

## HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, 2 February 2021

The **SPEAKER** (Hon. J.B. Teague) took the chair at 11:00 and read prayers.

**The SPEAKER:** Honourable members, I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which this parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

### *Parliamentary Procedure*

#### STANDING AND SESSIONAL ORDERS SUSPENSION

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (11:01):** I move:

That standing and sessional orders be and remain so far suspended as to enable the introduction of a bill without notice forthwith and passage through all stages without delay.

**The SPEAKER:** An absolute majority not being present, ring the bells.

*An absolute majority of the whole number of members being present:*

Motion carried.

### *Bills*

#### COVID-19 EMERGENCY RESPONSE (EXPIRY) AMENDMENT BILL

##### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (11:03):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the COVID-19 Emergency Response Act 2020. Read a first time.

##### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (11:04):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I am pleased to introduce the COVID-19 Emergency Response (Expiry) Amendment Bill 2021. Measures to reduce the spread of COVID-19 are fundamental to our ongoing response and keeping our community safe.

The declaration of major emergency, in place since 22 March 2020, provides the authorising context for the important social distancing and public health measures issued by the State Coordinator through directions. I thank all South Australians for their ongoing cooperation with these directions and indeed their care and respect for others in the community with their compliance. Clearly, it helps to keep South Australians safe and strong.

As the Premier and the Coordinator have already publicly stated, work is now undertaken on the next stage of our legislative response as we transition out of the major emergency into more of a management phase. A bill will be brought to the parliament shortly for consideration in that regard.

The COVID act itself amends other South Australian legislation to temporarily adjust some legislative requirements that are difficult to satisfy during a pandemic. The act came into effect in April 2020 and will expire on 6 February. This bill proposes to extend the operation of the act to 28 days after the day on which the relevant directions related to the outbreak of COVID-19 within South Australia have ceased or 31 May 2021, whichever is the earlier. This 28-day transition period will allow ministers and agencies to make the necessary arrangements.

Extending the COVID act is crucial to continuing our business while maintaining physical distancing. It contains provisions that are necessary for the ongoing management of the risk of COVID-19 in South Australia. Those provisions that are no longer necessary for the purpose of a

COVID-19 pandemic have already been expired by me as Attorney-General under section 6(1) of the COVID act.

I will now deal with each of the provisions of the act that are being extended. Sections 8 and 9, which deal with residential tenancies, residential parks and rooming house agreements, will be extended. These provisions inter alia provide a temporary moratorium on eviction for non-payment of rent applied across tenancies impacted by severe rental distress due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The following other provisions will also be extended:

- section 10, which contains protection for residents of supported residential facilities;
- section 10A, which allows certain community visitors to visit by audiovisual or other electronic means;
- section 14, which allows the Governor by regulation to extend any time limit or term of appointment by up to six months;
- section 16, which allows the Governor by regulation to suspend or modify requirements relating to the preparation, signing, witnessing and other treatment of documents;
- section 17, which allows meetings to take place by audiovisual or other means;
- sections 18, 19 and 21, which provide for service of documents, regulations and transitional provisions;
- section 22, which deals with Crown immunity from civil or criminal liability; and
- schedule 1, which contains special provisions relating to the detention of certain protected persons during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Schedule 2 of the COVID act, which modifies the operation of a number of acts, will also be extended. Firstly, the Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee Act 2003 and the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991 are amended to allow standing committees to meet by audiovisual or audio means. The Bail Act 1985 is amended to reverse the presumption of bail for certain offences related to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 is amended to expand the offences against prescribed emergency workers to include people working in pharmacies and providing pharmacy services. The Development Act 1993 and the Planning Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 are amended by reducing to 15 business days the time for council to respond to applications for Crown development and, in the case of the Development Act 1993, Crown development and public infrastructure. The act also amends the Development Act to increase the threshold from \$4 million to \$10 million for referral of Crown development and public infrastructure to public consultation.

The Emergency Management Act 2004 is amended to clarify the scope of directions given under section 25 and provides that expiations can be issued for failing to comply with these directions and compliance with a direction is required despite any obligation to maintain secrecy or other restrictions on disclosure. The Emergency Management Act 2004 is also amended to allow for directions in relation to the transmission or distribution of electricity when an electricity supply emergency has been declared. It also clarifies the directions that can be given to the market participants.

The Environment Protection Act 1993 is amended to allow container deposit refunds to be refunded electronically. The Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (South Australia) Act 2010 is amended to allow pharmacists to attend by the internet or other electronic communication in certain circumstances. The Governor is empowered to make regulations to modify the National Electricity Law to protect the reliability and security of the South Australian power system. The Public Works Committee processes under the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991 are modified.

The South Australian Public Health Act 2011 is amended to clarify how an order made by the Chief Public Health Officer is to be given effect and to provide how orders requiring detention are made and enforced to allow the Chief Public Health Officer to authorise the disclosure of personal

information. By extending the operation of the COVID act the regulations that have been made under it will also be extended.

I also wish to take this opportunity to address an issue that has been raised as a matter of concern by members of the public and, indeed, members of this house, which is how government has been treating personal information collated for contact tracing purposes through the use of the QR codes under the Emergency Management (Public Activities No. 18 COVID-19) Direction 2020. The previous versions of this direction and approved contact tracing system, namely, the COVIDSafe check-in, is required to capture relevant contact details of persons who enter particular places.

Information provided under a COVIDSafe check-in is protected by the confidentiality provisions of section 31A of the Emergency Management Act 2004, which provide that medical information or information, the disclosure of which would involve the disclosure of information relating to the personal affairs of another, must not intentionally be disclosed unless the disclosure is made (a) in the course of the administration or enforcement of this act, (b) with the consent of the person, or (c) the disclosure is required by a court or tribunal constituted by law. Contravention of this provision is an offence, with a maximum penalty of \$5,000. The information privacy principles also govern the use of private information provided in the COVIDSafe check-in.

Aside from the legislative protections, the government has made public assurances that the data provided in a COVIDSafe check will only be used for contact tracing purposes. This public undertaking is far narrower than the provisions of section 31A, particularly as members of the public—and I am sure this would be of importance to you, Mr Speaker, the legal profession—understandably have raised concerns about the use, storage and deletion of data. I give the assurance, the government's assurance, to the house, together with section 31A of the Emergency Management Act 2004, that the Information Privacy Principles adequately regulate the collection and use of personal information provided under a COVIDSafe check-in.

Further, for the information of South Australia, I confirm that QR-related data is deleted and continues to be deleted on a rolling schedule. All personal information collated from 31.3 million check-ins from between 30 November and 4 January has been deleted, with data held for 28.2 million check-ins from 3 January to midnight (last night) 2 February for contact tracing purposes. The undertaking has been given, the effect of which is underway, and 31.3 million check-ins—that is, every time a South Australian goes in and out of a cafe or a shop, all the obligations we currently have—have now been deleted.

Our emergency response to date, with the valued and appreciated support of South Australians, has helped to keep our state and our South Australians safe and strong. I indicate my appreciation to the opposition for their support in relation to these special measures around the protection and provision of support to South Australians that has been encompassed in our COVID act and the amendments to date.

I understand that there is an indication from the opposition that this further amendment to extend most of these provisions to 31 May this year is with their support and, in anticipation of that, I thank them. I have a short explanation of clauses, which as you can imagine is very short given there is only one clause—and that is to extend it to 31 May, but I am happy to seek leave to table it for the record.

Leave granted.

**Mr PICTON (Kaurana) (11:16):** Mr Speaker, welcome back to 2021. There are brand-new screens. It is all very fresh. Thank you to the Attorney for the introduction of this very short piece of legislation. The substantive part of this legislation is really one date change, one line to change the date of expiry to 31 May 2021. It all fits neatly on one page. I have to say that it is a little bit surprising that we do not have a more fulsome proposal from the Attorney-General for this parliament to consider, given that we are a very long way through this pandemic and given that there has been substantial discussion about the need to change those arrangements.

I should indicate that I am the lead speaker for the opposition, and also that the opposition will be supporting the passage of this bill through this chamber, and that obviously we will be, as we have consistently done through this pandemic, supporting the government in relation to a whole range of legislation that has passed this parliament often in very quick measure where we suspend

the usual parliamentary processes and waiting times to make sure that the government has the ability, the powers that it needs, to deal with the pandemic.

In relation to this legislation, in the other place we are happy to consider amendments that may be put up by the crossbench and we may well be considering proposing some amendments in the other place, but we will be passing this bill through this house without amendment. That indicates the level of support that we have seen from the opposition in relation to the government's actions through the whole COVID-19 pandemic.

As has been noted, it is now over one year since we had our first positive case here in South Australia. We are getting up to one year in which there has been a state of major emergency declared in South Australia, which started as of 22 March 2020. As all members know, it is completely unprecedented that we have been operating under a state of emergency for so long in South Australia.

That major event declaration means that police commissioner Grant Stevens is the State Coordinator and has very expansive and massive powers to be able to deal with the pandemic through his role as the police commissioner. I think that the police commissioner, Grant Stevens, has done a sterling job, an amazing job, and I think all South Australians share that view.

He has been ably advised and supported by the Chief Public Health Officer, Professor Nicola Spurrier, who has also done an amazing job for the people of South Australia. We have been very well supported by those officials who are in place and making those decisions, it should be noted, independently of the government. These are not decisions that go to the Premier or the cabinet for approval. These are decisions that are made by the State Coordinator as advised by the Chief Public Health Officer. They are the decisions of the State Coordinator, the police commissioner himself.

It is very clear in the legislation that was passed some 10 to 15 years ago in this parliament that in the issue of an emergency we would place those powers not in a politician but in the police commissioner to handle. I have to say, I think there are probably some places in the world where you would not want the police commissioner to have those sorts of powers and where people would be quite nervous about that, but I think in South Australia people have had tremendous confidence in the decision-making ability and fairness shown by Grant Stevens in the way he has made those decisions.

Having said that, it is a significant burden that has been placed upon him to make some very complex and difficult decisions. I think it is becoming quite clear, at the very least from his own public commentary, that the police commissioner is looking forward to a time when the Attorney-General brings to this parliament her proposal for how we move beyond an emergency stage of managing the pandemic and brings in a range of legislative proposals that would take it out of the Emergency Management Act and put it into some other form for managing what are some quite complex arrangements. They are all being done under the banner and powers of the Emergency Management Act and the decision-making of the police commissioner, Grant Stevens, alone.

We have not seen that. We have not seen the Attorney-General bring those proposals here. In fact, I thought they were going to be brought the last time we debated this legislation. I remember raising this during the committee stage of one of the pieces of legislation we dealt with with the Attorney, saying, 'We are very happy to meet with you. We would like to talk them through before they get to parliament. We understand these are going to be big things to consider in how we get to a different stage.' But on several occasions now we have just had an extension of this date, a kicking the can down the road, without those proposals being brought from the Attorney-General to the parliament to consider how these are put in place.

Look at what Grant Stevens says. As late as this morning, he was on FIVEaa and they asked him about these matters and the fact that he effectively has been managing the state for most of the past year. David Penberthy said:

...there's been some discussion about how long you're going to continue to hold the authority bestowed upon you through the Emergency Declaration, do you have any thoughts as the bloke who has had the ultimate say for the running of South Australia for a very long time now as to how much longer you should continue to hold that authority?

The police commissioner, Grant Stevens, said:

I'm only too willing to hand over the baton as long as we have a mechanism that meets the health requirements for South Australia, some of these baseline requirements we've put in place like COVID marshals, COVID safe plans, QR codes, you need a mechanism that requires people to do that so if I revoke the Emergency Declaration we have in place at the moment which is the mechanism that gives me the authorities then all of those things fall away because there's no ability to require people to participate in those activities so if the parliament can consider a bill that allows those baseline level of restrictions to be in existence for the duration of COVID then I no longer have to do the work that I'm doing as the State Coordinator.

That is very clear from the police commissioner. He is looking for parliament to enact something that allows him to no longer continue that emergency declaration but without losing all those very important baseline measures such as COVID marshals, COVID-safe plans and QR codes, all those measures that we are going to need for some time in the future. That is what I think many people were expecting the Attorney to be bringing to the opening of parliament this year, but I do not think we have a proper explanation as to why that has not happened yet or when that is proposed to be coming to the parliament to debate.

Certainly, from the opposition's perspective, we are very keen to work with the government on all those sorts of measures. We look forward to having conversations. We look forward to having briefings and discussions before the next parliamentary sitting to hopefully get to a stage where these things can be debated and discussed in the parliament. I am very surprised that that has not occurred to date. Even going back to 28 January, the police commissioner, Grant Stevens, was asked similar questions in a press conference by journalists, and I quote:

As we approach this COVID normal, does that mean we're starting to get to a point where you may step aside as the state emergency controller, are we getting to that point now do you think?

Then Grant Stevens said:

We are providing advice to the government in relation to what those options might be that see the requirement for a major emergency declaration to be revoked. At this point in time, this is the only mechanism that we have that gives us the ability to require people to participate in QR code activity, to have marshals on board, to have one person per two square metres, all of those things are contingent upon some ability to require people to do that, that's the major emergency declaration. The government is having a look at how we can replace that with another mechanism that provides that same level of accountability to the community and, until that's developed, I'll continue to operate as the State coordinator.

So we have heard very clearly from the State Coordinator, the police commissioner, that recommendations have gone to the government on this, that the government have in their hands recommendations from the police commissioner, the police, presumably from SA Health, as to how to put in place a new mechanism going forward. But there has not been a decision. There has not been a proposal brought to the parliament. I think that is (a) surprising but I think (b) that South Australians will be looking for answers as to when that is going to be happening and why that has not happened to date because this is so important.

I think even the Premier was asked about this as well. On 4 January this year, InDaily reported that the Premier and authorities have been considering how to return the state's emergency decision-making to cabinet government. The Premier said, and I quote:

We are looking at that at the moment. We were looking at it very carefully in November—before the Parafield cluster... We don't want to keep SA in a state of emergency for an extended period of time. But whilst we've got border restrictions in place, if they're not done under the Emergency Management Act they need to be done under another act, and we're looking at the best way to do that.

So those were the Premier's comments almost a month ago on 4 January. Yet, between 4 January and now, here on 2 February we have not had any action to bring those supposed measures to parliament, which is quite interesting.

Also interesting, I think, was the Premier's comment that we do not want to keep SA in a state of emergency for an extended period of time. I think it has been 10 months now, which is a very extended period of time when you consider that prior to this the record for the previous state of emergency was four days. I am not sure what the government is waiting for. I am not sure if there are divisions in the government about how this should proceed but certainly from the opposition's perspective, as we have been on all things, we stand willing to work with the government to make sure that the best possible arrangements are in place.

In relation to those arrangements, I think there is one area that the Attorney touched on in her speech, which has certainly had some public commentary, about whether there are additional

legal protections that could be put in place, and that is in relation to the use of QR codes. We very clearly support the use of QR codes on this side of the house. We have been promoting them. We want all South Australians to use them. They are obviously very important from a contact tracing perspective. It is disappointing that we did not have them in place before the Parafield cluster because obviously that would have helped in a significant way but it is great now that we do have them in place and we are absolutely supporting their use in dealing with this pandemic.

However, it has been noted by a number of people, particularly the Law Society, who have raised concerns in relation to the legal basis and legal protections around the use of QR codes and basically the lack of any legislative safeguards whatsoever. There was an article in the InDaily over the past few weeks, entitled 'Law Society warning over COVID QR check-in data privacy', which stated:

South Australia's mandatory COVID-Safe check-in system lacks 'legislative safeguards', with personal information at risk under the current laws of being used for purposes other than contact tracing, the state's Law Society president has warned.

In a letter addressed to Premier Steven Marshall and published on the SA Law Society website yesterday, outgoing society president Tim White warned that the State Government needed to adopt 'greater care' when handling personal information collected by its mandatory COVID-Safe check-in system.

The technology was introduced on December 1 to track the names and contact details of people who visit businesses with a COVID-Safe plan, to help contact tracers contain the spread of the coronavirus in the event of another SA outbreak.

It goes on to say:

...White wrote that despite assurances from authorities, the society's Human Rights Committee could not find any provisions within the COVID-19 emergency management directions which restrict the use or disclosure of the information.

'We are concerned about the lack of legislative safe guards in place to manage the collection, storage, use and disclosure of personal information of persons,' he wrote.

'This is particularly so given that a person is compelled to provide their relevant contact details to the COVID-Safe check-in in order to go about their day to day lives.'

Clearly, a concern has been raised, and the government could well have decided to take some action in relation to this one page of legislation, to put in place some legal protections around the use of QR codes. That is not to say that anybody is raising concerns about the need for these, nor even to say that people are saying they are being abused. They are saying that when you are dealing with such an important and highly sensitive range of information about everybody going about their daily lives and where they have been and their contact information, let's make sure that there are safeguards around that.

Even putting aside the IT component, which I think is clearly one of the areas where we do need safeguards, obviously businesses have to have a check-in list, where, if you do not have a QR code, if you do not have a phone—which many people do not—you write that down. I have, anecdotally, heard reports of some people breaching people's confidence by looking at the details that have been written there and contacting people on the manual COVID check-in list because they did not have the COVID QR code.

It is outrageous that somebody would use that information for another purpose, but there is no legal protection against that at the moment. There is no way of stopping somebody doing that, but it would not be particularly hard for us to put in place a safeguard to stop that happening. I am surprised that the Attorney-General, the member for Bragg, has not sought to put in place a protection here, which could have easily been done. Parliament would support some protections around the use of that information to make sure that if somebody did abuse that there would be appropriate consequences for doing so.

Lastly, I would like to talk a bit about some of the COVID issues generally. I think it has been noted a number of times—and I have certainly spoken about it in this parliament before—that we should congratulate the people of South Australia on the tremendous job that they have done in following the directions and working together as a community, making sure that we have done a great job in South Australia. I think really across the whole country we have done so well in Australia

in managing this, particularly when you look at what is happening around the rest of the world and how disastrous this has been in countries such as the UK, Italy and the USA.

Clearly, though, among the strongest provisions that we have to protect us are the international border provisions, the ban on travel for people unless they get an exemption—and I think there are questions as to whether too many exemptions have been granted for people to leave or not. Certainly, the provisions around stopping outgoing and incoming travel as much as possible and the protections around making sure appropriate quarantine is in place have really done us a tremendous service, particularly when you look at other countries around the world.

When you compare us to another island, that being Britain—and there may be good arguments for why they did not bring in place stronger travel provisions—that clearly has had a detrimental impact there when you compare it to Australia, when you compare it to New Zealand, when you compare it to Singapore, Japan, Hong Kong, and other islands that have put in place strong travel provisions that have led to much greater success in those countries.

That means that we have to do everything we possibly can to put in place strong safeguards when people do come here because any leakage out of our hotel quarantine, our medi-hotel system, can be devastating. We saw that very clearly here in South Australia in November with the very significant impact upon South Australian's lives of the outbreak from the Peppers hotel where a security guard contracted the virus and spread it out into the community.

We have also seen similar breaches in hotel quarantine in other states, and clearly Western Australia is dealing with one at the moment. We need to do everything we possibly can to protect our hotel quarantine system to make sure that our medi-hotels are secure and that we do not see a repeat of what happened at the Peppers hotel. Part of that clearly revolves around the workforce there. This is a workforce that significantly relies on private security guards, where there are hundreds of private security guards employed across our medi-hotel system. Clearly, the leakage, the first contact that happened in our Peppers hotel outbreak, has now happened in Perth, where there was a private security guard who contracted the virus as well.

We need to make sure that those staff are tested daily. There was a national cabinet agreement on 8 January that said that all quarantine workers, whether they be people involved in transport, whether they be people involved in hotels, whether they be private security guards, police, nurses, cleaners—you name it—the national standard would be that they should all be tested daily. That was agreed and endorsed by all governments, including our government, on 8 January. What was revealed yesterday was that the South Australian government had not put that in place. They put it in place for one subset of workers—nurses—but not for private security guards, not for police, not for hotel staff, not for transport staff and not for cleaners.

All those people are at high risk. We should be putting a very strong testing regime in place involving daily tests for all those people (a) for their benefit and (b) for the community's benefit. That has not happened. It is only now that this has been exposed by media questions that the government are saying that they are going to bring this in next week. There will be a full month after this was agreed as a national standard. I hope that is brought forward as quickly as possible because we need that protection. It is frankly inexcusable that that was not in place beforehand.

Secondly, we need to make sure that all the elements that were announced by the government in relation to their eight-point plan are implemented. After the Peppers hotel outbreak caused a statewide lockdown, the government announced an eight-point plan to deal with the potential for future medi-hotel breaches. Part of that was that they said that we would not start international arrivals until that plan was fully in place. Part of the plan was a dedicated quarantine facility to deal with positive arrivals. As of today, that is still not in place.

The government is now saying that it is not going to be like a hospital, as was originally announced; it is going to be another medi-hotel, and even that is not going to be in place until perhaps next week or maybe even further into the future. Those measures need to be in place as soon as possible to keep South Australia safe.

We still have not seen the report that came out on what happened in the Peppers hotel outbreak. The government said clearly that it would be releasing a report into the investigation as to what happened there and that it would be released publicly. We have not seen that; it has not been released. It is not only important for the public of South Australia to see what happened but it is also

important on the basis of making sure that other states, other countries, can see evidence of what happened here and to make sure that we do not repeat those same mistakes.

I think there is still a significant issue in regard to the use of private security guards with insecure work who need to have secondary jobs. This was clearly an issue in Victoria. They have now put in place a system where they employ those workers directly and they contract them and pay them sufficiently to make sure that they do not have secondary jobs that potentially could be a risk. This clearly was an issue here, where we had workers working other jobs, and we had the infamous issue of a security guard and kitchen hand both working at the Woodville pizza bar.

This is now an issue in Perth, where one of the security guards who tested positive also had a secondary job as a rideshare driver. We are a wealthy enough country, a wealthy enough state, that we can make sure we look after those employees, that we can provide them with adequate compensation, that we provide them with adequate employment and contract with them to make sure that they are not working other jobs.

The Western Australian Premier, in relation to their outbreak, has just announced that they will no longer have these employees working second jobs, and they will make sure through their payments and contracting that that does not happen. That is absolutely something we should be looking at here as well to keep South Australia safe. Every measure possible to protect the hotel quarantine system should be put in place to make sure that South Australia stays safe.

We know through questions during the estimates process that we are using a contract for our private security guards that has allowed subcontracting arrangements to be put in place. Three companies are subcontracting to the primary contractor, which is a number of levels of dispersed responsibility for the staff working in these hotels. We should be taking as many steps as possible to put in place a safe system that will protect South Australia. South Australians have been doing a very good job and I think they expect us in the parliament and the government to do as much as possible to put in place steps that will remove, as far as possible, that risk of a breach from hotel quarantine.

The opposition will support through this house this very quick piece of legislation. I thank the many members of the Public Service for their work. Hopefully, they will not be needed during the committee stage of this debate and hopefully it will be relatively straightforward through this house. Consistently, as an opposition we have taken the approach, since the outbreak a year ago of this pandemic, that we will support measures put in place to keep South Australia safe. That is what the public expects our parliament to do—to work together.

We will certainly put forward proposals and suggestions to improve our response where we see fit, but we absolutely support the work of our public health experts, the work of our police, the work of our frontline workers who have been so instrumental and, particularly in relation to this act, the work of the police commissioner, Grant Stevens, who effectively has been running the state for the past year under these emergency powers.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (11:44):** May I just place on the record a few matters which were raised in the course of this debate. Firstly, I thank the opposition for confirming its support for the bill.

