory Council, the Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement and the South Australian Aboriginal Community Controlled Organisation Network all work well to represent the Aboriginal community to government.

While their work is important and highly valued, these bodies are administrative creations. Their existence and the influence of their advice depend on the discretion of the government of the day, and their independence from government may be perceived to be limited. This bill will establish an Aboriginal body whose existence, functions and independence will be enshrined in law.

The model for this body was developed through broad consultation conducted by the Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement, Dr Roger Thomas. As Dr Thomas reported in his historic address and report to this parliament last December, he consulted with Aboriginal communities across the state in 2018 and 2019. Further consultation has been undertaken with Aboriginal bodies and individuals this year. Overall, the feedback has been positive and supportive of a model for legislative representation.

The bill establishes an Aboriginal representative body (ARB) as an independent, statutory body. Its role will be to seek the views of all Aboriginal South Australians on matters of concern and interest to them and to present those views and provide advice about them to parliament and government through formal meetings and reporting channels. The ARB will not affect the operation of other state and commonwealth laws relating to specific subjects, such as native title, South Australian and Aboriginal lands and Aboriginal heritage.

The ARB's focus will be on all other matters of statewide, regional and local significance to the economic, social and spiritual wellbeing of Aboriginal South Australians. The bill also establishes the Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement as a statutory office with the same high-level policy, advocacy and advisory functions currently vested in that position. Both the ARB and the commissioner will be independent of direction or control by the Crown or any minister or office of the Crown.

The composition of the ARB is designed to be broadly representative of Aboriginal South Australians and inclusive of their diversity. It will comprise the Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement as the ex officio presiding member, five members elected from five geographic wards across the state, five members appointed on the recommendation of a panel convened by the ARB and two members appointed on the nomination of the governing bodies of Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara and Maralinga Tjarutja.

All ARB positions will be for terms of up to three years. The bill contains transitional provisions that will allow the ARB established upon commencement of the act to comprise up to 13 members, who will be appointed by the Governor on the recommendation of the commissioner. This transitional ARB will prepare an Aboriginal electoral roll for use by the Electoral Commissioner, who will be the returning officer for ARB elections.

The first election must occur on or before 22 December 2022, or otherwise on a date prescribed by the regulations. Excluding Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara and Maralinga Tjarutja lands, the state has been divided into five wards designed to broadly reflect communities of common interest and relative populations but with boundaries that may be reviewed and altered by regulation if required.

An individual may vote in an ARB election if he or she is an Aboriginal person aged 18 years or more and has resided in one of the five wards for at least three months immediately prior to the closing date for the relevant election.

Eligibility to stand as a candidate for election is based on the same criteria as eligibility to vote plus a prescribed criminal history check. While the election provides for direct representation of Aboriginal South Australians on a regional basis, the ARB will also include five appointed positions to ensure that the diversity of the Aboriginal community is always represented as fully as possible.

Accordingly, the bill requires that the ARB membership must include at least one elder and one person under the age of 25, and that appointments must be made having regard to the desirability of achieving gender balance.

The bill establishes formal legal requirements for the exchange of information and communication of advice between the ARB and both the parliament and the government. The aim is to ensure that policy developments and initiatives that may affect Aboriginal South Australians have regard for their concerns and perspectives.

The ARB's voice to parliament will be established through a new parliamentary standing committee. The Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003 will be repealed and replaced by the new Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Standing Committee established by an amendment to the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991. The new standing committee will retain the functions of the previous committee but will also have the new function of inquiring into matters referred to it by the ARB.

The standing committee will meet with the ARB at least four times a year. The ARB may, by notice in the *Gazette*, refer to the standing committee for inquiry any matter that is relevant to the ARB's functions. It may also provide to the standing committee for presentation to parliament reports on any matters relevant to its functions. While the standing committee itself must report to parliament annually on the operation of the ARB legislation, this mechanism does not create a third chamber in the parliament.

Instead, it creates a legislated channel for communication between the ARB and the parliament through the medium of a new parliamentary standing committee whose function will require it to liaise regularly with the ARB. The bill provides for ARB engagement with executive government by requiring the establishment, in consultation with the ARB, of a committee of ministers, which must include the Premier, the Attorney-General and the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs.

The ARB will meet with the ministerial committee at least four times a year and with cabinet twice a year. The ARB may provide reports to the ministerial committee for submissions to cabinet on any matters relating to its functions. This engagement structure is completed with a chief executives committee representing each administrative unit of the Public Service. This committee must also meet with the ARB at least four times a year.

The ARB may provide reports to state agencies through the relevant chief executives and may, by written notice, require a state agency to prepare and provide a report on any matter that would assist the ARB in performing its functions.

It is nearly a decade since South Australia acknowledged in our constitution the unique and fundamental place of our First Nations peoples in our state, the past injustices that they have suffered and the importance of their ongoing contribution to the life of our state. It is time to build on what constitutional recognition means to create a real place for Aboriginal South Australians within our state system of representative government. It is time to make room for a First Nations voice in the business of government.

Representative democracy is fundamental to our way of life, and we value the principle of equal opportunity to participate in our system of governance. This will not diminish our system of representative government in any way for any of our citizens. The ARB will not be part of parliament nor of executive government.

With this bill we create formal, legal communication channels through which a representative body of Aboriginal South Australians can speak to the heart of our system of government with reciprocal obligations to listen. In doing so, we will strengthen and enrich the life of our state and the

way in which we are governed. In commending this bill to the house, I seek leave to have the detailed explanation of clauses inserted in *Hansard* without my reading it.

Leave granted.

#### EXPLANATION OF CLAUSES

##### Part 1—Preliminary

###### 1—Short title

This clause is formal.

###### 2—Commencement

This clause is formal.

###### 3—Interpretation

This clause defines terms used in the measure.

###### 4—Aboriginal persons

This clause establishes that a person will be taken to be an Aboriginal person, or to be Aboriginal, if they are biologically descended from the persons who inhabited Australia before European settlement.

A reference to an Aboriginal person includes a reference to a Torres Strait Islander.

###### 5—Aboriginal elders

This clause establishes that a reference to an Aboriginal elder is a reference to a person of Aboriginal descent who is at least 60 years of age, or who is recognised by a particular community as an Aboriginal elder in accordance with the traditions of that community.

###### 6—Interaction with other Acts and laws

This clause sets out the interaction of the measure with the *Public Finance and Audit Act 1987* and the *Equal Opportunity Act 1984*.

##### Part 2—Purposes and principles of Act

###### 7—Purposes of Act

This clause sets out the purposes of the measure. The primary purpose of the measure is to provide Aboriginal persons with a voice to the South Australian Parliament, Cabinet, State authorities and other persons and bodies.

###### 8—Principles to be observed in operation of Act

This clause establishes various principles that are, subject to the measure, applicable in relation to the operation and administration of the measure.

###### 9—Aboriginal Representative Body to represent views of all Aboriginal persons in South Australia

This clause establishes that the Aboriginal Representative Body created by the measure is, as far as is reasonably practicable, to represent the views of all Aboriginal persons in South Australia.

###### 10—Aboriginal Representative Body and committees to work together to ensure Aboriginal voice is heard

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body to work cooperatively with the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee, as well as the Ministerial Committee and Chief Executives committee established by the measure, to ensure the views of Aboriginal persons are heard and considered by the Parliament and government of South Australia and other relevant persons and bodies.

##### Part 3—Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement

###### 11—Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement

This clause requires that there be a Commissioner for Aboriginal Engagement.

###### 12—Appointment of Commissioner

This clause sets out how the Commissioner is to be appointed and removed from office and provides that the Commissioner must be an Aboriginal person.

###### 13—Functions of Commissioner

This clause sets out the functions of the Commissioner.

###### 14—Appointment of acting Commissioner

This clause enables the Governor to appoint an acting Commissioner and provides that the acting Commissioner must be an Aboriginal person.

#### 15—Interaction with Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability) Act

This clause establishes the interaction of the Commissioner, or any person acting as the Commissioner, with the *Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability) Act 1995*.

#### 16—Delegation

This clause allows the Commissioner to delegate certain functions and powers under the measure.

#### 17—Staff and resources

This clause requires the Minister to provide the Commissioner with the staff and resources they reasonably need to carry out their functions under the measure.

#### 18—Annual report

This clause requires the Commissioner to report to the Minister each year, and requires the Minister to lay the annual report of the Commissioner before Parliament.

### Part 4—Aboriginal Representative Body

#### Division 1—Aboriginal Representative Body

#### 19—Establishment of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause establishes the Aboriginal Representative Body.

#### 20—Independence of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause establishes that the Aboriginal Representative Body is independent of direction and control by the Crown, or a Minister or officer of the Crown.

#### 21—Composition of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause outlines the composition of the Aboriginal Representative Body, including identifying the numbers of both elected and appointed members, and establishes certain requirements that must be met such as a requirement that at least 1 member be an Aboriginal elder, and 1 a young person.

#### 22—Presiding member and deputy presiding member

This clause establishes how the presiding and deputy presiding members of the Aboriginal Representative Body are to be determined.

#### 23—Remuneration, allowances and expenses

This clause establishes that a member of the Aboriginal Representative Body is entitled to such remuneration, allowances and expenses as may be determined by the Minister.

#### 24—Term of office

This clause establishes the length of terms of office for members of the Aboriginal Representative Body, and whether they are eligible to be re-elected or reappointed.

It also establishes a term limit of 7 years.

#### 25—Vacancies

This clause outlines how the office of a member of the Aboriginal Representative Body may become vacant, and the process for filling a vacancy.

#### 26—Interaction with Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability) Act

This clause outlines the interaction of the Aboriginal Representative Body with the *Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability) Act 1995*.

#### 27—Functions and powers of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause sets out the functions and powers of the Aboriginal Representative Body. The Aboriginal Representative Body must, in carrying out its functions, endeavour to represent the views of all Aboriginal persons in the State.

#### 28—Procedures of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause establishes the procedures of the Aboriginal Representative Body.

#### 29—Committees

This clause empowers the Aboriginal Representative Body to establish committees.

### 30—Delegation

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body to delegate a function or power under the measure to either a member of the Aboriginal Representative Body or a committee of the Aboriginal Representative Body.

### 31—Resources

This clause requires the Minister to provide the Aboriginal Representative Body with the resources it reasonably needs to carry out its functions under the measure.

### 32—Use of staff etc of Public Service

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body, by agreement with the Minister responsible for an administrative unit of the Public Service, to make use of the staff, equipment or facilities of that administrative unit.

### 33—Accounts and audit

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body to maintain proper accounting records in respect of its financial affairs, and must have annual statements of account prepared in respect of each financial year.

The Auditor-General may at any time, and must once a year, audit the Aboriginal Representative Body's accounts.

## Division 2—Elections of members of Aboriginal Representative Body

### 34—First elections of members of Aboriginal Representative Body to be held by prescribed date

This clause requires the first election of members of the Aboriginal Representative Body to be held by a certain date.

### 35—Conduct of elections of members of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause establishes how the elections of members of the Aboriginal Representative Body are to be conducted.

## Division 3—Secretariat

### 36—Secretariat

This clause establishes the secretariat for the Aboriginal Representative Body, which will consist of whichever Public Sector employees are assigned or appointed to the secretariat.

## Division 4—Annual report and other reporting

### 37—Annual report

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body, on or before 31 October each year, to prepare a report on the operations of the Aboriginal Representative Body during the preceding financial year, and to present it to the President of the Legislative Council, the Speaker of the House of Assembly and the Minister. The President of the Legislative Council and the Speaker of the House of Assembly must, on the first sitting day after receiving an annual report, lay it before their respective Houses.

### 38—Special reporting obligations

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body to prepare reports on any matter relevant to its functions at the written request of the Minister, and in such a form and within such a period as is requested by the Minister.

## Part 5—Interaction with Parliament of South Australia

### 39—Purpose and application of Part

This clause outlines the purpose of this Part.

### 40—Aboriginal Representative Body and Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee to meet regularly

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body and the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee to meet at least 4 times per calendar year, unless the presiding members agree that it is not reasonably practicable for a meeting to go ahead and to reschedule the meeting within the relevant year.

The procedures at a meeting will be as determined by the Aboriginal Representative Body and the presiding member of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee.

### 41—Aboriginal Representative Body may refer matter to Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body, by notice in the Gazette, to refer a matter that is relevant to the functions of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee to that Committee.

42—Aboriginal Representative Body may present report to Parliament through Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body to prepare and provide to the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee, reports for presentation to Parliament on any matter relating to the functions of the Aboriginal Representative Body under the measure or any other Act. The presiding member of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee must, within 6 sitting days after receiving such a report, cause it to be laid before both Houses of Parliament.

43—Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee to report annually to Parliament

This clause requires the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee, on or before 31 December each year, to report on the operation of the measure to its appointing Houses during the preceding 12 months.

Part 6—Interaction with South Australian Government

Division 1—Preliminary

44—Purpose and application of Part

This clause outlines the purpose of this Part.

Division 2—Meeting with Cabinet and Ministerial Committee etc

45—Premier to establish Ministerial Committee

This clause requires the Premier to establish a committee of Ministers for the purposes of the measure. It requires the Premier to consult with the Aboriginal Representative Body with a view to determining which Ministers should constitute the committee, and requires that it include the Premier, the Attorney-General, and the Minister.

46—Both Cabinet and Ministerial Committee to meet regularly with Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause outlines the number of meetings that must take place each year between Cabinet and the Aboriginal Representative Body as well as the Ministerial Committee and the Aboriginal Representative Body. It establishes when it is acceptable to meet fewer times than otherwise would be required, and establishes how procedures for the meetings are to be determined.

47—Aboriginal Representative Body may submit report to Cabinet through Ministerial committee

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body to prepare and provide to the Ministerial Committee, reports for submission to Cabinet on any matter relating to the functions of the Aboriginal Representative Body under the measure or any other Act. The presiding member of the Ministerial Committee must, within 3 months after receiving such a report, cause it to be laid before Cabinet.

48—Protection of communications etc with Ministerial committee

This clause establishes that, for the purposes of the *Freedom of Information Act 1991* or any other Act or law, any information and documents prepared for, or provided to, the Ministerial Committee by the Aboriginal Representative Body will be taken to have been specifically prepared for submission to Cabinet.

Division 3—Meeting with State authorities

49—Premier to establish Chief Executives committee

This clause requires the Minister to create a Chief Executives Committee for the purposes of the measure, which comprises of the Chief Executive of each administrative unit of the Public Service.

50—Aboriginal Representative Body and Chief Executives Committee to meet regularly

This clause outlines the number of meetings that must take place each year between the Chief Executives Committee and the Aboriginal Representative Body. It establishes when it is acceptable to meet fewer times than otherwise would be required, and establishes how procedures for the meetings are to be determined.

51—Aboriginal Representative Body may present report to State authority through Chief Executives committee

This clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body to prepare and provide to the Chief Executives Committee, reports for presentation to the relevant State authority or State authorities on any matter relating to the functions of the Aboriginal Representative Body under the measure or any other Act. The presiding member of the Chief Executives Committee must, as soon as is reasonably practicable after receiving such a report, cause it to be laid before the relevant State authority or State authorities.

Part 7—Information gathering

52—Aboriginal Representative Body may require State authority to provide report

This clause empowers the Aboriginal Representative Body to require a State authority to provide a report if the Aboriginal Representative Body believes it is necessary, or would assist it in performing its functions under the measure, and establishes the consequences of non-compliance.

Part 8—Miscellaneous

### 53—Obstruction etc

This clause requires that a person must not, without reasonable excuse, obstruct, hinder, resist or improperly influence, or attempt to obstruct, hinder, resist or improperly influence, the Aboriginal Representative Body, a member of the Aboriginal Representative Body, a member of the secretariat or any other person in the performance of their duties or functions under the measure. The proposed maximum penalty is \$10,000.

### 54—False or misleading statements

This clause requires a person to not make a statement that is false or misleading in a material particular in information provided under the measure. The proposed maximum penalty is \$10,000.

### 55—Confidentiality

This clause requires persons who obtained information in the course of the administration of the measure not to divulge any such information except in certain circumstances. The proposed maximum penalty is \$10,000.

Any information disclosed under this clause must not be used for any other purpose by the person to whom it is disclosed, or by any other person who gains access to the information as a result of the disclosure. The proposed maximum penalty is \$10 000.

### 56—Victimisation

This clause is a standard victimisation clause protecting people who provide information under the measure.

### 57—Protections, privileges and immunities

This clause confers protections from liability on the Aboriginal Representative Body, members of the Aboriginal Representative Body or any other person for any act or omission in good faith in the exercise of functions or powers under the measure and establishes that a person who provides information or a document to the Aboriginal Representative Body under the measure has the same protections as a witness in the Supreme Court.

A person who acts in accordance with the measure, or as they are required or authorised to under the measure, cannot by so doing be held to have breached any code of professional etiquette or ethics, or to have departed from any acceptable form of professional conduct.

### 58—Service

This clause outlines how documents may be served on a person for the purposes of the measure.

### 59—Review of Act

This clause requires the Minister to cause a review of the operation of the measure to be undertaken, and a report on the review to be prepared and submitted to the Minister. It outlines the requirements of the review.

The Minister must cause a copy of the report to be laid before both Houses of Parliament within 12 sitting days after receiving the report.

### 60—Regulations and fee notices

This clause provides power to make regulations and to prescribe fees by fee notice.

## Schedule 1—Wards

### 1—Constitution of wards for elections

This clause establishes the boundaries of the wards that will be used in the elections for the Aboriginal Representative Body under the measure.

## Schedule 2—Rules of election Part 1—Returning officer

### 1—Returning officer

This clause establishes that the Electoral Commissioner will be the returning officer for elections under the measure, and that they may appoint 1 or more electoral officials to assist them in conducting the election.

### 2—Distribution of information

This clause establishes that the returning officer is responsible for publicity of an election in each ward and outlines what that requires.

## Part 2—Voters roll

### 3—Voters roll

This clause requires the Aboriginal Representative Body to establish, prepare and maintain a voters roll for the purposes of each election under the measure. It outlines the requirements of the voters roll, who is eligible to be enrolled and what information the roll must contain in relation to each enrolled person.

The clause allows the Aboriginal Representative Body to appoint such number of electoral registrars as it thinks appropriate. These registrars must assist in the preparation of the voters roll according to any requirements of the Aboriginal Representative Body.

Part 3—Eligibility and nomination for election to Aboriginal Representative Body

4—Nominations for office of member of Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause establishes the process for a person to nominate for an office of member of the Aboriginal Representative Body, as well as who is eligible to nominate.

5—Eligibility to vote in elections

This clause establishes who is eligible to vote in elections in relation to a ward.

Part 4—General rules relating to an election

6—Election timetable

This clause establishes that the returning officer will determine the period and times when voting will occur. The period for voting must be the same in each ward and must be between 1 and 7 days (inclusive).

7—Uncontested elections

This clause establishes that where only 1 nomination for a given office is received, the returning officer will, subject to the Schedule, declare the candidate duly elected.

8—Voting

This clause establishes the process to be followed if there are 2 or more nominations for a given office.

9—Postal voting may be used

This clause establishes that postal voting may be used in an election under the measure in accordance with the rules and procedures established by the returning officer in consultation with the Aboriginal Representative Body.

10—Counting of votes

This clause establishes how the counting of votes is to be performed.

Part 5—Declaration of results

11—Provisional declarations

This clause establishes that when the result of the election has become apparent, the returning officer must make a provisional declaration of the result.

12—Recounts

This clause outlines in what circumstances a recount of the votes may be requested and what procedure is to be followed in performing a recount.

13—Declaration of results and certificate

This clause establishes the procedure that is to be followed if either a recount has been made, or the period in which a recount can be requested has expired.

Part 6—Supplementary elections on failure of election

14—Supplementary elections on failure of election etc

This clause sets out that a supplementary election may be required to be held, after consultation with the Aboriginal Representative Body and the returning officer, if an election fails for certain reasons.

Part 7—Disputed Returns

15—Constitution of Court

This clause requires that there be a Court of Disputed Returns for the purposes of the measure that is constituted of a District Court Judge.

16—Clerk of Court

This clause requires that there be a clerk of the Court appointed by the Chief Judge of the District Court.

17—Jurisdiction of Court

This clause sets out the jurisdiction of the Court.

18—Procedure upon petition

This clause sets out the requirements for a petition to the Court.

## 19—Powers of Court

This clause sets out the powers of the Court.

## 20—Effect of decision

This clause outlines the effect of the decision of the Court.

## 21—Right of appearance

This clause provides that a party to proceedings before the Court may appear personally or be represented by counsel.

## 22—Case stated

This clause allows the Court to state a question of law for the opinion of the Court of Appeal.

## 23—Costs

This clause allows the Court to make orders for costs, sets out circumstances in which any costs must be awarded against the Crown and provides that an order for costs may be enforced as an order of the District Court.

## 24—Rules of Court

This clause sets out the rules that the Chief Judge of the District Court may make in respect of the Court.

## Schedule 3—Related amendments, repeals and transitional provisions

## Part 1—Preliminary

## 1—Amendment provisions

This clause is formal.

Part 2—Amendment of *Parliamentary Committees Act 1991*

## 2—Amendment of section 3—Interpretation

This clause inserts a definition of Aboriginal Representative Body into the principal Act.

## 3—Insertion of Part 5F

This clause inserts new Part 5F into the principal Act.

## Part 5F—Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee

## Division 1—Establishment and membership of Committee

## 15P—Establishment of Committee

This clause establishes the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee as a committee.

## 15Q—Membership of Committee

This clause outlines the membership of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee.

## Division 2—Functions of Committee

## 15R—Functions of Committee

This clause sets out the functions of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee.

## 15S—Committee to meet regularly with Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause requires the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee to comply with the meeting requirements outlined in the measure.

Part 3—Repeal of *Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003*4—Repeal of *Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003*

This clause repeals the *Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003*.

## Part 4—Transitional provisions

## 5—Composition of first Aboriginal Representative Body

This clause outlines the composition of the first Aboriginal Representative Body following the commencement of the measure.

## 6—Incomplete reviews etc of Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee to continue

This clause establishes that any reviews, inquiries or other matters being undertaken by, or that had been referred to, the Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee but had not been completed or reported on before the commencement of this clause will be taken to continue as a review, inquiry or other matter (as appropriate) of the Aboriginal Affairs and Representation Committee.

Debate adjourned on motion of Hon. A. Koutsantonis.

*Auditor-General's Report*

**AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORT**

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:20):** I move:

That the report of the Auditor-General for the year ended 30 June 2021, as tabled in this house on 12 October, and the Agency Statements for the year ending 2020-21, as published on the Auditor-General's website, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House and for ministers to be examined on matters contained in the report and statements in accordance with the timetable as distributed.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (16:21):** I move an amendment standing in my name to alter the times for allocation as follows:

That the time allocated to the Auditor-General examination be changed from 30 minutes to 60 minutes for the following ministers: the Deputy Premier, Attorney-General and Minister for Planning, and the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport and the Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing.

The government has allocated 30 minutes for the Premier on Tuesday 26 October. My motion moves that the two ministers have their times increased from 30 minutes to 60 minutes. They are the Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government, which is the same minister but with three portfolios; and on Wednesday 27 October, the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport and Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing. This would leave us 30 minutes for each portfolio. Of course, we would run out of time on Tuesday so we will have to split up the Attorney-General and Minister for Planning and Local Government within an hour. That is my amendment and I hope the government can accept it.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I ask the house's indulgence. Two of the three ministers have indicated that they are comfortable—

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** There are only two ministers: the Attorney-General and the Minister for Planning and Local Government are the same person—not the Premier—and the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure and Minister for Recreation and Sport.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Then, yes, the government supports the amendment.

Amendment carried; motion as amended carried.

*Bills*

**MUTUAL RECOGNITION (SOUTH AUSTRALIA) (FURTHER ADOPTION) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:23):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I seek leave to have the second reading explanation and the explanation of clauses inserted in *Hansard* without my reading them.

Leave granted.

Mr Speaker, the Mutual Recognition (South Australia) (Further Adoption) Amendment Bill 2021 introduces the legislative amendments required to support a uniform national scheme for the automatic mutual recognition of occupational licences consistent with the Government's obligations under the Intergovernmental Agreement on Automatic Mutual Recognition of Occupational Registration.

On 24 June 2021 the Commonwealth Parliament passed amendments to the Mutual Recognition Amendment Act 1992 (Cth) introducing a uniform scheme of automatic mutual recognition to enable an individual registered for an occupation in their home State to be taken to be registered to carry on, in a second State, the activities covered by their home State registration.

Automatic Mutual Recognition will increase the strength and resilience of the Australian economy by reducing the time and cost for Australians to take up jobs wherever they arise. A more mobile labour force will respond to new opportunities with more skilled workers crossing jurisdictional borders to work and more businesses bidding for work in other states. Improved access to skilled workers will drive productivity and competition, lowering prices and improving service quality for consumers and businesses. Communities will also be better placed to respond to national emergencies and disasters with skilled workers better able to relocate quickly.

The Australian Government, in collaboration with State and Territory Governments, developed the uniform automatic mutual recognition scheme through extensive consultation and engagement with industry, trade unions, and regulators through a formal public consultation process.

Mutual Recognition under the existing national scheme already operates in South Australia under the *Mutual Recognition (South Australia) Act 1993*.

Mutual Recognition under the existing national scheme provides an entitlement for a registered worker to be registered for an equivalent occupation in another jurisdiction on the basis of their existing registration, without further assessment of their qualifications. To gain mutual recognition, a worker must notify the Local Registration Authority (LRA) in the State in which they are seeking registration for the equivalent occupation, apply and pay for an additional State licence.

Since its introduction in 1992, the existing arrangements for mutual recognition of occupational licences have helped to reduce barriers to occupational mobility across jurisdictions across a broad range of occupations. In its most recent report on Mutual Recognition in 2015, the Productivity Commission found that the current mutual recognition arrangements generally work well but there would be cost savings from automating these processes. The Commission recommended governments expand the use of automatic mutual recognition to improve the efficiency of mutual recognition arrangements.

Mr Speaker, Safeguards are embedded in the new national scheme to ensure the community, the environment, animals and workers are protected.

Workers coming to South Australia will be required to comply with the laws of South Australia—including public protection requirements regarding insurance and the like and satisfying a working with vulnerable people character test. Any conditions a person has on their home State registration will also apply, unless waived by the local registration authority.

Workers will be subject to any applicable disciplinary actions in South Australia and, for some registrations, may need to notify the regulator they intend to work in South Australia.

Those subject to disciplinary actions or who have conditions on their licence as a result of disciplinary, civil or criminal action will be excluded from AMR. Information on cancelled or suspended registrations and disciplinary actions for people in the new scheme will be available to regulators and recorded on registers.