The matters that had specifically been raised and I would like to address are, firstly, that we have a provision in our sessional orders, I think, to make it very clear that one cannot make comment about the persons who are in the gallery. I have just observed during this debate a tweet asserting that there are multiple people in the gallery who are here to be my advisers in relation to this bill, which I think is both rude and disrespectful and probably in breach of our rules.

Nevertheless, I just place on the record that of course the government will always have some advisers present during the course of the bills to be available for any member of parliament—not just the member for Kaurua, of course, but any member of the parliament—to ask any questions about any of the multitude of issues that are raised, but also there are other bills on the agenda. I place on the record that not only is that erroneous but I think very disrespectful.

Secondly, in relation to the consideration of a bill that the opposition is seeking to view for what I described in the second reading as the management phase post an emergency, firstly, I do



not know why the member, when he was a member of the previous government, in drafting the Emergency Management Act, had not foreshadowed—

**Mr Picton:** I didn't draft the Emergency Management Act.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —well, in the previous government—the development of the Emergency Management Act, a contingency where it might be a very long emergency. I think it was drafted fairly in consideration of incidents—floods, some catastrophic event like the four-day shutdown around the electricity failure in the state—but, nevertheless, it is with us. I do not blame the previous government for not thinking about this when they presented to parliament some years ago, that they had not considered that, but it is with us. We are in government and we are considering it, and I confirm to the member that, yes, it has been given attention.

The quotes from the Coordinator—that is, our Commissioner of Police, Mr Grant Stevens—reflect the ongoing discussions that we are having with both him and a number of other parties to consider what we present ultimately to the parliament. So, yes, it is being considered, as I indicated. Whilst there seems to be some criticism about why we do not have it now and what explanation we need to give, I confirm that we are having those discussions, including with the State Coordinator, and, yes, he has presented several options to the government, and we will of course consider those—not just us, as a government, but obviously all the other parties who are relevant to the provision of service and protection, including both health and emergency services parties who of course need to be canvassed in relation to this.

I confirm that it is the government's intention that as soon as we have that dealt with we will discuss with the opposition, as an important part of any debate in the parliament, as to what we have in mind to present ultimately to the parliament. That is something that is continuing to be worked on. Meanwhile, it is the Coordinator himself who has recommended to the government that we continue under the 28-day declaration process that, in his judgement, on his application he continue to be appointed as the Coordinator under the Emergency Management Act.

Again, I place on the record my personal appreciation and also the government's appreciation for the work that he is doing, together with members of the South Australian police force and, indeed, a number of our other personnel at the frontline, whether that be a cleaner in a hotel who is managing the very difficult issue of testing, protection and support for people coming into South Australia, or the health service provision particularly.

On the weekend, I met with someone who is providing the logistics of transport between airports and hotels and the like. This is again an area of support in which people are putting themselves in an environment where they may be more vulnerable to the potential transmission of this shocking COVID-19 virus that is with us. We appreciate all that is being done. In the meantime, Mr Stevens has requested, the government has acceded, and the Governor has appointed his continued position as the State Coordinator.

The other matter that was raised may be a situation where the member is just a bit behind the times; I am not sure. He raises a letter that was sent by Mr Tim White, the then President of the Law Society, about their concern that they could not find any protections to deal with the storage, management and protection of personal data. I referred to this in the second reading explanation.

Let me be very clear about this: Mr White did write, as then President of the Law Society. He has had a response from me on behalf of the government in relation to that. As has been pointed out, things such as the protections of the \$5,000 fine, except in the three circumstances I have repeated to the parliament today, that someone would face if they deliberately disclosed any such information, have been outlined.

Since that time, a new president has come in for the Law Society, Rebecca Sandford, and I congratulate her on her election. Rebecca has been President of the Law Society since 1 January. She has written to me about priorities she wishes to discuss with me in relation to matters, given her new position. It does not include this issue; nevertheless, they are important issues. I think we are meeting with her later this week or early next week to discuss the issues she has raised. We will of course address this and any other matters that are raised.

Perhaps the member is a little behind the times on this. I am not sure whether he has reflected on the fact that things might have happened since the letter was sent in, I think, November last year. I want to assure him that it has. If he read the rest of the letter sent by the Law Society,

which highlights the fact that there is no privacy law in South Australia, then he also might reflect on the fact that his government I think had, for eight years, a recommendation from the South Australian Law Reform Institute that we look at privacy law in this state. They did nothing about it. He might want to reflect on that.

I want to assure the house, as I have publicly indicated, that that is precisely what, as a new government, we are doing. We are having a look at where there are deficiencies in the law and the matters that we need to consider. Yes, we are taking up this issue. We are very conscious of this issue. I cannot explain what the member's previous government, of which he was a member for some time, was actually doing all that time, but they commissioned the report. They asked for it and then they obviously just put it in the bin.

I will just make the point that before the member comes in and gives outdated and inaccurate information, he might want to just update himself a bit. What I do agree with him on is that Australia has been, with the excellent leadership of the Prime Minister, protected by very clear quarantine restrictions—in particular, restrictions on travel in and out of our country. As the member quite rightly pointed out, Britain has not been in the same circumstance.

What other countries have done in relation to their restrictions seems to be very late in the piece in terms of what they are introducing. Australia has been very clear about that, as has New Zealand. I think it has added, as the member has said, a level of extra protection for us, in addition to our geographical circumstance.

I appreciate the fact that the member recognises the stewardship in relation to how the states have otherwise dealt with matters, although he still has some concern about the daily testing issue. The outcome is clear: there has been nowhere near the circumstance of distress and death rate that has been incurred in many other countries in the world.

Secondly, I think South Australia has led the way in relation to how to deal with an outbreak; Queensland has followed, and Western Australia is now going through a traumatic time and they have followed. We have to recognise the leadership we have in our state governments and at the federal level and at how this has been coordinated.

When we do sit down in a happier time—it may be a while yet before vaccines are completely rolled out, and that is understandably the current focus—and look at how we got through this pandemic, we have to look at how things worked here and how we might assist others in the world to appreciate the benefits of what we have done and, of course, learn from any mistakes. These are things yet to be considered, but we have been at some significant advantage and are appreciative of the leadership we have had.

However, we are here today to provide for an extension up to 31 May, or 28 days from declaration. In practical terms that means if the commissioner came to us at the end of the current 28-day period and said, 'I don't require this any further. I'm not going to be seeking the Governor's endorsement of an extension,' then it would be 28 days to lapse—which would be a date, of course, earlier than 31 May. That is the effect of this legislation.

For the record, there have been some matters that have not been pursued. As I said earlier, some of them are in the category of where, as Attorney-General, I have power to simply cease their operation. To give an example, there were a number of directions where the Treasurer had power, under the COVID act, to direct the Auditor-General, and it was with the blessing and approval of the Auditor-General that those provisions were developed. They were not used, and the Treasurer wrote to me to say they had not been used and it was unnecessary that they continue. As Attorney-General I have not continued them.

Similarly, the issue of provision around a special arrangement of mandatory mediation before court determinations on commercial leases for disputes in relation to that has, I think, been valued. There have been various models of this presented all around Australia, and the work that has been done, supported by the government, to provide to mediation facilities by the Small Business Commissioner has been valuable in relation to that service. It is no longer needed; it expired on 3 January. Around Australia—if one has some interest in this area—this provision, this special arrangement, is lapsing as we speak, and it is expected to continue to be dismantled, depending on the demand.

For the benefit of the parliament and those who are following that aspect, I can report that the Magistrates Court ultimately made a determination in a case recently as to who and how the formula would work for the sharing of loss in relation to a commercial lease, the loss of rent and the sharing of that between the landlord and tenant. Some people have inquired about copies of that for their information but, essentially, the Magistrates Court took the period for which there had been a reduction in revenue, undertook an assessment as to how that translated into proportional rent and shared that loss between the landlord and the tenant.

It was a sort of fifty-fifty distribution of that loss, and it was a careful analysis by the Magistrates Court. I hope that will be a helpful guide and precedent for others who are supporting people in our commercial and retail leasing world, to assist them in being able to provide advice as a legal team or as a guide to parties, encouraging them to sit down to resolve those issues. We are not out of this circumstance from the point of view of everything returning to normal.

I am very proud of the economic position that South Australia is in under the stewardship of our Premier. Nevertheless, there will need to be ongoing consideration of how we address matters of compensation, how we address matters in relation to support and whether we need any other legislative or regulatory wraparound to assist people to come through this, in addition to those that have been extended by the federal government, provisions such as JobSeeker and JobKeeper. With that, I commend the bill to the house and again thank the opposition for their indication of support.

Bill read a second time.

#### *Parliament House Matters*

### **PARLIAMENT HOUSE SAFETY MEASURES**

**The SPEAKER (12:00):** I take this opportunity to remind members, happily, the public gallery in the chamber is reopened. On behalf of the parliament's COVID-19 Response Management Committee, I thank SA Health for their advice and assistance. The public gallery is open, with a COVID-safe capacity of 23, as I understand it presently.

Unfortunately, for the time being the Speaker's gallery continues to be occupied by members in order to ensure that the chamber can conduct its business in a socially distant and COVID-safe environment, and those matters continue to be actively considered by the committee, acting in accordance with the advice of SA Health.

#### *Bills*

### **COVID-19 EMERGENCY RESPONSE (EXPIRY) AMENDMENT BILL**

#### *Third Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (12:01):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

### **STATUTES AMENDMENT AND REPEAL (BUDGET MEASURES) BILL**

#### *Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 10 November 2020.)

**Mr PICTON:** I draw your attention to the state of the house.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Lee.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (12:04):** Thank you, Mr Speaker, and a belated happy new year to you and to everyone here this afternoon. I rise to make some brief remarks about the Statutes Amendment and Repeal (Budget Measures) Bill, the annual bill which seeks to make the necessary changes to legislation in order to give effect to a select range of measures included in the state budget handed down here in November of last year. The majority of the changes sought by the

government in this bill for the most recent budget are relatively minor in nature, with a couple of exceptions.

It was of interest to me that the government has sought to include amendments to the Police Act that effectively change the arrangements for protective security officers, as we have come to know them, and bring them within the ambit of the Police Act. That is for the purpose of enabling protective security officers, or what we might call unsworn officers, into the service of SA Police in order to conduct a range of tasks that police would otherwise be doing—so-called civilian tasks. This is not related to a particular budget measure, so I am curious to know why the government has sought to include this in the budget measures bill.

I was advised by the Police Association that, at the time that the state budget was presented to this place, the discussions around the police enterprise bargaining agreement were still ongoing and that the changes in this bill presented to the parliament had still not been agreed to. Here we are, nearly three months on from the presentation of the budget to this place, and we read in the media that the negotiations over the police enterprise bargaining agreement have concluded and police have voted to accept what had been negotiated between the government and police representatives, including these changes.

There are also changes to the Land Acquisition Act. The Land Acquisition Act was amended in the early part of last year—I think in the first half of last year—as the former transport minister, the member for Schubert, sought some legislative changes in order to improve the government's processes and perhaps make it easier for the government to go about the processes of compulsory land acquisition for major transport infrastructure projects.

There are some further changes here, two of which are notable. One is to enable the government, by a ministerial notice in the *Gazette*, to fix a possession date for land to be acquired that is less than three months from the date of the notice of acquisition for a specified project or projects. As members would be familiar, there is a fairly rigorous and necessarily onerous process that the government needs to go through in order to compulsorily acquire a property for the purposes of a major transport infrastructure project, for example.

This measure in this bill makes it easier for the government to effectively gain access and use of that property. As I just read from the explanation of clauses, which has been provided with this bill, this allows the capacity for the government to set a date at which they can have access, rather than the current process under the law, which requires the effluxion of a certain amount of time before that property can be accessed.

There is also a somewhat euphemistic change in what the same document calls a 'clarification' of the definition of vacant land but what might more accurately be described as the inclusion of a new definition of vacant land. Vacant land is now to be defined to include land that:

- (a) is residential land on which no person is lawfully residing at the time; or—  
curiously—
- (b) is non-residential land that is not genuinely being used for income producing purposes at the time; or
- (c) is primary production land that is not actively being used for grazing, cropping, horticultural, horse keeping, intensive animal keeping, animal husbandry or other primary production purposes at the time...

I raise that because it gives greater scope for the government to declare land to be vacant and hence to improve its case for gaining access to land, if I can put it like that, that may be required for a major infrastructure project. I also draw attention to those words 'at the time' because, as you could imagine, whether it is primary production land, residential land or commercial land—for example, perhaps a shop or a row of shops along an arterial corridor that is sought to be improved by the government—if it is not generating income 'at the time' it obviously makes it easier for the government to gain access to that property.

I could imagine, particularly after what our state, along with the rest of the country, has been through with the economic dislocation caused by the coronavirus, that there would be more shops vacant and not generating income now than there had been previously. It would be reasonable for a

landlord, a commercial property owner, to be concerned should this definition now be included within the Land Acquisition Act. That will be something we will be seeking some further detail on during the course of the consideration of this bill.

There are also some changes that yet again seek, in further areas for the government, to increase revenue from the community. The Mining Act seeks to ensure that a greater proportion of revenue is gleaned for Treasury from freehold landowners of land subject to the provisions of the Mining Act. Perhaps more troublingly, I think there are yet further revenue increases proposed for clients of the Public Trustee.

Sir, as you would be familiar with, the clients of the Public Trustee quite often are those people whose estates are being managed by the government because there is nobody else to manage them or because the people having their estates managed do not have the wherewithal to make their own arrangements or to choose how their affairs or their estates are managed. I am talking about those people still with us, not necessarily those people who are deceased. In either event, the percentage rate charged against those estates, against those clients' financial affairs, will increase on some select investment classes.

This is the latest in a succession of fee increases that we have seen for clients of the Public Trustee, particularly in the last 2½ years. It is getting to the point now where—rather than the Public Trustee providing a 'last resort service' for those people who have no other option for the management of their financial affairs, or for those people who have passed on and there is no-one else capable of managing their estates—instead of that service being provided by the government at the lowest manageable cost, it is seen as an opportunity to raise revenue for the government.

There is nothing in this arrangement that necessarily guarantees that this amount of revenue raised by the Public Trustee must be maintained or kept by the Public Trustee for the discharge of those services. I am sure we will hear the government claim that if fees are being raised by the Public Trustee, perhaps the Public Trustee still presents itself as an entity that is a net cost to government rather than an income generator. This change to these arrangements stands for the future and not just for the current arrangements, and I think that should be a concern for vulnerable people in our community whose affairs are being managed by the Public Trustee.

There are some other minor changes, including to the State Lotteries Act to better apply commissions so they are more consistent with what happens in other jurisdictions, which we have no problem with. There is also a further change on top of what was made previously for the making of fee notices and the gazettal of fee notices. That is all pretty rudimentary. It started out as a red-tape reduction initiative—not for the community, of course, but for the government—for those people in the Public Service responsible for the making of fee notices for Cabinet Office and for cabinet in the consideration of those fee notices.

I make reference to it because last year, while our state was suffering in the middle of the early stages of an economic recession, while there were at one point nearly 50,000 more South Australians unemployed than there were in March 2020, while many businesses had either been forced to close or had chosen to close because it was not viable for them to continue operating, the government very quietly gazetted hundreds and hundreds of fee notices to increase fees across many different areas of government activity by 2 per cent.

When it was pointed out to the government by the opposition and the media that the government had for the first time in memory chosen not to announce what the annual increase to government fees and charges would be, astoundingly the answer from the Treasurer was, 'There is nothing to see here. I thought this was such a minor increase that it didn't warrant comment.' For those people who pay fees and charges to the government, it is something of note and it is something of concern that South Australia was one of the only jurisdictions across the country not to freeze its annual increase in taxes, fees and charges.

The government increased them in the middle of not only a global pandemic but a pandemic ravaging both the jobs market and business opportunities here in South Australia. It was also an increase that came on the back of the remarkable 10 per cent increase in taxes, fees and charges in the previous year's budget across many different fees and charges or the 5 per cent increase levied in the previous year's budget.

We had already had a massive step-up in taxes, fees and charges in the budget that was released in 2019, designed to glean an extra \$50 million a year from the public of South Australia, and on top of that this further 2 per cent increase, which the government tried to sneak out without a press release. The extraordinary excuse from the Treasurer was, 'It didn't warrant any comment. It is all par for the course.'

I think that sort of behaviour by a government is reprehensible—that sort of behaviour when communities are looking to the governments that lead them and those who represent them for the support and assistance during an economic recession and during a pandemic such as other states have displayed, with measures like providing large amounts of stimulus funding and getting that stimulus funding quickly out into the community or freezing any increases in government taxes, fees and charges. This sort of behaviour from this government, led by this Premier, is a very poor thing to have seen and quite regrettable.

I do not propose to make any further comment on this bill. We have a couple of questions to ask during committee, and we look forward to assisting the government in moving it through all stages today.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (12:19):** Sir, I rise, as you know, on behalf of the government, and most particularly on behalf of the Treasurer in this chamber, for the budget measures bill. I listened very closely to what the member opposite had to say, and unsurprisingly I do not accept the vast majority of his narrative. I respect his right to narrate, but I certainly do not accept the vast majority of what he said.

I think that the most expedient thing we can do, given that the member opposite has said that he would like to, is to go into committee, and I am sure that, along with me, there are advisers at hand who will be able to provide useful answers to the members of the opposition who might like to ask questions.

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** Minister, were you closing debate at that point?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Only because no-one else seemed to want to speak.

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** Yes. Well, debate is closed.

Bill read a second time.

*Committee Stage*

In committee.

Clauses 1 to 3 passed.

Clause 4.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** With respect to the percentage rate, which has been provided in the explanation of clauses, how much revenue per year is the movement from 1 per cent up to 1.2 per cent per year estimated to glean?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised that there are two particular changes in costs. The percentage change is in clause 50—and we could certainly go to that—but if there is a question about clause 4, then that is actually an hourly rate.

**The CHAIR:** It is what? Sorry, minister, could you repeat that.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** There are two fee changes proposed. We are dealing with clause 4 at the moment. The member has asked a question about a percentage rate increase. There is a percentage rate increase. That is the second of the two fee changes and that is in clause 50. We are on clause 4 at the moment, and the fee change in clause 4 is actually about an hourly rate. It might be that we deal with it when we get to 50 or it might be that there is a slightly different question for clause 4.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I appreciate that explanation. Could the minister advise what the hourly rate is, what the increase is that has been countenanced and how much revenue that is estimated to raise?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised that there is no fee in this area at the moment. The fee will become \$241 per hour and is expected to raise between \$30,000 and \$40,000 per year.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** How has that level of hourly rate been established? What has it had reference to?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Targeting cost recovery, but I am advised it will actually deliver slightly less than actual cost.

Clause passed.

Clauses 5 and 6 passed.

Clause 7.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I will try to summarise, on this clause, my questions on the clamping and impounding regime. I was grateful to receive some information in the briefing that was provided to me for the purposes of this bill late last year. For the purposes of the record, how many vehicles are impounded each year? What is the basis of the calculation of the amount of revenue that is estimated to be raised by this?

If I have read the bill and the explanation of clauses correctly, in the event that someone has their car impounded they can elect, for example, to be prosecuted for the offence if they do not believe that they should have had their car impounded or that they committed the offence, etc. If the car is returned to them, and they have obviously paid the fee and it has to be reimbursed, in what time frame does that fee need to be repaid to them, and is there any interest that is available for the person who has paid that fee?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** In regard to the number of vehicles per year, I am advised that in the 2018-19 year 4,800 vehicles were impounded. There are no figures available for 2019-20 at this point in time, but, if possible, they will be provided to the opposition between the houses. Do we have that opportunity now? I do not think we will have that opportunity. In the 2018-19 year, the courts awarded \$1.2 million for the costs associated with those 4,800 vehicles.

Under the new proposed legislation, somebody who pays the fee at the gate, gets their vehicle back, goes to court and subsequently is not convicted or found innocent or one of the other options, then that person certainly would get back the fee that they paid, but there is nothing in this legislation that offers interest on top of that repayment.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am grateful to the minister for that explanation. Just so I have it clear in my mind, if somebody is charged with an offence, they have their vehicle impounded because of the alleged offence being committed, they elect to be prosecuted and the court determines that they are not guilty of committing that offence or similar, are they without their car for that entire period? Does it remain impounded until the court finds in their favour?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised that after the 28-day impoundment, the person in the example that you used would have their vehicle all the way through the court process.

Clause passed.

Clauses 8 to 14 passed.

Clause 15.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** The minister may or may not be able to do this unless perhaps he gets some assistance, but I was hoping that the minister could explain to us what the current process is in terms of the government seeking to acquire a property by compulsory acquisition, issuing a notice of acquisition and how that will change if this legislative provision makes its way through.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Two advisers have arrived, but I think it is the third adviser who is needed to help with this question.

**The CHAIR:** Do you want the member to repeat the question for the sake of the adviser?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No, I think I am okay with that, thank you. My adviser will tap me on the shoulder or something if I do not get exactly right everything he has just told me. Understanding that the member opposite is a former minister with deep understanding in this, I will not go through the entire process as it is now, other than to say that the process itself, in terms of the nuts and bolts and the steps that are expected to be undertaken, really has not changed; it is a timing issue. After notice is given—get through the three months—at that point in time, essentially four months after the topic has been raised with the landholder, the government can then set a date for acquisition.

That date will be at the government's discretion but no doubt in consultation with all of the people and organisations that need to be considered. The important thing to say is that none of the steps that need to be taken are circumvented, none of them are removed from the process, but it does allow the government to set a date. I am receiving a little bit more information. Just for clarification, for the notice of intention to acquire there is a three-month window and then there is the notice of acquisition, which can be determined by the government at that point in time.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am grateful for that explanation. That accords with my vague recollection that the government determines of itself that it may require access or ownership of the property in order to conduct, for example, a major road upgrade. A notice of intent is issued, and then there must be a three-month period before a notice of acquisition can be issued. It was my understanding that there is then a minimum period of time before the property can actually be accessed. What I understand this to do is to give the government the capacity not to wait for that whole period of time but instead fix a date after the issuing of the notice of acquisition; is that correct?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes. Again, just for complete clarity I hope, there is typically a month at the start before the notice of intent is provided. Then there is the three-month window, with the notice of intent. Then there is the notice of acquisition, which currently is another three months. That three months is what is being removed as a nominated number. It might be that it is three weeks, it might be that it is six months, but that will be the government's opportunity to determine.

The three months is being removed, being the second three months—notice of acquisition—and replaced by a date instead of an amount of time. I think it is fair to assume that in some cases it would be appropriate and beneficial for all parties if that date was less than three months away, and in other cases it may well be beneficial for it to be more than three months away.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I will give a bit of preamble before my last question on this clause. My understanding of the process is that notice of intent, much as its name suggests, is merely a formal indication by the government that at that point in time it intends to either wholly or partly take ownership of a property for the purposes of, for example, a project. During the course of the subsequent three months before a notice of acquisition is issued, the owner may engage with the government, may seek some clarity about whether it is partial or complete, may perhaps ask if there are alternatives and so on, but it is really at that point in time, the formal notice of acquisition, that best and accurately defines the government's decision to acquire the property. This change will allow a date to be fixed straight after the issuing of it.

Basically, what you are saying is that it might be three weeks, it might still be three months, it might be six months, it might be shorter or it might be longer. My concern is that, if it is the shorter length, from the time that a person is formally told that the government will be acquiring it—that is, the notice of acquisition—under this provision the government can very quickly seek to formally access the property or take ownership of the property.

In the case of a major road upgrade, where perhaps somebody has lived in (owner-occupied) that property for quite a period of time, not having that mandated three months could cause quite significant dislocation to them. Maybe they were hoping they had come up with some alternatives for the government so they could get around the property and not need it, for example, or that they were pursuing some sort of other remedy in order for them to try to maintain ownership or give the government a lesser proportion of their property and so on.

My question really is: if it is going to be contracted to a date that the government can nominate, presumably much shorter after the issuing of the notice of acquisition, what other



measures are the government proposing to put in place to make the dislocation of that property owner a bit easier for them to digest? Is there additional support or compensation or some other assistance that the government will be providing to these property owners in the event that the date is less than the current three months?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes, member for Lee, your preamble was an accurate way of describing how it works, including that it may be shorter, it may be longer, or it may be three months. With regard to your final question about what compensation or other support is offered, it is not the intent of this bill to automatically make it less than three months. There is nothing with regard to compensation in this bill that links directly to the question that you asked and that is because this is trying to make this process far more expedient and far more practical for all parties, not just for the government.

I can tell you that, in my fairly limited experience with this type of situation in my own electorate, there are sometimes people who say, 'Look, I can see what's happening. Just get it done. I don't want to wait three months. Just give me my money. Let me go.' It might be because there is another property to purchase to move to that is available now and it may not be available later. There are also people at the other end of the spectrum who want to dig their heels in. That is their choice and they say, 'There is no time that will suit me.' It is not necessarily all good or all bad. It is very much about just trying to provide the flexibility to make things happen in the most sensible way for everybody involved.

Clause passed.

Clause 16.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** My understanding is that this relates to the measure where a minister can effectively delegate to one of the officers, for example in the department or agency for which they are responsible, the decision of a change to a fee or another form of a prescribed charge. I am happy to be corrected if that is not the case, but I think that, rather than the minister having to make these decisions and rather than the minister having to make these notices, it can be delegated to a senior officer. If that is correct, on the basis that that is the situation, to what extent is the—

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Excuse me, Chair. Apologies for interrupting, but a new adviser has come from outside and did not hear the start of the question.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I was saying, hopefully accurately, that clause 16 enlivens the initiative that the government is seeking to pursue where somebody other than the minister is able to prescribe a fee and issue a notice in order to determine whatever that fee or change in fee is. If that is correct, my question is: to what extent can a minister delegate that authority to those people who report to him or her?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Member for Lee, this time your description at the beginning was not quite accurate, I am advised. It is not so much about the minister delegating fee determination to somebody else; in fact, that is not it at all. The relevant act is not being changed in that regard.

There was, I am advised, some concern that under the existing legislation the Governor might be considered to be a relevant person, if you like, other than the minister who might have that discretion. This is removing that, clarifying that, and essentially just leaving it as it is at the moment. Where a minister would have that authority, that stays. Where somebody else might have that authority, that stays. It is just tidying up a potential discrepancy with regard to the Governor potentially having a role with regard to setting fees.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I did my best to stay with the minister during the course of that description, but perhaps he could have another go for my benefit. It is no criticism of his explanation, but I am not seeing what the problem is that is being corrected let alone how that is being corrected.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I will have another crack at it for you, member for Lee. On the one hand, it is tricky to explain; on the other hand, there is no smoke and mirrors, there is nothing hidden here whatsoever. The issue is with regard to the relevant authority and, as you would understand, there are different fees. Some are from the minister, some are by regulation.

There is, I am advised, currently, a bit of a concern that the description of a relevant person or body could potentially include the Governor when they are being made by regulation and the Governor is overseeing, in technicality, that process. So it is removing the possibility that the Governor could be considered a relevant body or person and getting right back to the original intent of the fees legislation so that it will either be the minister or it will be done by regulation as is currently the case.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I think I have exhausted our collective appetite to pursue this any further, sir.

**The CHAIR:** Okay. Well, it was pretty clear to me, member for Lee.

Clause passed.

Remaining clauses (17 to 68) and title passed.

Bill reported without amendment.

*Third Reading*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (12:52):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

### **SOUTH AUSTRALIAN EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL (COSTS) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I draw your attention to the state of the house, sir.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government) (12:56):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

Today, I introduce a short but important bill to amend the South Australian Employment Tribunal Act 2014. The amendments proposed in this bill would confirm that the South Australian Employment Tribunal (SAET) has the power to award costs to the parties in criminal proceedings. The bill would backdate this provision to 1 July 2017, which is when SAET was first conferred criminal jurisdiction over industrial offences that had previously been heard in the Magistrates Court. These are mainly offences under the Work Health and Safety Act 2012 and the Return to Work Act 2014.

The government has received advice that has cast doubt on the power of SAET to award costs in criminal proceedings. Costs have been routinely awarded in SAET in the exercise of its criminal jurisdiction. This is consistent with the longstanding practice in South Australia that costs apply in criminal prosecutions in the Magistrates Court, but is arguably contrary to section 52 of the act, which provides: 'Subject to this Act or a relevant Act, parties bear their own costs in any proceedings before the Tribunal.'

The Magistrates Court had the power to award costs in criminal proceedings when it exercised the jurisdiction over industrial offences that was subsequently transferred to SAET in 2017. It would appear that the lack of a clearly stated power in the SAET Act to award costs in criminal proceedings was an oversight at the time of the drafting of the legislation conferring the industrial offences criminal jurisdiction on SAET.

If costs do not apply in criminal proceedings before SAET, a successful prosecutor or a successful defendant would be denied compensation for their losses resulting from the prosecution. The situation in SAET would then stand in stark contrast to other criminal proceedings currently conducted in the Magistrates Court. This is clearly undesirable. An adverse ruling by the Supreme Court may potentially cast doubt over past costs orders made by SAET since 1 July 2017. Accordingly, the commencement of the bill would be backdated to that date.

I thank the relevant parties, including SAET, for their contribution in bringing this matter to the government's attention. I can say, as a former member of the opposition in this place when the legislation passed in 2017, that I thought it was a worthy initiative of the government. It seems that this oversight was not finalised, so we do need to clear it up. I commend the bill to members.

Debate adjourned on motion of Hon. S.C. Mullighan.

*Sitting suspended from 13:00 to 14:00.*

**SPENT CONVICTIONS (DECRIMINALISED OFFENCES) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Assent*

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

**STATUTES AMENDMENT (ABOLITION OF DEFENCE OF PROVOCATION AND RELATED MATTERS) BILL**

*Assent*

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

**APPROPRIATION BILL 2020**

*Assent*

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

**EVIDENCE (VULNERABLE WITNESSES) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Assent*

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

*Condolence*

**WEATHERILL, HON. G.**

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:02):** By leave, I move:

That the House of Assembly expresses its deep regret at the death of the Hon. George Weatherill, former member of the Legislative Council, and places on record its appreciation of his long and meritorious service, and that as a mark of respect to his memory the sitting of the house be suspended until the ringing of the bells.

George Weatherill served this parliament and the people of South Australia for 14½ years, until his retirement in 2000. In that time, he became known on all sides of the parliament for his gregarious personality, his genuinely friendly approach to all members, and also for the strength and steadfastness of his political beliefs and his support for working people.

I did not know the Hon. Mr Weatherill, but I am not surprised by the fond memories left of his service in this place because he hailed from County Durham in the north-east of England, a place where I thoroughly enjoyed completing my university education. It is a solid working-class area where the people are extremely down to earth and very hospitable.

George was born in Hartlepool in 1936, a North Sea port, which was the main shipping outlet for the mines of Durham coalfields and a centre for shipbuilding. Like many of that era looking for greater opportunity as some of those great British industries went into decline, George looked to Australia for a new life. Soon after migrating, he was stacking wool on the Port Adelaide wharves before spending 24 years in the trade union movement.

Immediately on entering parliament in 1986, his continuing commitment to working people was confirmed when in his very first question he embarrassed the then Bannon Labor government by criticising police for arresting racecourse employees participating in a picket at Victoria Park. During his membership of the upper house, George was for a long period his party's whip as well as serving on the Joint Parliamentary Service Committee, the Joint Committee on Subordinate Legislation and the Legislative Review Committee. After his own parliamentary service, he had the satisfaction of seeing the election of his son to this house.

In his maiden speech, my predecessor as Premier remarked that his mother, Joy, had taught him 'the Christian value of treating others as you would want them to treat you', while his father had a religion of a different sort: the trade union movement. 'Straight as a die', 'a true believer', 'somebody

you know believes in something and stands by those beliefs absolutely solidly', 'a friend to all people regardless of faction, regardless of party', these are some of the bipartisan tributes paid to George on his last day in this parliament.

He was the loved husband of Joy, loving father and father-in-law of Jay and Melissa, Dana, Lea and Jemima, and proud grandfather of Aaron, James, Grace, Lucinda and Alice. In expressing our condolences to his family, we trust they will find comfort in the fond memories he has left with many people for his loyal service to this parliament, his party, the trade union movement and South Australia.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:05):** I rise to second the motion. Last week, we lost a fine parliamentarian, unionist, Labor man, passionate Port supporter and friend of many current and former members of this place with the passing of the Hon. George Weatherill. George passed away on 24 January at the Royal Adelaide Hospital. He was a man of compassion and decency until his very last hour. He was widely loved, admired and respected, as the Premier has mentioned, on all sides of politics.

George was born in Hartlepool in England in 1936, and it was not the easiest start to life for George. His father passed away when he was only 13 years old, leaving him with an extraordinary degree of responsibility for such a young man. He left home at the tender age of 15 to go and find work to help provide for his family, with his beloved mother being widowed and having 10 children to look after—extraordinary.

George emigrated to Australia on board the *Fairsea* in 1960 in the pursuit of opportunity. The high point of his trip to Australia was of course a chance meeting with Joy, who ultimately became his wife. Joy was returning to Australia from a great European adventure, as was so popular during those days. That voyage started their lives together, bringing into the world three children of whom they have been so proud and have loved so much.

George often joked that he was not a ten-pound Pom, having paid £12.10 for his journey to Australia. Upon arriving in Australia, George found work in Melbourne stacking railway sleepers, before he eventually made his way to Adelaide, where he worked three different jobs simultaneously: one with the engineering and water supply department (commonly known as the E&WS), one at the Port Adelaide woolsheds handling fleeces, all the while also serving as an employee with the Australian Government Workers Union.

George's involvement with the Australian trade union movement, particularly the AGWU, saw him rise through the ranks, earning the respect of his colleagues, which was well fought for and won. His consistent, longstanding passionate advocacy for the working man and woman led him to an opportunity to serve in the Legislative Council. George entered the Legislative Council on 11 February 1986 to fill the casual vacancy left by the Hon. Frank Blevins before he moved into the House of Assembly to represent the people of Whyalla. George was returned to office twice after that, in 1989 and 1997, before retiring from office in 2000.

From the beginning of his parliamentary career, George earned the respect of his peers and people on all sides of politics, both within the Labor Party on the left and the right, having been party to significant battles during his time in the trade union movement (which I heard some cracking stories about over the weekend, I have to say) and also in this place, within the political divide between our respective sides of the house.

George's maiden speech covered what would remain central to his work and his beliefs over the following 14 years in the parliament: the plight of working people. For someone who had come through the hard knocks of life, he never lost his passion for the lives of working people. He never forgot who contributed to his capacity to make a contribution in this place, and they could not have had a better friend, ally and advocate in the halls of the South Australian parliament than George Weatherill.

George came from trade union ranks, and his work in the parliament was a continuation of his significant contributions to the union movement. George always believed in and stood up for better—better for the battler. Those who spoke at George's funeral spoke highly of a man they respected. He left his mark on this place, the labour movement, the Australian Labor Party and the South Australian community.

Undoubtedly, George Weatherill touched many lives throughout his journey, and clearly he will be sadly missed by his family, friends, mates and colleagues. On behalf of the parliamentary Labor Party, I would like to formally place on the record our appreciation and thanks for his contribution to the South Australian parliament and the South Australian community in general.

I can only imagine the pride that George experienced having seen his son ultimately elected to the premiership of this state. My sincere condolences to George's family: his wife, Joy, and Jay and Melissa, Dana and Jenny, Lea and Jemima along with his grandchildren Aaron, James, Grace, Lucinda and Alice.

It was a beautiful service on Saturday afternoon at Queenstown for George Weatherill, and I cannot tell you how heartened I was to see representatives from all walks of life within the great labour movement of our state, as well as representatives from the conservative side of politics. It was a great tribute to George's contribution.

As I mentioned, some of the stories that were told on Saturday afternoon were truly fascinating, on occasion inspiring but also entertaining, and spoke to a different era, I think, when it comes to the industrial movement in our state. George takes with him a lot of goodwill from those of us on both sides of the parliament, but particularly this side of the house, and we pass on our condolences. May he rest in peace.

**Mr PEDERICK (Hammond) (14:11):** I want to make a contribution about George Weatherill and the character he truly was. We have already heard how he was friends with people from across the political divide. I think that the main thing that George and I had in common was that we were both Port Adelaide supporters.

Early in my career, from 2006 onwards, I used to see George in the members' bar. I would be having a coffee and he would be having another light refreshment. It was always refreshing just to have a yarn with George. There is only one yarn I can remember particularly, and it was quite amusing. I might have some of the detail wrong, because it is a few years ago now, but you will get the idea.

I was having a chat to George, and I said, 'How are you going?' He said, 'Well, I had an interesting thing happen the other day.' I said, 'What's that?' He said that normally on weekends he would have a regular stint at the Henley Beach Hotel with some of his mates. He had been a bit crook, I think, and he had missed a couple of these stints. Anyway, he finally turned up one day at the next session at the Henley Beach Hotel.

His mates were sitting there, and they all went white as a sheet, white as a ghost. They thought they had seen a ghost because, as it happened, a certain George Weatherill had died and they had read it in the death notices. They said this to George and that he had come back to life, and all this sort of thing, and he said, 'Well, thanks a lot, mates, and none of you so-and-sos even went to my funeral!' It was a very funny story from a man who was obviously a true character.

I did not have the pleasure of being here when he was serving, but it was a real pleasure just to catch up with a true character of the parliament, to have some of those anecdotes. It is something that I think is missed at times. My condolences to the family. George was a true character and he will be missed.

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:13):** George Weatherill, as others have canvassed, arrived in Australia in his 20s and within two years he had been elected a union official, a shop steward, for the E&WS, what is now SA Water.

He was elected in absentia while in hospital for an appendix operation, and it is said that he was elected because he was a Pom and would know what to do about being a good union representative. However true that is, he proceeded to live up to the expectations of his colleagues and was from that moment on an active person within the labour movement and then the Labor Party.

For 24 years, he was a delegate, a state councillor and an organiser. He became increasingly important in what was then called the Australian Government Workers Association, which at that time when he was first active was a right-wing union in the Labor Party but later merged with the Missos and became a left-wing union. We will return to that shortly. George exemplified all his life the firebrand nature and the cunning of the left of those days plus his own unique brand of charm. The

view that if progress is to be made, it will not happen easily and it will not happen by handshakes behind closed doors kept George going.

Within the Labor Party and within the labour movement, things became pretty willing fairly early on in his tenure and in his involvement, including, as people may have read, a very violent brawl that broke out in Trades Hall in 1974. I had of course heard of this brawl but I had not realised that poor George was clocked with a glass ashtray hidden in a handbag of one of the female delegates at that meeting. Clearly, he survived and was only strengthened by the experience.

He emerged from these difficult times as the leading voice in the left of his union and, along with his friend George Young proceeded to take control and to steer that union towards the left. Between them, they strategised, they organised and they stared down the violence and the intimidation that they had experienced. They brought in fresh talent and they grew the union.

In due course, they merged with the national union, the Federated Miscellaneous Workers' Union, which became in its various guises and with various names (it will always be the Missos to me) the central voice for progressive change in the Labor Party and in many working people's lives in South Australia. This is a role that it has played to this day. There are many people who have been in parliament and indeed are in parliament now—I include myself—who are grateful to the various incarnations of the Missos for their backing to come into parliament and therefore, knowingly or not, owe a great debt of gratitude to George Weatherill.

George himself came into the Legislative Council in 1985 after a highly contested ballot in which all of his skills in charm and strategy were required. That is where I met him 10 years later, when I spent a year working in the Legislative Council in 1995, in the dark days of opposition. George was the whip for the opposition and I watched him play a significant role in two significant ways. One was that he was always the voice for the working people. He stared down various pieces of legislation that the then government put up and he worked hard to get the numbers to stop them. The other was his absolute dedication to bringing up the next generation of progressive people who would continue his voice and the shared voice of those who care about the workers.

One member of that next generation was of course his son Jay Weatherill, of whom George was justifiably proud. I remember hearing Jay's speech, sitting in the old Trades Hall. It must have been in 2001: it was the preselection ballot for the seat of Cheltenham. Jay quoted his father as part of his pitch for why he should be chosen. I recall that he said his father had always taught him, 'You are as good as anyone, but you are no better than anybody.' That is a fitting summation of a man who believed in the dignity of every person and their right to lead a dignified life, a man who truly understood the corrosive nature of privilege in our society.

George is survived by the three sons he adored, all of whom he was so proud. He is survived by his lifelong love and wife, Joy, and by his grandchildren, who clearly adored their pa and were represented delightfully by young Lucinda at the funeral on the weekend. He is also survived by the Labor Party, by the labour movement and by the progressive forces in South Australian politics, all of which are the stronger for his presence, his mix of uncompromising activism, his strategic organising and his use of wily charm when all else failed. Vale, George.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:19):** I was fortunate enough to serve with 'gorgeous', which was George's nickname in this parliament. George had a number of traits. Yes, he enjoyed a light refreshment in the middle of the day and, yes, he smoked for Australia in Botany Bay and out the front. He had a unique English trait of smoking holding his cigarette inward to try to keep it from blowing out, thinking that somehow modern cigarettes would blow out in the wind and, of course, they would not. He was very good at bludging cigarettes from me when I used to smoke as well.

He also had the uncanny ability of winning elections that he should not have won. He should not have been preselected in 1986. He was not our candidate at the time, but he beat us. He should not have taken over that union, but he did and he took a right-wing union over to the left, and that was a great shame. He would also tease us constantly in this parliament about his son who was coming in, and he would tease me at great length about how he was going to be the next Premier. I would say, 'Come on, gorgeous, don't be silly. The left can't run this state.' Of course, they did—and they did exceptionally well.

One thing about George that struck me the most was his ability to embarrass us to do the right thing. George had a unique trait that is not unique to the left: it exists within all factions of the Labor Party, and that is that there are often people who are elected to this parliament who come from humble beginnings from the shop floor, and those people who come from the shop floor into the Labor Party often do not aspire to higher office; they aspire to be our rudder, our keel, to keep us on the right path.

Often in government, political parties lurch one way or another, sometimes forgetting those people they truly represent. George and his like came from a generation that wanted more than anything else a seat at the table for the people they grew up with. Instead of having our faces pressed up against the glass watching everyone else enjoy the benefits of life, he wanted his kids and our generation to have what his did not, and the way in which he did that was to keep the Labor Party firmly grounded in looking after the working people. That, I think, was George's greatest achievement in this place: embarrassing us to remind us why we are here, and I will always thank George for that.

The greatest thing I saw George do was when we had two people cross the floor to privatise ETSA. At the time, it was an electrically charged affair in this place. You cannot imagine what it was like, the idea that the government could promise not to privatise an asset and then privatise it, require legislation to privatise that asset. It passed this house in a record sitting, sitting all hours of the night, going to the upper house, then having two of our own—and one of our own from the very movement that should have known better—cross the floor.

I remember watching all of that debate, and George was spectacular. His contributions might not have been the most articulate, but they were the most sincere, and they were the ones that embarrassed those two men the most because George spoke from the heart. I thought it was an impressive speech. Often we think the moment makes the person, but that moment made George. Along with his wife, Joy, he was able to deliver the labour movement. He was a leader who gave us an election victory and made us all very proud, but the truth is that George made us proud too.

I am glad to have known George. I am sad that he took the Missos over to the left. It would be fantastic if they were in the right—imagine what we could do then—but, alas. But what he did do, again, was deliver stability to the Labor Party. The Labor Party is not wrought with factional divides. We are not wrought with the divisions that occur in other jurisdictions. We are a united team, and a lot of that goes to the foresight of people like George Weatherill. God rest him and God give comfort to his wife, Joy, his three sons and his beautiful grandchildren.

**Ms HILDYARD (Reynell) (14:24):** It is indeed an honour to speak about George and to have listened to other people's reflections on his life. I was really sad when I heard about George's passing—very sad for his family that he loved so much, and many, many friends, and really sad for the incredible loss to our labour movement and to our South Australian community that George's passing represents. Amidst that sadness, I have, as so many of us have, contemplated George's life: what he unwaveringly stood for and believed in, what he was always prepared to fight for, his relentless positivity and support for others, his sense of fun, his pride in his family, his charm and his desire to live life always to the fullest, and contemplating his marvellous qualities has brought a smile to my face as I know it has to many others.

The recounting of his steadfast commitment to working people, to fairness, to working together through the union and the broader labour movement towards progressive change, and his doing that with such clarity about what was important and what he believed in, and with such humility and happiness, was rightly celebrated at his beautiful funeral service on Saturday. It was a funeral that, as has been said, was attended by so many whose lives he had touched: people from both sides of politics united in the respect they had for George, united in their care and regard for someone who never wavered from his views and values, who always acted with his enormous heart and who, while fighting fiercely for those who needed a hand, made deep and lasting friendships and was unflinching kind and respectful.

A character larger than life who embodied service to others, George lived a full life. As his son, former Premier Jay Weatherill, said on Saturday, George approached every single day with positivity and purpose and an interest in the wellbeing of those around him. Jay spoke in such a moving way about those last poignant difficult days with his dad and in doing so shared just how positive his dad was, including in those last moments. Apparently, when things were looking pretty

dire in those last days, and he was asked what else would make him comfortable, he was very keen to order a Scotch and Coke, and loved the fact that he could do that at the Royal Adelaide.

Immediately before George's funeral on Saturday, I attended the launch of Racing SA's 2021 season. I mentioned that I was going to George's funeral and would be leaving early, and I was literally flooded with well wishes for George's family from many people who had encountered George in many different settings, including at the track and at the TAB in quite a range of local pubs.

Every one of those people spoke about George in glowing terms: always friendly, able to get along with everyone, a man of principle, a man who stood for what he believed in, a man who was both gentle and fierce, interested in others, and a man who when he was not fishing loved a bet (quite a few of them, actually) and a beer (quite a few of those too), accompanied by quite a few darts and always a good chat. George knew how to be a good friend, a quality also spoken about beautifully at the funeral by his friend Lynn Arnold; and he was renowned for really being there for people, walking with them in the great times and in the most difficult moments too.

I feel really blessed to have spent time with George, to have had many chats with him, to have learnt from him, to have had him forge a path for progressive people, and to have the opportunity to keep learning from him as we continue to consider his legacy. As a young person joining the Labor Party, George took the time to talk to me, to explain things and to hear my views. George was a leader who understood that great tenet of leadership, and that is to always use your leadership to engender leadership in others.

I saw in him a burning passion for fairness, a dedication to working with and for workers and all people, to ensure everyone was enabled to live their life with dignity, safe at work, safe at home and with a decent wage and secure job. His approach to life and his steadfast living of his values further cemented my deep abiding knowledge that in this place we must always speak up for what we stand for, for what will make the path of others just a little bit easier.