The uniform scheme enables a State Minister to exempt a registration in their state from being subject to automatic mutual recognition for a renewable period of up to five years because of a significant risk to consumer protection, the environment, animal welfare, or worker or public health and safety.

A State Minister may exempt a registration in their state up to 30 June 2022 where time is needed to ensure implementation requirements are in place.

Mr Speaker, this bill creates the capacity for regulators to seek the necessary place of residence and place of work information to ensure the effective operation of the national scheme.

Under the uniform national scheme interstate licence holders coming to South Australia will no longer be required to apply for and pay for a South Australian licence, and South Australian licence holders seeking to work interstate will not be required to apply for and pay for interstate licences.

Mr Speaker this Bill provides for the adoption of a uniform scheme of automatic mutual recognition that will enable an individual registered for an occupation in their home State to be taken to be registered to carry on, in a second State, the activities covered by their home State registration.

Automatic Mutual Recognition will increase the strength and resilience of the Australian economy by reducing the time and cost for Australians to take up jobs wherever they arise. Automatic Mutual Recognition will provide advantages to South Australians with a range of occupation licences working interstate, or who wish to work interstate by removing costs and red tape and it makes it easier and cheaper for licenced individuals from interstate to work in South Australia.

I commend this Bill to the House.

#### EXPLANATION OF CLAUSES

Part 1—Preliminary

1—Short title

2—Commencement

## 3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal

## Part 2—Amendment of Mutual Recognition (South Australia) Act 1993

## 4—Amendment of section 4—Adoption of Commonwealth Act

This clause provides for adoption of the provisions of the Commonwealth Act, as inserted, substituted or amended by the *Mutual Recognition Amendment Act 2021* of the Commonwealth.

## 5—Insertion of sections 4A and 4B

This clause inserts new sections as follows:

## 4A—Termination of adoption

This provides for termination of the adoption under section 4 by proclamation.

## 4B—Power to require evidence for purposes of Mutual Recognition laws

This clause is consequential and allows local registration authorities to get information from people registered in this jurisdiction (i.e. people whose home jurisdiction is SA) for the purposes of the measure.

Debate adjourned on motion of Dr Close.

**MOTOR VEHICLES (ELECTRIC VEHICLE LEVY) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 12 October 2021.)

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** The debate on the Motor Vehicles (Electric Vehicle Levy) Amendment Bill is underway. It is open to any member to contribute to the bill now. Having said that, if the minister speaks now, he will close the debate. I invite members to speak. If not, I will call the minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:25):** Yes, I do close the debate. I would like to make a few comments on some of the things that have been mentioned by members opposite over the last few weeks because this debate has been in here over two successive weeks of parliament with two weeks without parliament in between, so I take members' minds back a bit.

Let me just start by saying that we are not trying to do anything unusual at all with this bill other than apply it to a new type of vehicle. That new type of vehicle, being an electric vehicle by definition, cannot contribute a fuel excise through the purchase of petrol or diesel in the way that combustion and compression engine vehicles can, so we are finding a different way to apply essentially the same type of charge.

That is not the only way that motorists contribute towards taxes essentially, but it is a way that motorists contribute towards taxes that is directly proportionate to the amount of road use that they undertake, so it does make good sense to us to do it this way. There is nothing that is not completely transparent with regard to the way we are going about this. We are trying to replace a tax for a particular and very clear set of purposes with essentially as close as we can make it the same sort of tax for the same sort of purposes, but it does require a different mechanism.

What we are doing, because we are of course very supportive of the transition from diesel and petrol motors to electric vehicles, particularly in the passenger car market, is we are trying to essentially give an incentive to motorists and potential purchasers of electric vehicles to purchase as soon as possible, as soon as they are able to. Quite contrary to the comments from the deputy leader, which were along the lines that we should read the room and we should stop slowing down the decarbonisation, we are actually speeding up the decarbonisation. We are giving people an incentive to take up these vehicles.

In fact, we have a very direct and useful example of this with regard to our home battery subsidy scheme. Home batteries are still quite expensive and do not quite stack up commercially in terms of the purchase and the payback period and the reduction on your electricity bills in the time that people would like it to happen. They do not quite get there yet, so we have offered a subsidy.

Members know that we have offered that subsidy to help with that purchase so that it is commercially attractive.

One of the things that we have done as part of that is we have made it very clear that the subsidy would be more generous at the start of the program and the subsidy would decrease over time. The reason we did that very specifically is that we wanted to encourage that purchase and we also knew that, once the volume of purchases grew, the retail cost of the item would decrease, and so over time the cost to the consumer would decrease, even with a reducing subsidy.

In the last three years, we have dropped the top rate of subsidy for the home battery scheme from \$6,000 to \$2,000—a \$4,000 decrease in the taxpayer-funded subsidy towards those batteries. In that same time period, the cost of the batteries themselves, on average, through the scheme has dropped by \$4,700. Those households purchasing a home battery today with a maximum \$2,000 subsidy are \$700 better off from the net purchase price than those households that purchase the battery up-front with a maximum \$6,000 purchase.

Apply that to electric vehicles. We are offering, as members know, a \$3,000 subsidy toward the purchase price of a vehicle for the first 6,000 new electric vehicles that are purchased. That is being done very deliberately, in the same vein that I have just outlined for the home batteries. It is nothing to do with slowing down the decarbonisation. It is nothing to do with trying to put things off. It is actually about trying to encourage people, to support people who are able to buy these vehicles and, by doing so, bring down the cost of these vehicles so that in time—and hopefully not too many years—a subsidy will not be required.

We know that the cost of electric vehicles is coming down. We are told that the cost of an electric vehicle will be comparable to a petrol passenger vehicle in around about 2025. We are doing everything that we can to help people get to that point in time. Importantly, the manufacturers of these vehicles—which are currently more expensive than the average car purchased—their argument, understandably, is, 'Well, we're already price competitive if you compare our make of vehicle with a BMW or a Mercedes or another expensive and high-quality vehicle'. They say they are already cost competitive. That is true, of course, but we are not making that comparison.

We are comparing the average family with the average income and the average set of household costs and a mortgage, etc., to the average passenger car vehicle they would try to purchase at the moment. We want the electric vehicles to get competitive at that level, not with the Mercedes/BMW top tier, which the current manufacturers quite rightly claim, but with that description.

We are doing these things very deliberately. We are also investing in a recharging network. We have \$18.3 million for our Electric Vehicle Action Plan, which includes \$13.4 million to contribute to taxpayers co-investing with private industry to get a network of a bit over 500 recharging stations—many of them being fast-charge stations—so that purchasers and potential purchasers of electric vehicles can have confidence that wherever they go they will be able to charge their vehicle.

We have this chicken-and-egg problem: quite understandably, nobody wants to purchase an electric vehicle if they are not confident that they can charge it anywhere they may choose to go, even if the chances of them going to some of these places is quite slim. Quite understandably, they still want to know that, if they did decide to go there, that they could.

We are looking at the entire country, from Western Australia in the west, Northern Territory, to Victoria and New South Wales in the east, and of course metropolitan and CBD Adelaide. We are doing that because we are doing everything we possibly can to encourage the uptake of electric vehicles—helping with the purchase price, helping drag down the cost of the vehicles, helping with that range anxiety by rolling out, in partnership with industry, the recharging network.

Another thing that we are doing, which is the key focus of this bill, is deferring the road user charge. The road user charge, as I said at the start of my closing remarks, is very transparent. It is very straightforward, and it is a way of replacing existing taxpayer income to the government, to be spent on behalf of the public, that is collected one way with another in another way.

In the effort to contribute to the uptake of electric vehicles, another thing we are doing is deferring it. We are deferring it until 2027 or until 30 per cent of all new vehicles purchased in South Australia are electric vehicles, whichever comes first. We are advised that the 30 per cent

mark is likely to come before 2027. What that may invite is a criticism, where you are talking about 2027 or the 30 per cent, but it is actually not 2027. Opponents of this transition will say, 'You are actually going to start to tax people sooner.'

I would say we are going to start to apply this road user tax if it is sooner, when 30 per cent of all new car sales are electric vehicles. I think that is very fair and very reasonable. It means that 30 per cent of all new car purchases have been able to do that over the time, from whenever this bill comes into effect—if it comes into effect, if it is the will of both houses—through until that point in time. Essentially, it is not a new tax other than by mechanism. It is actually a deferral of a tax, and I think people really do understand that.

The member for Lee made some remarks with regard to fuel excise not being hypothecated. Yes, that is accurate, but what I have said in this contribution is also accurate, that it is a tax collected based on the amount of road use that road users use: you drive less, you pay less; you drive more, you pay more. It is a tax under this road user framework which would be used the same way as the fuel excise is used. This is not about raising extra taxes. It is about trying to have a very fair transitional system with a deferral, with three types of incentives—which I have already described—for people to take up electric vehicles.

The member for Lee also mentioned that this has not come from COAG, and that is true, and we have never suggested that. This is not a COAG initiative, but it is something that South Australia, Victoria and New South Wales are all doing broadly, simultaneously. We are all implementing extremely similar ways of making this transition from majority almost all vehicles—certainly percentage-wise—being petrol and diesel in the passenger car market, trying to make that transition so that we can encourage more and more people to take up electric vehicles as their price comes down. So, no, it has nothing to do with COAG whatsoever, but it was never suggested that it has. However, it is important to recognise that New South Wales, South Australia and Victoria—both Liberal and Labor governments—are pursuing this in a very similar vein.

Based on the advice I have been given, I am extremely confident that in the future—including the road user charge being applied in a few or several years time, whenever that may be—the cost of purchasing, owning and operating an electric vehicle across the life of that vehicle will be cheaper, including a road user fee, than it is currently for typical passenger vehicles with petrol and diesel. This is completely consistent with our government's focus on reducing the cost of living.

We have reduced the cost of electricity bills for the average South Australian household by \$303 since coming to government. We have reduced the cost of water for the average South Australian household by approximately \$200 per year. We have reduced natural resources management levies, or landscape board levies, as they now are. We have reduced the emergency services levy. In fact, we have also reduced land tax for 75 per cent of all land tax payers. We are doing everything we possibly can to support South Australians in that way.

To the issue raised about the collection of the levy, I accept that this is an issue that will get more detail provided in terms of exactly how that levy will be collected. The member for Lee spent a fair bit of time talking about one of the options that has been canvassed, as is his right. Let me just say that we will do this in a way that is practical, that is fair, that is as non-intrusive as possible. We do expect that it will be something as simple as mileage or kilometrage being recorded when cars have services or maybe an annual self-reconciliation or perhaps at time of re-registration. That is the most likely outcome. I do not think that is anything for people to be concerned about.

The maintenance of most new cars is pretty straightforward. May I say personally that unfortunately we are not in a world anymore where a capable person can do most of the maintenance on their own vehicle in their own driveway or their own garage. Most new car maintenance these days is done through an accredited dealer or some other capable qualified workshop. I do not think it is going to be a concern at all. People's mileage already gets recorded every time they put a vehicle in. Even just for new tyres, the mileage is recorded. Every time somebody sends a vehicle off for any kind of professional service, the mileage or the kilometrage is recorded, so this, we expect, will be no different, as I said, whether it is at registration, etc.

With those remarks, hopefully summarising why we are doing this and hopefully addressing satisfactorily some of the concerns raised by those opposite in their contributions, I commend the bill to the house.

The house divided on the second reading:

Ayes ..... 24  
 Noes ..... 21  
 Majority ..... 3

AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Duluk, S.	Ellis, F.J.	Gardner, J.A.W.
Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.	Luethen, P.
Marshall, S.S.	McBride, N.	Murray, S.
Patterson, S.J.R.	Pederick, A.S.	Pisoni, D.G.
Power, C.	Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.
Tarzia, V.A.	Teague, J.B.	Treloar, P.A.
van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.	Wingard, C.L.

NOES

Bedford, F.E.	Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.
Boyer, B.I.	Brock, G.G.	Brown, M.E. (teller)
Close, S.E.	Cook, N.F.	Gee, J.P.
Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.	Koutsantonis, A.
Malinauskas, P.	Michaels, A.	Mullighan, S.C.
Odenwalder, L.K.	Piccolo, A.	Picton, C.J.
Stinson, J.M.	Szakacs, J.K.	Wortley, D.

Second reading thus carried; bill read a second time.

*Committee Stage*

In committee.

Clause 1.

**Dr CLOSE:** I would like to use clause 1 as an opportunity to ask some general questions about the consultation that was undertaken and with whom in the market, what kind of market research was done about the possible impact of a tax on electric vehicles, and whether any other jurisdictions were looked at outside Australia where the electric vehicle taxes are in place now, if there are any, to see what impact they have?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised that a targeted public consultation process was undertaken by the Department of Treasury and Finance in March and April this year. Eighteen submissions were received as part of the consultation process from industry bodies, manufacturers and interest groups. A copy of the consultation document was also made available on the Department of Treasury and Finance website, along with a consultation inbox where comments could be submitted.

The final package considers feedback received through the consultation process and allows the market for electric vehicles to develop further before commencing new charging arrangements. The design also has regard to the similar arrangements introduced in Victoria and that proposed in New South Wales. To round out that question, I am advised there was not any consultation with any international jurisdictions, but certainly other states and territories apart from Victoria and New South Wales were consulted.

**Dr CLOSE:** With the 18 responses that were received, what was the split in those who thought it was a good idea to have an electric vehicle tax and those who did not?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The first thing to say is that the consultation did not include a straightforward 'Does an organisation or person agree or disagree?' so there is not really an answer that I can share with regard to that particular part of the question. But to help the

deputy leader, there were several questions that were part of this Road User Charge for Zero and Low Emission Vehicles: Consultation Document, which I am sure is publicly available from the Department of Treasury and Finance website. But for the deputy leader and the house, the questions included:

- Why is this being introduced?
- Who will pay the charge?
- What is the proposed rate?
- When will the proposed user charge apply?
- How will the charge be calculated and paid?
- How much revenue will the road user charge raise?

They were the questions posed, with intended answers and things like that as well. They are the topics that the Department of Treasury and Finance sought advice on. I know from my own consultation and receipt of feedback as Minister for Energy and Mining, as the lead minister for electric vehicles as distinct from me doing this specifically on behalf of the Treasurer—but, of course, they are closely aligned—the reality is that there is mixed feedback ranging from, 'We don't care what you do; just help get as many electric vehicles in as possible,' all the way through to, 'No tax is any good. Don't charge a tax. We don't care,' and lots more in between. I certainly acknowledge that there is a range of feedback that I received, and I know that much more detailed feedback was received from that structured consultation process.

I would also like to point out that the structured consultation process was undertaken before the \$3,000 per vehicle for the first 6,000 vehicles subsidy was announced. So I am very confident with whatever that feedback was; that is the feedback that was in place which was the foundation of us progressing this bill. If we were to do exactly the same process again it would be far more supportive than what it was last time because now we also have the \$3,000 subsidy in there as well.

**Dr CLOSE:** My third question on this clause is: when the government was considering the merits of introducing a vehicle usage tax for electric vehicles, recognising that that was considered contemplating declining revenue via the federal government from the fuel excise tax (a proportion of which is often spent on road infrastructure even if it is not hypothecated), did the government contemplate the impact of lower emission vehicles by virtue of being four cylinder, and so on, and that their proportion of the road fleet has had a more significant impact in declining fuel excise than electric vehicles at present?

Did the government contemplate all the kinds of cars that drop the amount of fuel excise that is available, and therefore did the government at any point contemplate a broader vehicle usage tax than simply the electric vehicles?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The answer to that is no, I am advised.

Clause passed.

Clause 2.

**Dr CLOSE:** I believe that the member for Lee will also have a question on this. Over the page, on the second page of the bill under clause 2 there is a definition of the prescribed days. This is where we get to an understanding of the trigger for this tax coming in, which is that the Treasurer is reasonably satisfied that the sales of battery electric vehicles in South Australia will be 30 per cent of new motor vehicle sales.

How did the figure of 30 per cent get determined? What is the magic that sits behind that, which says that, at that point, it might not be a disincentive to have a tax but it might be necessary in order to try to get the revenue that the government feels it is losing through the fuel excise? What was the idea around the 30 per cent?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** There is no magic behind the number 30 necessarily. It is not determined that 30 per cent is particularly magical in itself, but there are some reasons why 30 per cent was chosen, and 30 per cent is a lot better than 20 per cent, it is a lot better than 10 per cent and it is a lot better than zero per cent, which is what Victoria has chosen to do.

Victoria has introduced the tax immediately. We did not want to do that for the reasons that I provided in my closing remarks in the second reading. We wanted to make sure that we did have that incentive. I am sure that some people's perspective is, 'Well, why not 40, 50, 60, 100?' The tax does need to come in at some stage keeping in mind, again, that it is a replacement charge.

Thirty per cent of new vehicles is determined to be a very significant chunk, not all but a very significant chunk, of new vehicle purchases. I think any fair reading would say that they are a very genuinely attractive component of new car purchases when they get to 30 per cent. We want it to be much more than 30 per cent. We want one day for it to be 100 per cent or hydrogen or something like that.

The other reason that 30 per cent was chosen was that, as well as the consultation South Australia did, 30 per cent is what the New South Wales government identified also, so there were some benefits and consistency in that regard and we had a strong preference to be consistent with New South Wales' 30 per cent rather than Victoria's zero per cent.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** My understanding of the 30 per cent target is that it would be 30 per cent of light vehicles, not all vehicles, in South Australia. Can I ask how many light vehicles are sold each year in South Australia? I suspect the number is somewhere in the order of 90,000 or 100,000 a year. How many are battery electric vehicles?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Member for Lee, it is 78,000 to 80,000 new vehicles purchases, so pretty close to the estimate that you said. You used the term 'light vehicles'. I think I used 'passenger vehicles' before. I am sure we are talking about exactly the same thing. Yes, that is what we are targeting. It is certainly not heavy industry, public transport, etc. I am advised that approximately 500 of those vehicles are electric vehicles at the moment, but of course part of what we are doing is trying to increase the attraction and increase that number very significantly.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am grateful to the minister for that answer. So currently 500 sales a year, give or take, are battery electric vehicles of approximately 80,000 vehicles a year being sold, so that is 0.6 per cent of new car sales at the moment. That is the base, and we are anticipating getting to 30 per cent, so what is the trajectory that your department or Treasury has modelled over that six-year period to 2027 in order to get to 30 per cent?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The first thing to say is that I am advised that the modelling is actually quite challenging because we are talking about such a low base. The member for Lee's quick calculation in his head was 0.6 per cent. I have it at about 1 per cent in my mind, so it is currently somewhere in that range. The forecasting is certainly challenging. In my work as energy minister with regard to electric vehicles, I have been advised that the take-up will come more quickly than most people expect, but let me share some specific advice that I have been given:

Forecasts of electric vehicle take-up rates are subject to a high degree of uncertainty and vary between forecaster. They are particularly sensitive to assumptions about the purchase price of electric vehicles and model availability. The longer the forecast timeframe, the higher the uncertainty in the forecast.

DTF considered multiple external sources for its modelling of zero and low emission vehicle uptake in SA, including from the Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics, the Future Fuels Strategy, (Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources), and the Australian Energy Market Operator.

For modelling purposes, the growth in zero and low emission vehicles [is] broadly consistent with the Commonwealth Government Future Fuels Strategy document that has been utilised.

I cannot give you an exact number on what date or what month we expect that to happen, but we are dovetailing our predictions the best we possibly can with interstate and federal advice.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am always grateful for the minister's responses. I am not sure that, other than our variation on 0.6 per cent or 1 per cent, there was any additional information included in his answer. As I understand the government's priority here or the government's estimate, it is that we are going to get to 30 per cent.

So we are going to get from 500 sales a year and, even on the basis that the number of new car sales in South Australia remains static over the next six years, that it remains at 80,000 a year, which it will not—it will, of course, incrementally increase roughly I guess in line with economic growth or whatever, but let's say for argument's sake, 80,000 a year—we have to get from 500 to 30 per cent,

which is 24,000 in a six-year period. That is an aggressive growth rate, I think the euphemism would be.

I understand from the minister's answer that you might take BITRE's estimates of sales. I guess what I want to know is: what are the government's estimates about how many battery electric vehicle and plug-in hybrid electric vehicle cars will be sold in South Australia each year over the next six years? Does the government have a figure or not? If the answer is, yes, it has a figure, what are those figures for each of those years?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I will take the specifics of that question on notice because I do not have that information here with me. If there is something along those lines that can be provided between the houses, then certainly we will do that. I know that the modelling has been done but, as I said, the modelling is a challenge; there is no doubt it is a challenge. I certainly agree that it will be a very steep uptake for those numbers to be achieved before the 2027 date comes along, but I can also advise the house that most people I talk to in the industry, proponents, are very bullish about this uptake.

For the purposes of this bill, though, the reality is that it is 30 per cent or 2027, whichever comes sooner. I am not sure whether the opposition's support or otherwise for this bill would be different if the 30 per cent was expected to come before 2027 or if the 30 per cent was expected to come after 2027, but that is a matter for the opposition. Regarding specifics that I and/or the Treasurer are able to provide with regard to the clear question, which was how many of these electric vehicles will be estimated to be sold in each year, if it possible to provide that information, then I certainly will.

**The CHAIR:** Are you seeking clarification on something?

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am seeking clarification. I am most grateful, and I know how much, sir, you enjoy the anecdotes sometimes I commence my questions with during the committee stage.

**The CHAIR:** Indeed. We could swap anecdotes some time.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I do not want to disappoint you, so I have prepped another one for you. I can remember—and it is one of the very few things I remember about my mathematics classes at school because it is fair to say, consistent with my school reports, I was not the most attentive student in that particular class—when my teacher was trying to teach us about exponential growth and numbers to the power of other numbers, the ancient fable about grain and the chessboard, which you may recall: place a grain of wheat in one square and then double the number of grains of wheat in each subsequent square until students are convinced that all the grain in the world could be theoretically accommodated within a chessboard, such is the power of exponential growth.

It occurs to me that even if we had exponential growth in the number of electric vehicles each year over the next six years, we would struggle to get to 15 per cent or so of motor vehicle sales here in South Australia. In the event that we do not get to 30 per cent, and despite the bullishness the minister says the industry is forecasting for growth, I understand that the government has basically set up a scenario with this bill whereby it will provide a limited number of grants of \$3,000 for people who buy a battery electric vehicle or a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle from I say now on but, presumably, the passage of the bill if it does pass, up until those grants are exhausted.

Of course, if the bill does not pass parliament, those grants will not be provided. I think that is what the Treasurer has tried to establish as his sword of Damocles over the parliament's head. They are effective, as they have proven to be with retail shop trading hours reform and so on. Can I ask the minister: is it his understanding that, if the bill does not pass, the some \$18 million committed by the government for those \$3,000 grants will not be provided for that purpose and will be, to use the colloquial expression, taken off the table?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** A few things: I do remember my maths pretty well, but I barely read a book in high school, but we both got here, and probably because I never read a book, or barely read a book in high school I do not know what a sword of Damocles is either, so the clarification that you offered is fairly lost on me, but I think I have the general picture.

We have had extraordinary exponential growth in the uptake of electric vehicles in the last six years, from next to nothing to 500. To use the exact analogy that you use, if that were to continue, we should be very optimistic about where we are going to get to with regard to the uptake of electric vehicles. Nonetheless, if I am a bit more optimistic and you are a bit less optimistic, that is the right we both have.

The contribution to electric vehicle uptake—and I just want to be careful about this, but I think I know what you meant—in the \$18.3 million in the Department for Energy and Mining's Electric Vehicle Action Plan remains on the table. The \$18 million attached to the \$3,000 subsidy for 6,000 vehicles is definitely linked to the passage of this bill, just to clarify that point.

Lastly, if it happens that the member for Lee's assessment of the uptake of electric vehicles is more accurate than mine, or his assumptions are more accurate than my assumptions and there is a much slower uptake than my personal assessment will be, that means a replacement charge would come in at 2027 and it would apply to a much smaller number of motorists.

**Dr CLOSE:** My final question on this is: why have both dates? What if you just allow it to be at 30 per cent if you are confident that that will happen reasonably quickly? I appreciate that there is no magic to the 30 per cent, but there is a logic, at least from the government's perspective, that it means electric vehicles are well established as part of the purchasing decision-making by members of the public and therefore a tax, in your argument, I suspect, would not be enough of a disincentive. Why not allow that to happen? Why set the date of 2027?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The answer to the question is in part because it is comparable to what New South Wales is doing, and we are doing our very best to make this as consistent as possible between the states. As I said, Victoria is bringing it in effectively immediately or straightaway, and we did not want to do that. The other way to look at it, which is probably more relevant, is that it is six years of forward notice, six years of forward notice about a road user charge coming in to replace existing tax income connected to road use.

Six years of forward notice is, I think, long by just about any person's judgement. We also want to have that incentive for the uptake of electric vehicles much sooner rather than later. If we were to leave it at just 30 per cent without a date and let it happen organically, just as it might, it might happen slower. If people know that there is a date, then it might happen faster. We do want to give an incentive for people to take up electric vehicles more quickly rather than more slowly.

Clause passed.

Clause 3 passed.

Clause 4.

**Dr CLOSE:** In the definition of 'electric vehicle' there is reference to both a fully plugged in car—the only source is the electricity that it is plugged into—and the hybrid version, which is still a plug-in but also has a combustion engine. I am asking this question here; you could ask me to ask it when there is a differential a little later on 2¢ versus 2.5¢.

I would like to understand what modelling was done, what understanding of the fuel usage on those two different kinds of vehicles is there to understand the fuel usage on those two different kinds of vehicles to understand the fuel excise that a plug-in hybrid is paying versus, obviously, none for a fully electric car. I have had feedback from advocates for plug-in hybrids that the distance travelled by many people in those with 2.5¢ indexed would be paying more in combined taxation than the electric vehicle would be.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** That is a very fair question in terms of where are we going. I am advised that it is largely based on modelling, a range of different modelling, that estimates usage, etc., recognising the fact that a hybrid vehicle does still pay some fuel excise. You can go a long way without using any fuel in a plug-in hybrid. Another driver and another model might use a great deal of fuel. It is challenging, but there is a recognition that the charge would be lower because there is still a contribution to road usage through the fuel excise.

It is also interesting if I compare let's say a metro or a CBD owner of a fairly small hybrid vehicle that is around the city and sometimes around the suburbs and rarely in the country usage

versus a taxi. Just about all taxis now are hybrid vehicles doing however many tens of thousands of kilometres a year or more, I am sure in some cases. There is a challenge to getting it just right for every single user, but that is why we do come back to a per kilometre charge to try to address those issues. Then, of course, the 2.5¢ for fully electric vehicles recognises the fact that they are not paying any fuel excise.