I saw how and where George channelled his energy and that his burning passion for fairness, which spurred him to be active in his union, a shop steward throughout his work at the E&WS and at the Port Adelaide wool sheds, an organiser and a member of the other place, was never diminished, that it instinctively guided him in all he did and in his very approach to people and life.

George knew that enabling people to act collectively through their union amplified workers' voices and he sought every single day to ensure workers were heard. George always lived his values—at work, at home, in our community, wherever he was—and he always fought for them. He was highly strategic and fierce in that fight to make workplaces, industries and our state a fairer and better place and he never compromised those values for personal gain.

He was vocal in his support for the advancement of women, in politics and everywhere else. He listened to us, saw us and actively did what he could to enable and empower women. Thank you so much, George, for the difference you made, for being a guiding light for those who seek to achieve progressive change together and for being such a good, lovely and kind bloke.

I also offer my love and condolences to George's beautiful wife, Joy, his loving and loved partner of around 60 years, to his sons of whom he was so proud, Jay, Dana and Lea, to Mel and to his grandchildren whom he loved so dearly. I say to each of them that George will continue to inspire many of us to always live our values, to stand up for what we believe in and to always seek to enable friendship and kindness to flourish.

Motion carried by members standing in their places in silence.

*Sitting suspended from 14:32 to 14:42.*

#### *Petitions*

### **TERMINATION OF PREGNANCY BILL**

**Mr DULUK (Waite):** Presented a petition signed by 1,193 residents of Greater South Australia requesting the house to vote against the Termination of Pregnancy Bill.



*Parliamentary Procedure***ANSWERS TABLED**

**The SPEAKER:** I direct that the written answers to questions be distributed and printed in *Hansard*.

**PAPERS**

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Speaker—

Auditor-General Reports—

Examination of cyber security: City of Port Adelaide Enfield Report 1 of 2021  
[ordered to be published]

Examination of cyber security: City of Prospect Report 2 of 2021 [ordered to be  
published]

Examination of cyber security: Port Augusta City Council Report 3 of 2021 [ordered  
to be published]

Review of ICT projects in SA Health Report 16 of 2020

Local Government Annual Report—

Adelaide Hills Council Annual Report 2019-20

Adelaide Plains Council Annual Report 2019-20

Adelaide, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Alexandrina Council Annual Report 2019-20

Barossa Council Annual Report 2019-20

Barunga West Council Annual Report 2019-20

Berri Barmera Council Annual Report 2019-20

Burnside, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Campbelltown City Council Annual Report 2019-20

Ceduna, District Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Clare and Gilbert Valleys Council Annual Report 2019-20

Coorong District Council Annual Report 2019-20

Copper Coast Council Annual Report 2019-20

Flinders Ranges Council Annual Report 2019-20

Gawler, Town of Annual Report 2019-20

Goyder, Regional Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Grant, District Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Holdfast Bay, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Kangaroo Island Council Annual Report 2019-20

Light Regional Council Annual Report 2019-20

Lower Eyre Peninsula, District Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Marion, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Mid Murray Council Annual Report 2019-20

Mitcham, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Mount Barker District Council Annual Report 2019-20

Mount Gambier, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Naracoorte Lucindale Council Annual Report 2019-20

Norwood Payneham and St Peters Annual Report 2019-20

Playford, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Port Adelaide Enfield, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Port Augusta City Council Annual Report 2019-20

Port Pirie, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Prospect, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Renmark Paringa Council Annual Report 2019-20

Roxby Downs, Municipal Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Salisbury, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Southern Mallee District Council Annual Report 2019-20

Streaky Bay, District Council of Annual Report 2019-20

Unley, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Victor Harbor, City of Annual Report 2019-20

Walkerville, Town of Annual Report 2019-20  
 Wattle Range Council Annual Report 2019-20  
 West Torrens, City of Annual Report 2019-20  
 Whyalla, City of Annual Report 2019-20  
 Wudinna District Council Annual Report 2019-20  
 Yankalilla, District Council of Annual Report 2019-20  
 Yorke Peninsula Council Annual Report 2019-20  
 Reports distributed out of session pursuant to part 3, section 16AA(4)(b) of the  
 COVID-19 Emergency Response Act 2020—  
 131<sup>st</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Adelaide Women's Prison  
 Redevelopment Project [ordered to be published]  
 132<sup>nd</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Port Augusta Secondary  
 School Redevelopment Project [ordered to be published]  
 133<sup>rd</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Goolwa High School Project  
 [ordered to be published]  
 134<sup>th</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Fregon Anangu School  
 Redevelopment Project [ordered to be published]  
 135<sup>th</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Glen Osmond Road and  
 Fullarton Road Intersection Upgrade Project [ordered to be published]  
 136<sup>th</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Mitcham Hills Corridor—Old  
 Belair Road Upgrades Project [ordered to be published]  
 137<sup>th</sup> Report of the Public Works Committee entitled Cross Road and Fullarton  
 Road Intersection Upgrade Project [ordered to be published]  
 Public Works Committee—Annual Report 2019-20 [ordered to be published]

By the Premier (Hon. S.S. Marshall)—

Remuneration Tribunal—  
 Determination No. 12—of 2019 Remuneration of Members of the Judiciary,  
 Presidential Members of the SAET, Presidential Members of the SACAT,  
 the State Coroner, and Commissioners of the Environment,  
 Resources and Development Court  
 Determination No. 13 of 2020—Accommodation and Meal Allowances—Judges,  
 Court Officers and Statutory Officers  
 Report No. 10 of 2020—Remuneration of Members of the Judiciary, Presidential  
 Members of the SAET, Presidential Members of the SACAT, the State  
 Coroner and Commissioners of the Environment, Resources and  
 Development Court  
 Report No. 11 of 2020—Conveyance Allowance—Judges, Court Officers and  
 Statutory Officers  
 Report No. 12 of 2020—Salary Sacrifice Arrangements for Judges, Court Officers  
 and Statutory Officers  
 Report No. 13 of 2020—Review of Accommodation and Meal Allowances—  
 Judges, Court Officers and Statutory Officers  
 Regulations made under the following Acts—  
 Dangerous Substances—Dangerous Goods Transport—Miscellaneous  
 Return to Work—Royal District Nursing Service  
 Superannuation—Prescribed Authority (No. 2)  
 Work Health and Safety—Miscellaneous

By the Deputy Premier (Hon. V.A. Chapman)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
 Disability Inclusion—  
 Fee Notice—NDIS Worker Check  
 NDIS Worker Check

By the Attorney-General (Hon. V.A. Chapman)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Bail—Bail Authorities  
Criminal Law Consolidation—  
Criminal Organisations—Premises in Burton  
Criminal Organisations—Prescribed Place—Cowirra  
Criminal Organisations—Prescribed Place—Cowirra—No. 2  
Cross-border Justice—Bail Authorities  
Rules made under the following Acts—  
District Court—  
Criminal—Amendment No. 8  
Criminal Supplementary—Amendment No. 8  
Magistrates Court—  
Criminal—Amendment No. 87  
Criminal—Amendment No. 88  
Criminal—Amendment No. 89  
Supreme Court—  
Criminal—Amendment No. 9  
Criminal Supplementary—Amendment No. 8  
Uniform Civil—Amendment No. 3  
Youth Court—Young Offenders—Amendment No. 2

By the Minister for Planning and Local Government (Hon. V.A. Chapman)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Development—Flinders chase Tourist Accommodation  
Planning, Development and Infrastructure—General—Planning and Development  
Fund—No. 3  
Local Council By-Laws—  
Campbelltown City Council—No. 6—Cats

By the Minister for Energy and Mining (Hon. D.C. van Holst Pellekaan)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Mining—Fee Notice

By the Minister for Education (Hon. J.A. Gardner)—

South Australian Adult Safeguarding Unit—Annual Report 2019-20  
Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Controlled Substances—Serious Shortage Medicine Substitution

By the Minister for Child Protection (Hon. R. Sanderson)—

Guardian for Children and Young People—Annual Report 2019-20

By the Minister for Environment and Water (Hon. D.J. Speirs)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Environment Protection—  
Environmental Authorisations—Fees  
Mass Balance Reporting and Other Measures  
Variation of Act—Schedule 1  
Landscape South Australia—Water Management—Forestry—Prescribed Period  
Native Vegetation—Flinders Chase National Park

By the Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development (Hon. D.K. Basham)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—  
Fisheries Management—  
Abalone Fisheries—Quota

Marine Scalefish Fisheries—Sardine Quota  
Miscellaneous Fishery—Quota  
Prawn Fisheries—Fishing Nights Entitlement  
Rock Lobster Fisheries—Quota—No. 3

*Question Time*

**ST KILDA MANGROVES**

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:49):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. When was the minister first informed that there was a problem with mangrove die-off in St Kilda?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (14:49):** I thank the deputy leader for her question and trust that this begins a productive year of questioning and analysis from the deputy leader. In fact, she asked me three questions in 2020 so let us begin well. The member for Florey asked me more questions than the deputy leader last year, but that is an aside. There is clearly—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** The situation with the mangrove die-off around St Kilda is an extremely regrettable situation. I don't think there is anyone on either side of the house who has a desire to see that situation unfold in the first place or deteriorate from the situation where we see it at the moment. It is a situation where we have environmental degradation which is clearly a consequence of an industrial activity which has taken place adjacent to it—an industrial activity that has been undertaken there for many generations.

The previous Labor government struggled with how to deal with that activity in the same way as this government now has to work through the licensing, the analysis and the management of that peri-urban landscape where industry, residential and environment meet. Of course, that is often in a situation of difficulty. I first became aware probably in around about early October that there was some problem in that vicinity. That was being investigated by a combination of the Department for Energy and Mining, as the regulator, with the EPA involved and with some advice from my department, as well as independent coastal consultants—most notably, Ms Peri Coleman, who is a learned and experienced voice and expert in that particular geographical part of our city.

I think it became much more present in the minds of the public, the bureaucracy and the ministry in mid to late December, in the lead-up to Christmas, when that dieback became worse. It became more dramatic. Of course, because of its presence through the area where the main public access occurs to the mangroves, around the St Kilda boardwalk managed by the City of Salisbury, the public concern obviously and rightfully grew.

It is very much my intention to work very closely with the regulator (Department for Energy and Mining), the minister and also work in close collaboration with my cabinet colleagues. The Premier, the minister and I met as early as this morning to discuss this. It is a situation we wish had never unfolded in the first place.

We will work exceptionally hard to make sure that situation doesn't get worse, that the area of the most significant dieback can be restored and a broader, more ambitious vision for the conservation of that stretch of land from St Kilda in the south through to the Middle Beach/Thompson Beach/Port Parham area in the north—the area in the north in particular encapsulated by the international bird sanctuary, which is such an important and significant piece of conservation land. We will work hard to capture and get that vision intact.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The time in which the minister answers the question has expired. I call to order the member for Kaurana and the member for Lee.

**ST KILDA MANGROVES**

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:54):** My question is again to the Minister for Environment and Water. What advice was given by the EPA when government approval was given for the hypersaline brine to be pumped into dried-out ponds at St Kilda in early 2020?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (14:54):** I thank the deputy leader for her question. I don't have specific information regarding the advice that the EPA gave to the Department for Energy and Mining at the time, and that is certainly something that I can get for the deputy leader, because—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —throughout this whole process we have made every effort to be highly transparent, to provide as much information to the public, to the opposition, to other parties and also to the conservation sector. At no point has there been any effort not to be forthcoming with information. Any questions that have been asked, we will provide detailed advice as to what science has been used.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** The opposition seems to think that I should have specific information with regard to what information the EPA gave to the Department for Energy and Mining in terms of providing advice to—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, the leader!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** This is information that can be readily and easily provided in terms of specifics, but there is absolutely no doubt that the information that was provided by the EPA to the Department for Energy and Mining must have given the Department for Energy and Mining—

*The Hon. A. Koutsantonis interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for West Torrens!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —confidence that that licence could be delivered. I really don't know what all the shouting and thuggery and noise from the Leader of the Opposition is about—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** As usual, he doesn't really care about the issue. He just wants to make noise and fuss and anger, anger, anger. Angry Pete just continues into 2021.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** Nothing changes. No new year resolutions to calm down and control the anger and try to listen to a rational explanation. No self-control as usual. The simple situation here is that there is no scandal here—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** There are government departments, there is a ministry, there is a conservation sector and there is an interested public trying to work very hard to slow down any negative environmental impact which has occurred or which is occurring there, and importantly to get restoration to that specific geographical area where the dieback has occurred and, even more importantly, to cast a broader vision for that site working with the experts, with the conservation sector, with the government departments involved to actually create something in that northern coastal area extending out of our city up towards Port Wakefield which we can be proud of from a

conservation point of view, from a community recreation point of view and which could also have very substantial benefit as a carbon sequestration zone as well, demonstrating blue carbon.

There is so much we can do in that part of the city, and let's hope that this dieback provides us with the inspiration and a goal to action to deal with that area—

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** They laugh. They laugh—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** They had it for 16 years, and the deputy leader has said publicly that they had difficulties managing that site, because it is a complex site: quasi industrial—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Leader!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —residential, environmental land coming together. This is a difficult area to manage, and this government is managing it, and we want to manage it alongside the opposition. We want to partner with the conservation sector. We want the community to get involved, and we are being as transparent as we possibly can. The invitation has been open to all parties to come forward, to get briefings to understand what we are doing here and how we can work together to get this sorted out.

**The SPEAKER:** I call to order the member for Wright. I call to order the member for West Torrens. I call to order the leader. I call to order and warn the member for Playford. I remind all members that the member asking the question is entitled to be heard in silence. The minister answering the question is entitled to be heard in silence.

### ST KILDA MANGROVES

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:58):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Did the minister or either of his agencies seek any assurance that brine would not leak into the mangroves when the company sought approval to pump into the dried-out ponds?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:58):** Thank you for the question. This is a very important issue, and we take it very seriously on this side of the house. It is also important to point out that, while we are dissatisfied with what is happening and we are determined to fix it in partnership with the operator of the site, this is actually a very small section of the total mangrove area which is part of a bigger wetlands area that stretches for about 30 kilometres and which on the northern end abuts the bird sanctuary, which is a very important asset for South Australia, and in fact as it happens for the world in many ways with regard to migratory birds.

This is something we are taking extremely seriously. The Department for Energy and Mining (DEM) is the regulator, and I certainly take my responsibilities with regard to being the responsible minister. We work very closely with the EPA and we certainly engage with the Department for Environment and Water. It is also important to point out that the programs we are working through at the moment are the programs that were started back in about 2015.

This was a salt mine for about 70 years up until 2014, and because it was a salt mine it is the Department for Energy and Mining that is overseeing this important environmental work. This important environmental work started in about 2015, when the current operator of the site acquired it. Those opposite were in charge at that point in time and no doubt they did their very best to deal with this incredibly difficult site, as we now in government are doing our best to deal with this incredibly difficult site. Interestingly, some of the exact same people in the government departments are advising us on this work.

We take this very seriously and the fact that it is in a very small section of the total 30-kilometre long piece of land is not something where we just say, 'Oh, we'll sweep it under the

carpet then.' The Minister for Environment and Water did a very extensive interview on radio this morning on this. The shadow minister, in fact, before the Christmas break asked me to organise a briefing for her, which I certainly did. I understand she has now had two briefings—three, she says. We are sharing as much information as we possibly can with everybody who is interested and with everybody who wants to combine with us to address this issue.

It is an incredibly complicated site, and part of the complication is due to the northern Adelaide water that used to flow through this area. There is now far less of it available to actually use for stabilising the water quality on this site. The fact that we have some hypersaline water, which has certainly had an impact, is something that we are working very closely on with Buckland Dry Creek, which is the company operating this site. They are following our instructions and we are watching them very closely.

Essentially, the instructions given to them by the Department for Energy and Mining come directly from our internal regulators and the EPA. There certainly is no minister in this government who is meddling or trying to interfere or pretending that we might know what is best. We are taking the regulator's advice and we are implementing the regulator's advice. We are working with the operator, we are working between agencies, we are working with the public and we are happy to work with the opposition on it.

### SA WATER

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:03):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. When did SA Water inform the minister that it was changing its supply of water to the owners of the salt fields to allow diluted brine to be discharged at Bolivar?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:03):** I thank the deputy leader for her question. I don't have that specific date but I am happy to get it for the deputy leader.

### COVID-19 ECONOMIC RECOVERY

**Mrs POWER (Elder) (15:03):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier please update the house on South Australia's COVID recovery and in particular how events like A Day at the Drive are promoting our amazing state to the rest of the world?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (15:03):** I thank the member for Elder for her excellent question. Welcome back, sir—2021. I don't know about you, but I feel extraordinarily optimistic about South Australia's opportunities during 2021. In many ways, that sits in contrast to the rest of the world, who are still dealing with the devastating effects of the coronavirus global pandemic. We see the devastation that this is causing in Europe, in the UK, in the US and in many other parts of the world but, because we have done well in Australia, and more specifically in South Australia, we are starting to enjoy the recovery. We can't become complacent.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** There is still a huge amount of work to do—but, because of the great cooperation of the people of South Australia working with the government, working with SA Health, working with South Australia Police, we find ourselves in an enviable position. Over the break, there have been excellent statistics which I think highlight how well we are placed at the moment and why I feel optimistic about the future.

I loved reading the State of the States report. We have been for much of the last 10 years in the doldrums, towards the bottom of that list. I note in the most recent one we've rocketed up to the third position: we've overtaken Queensland, we have overtaken New South Wales and we sit in that third position. There is a huge amount of work to be done, but that is a good indicator of where our state sits at the moment.

The NAB business confidence and business conditions survey is out. The most recent statistics show that we have the highest rate of business conditions in the nation—in fact, the highest since the survey was created and the best business confidence in the nation. That comes because people feel confident about the way we have dealt with the health crisis and at the same time kept our economy strong.

This is what is particularly important about the second part of your question about A Day at the Drive. This was an opportunity for us, where we had some of the top seeds in the world coming in to South Australia, doing their 14 days of supervised quarantine in a new facility in North Adelaide, built since we came to government. They did that quarantine and then they played an exhibition match ahead of the Australian Open.

This had national coverage. It had international coverage. The eyes of the world were looking to a place called Adelaide, where people were out watching live sport—8,000 people enjoyed A Day at the Drive. I certainly enjoyed speaking to some of those incredible superstars of the tennis world and, let me tell you, they were grateful: they were grateful for the hospitality they experienced while they were here. Many of the great players of the world headed out. They went to the Zoo, they went to the beach, they went to our parks, they enjoyed being here in Adelaide, and the good news is that they told the world.

They went onto social media and they started telling people right around the world, maybe people who have never been here before, about our wonderful state and also the wonderful time they had playing at Memorial Drive. We will have some of those players back, hopefully soon, because after the Australian Open we will be having another WTA event at Memorial Drive, and soon thereafter we will be demolishing that northern stand and we will be doing a complete redevelopment of Memorial Drive.

It was fantastic to see the players doing their training in South Australia underneath that canopy, underneath that roof. They would not have been able to do their quarantine, play those games here unless that roof was in place. I think this is a great showcase to the world of what we have been able to achieve in South Australia. Our ambition for the future: there is much to be done, but I am hugely optimistic about the remainder of this year.

**The SPEAKER:** Before I call the deputy leader, I warn the member for Lee and I warn the member for West Torrens.

### ST KILDA MANGROVES

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:07):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Did the minister seek any assurance that changes in SA Water's supply to Buckland Dry Creek would not have any negative impact on the management of the salt fields?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:08):** I thank the deputy leader for her question. As both the Minister for Energy and Mining and myself have said, this is a highly complex part of our natural environment here in South Australia, where industry is abutting up against important conservation land where we have the very significant Bolivar Wastewater Treatment Plant and the activities of SA Water on one hand and private enterprise on the other, with conservation and nature-based tourism, with a whole range of activities occurring there.

The level of complexity, the historic complexity and the historic problems that this site has presented governments over several decades, has meant it has posed challenges, from economic challenges to environmental challenges, to amenity challenges around the smells that emanate from that site and the insects that inhabit it.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Leader!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** It is a particularly difficult site. As the Minister for Energy and Mining revealed, there is a particular challenge here around the changes to the way this site has been used over fairly recent times, the last half decade or so, and the re-initiation of industrial activity on that site has had outcomes that were unforeseen. They were unforeseen by the scientists who were working in the Department for Energy and Mining or its predecessor departments under the 16 years of a Labor government and, as the minister said, those are the same scientists who are involved now.

*Members interjecting:*



**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** The impact of this, going back to what this site had been historically used for and the reflooding of those ponds, has had an undesirable environmental outcome. The EPA is involved, SA Water is involved, the Department for Environment and Water is involved, independent coastal consultants are involved, and the regulator (the Department for Energy and Mining) is involved. Those people have been getting together constantly over recent months and frantically trying to find solutions, settle the science and work things out here.

We want a solution. We will work towards a solution. Whether it's SA Water as a stakeholder and an entity that can pull levers in this regard, whether it is the EPA, whether it is the private miner or the regulator, being the Department for Energy and Mining, we are working incredibly hard to get a solution. We are all asking questions, whether it be at the ministerial or bureaucratic level, and we are forming solutions to solve this once and for all.

**The SPEAKER:** I warn the leader and I call to order the member for Ramsay.

#### ST KILDA MANGROVES

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:11):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. How many letters or emails about the mangrove die-off has he received that he has not replied to?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:11):** I think that question is not only very specific but it doesn't lend itself to any useful purpose—which is quite normal for the deputy leader. The very fact of the matter is that this is a very serious matter and I adhere to as quick a turnaround—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —with correspondence as possible. I have responded to many pieces of correspondence on this in recent weeks. It has really only come to significant public attention since late December/early January, and all correspondence on this matter is being responded to in what I would regard as a timely way.

#### SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

**Mr ELLIS (Narungga) (15:12):** My question is to the Minister for Education. Could the minister please update the house on the benefits of the Marshall Liberal government's \$1.3 billion investment in schools, which is creating jobs, particularly for South Australians living in my electorate of Narungga?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:12):** I thank the member for Narungga for the question. It is indeed a great question because there are many benefits to the people of South Australia both in the Narungga area and, indeed, surrounding areas in regional and remote South Australia. There are many, many thousands of jobs being created across our state as a result of both the \$1.3 billion pipeline of capital works as a result of the stimulus measures, as a result of the COVID pandemic and the budget last year and, indeed, as a result of a number of other infrastructure and maintenance works being undertaken by the education department.

I was talking to Adrian Esplin from Sarah Constructions just a couple of weeks ago. They of course are a South Australian firm that have been successful in working with the two PPP schools at Aldinga and Angle Vale. Indeed, they also won the contract for the Whyalla school that we are undertaking at the moment. Not only are they employing thousands of South Australians on that project but they or their contractors are also employing hundreds and hundreds of apprentices, many of them young people undertaking their first job.

It is a piece of a great body of work that has been done by the Minister for Innovation and Skills to have South Australia more well-positioned than any other jurisdiction in Australia to deliver apprentices and traineeships—jobs that are going to be there for many years to come, careers for young people, and really repositioning those skilled and technical qualifications as a priority sector. We know that the body of work being undertaken in building our schools across South Australia, as we build those world-class educational facilities, is providing that great outcome for apprenticeships and traineeships as well, as well of course as thousands of jobs right now.

The legacy that these projects are leaving in regional communities is significant. The Kadina Memorial School and the Moonta Area School in the member's electorate are significant projects and well underway. Kadina should be completed in term 3 or 4 this year. Moonta is actually on track to be completed in the first half of this year. Students will be benefiting from that right away.

In neighbouring areas—I can tell the member for Narungga too, and I am sure he and other members will be pleased—in the member for Stuart's electorate, the Port Augusta Secondary School project, in the order of \$4 million to \$5 million, is well underway to be completed this year. The Kapunda High School, a \$15 million project, is also due to be completed this year, creating jobs and work is underway.

In the area of Frome, neighbouring the member for Narungga's electorate, the John Pirie Secondary School has some great work underway: a \$10½ million project due to be completed during term 4. At Balaklava High School, I was really pleased to meet the principal and a number of the students who were in year 10 and 11 last year, going into 11 and 12 this year, at the Ausco production line where a number of their modular facilities are being built. That project is due to be completed in April this year, so students should be using it by term 3 and those students were really excited to see how that work is being completed.

The modular building industry in South Australia has dramatically benefited from this body of works. New factories have been built. Indeed, new jobs are being created in this area. Clare High School in the member for Frome's electorate has also got a \$5 million program due to be completed in the second half of this year. That's been supported by accelerated maintenance projects: tens of millions of dollars by this government into schools and preschools.

Every public school and every public preschool in South Australia has benefited from grants of between \$20,000 and \$100,000 to do priority projects. Indeed, preschools have had a \$20,000 grant for last year backed up by a \$30,000 grant for this year, every single one providing local jobs when they're needed most and providing a lasting legacy for the children in regional communities in South Australia and in metropolitan Adelaide.

### **FLINDERS CHASE NATIONAL PARK**

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:16):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Why should a tourism development be exempt from native vegetation clearance rules in Flinders Chase National Park?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:16):** The deputy leader is of course referring to the recent regulations that have been developed by the government to help enable a very important project to be moved forward within Flinders Chase National Park. We know how difficult Kangaroo Island has had it in the last 12 months or so, not only hugely impacted by the bushfires but obviously one of those parts of our state that so particularly relies on the tourism economy so as to lose out perhaps more intensely than other parts of the state from the loss of international tourists following the COVID-19 pandemic.

This government has been working very hard to re-imagine the tourism offering with regard in particular to national parks on Kangaroo Island through a project called Reimagining Kangaroo Island Parks, looking at the way the management plans across those parks work, how they can be refreshed and how our investment as a consequence of the loss of assets in our Kangaroo Island parks, particularly those on the west end but not exclusively the west end, can be refreshed and renewed and repositioned for the tourists of 2021 and beyond.

Members in this place would be more than aware of the challenges that were presented by the proposal put forward by the Australian Walking Company to create a multiday walk with fairly high-end ecopods to cater for the accommodation needs of tourists who are doing that multiday walk. The government has sought to find a way to enable that project to go ahead but to do so in a way that brings the community and particularly the environmental stakeholders together, the people who are passionate and who have stewardship of that park, whether it's the friends groups or whether it's the organisation known as Eco Action.

We have worked very hard in recent weeks, and recent months really, to get alongside those stakeholders to bring together the Australian Walking Company with the environmental stakeholders

and actually mediate an outcome that could see that project go ahead in a way that may or may not require the additional native vegetation clearance that was initially proposed and may or may not require the intent of that regulation.

But I am pleased to inform the house that that agreement has gone very well. We are very close to finalising an agreement. I hope that sees the discontinuing and the settling of that very troubling situation with the court action, which was divisive amongst different groups in the community. It was pitting tourism and economic interests against environmental interests, and that is not something that we want to see.

In fact, we want to create a situation where private activity can occur within our national parks in a way that leads to environmental benefits, and we articulate that as something we call the 'conservation dividend'. We are asking all private activity operators in our national parks to be able to articulate and demonstrate what conservation benefits will flow from profits that might arise from their activities. This is the agreement that we want to reach. We are very close to reaching it. I'm really pleased that the different groups have been able to come together. The divisiveness looks like it has been put aside and we can get that win for the environment and Kangaroo Island, which has a really important tourism economy.

### FLINDERS CHASE NATIONAL PARK

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:20):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. What consultation was undertaken with the environment groups associated with Flinders Chase National Park about exempting tourism developments from native vegetation regulations?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:20):** I thank the deputy leader for her question through the Speaker. Many organisations have been involved in the consultation around the Reimagining Kangaroo Island project. In fact, on the radio this morning one of the callers said they found that the engagement and consultation had been thorough, it had been genuine, it had been authentic and it had gained really good outcomes.

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, the deputy leader!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** We have worked so hard to be able to bring the environmental and tourism groups together, and I know the last thing that the deputy leader and the opposition want—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —is an agreement here. The very last thing that they want is an outcome that leads to a win for the environment and a win for the tourism economy on Kangaroo Island. In fact, the very last thing the deputy leader wants is a good outcome for Kangaroo Island here.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** She wants to continue to inflame the divisiveness that has been present on the west end of the island. I have worked. My department has worked. We have worked with the council on Kangaroo Island. We have worked with the tourism and hospitality industries and we have worked incredibly closely with the friends' groups down on the west end of the island, in particular with people who represent the various environmental—

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The deputy leader!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —movements of the island, and we have brought those groups closer together. We have brought AWC, the Australian Walking Company, the company that the previous government gave \$830,000-odd to to secure this project and we didn't complain about that. I don't think they consulted one bit. In fact, I know they didn't consult one bit on the concept of that multiday walk. But the deputy leader doesn't want—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —these groups to come together. She doesn't want peace on the island with regard to this issue, but we are getting there and I am very, very confident—

*The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, member for Mawson!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —that we have brought these groups together. Of course, the member for Mawson, the person who loves populism and divisiveness more than anyone else in this house, pipes up at this point.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** But we are bringing these groups together.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for West Torrens rises on a point of order.

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** We are getting the task done and we are getting a really good tourism outcome as well.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The minister will resume his seat.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Sir, the minister has engaged in debate on two occasions. He has implied motives to both the deputy leader and the member for Mawson inappropriately.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for West Torrens, I remind that those matters, should they be matters of concern to individual members, are for individual members to note. I'm listening carefully to the minister and I certainly encourage the minister to bring his answer back more directly to the substance of the question. I remind those on my right and ministers in particular of standing order 98(a). Minister for Environment and Water? The Minister for Environment and Water has concluded his answer.

### **A DAY AT THE DRIVE**

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (15:24):** My question is to the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport. Can the minister update the house on the success of A Day at the Drive and how the Liberal government's investment in sport is delivering more jobs for South Australia?

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Infrastructure and Transport, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (15:24):** I thank the member for King for her question. I know she is very passionate about tennis in particular in her community with the Golden Grove Tennis Club. She is doing wonderful things out there with the redevelopment they have going on.

Mr Speaker, you know I like a pun. In fact, some say I like to court you with my puns. I am not going to let you down again today. I have another serve for you. I can say that after last Friday night's event at the Drive many have said I am over the moon. I am probably closer to Venus—Williams—after that event. It was great to meet her and some of the stars who were here at A Day at the Drive. It was a great success.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD:** As you would be aware, Mr Speaker, we were the first place in the world to host a tennis event with spectators. In fact, I chatted to Serena Williams and I said, 'When was the last time you played in front of spectators?' She said, 'Last year.' I said, 'What, October? November?' She said, 'No, last year's Australian Open.' That is 12 months, then here they were in Australia, playing in front of the world—Adelaide, South Australia, at Memorial Drive.

All eyes around the world were on South Australia, with some of the best players in the world. I have mentioned Serena Williams and Venus Williams. We had Rafa Nadal and Novak Djokovic. Naomi Osaka was here as well. Ash Barty came to play. Dominic Thiem was here also, the world No. 3 and the US Open champion as well, and Simona Halep, one we have almost adopted here in South Australia, coached by Darren Cahill. There are 70-plus Grand Slams amongst all those, and that's just singles titles. The names don't get bigger. They were right here in Adelaide, showcasing South Australia to the world.

They spent 14 days in quarantine here in South Australia. Just for the record, there was only one complaint from a superstar about quarantining. His complaint was because he had 'no vac' to clean his room. He's a joker, that Djokovic. He is a joker, there is no doubt about it. It was 14 days. They were doing interviews all over the world, talking about South Australia and talking about Adelaide. Those opposite might not like that, but this was fantastic. There were no backhanded compliments; rather, it was a positive volley of reinforcement, a smashing result for South Australia to have promotion like this.

In fact, I think the number of followers they have on social media is more than the population of South Australia. That is the exposure we were getting. You know what? We built what matters. We put a roof on Memorial Drive and we got this event. I know the member for West Torrens was there too, and he was enjoying it. He doesn't want to admit it, but he was enjoying it and he loves seeing those big names on display.

A \$10 million development got us the roof, and that meant these players came and quarantined, came to South Australia to play tennis. We had A Day at the Drive, and of course we have that further investment going into Memorial Drive. When we put the roof on, that meant we got the Adelaide International WTA and ATP event last year, when 46,000 people came to South Australia. Ash Barty, of course, won. Simona Halep was here for that as well. Again, it was another result creating jobs in South Australia by putting in the infrastructure that South Australia needs.

With the new development, the \$44 million, we are getting the innovation hub as well. Tennis Australia is moving that to Adelaide because they want to be close to Lot Fourteen and the exciting things we are doing there. More jobs are being created in South Australia. All this dovetails into our state sports infrastructure plan. There is \$214 million committed to sport to push forward into a golden era for South Australia: Hindmarsh Stadium; we also have the state sports centre for football, another \$5 million in there; \$12 million into the netball centre; and \$5 million into Thebarton Oval as well.

We are investing in sport like never before and generating jobs along the way. Can I say again that the member for King is a passionate advocate for her local community and the local tennis club there. In fact, Simona Halep and Darren Cahill did a little video especially for her and the team out there at Golden Grove, congratulating them on the development and the new activities they are going to be having out there at Golden Grove. She has done an outstanding job. It just shows that when you build what matters for South Australia, you can get great results.

**The SPEAKER:** Before I call the member for Florey, I call to order the deputy leader. I call to order the Premier and I call to order the Deputy Premier.

#### **KANGAROO ISLAND BUSHFIRE RESPONSE**

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (15:28):** My question is to the Minister for Emergency Services. Further to my yet unanswered letter to you on 11 January, can you tell the house if an investigation into the entrapment of a crew defending the Flinders Chase Visitor Centre on 3 January 2020 was ever undertaken, and is the agreement between SAFECOM and SafeWork SA negating any legislative requirements still in force in spite of current legislation which does say it should be?

**The Hon. V.A. TARZIA (Hartley—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services) (15:29):** I thank the honourable member for the question. It is a very important question. What I will do is take that on notice because it was about a specific piece of correspondence about a very, very serious issue.

I might take this opportunity to thank our very hardworking volunteers and our emergency services, who once again have done a sterling job navigating through what have been some very dangerous situations this bushfire season, whether it be in the South-East, in the member for MacKillop's electorate, or in your own electorate, sir. I certainly was very privileged to go along with the Premier ever so recently to thank those volunteers for the hard work they do.

Can I say that we as a government take these sorts of things very seriously. That's why we were the first jurisdiction in all of Australia to commission an independent bushfire review. We have got on with the job of making sure we invest in our emergency services. Obviously, we inherited a long list of issues that needed to be resolved, but we are investing in our emergency services like never before, whether it's over 25 CFS trucks we have delivered this bushfire season, or whether it's the improved PPE, whether it's making sure they have thermal imaging cameras in each one of those groups—whatever it is, we are doing everything that we can as a government to make sure that we can protect lives and protect property.

In relation to the specific request the member had, I will certainly take that on notice and I will endeavour to get back to the member as soon as I am able.

#### **KANGAROO ISLAND BUSHFIRE RESPONSE**

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (15:30):** Supplementary, again to the Minister for Emergency Services: does this SAFECOM SafeWork SA agreement, which goes to the very heart of the safety of these people, apply to MFS workers as well as SES workers and the CFS?

**The Hon. V.A. TARZIA (Hartley—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services) (15:31):** As I have said, I have spoken a little bit about these matters, but what I will do is take that particular question on notice and come back to the member as a matter of urgency.

#### **FLINDERS CHASE NATIONAL PARK**

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:31):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Did the minister tell anyone from Eco Action or Friends of Flinders Chase that the government intended to exempt tourism developments from native vegetation clearance laws?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:31):** Really, the deputy leader is mischaracterising the nature of the regulation because there are still environmental controls required around any application that would be put forward with regard to development in Flinders Chase National Park. This is not some sort of instrument that creates an opportunity for them to avoid paying a significant environmental benefit offset or anything like that. Those controls are still very much firmly in place. Again, the deputy leader has a desire to stoke and inflame divisiveness with regard to this issue. I will continue to reiterate—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: if that is not debate, sir, what is? It's debate.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens rises on a point of order. There is no point of order for debate. If there are points of order in relation to the manner and nature of language used or subject matter addressed, I will hear the point of order. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** I mentioned earlier the importance of this Reimagining Kangaroo Island Parks project, which has been going on for the last three or four months, and it has gone on with exceptional goodwill involved. Not only that, to the side of that, the work being done by the Australian Walking Company, by the environmental groups on the western end of Kangaroo Island and by my department to come up with a way to get this project, which was envisaged in the first place by the Labor Party, to go ahead—the amount of goodwill and effort on the parts of those various parties has been immense.

I want to take the opportunity to thank the individuals who have been involved, and particularly Fraser Vickery from Eco Action, who has sat down with the private developer, who has sat down with the various stakeholders and worked exceptionally hard to come to a compromise agreement, one that we know the opposition doesn't want because they don't want this resolution.

*The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, member for Mawson!

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** We are, bit by bit, getting towards a resolution that will achieve the environmental benefit. It will achieve a conservation dividend, a broader benefit for the park, and of course that is a park that has gone through a tremendously difficult experience over the last

12 months with the fires from January 2020. The opportunity for environmental restoration through a conservation dividend is immense.

To have the private company, the department, the National Parks and Wildlife Service, Eco Action and the various friends groups coming together to negotiate on a better outcome here that balances tourism development and conservation I think is something we ought to be celebrating here today. I'm certainly celebrating it, and I will be going to the island in the near future to celebrate it with the people who have been involved. If we land that compromise and if we get that mediation right, the opportunity to do really good things for the island's tourism economy and for conservation is immense.

### OZ MINERALS

**Mr TRELOAR (Flinders) (15:35):** My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Can the minister update the house on the OZ Minerals Carrapateena mine?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (15:35):** Thank you to the member for Flinders, who is always interested in affairs in regional South Australia, particularly absolutely outstanding projects like the Carrapateena mine. The very exciting news is that the Carrapateena mine is being very seriously considered for expansion.

Just this week, less than one year after opening the mine, OZ Minerals have committed to a significant expansion of the Carrapateena copper mine. This milestone is on top of the mine ramp-up and processing mill, achieving nameplate capacity six months ahead of schedule. I congratulate the exceptional work of the OZ Minerals team on their achievements and the announcement to proceed with the Carrapateena block cave expansion development.

The decision to expand operations so quickly is a testament to the quality of Carrapateena resource and the OZ Minerals team. The block cave expansion significantly increases the Carrapateena throughput and will increase the production rate from 4.25 million tonnes per year to 12 million tonnes per year—think about that: 4.25 million tonnes a year up to 12 million tonnes per year—and extend the life of the mine out to 25 years.

These are absolutely outstanding benefits, not only for the shareholders of course but, more importantly from all our perspectives, for all South Australians, for our economy and of course for people in the Upper Spencer Gulf and other parts of South Australia. From an employment perspective, this is a fantastic outcome for South Australia's newest mine and will further expand the employment opportunities for South Australians, especially those in our regions and Upper Spencer Gulf area.

OZ's decision again demonstrates South Australia's pedigree as a tier 1 world-class copper mining jurisdiction. The Marshall government recognises the importance of these significant mining projects for the prosperity of South Australia, in terms of employment, investment in our local businesses and royalties. As I have said in this place many times, and it bears repeating, the royalties are approximately \$300 million per year, which the resources industry brings into our state and into our government. Those royalties contribute to roads, to hospitals, to nurses, to policing, to schools, to disability services, to an enormously wide range of services which South Australians all benefit from.

We continue to invest in the discovery of new mineral deposits for the benefit of all South Australians through the Accelerated Discovery Initiative; Mineral Exploration CRC; ExploreSA: The Gawler Challenge; and the tireless work of the South Australian Geological Survey. Let me just extend that to people throughout the Department for Energy and Mining, who are very focused on supporting this industry for the benefit of South Australians.

We know that not only the local economy, when a mine is brought into production, benefits very significantly, but we know that our whole state does. I have talked about employment. I have talked about the benefit of royalties to funding very important services. There are direct jobs and there are indirect jobs. It's not unusual at all for the direct jobs to be highly valued, but there are three or four times as many indirect jobs related to that operation supporting South Australia.

I commend OZ Minerals—a fantastic South Australian company. They are doing an absolutely outstanding job extending the life of the Prominent Hill mine south of Coober Pedy, reaching nameplate capacity at their brand-new mine at Carrapateena, 170 kilometres north of Port

Augusta, within six months. They are now embarking on trying to expand that, a massive expansion that all of us in South Australia and, I know, OZ Minerals will do for the community and with the very best environment protections in place.

### FLINDERS CHASE NATIONAL PARK

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:39):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Has an application for a tourism development in Flinders Chase been made by Australian Walking Company since the regulation was made?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:39):** I am not aware of the specific business activities of that organisation.

### EMERGENCY SERVICES HEADQUARTERS

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (15:39):** My question is to the Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services. Can the minister please update the house on the state government's new \$80 million emergency services sector headquarters?

**The Hon. V.A. TARZIA (Hartley—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services) (15:39):** I thank the member for Kavel, who has a very keen interest in this particular topic. Once again, I do thank the member for Kavel, the member for Davenport and, indeed, yourself, Mr Speaker, for taking the time recently to join the Premier and me in having the privilege to go and visit some of the volunteers who worked in those fire-affected areas. I thank the member for the question, and I do acknowledge his longstanding interest.

I am very pleased to update the house on the progress of the new emergency services headquarters, which, indeed, is rapidly nearing completion. As members would know, construction of the new headquarters commenced in July last year, and despite the challenges that COVID-19 has presented, I am pleased to advise that it is on track to be delivered in time for the 2021-22 bushfire season.

The \$80 million project will deliver what is a purpose-built facility, which will be earthquake resilient with backup power, water storage and, of course, technology redundancies accounted for as well. It will be the first time ever, indeed, that not only our South Australian executive but also senior management teams from the CFS, the SES, the MFS and also SAFECOM will be co-located. This will certainly boost our emergency control capability and coordination. Not only will the senior leadership of our emergency services agencies call the new headquarters home but also over 300 emergency services employees—men and women—will make the move to Keswick. They have been getting a taste of what their new workplace will be like.

Recently, I had the privilege of visiting SAFECOM's current office where I saw, if you like, what is an 'experience centre' that is being commenced. Here, what you could do is actually allow staff to get a sense of the type of environment they will be working in, and it is fair to say that plenty of excitement is building there.

The new headquarters are a prime example of how our government is certainly building what matters. We are creating jobs. In this particular project more than 500 jobs have actually been created during the construction phase, and it is safe to say that those workers are doing a stellar job. I would also like to thank members of the house for helping to keep a close watch on social media. They have seen a time-lapse video, which shows the progress that has been made during construction.

We are certainly blessed in this part of the world that construction hasn't been impacted like it has in other parts of the world. Of course, it is important also to talk about how important these investments in emergency services are. Once again, we have seen the incredible work that our emergency services staff—men and women and volunteers—have done to keep South Australians safe.

I visited the South-East in early January. Of course, there we saw the Blackford bushfire, which burnt more than 14,000 hectares. It came so close to the town centre of Lucindale, and I thank the member for MacKillop for hosting the Premier and me that day. We were able to thank the volunteers who went above and beyond to save much life and property. Over 500 CFS volunteers in fact answered the call to respond to the fire. They were supported by multiple CFS aircraft, and they



completed over 100 airdrops as well. There were also many local firefighting units, which of course included our own member for MacKillop, and what a stellar job he did.

On 24 January, we also saw fires unfortunately that broke out in the Adelaide Hills at Cherry Gardens, Scott Creek and Mount Bold, and I reiterate my thanks to those members for taking the time to have the Premier and me visit some of those volunteers. I want to thank them for all they have done. This government's investment in projects like this means that we are delivering well-resourced buildings and resources to make sure that they can continue to protect lives and protect property. I want to take this opportunity to especially thank all those volunteers for the wonderful work they have done thus far.

#### MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND WATER

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:44):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Did the Premier have to persuade the minister to stand for election again in 2022?

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Energy and Mining on a point of order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** This question has nothing to do with the minister's responsibilities to the house.

**The SPEAKER:** I uphold the point of order. I will move on to the member for Waite.

#### MEDICAL CANNABIS

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (15:44):** My question is to the Premier.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for Waite has the call. The member for Waite will be heard in silence.

**Mr DULUK:** Thank you, sir. My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier please update the house on the progress of the government's pilot to trial the use of medical cannabis to treat children with epilepsy, and what is the expected time frame for the rollout of that pilot? Sir, with your leave and that of the house, I will further explain.

Leave granted.

**Mr DULUK:** It is now more than 12 months since the government announced its intention to create a medical cannabis trial as outlined in the Governor's speech to parliament in 2020.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:45):** As the minister responsible to the House of Assembly for portfolio responsibilities in the health area, I am very pleased to take that question on notice. I will speak to the Minister for Health and bring back an answer for the house.

#### ST KILDA MANGROVES

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:45):** My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier retain confidence in the Minister for Environment and Water and the Minister for Energy and Mining following decisions taken leading to the die-off of the mangroves at St Kilda?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (15:45):** Absolutely.

#### FRUIT FLY

**Mr WHETSTONE (Chaffey) (15:45):** My question is to the Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development. Can the minister update the house on how eradicating fruit fly is protecting the South Australian economy and supporting jobs?

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM (Finniss—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (15:45):** I thank the member for Chaffey for this very important question. I was in the electorate of Chaffey last week with the member for Chaffey and the Hon. Nicola Centofanti from the other place, working with industry on the eradication efforts for fruit fly. It was important to also have

officers from the commonwealth Department of Agriculture present for the meetings to provide an update on market access.

We are currently battling nine fruit fly outbreaks in the metropolitan area, with two outbreak zones in the Riverland. South Australia has a strong record when it comes to eradicating fruit fly outbreaks and we need the public's help to minimise the movement of fruit—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM:** —and prevent further spread. We as a government are following the strict protocols for eradication of fruit fly. These are the same protocols that were in place under the former Labor government, but we are taking it very seriously. These protocols are nationally agreed between the federal and state governments and industry. This is the largest ever response to fruit fly outbreaks in South Australia. We are committed to eradicating fruit fly from South Australia to protect the \$1.3 billion horticulture industry and the 37½ thousand jobs that rely on it.

More than 250 officers are fighting the outbreaks, including 100 based out of the Loxton Research Centre. We are working with local employment agencies to ensure we have enough workers to eradicate fruit fly. It is critical we have a strong workforce to respond to these outbreaks as we are protecting the livelihoods of our growers, the people who put food on our tables.

It is clear that the Marshall Liberal government is committed to eradicating fruit fly from the state and keeping our fruit fly free advantage. We are the only mainland state to be recognised by our international markets as fruit fly free. To date, almost \$17 million has been spent on the current outbreaks. The Marshall Liberal government will continue to throw all available resources at eradicating these outbreaks, including extra on-ground staff to undertake baiting and hygiene programs, as well as the latest technology, such as the release of sterile fruit flies.