**Dr CLOSE:** This is really a clarification and it might be that it needs to happen between the houses. I think the expression used by the minister was 'a range of modelling'. It would be useful to know what modelling, who provided it and where it came from—a bit more so that it can be scrutinised as well by the interest groups who are raising these issues with me and the people in the Legislative Council.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes, deputy leader, I am happy to provide whatever the Treasurer is happy to provide between the houses.

Clause passed.

Clauses 5 to 7 passed.

Clause 8.

**Dr CLOSE:** Within clause 8 on page 5, talking about the prescribed rates, the 2¢ indexed and the 2.5¢ indexed, what is the estimation of what those figures become by 2027 once indexation is taken into account?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The estimate for the 2¢ by 2027 is 2.3¢ and the estimate for the 2.5¢ is to become 2.8¢ by 2027—both those numbers by 2027. But, as I am also advised, that is today's estimate. They will be realised based on actual CPI numbers. It may be higher, it may be lower. Higher would presumably mean a stronger economy and lower would presumably mean a less strong economy. We will see, but they are the estimates.

**Dr CLOSE:** So then how does that translate for the median and the average vehicle for the cost that they are likely to be paying, the median distance and the average distance for the annual cost, the additional charge that will go on the registration?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised that for the 2027-28 year, the average for the 2¢ rate is expected to be \$280, and for the 2.5¢ rate—or 2.3 and 2.8, as they would be in that year—\$340. The specific answer to your question is \$280 and \$340, and I am advised that that is calculated on an estimated annual travelling of 12,200 kilometres.

**Dr CLOSE:** Just to be clear—and you may not have it here—I was also interested in the medians, which is the one that most people will experience rather than the average, which necessarily has to take account of the extremes at either end. If you do not have it now, I am happy to receive that between the houses.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Just to confirm, yes, the 12,200 is an average. If it is possible between the houses, we will certainly provide a median.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Could the minister, under this regime, talk us through the process of reregistering a vehicle? Let's presume at some point in the future, he is driving his battery electric Prado across the Mid North of South Australia and needs to reregister the vehicle. What would that process look like, either doing it online through EzyReg or doing it at a Service SA centre?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** A couple of things to try to be helpful: I think the question is about once the replacement road user fee is in place but, just in case it was about the transition, any electric vehicle that is purchased will have a registration system at some point in time, depending on the purchase. The road user charge may be applied. But I think what the member is really asking about is: once that is in, how does it actually work?

The charge will be calculated and applied as part of the vehicle registration process. When a relevant owner registers his or her vehicle, he or she will be required to enter the odometer reading as part of the registration process. The road user charge will be calculated based on the distance travelled since the last registration renewal or perhaps the first registration, less any declared travel on private roads. This approach is intended to minimise the administration burden associated with the new charging arrangements by leveraging off the existing registration arrangements.

Whether a person does it online or whether they go into Service SA, they will just be asked, 'What is your current odometer reading?' There will be an option to make a deduction, essentially, for travelling on private roads, and my understanding of that is that it is like off-road diesel. There are operators who have vehicles that might do completely off-road work, such as a lot of farm vehicles and station vehicles. Some of them never actually go on a road. There are mining companies, for example. There is a range of different reasons why essentially currently there is an exemption from the fuel excise for some motor vehicle use of that fuel, trying to make sure that benefit still exists.

For example, I had the opportunity to drive a prototype of an electric LandCruiser on a mine site a year or so ago. I imagine that if that mine takes up those vehicles, like almost all its vehicles, they would nearly never be on the road. We are trying to make sure that the same off-road benefit that exists currently would apply here as well. But, offering that clarification, essentially just provide your odometer reading and it will just be calculated on what your previous odometer reading was.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I appreciate the advice from the minister. I will be a little more specific. Under the new regime, once the levy comes in and forms part of the re-registration process, I will say, on the basis that the car has already been owned and it has incurred some kilometres that need to be declared, let's imagine that this is being done online. There will be a couple of fields, will there, where you will insert the kilometres presumably for either total or on-road and then off-road, which will not contribute to the calculation?

What work has been done to ensure that the EzyReg system is going to accommodate that information? How will it be verified and what are the storage arrangements? I do not mean the basic storage arrangements. What happens with the data so that year-on-year recollections of that information can be retained by the EzyReg system and the system can get some sort of understanding about kilometres travelled over the course of a number of years?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Preliminary work has been done on that and some investigation into what would be required to adjust that system. The fact is that the system currently carries over rego numbers, and it currently carries over ownership details, such as addresses. It is not a challenge for the system for a motor vehicle owner to change his or her home address from one year to the next. I am sure it is well within the capacity of the system to keep an updated changing each year odometer reading as well.

The other part of the answer is that, while preliminary work has been done, it is not required to be implemented for four, five, six years, whenever the 30 per cent or the 2027 comes around. There is time to get that finalised and tailored to whatever other changes to the registration system might evolve totally separate of this over the next several years.

Also, a decision was made not to go too deeply down that burrow until we know whether this bill is actually going to pass both houses of parliament. I am sure that, as soon as that happens, if it happens, then that work will get a greater focus.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Who is the ICT provider that manages the EzyReg online portal, and have they confirmed to the government that they can incorporate this change?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The system is internal to DIT, so the government owns it, operates it, maintains it, upgrades it.

Clause passed.

Clause 9.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** On clause 9, the amendment of section 41, misuse of vehicles registered at reduced fees or without fees, can the minister advise the committee what the purpose of this clause is? Is this designed to require the accurate information of odometer readings or kilometres travelled, for example, on the basis that there is a suspicion that information has been inaccurately provided? What is the purpose of clause 9 here?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The member would be aware that there are a few different options for registering motor vehicles in this state at the moment. If I think about sporting car clubs, for example, they can have short-term, temporary registrations, there are seasonal registrations and a range of other options which are different from the typical, standard 12-month

registration that most households go through—whether they register and pay for three months or 12 months, it is an ongoing, every day of the year registration.

In the current legislation, there is the opportunity, if a person or a company registers a vehicle in one of those other, reduced-cost options—seasonally, limited-use, vintage cars, that sort of thing—and if it is determined that that registration was inappropriate, that the person used the vehicle outside the terms and conditions of that limited, cheaper registration, then there is the option for the government to charge back up to the registration fee that would have applied if that limited registration had not inappropriately been granted.

That said, all this clause 9 is about is trying to apply similar opportunity for a new road user charge for electric vehicles so that if a person claims inappropriately, deliberately or accidentally, and it is found that that was the case, then it is quite straightforward to just go back and say, 'Well, actually, you will be charged what you should have actually been charged, rather than the reduced rate.'

Clause passed.

Clauses 10 and 11 passed.

Schedule and title passed.

Bill reported without amendment.

*Third Reading*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (17:40):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

In closing, from my perspective, carrying this bill in this chamber on behalf of the Treasurer, but, as I mentioned, closely linked to the work that we do in Energy and Mining, I acknowledge that the Labor component of the opposition has expressed concerns about this and that at this point in time is not satisfied with where this proposal is at.

But let me close by saying that there is nothing that is not transparent about this legislation. There is nothing in it other than, essentially, introducing a road user charge to replace another charge upon road users, which will be faded out over time and I am sure we all hope relatively quickly. We have different views about how quickly that might be, but I sense the fact that everybody is comfortable with the desire for it to happen sooner rather than later for a wide range of reasons.

Lastly, very deliberately and very clearly from the government's perspective, we see the 30 per cent or 2027, whichever comes first, as an incentive and definitely not a disincentive. It is very clearly intended to be an incentive for people to take up electric vehicles sooner than they might otherwise do.

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (17:42):** I will just speak briefly again to reiterate the deep concerns Labor has about this bill and the reasons for our opposition. They stem first, last and always from our desperate concern about the reality of climate change and the pressing need for us to do something about it.

While this Liberal government has not expressed the kinds of views that occur regularly in the Liberal Coalition government in Canberra, which are tantamount to suggesting that climate change either is not real or something that can be bargained with and does not need to be addressed for economic reasons as well as for environmental reasons, while I do not accuse this government of that, nonetheless there is a distinct lack of enthusiasm in this government for taking the tough decisions that are required to truly deal with the challenge we are facing, and one of those is to fully embrace electric vehicles.

I am pleased that they are putting in charging stations. I am pleased, although it happened belatedly and I think in the context of the community concern about this electric vehicle tax, that they have seen it might be a good idea to have an incentive to buy electric vehicles. However, I am not impressed, and in fact I am appalled by the idea, that this must be some sort of quid pro quo, where if this parliament does not do what the government wants—that is, allow it to put in place a 'ticking bomb' is probably too aggressive a term, but a tax that is a new tax that comes in in due course—

then the very good policy of having an incentive to buy a cheaper electric vehicle will be taken off the table by this government.

That is not a policy from a party that really is concerned desperately about climate change. It is a policy that says, 'We can see this is happening. We think there will be a financial impost associated with loss of some of the fuel excise that occasionally comes South Australia's way via the feds, although not hypothecated, so we will manage that by bringing in a tax in due course to make sure that we get some income.'

The planet does not really care about any of that level of detail. The planet needs us to act quickly and the people of South Australia want us to act quickly. They want us to act unambiguously and this is a very ambiguous bill. They think that maybe we will get to 30 per cent of new car sales being electric vehicles, either hybrid plug-in or fully electric, by around 2027, but they are not confident enough to say, 'We will just allow this to kick in when there is 30 per cent.' It may be that there is only 5, 10 or 15 per cent by 2027, but nonetheless this disincentive tax will be coming in at that stage.

With the greatest respect to the minister, I do not see the logic that having a tax coming in in 2027 creates an incentive to buy an electric vehicle sooner. All it can do is put in people's mind that, although it looks like it will be cheaper to run now, you know a tax is coming. That does not help make a decision. The incentive in terms of the money may well do, but that could happen without this. The financial incentive is not in this bill. This bill is completely legally independent of that. That is a choice by this government.

I am disturbed by this legislation because it seems kind of half-hearted: yes, we will do something about electric vehicles, but we are more concerned about making sure that we have enough income for maintaining our roads. If we are really concerned about climate change, we will do something on electric vehicles unambiguously.

If we are really concerned separately on how we are financing our infrastructure, a necessary discussion should occur at the federal level about fuel excise. That ought to be happening in all the states with the federal government and in the context of making sure that, wherever the money is raised from, it is definitely spent on the kind of road and public transport infrastructure that we require. This is neither of those and therefore is opposed by the Labor side and I hope will be opposed in the Legislative Council outright.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (17:46):** I will make some brief comments about the Motor Vehicles (Electric Vehicle Levy) Amendment Bill. As we have heard, there is no basis for the introduction of this new charge. This is not done as some part of genuine tax reform. Despite the way it is being sold by the minister and his colleague in the other place, the Treasurer, Rob Lucas, this is not some part of reform of federal-state financial relations. It is laughable to think that a state government is so concerned about the risk to commonwealth revenues from a gradual move away from fuel excise from a small proportion of motorists that it feels the need to step in and start levying a tax in place of a commonwealth tax. That is just laughable.

We have now had it confirmed from the minister that there is no agreement between the states to do this. There is no agreement at a COAG level. There is no agreement at a federal and state treasurers' level to do this. This is merely being done in an opportune way because the Treasurer here has decided to have another crack at motorists on top of the \$100 million a year of additional taxes, fees and charges that he has already whacked on motorists in the last three years to try to raise some revenue.

As the deputy leader has said, this will do nothing to incentivise electric vehicle sales here in South Australia. The minister says, 'We would of course like to get to 100 per cent of electric vehicles here in South Australia.' If you would like to get to 100 per cent of electric vehicles in Australia, why do you not formally commit to it and have a policy about that that will actually get you there?

When this policy was first introduced, the minister himself told this house that the \$80 million the state apparently spends on its own fleet would be reoriented towards electric vehicles. Nothing of the sort has happened—nothing of the sort. There is not even a directive to chief executives across the public sector to preference battery electric vehicles or plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. That has

not even happened, so the government with its own purchasing power is not even leading by example. This is merely a cash grab by the government.

They are the one state government that is doing the least in the commonwealth when it comes to promoting the rollout of infrastructure and other supports for battery electric vehicles and everybody knows. Everybody knows about this government's dreadful record when it comes to supporting this industry. Everybody knows about this minister's record when it comes to talking the talk but failing to walk the walk in the pursuit of other renewable energy technologies here in South Australia, including hydrogen—for example, flogging off the land around Port Bonython that would suit for hydrogen facilities without it even being a formal requirement for a prospective purchaser to use that land for renewable energy or hydrogen purposes.

This government does not have the credentials. It cannot demonstrate the bona fides when it comes to renewable energy and, in fact, its only policy has been to pursue the private sector, building at consumers' direct expense a 1,000-kilometre cable to get rid of the excess renewable energy that we have here in South Australia, rather than secure it and use it for the benefit of the South Australian community.

When we do not have the capacity to use renewable electricity, we will not have base load power generated here in South Australia anymore because all the generators have announced from base load generation that they will be switching those off once the minister's big cable is delivered and will be importing certified, guaranteed, New South Wales coal-fired electricity here into South Australia.

So that is the minister's bona fides on renewable energy, and we see that sort of lump of coal advocacy that we get from the federal leader of the Liberal movement here in Australia infecting the minister's approach to renewable energy here in South Australia and, of course, it is infecting how he considers the electric vehicle industry to be supported. It is really regrettable, I have to say, that, as a relatively young although perhaps not so young MP, I still have to butt my head up against this sort of troglodyte approach to energy and motor vehicle fleet development here in South Australia.

But, as I say to my constituents, while there are some very progressive people of all ages, unfortunately, when it comes to politics, this just seems to be a generational thing. As soon as we can get that older troglodyte generation of conservatives out of power across the country, including here in South Australia, the better off the renewable energy industry and the electric vehicle industry will be.

**Mr PICTON (Karna) (17:52):** This piece of legislation is an utter disgrace. The idea that this government would seek to come in here and tax the users of electric vehicles for driving their cars is exactly the wrong thing to be doing as a parliament. We should be investing in electric vehicles. We should be having a target and an aim for increasing the number of electric vehicles, but what the government is seeking to do will deliver the exact opposite: it will provide a disincentive for people to have electric vehicles.

The arguments for putting this in place are completely false. The idea that this is somehow replacing state revenue is completely false. Petrol excise does not come to the South Australian government: it goes to the federal government. It is not hypothecated. We still collect a significant number of taxes. In fact, this government has increased the taxes on registering your car and on getting your driver's licence. All of those charges have been increased by this government and all of those are there whether you have a petrol car or an electric car for those ones that go to the state government.

This is nothing other than an absolutely transparent revenue-raising attempt to go after people who are investing in electric vehicles and who by and large are already paying more tax anyway because we have a ridiculous taxation arrangement in relation to the luxury car tax which is picking up many cars that are not luxury in regard to electric vehicles.

People are investing in this technology because they want to do the right thing by our climate. We know we have a climate crisis. We know we have a federal government that is completely failing to act in regard to that crisis, and now we have a state government that is putting us further behind in the adoption of new technologies. On this side of the house, we are very firm that we will oppose

this policy. If it is, sadly, successful in this house we will continue to oppose it because this is the wrong thing to do and it is the wrong approach.

In my electorate there is fundamental support for people investing more in electric vehicles and increasing the number of electric vehicles in this state. I do not think people really want the Liberal Party poking around and checking their odometer every few months to work out how much tax they need to pay based on how far they have driven. This approach does not have the support of South Australians. It is not something that was taken to the last election. This government does not have the guts to take it to the next election.

*The Hon. D.C. van Holst Pellekaan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Kurna, there is a point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Not a point of order. As agreed with the Opposition Whip, if the member for Kurna wants to continue, then I move we sit past six. If he would like to stop now, then I will not.

**Mr Picton:** I'm not sure that is a point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No; I said it is not a point of order.

**The SPEAKER:** Quite right, minister.

**Mr PICTON:** I will be one more minute.

**The SPEAKER:** I will allow it to be resolved on indulgence.

**Mr PICTON:** There are all sorts of theatrics happening. We have a situation here where the Liberal Party will be poking around in your odometer working out how far you have driven and working out the tax on that. If they were really committed to this policy, then they would hold this and put it to the next election. They would wait until then to get a mandate from the people to see whether or not people want this tax to be in place. I daresay that this does not have the support of the South Australian people. Whether or not they use electric vehicles at the moment, I think most people can see that this is likely to be part of our future and they do not want this continual taxation to be in place.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Just in closing, we can disagree, as we have, on some things with regard to this charge. It is not new money. It is not a new charge. It is a replacement; it has been made very clear. The absolute rubbish from those opposite—except for the deputy leader, I have to say, who is actually their climate change and environment spokesperson—with regard to the environment is absolutely pathetic and ridiculous and shows once again their purely politically motivated reasons for speaking and their lack of understanding.

This calendar year we are in excess of 60 per cent renewable energy generation in South Australia. This government has reduced the cost of electricity by over \$300. In stark contrast to those opposite, we have not had one blackout in South Australia due to insufficient electricity supply or grid instability. We are leading the nation, through the Minister for Environment and Water, with regard to Paris climate change reductions and emission reductions across the board. The targets that we have put forward are now being matched by other jurisdictions.

Those opposite, who say that this is some unfair sort of charge and that we should put it off, should talk to their Labor colleagues in Victoria who have started to implement the charge straightaway. We are saying we have six years' notice about this charge coming. Those people opposite, who care about the politics of this far more than I do, should look to Victoria. They are implementing it straightaway. They should talk to those people if they do not think that should happen.

What we are doing is saying six years' notice—2027 or when 30 per cent of electric vehicles are brought in. I would very happily rate the Marshall Liberal government's record on the environment against the former Labor government or against any other state around the place. Those opposite are there—

*Mr Picton interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, there is a point of order.

**Mr PICTON:** Point of order: it is very clear in the standing orders that the third reading debate has to be directly relevant to the legislation before us. The minister traversing all sorts of fantasies about the previous government does not seem to be relevant to the legislation.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Kaurua, it is a robust debate. I am going to return to the minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I would match our record against those opposite any day of the week and not only on reduction of emissions, reduction of costs and the reliability of our system. We have on the table \$36 million of support for electric vehicle uptake, half of it on the table regardless, half of it connected to the passage of this bill. It is good for South Australians, good for Australia and good for the environment.

Bill read a third time and passed.

At 18:01 the house adjourned until Thursday 14 October 2021 at 11:00.

*Answers to Questions***CONSULTANTS AND CONTRACTORS**

**438 The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee)** (1 April 2021). Which consultants and contractors have been engaged by the Department of Treasury and Finance since 1 July 2020?

- (a) What is the cost of these engagements?
- (b) What services have these consultants and contractors been engaged to provide?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The government has provided response in omnibus question No. 4, tabled on 23 September 2021.

**ROAD SAFETY**

In reply to **Mr ELLIS (Narungga)** (8 September 2021).

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Infrastructure and Transport, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing):** I have been advised:

The Department for Infrastructure and Transport (DIT) conducts approximately 25,000 interstate vehicle transfer inspections (known as tier 2) and 3,000 repaired written-off vehicle inspections (known as tier 3) per annum. Most are conducted at the Naweena Road, Regency Park facility with tier 3 inspections also conducted at regional locations on demand.

In the last 18 months demand for vehicle inspection services has remained at high levels with additional spikes driven by economic, industry and consumer factors resulting in longer waiting times.

DIT has implemented strategies to meet inspection demand and reduce waiting times and will continue to make improvements. This includes enhancements to the booking system, facility improvements to improve customer experience and increasing tier 3 inspector resourcing levels.

For clients located within a 100-kilometre radius of the Adelaide GPO, identity and road safety inspections are conducted at Regency Park. In this case Dublin Motors is approximately 55 kilometres from the Regency Park facility, with a 40-minute travel time via the Northern Connector and north-south motorway. This travel time is similar to those experienced by customers from areas like Stirling or Noarlunga.

Upon being made aware of Dublin Motors' concerns, DIT has contacted the proprietor and discussed the current waiting times and options available.

**ROAD MAINTENANCE**

In reply to **Mr BELL (Mount Gambier)** (9 September 2021).

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Infrastructure and Transport, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing):** I have been advised:

Motorists will need to consider their insurance options. In relation to any liability of the state government for vehicle damage I am advised by the Department for Infrastructure and Transport that pursuant to section 42 of the Civil Liability Act 1936 a road authority is not liable for the failure to maintain a road.

However, as part of the conditions of contractual agreements with DIT maintenance contractors, the contractor is responsible for conducting scheduled fortnightly maintenance inspections of all main arterial roads that fall under the care, control and management of the Commissioner of Highways. The contractor is also contractually responsible to attend to reports of pothole or other defects on the road, within the contractual timeframes, from being made aware of the hazard, to make the site safe.

The contractor is only liable if it has failed in its contractual responsibilities to DIT, and a claims process is available to an individual if it can establish that this has occurred. In practice, this means that a person must be able to show that the contractor has failed to act on the knowledge of a specific road hazard (within the relevant stipulated time frame) prior to the person receiving damages as a result of that road hazard.

I am advised that DIT monitors the contractors' performance to ensure they meet their responsibilities.

*Estimates Replies***COVID-19 VACCINATION ROLLOUT**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The South Australian 'Roll up' vaccination marketing campaign has been adapted for culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) communities and distributed through translated radio, digital and social media.

Local South Australian updates/news on the COVID-19 vaccination program have been shared through the multicultural affairs communities and leaders' distribution list via direct email. Community leaders are asked to share

this information with their communities through the best means possible, such as newsletters, Facebook pages, radio and WeChat. This information sharing process was agreed at a community engagement forum in July 2020.

Online forums were held in March and May 2021 with community and religious leaders, and a face-to-face event is planned for September. The event will be promoted through targeted translated paid social media advertising and 5EBI radio.

The commonwealth government has also been running translated paid campaigns about the COVID-19 national vaccination roll out to CALD communities. They have resources on their website translated in over 60 languages, which have been shared with the extensive list of South Australian multicultural community leaders and groups via direct email when new information is made available.

#### COVID-19 VACCINATION ROLLOUT

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised by SA Health:

The South Australian COVID-19 vaccination program communications strategy has to date allocated \$200 000 on marketing directly to culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) communities and translated materials about the vaccination rollout and vaccines.

#### COVID-19 QR CODES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

When the QR code system was first built, it was developed as a rapid pandemic response measure. The speed required to get a solution, required the focus on building a secure and robust QR code system itself rather than in a wide array of languages. Communications around the QR code check in is led by SAPOL. The QR code is not being transferred into other languages as it would be impractical to do so. Over the past several months, SA Health has included messaging about QR codes in its presentations to multicultural communities as part of the 'Continue COVID Safe behaviours'.

#### COVID-19 VACCINATION ROLLOUT

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Vaccination rates by cultural background is not recorded.

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

In 2020-21, a total of \$633,415 in grant funding was provided to support 31 organisations to deliver priority fund initiatives.

The list of organisations allocated funding is provided.

#### GOODS AND SERVICES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For Adelaide Venue Management, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-20 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	26,195	26,871	27,531	28,226	28,931

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the cost for these goods and services were as follows:

Supplier	Total Value
ZEN Energy Retail Pty Ltd	\$1,895,000
Jones Lang LaSalle (JLL)	\$913,000
City of Adelaide	\$660,000
SA Water	\$655,000
Thomas Foods/Meatpak Australia	\$457,000

Supplier	Total Value
Department for Infrastructure and Transport	\$452,000
South Australian Government Financing Authority (SAFA)	\$378,000
Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd	\$342,000
Ungerboeck Systems (Asia Pacific) P/L	\$332,000
Marsh Pty Ltd	\$323,000

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

Supplier	Description
ZEN Energy Retail Pty Ltd	Electricity
Jones Lang LaSalle (JLL)	ASER Lease Stakeholder Fees/Expense Recoveries
City of Adelaide	Utilities—Council Rates
SA Water	Utilities—Water
Thomas Foods/Meatpak Australia	Food Supplier—Meat Products
Department for Infrastructure and Transport	Lease Fees—Rent and Expense Recovery
South Australian Government Financing Authority (SAFA)	Insurance
Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd	Engineering & Design Services
Ungerboeck Systems (Asia Pacific) P/L	Enterprise Resource Planning System—Annual Subscription Fees
Marsh Pty Ltd	Insurance services related ASER Leased property

In regard to the value of the goods and services that were supplied to Adelaide Venue Management by South Australian suppliers for 2020-21, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there was one role, with a total estimated cost of \$100,000 or more, abolished within the Adelaide Venue Management Corporation.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Senior Manager, Entrepreneurial Events	140,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$140,000 (excluding on costs).

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were no roles created within the Adelaide Venue Management Corporation.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
	000,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0 (excluding on costs).

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Table 1 shows the Adelaide Venue Management Corporation's total FTE, actual and budgeted, to provide communication and promotion activities for the period 2020-21 to 2024-25:

Table 1: FTE employed in communication and promotion activities

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Branch	FTE	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	\$m	0.1714	0.1714	0.176	0.1804	0.1849
Total	FTE	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	\$m	0.1714	0.1714	0.176	0.1804	0.1849

AVM 2020-21 advertising and public relations cost \$159K.

AVM 2021-22 advertising and public relations budget \$624K.

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Employee Number	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
N/A	AVMC	Attraction & Retention	1 July 2020—30 June 2021	Nil

Further, between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, \$16,002 of non-salary benefits were paid to public servants.

Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Amount
General Manager, Human Resources	Non-Award	Private use of Corporation-owned vehicle	\$16,002 (package value)

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

No staff were seconded from the Adelaide Venue Management Corporation to my office as at 30 June 2021.

A seconded employee is an employee who is paid for by the department and not the minister's office.

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Nil executive termination has occurred since 1 July 2020, for all agencies reporting to the Minister for Tourism. The value of termination payments made was a gross amount of \$0 plus the value of accrued leave entitlements.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 the following new executive appointments were made within the Adelaide Venue Management Corporation. Some appointments were made to existing vacated roles.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
AVMC	N/A	\$000,000

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0,000,000 (excluding on costs).

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 14 and 15 I have been advised the following:

The questions are not applicable to Adelaide Venue Management as Adelaide Venue Management is not responsible for administering grant programs.

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for Adelaide Venue Management—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Not applicable						

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for Adelaide Venue Management—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Not applicable						

The following table details the carryover of grants from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for Adelaide Venue Management:

Grant/program name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
Not applicable		

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The government has advised that this question is not applicable to Adelaide Venue Management as Adelaide Venue Management is not responsible for administering grant programs in omnibus question 14.

#### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The question is not applicable to Adelaide Venue Management as Adelaide Venue Management has not been subject to any machinery of government changes since 1 July 2020.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

#### GOODS AND SERVICES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For the South Australian Tourism Commission, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-22 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	2021-22 \$'000	2022-23 \$'000	2023-24 \$'000	2024-25 \$'000	2025-26 \$'000
Total goods and services	38,002	38,170	29,981	30,082	30,826

	2021-22 \$'000	2022-23 \$'000	2023-24 \$'000	2024-25 \$'000	2025-26 \$'000
(excluding GST)					

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

Supplier (in alphabetical order)	Description
Carat Australia Media Services Pty Ltd	Media buying services
Data#3 Limited	Enterprise server and online services
Gearhouse Broadcast Pty Ltd	Television broadcast and production services
Integrated Event Delivery Management	Event management services
Naked Booking Pty Ltd	Voucher agency and call centre service
Peter Fuller & Associates Pty Ltd	Creative advertising services
Qantas Airways Limited	Cooperative marketing and transport services
TBWA Adelaide	Creative advertising services
Tourism Australia	Cooperative marketing, market research, promotional and training services and office administration.
Wavemaker Australia Pty Ltd	Media buying services

The cost of goods and services supplied by the top 10 providers was \$25,238,198 (including GST).

In regard to the value of the goods and services that were supplied to the South Australian Tourism Commission by South Australian suppliers for 2020-21, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were no roles abolished within the South Australian Tourism Commission with a total estimated cost of \$100,000 or more.

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were three roles created within the South Australian Tourism Commission.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
General Manager, Acquisition and Development	\$158,293
Development Manager	\$117,534
Sponsorship Services Manager	\$120,567

As part of a restructure, the total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$396,394 (excluding on costs). It should be noted that there were several roles abolished that were under \$100,000.

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Table 1 shows the South Australian Tourism Commission's total FTE, actual and budgeted, to provide communication and promotion activities for the period 2020-21 to 2024-25:

Table 1: FTE employed in communication and promotion activities

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Branch	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	\$m	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	FTE	42.6	42.6	42.6	42.6	42.6
	\$m	\$3,992,488	\$4,072,337	\$4,153,784	\$4,236,860	\$4,321,597

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

**PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Employee Title	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
General Manager – Acquisition and Development	South Australian Tourism Commission	Retention Allowance	3/5/2021 – 30/6/2021	\$3,232.98 (Gross)
Senior Web Developer	South Australian Tourism Commission	Attraction Allowance	25/3/2021 – 30/6/2021	\$1,863.76 (Gross)

Further, between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, no non-salary benefits were paid to public servants.

Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Amount
Nil			

**MINISTERIAL STAFF**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

No staff were seconded from the South Australian Tourism Commission to my office as at 30 June 2021.

**TERMINATION PAYOUTS**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020, nil executive terminations have occurred for the South Australian Tourism Commission.

**PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020, one new executive appointment was made within the South Australian Tourism Commission. The former Executive Director, Marketing, resigned and a new Executive Director, Marketing, was appointed.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
SATC	Executive Director, Marketing	\$220,000

The total annual employment cost for this appointment is \$200,000 (excluding on costs).

**GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 14 and 15 I have been advised the following:

The following table provides the allocation of grant program and funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the South Australian Tourism Commission:

Grant program or fund name	Purpose of grant program or fund	2020-21 Actual \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Fund local contact officer services to support regional tourism initiatives	538	549	560	*	*
Regional Consumer	Deliver cooperative marketing campaigns to raise the tourism profile and	330	330	*	*	*

Grant program or fund name	Purpose of grant program or fund	2020-21 Actual \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Cooperative Marketing Fund	drive increased visitation and economic stimulus to the regional economy					
Regional Event Fund	Develop new and innovative regional events, grow existing events and drive increased visitation and economic stimulus to the regional economy	327	600	*	*	*
Tourism Industry Development Fund	Support and stimulate private sector investment in new and improved regional accommodation and quality tourism products and experiences	206	18,629	1,165	-	-
Great State Voucher Program	Stimulate expenditure in the visitor economy with an accommodation and tourism experiences voucher scheme	6,553	6,700	-	-	-

\*It is not possible to forecast future costs across the forward estimates, because budgets are set annually based on operational requirements linked to the strategic plans developed and approved at board level. Therefore, the forward estimates are subject to decisions regarding internal strategic allocation of resources.

The following table details the net carryover of grants programs and funds from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for the South Australian Tourism Commission:

Grant program or fund name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
Tourism Industry Development Fund	(9,794)	9,794

The following table details grants paid by the South Australian Tourism Commission in 2020-21 from grant programs and funds:

Grant program or fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Date Agreement Signed	Date(s) Paid	Amount (\$ excl GST)
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Adelaide Hills Tourism Committee Inc	1/06/2020	12/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Destination Riverland Inc	22/06/2020	7/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Fleurieu Peninsula Tourism Marketing Committee Inc	3/06/2020	14/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Kangaroo Island Tourism Food Wine and Beverage Association Inc	1/6/2020	23/09/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Limestone Coast Local Government Association	1/06/2020	4/09/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Regional Development Australia Far North Inc	1/06/2020	15/12/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Regional Development Australia Murraylands and Riverland Inc	11/06/2020	23/09/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Regional Development Australia Whyalla and Eyre Peninsula Inc	1/06/2020	19/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Regional Development Australia Yorke and Mid North Inc	10/06/2020	6/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Tourism Barossa Inc	9/06/2020	15/10/2020	48,948
Regional Tourism Organisation Funding	Tourism Marketing Committee Yorke Peninsula Inc	1/06/2020	22/07/2020	48,948
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Adelaide Hills Tourism Committee Inc	1/06/2021	14/06/2021	30,000

Grant program or fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Date Agreement Signed	Date(s) Paid	Amount (\$ excl GST)
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Destination Riverland Inc	28/10/2020	27/01/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Fleurieu Peninsula Tourism Marketing Committee Inc	22/06/2021	28/06/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Kangaroo Island Tourism Food Wine and Beverage Association Inc	18/06/2021	22/06/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Limestone Coast Local Government Association	20/01/2021	17/05/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Regional Development Australia Murraylands and Riverland Inc	1/02/2021	15/02/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Regional Development Australia Whyalla and Eyre Peninsula Inc	23/06/2021	28/06/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Regional Development Australia Yorke and Mid North Inc	10/05/2021	21/06/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Tourism Barossa Inc	10/12/2020	24/12/2020	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Tourism Marketing Committee Flinders Ranges & Outback SA Inc	12/04/2021	21/04/2021	30,000
Regional Consumer Cooperative Marketing Fund	Tourism Marketing Committee Yorke Peninsula Inc	9/12/2020	23/12/2020	30,000
Regional Event Fund	1908 Sports Management Pty Ltd	20/10/2020	11/11/2020 18/05/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Adelaide Hills Wine Region Inc	3/09/2018	2/10/2020 18/03/2021 30/06/2021	35,000
Regional Event Fund	City of Mount Gambier	1/03/2021	27/04/2021 30/06/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Clare Valley Wine & Grape Association Inc	30/10/2018	16/1/2020 30/06/2021	15,000
Regional Event Fund	Coober Pedy Retail Business & Tourism Association Inc	24/05/2021	1/06/2021 25/06/2021	5,000
Regional Event Fund	Coonawarra Grape and Wine Inc	22/08/2018	2/10/2020 3/02/2021	15,000
Regional Event Fund	District Council of Ceduna	4/06/2021	22/06/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Feast Adelaide Lesbian & Gay Cultural Festival	7/09/2020	21/10/2020 6/05/2021	15,000
Regional Event Fund	Feet 'n Frames Pty Ltd	29/12/2020	15/02/2021 23/06/2021	20,000
Regional Event Fund	History Trust of South Australia	2/09/2020	24/9/2020 28/06/2021	15,000
Regional Event Fund	Kangaroo Island Racing Club Inc	3/09/2018	21/10/2020 30/03/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Kernewek Lowender Inc	16/02/2021	28/06/2021 30/06/2021	10,800
Regional Event Fund	Oakbank Racing Club Inc	7/12/2020	3/05/2021 11/05/2021 1/06/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Port Lincoln Tunarama Inc	27/08/2018	5/11/2020	18,000
Regional Event Fund	Riverland Dinghy Club Inc	28/08/2019	3/02/2021 30/03/2021	5,000
Regional Event Fund	Riverland Wine Industry Development Council Inc	8/09/2020	24/09/2020 12/02/2021	9,818
Regional Event Fund	SA Living Artists Inc.	27/09/2018	16/11/2020 12/02/2021 30/06/2021	20,000
Regional Event Fund	South Australian Athletic League Inc	14/10/2020	10/12/2020	15,000

Grant program or fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Date Agreement Signed	Date(s) Paid	Amount (\$ excl GST)
			3/03/2021	
Regional Event Fund	Southern Eyre Arts Inc	31/01/2021	13/04/2021 1/06/2021 30/06/2021	10,000
Regional Event Fund	Tourism Barossa Inc	20/01/2021	27/04/2021 30/06/2021	30,000
Regional Event Fund	Tourism Barossa Inc	21/06/2021	30/06/2021	25,000
Regional Event Fund	True Grit Events Pty Ltd	4/05/2021	28/06/2021	5,000
Regional Event Fund	Tumby Bay Progress Association Inc	20/01/2021	26/02/2021 30/06/2021	8,000
Tourism Industry Development Fund	Fowlers Bay Caravan Park	22/03/2021	15/06/2021	86,115
Tourism Industry Development Fund	Oliver's Taranga Vineyards Pty Ltd	21/03/2021	15/06/2021	75,000
Tourism Industry Development Fund	Poonawatta Nominees Pty Ltd	22/03/2021	30/06/2021	45,000
Great State Voucher Program	Multiple Recipients	Multiple agreements	Multiple payments	6,553,240

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The government has provided a list of grant programs administered by the South Australian Tourism Commission during 2020-21 in omnibus question 14.

#### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The South Australian Tourism Commission has had nil (0) government changes since 1 July 2020.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

#### GOODS AND SERVICES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For the Office of the SA Productivity Commission, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-20 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	200	177	158	134	136

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the cost for these goods and services were as follows:

No.	Supplier	Total Value
1	BDO Advisory (SA) P/L	\$59,703.64
2	DPC Office of the Digital Government	\$15,800.00
3	Langfield-Smith Consulting	\$15,420.00
4	McGregor Tan	\$13,636.36
5	Auditor-General's Department	\$13,200.00

No.	Supplier	Total Value
6	Hays Specialist Recruitment	\$11,685.57
7	Jeffrey Hole	\$9,600.00
8	Public Policy & Commercial	\$8,000.00
9	University of Adelaide	\$6,620.00
10	Bonita Kennedy	\$6,000.00

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

No.	Supplier	Description
1	BDO Advisory (SA) P/L	(1) analysis work on funding of research and development in South Australia; and (2) conduct of survey and analysis of SA regulators' practice against SAPC's regulator practice framework.
2	DPC Office of the Digital Government	provision and maintenance of website design system for the SAPC website – <a href="http://www.sapc.sa.gov.au">www.sapc.sa.gov.au</a>
3	Langfield-Smith Consulting	consultancy work on the research and development inquiry to assist with data collection, guidance on the use of data and advice on sector performance measures
4	McGregor Tan	develop, design, implement, manage and report on a survey of businesses for the SAPC inquiry into South Australia's Regulatory Framework.
5	Auditor-General's Department	external audit fees for 2020-21
6	Hays Specialist Recruitment	temporary staff – administrative support
7	Jeffrey Hole	provided analysis of SA regulators' practice
8	Public Policy & Commercial	provided analysis of SA regulators' practice
9	University of Adelaide	Professional Development for staff (\$620 paid to Uni Adelaide PACE); consultancy associated with the analysis of South Australia's 'Growth State' industries (\$6,000 paid to Uni Adelaide SACES).
10	Bonita Kennedy	Preparation of the Office of the SA Productivity Commission's Records Disposal Schedule

In regard to the value of the goods and services that were supplied to the OSAPC by South Australian suppliers for 2019-20, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were 0 roles abolished within the Office of the SA Productivity Commission.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
	000,000.00
	000,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0, (excluding on costs).

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were 0 roles created within the Office of the SA Productivity Commission.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
	000,000.00
	000,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0 (excluding on costs).

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Table 1 shows the Office of the SA Productivity Commission's total FTE, actual and budgeted, to provide communication and promotion activities for the period 2020-21 to 2024-25:

Table 1: FTE employed in communication and promotion activities

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Branch	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	\$m	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	\$m	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Employee Number	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
Nil				Nil

Further, between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, no non-salary benefits were paid to public servants. This figure relates exclusively to the part payment of individual membership fees for a professional body or association, up to the value of \$300 per person, consistent with departmental policy.

Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Amount
Nil			Nil

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

No staff were seconded from the Office of the SA Productivity Commission to my office as at 30 June 2021.

A seconded employee is an employee who is paid for by the department and not the minister's office.

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

No executive termination has occurred since 1 July 2020, in the Office of the SA Productivity Commission. The value of termination payments made was a gross amount of \$0.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 the following new executive appointments were made within the Office of the SA Productivity Commission.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
	Nil	\$000,000

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0,000,000 (excluding on costs).

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 14 and 15 have been advised the following:

Office of the SA Productivity Commission (OSAPC)

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the OSAPC—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Nil						

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the OSAPC—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Nil						

The following table details the carryover of grants from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for the OSAPC:

Grant/program name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
Nil		

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The government has provided a list of grant programs administered by the Office of the SA Productivity Commission during 2020-21 in omnibus question 14.

#### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For the OSAPC—None.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

#### GOODS AND SERVICES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For Defence SA, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-20 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	\$7 113	\$3 373	\$3 077	\$2 902	\$2 972

Note: Supplies and services includes expenditure on the SASAT1 Space Services Mission project as well as normal operating expenses. The SASAT 1 Space Mission costs are:

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	\$3 610	\$474	\$346	\$346	\$346

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the cost for these goods and services were as follows:

Supplier	Total Value
SmartSat CRC	\$458,000
MediaEdge:CIA P/L	\$388,410
WDM Design Advertising	\$205,841
Van Den Berg Design Team	\$159,252
Carat Australia	\$113,938
Aerospace Australia Limited	\$109,309
Toolbox Graphic Design	\$83,188
Be Sustained	\$70,500
Myriota	\$50,000
Lateral Vision	\$45,420

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

Supplier	Description
SmartSat CRC	SASAT1 Space Services Mission project, milestone 1 payment
MediaEdge:CIA P/L	Advertising services covering the Defence Jobs attraction campaign, social media programs and Information advertising in Australian publications
WDM Design Advertising	Defence industry workforce and skills campaign development and general marketing collateral
Van Den Berg Design Team	Stand construction for the Land Forces 2021 exhibition
Carat Australia	Advertising services for local defence industry workforce and skills campaign and national agency campaign
Aerospace Australia Limited	Exhibition space for Land Forces 2021 exhibition
Toolbox Graphic Design	Graphic design services, website services and general marketing collateral for the agency
Be Sustained	Professional services for the Veterans SA strategic plan and development of mentor program
Myriota	Assess the feasibility of the local supply chain to develop the propulsion system and payload for locally manufactured small satellites
Lateral Vision	Creation and delivery of military history virtual tour and mobile app

Regarding the value of the goods and services that were supplied to Defence SA by South Australian suppliers for 2019-20, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

There were no roles abolished or created within Defence SA with a total employment cost of \$100,000 or more.

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Table 1 shows the Defence SA's total FTE, actual and budgeted, to provide communication and promotion activities for the period 2020-21 to 2024-25:

Table 1: FTE employed in communication and promotion activities

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Defence SA	FTE	5.2	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
	\$m	0.690	0.810	0.822	0.833	0.846

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Total	FTE	5.2	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
	\$m	0.690	0.810	0.822	0.833	0.846

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Employee Title	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
Executive and Administration Manager	Defence SA	Retention	Ongoing (Commenced 09/11/15)	\$13,454.00

Further, between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, no non-salary benefits were paid to public servants.

Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Amount
Nil			Nil

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

No staff were seconded from Defence SA to my office as at 30 June 2021.

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Nil executive level employees have been terminated from Defence SA since 1 July 2020 to 6 August 2021.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 to 6 August 2021 the following new executive appointments were made within Defence SA.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
Defence SA	Director, Marketing and Communication	\$170,000

The total annual employment cost for this appointment is \$170,000 (excluding on costs).

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 14 and 15 I have been advised the following:

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the Defence SA—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Defence Industry Development	Defence Teaming Centre—SA Defence Industry Sustainment Project	360	368	-	-	-
Defence Industry Development	Defence Teaming Centre— <i>Other assistance</i>	10	-	-	-	-
South Australian Space Innovation Fund	Space Accelerator program	50	436	325	25	-
South Australian Space Innovation Fund	Space Incubator program	400	400	-	-	-
South Australian Space Innovation Fund	Post-graduate scholarships (5 @ \$20,000 ea pa)	8	130	94	-	-
2,500 Space Industry Development	State contribution to Space Discovery Centre & Mission Control Centre	2,500	-	-	-	-
Space Industry Development	Space industry Gravity Challenge Collaboration	150	-	-	-	-
Space Industry Development	Contribution to the Space Manufacturing Hub	100	-	-	-	-
Space Industry Development	State contribution to the Andy Thomas Space Foundation	300	300	300	-	-
Space Industry Development	10 <sup>th</sup> Space Forum income transferred to the 11 <sup>th</sup> Space Forum conducted by the Andy Thomas Space Foundation	106	-	-	-	-
Industry Development	Line Zero Factory of the Future, prototyping line	-	1,960	510	510	510
Industry Development	Overseas supply chain engagement	182	-	-	-	-
Industry Attraction / Assistance	Cyber Industry Development	100	200	190	-	-
Industry Attraction / Assistance	Establish significant base of operations in SA creating skilled technical and research positions	1,200	-	-	-	-
Industry Attraction / Assistance	Establish a purpose-built Centre for Joint Integration and expand recipient's operations in SA	2,000	1,600	797	800	513
Defence Innovation Partnership – <i>Research Collaboration Fund</i>	Defence Industry Collaborative Research grants	619	833	670	670	670

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Defence Innovation Partnership – <i>AI Decision making projects</i>	Defence Industry Artificial Intelligence for decision making grants	589	-	-	-	-
Defence Innovation Partnership – <i>Activate Fund</i>	Defence Industry Activate Fund grants	-	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
Defence Industry Skilling	Premier's Defence Industry Scholarships Fund	152	150	150	150	-
Science and Research	Contribution to SmartSat CRC	200	200	-	-	--
Space Industry Skilling	Southern Hemisphere Space Studies Program scholarships	26	50	50	50	-
Science and Research	Cyber Security Co-operative Research Centre	25	-	-	-	-
Science and Research	Contribution to the Cyber Security Node	10	10	10	10	10
Veterans Employment Program	Ex-service personnel to maximise job outcomes for veterans in the defence industry		100			
Veterans SA	State contribution to Legacy SA	100	100	100	100	100
Veterans SA	State contribution to RSL SA	80	100	100	100	100
Veterans SA	State contribution to for Anzac Day services COVID grants	84	-	-	-	-
Veterans SA	Ensure First World War Veterans are appropriately commemorated by identifying and placing headstones on unmarked graves	10	-	-	-	-
Veterans SA	Provision of perpetual leases for veterans' grave sites in dedicated RSL approved burial sites	137	671	674	111	114-
Veterans SA	Minor grants	27	4	11	16	23

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for Defence SA—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Veteran's SA Anzac Commemorative Fund	To fund projects which commemorate South Australia's men and women who have served in wars, conflicts and peacekeeping operations and the role they have played in shaping our nation.	100	100	100	100	102

The following table details the carryover of grants from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for Defence SA:

Grant/program name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
Veterans SA—Provision of perpetual leases for veterans' grave sites in dedicated RSL approved burial sites	-265	265

The following table details the new commitment of grants in 2020-21 for Defence SA—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Andy Thomas Space Foundation	Andy Thomas Space Foundation	Financial support for Andy Thomas Space Foundation operations			\$0.900m
Line Zero	Flinders University	Contribution towards the Accelerated Research to Commercial operation prototyping line for the Defence Sector			\$5.000m
Defence Innovation Partnership Collaborative Research Fund	Various Researchers	Support genuine collaboration across government, universities and industry, as a catalyst for defence-relevant research, development and innovation			\$2.680m
Defence Innovation Partnership Activator Fund	Various	To identify, build and invest in research and development collaborations in nationally and globally significant areas.			\$4.800m

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

A list of grant programs administered by Defence SA during 2020-21 have been provided in omnibus question 14.

#### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

There were no machinery of government changes affecting Defence SA since 1 July 2020.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

**GOODS AND SERVICES**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For Infrastructure SA, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-20 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	\$281	\$297	\$311	\$294	\$303

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the cost for these goods and services were as follows:

Supplier	Total Value
KPMG	\$243,725
Deloitte Financial Advisory	\$165,165
Hays Specialist Recruitment	\$164,849
Programme Consulting Pty Ltd	\$119,574
Total Quality Certification	\$89,531
Seam Logistics Pty Ltd	\$50,800
Hka Global Pty Ltd	\$49,775
Hq Management Pty Ltd	\$43,650
Project Services Intl Pty Ltd	\$41,610
Graeme Joyce Pty Ltd	\$39,900

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

Supplier	Description
KPMG	Consultants—General
Deloitte Financial Advisory	Consultants – General, Contractors—General
Hays Specialist Recruitment	Temporary Staff
Programme Consulting Pty Ltd	Contractors – General, Airfares, Other Travel Expenses
Total Quality Certification	Consultants – General, Contractors—General
Seam Logistics Pty Ltd	Contractors- General
Hka Global Pty Ltd	Consultants – General, Contractors—General
Hq Management Pty Ltd	Contractors—General
Project Services Intl Pty Ltd	Contractors—General
Graeme Joyce Pty Ltd	Contractors—General

In regard to the value of the goods and services that were supplied to Infrastructure SA by South Australian suppliers for 2019-20, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question.

**PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were zero roles abolished within Infrastructure SA.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
N/A	000,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0,000,000 (excluding on costs).

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there was one role created within the Infrastructure SA.

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Assurance Officer	\$120,000.00

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$120,000 (excluding on costs).

**GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING**

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Table 1 shows Infrastructure SA's total FTE, actual and budgeted, to provide communication and promotion activities for the period 2020-21 to 2024-25:

Table 1: FTE employed in communication and promotion activities

		2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Branch	FTE	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
	\$m	0.087	0.088	0.090	0.091	0.093
Total	FTE	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
	\$m	0.087	0.088	0.090	0.091	0.093

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Employee Number	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
3031457	Infrastructure SA	Retention allowance	1/7/2020 – 30/6/2021	\$79,242.00
3031799	Infrastructure SA	Car Parking	1/7/2020 – 30/6/2021	\$4690.90
3043520	Infrastructure SA	Accommodation reimbursement	1/7/2020 – 30/6/2021	\$20,800.00
3043520	Infrastructure SA	Telephone reimbursement	1/7/2020 – 30/6/2021	\$1077.21

Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Amount
Infrastructure SA Board Chair	N/A	Retention allowance	\$79,242.00
Chief Executive, Infrastructure SA	SAES2	Car Parking	\$4690.90
Deputy Chief Executive, Infrastructure SA	SAES1	Accommodation reimbursement	\$20,800.00
Deputy Chief Executive, Infrastructure SA	SAES1	Telephone reimbursement	\$1,077.21

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

No staff were seconded from Infrastructure SA to my office as at 30 June 2021.

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Zero executive terminations have occurred since 1 July 2020, for all agencies reporting to the Premier of South Australia.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 there were no new executive appointments made within the Infrastructure SA.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
Infrastructure SA	N/A	\$000,000

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$0,000,000 (excluding on costs).

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 14 and 15 I have been advised the following:

Infrastructure SA

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for Infrastructure SA—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
N/A	N/A					

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for Infrastructure SA—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0

The following table details the carryover of grants from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for Infrastructure SA:

Grant/program name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
Nil		

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The government has provided a list of grant programs administered by Infrastructure SA during 2020-21 in omnibus question 14.

#### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 there has been no cost of machinery of government changes for Infrastructure SA.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

#### GOODS AND SERVICES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

For the Department of the Premier and Cabinet, the budgeted expenditure on goods and services for the financial year 2021-22 and each of the years of the forward estimates period is as follows:

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total goods and services	71,193	58,355	58,394	57,783	N/A

The expenditure budget for 2025-26 has not yet been finalised with the Department of Treasury and Finance.

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the cost for these goods and services were as follows:

Supplier	Total Value
NEC Australia Pty Ltd	\$12,581,876
Data 3 Ltd	\$9,327,360
Department of Infrastructure and Transport—Property Directorate	\$5,239,866
Department of Infrastructure and Transport—Building Management	\$4,023,724
NEC IT Services Australia Pty Ltd	\$3,900,902
Microsoft Pty Ltd	\$3,296,014
Optus Billing Services Pty Ltd	\$3,005,580
DXC Technology Australia	\$2,626,200
NTT Australia Pty Ltd	\$2,118,523
Hays Specialist Recruitment	\$2,048,052

The top 10 providers of goods and services for the financial year 2020-21 and the description of these goods and services is as follows:

Supplier	Description
NEC Australia Pty Ltd	Supply of network devices, PABX support and maintenance, and network management services for the provision of across government ICT services
Data 3 Ltd	Provision of Microsoft software and cloud platform services
Department of Infrastructure and Transport—Property Directorate	Rental costs, utilities usage and management fees for accommodation provided by the Department of Infrastructure and Transport
Department of Infrastructure and Transport—Building Management	Maintenance for buildings and associated fixtures owned by the Department, owned by the North Terrace Arts institutions, and provided by the Department of Infrastructure and Transport
NEC IT Services Australia Pty Ltd	Supply of computing support services, including senior technical support, for the provision of across government ICT services
Microsoft Pty Ltd	Supply of computing support services for the cyber resilience program—uplift of SA Government's cyber security posture
Optus Billing Services Pty Ltd	Supply of voice and data carriage services and call centre solutions for the provision of across government telecommunications services
DXC Technology Australia	End user computing solution and related services for the Department including end-to-end provision of desktop services
NTT Australia Pty Ltd	Supply of computing support services, including software licensing, mailbox and messaging, for the provision of across government ICT services
Hays Specialist Recruitment	Temporary Staff

In regard to the value of the goods and services that were supplied to the Department of the Premier and Cabinet by South Australian suppliers for 2019-20, please refer to the Treasurer's response to this omnibus question.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were 54 roles abolished within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet with a total estimated cost of \$100,000 or more. The approximate total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$6,892,468 (excluding on costs).