Since coming to government, we have been protecting our horticulture industries. We have implemented additional quarantine bins and a record number of random roadblocks. We have appointed a dedicated fruit fly coordinator for the Riverland. We have installed new signage. We have introduced zero tolerance at random roadblocks in Yamba and we have employed additional staff at Yamba. We have put \$2 million towards the upgrade of the Yamba quarantine station. We have invested half a million dollars to fund a new irradiator to boost sterilisation technology at Port Augusta.

Every time we have an outbreak, it costs taxpayers money to eradicate it. It puts tens of thousands of jobs at risk. Prevention is better than the cure. It only takes one piece of maggot-infested fruit to cause widespread devastation. We are committed to doing what it takes to protect the 37½ thousand horticulture jobs in South Australia.

#### FRUIT FLY

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (15:49):** Supplementary question for the minister: did the minister make the original decision to ban fruit from lunch boxes throughout greater metropolitan Adelaide and who made the subsequent decision to change that position on the weekend?

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM (Finniss—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (15:49):** I thank the leader for the question. The government has not changed its position at all. These are very longstanding protocols that have been in for many years, including under the previous government. They are nationally agreed protocols. We are following those protocols—

**The Hon. S.C. Mullighan:** Last term, my son could take fruit to school. He can't now.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee is warned for a second time.

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM:** As recently as an outbreak in Loxton in 2018, exactly the same request was made for fruit not to be put into lunch boxes. This is a longstanding approach to make sure that people do not move fruit off their properties in outbreak zones because we need to protect those people in the industry.

**The Hon. S.C. Mullighan:** Who made the decision?

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Lee!

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM:** There are 37½ thousand people employed in this industry and we are there to protect them. We are doing everything we can. We are throwing everything we can at it.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Members on my right and on my left, order!

*Grievance Debate*

### ENVIRONMENTAL DECISIONS

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:50):** I rise to talk about what has become a disturbing trend with this government and with this Minister for Environment and Water: the trend of neglecting the environment, which is actually the task at hand, and undermining the environmental movement and environmentalists. I will give three examples to illustrate my case. The first one is marine parks.

This government came in with a commitment to hold a review into sanctuary zones, one that I expected and anticipated would tell them that they could rip up some sanctuary zones, but it did not: it did the reverse and endorsed the existing zones. But the government did not release that report and instead went through a process of allowing the commercial fishers and environmentalists to sit down and go through some negotiations without the benefit of a review, without the benefit of a scientific report that would have substantiated that the case the environmentalists were making was sound.

They allowed them to negotiate over a period of more than a year and then promptly decided to cut the sanctuaries to suit what the commercial fishing lobby had asked for and overwrite the wishes of the environmental movement. They then put that into the *Gazette*. This minister decided that that would become law and did so before the end of last year.

Now there are continued negotiations going on, not thanks to this minister but thanks to Ms Connie Bonaros in the other place, thanks to her giving the cloak of support to say, 'We will allow you to have time to have these negotiations and see if we can come up with a genuine answer that will make sure that the environment is protected.' It is not thanks to this government or to this minister, who has done everything he can to undermine the position of the environmentalists.

The second example is, of course, the mangroves. This morning I was listening to much of—admittedly not all of, because we have very important meetings on this side of the chamber constantly—the interview the minister did with David Bevan about the mangroves and other environmental matters, and there was a lot of hand wringing and a lot of expression of deep concern.

I phoned in and asked, 'How are we going to know that this won't happen again? How are we to know that, if it can happen once under this government, it will not happen again under this government?' particularly as they seem very reluctant to identify what it was exactly that went wrong last presumably it implied that they made a bad decision.

He said, 'I will be watching carefully. I am deeply concerned, and I will be watching carefully.' Well, why was he not watching last year? How did it happen that we had 10 hectares of mangroves and 35 hectares of salt marsh die over a period of months and nobody on that side noticed, nobody responded to the alarm being raised? That is a disgrace.

The minister was invited to the launch of the alliance of 15 to 20 organisations, including recreational fishers, Kaurna elders and a lot of environmental organisations. He was invited to the launch, just as I was and just as members of the upper house and the crossbenchers were. Did he go along to that? No, because rather than admit that something has gone terribly wrong and wanting to be part of the solution in lockstep with environmentalists, he will not show up. He will not show his face. He was not on the steps of parliament today and he was not at the launch of the alliance.

The third example I want to draw your attention to is Flinders Chase National Park. We heard some very wily words being used earlier about consultation. What happened? This minister approved development that had previously been discussed by the previous government as being close to the

track. This minister signed off, 'Yes, you can put them on the cliffs.' If you have the money to stay there, it is a beautiful view—people are able to see them when they are walking—and on very sensitive vegetation that would have required clearance.

That was approved. Tick. The environmentalists take him to court and a horrific fire burnt almost 100 per cent of the park. That is the only reason we are now in another process of consultation—not because the government said, 'No, we couldn't possibly do this. We must listen to the environmentalists and we must consult,' but because there was a fire and because the environmentalists are taking them to court.

He says there is a negotiation going on: 'It's lovely. It's going really well.' Why has he undermined it by making law that this company does not need to obey native vegetation clearance legislation? Why would you undermine the environmentalists in their negotiation by saying, 'You can talk about it, but I'm not going to give you any power. These guys are going to have an exemption.' That is not the act of someone who understands that their job as environment minister is to protect the environment. That is the act of someone who is far more interested in ensuring development first and environment last, and we have to get beyond that if this planet is going to survive.

### MORIALTA CITIZENSHIP AWARDS

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:55):** It is a great privilege for me to be able to work with schools across South Australia as minister, but in my local area, since becoming the member for Morialta, I have particularly appreciated the way that schools in Morialta have engaged with me as the local member in presenting citizenship awards so that the work, the great achievements and the role modelling displayed by some of the outstanding young people—children and young adults in our schools—can be appreciated. I give them a book voucher or a cheque (depending on the wishes of the school) and a nice certificate. I am very pleased to go and meet these fine young people who work in our schools, and it is a real privilege. I know that many members of parliament do similar things, and I am sure that they share with me in that joy.

Of course, one of the things I like to do is give a speech every year at around this time commemorating for the permanent record and the posterity of the parliament in *Hansard*, and hopefully to the pride of their families, the achievements of these outstanding young South Australians. The Morialta Citizenship Award winners for 2020 have come from 18 different schools. Their involvement in school and community has been varied, and I will touch on some of that later.

For the record, to start with, from Athelstone School, congratulations to Cael Fay. From the Basket Range Primary School, the winner was Callum Kinchington. From Birdwood High School, congratulations to Braeoni Jane Browning. From Charles Campbell College, Rita Cui was the senior winner and Amer Sibai was the middle-years winner—that is possibly the other way around.

From Domino Servite College, congratulations to Benjamin Czudek and Heidi Trinkle. From Gumeracha Primary School, congratulations to Dylan Martin and Milan Mik. I share a presentation every year with the member for Newland as Highbury Primary School actually sits on the border of the electorate. The oval is in Newland and the school buildings are in Morialta. They get an award, though, and congratulations to Charlotte Bland and Isla McGraw. From Lenswood Primary, congratulations to Grace Trost. From Lobethal Lutheran School, Oliver Brown was the winner. From Lobethal Primary School, Sam Kelman and Tilly Selwood shared the award.

From Norton Summit Primary School, congratulations to Mia Playford and Luke Stevens. Members will not be surprised to learn that this is not the first time a Playford has won the award from the Norton Summit Primary School. There is, of course, some precedent for their citizenship involvement. From Norwood Morialta High School, congratulations to Sirisha Jagan Mohan Kajur, and from the middle school at Norwood Morialta High School, congratulations to Rubaica Khan. From Oakbank Area School, Darcy Lucas was the winner and from Rostrevor College, it was Oscar Klose.

From Thorndon Park Primary School, congratulations to Bianca Calabrese. At one of the biggest primary schools in my electorate—probably one of the biggest primary schools in the state—at Stradbroke School, the school split it into an award for each of the five classes. So congratulations to Nikhita Arunachalam, Gabrielle De Rosa, William Moulden, Hannah Polgase and Thomas Searston. These young men and women are worthy recipients. They are role models to their classes and to the young people in their schools. It is a great privilege to be able to pay credit to their service.

That service can take many forms. Some of them had leadership roles within the schools as house captains or SRC representatives. Some of them mentored, tutored or coached sports for younger students. Involvement in SAPSASA athletics, football, cricket, soccer and netball was included. Many volunteered time, whether in the library or the sports shed or the canteen or the classrooms or, in some cases, all of the above.

One of the students at Athelstone School, I think it was, started a Dungeons and Dragons club at the school, which sounds like fun for an individual, but of course it builds community across the school and brought in a range of other young people who might have shared that interest and gave them somewhere extra to belong.

A commitment to music programs, bands and choirs was prevalent in a number, and many participated in special causes, such as men's mental health or Camp Quality, environmental programs and the CFS. Many raised money and many volunteered their time. All of them demonstrated a commitment to their community, to their school, to their state and, really importantly, to other young people, whether in their school community or people they met or by supporting causes at a national or international level.

Congratulations to the 2020 Morialta Citizenship Awards winners. I look forward to seeing the amazing things you guys are going to do in the years ahead.

### EXPORT ECONOMY

**The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay) (16:00):** I rise today to talk about my deep concerns for our export economy. The crisis that faces us is significant and it will impact South Australian jobs. Let's remind ourselves that exports have always been the backbone of our economy. You simply have to look at the symbolism here in the house: on our very carpet before us are wheat and grapes.

Exports are incredibly important. They employ 79,000 South Australians—79,000 South Australians are employed in export-related jobs. We have significant export areas of success: obviously wine, education, metals, meat and wheat. Let's also remind ourselves that \$2 billion worth of wine is exported from South Australia—\$2 billion. When there are trade tensions and confusion, it is often the workers and the owners of businesses, the innocent bystanders, who are affected. This is what we are seeing now with this dramatic increase in tariffs on our wine to China.

I spent a lot of the summer reaching out and talking to stakeholders. I take the time to talk to people all over South Australia: 'What is the impact of this dramatic increase in tariffs? How will it affect you and your workers, your business?' There was a very clear message to me that even if you are a winery that does not export to China, you have been dramatically impacted because it has changed the whole scenario, where suddenly the market is flooded.

We have seen heavy discounting and we are seeing bulk purchase of wine. But, more seriously, what I heard was that contracts were being ripped up. Grapegrowers who had contracts for people to buy their grapes find those contracts cancelled. What people are worried about is their cash flow and how they are going to pay their bank loans, and of course those who are still reliant on JobKeeper know that it is ending in March.

At the end of last year, I asked the government questions about this. I raised my concerns from as early as August 2020 about what we were going to do about this looming impact on our wine industry. Is the state government even concerned about the impact? What is the response of the government to this impact? What is the government going to do? Not only have the government not given me a satisfactory answer to any of these questions but they are not giving answers to the people out there being hurt by this. They have written to the state government and said to them, 'We need your help.' They have not received anything to satisfy them that this is a concern.

We know that there is no plan. We know that the government does not have a sense of urgency to support people here. But let me be clear about how much of an impact this has. Last year, when we look at the Australian red wine exports to China, in October we exported \$159.9 million worth to China and in December it was \$3.3 million. That is jobs. That is South Australian jobs that are going to disappear because of that decrease in exports. My question to the government is: what are you going to do to market our wineries? What are you going to do to provide economic support? Where is your leadership?

### CHERRY GARDENS BUSHFIRE

**Mr MURRAY (Davenport) (16:05):** I rise to make some necessarily brief remarks on the Cherry Gardens fire. As you would recall, Mr Speaker, along with the minister, you and I toured some parts of the fire-affected region and got to see the damage and also hear some of the stories regarding it. I am reminded of the commentary on the expiration of the 9/11 disaster, or terrorism event, when people talked about their first responders running towards danger. I want to pay tribute to the men and women, both voluntary and professional, who provided South Australia and our communities with not only their service but their successful service in controlling this fire, which was in large part in your seat of Heysen, sir, but it also greatly affected my own seat in the suburb of Cherry Gardens.

Some fire facts include that it was a 43° day and that a large number of the fires in question were started at about 4.30pm. Some 2,700 hectares were burned, and two houses, 19 buildings and two vehicles were lost. Of key importance is that 60 homes were saved, and that is an oft-forgotten part of the equation. On our behalf, these people stepped into harm's way and saved a large number of properties—60 homes in total.

Most of the area had not burned since the fires in 1983, so it had an extraordinarily high fuel load. As it was explained to us, the fire was very quickly big enough that it essentially formed its own weather pattern. It formed a pyrocumulus cloud, and that in its own right caused enormous issues with firefighting with winds going in different directions.

Some examples of running towards danger involved the Cherry Gardens CFS brigade, led by Lawrie Linggood and his team, at the Hicks Hill Road fire. They answered the call in about two minutes and were presented with a situation where there were flames on both sides of the road and beyond those flames local people were trapped. They acted quickly, and they plunged in and removed those people from danger.

We saw a burnt-out home on Mount Bold Road, and it was explained that 21 fire appliances were strung along a line, trying to provide asset protection for that particular home. Whilst the fire was engulfing the entire area and the home was on fire, there were people with breathing apparatus on inside trying to save it. Truly, I would not want to be in the area, let alone inside a burning home while the rest of the place was burning.

I thank and acknowledge the CFS. We thank them very much. There were brigades from all over the state, with about 500 CFS staff in total out there. We had aircraft support and bombers that were integral, and in particular I would like to acknowledge the Cherry Gardens CFS airstrip brigade for their work. As I said, I thank all the volunteers and professionals from the Department for Environment and Water and all the emergency services: the CFS, the MFS, SAPOL and the SES.

There was substantial and significant community support as well. One good example is the Hills Horse Evacuate Support group. They arose out of the Cudlee Creek fires. They had about 50-odd horses up there at one stage, so thank you to Kerry Hunt, Bek Smith and Annalise Johnstone. Thank you to Scott Filmer and the team, the Red Cross, the SES and businesses who donated. I am told a farmer from my home town of Mannum apparently drove up with a load of hay, slept overnight and delivered that for the horses there.

Cudlee Creek was instructive and gave rise to a lot of things. Flowing from that was some assistance provided by Dan Cregan and Rebekha Sharkie—thank you, and thank you also to Minister Tarzia for his support. Insofar as lessons are concerned, the Alert SA system works beautifully but needs better communications infrastructure. Firebugs are not firebugs: they are terrorists, in my view. The South Australian community deserves considerable support and says thank you immensely for the efforts of all those people who protected lives and property.

### MURRAY, MR P.

**Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale) (16:11):** It is with great sadness and a heavy heart that I advise the house of the passing of Hub Gymnastics Club beloved coach, club stalwart and dear friend Mr Paul Murray. Paul was an inaugural life member, an extraordinary coach of 23 years at the Hub Gymnastics Club, a great mentor to coaches and gymnasts and a dear friend to all at the Hub Gymnastics Club. Paul was awarded Coach of the Year in 2013 by Gymnastics South Australia

and awarded life membership of Gymnastics South Australia in 2016, serving on the board for five years and chairing it enthusiastically for two of those.

He was a brilliant ambassador for the development of gymnastics at club level and also across the state of South Australia. Paul was so very well loved and appreciated. He pushed all the club's members to do their very best and he believed in them all, often more than they believed in themselves. His inspirational support and tenacious generosity blessed the lives of everyone he met. From all who had the pleasure of knowing Paul, I have heard countless stories of selflessness, dedication, kindness, caring compassion and certainly his dorky dance moves.

Paul was referred to as a second dad by so many of the club's gymnasts in person, behind his back and all across social media, particularly in the past week. Without a doubt, he made such a huge impact on all the lives he touched. Paul was so passionate about giving young people in the south the opportunity to practise and compete in gymnastics. He was relentless in his pursuit of finding a home for the Hub Gymnastics Club and ensuring that it thrived. Paul's tenacity and dedication saw the club come back to its rightful home in the south.

This club was originally based at the Hub Recreation Centre in Aberfoyle Park from 1985 to 2013 before it was moved to Cosgrove Hall in Clovelly Park. This was because of new arrangements under contracts with the City of Onkaparinga. The rents skyrocketed and it was simply untenable. The club had been in discussions with the city council for a home where it could leave its equipment permanently set up because you can imagine that dismantling and reassembling enormous pieces of equipment on a day-to-day basis takes such a long time. That was untenable as well. They had been doing that since 2002.

These discussions really did escalate, as Cosgrove Hall was not big enough to allow the club to expand. Paul's tenacity and dedication saw the club come back to its rightful home in the south, and he himself described this as incredible. Paul never considered Cosgrove Hall to be the permanent home of the Hub Gymnastics Club and used this as a motivation to return to the City of Onkaparinga district. It was always the intention to achieve the club having a permanent facility.

The City of Onkaparinga mayor and councillors attended Cosgrove Hall—I also did, at Paul's request—to assist in relocating the club to the City of Onkaparinga district. He had discussions with Marion council, he investigated private properties within council area as new venues, he identified a number of properties in the southern area also, including old supermarkets that were available for purchase to the club—none of these came to fruition.

Paul made multiple representations at council meetings, highlighting the need for the club to return to the south. He instigated a petition to be signed by the public and presented to council. The club rallied behind Paul. There were over 1,500 signatures gained. Paul built strong relationships with various members of the wider community in order to achieve this desired goal. He was the very first community member to contact me and reach out for a meeting and he was the very first constituent I met in my office here in Parliament House in 2015.

He had such enormous partnerships with councillors, the Special Olympics, Gymnastics SA, Volunteering SA, Messenger Newspapers, Office for Rec and Sport—anyone who would listen. He had wide publicity and eventually, in February 2018, the City of Onkaparinga supported his ongoing commitment to find the club a home in the south, and in October 2018 the club officially moved. They have grown, they are thriving and since moving with 200 members only two years ago, he now has grown the club with its members to 427.

Moving to the Hills Rec Centre did not dampen his spirits. He lobbied over time whilst so very unwell. I will miss those energetic conversations. He will be sadly missed by so many and the club will forever have a hole in their hearts. My thoughts are with Amanda, Emma, Lauren and Sarah on the loss of their loving husband and father. Vale, Paul Murray, you are a legend.

#### **KING ELECTORATE SPORTING CLUBS**

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (16:16):** Since March 2018, I have been working closely and resolutely with sporting clubs and councils across King to offer my support, to keep abreast of high-priority needs and to help our clubs achieve their aspirations. This collaboration with sporting clubs and councils has so far resulted in over \$920,000 worth of funding being delivered to King clubs from the Marshall Liberal government since I was elected in March 2018.

This year, South Australia is being led into a sporting golden era with the further allocation of \$35 million in the 2020-21 state budget for new community sporting and recreational infrastructure. These programs are designed to achieve the outcome of more and more people participating in sport. Pleasingly, these successful funding projects are creating jobs for South Australians while building what matters for our sporting communities.

Excitingly, in the past fortnight I have written a number of support letters for our King sporting clubs that have developed excellent plans to upgrade core infrastructure and our community hubs. On the flipside, I have been champing at the bit in my regular meetings with City of Playford and City of Tea Tree Gully to speed up and finalise upgrade plans and get submissions from councils for state government funding to go towards the Harpers Field and McGilp Recreation Park projects.

Our Marshall Liberal government has delivered \$350 million into sporting facilities since coming into government. Over the next two years, we will see more than \$44 million available across our sporting infrastructure grant programs. We have not seen this funding go into the major projects at McGilp or Harpers Field to date despite great eagerness from me, from the Golden Grove Football Club, Golden Grove Cricket Club and the One Tree Hill Sports Association.

Fortunately, last week I followed both councils up again and I am pleased to say they have both made progress on making submissions for matched state government funding to deliver the important local plans which would benefit so many people and clubs in our King community. I have been meeting with the Playford CEO, Sam Green, regularly and I have found it quite difficult to get support from the Playford council to make a submission for our state's sports funding programs for the benefit of One Tree Hill residents, but I am so glad to say we are starting to finally make some progress.

I will be actively asking for Kookaburra, Brumby, Billies and One Tree Hill Soccer Club members and supportive local community members to get behind our clubs' proposals, to loudly demonstrate these projects are a priority and are long overdue and that we need to work together to make these upgrades happen.

Our local community has been outstanding in working together to make important projects happen in King. Just look at the new Golden Grove Road, a fantastic community-backed project. You signed my petition and now we have had \$50 million delivered to make this project happen. To get behind the upgrades for Harpers Field and the upgrade to McGilp and to be kept up to date on the progress of these funding submissions, sign up and support our projects on my Paula Luethen website.

We are always stronger together. Everyone living in King should be very proud because together in King we are really making things happen. At the SA Districts Netball Association (SADNA), we have seen the completion of stages 1 and 2 of the SADNA upgrades delivered, and now the 20-court upgrade is almost complete. Together, we have turned these courts blue. If you get a moment, visit Tilley Reserve and check out the new clubroom being built for the Golden Grove Tennis Club.

When I visited last week, we had young players practising from One Tree Hill, Greenwith and Golden Grove. They are all dedicated and talented players and some are number one in the state. The players and their parents were excited by the Marshall Liberal government investment in our new local facilities. I look forward to keeping the community updated as further progress is made on our sporting club projects.

Thank you to Minister Corey Wingard for continuing to visit our clubs to hear firsthand the future needs and aspirations of my local members. Thanks to every club and committee in King for all you do to volunteer your time to build these plans, to collaborate with the state government, sporting associations, councils and with me as your local member to build the best facilities for our local community. I look forward to working in collaboration with all stakeholders to deliver these upgrades in Harpers Field, McGilp and across the King electorate.



*Auditor-General's Report***AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORT**

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (16:21):** By leave, I move my motion in an amended form:

That standing orders be and remain so far suspended as to enable the report of the Auditor-General for the year ended 30 June 2020 to be referred to a committee of the whole house and for ministers to be examined on matters contained in the report in accordance with the amended timetable being presently distributed.

For the benefit of members here this would start, with the Speaker's blessing, immediately.

Motion carried.

*Sitting extended beyond 18:00 on motion of Hon. D.C. van Holst Pellekaan.*

In committee.

**The CHAIR:** Welcome everybody. The house is in committee to examine the Auditor-General's Report. We are today examining the Premier, and I invite questions. If you could make reference to where your question is coming from, please, leader, it would be great.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer the Premier to Part A: Executive Summary, page 44, dot point 3.7.6, Other ICT challenges. The Auditor-General says, 'There are a number of ICT challenges that agencies need to address,' including the 'urgent delivery of improved technical solutions to help protect agency data'. Can the Premier advise what these solutions are and if there are any specific agency data that have been at risk and/or need improved protections?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I thank the leader for his question, and he goes straight to the heart of a great concern, I think, for most governments around the world at the moment. I have spoken at length publicly about the increased attacks on data in the world at the moment, and we are not immune to that.

In fact, one of my very first briefings, which I received as the chair of the Emergency Management Council, was about the growing and changing threat from a cybersecurity environment, and that is why we moved very quickly to make sure that we had the right framework to support the protection of our data within government but more broadly across South Australia. It is one of the reasons why we as a government have invested in the Australian Cyber Collaboration Centre, which is the largest commercial cyber range in the country, and a very large commitment to training and getting the skills in place that we need.