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were 120 roles created within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet with a total estimated cost of \$100,000 or more. The approximate total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$14,913,013 (excluding on costs).

Attached is the list of positions created and abolished within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021 with a total estimated cost of \$100,000 or more.

Positions Abolished—Department of the Premier and Cabinet

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Deputy State Leader Disaster Rebuilding & Recovery	\$167,239—\$257,291*
State Lead Disaster Rebuilding & Recover	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Director State Recovery	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Chief Digital Officer	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Project & Program Director, AAAC	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Local Recovery Coordinator	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Local Recovery Coordinator	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Executive Director Don Dunstan Foundation	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Senior Manager Strategy Planning	\$139,073
Manager ICT Tech Operations	\$139,073
Senior Manager Business Solutions & Support	\$139,073
Manager ICT Delivery & Business Support	\$139,073
Manager Business App Services	\$139,073
Manager ODASS ICT Services	\$139,073
Marketing Strategist	\$139,073
Marketing Strategist	\$139,073
GAR Team Leader	\$136,702
Business Engagement Manager	\$136,702
Manager Infrastructure Services	\$136,702
Marketing Strategist	\$136,702
Project Manager	\$127,113
Manager HR Systems	\$127,113
Manager Service Desk	\$127,113
Senior ICT Consultant	\$127,113
Senior ICT Consultant	\$127,113
Manager Ristec Operations Support	\$127,113
Manager Ristec ICT Services	\$127,113
Manager ICT Release Management	\$127,113
Client Relationship Manager	\$127,113
Senior E-Systems Technician	\$127,113
Marketing Communications Officer	\$113,301
Analyst/Dev-Bus Intelligence	\$113,301
Network Specialist	\$113,301
GAR Analyst	\$113,301
Team Leader Infrastructure	\$113,301
Technical Analyst (BASIS)	\$113,301
Technical Analyst (BASIS)	\$113,301
Business Analyst	\$113,301

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
ICT Security Analyst	\$113,301
SQL Server Database Admin	\$113,301
Business Analyst	\$113,301
Business Analyst	\$113,301
Technical Specialist	\$113,301
Senior Project Officer	\$113,301
Release Management Officer	\$103,782
Systems Analyst	\$103,782
Technical Specialist	\$103,782
Applications Analyst	\$103,782
Application Analyst	\$103,782
Applications Analyst	\$103,782
Applications Analyst	\$103,782
Applications Analyst	\$103,782
Applications Analyst	\$103,782
Analyst Programmer	\$103,782
Support Analyst	\$103,782

\*SAES Level 1 and 2 remuneration bandwidth is provided as details of an individual's total remuneration package value will not be released as it is considered an unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

Positions Created– Department of the Premier and Cabinet

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Director State Recovery	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Local Recovery Coordinator	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Local Recovery Coordinator	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Project & Program Director, AACC	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Chief Enterprise Architect	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Assistant Director, Program & Strategy	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Project Director Design & Construction	\$167,239—\$257,291*
Assistant Director	\$131,036
Creative Services Manager	\$139,073
Corporate Communications Manager	\$139,073
Media Communications Manager	\$139,073
Deputy Director	\$139,073
Agile Chapter Lead	\$139,073
Manager, Diplomatic Relations	\$139,073
Principal Consultant	\$139,073
Public Sector Innovation Lead	\$139,073
Public Sector Innovation Lead	\$139,073
Project Manager	\$136,702
Security Architect	\$136,702
Senior Service Designer	\$136,702
Enterprise Architect	\$136,702
Technical Lead	\$136,702
Initiative Manager	\$136,702

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Service Design & Delivery Program Manager	\$136,702
Lead Developer	\$136,702
Office 365 Service Manager	\$136,702
Change Management Lead	\$136,702
Manager Assurance & Governance	\$136,702
Senior BI Lead	\$136,702
Principal Consultant, Innovation	\$136,702
Senior Program Officer Growth	\$127,113
Senior Program Officer	\$127,113
Principal Project Manager ATSI	\$127,113
Principal Project Manager ATSI Strategy	\$127,113
Corporate Communications Strategist	\$127,113
Social Media Strategist	\$127,113
Senior HR Consultant	\$127,113
Senior Project Manager	\$127,113
Executive Officer	\$127,113
Emergency Program Developer	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Communications & Media Manager	\$127,113
Senior Project Officer	\$127,113
Full Stack Developer	\$127,113
Full Stack Developer	\$127,113
Senior Business Analyst	\$127,113
Senior Business Analyst	\$127,113
Service Designer	\$127,113
Development Engineer	\$127,113
Content Designer	\$127,113
Content Designer	\$127,113
Project Manager Change & Capability	\$127,113
Project Manager Comms & Engagement	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Project Manager	\$127,113
Development Engineer	\$127,113
Principal Advisor Cyber Risk & Emergency	\$127,113
Manager Threat Intelligence & Reporting	\$127,113
Principal Policy Officer	\$127,113
AEH Board manager	\$127,113
Senior Data Engineer	\$127,113
Senior Data Engineer	\$127,113
Data Scientist	\$127,113

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Senior Data Analyst	\$127,113
Principal Policy & Projects Officer	\$127,113
Data Analyst	\$127,113
Developer/Data Engineer	\$127,113
Policy Officer	\$127,113
Senior Policy Officer	\$113,301
Program Manager	\$113,301
Senior Policy Officer	\$113,301
Senior Project Officer	\$113,301
Senior Project Officer	\$113,301
Digital Content Producer	\$113,301
Master Media Contract Officer	\$113,301
Marketing & Comms Officer	\$113,301
Policy Officer	\$113,301
Senior Events Coordinator	\$113,301
Senior Procurement Officer	\$113,301
Policy Officer	\$113,301
Emergency Program Preparedness Officer	\$113,301
Community Development Officer	\$113,301
Senior User Researcher Project Officer	\$113,301
Senior User Researcher Project Officer	\$113,301
Visual Graphics Designer	\$113,301
Senior Cyber Analyst Incident Response	\$113,301
Senior Cyber Analyst Incident Response	\$113,301
Senior Cyber Analyst Incident Response	\$113,301
Senior Cyber Risk & Assurance Officer	\$113,301
Senior Cyber Risk & Assurance Officer	\$113,301
Data Scientist	\$113,301
Data Analyst	\$113,301
Business Analyst	\$113,301
Data Analyst	\$113,301
Business Analyst	\$113,301
Developer/Data Engineer	\$113,301
Senior Project Officer	\$113,301
Senior Project Officer	\$113,301
Project Manager	\$103,782
Senior Policy Officer	\$103,782
Developer	\$103,782
Arts Development and Grants Officer	\$103,782
Social Media Content Officer	\$103,782
Senior Policy Officer	\$103,782
Systems Support Officer	\$103,782
Cyber Security Analyst Threat Hunter	\$103,782

Title	Total Employment Cost (\$)
Cyber Security Analyst Threat Hunter	\$103,782
Senior Finance Officer	\$103,782
EDRMS Technical Support	\$103,782
Service Desk Team Leader	\$103,782
Arts Plan Officer	\$103,782
Senior Policy Officer	\$103,782
Senior Policy Officer	\$103,782
Senior Policy Officer	\$103,782
Executive Officer	\$103,782
Social Media Coordinator	\$103,782
Project Officer	\$103,782

\*SAES Level 1 and 2 remuneration bandwidth is provided as details of an individual's total remuneration package value will not be released as it is considered an unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised that for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet:

At 30 June 2021, 30 FTEs were allocated to communication and promotion functions, costing \$3.4 million.

The table below outlines the budgeted FTEs and estimated employment costs:

Year	No of FTEs budgeted to provide Communication and Promotion Activities	Estimated Employment Expense
2021-22	35.0	\$4.3 million
2022-23	31.0	\$3.8 million
2023-24	29.0	\$3.9 million
2024-25	29.0	\$3.9 million

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and budgeted expenditure for those commencing after 1 July 2020, and are disclosed on the DPC website:

<https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Position Title	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
Deputy Director, Operations & Customer Service	ICT & Digital Government	Attraction Allowance \$	1/7/20-9/4/21	\$24,093.00
Deputy Director, Strategy & Architecture	ICT & Digital Government	Attraction Allowance %	1/7/20-30/6/21	\$24,093.40
Deputy Director, Strategy & Architecture	ICT & Digital Government	Retention Allowance \$	1/7/20-5/10/20	\$24,093.00
Deputy Director, Digital Government Services	ICT & Digital Government	Retention Allowance \$	21/6/21-30/6/21	\$24,093.40
Deputy Director, Governance & Assurance	ICT & Digital Government	Retention Allowance %	1/7/20-30/6/21	\$24,093.40
Office 365 Service Manager	ICT & Digital Government	Retention Allowance %	21/10/20-30/6/21	\$22,821.00

Position Title	Branch	Allowance Type	Date Range paid for	Actual Amount paid to employee between 1/7/2020 and 30/6/2021
Deputy Director, Operations & Customer Service	ICT & Digital Government	Retention Allowance %	31/3/21-30/6/21	\$24,093.40

Further, between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021, no non-salary benefits were paid to public servants.

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

Information on ministerial staff employed as at 16 July 2021 was published in the *Government Gazette* on 22 July 2021.

The following table lists public sector staff employed as at 30 June 2021.

Title	ASO Classification	Non- salary benefits
Ministerial Liaison Officer	ASO6	Nil
Correspondence and Administration Officer	ASO4	Nil
Correspondence Co-ordinator	ASO5	Nil
Manager, Correspondence Unit	ASO7	Nil
Reception, Parliamentary and Ministerial Liaison Officer	ASO5	Nil

No staff were seconded from the department to my office as at 30 June 2021.

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Two executive terminations have occurred since 1 July 2020, from the Department of the Premier and Cabinet.

The total value of the termination payments was \$326,044.48, which excludes the value of accrued leave entitlements. Individual details of the separation payment for these former executive employees will not be released as it is considered an unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Since 1 July 2020 the following new executive appointments were made within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. Some appointments were made to existing vacated roles.

Agency	Role Title	TRPV
DPC	Chief Executive	ExecOF
DPC	Executive Director, Strategic Communications	SAES 2
DPC	Deputy Chief Executive	SAES 2
DPC	Director, Data Engineering & Security	SAES 1
DPC	Chief Enterprise Architect	SAES 1
DPC	Chief Information Security Officer	SAES 1
DPC	Director Office of the Chief Executive	SAES 1

The total annual employment cost for these appointments is \$2,146,971 (excluding on costs).

Individual executive total remuneration package values as detailed in schedule 2 of an executive employee's contract will not be disclosed as it is deemed to be unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Department of the Premier and Cabinet

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Ageing Well Initiative	1. To lead in the creation of a new narrative around the benefits associated with an aging population rather than it being viewed as a societal burden. 2. To establish Adelaide and South Australia internationally as a global centre of Ageing Well and to leverage this position for investment attraction and other benefits. 3. To provide local companies the opportunity to create and/or develop their product and service offerings, facilitating and accelerating their opportunity to realise new local, regional and global streams of wealth creation from a market that is increasing in size.	2,582	—	—	—	—
Convention Bid Fund	The Convention Bid Fund was established with the purpose to attract more international and domestic business events to South Australia.	2,741	4,977	5,000	5,000	5,000
Local Economic Recovery (LER) Grant Program	The Local Economic Recovery Grant Program (LER) aims to boost local economies by providing funding to South Australia's communities and industries most affected by 2019-20 bushfires. The program is co-funded by the Federal and South Australian governments under the National Bushfire Recovery Fund.	8,320	6,811	—	—	—
Arts						
Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Operating funding	21,180	18,137	19,033	18,741	19,546
Adelaide Festival Corporation	Operating funding	8,867	8,892	7,702	7,788	7,877
Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Operating funding	2,586	2,582	2,579	2,643	2,682

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Art Gallery Board of South Australia	Operating funding	12,489	10,125	8,696	8,684	8,751
Australian Dance Theatre	Operating funding	1,200	1,231	1,261	1,280	1,300
Carrick Hill Trust	Operating funding	2,546	1036	1027	1030	1037
Country Arts SA	Operating funding	2,983	4,600	4,601	4,606	4,627
Adelaide Fringe	Operating funding	2,276	2,333	2,392	2,428	2,465
Museum Board of South Australia	Operating funding	12,156	11,029	11,097	11,183	11,277
Libraries Board of South Australia	Operating funding	35,771	35,592	34,834	34,915	35,036
State Opera of South Australia	Operating funding	1,537	1,535	1,533	1,531	1,578
State Theatre Company	Operating funding	2,472	2,469	2,466	2,462	2,478
Tandanya	Operating funding	825	846	867	880	893
Arts Organisations Program	The Arts Organisations Program provides funding to vibrant arts organisations that contribute to a sustainable and thriving arts culture in South Australia.	4,619	4,900	5,022	5,148	5,276
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Grant funding for Individuals, Groups, Organisations from the Arts and Culture Grants Program, for a broad range of activity under the categories of Development, Projects and Major Projects.	2,681	2,667	2,734	2,802	2,872
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	This program invests in projects with professional practicing artists that provide greater community awareness of, and participation and engagement in, arts and culture.	545	528	541	555	569
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	The Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts program supports projects and initiatives by South Australian practising professional Deaf and disabled artists.	226	276	283	290	297
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Grant funding towards arts and arts projects produced by Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander people, or involving or incorporating Aboriginal	232	251	258	264	271

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
	or Torres Strait Islander people and cultures as the focus or presenters of work.					
State-wide Indigenous Community Arts Development	The initiative supports Aboriginal leadership, project ownership and community wellbeing through the arts.	104	100	103	105	108
Grant for artists leading to sustainable careers	Increase funding for existing funding programs and the establishment of new grant categories allocated in the 2018-19 State Budget process.	—	50	51	53	54
Other Arts Assistance	Funding towards Festivals Adelaide, Fringe Honey Pot, Spirit Festival, Theatre Presenters' initiative, community initiatives, regional development programs, arts capacity building and international engagement.	957	938	1,495	903	1,527
Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation						
NOTE: There are no specific grant programs for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation						
Multicultural Affairs						
Advance Together	Grants to assist multicultural organisations to improve their governance and strengthen their capacity building skills.	—	50	51	53	54
Celebrate Together	Grants to assist multicultural organisations to host festivals and events to celebrate cultural diversity.	355	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Come Together Multicultural Festival Grants	Grant funding provided to support multicultural community groups participate in the biennial Multicultural Festival, through hosting a performance, activity, or a stall at the event.	—	29	—	68	34
Expand Together	Grants to assist multicultural organisations to expand their capacity by upgrading community facilities or purchasing equipment to meet the	581	1,200	600	600	600

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
	needs of culturally and linguistically diverse communities.					
Stronger Together	Grants to assist multicultural organisations to develop and deliver projects that strengthen families and communities and improve their access to better social and economic opportunities.	541	600	615	630	646

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2020-21 and across the forward estimates for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2020-21 Actual result \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000	2024-25 Estimate \$000
Promotion of the State	A non-competitive grant fund available to support government and non-government organisations in activities that promote the economic, social and cultural aspects of the state.	2,128	1,848	1,894	1,942	1,990

The following table details the carryover of grants from 2020-21 into 2021-22 for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet:

Grant/program name	2020-21 \$000	2021-22 \$000
-	-	-

Carryover requests were due to the Department of Treasury and Finance on Friday, 3 September 2021. The result of these requests will not be known until later in the year.

The following table details the *new* commitment of grants in 2020-21 for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Controlled. This reconciles to the actual expenditure incurred as per question (d) above.

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Ageing Well Initiative	Global Centre for Modern Ageing Ltd	Contribution to support the creation and operation of the Global Centre for Modern Ageing Limited and the Living Laboratory at Tonsley	13/02/2018	1/09/2020	\$2,582,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	5th International Symposium on Block and Sublevel Caving	19/03/2021	27/04/2021	\$77,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	7th International Workshop on Specialty Optical Fibre and their Applications co-hosted with the Australian Institute of Physics Congress 2022	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$45,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Australian Psychological Society PSY2024	20/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$95,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Australian Research Council (ARC) Transformative Meta- Optical Systems (TMOS) Annual Conference 2021	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$24,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Cancer Nurses Society of Australia Annual Congress 2023 and 2025	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$160,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Congress of the International Federation of Societies of Cosmetic Chemists 2026	28/05/2021	22/06/2021	\$200,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Epilepsy Society of Australia Annual Scientific Meeting 2022	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$20,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage 24th Congress, 72nd International Executive Council Meeting, Irrigation Australia International Conference & Exhibition (IACE) 2022	9/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$170,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Conference on Cybercrime and Computer Forensics 2022	19/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$55,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Conference on Integration of Renewable and Distributed Energy Resources 2022	19/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$50,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Rangelands Congress 2025	11/06/2021	22/06/2021	\$200,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Union of Physical & Engineering Sciences in Medicine (IUPESM) World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering 2024	2/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$187,500
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	International Wheat Congress 2024	12/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$145,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	National Indigenous Research Conference Co-hosted with Native Title Conference	19/03/2021	8/04/2021	\$110,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Parking Australia Conference and Exhibition 2021	1/03/2021	4/05/2021	\$25,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Perinatal Society of Australia & New Zealand (PSANZ) Annual Congress 2022	1/03/2021	29/06/2021	\$40,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	PyCon 2021 and PyCon 2022	20/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$30,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Provision of financial support to the Ozwater 2021 event	1/03/2021	20/05/2021	\$154,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Support for the Better Together Conference 2021 and 2023	1/03/2021	15/06/2021	\$61,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	VEX (Video Editor for Extensible Markup Language) National Robotics Championships, 2021, 2023 and 2025	10/02/2021	4/03/2021	\$195,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Viva Energy Dealers Conference 2021	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$40,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	World Conference on Injury Prevention and Safety Promotion 2022	12/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$150,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	World Indigenous People Conference on Education 2022	12/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$100,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Convention Bureau	World Urban Parks Congress 2023	27/05/2021	22/06/2021	\$40,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Venue Management Corp	Australian Wine Industry Technical Conference and Trade Exhibition 2022 and 2025	22/06/2021	29/06/2021	\$100,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Venue Management Corp	Leading Age Services Australia National Congress 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024 and 2025	4/06/2021	29/06/2021	\$75,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Venue Management Corp	World Congress of Echocardiography and Allied Techniques 2022	4/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$50,000
Convention Bid Fund	Adelaide Venue Management Corporation	Population Health Congress 2022	24/03/2021	27/04/2021	\$142,500
Local Economic Recovery grants	Adelaide Hills Council	Development of the former Onkaparinga Woollen Mills at Lobethal, South Australia, to create a hub supporting creative industries, increasing tourism and providing opportunities for learning and social connection in the central Adelaide Hills	23/06/2021	6/07/2021	\$500,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Adelaide Hills Council	Support for the Lobethal Bushland Park play space replacement	2/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$150,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Adelaide Hills Tourism	Support for the Wellness-led Tourism Resilience Initiative— local and state-wide collaboration, innovation and new business development	17/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$395,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		for wellness travel experiences in Australia			
Local Economic Recovery grants	Beam Internet	Support to provide a unified approach to telecommunication infrastructure and increase internet coverage and capacity to Kangaroo Island by constructing infrastructure to be delivered as full open-access infrastructure, open to all providers for any suitable services	16/06/2021	30/06/2021	\$1,800,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Conservation Volunteers Australia	Support for the Kangaroo Island Blue Gum project	30/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$10,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Forestry SA	Support to reinstate and build the capacity of Fox Creek Bike Park to greatly increase and enhance long term recreation and nature-based tourism business opportunities, aligned to recovery and building community resilience	4/06/2021	17/06/2021	\$2,500,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Junction Australia Ltd	Production of a monthly community newsletter, to keep all of community informed on matters such as resilience, wellbeing and community involvement as well as important information and opportunities as part of recovery	10/06/2021	3/08/2021	\$10,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Junction Australia Ltd	Support to implement a range of measures supporting the development of sustainability and structure for the Parndana ReGrowth Garden and Kingscote Community Gardens, increasing volunteer knowledge and support	10/06/2021	3/08/2021	\$7,500
Local Economic Recovery grants	Junction Australia Ltd	Support to purchase an equipment cache and provide on a free loan access basis to enable community members to run events and community programs	10/06/2021	3/08/2021	\$10,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Kangaroo Island Business & Brand Alliance Inc	Support for collaborative industry rebuilding and resilience from Kangaroo Island	21/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$315,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		Business and Brand Alliance, Agriculture Kangaroo Island and Kangaroo Island Tourism Food Wine Beverage Association, together representing more than 500 Kangaroo Island businesses			
Local Economic Recovery grants	Parndana Community Children's Centre	Support to construct a purpose-built Early Childhood Education and Care centre to cater for up to 25 children from 6 months to school age in the Kangaroo Island township of Parndana	25/06/2021	1/07/2021	\$445,455
Local Economic Recovery grants	Western KI Caravan Park & Wildlife Reserve	Support for the Workers Accommodation project—support rebuilding and economic recovery efforts at the western end of Kangaroo Island by the construction of affordable accommodation at the Western KI Caravan Park suitable for trades personnel, backpackers, and seasonal workers	21/05/2021	25/05/2021	\$476,856
Local Economic Recovery grants	Kangaroo Island Community Club Inc	Support for the Workers Accommodation project—support rebuilding and economic recovery efforts at the western end of Kangaroo Island by the construction of affordable accommodation at the Western KI Caravan Park suitable for trades personnel, backpackers, and seasonal workers	12/08/2021	15/06/2021	\$800,000
Local Economic Recovery grants	Kangaroo Island Wool Pty Ltd	Support the From Fleece to Woollen Garment project—support for the establishment of a complete small scale fibre mill that would be capable of processing raw fibre (mostly wool) into quality yarn and then in to finished garments, all grown and manufactured on Kangaroo Island	25/05/2021	24/06/2021	\$900,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Operating grant for 2020-21	24/07/2020	4/8/2020 3/11/2020 15/6/2020 30/6/2021	\$21,180,000
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Festival Corporation	Operating grant for 2020-21	3/07/2020	23/7/2020 10/9/2020 31/12/2020	\$8,867,000
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Fringe	Operating grant for 2020-21	8/07/2020	16/7/2020 9/2/2021	\$2,276,000
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Multi year operating grant for 2019-2021	29/01/2019	21/7/2020 28/1/2021	\$2,586,000
Major Arts Organisations	Art Gallery of South Australia	Operating grant for 2020-21	6/08/2020	11/8/2020 27/10/2020 25/3/2021 20/5/2021 29/6/2021 6/7/2021	\$12,489,462
Major Arts Organisations	Australian Dance Theatre Ltd	Operating grant for 2020-21	23/07/2020	4/8/2020 27/10/2020 2/3/2021	\$1,200,000
Major Arts Organisations	Carrick Hill Trust	Operating grant for 2020-21	20/07/2020	22/9/2020 20/10/2020 9/2/2021 27/4/2021 20/5/2021 30/6/2021	\$2,545,666
Major Arts Organisations	Country Arts SA	Operating grant for 2020-21	3/07/2020	21/7/2020 27/10/2020 24/12/2020 28/1/2021 18/5/2021	\$6,982,665
Major Arts Organisations	Libraries Board of South Australia	Operating grant for 2020-21	21/07/2020	28/7/2020 8/10/2020 19/1/2021 27/4/2021 27/5/2021 10/6/2021	\$35,771,445
Major Arts Organisations	South Australian Museum	Operating grant for 2020-21	10/08/2020	17/9/2020 8/10/2020 3/11/2020 2/2/2021 8/6/2021 6/7/2021 8/7/2021	\$12,155,833
Major Arts Organisations	State Opera of South Australia	Operating grant for 2020-21	25/03/2020	27/8/2020 24/11/2020 9/2/2021 13/5/2021	\$1,537,000
Major Arts Organisations	State Theatre Company of South Australia	Multi year operating grant for 2019-2021	1/03/2019	23/7/2020 20/10/2020 12/1/2021 4/5/2021	\$2,472,000
Major Arts Organisations	Tandanya National Aboriginal Cultural Institute	Operating grant for 2020-21	22/10/2020	4/3/2021 30/4/2021 15/6/2021	\$825,000
Arts Organisations Program	Access2Arts	Equal Remuneration Order supplementation for 2020-21	13/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$23,063
Arts Organisations Program	Access2Arts	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	19/12/2019	4/8/2020 27/5/2021 8/6/2021	\$162,460
Arts Organisations Program	Actnow Theatre	Multi year funding for 2019-2021	18/12/2018	7/1/2021 19/8/2021	\$114,800

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts Organisations Program	Adelaide Baroque Inc	Annual organisational funding for 2020	18/12/2019	6/10/2020	\$45,000
Arts Organisations Program	Adelaide Baroque Inc	Annual program funding for 2021	7/12/2020	11/02/2021	\$45,000
Arts Organisations Program	Adelaide Chamber Singers Inc	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	18/12/2019	25/8/2020 2/3/2021	\$121,500
Arts Organisations Program	Ananguku Arts and Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	16/01/2019	17/9/2020 27/5/2021	\$167,607
Arts Organisations Program	APY Art Centre Collective	Annual organisational funding for 2020	28/02/2020	6/10/2020	\$35,000
Arts Organisations Program	APY Art Centre Collective	Annual organisational funding for 2021	8/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$35,000
Arts Organisations Program	Artlink Australia	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	16/01/2019	4/8/2020 11/3/2021	\$114,160
Arts Organisations Program	Arts Law Centre Of Australia	Triennial funding for 2021-2024	13/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$42,000
Arts Organisations Program	Australian Copyright Council	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	11/02/2020	3/06/2021	\$4,554
Arts Organisations Program	Australian Network for Art and Technology	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	21/01/2020	17/9/2020 23/2/2021	\$113,400
Arts Organisations Program	Australian String Quartet	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	19/12/2019	25/8/2020 4/2/2021	\$273,375
Arts Organisations Program	Brink Productions Limited	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	23/01/2019	20/10/2020 20/4/2021	\$396,175
Arts Organisations Program	Chamber Music Adelaide	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	21/01/2019	4/08/2020 30/3/2021	\$155,672
Arts Organisations Program	Contemporary SA Inc	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	11/01/2019	20/10/2020 18/2/2021	\$321,722
Arts Organisations Program	Dance Hub SA	Organisational funding for 2021	7/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$50,000
Arts Organisations Program	Dance Hub SA	Support to enable the organisation to deliver the agreed program of activities within the agreed budget for 2020 program	13/01/2020	23/07/2020	\$45,000
Arts Organisations Program	Feast Festival	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	20/12/2019	24/11/2020 20/4/2021	\$172,125
Arts Organisations Program	Gravity and Other Myths	Annual organisational funding for 2021	11/12/2020	23/02/2021	\$49,733
Arts Organisations Program	Gravity and Other Myths	Support enable the organisation to deliver the agreed program of activities within the agreed budget for 2020 program	2/04/2020	30/07/2020	\$52,250

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts Organisations Program	Guildhouse	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	15/01/2019	15/9/2020 1/4/2021	\$225,206
Arts Organisations Program	Nexus Multicultural Arts	Triennial funding for 2018-2020	13/02/2018	23/07/2020	\$110,047
Arts Organisations Program	Nexus Multicultural Arts	Triennial funding for 2018-2020—variation to agreement extended to 31/12/2021	13/01/2021	18/02/2021	\$112,798
Arts Organisations Program	No Strings Attached Theatre of Disability	Triennial funding for 2020-2022	18/12/2019	30/7/2020 30/3/2021	\$131,625
Arts Organisations Program	Open Space Contemporary Arts	Triennial funding for the program of activities for 2020-2022	29/01/2020	17/9/2020 2/3/2021	\$121,500
Arts Organisations Program	SA Living Artists Inc	Triennial funding for 2018-2020	14/03/2018	11/12/2186	\$104,806
Arts Organisations Program	SA Living Artists Inc	Triennial funding for 2018-2020—variation to agreement extended to 31/12/2021	14/03/2018	12/02/2194	\$107,427
Arts Organisations Program	Slingsby Theatre Company Ltd	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	14/03/2019	20/10/2020 20/5/2021	\$390,217
Arts Organisations Program	The Mill Inc	Triennial funding for 2019-2021	15/01/2019	4/8/2020 2/3/2021	\$121,424
Arts Organisations Program	Tutti Arts Inc	Annual funding for 2020	18/12/2019	4/08/2020	\$55,000
Arts Organisations Program	Tutti Arts Inc	Annual funding for 2021	7/12/2020	30/03/2021	\$55,000
Arts Organisations Program	Tutti Arts Inc	Equal Remuneration Order supplementation for 2020-21	1/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$19,151
Arts Organisations Program	Vitalstatistix Incorporated	Triennial funding for 2018-2020	15/03/2018	20/10/2020	\$172,931
Arts Organisations Program	Vitalstatistix Incorporated	Triennial funding for 2018-2020—variation to agreement extended to 31/12/2021	15/03/2018	25/05/2021	\$177,253
Arts Organisations Program	Writers SA	Triennial funding for 2018-2020	19/02/2018	20/10/2020	\$86,466
Arts Organisations Program	Writers SA	Triennial funding for 2018-2020—variation to agreement extended to 31/12/2021	19/02/2018	20/05/2021	\$88,627
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	ABCG Film Pty Ltd	Support for the David Gulpilil Mural	4/06/2021	17/06/2021	\$5,200
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Adelaide Cantata Band	Support for 'Exultant Bach & Actus Tragicus'	28/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$20,090
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Support for the stage 2 development of 'Floods of Fire'	21/12/2020	2/02/2021	\$100,000
Arts grants for Individuals	Aidan Coleman	Support for 'Thin Ice: A life of John Forbes'	7/10/2020	20/10/2020 17/11/2020	\$27,298

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Groups & Organisations					
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Alexander Flood	Support for an album recording	18/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$7,600
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Alexandra Hirst	Support for a glass casting mentorship and residency	6/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$5,800
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Alice Castello	Support for the FELTspace Exhibition Program March-August 2021	7/01/2021	16/03/2021	\$11,843
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Andy Beecroft	Support for 'Honey Pot—Arts Marketplace'	8/02/2021	16/03/2021	\$10,500
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Anna Horne	Support for the development of new work	13/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$7,524
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Annabel Matheson	Support for 'How to Kill Your Hamster' by Eliza Oliver at RUMPUS	8/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$22,841
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Astrid Pill	Support for the creative development of 'Til Death'	26/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$16,035
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Australian Dance Theatre	Support for 'South'— South Australian tour and community engagement program	23/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$50,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Ben Brooker	Support for an untitled creative non-fiction book research and mentorship	8/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$7,400
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Bridget Currie	Support for the development of major new body of sculptural and sound work	13/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$20,570
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Brink Productions	Support for Brink and independent artist-led projects	20/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$100,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Britt Plummer	Support for Chameleon regional tour and workshops	16/03/2021	8/04/2021	\$24,231
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Callan Fleming	Support for a mentorship with Gabrielle Nankivell	17/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$2,688
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Carclew Inc	Support for the first year program development of Carclew's mobile music and media station, 'The Gig Rig'	18/01/2021	2/02/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Catherine Truman	Support for stage 2 of 'Shared Reckonings'	9/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$21,375

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Cayleigh Davies	Support for inSPACE development of 'Retrieve Your Jeans'	12/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$12,857
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Celia Craig	Support for Metamorphosis Project ( 'Ovid in Covid' )	29/04/2021	15/06/2021	\$17,939
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Charlotte Barkla	Support for manuscript development—new middle-grade novel	23/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$9,300
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Christopher Williams	Support for a Carrick Hill Concert Series	3/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$5,300
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	City of Marion	Support for 'Congregate'	13/10/2020	2/02/2021	\$100,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	City of Marion	Support for 'Reclaiming Sturt River'—an arts and environment project	7/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$15,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	City of Marion	Support for the Glandore Community Centre playground public art	23/12/2020	4/02/2021	\$15,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Clara Solly-Slade	Commission and development of a new Australian work 'Speculative Friction'	15/08/2020	3/11/2020	\$13,728
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Connecting the Dots in Music	Support for 'Lullaby Project Australia'— empowering families through music and community connection	4/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Connecting the Dots in Music	Support for 'What Do You Do with An Idea?'—empowering South Australia's creativity	4/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$43,700
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Corey McMahon	Support for Arts leadership professional development	7/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$14,160
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Daniel Hales	Support for 'Me, My Dad and the Holy Ghost'	3/05/2021	25/05/2021	\$11,210
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	David Brown	Support for 'The PaperBoats' infrastructure costs	29/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$10,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	David Michael Moran	Support for 'Diametric Convergences'	22/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$27,912
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Debra Stewart	Support for 'One Night' (working title)	6/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$4,327
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Derek Pedley	Support for 'Child 36112—A Memoir of Australian Adoption'	20/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$10,975

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Dr Rachael Mead	Support for a novel 'The Art of Breaking Ice'	18/12/2020	9/02/2021	\$9,600
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Dylan Crismani	Support for 'Utter: Stories told by a ghost, a book, and a room'	6/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$23,477
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Dylan Phillips	Support for 'Inevitable'	26/10/2020	24/11/2020	\$16,762
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Eduard Helmbold	Support for an interstate group exhibition	10/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$15,400
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Edwin Kemp Attrill	Support for new career development through mentorship, courses and work placements	28/04/2021	3/06/2021	\$13,530
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Edwin Kemp Attrill	Support for professional multicam documentation and the live streaming of 2021 Rumpus Season	28/04/2021	11/05/2021	\$26,533
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Edwin Kemp Attrill	Support for 'Reimagined' touring program through hybrid theatre and film	19/12/2020	2/03/2021	\$48,010
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Eleanor Amor	Support for group exhibition 'Limits and Leavings'	21/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$10,931
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Elyas Alavi	Support for 'Fellowship—Not Just A Shadow'	22/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$50,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Em Koenig	Support for research and manuscript development for 'Woomera'	23/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$3,267
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Ena Grozdanic	Support for Testing Grounds Creative Development Program to develop project 'Searching for Riverbeds'	4/03/2021	18/05/2021	\$18,508
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Erin Fowler	Support for 'EGG Development'—new solo work by Erin Fowler	10/11/2020	24/12/2020	\$15,300
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Erin Fowler	Support for 'FEMME' at Space Theatre, Adelaide Festival Centre June 2021	27/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$11,178
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Feast Festival	Support for the Dr Duncan Project	23/12/2020	19/01/2021	\$100,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Feltspace Inc	Support for FELTspace Exhibition Program September 2021— February 2022	17/05/2021	1/06/2021	\$8,830
Arts grants for Individuals	Francesca da Rimini	Support for 'In the sky Nonna and I were flying I imagined'	10/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$14,613

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Groups & Organisations					
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Gabriella Smart	Support to undertake a professional mentorship and develop a five-year career and business strategy	18/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$9,800
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Gabrielle Nankivell	Support for documentation and presentation of 'Future History'	12/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$17,610
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Georgina Chadderton	Support for a graphic novel memoir 'Oh Brother'	6/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$9,970
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Grace Marlow	Support for 'hot body'	11/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$17,584
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Guildhouse	Support for The Adelaide Art Scene 1939-2000	25/02/2021	20/04/2021	\$60,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Henry Wolff	Support for 'Care'—a moving image work	23/04/2021	25/05/2021	\$17,350
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Insite Arts International— Lucy Combe on behalf of	Support for 'The Deep North'	20/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$14,871
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Izabella Shaw	Support for the development of new work for a solo exhibition	29/04/2021	27/05/2021	\$3,900
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jasmin McWatters	Support new play development 'Weight'	26/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$9,181
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jason Sweeney	Support for 'Decades'—a sound art and experimental music retrospective (2001-2021)	7/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$7,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jeanne Danielle Barrie	Support for The Female Archetypes Collection	26/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$12,317
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jennifer Greer Holmes	Support for the creative development of 'Nevermore'	18/12/2020	11/02/2021	\$17,550
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jessica Alice	Support for Literary Market Lab	30/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$15,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jessica Loughlin	Support for a new work for Icon 2022	4/01/2021	16/03/2021	\$50,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jo Stone	Support for the creative development of 'Kafka's Breath'	1/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$25,583

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Jonathan Kim	Support of development for cross cultural collaborations	21/12/2020	16/03/2021	\$12,042
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Julia Robinson	Support for the development of new work for solo exhibition	13/01/2021	18/02/2021	\$9,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Julianne Pierce	Support for creative development of 'Wear the Light' and 'The Night Sky'	24/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$22,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Juliette Zavarce	Support for 'True Ability—UnSeen'	2/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$20,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Kari Seeley	Support for 'Open Homes Adelaide'	30/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Kasia Tons	Support for 'Shed a skin, make a skin'	25/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$12,240
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Kate Power	Support for the final development and full presentation of 'Bedroom' at Vitalstatistix	21/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$26,396
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lana Guineay	Support for 'New Wave'	23/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$10,610
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lewis Major	Support for 'Traffic'—a Drive-in performance for isolated times	6/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$25,795
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lewis Major	Triennial funding for the creation of new dance work in regional and metropolitan South Australia	29/10/2018	5/01/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Liana Skryzpczak	Support for 'The Lore of Jeju'	22/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$5,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lina Limosani	Support for 'Barbaros'	27/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$36,862
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lisa Furno	Support for 'Cause & Effect'	6/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$10,200
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Louise Blackwell	Support for 'Love on the Left Bank'	4/01/2021	19/01/2021	\$24,966
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Louise Flaherty	Support for 'Memorial for Forgotten Plants 2.0'	27/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$13,319
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lucy Haas- Hennessy	Support for Zen Zen Zo creative entrepreneur internship	23/04/2021	25/05/2021	\$9,006

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Lucy Markey	Support for the ExpressWay Arts Skill Building project	23/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$32,295
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Margie Medlin	Support for 'Pru La Motte: Intrepid Feminist and Craftswoman in South Australia (1928- 2020)'—an intergenerational collaboration	20/12/2020	4/02/2021	\$7,500
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Martha Lott	Support for 'That Boy'—a contemporary, new theatre production for performance in Adelaide Fringe 2021	29/10/2020	1/12/2020	\$37,550
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Mary Angley	Support for 'The Triumph of Man: A Comedy in Two Acts'	24/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$29,663
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Matthew Briggs	Support for the second development of 'Guthrak'	26/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$18,408
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Matthew Laing	Support for professional career and community engagement development	9/01/2021	4/02/2021	\$7,360
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Michael Kutschbach	Support for the presentation and development of a multi channel video work	23/04/2021	25/05/2021	\$7,500
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Monika Morgenstern	Support for a glass etching	27/04/2021	25/05/2021	\$5,830
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Monte Masi	Support for the creative development of 'Fulfillment Centre'	21/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$14,840
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Nancy Downes	Support for a group exhibition 'Life Forms' at Praxis Artspace	9/10/2020	27/10/2020	\$12,736
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Noriko Tadano	Support for 'Yasha's Lullaby'	27/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$13,150
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Open Space Contemporary Arts	Support for the market development of 'Of Boys and Men'	18/01/2021	18/02/2021	\$5,244
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Penelope Cashman	Support for a pianistic and pedagogical specialisation in the Taubman approach	8/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$12,574
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Peta Kruger	Support for the development of new large scale works from waste plastics	21/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$10,400
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Petter Naessan	Support for 'Vetter og villskap' album recording project	14/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$12,175

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Phoebe Paterson de Heer	Support for 'The Bodies That Work It'— memoir manuscript writing time	21/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$9,100
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Piri Eddy	Support for 'Strata' by Peter Beaglehole and 'Forgiveness' by Piri Eddy—a double bill of new South Australian plays	5/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$38,293
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Post Dining Pty Ltd	Support for Adelaide Fringe debut of 'Eating Tomorrow'	12/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$32,743
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Pulsing Heart	Support for market development for 'HEXADECA'	20/10/2020	24/12/2020	\$12,578
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Rayleen Forester	Support for Fine Print Magazine 2021 program	30/01/2021	30/03/2021	\$10,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Restless Dance Theatre	Support for promotional videos to market the company's work	23/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$8,660
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Rhen Soggee	Support for community consultation, cultural leadership scoping and resource generation scoping	7/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$39,758
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Richard Parker	Support for a media mentorship	28/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$3,300
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Roy Ananda	Support for development of new work for solo exhibition	8/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$10,560
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sally Chance	Support for 'The Things That Matter'	18/01/2021	30/03/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sanaa Ink Limited	Support for 'A better world through creativity'	12/01/2021	16/02/2021	\$15,640
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sarah Neville	Support for 'Transmissions' choreographing for virtual reality	21/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$50,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sarra Tzijan	Support for 'Modern Dhokra'—a new body of sculptural work in collaboration with Jam Factory glass studio. A creative development of the 'Village Vessels' concept	8/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$15,200
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Simone Slattery	Triennial funding 2020- 2023 to undertake the project 'Shorebird Stories'	8/04/2020	15/06/2021	\$40,000
Arts grants for Individuals	Slingsby Theatre Company	Support for 'The Boy Who Talked to Dogs'—	5/03/2021	1/06/2021	\$50,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Groups & Organisations		South Australian regional tour 2021			
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sophie Armstrong	Support for 'Regret'— durational live performance	12/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$6,451
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Soundstream	Soundstream presents the world premiere regional South Australian tour of 'Primordial' by Constantine Koukias	30/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$16,026
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Stephen House	Support for 'The Ajoona Guest House'	28/05/2021	13/05/2021	\$7,650
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Stephen Noonan	Support for 'Lift Off'	28/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$9,586
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Steven Bellosguardo	Support for a mentorship with Alex Seton	12/10/2020	27/10/2020	\$4,591
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Suzanne Close	Support for 'Adelaide X: Collaborative Art in the Local Context' (working title)	5/05/2021	25/05/2021	\$12,300
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Sylvie Huigen	Support for InReview— a publication providing local and professional coverage of the arts in South Australia	3/06/2021	22/06/2021	\$10,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Teena Munn— Patch Theatre	Support for regional South Australia touring of 'I Wish'	5/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$28,990
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	The Art Bus	Support for 'With One Voice—The Art Bus'— exploring homelessness through visual art at Hutt Street Centre	25/01/2021	23/02/2021	\$38,510
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	The Mill Inc— Katrina Lazaroff on behalf of	Support for The Emerging Producer Xchange	18/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$9,784
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Tutti Arts	Support for creative development of 'Company AT—That's What She Said' (working title)	14/04/2021	27/05/2021	\$50,500
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Vitalstatistix	Support for 'Emission'	23/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$10,000
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Yusuf Hayat	Support for 'Kaurna Yerta / S 35o O'59.31' E138o 37' 13.545"	1/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$9,898
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Zhao Liang	Support for the creation and development of 2021 new series in collaboration with the Art Gallery of SA	30/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$12,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Arts grants for Individuals Groups & Organisations	Zoe Grigoris	Support for Solo South Australian Living Artists exhibition at Jam Factory 2021	21/12/2020	19/01/2021	\$8,103
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide City of Music	Support for the Adelaide City of Music office	20/04/2021	4/05/2021	\$20,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Support for APAM (Australian Performing Arts Market) Darwin 2021	31/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$4,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Contribution to the artist lab at OzAsia Festival	8/05/2021	10/06/2021	\$20,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Contribution to the DreamBIG Children's Festival 2021	31/03/2020	11/02/2021	\$100,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Film Festival	Support for the Adelaide Film Festival 2020 artists and COVID-19 safe support	16/10/2020	1/12/2020	\$50,521
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Repertory Theatre Inc	Support for 2021 COVID-19 safe operations	18/01/2021	18/02/2021	\$60,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Auspicious Arts Projects Inc	Support for Australian Performing Arts market gathering at 2021 DreamBIG Children's Festival	16/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$36,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Australian Performing Arts Centre Association	Support for APAX (Australian Performing Arts Centres) 2021— South Australian sector support	17/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$19,250
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Brink Productions Limited	Support for 'Riders in the Chariot'	14/08/2020	6/10/2020	\$30,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Cabaret Fringe Association	Support for the Cabaret Fringe Festival 2021	28/05/2021	10/06/2021	\$20,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Dance Hub SA	Organisational funding for 2021—variation to agreement for electricity cost support	7/12/2020	6/07/2021	\$4,200
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Festivals Adelaide	Support for Festival City Adelaide Online	12/01/2021	1/04/2021	\$49,500
Community Arts & Cultural	Gina Rings	Support for APAM (Australian Performing	7/06/2021	8/07/2021	\$4,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Development Project Funding for Organisations		Arts Market) Darwin 2021			
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Hartstone-Kitney Productions	Support for 'Black Box Live: From Adelaide to Edinburgh and Hollywood Fringe'	31/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$49,996
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Lewis Major	Support for 2021 festival commitments	9/03/2021	16/03/2021	\$35,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Restless Dance Theatre Inc	Support for APAM (Australian Performing Arts Market) Darwin 2021	31/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$4,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Rumpus Theatre	Support for RUMPUS 2021 Season—June to July operational support and equipment	31/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$10,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	The Mill Incorporated	Support for 'The Move'	21/10/2020	1/12/2020	\$10,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Tilda Cobham- Hervey	Support APAM (Australian Performing Arts Market) Darwin 2021	31/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$2,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Vitalstatistix Incorporated	Support APAM (Australian Performing Arts Market) Darwin 2021	1/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$4,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Young Adelaide Voices	Support for The Pulse Darwin Festival 2021	24/05/2021	22/06/2021	\$12,836
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Art Gallery of South Australia	Support the Art Gallery's continued commitment to building inclusive experiences for diverse audiences	23/06/2020	4/08/2020	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Christopher Dyke	Support for first stage development of a collaborative dance performance 'Two' and mentorship	30/06/2020	28/07/2020	\$8,084
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Christopher Faull	Support for the Dawn Slade-Faull Award	30/06/2020	16/07/2020	\$3,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Cinzia Schincariol & Matt Shilcock	Support for the final development of 'SCOMODO'	11/01/2021	8/04/2021	\$19,700
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Elizabeth Wojciak	Support for the Synchronicity project	24/06/2020	23/07/2020	\$5,493

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Gail Hocking	Support for 'Interior/Exterior Landscape'	24/05/2020	30/07/2020	\$4,500
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Kelly Vincent	Support for 'You, Me and the Truth' (formerly Creative Development for Gulls, Reimagined)	14/12/2020	2/03/2021	\$10,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Martin Sawtell/ Michele Saint-Yves	Support for aesthetic access creative development of 'Preserving Jars'	30/06/2020	23/07/2020	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Monday McGill	Support for 'Signs of S & M'	25/06/2020	16/07/2020	\$10,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Open Space Contemporary Arts	Support for 'Of Boys and Men—The Men of Shadows' project	7/08/2020	1/09/2020	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Restless Dance Theatre Inc	Support for 'Guttered'—production set in a Bowling Alley directed by Michelle Ryan	8/07/2020	23/07/2020	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Restless Dance Theatre Inc	Annual core funding for 2021	1/02/2021	18/02/2021	\$40,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Sit Down Shutup & Watch Film & New Media Festival	Support for 'Virtually Together'	10/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Actnow Theatre	Support for the First Nations arts pathway program	7/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$24,995
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Support for the First Nations Connections at OzAsia Festival	28/04/2021	18/05/2021	\$24,940
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Support for 'Our Words, Our Stories 2021'	24/05/2021	8/06/2021	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Adrienne Semmens	Support for a First Nations choreographic lab	23/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$15,250
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Cirkidz	Support for First Nations facilitators for First Nations kids	18/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$22,930
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Edwin Kemp Attrill	Support for First Nations writers residency and development program	19/12/2020	2/03/2021	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Ernabella Arts	Support for 'Parari (far away)'	5/01/2021	4/02/2021	\$14,670
Indigenous Arts Development Program	History Trust of South Australia	Support for 'Pondi (Murray Cod): Kurri (River) Winth-amaldi (Creator)'	6/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Shane Cook	Support for personal development—exploring the fundamentals of technical drawing and realism	23/04/2021	1/06/2021	\$9,254

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Skye O'Meara	Support for Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara regional painting camps	29/01/2021	4/05/2021	\$20,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Writers SA	Support for the South Australian First Nations writer's professional pathways program	19/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development (SICAD)	Ananguku Arts and Cultural Aboriginal Corporation	Contribution to the Statewide Community Arts Development (SICAD) Project	24/07/2020	15/09/2020	\$104,000
Other Arts Assistance	City of Adelaide	Support for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander creative culture practices	22/12/2020	2/02/2021	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	Feltspace Incorporated	Support for FELTspace X Watch This Space: Coober Pedy art camp	3/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Garden of Unearthly Delights	Support for the Garden Sessions Indigenous Program 2021	8/12/2020	11/03/2021	\$5,000
Other Arts Assistance	Guildhouse	Support for the Catapult mentorship program	23/09/2020	22/10/2020	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Isaac Lindsay	Support for 'Stories of Country' (working title)	18/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$48,072
Other Arts Assistance	Nancy Bates	Support for 'Heartstrings'	21/05/2021	3/06/2021	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	Nexus Multicultural Arts	Support for IN-SITE: First Nations emerging curators program	13/05/2021	1/06/2021	\$42,100
Other Arts Assistance	Ninuku Arts	Support for the Walka Waru Kalawtjanga project	11/05/2021	1/06/2021	\$32,580
Other Arts Assistance	SA First Nations Dance Collective	Support for 'YARTA WANDATA'	11/05/2021	25/05/2021	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Choral Network Inc	Support for a COVID- 19 response for the choral sector	14/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$7,240
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Support for a pilot space activation program at the Adelaide Festival Centre	12/08/2020	18/02/2021	\$40,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Provision of a space activation grant	28/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$25,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Fringe	Support for the 2021 Adelaide Fringe Arts Marketplace— HoneyPot	15/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Support for accommodation expenses during 2019- 2021	21/01/2019	2/03/2021	\$30,000
Other Arts Assistance	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Recruitment support for new Chief Executive Officer	24/08/2020	15/09/2020	\$8,700
Other Arts Assistance	Art Gallery of South Australia	Delivery of the regional touring program—Art Gallery of South Australia and Country Arts SA initiative	6/05/2021	15/06/2021	\$75,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Other Arts Assistance	Australian Book Review	Support for the Australian Book Review 2021	5/11/2020	24/11/2020	\$12,000
Other Arts Assistance	Australian Dance Theatre	Recruitment support for Artistic Director position	23/02/2021	27/04/2021	\$25,000
Other Arts Assistance	Bakehouse Theatre Company	Support to deliver theatre presenters initiatives	26/02/2021	15/04/2021	\$30,750
Other Arts Assistance	Central Studios Inc	Support for an Ed Tweddell studio residency	3/03/2020	2/03/2021	\$5,000
Other Arts Assistance	Cinnabar Productions	Support for the Semaphore Music Festival	15/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$20,000
Other Arts Assistance	Country Arts SA	Assistance with producing the Mount Gambier Fringe 2019-2021	19/11/2018	30/07/2020	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Dave Brown	Support for 'The Paper Boats'	4/08/2020	1/09/2020	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Festivals Adelaide	Multi year organisational funding 2019-2022—joint strategic development of major arts and cultural festivals held in Adelaide	22/10/2019	20/04/2021	\$64,300
Other Arts Assistance	Gravity & Other Myths	Support for installation of rigging solution for aerial training equipment at Mile End Studios	7/09/2020	17/09/2020	\$10,354
Other Arts Assistance	Guildhouse	Support for The Adelaide Art Scene 1939-2000	25/02/2021	20/04/2021	\$40,000
Other Arts Assistance	Holden Street Theatres	Support for 'Arts Park'	23/03/2021	27/05/2021	\$35,000
Other Arts Assistance	Holden Street Theatres	Support to deliver theatre presenters initiatives	30/03/2020	29/06/2021	\$30,750
Other Arts Assistance	Jack Ritchie	Support for Adelaide Symphony Orchestra performances and workshops at the SALT Festival	9/03/2021	31/03/2021	\$40,000
Other Arts Assistance	Nexus Multicultural Arts	Support for an application writing and support pilot program	5/08/2020	18/08/2020	\$11,260
Other Arts Assistance	Regional Galleries Association of SA	Organisational funding for 2020-21	13/10/2020	17/12/2020	\$20,000
Other Arts Assistance	Replay Creative Pty Ltd	Support for a strategic investment proposal	29/01/2020	13/04/2021	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Slingsby Theatre Company Ltd	Contribution for 'The Bleeding Tree' by Angus Cerini—to support the financial viability of this production in December 2020	26/09/2020	15/12/2020	\$10,400
Other Arts Assistance	South Australian Tourism Commission	Support for the South Australian Arts and Cultural Tourism Strategy 2025	12/05/2021	29/06/2021	\$6,388