More specifically to government, we have developed a cross-government strategy for cybersecurity. We are constantly looking at the changing threat and updating our systems. The cabinet continues to invest tens of millions of dollars into the ongoing upgrade of our systems. We are currently in recruitment phase for a new chief information security officer in South Australia following the departure of David Goodman, who had been in that role for an extended period of time and who had done an outstanding job. He tendered his resignation at the end of last year.

We are reworking on the scope of that office, which is so critical to us, and I think it is quite right that the Auditor-General does identify this issue. It is an issue for all governments, and one that we are moving very quickly to address.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** With respect to the same line, is the Premier aware whether there have been any significant data breaches in the last six months?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am not aware of what the Leader of the Opposition would be referring to.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I am just asking the question: is the Premier aware whether there have been any significant data breaches in the last six months?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Is it something you are referring to in the Auditor-General's Report? We are here now at the moment for the examination of the Auditor-General's Report. It does not reference any data breach here.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** It talks about the need for some urgency in terms of the delivery of improved technical solutions to help protect agency data. Given the urgency, I ask the question just

with respect to the last six months. I accept that could equally be the last three months, but I thought that the last six months speak to a degree of recency that might have underpinned the reference to an urgent need to address here.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** No, I just refer the leader to my previous answer. The sense of urgency that is required is the same sense of urgency that would exist for all governments. At the moment, we have seen very significant attacks on data in most jurisdictions around Australia—at the federal government level, at the state government level and, of course, also at the local government level.

I think that we have excellent protections here in South Australia, but we cannot become complacent, because the threat changes, the sophistication of the attacks is ever increasing and so we must be very vigilant. That is one of the reasons why we do commit more and more resources and more and more time within government dedicated to making sure that we do have those adequate protections in place.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Again, on ICT challenges in that same line, does the Premier accept that it is important in his role as Premier and his office and his ministers that everything is being done, particularly at the moment in the context of an extraordinary amount of data being collected by the government in the course of COVID-19? Does the Premier accept that it is critical that those high officers acknowledge that their responsibility associated with their own conduct in regard to data is important in maintaining community confidence in data collection regimes that the state government has?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am not really sure what the leader is referring to in the Auditor-General's Report. It is a pretty odd question. I am not sure what you are even trying to get at.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** In the Auditor-General's Report, in this section here there is a range of issues raised in regard to what government can do to address ICT challenges and help to protect data. Just at this point in time in particular—I think of concern generally, as the Premier has canvassed in his previous answer—as South Australians hand over to government an unprecedented amount of data, whether it be through QR codes or other means, it is critical that a degree of confidence is maintained in that arrangement. I am simply asking if the Premier accepts the responsibility that is invested in him, his office and ministers, in making sure that is done to the highest standard so as to maintain community confidence.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I have nothing to add to my earlier comments on this important issue.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer the Premier to Part B: Controls Opinion, page 25, dot point 4.2.3, management of actual, perceived or potential conflicts of interest when procuring requires improvement. The Auditor-General states, quote:

Consistent with our findings in previous years we identified instances across many procurements where conflict of interest forms could not be provided for everyone involved in the procurement.

Is the Premier aware if any of those instances relate to the tender process for the tram or train privatisation?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** That is not my portfolio. Certainly, nothing has been brought to my attention.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Will the Premier commit to bringing back an answer about what procurement the Auditor-General is referring to in that particular section?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I do not think it relates directly to my portfolios. I just suggest that if the opposition have queries that might be something they direct to the minister responsible for that particular procurement. If it were a procurement in my area, then I think it would be logical for me to make that inquiry, but I think it would be unorthodox for me to make that inquiry and far more sensible for the relevant minister to make the inquiry.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** This pertains specifically to the area of public sector accountability for which the Premier has a significant degree of responsibility. I would have thought that this was an entirely reasonable question.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** My understanding of the question is that the Leader of the Opposition would like me to go and ask another department about probity issues regarding a specific contract. It is just not a contract that I have personal control over. If he has any difficulties getting a commitment from the minister, then I am happy to follow it up. In the first instance, I think that would be the logical way to go.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I am simply asking: is the Premier aware if the procurement relating to the trains and trams is one of the examples listed here? If he is not aware, then he is not aware, but I would have thought that, given the interest in this procurement—the public interest in this procurement, the political interest in this procurement—and given the special investigation being undertaken by the Auditor-General, this is something the Premier may have asked about. Has he not been briefed?

**The CHAIR:** Premier, do you wish to add to your previous answer?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** No, sir.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** In that case, we will move on. We have a bit of a theme of non-answers here, but we will persist nonetheless. I refer the Premier to Part C: Agency Audit Reports, page 387, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet expenses. Presumably DPC is a responsibility of the Premier. Can the Premier provide any explanation to the house as to why there has been a decrease by \$10 million for grants and subsidies, given the significant impacts that COVID-19 has had on organisations that are eligible for DPC grants and subsidies?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am advised that this is a machinery of government effected change because areas that were previously administered by DPC were transferred to other agencies; for example, the History Trust grants and the Carclew grants were all moved as part of the machinery of government agencies to other areas of responsibility for other ministers in cabinet.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** The same reference, Part C: Agency Audit Reports, Adelaide Festival Centre Trust, page 13 refers to the Adelaide Festival Centre redevelopment supplementation funding lost car park revenue of \$1.4 million for the closure of the car park. Does the Premier believe that the car park will be completed by mid this year, or will the government have to provide further supplementation funding to the Adelaide Festival Centre due to further delays?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We certainly do not expect to be providing further supplementary payments. We envisage that the car park will be available by the end of this financial year.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer the Premier to Part C: Agency Audit Reports, page 617, Lot Fourteen and specifically the National Aboriginal Art and Cultures Centre. Can the Premier advise why there has been a blowout of three years for the expected completion date for the gallery?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We have canvassed this previously—in fact, only recently late last year during estimates—but I am happy to do it again. As I have said to the leader previously and more broadly in the public, our focus is on getting this right not rushed. I think we have very significantly expanded the scope of the project over and above what was originally envisaged after extensive and respectful consultation with a large number of people.

I still feel extraordinarily positive about this project. We should be turning the first sod on this important project toward the end of this year or early next year for some of those early site works, and then construction of this new Aboriginal Art and Cultures Centre will take some time. It is a complicated building. We are dealing with a very reputable international design partner working with a local South Australian architecture firm.

We believe that the new Aboriginal Art and Cultures Centre should be finished in 2024 and opened sometime in 2025. Obviously it takes some time after the completion of the building to make sure it is ready for opening with a full program. I remind the committee that this new facility is very large in scope and size. In fact, the area that will be covered in this new space is larger than the entire space of the Art Gallery of South Australia and the South Australian Museum, so it is a very large space.

It is complex for the design. It is not building a warehouse or a storage facility: it is building something which we want to be the repository for the many stories and songlines representing the Aboriginal cultures of more than 65,000 years, so I think it is acceptable to take the time to get it right, and that is what we are doing.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** On the same line, is the Premier in receipt for a business case regarding the Aboriginal Art and Cultures Centre?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I think an interim business case was provided late last year, certainly in the second half of last year, which still required further work. My understanding is that that is now complete, and it will be something the government will be considering in the coming months.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** When you say the government will be considering it, is the government going full throttle with the expectation to start construction in less than 12 months' time regardless of what the business case says?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As I was saying in my earlier answer, we have already received the interim business case and prior to that piece of work being done by KPMG there was a body of work done by PWC with regard to this project. As I have said, this is a project that we are absolutely determined to get right from a planning perspective and at the moment I think we are in the final stages. It is going to be an expensive project, it is going to be a very significant investment by the people of South Australia but I think it is a very important investment.

It will have a very significant capital cost, and you would note that in the most recent budget we increased that capital cost by \$50 million up to \$200 million. Some of that was provided by the federal government. In fact, I think around \$85 million was provided by the federal government as part of the City Deal, but the remainder of the project has been provided by the taxpayers of South Australia and, of course, there will also be an annual operational cost associated with this new space.

As I said before, I think we have an obligation to this project. We are very fortunate to have in South Australia the very best collection of Aboriginal art and artefacts, and I think that they lend themselves to us having a unique opportunity to tell these stories and song lines for 65,000 years—the oldest culture on this earth. I also think from a tourism perspective that this will become a major attractor to our state and in particular to our CBD.

We have seen what galleries like this have done in other parts of the country and other parts of the world, especially when they are linked to exceptional architecture. It will become really an incredible facility, a gateway, to the Aboriginal culture, and I think that will have flow-on effects for our entire state. It will have flow-on effects for regional tourism as well as tourism into Adelaide, and it will also create extraordinary employment opportunities in construction but also in the operation of this new Aboriginal Art and Cultures Centre.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** On the same line, will the Premier release the business case publicly as he has previously been committed to? If not, why not? Why has the business case not been released publicly as yet, given that it has been completed and it is in receipt of the Premier?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It is not the government's practice to receive a report and immediately make it public. The government needs to consider that report, and we are operating a cabinet government so all of cabinet consider that. Every department considers what is envisaged in that report and they will make their comments, and then it will ultimately go to cabinet for discussion. We will then advise of the appropriate action. Whether or not the report is released in its entirety will really depend on what is in that final report. I have not seen it yet. There could be elements that are commercial in confidence, so I am not going to commit to releasing it in full and—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I think the leader is referring to the report which we have already released, which was the PwC report. It seems to me that the leader, who has repeatedly said he does not like this project and he does not know if it is going to be a fantastic investment—

*Members interjecting:*

**The CHAIR:** Order! The Premier is answering.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Point of order: the Premier is completely misrepresenting or seeking to verbal me in terms of comments that I have made that are completely inaccurate or untrue. I have made no such remarks along those lines and I would ask that the Premier withdraw.

**The CHAIR:** Premier, you have obviously caused the leader great offence.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** There is no need for the leader to be offended. The leader has constantly asked questions—

**The CHAIR:** Premier, with all due respect, it is not your call to determine whether the leader has been offended or not. It might be best to just withdraw and let's get back on track. We only have 11 minutes to go.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Yes, I am happy to withdraw them if the leader finds them offensive, but the leader has unequivocally asked questions regarding the financial return on investment of this gallery—

*Ms Stinson interjecting:*

**The CHAIR:** Order, member for Badcoe!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —virtually since it was announced. I find it extraordinary that the opposition would be looking for a financial return on a cultural institution that I genuinely think will be of enormous importance economically in South Australia, as well as the very obvious cultural returns.

One of the problems associated with the consideration of social infrastructure is that some of the norms that have existed in regard to cost-benefit analysis just really do not apply to these types of institutions. Nevertheless, we are committed to this project. We will be going ahead with this project, but we constantly look for input into the best practice for how this Aboriginal Art and Cultures centre will be delivered, its model of operation and how it will provide an ongoing return to the people of our state.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I want to assure the Premier that, despite the fact that he clearly takes umbrage with the fact that the opposition of the day is asking questions of him regarding the expenditure of taxpayers' money, the opposition, under my leadership, will in no way, shape or form resile from asking him questions and I am sorry if that somehow offends the Premier.

**The CHAIR:** Now is your opportunity to ask, leader.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** In regard to the business case, I note the fact that the Premier has apparently withdrawn his commitment to release the business case publicly that has previously been stated. I refer the Premier to Part C: Agency Audit Reports, page 473, regarding the South Australian Tourism Commission advertising and promotions. Will the Premier provide an itemised list of the advertising campaigns and their specific costs?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** What was that reference?

**The CHAIR:** Page 473.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** What would the leader like?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** An itemised list of the advertising campaigns and their specific costs.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I do not have that and it certainly is not something that is referenced by the Auditor-General. In fact, the Auditor-General has conducted his independent audit of the South Australian Tourism Commission and as far as I can see there are no significant issues or events that have been highlighted.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I am just simply asking for an itemised list regarding the \$32 million expended in 2020 and the \$37 million in 2019. If you are not willing to provide that list just say you are not willing to provide it.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It is just not relevant to an Auditor-General's inquiry. There are plenty of ways that you can ask those questions, but we are sitting here in the Auditor-General's report examination. There is no reference there and it is very clear to me, sir, that the Leader of the Opposition has obviously had other things to do over the break. I am not quite sure what they were,

but he did not spend much time on coming up with any useful questions for this report examination today. Maybe there were not enough things raised by the Auditor-General. I do not know.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I take it that the Premier is not willing to answer. The arrogance is on full display. Is the Premier aware if any of the \$32 million was used on any advertising campaigns that were developed but not used?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I do not have any specific information with regard to that.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** You are not aware of any advertising campaigns that were not ultimately utilised?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** You just asked the same question in a different way, but the answer is not going to change.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Will the Premier be willing to get back to the committee and provide a list of the amount of money spent on advertising campaigns that were developed and not used in the event that that occurred?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am certainly happy to ask the question. I can imagine this is pretty commonplace. There are often things that are considered and worked up that ultimately do not go ahead. We do have a separate approvals process that goes through the Government Advertising and Communication Committee so that there is actually a further, if you like, filter that potential government advertising contracts go through. I would be surprised if there were not things that were envisaged that were not ultimately approved, but I am more than happy to ask that question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer the Premier to the same document and the same page but to event operations. In 2020, there is a reference for \$40 million. Are members of the Premier's Events Advisory Group being paid and, if so, how much?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** What was that reference again?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** 'Event operations' on the same page.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** What specific question did you have with regard to that dollar amount?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I was asking if the Premier's Events Advisory Group are being paid and, if so, how much?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Just to be clear, this is an extraordinary line of questioning when you consider that this is a report that is done on expenditure and the operation of government up to 30 June last year. I would have thought that the Leader of the Opposition would have recognised that that group had not even been created by that point in time, so it is a completely irrelevant question to be asking in this forum.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** So I take it you are not willing to answer that question?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It is just not relevant to what we are doing in parliament today.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Let's move on to the Auditor-General's Report, Part C, page 384, financial statistics. This page notes that the employee benefits expense was \$51 million. How much of that \$51 million was provided for staff who are delivering, monitoring or reviewing the Aboriginal Affairs Action Plan?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am happy to take that question on notice. I am not sure that there would be a breakdown to that level, but if there is I am happy to provide it.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I appreciate that. Similarly, on the same tenet, how much of that \$51 million employee expense was provided for Aboriginal heritage staff?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Sorry, I did not realise we had gone from tourism to DPC. I was trying to work out how on earth we would have had a unit within SATC. I know you do cover a lot of areas, Rodney. So the question you asked about how many people were specifically involved in developing or monitoring the Aboriginal action plan—

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Delivering, monitoring and reviewing.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We will take that question on notice and come back to you.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** It is essentially the same question for the same item in respect to Aboriginal heritage staff.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We will have to take those questions on notice.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** On page 387, it goes on to say that total department expenses were down by 7 per cent, or \$22 million. What amount of that decrease was linked to Aboriginal affairs?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am advised that in 2019 it was \$13.46 million and in 2020 it was \$13.28 million.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** On the same document and page number, there is a reference to 'significant events and transactions' and it states that DPC returned surplus cash of \$30 million to DTF. It states that DPC returned a cash surplus to Treasury of \$30 million last financial year. Why was that \$30 million returned to Treasury when the government has failed to provide the \$2 million that Labor budgeted in 2017 for the Aboriginal Interpreter Service?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Again, I refer you to the answers that I provided to the estimates committee last year. That is a project that still remains a very high priority for us. We have had some problems with the implementation of the project, but we still remain committed to it. With regard to the \$30 million, I am advised that, again, this issue really relates to machinery of government changes that went through during that financial year.

**The CHAIR:** I can advise the committee that time has expired and that the examination of the Premier is complete and we will move to the Attorney-General. Welcome, Attorney-General. Welcome back to the committee. I invite questions.

**Mr PICTON:** I refer to the Auditor-General's Report, Part C—which I think all the questions refer to—and page 30, where it states under significant events and transactions:

An increase in Commonwealth revenues of \$2.3 million helped the legal assistance sector to respond to increased demand due to COVID-19.

Attorney, how does this one-off commonwealth payment compare to the savings targets that you have imposed on the Legal Services Commission and the Victim Support Service since coming to government?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** There are really two different questions in relation to the application of these moneys related to two items, as I understand it, that is, Victim Support Service and also Legal Services Commission efficiencies. I will take that on notice.

**Mr PICTON:** Are you aware that the Legal Services Commission has said publicly that, not including cuts to victim services, your government has cut more than \$6 million over five years from its budget in 2018?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am aware of comments that have been made by the Legal Services Commission, particularly in relation to the cuts of the former government.

**Mr PICTON:** This was in 2018, under your government.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am just making the point. There were very substantial residual deficits as a result of substantial cuts by the former Labor administration.

**Mr PICTON:** Is it accurate that \$6 million has been cut over five years under your government?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I will take it on notice.

**The CHAIR:** I will just remind both sides that we are examining the Auditor-General's Report for 2019-20, ending 30 June.

**Mr PICTON:** Thank you, Chair, as always. I refer to the Auditor-General's Report, Part C, page 43, where it shows that victims of crime payments reduced by \$1 million last year. It has gone from \$25 million to \$24 million. It is quite surprising that that payment would go down. If your payments to victims are decreasing—

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Sorry, member. On page 43, is it on the income of victims of crime levies?

**Mr PICTON:** Under the expenses: victims of crime payments. It has gone from \$25 million to \$24 million.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** From 2019 to the financial year 2020, and that is in relation to moneys paid out of the Victims of Crime Fund.

**Mr PICTON:** Yes.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I will just check that because it may also be ex gratia payments. In fact, it is compensation and legal costs. To give you the exact amounts, for the 2018-19 year, direct compensation and legal costs that were paid out were \$24,868, then in this last financial year, which is the subject of this audit, it went to \$24,155. So we are talking about \$600,000-odd—sorry, millions.

**Mr PICTON:** Sorry, are you talking about \$24,000?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Sorry. I meant to say millions, as per this. You will see it is in millions, on the top of the document.

**Mr PICTON:** It has gone from \$25 million to \$24 million, according to the Auditor-General's Report. Are you saying that is accurate or inaccurate?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am saying they are the rounded figures, which is what the Auditor-General uses. I am giving you the exact amounts. One is close to \$25 million. For the 2018-19 year, which is described in here as \$25 million, it is actually \$24,868,000, so you will see the Auditor-General has obviously rounded it up. Then, for the last financial year, 2019-20, it is \$24,155,000, so clearly he has rounded it down.

**Mr PICTON:** So it is about a \$700,000 reduction that the government has made in payments to victims in that year?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** In relation to compensation and legal costs for them. That is only one category.

**Mr PICTON:** Why has there been a \$700,000-odd reduction in payments to victims for compensation costs in the past year under your government?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I think it has to be taken into account as to what are individually all the amounts paid. If it turned out that legal fees that were sought by victims only added up to a much lesser amount in that particular financial year, what I have reported to an estimates committee and I think this committee before (but it may have been in general questions in estimates) was that the compensation payments, though, to victims have increased from \$13.3 million in the 2016-17 year to \$19.9 million in the 2019-20 year.

If you are looking at the same time frame, you will see that there has been a significant increase in relation to compensation payments that as Attorney-General I have approved to be paid out of the fund. What you are looking at in relation to the \$24 million to \$25 million is discretely in relation to legal fees and other compensation.

**Mr PICTON:** So you are saying there should be an additional line of expenses in this, or is that \$18 million or \$19 million included within the \$24 million?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Correct.

**Mr PICTON:** So there has been therefore a significant reduction somewhere else?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** That is less claimed for legal fees.

**Mr PICTON:** So there has presumably been a big reduction in legal fees. Do you have those figures for the legal fees?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am happy to take that on notice if it is available. We will just see if it is here. I am advised here by Mr Swanson, but I am just pointing out that I only have



2016-17 to 2019-20 and you are referring to a difference between 2018-19 and 2019-20, so I will see if we can get that information. I can only assume that in fact there has been less claimed for legal fees in that time by victims. On the other hand, I have been, it seems, quite generous in relation to victims compensation. My adviser here has just found it. The compensation paid from 2018-19 was \$19.722 million, and for 2019-20 it was \$19.923 million. We still do not have, though, the legal cost breakdown. We will see if we can get that for you.

**Mr PICTON:** That would be a very significant reduction in the amount the government has paid to victims for legal fees. What do you attribute that reduction to?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** On applications for that particular year is what I would attributed to.

**Mr PICTON:** There has been no change in the amount that has been approved; it is just a reduction in the applications, you are saying?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** My understanding is these are all based on applications made. We will see if we can get you the breakdown of the legal fees. We do not have the direct information here as to the specifics of how much was applied for in legal fees. I do not recall any amounts being rejected offhand, but it is possible, I suppose.

It is entirely application based; that is, a victim and/or their legal representative makes the application for the fund to reimburse legal fees, and at some stage in that process I think I have to approve it. I think it comes up on recommendation from somebody else. I do not recall any rejections, but if in a certain financial year there were less amounts claimed for reimbursement of legal fees, then that is what I am assuming it is. But as to the exact amount, we will see if we can find that and provide it to the committee.

**Mr PICTON:** Given overall that there has been a reduction in the cost to government of providing that support to victims, understanding the combination of the compensation and the legal fees together has resulted in this reduction in payments to victims, how can you justify the fact that you are increasing now the victims of crime levy by 50 per cent from last month even though the amount you are paying out is actually going down?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I think the member perhaps misunderstands the presentation of the material that is there. To simply suggest that the \$25 million or \$24 million in the two years you have referred to in relation to compensation payments is the only payment that is made for the benefit of victims out of the Victims of Crime Fund would be erroneous. That is the first thing.

Secondly, many other things get taken out of the fund. If I were to identify those, they include the support provided for victims of domestic and family violence to navigate the court system. That is a program I think you are familiar with and we have discussed on previous occasions. It also includes extending the domestic violence gateway telephone service, which is a service provided through one of the domestic violence service providers, to a 24/7 program; providing rape and sexual services, including forensic medical examinations, at Mount Gambier, Berri and Whyalla—so we have expanded that service as well; and contributing to the on-call allowance for medical officers to conduct after-hours forensic medical services.

That is something which is very important for country people. We used to have to bring people, sometimes in their nightwear, on a plane from a major regional town to be assessed in Adelaide or other major regional centres. I am very pleased that we are working towards remedying that appalling circumstance. I recall, Mr Chairman, a woman in your electorate once being brought on a plane after a rape and then having to come to Adelaide for that purpose. The indignity of that whole process is just appalling.

The other is to maintain the register of victims and their next of kin where the defendant is mentally ill to be notified of key information affecting them, including court decisions relating to the defendant. They are actual programs that have been expanded, enhanced or started in relation to other money that comes out of the fund directly for the benefit of victims. We then of course have a number of other programs as well. I am happy to go through those, but I think it will lead you to the wrong conclusion if you simply indicate that the identified victims of crime payment for expenses in the highlights of the financial statements. It would not lead you to the accurate position.

**Mr PICTON:** Attorney, how do you then explain that the balance of the fund has gone from \$153 million last year—I understand as of 30 June last year it then went up to \$158 million—and, according to a response to a question on notice that you provided to me today, I believe it is going to be \$174 million by the end of this financial year. Clearly, that increase in the victims of crime levy by 50 per cent that your government is bringing in is not all going out the door to victims, because the fund is increasing at a rapid rate.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I think I have provided—and I am pleased you have received them—the answers to the estimates questions last year. I think that is what you are referring to, but in any event there was quite a bundle of answers to questions from last year's estimates hearing, which I am assuming you have received and that is what you are referring to?