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Other Arts Assistance	Theatre Republic Limited	Contribution for 'The Bleeding Tree' by Angus Cerini	26/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$15,000
Other Arts Assistance	Zephyr Quartet Inc	Once off funding for 2020	9/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$17,128
Arts Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Youth Orchestra	Organisational funding for 2021	31/05/2021	15/09/2020	\$30,000
Arts Discretionary Grants	Belinda Howden	Support for lead and emerging writers for the 2022 South Australian Living Artists publication	3/05/2021	15/09/2020	\$10,500
Arts Discretionary Grants	Gill Hicks	Support for 'Still Alive (and Kicking)' presentation at the 2021 Edinburgh Fringe	3/05/2021	15/09/2020	\$10,000
Arts Discretionary Grants	GWB Studios Pty Ltd	Support to enhance usability of rehearsal studios	10/06/2021	15/09/2020	\$19,385
Arts Discretionary Grants	Karena Slaninka	Support for the 2021 cinematheque screening program	18/01/2021	15/09/2020	\$15,000
Arts Discretionary Grants	Wakfield Press Pty Ltd	Support for South Australian Living Artists monograph 2022	20/04/2021	15/09/2020	\$40,000
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Bangladeshi Cultural Club	Support for the Bengali Festival 2021	11/12/2020	24/12/2020	\$4,995
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Nepal Inc	Support for Deusi Bhailo—Dashain Tihar Festival 2021	30/09/2020	7/01/2021	\$300
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Pakistani Women Association of SA Inc	Support for Chand Raat, End of Ramadaan 2021	3/03/2021	6/05/2021	\$4,769
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Sri Lanka Buddhist Vihara Inc	Support for Sinhala and Tamil New Year 2021—Annual Multicultural Festival	13/01/2021	11/03/2021	\$4,855
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Sri Lanka Buddhist Vihara Inc	Support for the Sri Lankan Curry Night 2021—Annual Mega Cultural Event	3/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$7,408
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Tamil Association	Support for Deepavali—Indian Festival of Lights	4/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$1,845
Celebrate Together	Adelaide Tamil Association	Support for Harvest Festival (Pongal)	17/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$4,973
Celebrate Together	Afghan Australian Women Association Inc	Support for the Nawroz Festival	26/03/2021	20/04/2021	\$1,715
Celebrate Together	African Communities Council of SA Inc	Support for the Unmasked African Festival	12/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$4,728
Celebrate Together	Altavilla Irpina Sports And Social Club Incorporated	Support for the San Pellegrino Martire Festa	13/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$28,156
Celebrate Together	Arabic Language and Culture Association of SA	Support for World Arabic Language Day in South Australia	27/09/2020	8/10/2020	\$150
Celebrate Together	Association of the Burundian Community of SA Inc	Support for reconnecting community after	21/12/2020	7/01/2021	\$2,776

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		COVID-19 / 15th Anniversary event			
Celebrate Together	Australian Indonesian Association of SA Inc	Support for Indofest 2021	23/12/2020	12/01/2021	\$30,000
Celebrate Together	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for 'Connecting Women'	15/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$4,627
Celebrate Together	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for the Murraylands Multicultural Festival	15/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$4,500
Celebrate Together	Bangladesh Puja & Cultural Society of SA Inc	Support for 'Durga Puja'	29/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$4,910
Celebrate Together	Bund der Bayern Inc	Support for community event 'Oktober is Over!'	28/09/2020	20/10/2020	\$897
Celebrate Together	Bund der Bayern Inc	Support for Herbstfest (German Autumn Festival)	11/12/2020	24/12/2020	\$4,587
Celebrate Together	Ceylon Tamil Association of SA Inc	Support for ILLAVENIL (Aus-Ceylon Tamils Multicultural Event)	2/03/2021	16/03/2021	\$2,019
Celebrate Together	Chin Community of South Australia	Support for celebrations of Chin National Day 2021	8/01/2021	21/01/2021	\$4,467
Celebrate Together	Chinatown Adelaide of SA Inc	Support for the Lunar New Year Event	17/02/2021	4/03/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Chinese Music & Arts SA	Support for 'Colours of Adelaide'	14/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Cyprus Community of SA Inc	Support for the Cyprus Festival 2021	15/01/2021	4/02/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Ethnic Broadcasters Inc	Support for Let's Dance! 5th Ethnic Broadcasters Incorporated annual ball	2/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$2,218
Celebrate Together	Ghanian Association of SA Inc	Support for Culture and Community Independence Day celebrations on 6th March 2021	15/01/2021	2/03/2021	\$3,460
Celebrate Together	Greek Orthodox Archdiocese Of Australia Third Archdiocesan District- intercommunities Council	Support for the Glenelg Greek Festival	17/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Indian Australian Arts and Cultural Association Inc	Support for Holi on the Beach 2021	31/03/2021	20/04/2021	\$3,800
Celebrate Together	Indian Australian Association of SA	Support for Indian Mela 2021	3/03/2021	18/03/2021	\$29,203
Celebrate Together	Islamic Information Centre of SA	Support for the Multicultural EID Festival	11/05/2021	20/05/2021	\$25,682
Celebrate Together	Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for 'Ladies Bazaar'	4/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$1,222
Celebrate Together	Japan Australia Friendship Association	Support for 'AnimeGo'	10/10/2020	22/10/2020	\$4,045

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Celebrate Together	Japan Australia Friendship Association	Support for the Kodomo No Hi Japan festival	2/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$14,813
Celebrate Together	Jewish Community Council for SA Inc	Support for the Adelaide Purim Carnival	2/01/2021	19/01/2021	\$3,042
Celebrate Together	Macedonian Community of Adelaide & SA Inc	Support for the 'Cultured' Festival	24/02/2021	16/03/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Middle Eastern Communities Council of SA Inc	Support for the 2021 Nowruz Festival	22/12/2020	9/02/2021	\$4,546
Celebrate Together	Multicultural Youth Link SA	Support for 'Sharing our stories, achievements and desires' project	14/12/2020	2/03/2021	\$4,680
Celebrate Together	Nigerian Association in SA	Support for the Nigerian Independence Day—60th Anniversary	26/10/2020	23/02/2021	\$1,266
Celebrate Together	Oromo Community Association of SA	Support for Oromo Culture Day	16/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$3,200
Celebrate Together	Pakistani Australian Association of SA Inc	Support for Australia Day Sufi music evening	15/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Port Lincoln Multicultural Council Inc	Support for 'Culture in the Kitchen'	4/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$2,811
Celebrate Together	Punjabi Association of South Australia	Support for the Annual Adelaide Multicultural Diwali Mela 2020	27/09/2020	8/10/2020	\$3,715
Celebrate Together	Russian Culture Centre Inc	Support for the Maslenitca- Pancake Festival	12/01/2021	23/02/2021	\$4,956
Celebrate Together	Slovenian Club Inc	Support for 'Pustovanje'	4/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$2,500
Celebrate Together	South Australia German Association Inc	Support for Schuetzenfest Adelaide	10/02/2021	11/03/2021	\$4,682
Celebrate Together	South Australia Telangana Association	Support for Bathukamma Festival 2020	7/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$2,923
Celebrate Together	South Australia Telangana Association	Support for the Telangana Arts and Cultural Festival 2021	22/03/2021	1/04/2021	\$3,635
Celebrate Together	South Australian Italian Association	Support for the 70th Year Commemorative History Book Launch and Family Day	21/01/2021	1/04/2021	\$4,497
Celebrate Together	Sri Lankan Catholic Association of SA Inc	Support for the annual cultural Christmas celebration	27/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$12,755
Celebrate Together	Sri Lankan Catholic Association of SA Inc	Support for a cultural night	20/12/2020	21/01/2021	\$5,000
Celebrate Together	Telugu Association of SA Inc	Support for the Diwali Festival	25/09/2020	3/11/2020	\$340
Celebrate Together	Telugu Association of SA Inc	Support for the UGADI (Telugu New Year) Festival	15/12/2020	24/12/2020	\$4,892

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Celebrate Together	Thai-Australian Association of SA Inc	Support for the Thai Festival 2021	12/03/2021	30/03/2021	\$25,000
Celebrate Together	The Bulgarian Educational & Friendly Society Incorporated	Support for the Zdravei Bulgarian Festival 2021	4/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$10,239
Celebrate Together	The Chinese Association of SA Inc	Support for the Cantonese Opera Multicultural Concert 2021	29/12/2020	12/01/2021	\$4,985
Celebrate Together	The Mauritian Association of SA	Support for Christmas and End of Year Celebrations (Bal Fin Deanne)	24/10/2020	3/11/2020	\$521
Celebrate Together	The Mauritian Association of SA	Support for the Mauritian Independence Day	3/01/2021	28/01/2021	\$2,752
Celebrate Together	Tong De Association of SA Inc	Support for the Master Chef program	16/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$4,960
Expand Together	Adelaide Bangladeshi Cultural Club	Support for the purchase of sound equipment for capacity building of the Club	4/07/2020	14/07/2020	\$5,000
Expand Together	Adelaide International Folk Dancers Inc	Support for sound reproduction equipment and storage upgrade	27/07/2020	6/08/2020	\$1,283
Expand Together	Adelaide Mosque Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for a community multipurpose space upgrade	4/07/2020	21/07/2020	\$15,000
Expand Together	Adelaide Sarvajanic Ganeshotsav	Support for equipment for Adelaide Sarvajanic Ganeshotsav 2020	29/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$5,277
Expand Together	Afghan Australian Women Association Inc	Support to purchase equipment for Afghan women empowerment program	28/06/2020	25/08/2020	\$12,251
Expand Together	Afghan Cricket Club Inc	Support for the purchase of equipment for Afghan Cricket Club youth and volunteer development	30/07/2020	6/08/2020	\$5,630
Expand Together	Ahwazian Community in Australia Inc	Support for the purchase of equipment for social participation for Arabic women	1/07/2020	14/07/2020	\$3,000
Expand Together	Amazing Northern Multicultural Services Inc	Support for 'Expand together through technology'	30/06/2020	7/07/2020	\$16,235
Expand Together	Association of Ukrainians in SA Incl	Support to upgrade kitchen and storage facilities to support growing community	15/08/2020	27/08/2020	\$30,000
Expand Together	Australian Indonesian Association of SA Inc	Support for the purchase of equipment to support community events	24/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$14,277
Expand Together	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for the Multicultural Centre, Coglin Street equipment upgrade	30/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$5,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Expand Together	Baba Mazari Foundation Inc	Support for a collaborative technology upgrade	7/07/2020	30/07/2020	\$6,057
Expand Together	Bosniaks Association of SA	Support for lounge reupholstering	2/07/2020	14/07/2020	\$5,000
Expand Together	Bund der Bayern Inc	Support for mobile festival equipment for cultural events	30/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$5,755
Expand Together	Campania Sports & Social Club Sons of Italy Inc	Support for the upgrade of Napoli Community Centre hall facilities	1/07/2020	21/07/2020	\$23,000
Expand Together	Chin Community of Adelaide	Support for the purchase of community musical instruments and sound equipment	26/07/2020	11/08/2020	\$5,000
Expand Together	Chin Community of South Australia	Support for a PA system for community events	9/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$18,304
Expand Together	Chinatown Adelaide of SA Inc	Support for the purchase of equipment for Lunar New Year Street Party	20/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$12,290
Expand Together	Chinese Music & Arts SA	Support to upgrade equipment for Multicultural Storytime sessions	26/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$4,706
Expand Together	Chinese Welfare Services of SA Inc	Support the purchase of equipment for Better Environment Better Services	29/06/2020	23/07/2020	\$10,000
Expand Together	Co.as.it. Italian Assistance Association Inc	Support for the Club Italico (Whyalla) main entrance upgrade	6/07/2020	16/07/2020	\$18,629
Expand Together	Czechoslovak Club Of SA Inc	Support for 'New Facade—New Life'	29/07/2020	11/08/2020	\$10,000
Expand Together	Ethnic Broadcasters Inc	Support to purchase equipment for multicultural public events	15/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$16,741
Expand Together	Fogolar Furlan Inc	Support for the Osteria kitchen upgrade	2/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$10,000
Expand Together	German- speaking Aged Services Association Inc	Support for 'Keeping Connected'	23/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$20,000
Expand Together	Greek Orthodox Community of Berri (SA) Inc	Support to upgrade facilities for the Hall of Saint Dimitrios	21/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$63,600
Expand Together	Greek Orthodox Community of the Nativity of Christ Port Adelaide and Environs	Support to purchase a commercial dishwasher	7/07/2020	16/07/2020	\$5,257
Expand Together	Greek Welfare Centre SA	Support for an IT upgrade for Port Pirie/Mid North expansion	26/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$6,500
Expand Together	Hellenic Society of Barmera	Support for the Hellenic Community Hall facility upgrade	8/07/2020	21/07/2020	\$44,625
Expand Together	Indian Australian Association of SA	Support for purchase of projector screen and subwoofer for Indian Australian Association	13/07/2020	11/08/2020	\$2,999

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		of South Australia Community Centre			
Expand Together	Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for the refurbishment of the Wandana Community Centre	26/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$15,000
Expand Together	Italian Catholic Association	Support for a facilities upgrade	6/08/2020	20/08/2020	\$9,960
Expand Together	Japan Australia Friendship Association	Support to purchase a laptop and portable projector	22/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$2,947
Expand Together	Jewish Community Services Inc	Support to purchase equipment for Jewish Community events and cultural activity	30/06/2020	16/07/2020	\$6,016
Expand Together	Latvian Co- Operative Society Social Club Inc	Support for the Latvian Hall roof upgrade	18/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$20,000
Expand Together	Malaysia Club of SA Inc	Support for an operations efficiency uplift via technology and equipment upgrade	5/08/2020	13/08/2020	\$5,022
Expand Together	Maltese Guild of SA Inc	Support for bathroom renovations	27/07/2020	11/08/2020	\$10,000
Expand Together	Maronite Community of SA Inc	Support to purchase equipment for Maronite Community of South Australia on mission	27/07/2020	6/08/2020	\$8,725
Expand Together	Messinian Association of SA Inc	Support for Messinian Hall upgrades	30/07/2020	13/08/2020	\$15,000
Expand Together	Multi Purpose Media Inc	Support for professional studio/visual lights and camera monitor purchase	20/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$10,608
Expand Together	Multicultural Youth SA Inc	Support for the youth space upgrade	2/07/2020	14/07/2020	\$8,679
Expand Together	Muslim Women's Association of SA Inc	Support for a phone and fridge upgrade	6/07/2020	23/07/2020	\$1,343
Expand Together	Pacific Islands Association of SA Inc	Support to purchase a BBQ trailer	14/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$7,720
Expand Together	Pan Macedonian Federation of SA Inc	Support for the Pan Macedonian House upgrade	6/07/2020	16/07/2020	\$5,974
Expand Together	Sampaguita Dance Group Inc	Support to purchase equipment for Philippine Cultural Show for all generations	15/07/2020	23/07/2020	\$9,650
Expand Together	Shruthi Adelaide Inc	Support for digital learning resources for members	1/07/2020	23/07/2020	\$3,423
Expand Together	SLAVA Ukrainian Cultural Centre	Support to purchase of equipment	29/06/2020	14/07/2020	\$2,737
Expand Together	Solomon Islands Wantok Association of SA	Support to purchase equipment for the annual family day	28/07/2020	6/08/2020	\$2,662
Expand Together	South Australia Telangana Association	Support to purchase kitchen items and other equipment	5/07/2020	21/07/2020	\$5,779

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Expand Together	South Australian Italian Association	Support for facilities upgrade including carpet and bathrooms	14/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$10,000
Expand Together	The Croatian Club Adelaide Inc	Support for an undercover facility upgrade—'The Great Outdoors'	6/07/2020	23/07/2020	\$14,000
Expand Together	Ukrainian Women's Association Inc SA	Support for a kitchen upgrade	5/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$4,138
Stronger Together	Adelaide Mosque Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support to build multicultural relationships at Adelaide Mosque	30/08/2019	6/08/2020	\$81,247
Stronger Together	Amazing Northern Multicultural Services Inc	Support to connect together through community garden and life skills	24/08/2019	11/08/2020	\$51,250
Stronger Together	Australian Refugee Association Inc	Support for a new arrival safety awareness project	26/08/2019	20/10/2020	\$80,867
Stronger Together	Cambodian Association of SA Inc	Support for a Cambodian outreach service	9/09/2019	17/11/2020	\$72,927
Stronger Together	Chinese Welfare Services of SA Inc	Support for 'Stronger Communities'—connection project	23/08/2019	8/10/2020	\$6,406
Stronger Together	Filipino Settlement Coordinating Council of SA Inc	Support for Innov8 Community Hub: Connect, Create and Contribute	6/09/2019	3/11/2020	\$42,089
Stronger Together	Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for Building Resilience In Diverse Groups and Ethnicities (BRIDGE) to strengthen families	28/08/2019	3/11/2020	\$25,722
Stronger Together	Middle Eastern Communities Council of SA Inc	Support to assist the empowerment of vulnerable youth	9/09/2019	11/08/2020	\$30,750
Stronger Together	Muslim Women's Association of SA Inc	Support for 'Unity in Diversity'—creating a harmonious society for prosperity	2/09/2019	22/12/2020	\$46,125
Stronger Together	Nexus Multicultural Arts Centre Inc	Support for Emerging Intercultural Leaders Network and Culturally Diverse Audience Engagement Framework	4/09/2019	3/11/2020	\$52,673
Stronger Together	Pakistani Australian Association of SA Inc	Support for Pakistani community integration in SA social, economic and civil life	26/08/2019	9/02/2021	\$46,638
Stronger Together	Telugu Association of SA Inc	Support for 'Stronger Communities—Crossing Cultures'	25/08/2019	3/11/2020	\$4,481
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Mosque Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for a COVID-19 Project Officer	13/11/2020	26/11/2020	\$9,997
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Afghan United Association Inc	Support for the Afghan Community Early Intervention and Prevention Project to mitigate risk of	27/10/2020	24/11/2020	\$9,936

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		infection during the COVID-19 transition			
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Armenian Cultural Association of SA Inc	Support for Armenian Artefacts Workshop— Wellbeing through art	8/04/2021	22/04/2021	\$15,580
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Association of the Burundian Community of SA Inc	Support for disadvantaged African migrants to get jobs	24/02/2021	11/03/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Unitarian Druze Community	Support for an integrated membership management system	6/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$24,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Chin Community of South Australia	Support for a live streaming system to reach community members	20/02/2021	4/03/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Community Development, Education and Social Support Australia	Support for an online client/data management system	19/03/2021	13/04/2021	\$6,300
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Community Development, Education and Social Support Australia	Support for an Outreach Officer/Caseworker for COVID-19 recovery project	12/03/2021	1/04/2021	\$9,970
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Eastern Turkistan Australian Association Inc	Support for COVID-19 outreach projects	11/01/2021	2/02/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Greek Orthodox Community of the Nativity of Christ Port Adelaide and Environs	Support for the COVID-19 community outreach program	30/07/2020	13/08/2020	\$11,626
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Hindu Society of SA Inc	Provision of a COVID-19 community grant	9/12/2020	24/12/2020	\$5,750
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Holy Mary of Montevergine Associations Inc	Support for Festa Della Madonna di Montevergine	12/11/2020	8/12/2020	\$3,740
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Islamic Society of SA Inc	Provision of a COVID-19 safety grant	15/01/2021	4/02/2021	\$9,800
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Communities Council of SA Inc	Support for 'Inform, engage, educate, and connect to enhance wellbeing' program	8/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Communities Council of SA Inc	Support for Multicultural Men's Group – linking, connecting, and supporting men	1/06/2021	10/06/2021	\$27,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Youth SA Inc	Support to provide 24-hour support for refugee and migrant clients self-quarantining at home or in a medi-hotel under the direction of SA Health	20/11/2020	24/11/2020	\$9,190
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Non-Resident Nepali Association South Australia Inc	Support for a Nepalese community website	21/04/2021	11/05/2021	\$15,450

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Pakistani Australian Association of SA Inc	Support for a COVID- 19 vaccination and response project for the Pakistani community	19/05/2021	1/06/2021	\$9,940
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Radio Televisione Italiana SA Inc	Support for a COVID- 19 recovery project— 2nd generation research—radio streaming activation	22/03/2021	8/04/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	SLAVA Ukrainian Cultural Centre	Support for the SLAVA COVID-19 workshop	17/11/2020	1/12/2020	\$5,520
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Thai-Australian Association of SA Inc	Support for the Thai community recovery program responding to COVID-19 crisis	17/12/2020	5/01/2021	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Vishva Hindu Parishad of Australia Inc	Provision of a COVID- 19 recovery grant	1/03/2021	16/03/2021	\$10,380
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Italian Festival Inc	Support for the 2020 Adelaide Italian Festival	6/11/2020	24/11/2020	\$18,746
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Kannada Sangha Inc	Support for the purchase of equipment	12/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$8,159
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Sri Lanka Buddhist Vihara Inc	Support for a new entrance to Adelaide Sri Lanka Cultural Hub	12/11/2020	24/11/2020	\$20,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Alliance Francaise d'Adelaide	Support for a children friendly space	4/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$9,320
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Associazione Santa Eufemia	Support for the purchase of cooking equipment to support community events	22/03/2021	6/04/2021	\$14,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Lithuanian Cultural Society Incorporated	Support to upgrade sound system	25/03/2021	13/04/2021	\$9,860
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for 'Great Wall of Cultures'	8/12/2020	24/12/2020	\$22,200
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support in leading good practice in multicultural community governance	10/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$25,860
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for migrant and refugee women's governance training project	6/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$19,345
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for South Australia Refugee Week 2021	24/11/2020	8/12/2020	\$14,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Support for 'Women Celebrate Together'	17/11/2020	3/12/2020	\$16,200
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Austrian Association of SA	Support for the Stammtisch Improvement Project	30/03/2021	30/03/2021	\$20,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Campania Sports & Social Club Sons of Italy Inc	Support for a monitored fire detection system upgrade	2/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$30,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Chinatown Adelaide of SA Inc	Support for the Chinatown Lunar New Year Street Party	21/01/2021	4/02/2021	\$24,750
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Chinese Welfare Services of SA Inc	Support for an equipment upgrade	22/03/2021	1/04/2021	\$13,290
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Cyprus Community of SA Inc	Support for the purchase and installation of a new ducted reverse cycle air-conditioning system for the new wing of the cultural centre	3/02/2021	23/02/2021	\$30,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Filipino Settlement Coordinating Council of SA Inc	Support for the bilateral 75th anniversary of diplomatic relations between the Philippines and Australia	28/04/2021	13/05/2021	\$4,230
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Greek Orthodox Community & Parish of Norwood & Eastern Suburbs	Support for 'Journey Towards Freedom'— commemorating 200 Years of Hellenism	19/03/2021	8/04/2021	\$8,615
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Greek Orthodox Community & Parish of Norwood & Eastern Suburbs	Support for the purchase and installation of new Cool room	21/02/2021	11/03/2021	\$30,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Greek Orthodox Community of SA Inc	Support for Greek Independence Day 200th Anniversary celebration concert	7/06/2021	15/06/2021	\$11,325
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Greek Orthodox Community of the Nativity of Christ Port Adelaide and Environs	Support for maintenance to the roof of the Community Function Centre	9/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$30,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Hindu Council of Australia Limited	Support for Deepavali—virtual and different events	9/11/2020	24/11/2020	\$20,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Hungarian Club of SA Inc	Support for stage lighting replacement	5/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$4,499
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Indian Australian Association of SA	Support for COVID-19 emergency outreach project	17/05/2021	1/06/2021	\$23,080
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Islamic Society of SA Inc	Support for the Ramadan Carnival	26/03/2021	22/04/2021	\$9,575
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Molinara Cultural & Community Club Inc	Support to upgrade signage for better exposure and medical safety facilities within the club	27/04/2021	11/05/2021	\$19,480
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Youth SA Inc	Support to address the COVID-19 India outbreak	12/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$34,760
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Shruthi Adelaide Inc	Support for Composer's Day Celebration (Thyagaraja Aradhana)	24/02/2021	11/03/2021	\$6,500
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Singapore Business and	Support to purchase equipment to run outdoor events	15/04/2021	6/05/2021	\$9,880

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
	Social Association Inc				
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	South Australian Bangladeshi Community Association	Support for the Bengali New Year Celebration 2021	14/03/2021	30/03/2021	\$5,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	The Bulgarian Educational & Friendly Society Incorporated	Support for an air conditioning and electrical circuit project	23/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$30,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	The Chinese Association of SA Inc	Support for repairs and renovation towards installing a new air conditioner and flooring	6/04/2021	22/04/2021	\$8,690
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Tong De Association of SA Inc	Support to purchase equipment for public event	28/04/2021	11/05/2021	\$9,729
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Vietnamese Boat People Monument Association Inc	Support for an unveiling ceremony of the Vietnamese Boat People monument	26/11/2020	15/12/2020	\$20,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Vietnamese Community in Australia	Support for COVID-19 safe products, dance costumes and microphones for the commemoration events for Hung King	15/12/2020	23/03/2021	\$6,468
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Vishva Hindu Parishad of Australia Inc	Support for a COVID- 19 community hotline	6/05/2021	18/05/2021	\$34,600
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Adelaide Festival Centre Foundation Inc	Support for the OzAsia Festival	21/07/2020	13/08/2020	\$10,000
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Support for the Australia Day Parade	23/07/2020	30/07/2020	\$10,250
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	New migrants and asylum seekers ineligible for Commonwealth settlement services will be supported to integrate and have a sense of belonging in their local community	6/06/2019	27/8/2020 8/12/2020	\$120,242
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Communities Council of SA Inc	Equal Remuneration Order for 2020-21— salary component for staff on the Social and Community Services Award	11/06/2019	10/12/2020	\$4,735
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	Multicultural Communities Council of SA Inc	Support to represent and advocate in the interests of their members, the broader multicultural sector and the people the sector supports. Undertake multicultural sector development activities to strengthen the capacity of selected cultural and linguistically diverse organisations	11/06/2019	27/8/2020 23/3/2021	\$235,750

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Multicultural Discretionary Grants	National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters Ltd	Core funding for 2020- 2021	26/06/2019	18/08/2020	\$42,953
Discretionary grant payments	Aboriginal Lands Trust	Administration of the Aboriginal Lands Trust Act 2013	8/09/2020	22/9/2020 24/11/2020 23/2/2021 25/5/2021 29/6/2021	\$1,241,407
Discretionary grant payments	Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Inc	Administration of the Aboriginal Visitors Scheme	15/09/2020	22/9/2020 18/3/2021	\$177,817
Discretionary grant payments	Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Inc	Support for the operations, community consultation and staffing costs of the South Australian Aboriginal Community Controlled Organisations Network (SAACCON) to advance Closing the Gap	25/06/2021	29/06/2021	\$266,000
Discretionary grant payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Administration of the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)	3/12/2020	17/12/2020 22/12/2020 15/4/2021 3/6/2021	\$1,578,742
Discretionary grant payments	Jawun	Support for the Indigenous Corporate Partnerships Program in South Australia	15/01/2020	20/8/2020 30/3/2021	\$200,000
Discretionary grant payments	Maralinga Tjarutja	To maintain the day-to- day administration of the <i>Maralinga Tjarutja Land Rights Act 1984</i> (SA) and provide opportunities for the participation of Aboriginal community members in the delivery of these services	9/09/2020	17/9/2020 19/11/2020 2/2/2021 11/5/2021	\$735,179
Discretionary grant payments	NAIDOC SA	Support for NAIDOC SA activities in 2020	4/11/2020	6/11/2020	\$13,636
Discretionary grant payments	Nunkuwarrin Yunti of SA Inc	Administration of the South Australian Link Up Program	15/09/2020	29/4/2021 27/7/2021	\$9,306
Discretionary grant payments	Reconciliation SA Inc	Continued implementation of the Reconciliation South Australia's Business Plan	4/09/2020	17/9/2020 30/3/2021	\$212,132
Discretionary grant payments	Adelaide Convention Bureau	Administration of the Billion Dollar Benefit Program	16/10/2019	27/10/2020	\$1,250,000
Discretionary grant payments	Adelaide Accueil	Support Adelaide Accueil with its mission to welcome and provide support to newly arrived French or Francophone families in South Australia	26/03/2021	15/04/2021	\$4,000
Discretionary grant payments	Adelaide Football Club	Contribute to the Club's ability to remain	30/03/2021	15/04/2021	\$275,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		financially sustainable to support the Australian Football League Women's programs			
Discretionary grant payments	Adelaide Hills Chamber Players Inc	Assistance in creating high quality music performances for rural and regional South Australia	1/12/2020	22/12/2020	\$50,000
Discretionary grant payments	Alliance Francaise d'Adelaide	Support for the Alliance Francaise d'Adelaide 110th Anniversary mural painting	10/12/2020	31/12/2020	\$6,000
Discretionary grant payments	Alliance Francaise d'Adelaide	Support for the French Film Festival 2021	9/03/2021	23/03/2021	\$7,000
Discretionary grant payments	Alliance Francaise d'Adelaide	Support two cultural events—a movie-club event for French and Australian children at the Unley town hall on 26 June 2021 and 'Fete de la Musique' on 18 June 2021	10/06/2021	22/06/2021	\$3,000
Discretionary grant payments	APY Art Centre Collective	Annual organisational funding for 2022	29/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$100,000
Discretionary grant payments	Art Gallery of South Australia	Support for the Kulata Tjuta Brittany Tour	11/11/2019	2/03/2021	\$150,000
Discretionary grant payments	Australia Day Council of SA	Funding for accommodation and corporate costs	15/01/2018	24/11/2020	\$122,500
Discretionary grant payments	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Contribution to support services to skilled migrants project 2020-21	29/06/2021	27/07/2021	\$250,000
Discretionary grant payments	Blazeaid Inc	Blazeaid Support Package—to support the economic recovery of Kangaroo Island through the provision of subsidised ferry travel to Kangaroo Island for Blazeaid volunteers	6/10/2020	13/05/2021	\$29,013
Discretionary grant payments	City Bay Fun Run	Support for the City Bay Fun Run 2021	28/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$50,000
Discretionary grant payments	City of Adelaide	Support for the Adelaide City Vibrancy—Outdoor Activation Grant Programme	14/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$300,000
Discretionary grant payments	City of Adelaide	Support the extension of the council's event infrastructure incentives scheme, supporting local industry to stage events, and to expand existing grant programs to small business owners in the city to encourage them to enhance and	10/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$300,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
		activate their outdoor areas			
Discretionary grant payments	City of Adelaide	Support for wellbeing wallets for city residents	24/06/2021	6/07/2021	\$75,000
Discretionary grant payments	City of Adelaide	Support 'Work Well: Wellbeing Support for Small Business Owners'	24/06/2021	6/07/2021	\$100,000
Discretionary grant payments	Connecting Up Inc	Contribution to enhance the SA Community Services website aligning it to Infoxchange's national service dictionary benefiting the South Australian community and economy	21/10/2019	20/05/2021	\$132,000
Discretionary grant payments	Don Dunstan Foundation	Support for engagement of a suitably qualified person to perform the functions of Senior Executive Officer for the Foundation for 2021-2022	28/06/2021	6/07/2021	\$170,000
Discretionary grant payments	French Australian Chamber of Commerce	Support for French Australia New Zealand Business Days 2020—due to COVID-19 the event will be presented in a hybrid format hosted on an online platform	26/10/2020	5/11/2020	\$5,000
Discretionary grant payments	French Australian Chamber of Commerce	Support for the Hybrid Procurement Workshop: Become a preferred supplier in the Defence Sector	6/05/2021	27/05/2021	\$4,000
Discretionary grant payments	Hans Heysen Foundation Ltd	Support for the protection and safeguarding of Hans Heysen's House (The Cedars)	24/06/2021	1/07/2021	\$142,140
Discretionary grant payments	Hans Heysen Foundation Ltd	Support for the restoration of the historic Maids Quarters at Hans Heysen's House	25/06/2021	6/07/2021	\$109,091
Discretionary grant payments	Julia Farr Association Inc	Support to create a proposal to safeguard vulnerable people in South Australia—develop, design and pilot a program 'Circles of Support'	17/07/2020	13/08/2020	\$75,000
Discretionary grant payments	Legacy Club of South Australia and Broken Hill Inc	Digitisation of the organisation's files—purchase of software and licenses to allow the provision of scanning and merging documents into an electronic system for security purposes	10/07/2020	4/08/2020	\$10,000

Grant program/ fund name	Beneficiary/ Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Discretionary grant payments	Migrant Resource Centre of South Australia Inc	Support for the delivery of services to Naracoorte and Bordertown	14/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$50,000
Discretionary grant payments	Motor Neurone Disease Association of SA Inc	Contribution to a Support Coordination and Occupational Therapy Officer	18/01/2021	9/02/2021	\$70,000
Discretionary grant payments	Playford Memorial Trust	Assistance in the provision of prestigious scholarships and awards for high- achieving South Australian students in 2020-21	27/12/2020	28/01/2021	\$89,000
Discretionary grant payments	Red Centre Enterprises Pty Ltd	Contribution to supporting Aboriginal Community	9/07/2020	28/07/2020	\$60,000
Discretionary grant payments	South Australian Museum	Support for the repatriation for Aboriginal ancestral remains	10/09/2019	6/10/2020	\$150,000
Discretionary grant payments	Susan Crafter	Support for French National Day (Bastille Day) 2021 celebratory event to be held at Plant 4 Bowden on Sunday 18 July 2021	11/05/2021	22/06/2021	\$5,000
Discretionary grant payments	The Committee for Adelaide Incorporated	Support for 'Adelaide Abroad'—supporting skilled migrants and returned expats project	30/06/2021	27/07/2021	\$133,000
Discretionary grant payments	University of South Australia	Support for the 2020 ActInSpace event—a competitive biannual global space applications hackathon led by the French National Centre for Space Studies, the European Space Agency and the Business Incubator Centre, South France on 13-14 November 2020	15/10/2020	19/11/2020	\$8,000
Discretionary grant payments	Walk for a Veteran Inc	Support for costs to stage concert, portable toilet amenities, portable generator and marketing	15/03/2021	30/03/2021	\$7,500
Discretionary grant payments	City of Adelaide	Support in the development of business cases relating to Adelaide free WiFi, CCTV Installation and Experience Adelaide initiatives in accordance with the Adelaide City Deal with the Commonwealth Government	24/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$1,000,000

The following table details the new commitment of grants in 2020-21 for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Date Agreement Signed	Date Recipient Paid	Value \$
Promotion of the State	Associazione Molisani Adelaide	Support for the World Championship Briscola Tournament 2021	28/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$20,000
Promotion of the State	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Operational funding for 2017-2021	15/01/2018	24/11/2020	\$157,500
Promotion of the State	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Contribution to the Australian of the Year Awards 2021	1/10/2020	20/10/2020	\$25,000
Promotion of the State	Australian Science Media Centre Inc	Support for science reporting in the media for public awareness	29/09/2020	20/10/2020	\$90,000
Promotion of the State	Carols by Candlelight (SA) Inc	Support for Carols by Candlelight 2020	18/11/2020	3/12/2020	\$20,000
Promotion of the State	CheeseFest Australia	Support for the CheeseFest and Ferment Festival—7 and 8 November 2020	14/10/2020	27/10/2020	\$30,000
Promotion of the State	Illuminate Adelaide Foundation Ltd	Support for the Illuminate Adelaide launch event	28/10/2020	24/11/2020	\$100,000
Promotion of the State	SA Sports Federation Inc	Support for the South Australian Sports Federation Awards hall of fame dinner	24/02/2021	11/03/2021	\$20,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Regional Anangu Services Aboriginal Corporation	Support for a Community Administration Officers Coordinator and associated office costs	7/09/2020	13/10/2020	\$269,223
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Recurrent funding to administer the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)	3/12/2020	15/04/2021	\$285,465
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Support for the Kulilaya Ngura Nganampa Festival	24/06/2021	1/07/2021	\$200,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Regional Anangu Services Aboriginal Corporation	Assistance to replace infrastructure at four airstrips	30/06/2021	8/07/2021	\$150,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Regional Anangu Services Aboriginal Corporation	Support for fire break management in Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY) communities	30/06/2021	8/07/2021	\$135,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Ananguku Arts and Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Contribution to a house for the Pukatja Art Centre Manager at Pukatja	30/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$209,969
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Ananguku Arts and Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Support for repairs and improvements of the infrastructure to Ninuku Arts in Kalka community	30/06/2021	13/07/2021	\$52,879
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Support for the purchase of portable ablution blocks for Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY) communities	30/06/2021	20/07/2021	\$130,595

### GOVERNMENT GRANTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

The government has provided a complete list of grant programs administered by the Department of Premier and Cabinet during 2020-21 in omnibus question 14.

### MACHINERY OF GOVERNMENT CHANGES

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Machinery of government changes are undertaken on a no budget impact basis, with resources for functions being transferred from one agency to another.

Information on the transfer of resources between departments during 2020-21 as a result of machinery of government changes is published at the agency level in the 2021-22 Agency Statements.

The department has not received additional budget supplementation for machinery of government changes since 1 July 2020.

### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised the following:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports which have been published for each of the agencies for which I am responsible.

### CONSULTANTS AND CONTRACTORS

In reply to **the Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** The following information is provided on behalf of all ministers:

As required by the Department of the Premier and Cabinet Circular PC013—Annual Reporting Requirements for 2020-21 information relating to expenditure on consultants and contractors including the vendor, total cost and nature of work undertaken, will be detailed in annual reports published by agencies.

### NAIDOC WEEK

In reply to **Mr SZAKACS (Cheltenham)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** It was my pleasure to attend three NAIDOC Week related events, including the NAIDOC Awards where I presented awards which recognise the outstanding contributions that Indigenous Australians make to improve the lives of Indigenous people in their communities and beyond.

### ADELAIDE VENUE MANAGEMENT

In reply to **the Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Assurance reviews are independent reviews undertaken on behalf of the SA Government and administered by Infrastructure SA. The assurance review report is delivered to Infrastructure SA by the review team and is prepared for the primary purpose of informing advice from Infrastructure SA to the SA cabinet on capital projects in accordance with the Infrastructure SA Act. The review report is therefore strictly confidential and classified as SENSITIVE: SA CABINET.

The purpose of the assurance review (for arena this was a Gate 2 Final Business Case Review) is to provide an independent peer review that assesses the development and delivery confidence of the project at a point in time in the project's phase of development and delivery. The independent review team reviews key documents and meets with selected interviewees over two to three days and produces a report. The report includes commentary and recommendations intended to enhance the project team's ability to confidently develop and deliver the project and realise the business objectives and benefits expected from the investment. The review requires the review team to address the following key focus areas; strategic fit, stakeholders, value for money and affordability, governance and risk management, infrastructure delivery.

The review team is selected for their skills and experience and independence to the project. The review team bring experience from a range of relevant projects including Fed Square, Sydney Showgrounds Redevelopment, Melbourne Recital Centre, Sydney International Convention Centre, Melbourne Exhibition and Convention Centre, Hunter Sports and Entertainment Precinct and Sydney Cricket and Sports Ground Trust. It is important to note the assurance review is an expert peer review, it is not an audit and nor do the review team provide any approval of the project. Reviewers are also required to execute a conflict of interest and confidentiality declaration prior to commencing a review.

Below is an overview of the review teams used for the Gate 2 Assurance Review of the Inner City Multipurpose Arena in February 2021:

1. **Review Team Leader**—This person is trained as an architect and has a broad range of skills and experience, gained over the last 25 years, working in senior levels within the public and private sectors. This includes a previous role as General Manager, Major Projects, in Victoria and extensive experience on high value, complex multi-stakeholder infrastructure development and delivery and hands-on business case development experience. The leader is an experienced gateway team leader across Australia on over 100 major high-value high-risk infrastructure reviews.

2. **Review Team Member 1**—This person is a civil engineer and project director with extensive experience in delivering complex infrastructure and sports projects. The member has provided services to various government and non-government organisations for the development of complex infrastructure projects. The member was a senior manager with a major development corporation for 18 years, responsible for a variety of commercial and industrial projects both in Australia and South-East Asia. Since 2016, the member has been an accredited reviewer on a number of complex development projects.

3. **Review Team Member 2**—This person provides strategy, property and infrastructure advisory services to the public and private sector. This includes facilitating complex interactions between government and industry and assisting clients to deliver major property and infrastructure projects. This person is a member of the expert panels for Infrastructure NSW and Projects Victoria, the Central Sydney Planning Committee, and is a member of the Advisory Council for School Infrastructure NSW. The member holds a double degree in Law and Civil Engineering (Hons 1), an MBA and is a graduate of the AICD and has also completed the Harvard Leadership Program for Senior Executives.

The individual review team members have requested that to maintain their independence and to continue to provide these services in South Australia and other jurisdictions that their names be kept private.'

#### **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION**

In reply to **the Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The SA Productivity Commission (SAPC) procurement stage 1 inquiry report contained 21 recommendations comprising 30 part recommendations. The SA government supported 27 part recommendations, partially supported 2 and did not support one. Of the 29 recommendations supported by the government, as at June 2021 implementation of 17 was completed and implementation of 12 was underway.

The SAPC procurement stage 2 inquiry report contained 21 recommendations comprising 60 part recommendations. The SA government supported 56 part recommendations and partially supported 4 part recommendations. Of the 60 recommendations supported by the government, as at June 2021 implementation of 43 was completed, implementation of 15 was underway and 2 were on hold due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

#### **INDIGENOUS HOUSING**

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The SA Productivity Commission has not conducted any research, reviews or inquiries into Indigenous community housing or reported on overcrowding in Indigenous community housing since it commenced operations in October 2018.

#### **INDIGENOUS HOUSING**

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The 2019 federal budget included \$37.5 million for remote housing in South Australia. The Marshall Liberal government is matching this investment by providing \$7.5 million per year over five years.

This is the first time the South Australian government has matched the commonwealth government's financial commitment for remote housing.

Through these remote housing arrangements, SA Housing Authority will be delivering a total of 35 remote replacement houses from 2020 to 2025.

#### INDIGENOUS HOUSING

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

As at 31 July 2021, 32.3 per cent of Aboriginal community (remote and non-remote) houses were deemed to be overcrowded using the Canadian National Occupancy Standards (CNOS).

CNOS may or may not accurately reflect the housing needs of communities; this should be informed by Aboriginal communities directly.

Through SA Housing Authority's five-year capital investment program, 35 replacement houses being constructed have been designed to be larger in size (e.g. extra bedrooms) to address overcrowding in Aboriginal communities.'

31 July 2021 figures come from the Authority's Connect (customer and property) system. These figures are internally available each month.

These figures are published each year in the Report on Government Services (RoGs)—the figures in this report are as at 30 June. The latest report was released in January 2021 containing data for 2019-20. The next report will be released in January 2022, containing figures for 2020-21.

The report also contains the last five years' worth of overcrowding figures (%) for Australia and each state.

#### VETERANS AFFAIRS

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Perpetual Leases totalling \$300,000 were originally classified as Supplies and Services by the Department of Premier and Cabinet when Defence SA took over the management of Veterans SA under a machinery of government change in 2019-20. Once perpetual lease contracts were created it was clear the payments made to cemeteries would in fact be grants since Defence SA are making payments to cemeteries to provide services to unrelated third parties. The perpetual lease payments were therefore reclassified as grants across the forward estimates, consequently reducing the supplies and services amount.

#### VETERANS EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Refer to the response answered about Veterans Affairs—Supplies and Services—Program Variation.

#### VETERANS EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

\$31,000 was expended in financial year 2020-2021, noting that program funding is across two financial years. A further almost \$22,000 was expended on the development of the South Australian government's Military Rank to Grade Guide, which compares the experiences and skills of all military ranks to public sector classifications. The remaining funding for the original veteran employment program is profiled across future financial years.

#### ABORIGINAL ART AND CULTURES CENTRE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Aboriginal Arts and Cultures Centre (AACC) Aboriginal Reference Group (ARG) is the only governance committee that receives payment for its participation on the project.

The ARG provides strategic direction and advice to ensure vision and key objectives of the AACC development meet requirements of cultural expectations and are representative of Aboriginal cultures. The Aboriginal reference group includes nine members, representing a cross section of the First Nations people, including representatives of the Kaurna people.

Members of the ARG are entitled to be remunerated in accordance with their Terms of Appointment and DPC Circular 16—Remuneration for Government Appointed Part-Time Boards and Committees with the following sessional fee structure:

- For meetings <2 hours in duration: \$51.50 per hour, inclusive of meeting preparation time
- For meetings 2-4 hours duration: \$206.00 flat rate, inclusive of meeting preparation time.

In 2020-21, a total of \$55,465.27 was allocated towards the Aboriginal Reference Group for the sitting fees and a professional services fee for the AACC project ambassador, Mr David Rathman, in his capacity as chair of the group and more broadly spokesperson for the project.

#### ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The existing retail outlets at the Festival Theatre (or Dunstan Playhouse, during the current closure of the Festival Theatre) and City Cross Shopping Centre, with the addition of the Ticketek retail outlet at the Adelaide Entertainment Centre, will provide customers the opportunity to purchase tickets in person. Ticketek also hope to continue the outlets with the Adelaide Symphony Orchestra in Hindley Street and Hopgood Theatre at Noarlunga Centre which are currently in negotiation with the applicable venues.

#### ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

On 24 May 2021, the Adelaide Festival Centre forwarded a minute to my office, notifying of the AFCT's intention to close the workshop. A further minute was provided to my office on 3 August 2021, confirming the closure of the workshops.

#### BASS CUSTOMER DATABASE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Ticketek will be able to view anonymised transaction history of BASS customers for research purposes only. Ticketek will have access to the BASS customer database only for the purpose of sending marketing messages regarding the Adelaide Festival Centre Trust's (the Trust) events on behalf of the Trust to customers who have 'opted in' to hear from the Trust Customer Relationship Marketing (CRM).

Ticketek is obliged to comply with the South Australian Government's Information Privacy Principles and the Contracting and Official Records Standard issued under the State Records Act.

#### ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Adelaide Festival Centre Trust (AFCT) scenery engineering and automation workshops were formed in 1979 to facilitate the construction of scenery and automation for theatrical shows, exhibitions and festivals.

Over recent years, market conditions, the changing nature of scenery construction and effects of COVID-19 meant it was no longer financially viable for the AFCT to continue operating the workshops.

A decision was made by the AFCT to close the workshops and following discussions with two interested parties, Theatre Safe Australia (TSA) indicated that it was interested in purchasing the whole of the plant and equipment as well as taking on AFC Workshop staff.

TSA is headquartered on the Gold Coast and is one of Australia's major suppliers of entertainment and theatre technology, with operations also in Sydney. Importantly, TSA has the capacity to make significant investment in the business, so its market entry here is a great boost for South Australia's creative industries and national profile.

TSA has now commenced operating a scenery workshop in the premises formerly operated by Adelaide Festival Centre at Regency Park. TSA's offers of employment to staff formerly employed by AFC will allow skilled South Australian artisans to become part of a national network providing high quality scenic builds to the arts and entertainment sector.

#### HER MAJESTY'S THEATRE

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The full price is being paid.

**HOPGOOD THEATRE**

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The state budget allocated funding to upgrade regional theatres that are within the accountability of Country Arts SA.

Country Arts SA manages the Hopgood Theatre on behalf of the Government of South Australia; however the buildings are not assets held by Country Arts SA. As such, Country Arts SA would raise any requests for upgrades with TAFE and they would be managed through TAFE's existing processes.

The ongoing funding arrangements for the Hopgood Theatre are a matter for the Minister for Education.

**GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Department for Innovation and Skills (DIS), through its Music Development Office, has injected a total of \$3.2 million into the music industry.

This has enabled artists to continue to make music while supporting music businesses to develop projects to support recovery.

The Live Music Venues Grant Program was a dedicated program providing \$500,000 directly to 28 metropolitan and regional venues with grants of up to \$25,000 for activities up to 30 June 2021.

This support was integral in building back the live music ecosystem. However, my government recognises that the sector continues to experience challenges.

DIS, through the Music Development Office, is currently engaging directly with national and local stakeholders to determine the next steps in recovery for the local sector through future programs and initiatives.

**GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Arts Organisations Program has a budget allocation of \$4,645,170. Organisations that access this program are also eligible for a range of other Arts South Australia contestable grants.

While there is no plan to increase the Arts Organisations Program budget allocation, Arts South Australia will look for opportunities from within existing budget allocations to redirect additional funds to the program. This will be subject to needs identified through forthcoming Arts Organisations Program rounds.

**ARTS SECTOR**

In reply to **Ms HILDYARD (Reynell)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Department for Innovation and Skills has been working on accurately measuring and classifying creative businesses, including Arts businesses in South Australia.

There are 1800 non-employing (sole trader) businesses in South Australia that have been identified in the following sectors under the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) classes:

- Literature and Publishing
- Independent Creatives
- Music and Performing Arts
- Visual Arts and Craft

It must be noted that creative businesses in these categories are not necessarily artists. It is likely that most are artists, but not all.

**SUPERLOOP ADELAIDE 500**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The estimated net cost of the remaining Adelaide 500 merchandise, which included both 2019 and 2020 merchandise items, totalled \$53,489.85 including GST.

#### **SUPERLOOP ADELAIDE 500**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

All relevant Adelaide 500 merchandise items are being donated to remote communities, including on the APY lands and Yalata.

The Southern Field Archers Inc. archery club also received a small number of items. The items are being repurposed to be used as targets for the members' practice and club competition events.

#### **EVENTS FUNDING**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

In 2020-21, the funds were allocated to closing out the Adelaide 500 and to providing stimulus into the visitor economy market, including activities such as the Great State Vouchers.

In 2021-22, approximately \$12 million of the \$14 million has been allocated to events to be held in that financial year or in future financial years. This includes a mix of new and ongoing events, including \$1 million allocated to boost investment in regional events and the Regional Events Fund.

#### **EXPENDITURE AND INVESTMENT**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Australian government has the remit to manage, measure and report on local content commitments made with the Naval Group for the construction of the Attack class submarines. This has recently been bolstered by the Australian government in strengthening the industry content provisions in the implementation of the Australian Standard for Defence Contracting (ASDEFCON) suite of tendering and contracting templates, and through the introduction of an independent audit program to ensure large Defence companies are meeting their contracted Australian industry commitments.

The South Australian government measures the growth in employment and revenue for the defence industry as a whole. The defence industry is one of the state government's nine priority sectors and is expected to significantly contribute to achieving the Growth State plan's aim to grow gross state product on average by 3 per cent.

#### **INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

South Australia's International Students Arrival Plan is open to all participants of the international education sector, and source markets for participating students will be informed by a timely and updated risk matrix provided by SA Health and the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute. The first cohort of international students will be determined in accordance with advice from SA Health and adhere to the eligibility requirements set by the Commonwealth in its Protocols and Preconditions for International Student Arrivals document.

#### **SUPERLOOP ADELAIDE 500**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The following items have been sold and/or disposed as of 16 August 2021:

- Track infrastructure: including 300 concrete barriers, 300 double debris fence, 20 double height debris fence stillages, 300 double height debris fence pins, eight x double height debris fence pin stillage, 40 x turn 8 single height pins, one x single height pins stillages and three pedestrian bridges.
- Portable buildings, including two toilet blocks.

The following items have not been sold and/or disposed of:

- Pit Building: including the structure, electrical, mechanical, fire protection, plumbing, carpet, sound installation and internal ceiling liners.
- Shade structures: including pit straight, hairpin, turn 8 and Brock, chicane and entrance structure vinyls.
- Track infrastructure: including 1,835 concrete barriers, 103 single height debris fences, 1,456 double height debris fences, 22 triple height debris fences, 705 barrier and debris fence pins including stillages, 570 tyre walls, 826 metres of conveyor belts, 57 race kerbs, 90 guardrails, four pedestrian bridges, two over track signs, starters platform and starters lights mast arm.
- Portable buildings: including five toilet blocks and six portable building structures.
- Super screen structures: including four structural steel fabricated frames.
- Other assets: including site electrical components, carpet used in various facilities, flag poles, television reticulation, weathertex cladding, cement sheeting, ground protection boards, several shipping containers, mist fans, building access steps, planter boxes, signage and office furniture.

The South Australian Tourism Commission is in the process of engaging an auctioneer to sell and dispose of most of these assets in the coming months.

#### **SUPERLOOP ADELAIDE 500**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The merchandise included both 2019 and 2020 stock and included items:

- Men's, women's and children's polo shirts
- Men's, women's and children's T-shirts
- Men's shirts
- Men's puffer vests
- Men's and women's basketball jerseys
- Unisex hoodies
- Women's singlets
- Caps, bucket hats and beanies
- Stubby holders
- Keyrings
- Water bottles
- Stickers
- Lanyards
- Lapel pins
- Flags
- Embroidered patches

#### **RIVERBANK ARENA**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

I have been advised that the abridged business case for the Riverbank arena was published on Tuesday 31 August 2021.

#### **PARLIAMENT HOUSE STAFFING**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

	2019-20		2020-21	
	FTE	Salaries/Wages \$	FTE	Salaries/Wages \$
Permanent	106.9	9,801,222	103.0	9,830,597
Casual	3.4	256,644	3.6	268,725
Total	110.3	10,057,866	106.6	10,099,322
Stood Down	0.0		0.0	

**MEMBERS, ACCOMMODATION ALLOWANCES**

In reply to **Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2021). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Details concerning country members' allowance reimbursements will be included in the Parliamentary Service of the House of Assembly Annual Report.