**Mr PICTON:** Yes.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** To be absolutely clear, so that we can have a comparison of the fund over the past five years: year ending 2017, \$271 million; year ending 2018, \$153 million; year ending 2019, \$153 million; year ending 30 June 2020, \$159 million; and for the half-year we are currently in—that is, to 31 December 2020; it is only for those six months—it is up to \$168 million. We will be able to identify the full flow of that once we have finished that financial year.

As you appreciate, the application of moneys in the December period—well, I suppose it is also going to be affected by COVID, and we will have to have a look at that as to where the big months are, but we may find that there is an accumulation of applications. I have not got to 30 June 2021 yet, because clearly we have five months to go, but nevertheless I think that you will see there has been a diminution of the fund.

**Mr PICTON:** I refer to page 58 in relation to the Public Trustee and the verification of goods and services received by clients. Without reading the entire page, one of the issues highlighted by the Auditor-General was that they had previously raised the issue of the Public Trustee not re-implementing a sample check of invoices to ensure that goods and services had been received by clients. He says that this is an issue that has been previously raised by the Independent Commissioner Against Corruption as well.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Sorry, where is that point? Is that point 5?

**Mr PICTON:** The first paragraph in the middle of page 58. He has noted that the process could identify circumstances where documents may have been falsified. This is on top of the risk that things are paid for by vulnerable clients but never received for other reasons. These clients may not have had the capacity to follow up or challenge problems with suppliers, but then in response, the Public Trustee has only committed to checking on orders for more than \$2,500 and then only by a written declaration. Attorney, doesn't this process leave open the risk of multiple fraudulent transactions for less than \$2,500 each and that they will go undetected?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I think the report suggests that, unless there is a verification of the goods and services, it provides a circumstance where there is a risk not necessarily of fraud, or whatever you have suggested, but there is a risk. I think that is what the Auditor-General is saying. This is a matter where the verification process needs to be there. I do not see anything in the report that suggests that the cut-off point of \$2,500 is unacceptable to the Auditor-General, but I will just have a look. I understand there is a reference to it on page 59. I will just read this:

The Public Trustee advised that the Trust branch had implemented a new procedure in February 2020, applying a risk-based approach to verifying that customer goods and services are received following an order. This applies to goods and services valued over \$2500 (with certain exclusions) and they are verified by a written declaration. The Personal Estates Branch will employ a similar risk-based approach and request that a declaration be completed and signed by customers or their support people for goods over a specified amount.

I do not recall, in reading the Public Trustee audit, any indication that that is in some way inadequate.

**Mr PICTON:** You do not think there is a risk in relation to those transactions for less than \$2,500 that are not going to be subject to an assessment process?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** The Auditor-General has not identified that that is a risk.

**Mr PICTON:** I am asking for your opinion as the minister in charge of this portfolio.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** If the Auditor-General had brought to my attention in his report that there was any error of risk that any agencies under my responsibility would face, then certainly I would do it. I am not a trained accountant or auditor, and I would therefore not second-guess that as such or proffer my opinion on that. What I am responsible for doing, which I consider is a very important responsibility, is if the Auditor-General brings to my attention some deficiency and/or risk of any practice being undertaken by any agency in my area of responsibility then I would need to act on it, and he has not in this report.

**Mr PICTON:** Are you saying, Attorney-General, in your responsibility, that you are satisfied that your agency has implemented everything to abide by the recommendations of not only the Auditor-General but also the Independent Commissioner Against Corruption, who has also raised this issue?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** The Public Trustee has reported to ICAC on all responses to the recommendations, and no issue has been taken. I am assuming that, in relation to ICAC, you are referring to Mr Lander's review that he undertook in relation to the Public Trustee. I have received regular briefings in relation to the implementation of those recommendations, and the last report I had was that they had all been implemented. I have received nothing either from ICAC or from the Office for Public Integrity and/or the Auditor-General that would suggest that the matters raised in this issue are remaining at risk.

**Mr PICTON:** Is it enough to rely on a written declaration for those orders above \$2,500?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Again, I cannot act on something where the Auditor-General—or anyone else for that matter—has not identified a problem. It has not been brought to my attention that the verification in relation to the goods and services practices of the Public Trustee is anything other than it is in this report. In that regard, I am satisfied on the Auditor-General's Report that there is nothing else outstanding that I should be looking at to ensure that the Public Trustee is doing what is necessary to comply with its obligations.

Remember, of course, that it is an agency responsible for the investment and/or deploying of a very substantial amount of money to vulnerable people. It is an important agency but it is charged with that responsibility. There is nothing in this report that raises concern or that the Auditor-General is flagging to me that I need to deal with. For example, if he were to report to the parliament—he is not reporting to me: he is reporting to the parliament—that there was some further deficiency in this unit, then I think it is quite reasonable to act on that, but that is not the case.

**Mr PICTON:** I think it is important that you refer to the vulnerable people involved. I note that the report in relation to the agency's actions at the top of page 59 says that there will be potential declarations for clients who may lack the capacity to make a declaration or their support people. I am interested in whether those support people could involve carers. Particularly in light of the Ann Marie case, which I am sure you are applying the learnings of across your agencies, what else will they be doing to protect clients from fraud? Do there need to be additional checks where there is a small number of support people involved?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am not sure I fully understand the question, but I am happy to take it on notice. I think what you are asking—just so I am clear on what we are going to be seeking for you—is whether there is any procedure that currently applies to the declarations relating to goods of a value less than \$2,500; is that what you are seeking?

**Mr PICTON:** The written declaration is for more than \$2,500. There is a capacity for a support person to help a vulnerable person to do that. I guess in light of the Ann Marie case, where we have seen the abuse of a support person's relationship with somebody, what steps are you taking and what things are you putting in place, particularly where there might be a small number of support people, to ensure that there is no fraud that could occur in relation to those payments?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Firstly, I cannot comment on the Ann Marie Smith case because there is somebody being charged in the courts in relation to that. As to what the arrangements are for that particular case, I cannot and will not answer. What I think you are asking me, then—again, I will try to be clear about it—is what processes are in place to be able to verify the goods or services that are provided to a person to the value of less than \$2,500 where they have a minimal support number of carers and/or supervisors; is that where we are?

**Mr PICTON:** Yes.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I will see what is being employed by the Public Trustee in relation to that. I would just make the point that if that had been an area of weakness in relation to the risk management of those verifications, I am sure the Auditor-General would have brought it to our attention, but he has not. Nevertheless, I will see whether there is a particular process that occurs in relation to goods or services that are provided at less than \$2,500.

**Ms MICHAELS:** I have a question that relates to page 34 of Part C, in relation to financial delegations for the Small Business Commissioner.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Under 'Delegations not updated'. Is that what we are looking at?

**Ms MICHAELS:** Correct. Attorney, can you explain the delegations not being updated for a period of 11 months in terms of the Small Business Commissioner?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I think the answer is there already. The report indicates that general ledger journals are required to be approved by staff and there is a process for that. It then reports from the Auditor-General that there has been a review of those delegations and they did not include the authorised delegations of the Office of the Small Business Commissioner, which were transferred to AGD on 1 July 2019. It states:

We could not confirm that the OSBC [Office of the Small Business Commissioner] journal was approved by an authorised delegate. AGD responded that OSBC general ledger delegations were approved on 28 May 2020.

I think the answer is in that, that is, that it was done then. Whether that was specifically because of the delay in the transfer of that agency from the Department for Innovation and Skills to the Attorney-General's Department as indicated. So it has been remedied and, it seems on the face of it, accepted by the Auditor-General.

**Ms MICHAELS:** Attorney, who made the decision to transfer the Office of the Small Business Commissioner to AGD?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** That is a governance arrangement that is done by the Premier.

**Ms MICHAELS:** Attorney, do you think the lack of delegation that is highlighted in the Auditor-General's Report calls into question any of the transactions that were undertaken by the Small Business Commissioner in terms of legality or integrity?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I do not for the very reason that because this has been examined by the Auditor-General, it gives me comfort to know that whoever has done that part of the audit has been satisfied that there is nothing else to bring to the parliament's attention as to a deficiency. If there were, then I think it would have been brought to our attention.

Always with these matters, the bigger concern is if the Auditor-General has not inquired into a unit or a particular practice at all. That is where I like to be reassured. In fact, this year I have even asked for copies of all the extra audited financial accounts of all the other units. I am advised that sometimes the Auditor-General does not examine every unit, but I have asked for them all.

**Ms MICHAELS:** Attorney, can I take you to page 39, Births, deaths and marriages revenue system. It refers to a lack of critical IT controls like password management on systems linked to births, deaths and marriages. Are you aware whether any of these security failures present a risk in terms of theft identity?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** No, I am not. Again, I think the report speaks for itself, that is, the controls under the Promadis program, which is referred to there. Whilst they have identified some weakness in that regard and therefore the risk of there being a problem, it had not been identified that there has been a breach. It is always in the potential, as described in this particular area, rather than identifying that there had been any malicious activity or unauthorised transaction. You will also see—and this is always of some reassurance to me—at the bottom of that section:

AGD responded positively to our findings and agreed to take appropriate action, expected to be completed by the end of June 2021.

Again, I think if the Auditor-General were not satisfied with that approach he would have said so.

**Ms MICHAELS:** Is the Attorney-General's Department on track to complete that work by June 2021?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I certainly hope so, but I am happy to make an inquiry. In fact, I have good news. Consumer and Business Services (CBS), which is the agency in charge of births, deaths and marriages, has actually responded. In the instructions I have, CBS agreed to take appropriate action—which, of course, we know from the report—and advised that all changes to the Promadis system are now logged and managed separately. So good news: it is five months early.

**Ms MICHAELS:** Did the agency then go back and review any financial transactions as a result of being made aware of this IT risk?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Not that I am aware of. Obviously, the Auditor-General has to be satisfied that this particular program, the Promadis program, is being implemented both adequately and, of course, to remedy the matters that have been brought to their attention. He specifically says that 'AGD responded positively to our findings', so I am sure we would have had a big red asterisk next to them if they had not.

**Ms STINSON:** I refer to page 376. The second dot point from the top talks about a contribution of \$13 million from the Planning and Development Fund for planning reform. My question is: was all of the \$13 million referenced there expended by 30 June 2020? How much money has been drawn from the fund to date for the planning reforms? Thirdly, how much has now been spent on the planning reforms in total?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** If I can take the indulgence of the committee to provide this information, my recollection is that, in response to some of the estimates questions, I have actually given some updates on that from last year's estimates meeting. For your benefit and the completeness of this committee, the total expenditure to 20 January 2021 was \$42.1 million. I already have the \$13.4 million for 2019-20; 2020-21, \$6.7 million; and the previous 2018-19 year was the \$5.3 million, which dealt with the \$25.5 million from the fund. The rest of the moneys come from appropriation generally, not from the fund, but obviously that has been appropriated. An amount of \$1.1 million came from a council levy, which has been referred to before, and \$100,000 has come from the commonwealth under SAFECOM bushfire mapping.

**The CHAIR:** Thank you, Attorney. I can advise the committee that time has expired for this session. We have completed the examination of the Attorney-General. We will now move to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Minister, do you have your advisers in place?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes, I do.

**The CHAIR:** There is no need to stand to ask or answer questions. Member for West Torrens.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I refer to page 110 of report 13, which states:

The State's emergency electricity generators with a value of \$217 million were transferred to the Department of Treasury and Finance in June 2020, before being transferred to the State Owned Generators Leasing Company Pty Ltd.

Does the Generators Leasing Company have a board?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** As the member would be aware, the generators have been leased. They were transferred essentially from one government agency to another to another. In regard to the specific question about whether the leasing agency has a board, I am happy to take that on notice. It is probably a question for the Treasurer rather than for me, but I am happy to take on notice.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I take you now to the Home Battery Scheme referenced on page 114. For the audit period, how many batteries were installed and how many grants were issued?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The number installed during the audit period was 6,950 and, barring any hard-to-explain administration error, every battery that is installed in the Home Battery Scheme attracts a subsidy.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** If I can ask a process question, if you apply for a home battery and you receive a subsidy, is that subsidy paid to the home owner installing the battery, or is it paid directly to the installer?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The home owner versus the installer?

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Yes.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes, the actual payment, the transfer, goes directly to the installer but with a credit system that is connected to the approval process. As you might know, you can only qualify if you have the right type of batteries and the right type of installer. The quality of the equipment and the quality of the installer are obviously incredibly important. You have to be qualified with DEM and the program to do that.

There is also a six-month period from approval to installation so that people are not just sitting on it for ever. From memory, there is a 12-month approval period for new dwellings so that if somebody were to buy a new house and get a battery in the new house under this scheme they have 12 months to do that. We have tried to make this as easy as possible for home owners and installers but as tight as possible for all the obvious right reasons.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Can I also ask this: the total budget of the scheme is what, minister?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** It is \$118 million.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** How much was expended in the audit period?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** During the audit period, \$43.208 million on subsidies and \$1.725 million on implementation costs.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** You spent \$43 million of the \$100 million budget on subsidies but only installed 6,950 batteries. The scheme on page 114 says 'the aim of providing 40,000' batteries. I am trying to understand the numbers here. I am not making a judgement yet. You say you have spent \$43 million of the \$118 million budget. I assume the \$18 million is not part of the grant scheme because the grant scheme is \$100 million. How are you going to get the remaining batteries?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I think this is a question that came up last year as well, in this same forum. I am happy to go over it again. There are many intentions on behalf of the South Australian public tied up with this Home Battery Scheme. We want the households to invest to benefit. We want them to be able to generate their own electricity during the day when they are not using much in the house. We want them to be able to fill their batteries during the day when they are not using that electricity. We want them to be able to come home in the evening when their electricity demand is higher and draw out of their battery, rather than drawing out of the grid, so that they are saving themselves money. That is one benefit.

Another benefit of course is that once we get the critical mass of households with batteries—and there is a range of different ways we are doing that in addition to the Home Battery Scheme—and those households are drawing out of their own batteries instead of out of the grid, that is an enormous amount of electricity that is not being drawn out of the grid at the highest demand time—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** With all due respect, minister, that is not the question I asked. I asked: if during the audit period you expended \$43 million and got 6,950 batteries, and the aim of the scheme is for 40,000 batteries with a budget of \$100,000, how does the remaining money get the 30,000-plus batteries into homes?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I will get to that. Very importantly, we need people not drawing out of the grid because they are drawing out of their batteries. If you get a critical mass of people doing that, then that takes pressure off the grid in the evening, which allows prices to go down and then supports all other South Australian electricity consumers in addition to those who have invested in the batteries.

Another of the benefits is that we want to draw down the cost of these batteries to consumers. Like lots of schemes that lots of governments of both persuasions have done over time—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: this is debate now. I asked a specific question. The budget is \$100 million. The government has spent \$43 million and installed 6,950 batteries. It has a target of 40,000. I am not asking about the benefits of the batteries. I am asking about how he expects the remaining budget to install the remaining 30,000-plus batteries.

**The CHAIR:** Yes, that is the question you asked absolutely, member for West Torrens. I am sure the minister is coming to the answer.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** One of the other benefits we want is to bring down the cost to consumers of the batteries, so—

**The CHAIR:** Minister, we will get to the answer to the question.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** When that happens, we do not need to offer the same subsidy level. What we have seen over the life of the scheme is approximately a \$2,500 on average per battery reduction in the retail price of these batteries. It was always clearly articulated in the rollout of this scheme that we would offer more generous subsidies at the start of the scheme and less generous subsidies at the end of the scheme, with an aim of trying to get the net purchase price, after the subsidy is taken off the retail price, fairly level for consumers.

It is important to outline all that because we made it very clear that we would offer more subsidy per battery at the start and less subsidy per battery at the end. That is exactly how we will deliver on this scheme because the batteries in the back half of the scheme per unit will attract a lower subsidy than they did at the start of the scheme. We are seeing that work already.

As I said, it is roughly \$2,500 per unit, so using very simple maths—and of course there is a lot more calculation going into it than that—we can drop the subsidy per battery by \$2,500 and have the outlay for the household to be exactly the same. Again, using very simple maths, people in the department have essentially provided a time line linked to time and also to the number of batteries that have attracted the subsidy so that, as we move through, we get to a point in time and then the subsidy drops. That is what we did to begin with. Now what we are doing is when a particular number of batteries have been purchased the subsidy drops down for the next tranche of batteries.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** So the logic that the government is implementing is that, with the larger subsidy spending nearly half the budget, you have less than a quarter of the batteries you needed, but as you drop the subsidy, inversely, miraculously, the number of batteries installed will increase.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No, that is a very poor characterisation of it. In fact, the less than a quarter that the member refers to is only the number of batteries from the actual audit period. His question was about 12 months. The scheme has been running for more than 12 months, so it is inappropriate to characterise that as all the batteries that have been attracted during this scheme.

But, very simply, yes, as more batteries are purchased, as demand grows, as confidence grows, as installers get their skates on and can drop their costs—a whole range of things, including the battery manufacturers that we have attracted into South Australia in the northern and southern suburbs, so we are having batteries produced here in Adelaide—as all these things roll out as outcomes of the scheme, in addition to the benefits to the households, we are seeing the cost of the batteries reduce.

When the cost of the batteries reduces, the subsidy required to offer the householder the same incentive or essentially the same net purchase price can also reduce. That is what is happening. That is one of the goals we wanted to achieve up-front and one of the goals that we are achieving.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** If I can move on to page 115 and the topic of EnergyConnect. I apologise, minister—on page 113 there is an interpretation and analysis of the financial report. Under the headline Statement of Comprehensive Income, it states that appropriations to the department have decreased by \$20 million, \$17 million of which is for the state-owned generators. Can I ask: how many FTEs were capitalised within that operating \$17 million cost?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am advised none.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** On the same reference, can the minister explain how the transfer from the \$20 million Green Industries Fund to the Home Battery Scheme operates?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** You would be aware of the commitments we made before the election, information that needs to be provided by both parties leading up to the election. This is perfectly consistent with that. The Green Industry Fund is a source of finance for appropriate complying programs. It was a decision that was made when this scheme started, and it is a decision that we have stuck with. There is an allocation of funding from the Green Industry Fund that goes to the battery scheme on an annual basis. The numbers you are seeing there are exactly what was reported for this audit period.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The statement says:

Revenue from intra-government transfers increased by \$26 million, 118%, in 2019-20. The main increase was the receipt of an additional \$20 million in funding from the Green Industry Fund for...the Home Battery Scheme.

How many transfers from the Green Industry Fund to the Home Battery Scheme have occurred since the establishment of the Home Battery Scheme?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The transfers occur monthly with invoices from DEM to DEW.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Have the only transfers that have occurred from the Green Industry Fund been in the last audit period, or have there been transfers in previous audit periods?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** As I said before, consistent with the Home Battery Scheme life, it has been happening that long.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** How much has been appropriated from the budget for the Home Battery Scheme?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The \$100 million, which was the initial figure, has all come 100 per cent from Green Industries, and that is all that has come from Green Industries.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** No money has been appropriated from the budget for the Home Battery Scheme?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The additional \$18 million.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The additional \$18 million?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The operating costs?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** My next question is about the Economic and Business Growth Fund, from which the department received a \$6 million grant, of which \$3 million is for your Accelerated Discovery Initiative. How did that transfer come about?

**The CHAIR:** For my benefit, member for West Torrens, do we have a reference?

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** At page 114, where the top line states:

DEM also received \$6 million...in funding from the Economic Business and Growth Fund. \$3 million of this was for the Accelerated Discovery Initiative...

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The Economic and Business Growth Fund is a separate fund. It is a pool that was set aside. It has been available for government departments and others to apply to for a while now. In terms of where did the money that DEM received come from, it came out of that distinct separate EBG Fund.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** You fund your accelerated discovery program from that grant. What is the remaining \$3 million used for?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I have to say that I have been extremely pleased with the key DEM staff and people in my office because our area of work in energy and mining has



been extremely well supported by the Economic and Business Growth Fund. We have put proposals forward in a competitive sense and we have been supported by the fund to do new economic and business development work within the energy and mining sector.

All of that is available in the budget. I do not have that information available here but I can tell you that it is more than \$6 million in total out of that fund that has come to us—\$6 million is probably what this financial year includes (the audit year that we are looking at). I would be happy to get together for the member the list of projects that have been announced that have been successful under that fund, but I say again it was a fund that is very clearly available. The private sector applies for it, internal opportunities can apply for it.

The key issue is that it is economy and business-growing type projects. It is very competitive. It is not easy to get that money. DEM has put forward a raft of extremely good projects, not all of which have been accepted but certainly some of them have, and we are doing very good work on behalf of the state with that money.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Just so we are clear, minister, you are not given an allocation of \$6 million for the Accelerated Discovery Initiative; the department applies to the Economic and Business Growth Fund on behalf of exploration companies, or are you given an allocation and then you can decide how it is handed out?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** What happens is the relevant minister puts an application forward, potentially on behalf of the private sector, potentially on behalf of an internal program. Whatever seems to be the right type of project put forward, the relevant minister puts forward that application. For example, the Accelerated Discovery Initiative was actually a \$10 million grant out of the EBGf over three years. So you are seeing \$3 million of that \$10 million in this one 12-month period. To the heart of your question, it is a competitive program. It is not just a, 'Transfer here, you go off and do something that is good for the economy with money,' you actually have to put a proposal forward and earn it.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** My point is that the department has not been given an allocation of \$10 million over four years to spend on accelerated discovery initiatives. The Economic and Business Growth Fund has and they decide which of your initiatives are accepted.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** It is \$10 million over three years rather than four years.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** My point is you do not have geologists in your agency deciding which target should be picked: it is them.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** So you have given the money? You have autonomy over this?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No, you have not characterised it properly. At the end of the day, it is actually the Treasurer who is—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The Treasurer?

**The CHAIR:** Continue, minister.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** He is a geologist?

**The CHAIR:** Member for West Torrens!

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I am trying to help him.

**The CHAIR:** There are only 10 minutes left. You have asked your question. Let the minister answer.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** At the end of the day, it is actually the Treasurer who is the minister, if you like, who has authority over the Economic and Business Growth Fund. There is a governance committee and also another committee that assesses these things. There is a very thorough assessment process, as I mentioned before. It is a very competitive assessment process.

It is not financial people assessing the geological viability of a program and it is not the geologists advising on the economic benefits of a program. There are layers and layers. For example, this \$10 million over three years—and I know I am talking outside the audit year at the moment, but hopefully this is a very helpful example—was given for the Accelerated Discovery Initiative because the economic and business entity, if you like, that does the assessment said that that work is important.

Once that money is available, then it actually moves on to key people within DEM and external advisers, and then they make the assessment of the applications that come in. Ministers compete, essentially, for a share of EBGF. Once a program is established, then it moves on to another round of competitive process to see where the money will go and how it will be best used from there.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Of the \$10 million outside the audit period that we are talking about here that you have been able to access or can in the future, do you have any FTEs capitalised within those grant payments?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** For what it is worth, minister, do not let the Treasurer convince you that these things are good ideas. If I can go to page 115, EnergyConnect again. We have had this discussion for a while now about a \$200 million contingency for EnergyConnect. If I can just paraphrase what it says—again, I am trying to do this without my glasses—the interconnector was proposed in 2018-19, initially costing around \$1.5 billion, which would require approval from the AER. There has been additional money provided to TransGrid of \$3 million. From what I can understand, this money is being allocated from a \$200 million fund held in contingency. Is that correct?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** This will now go back over the two previous times we have discussed this together in this forum. The Treasurer has a contingency fund for a range of opportunities, as you know. As I said to you last year and the year before, the Treasurer has made it very clear that while there is not a \$200 million budget line to contribute towards the interconnector, that money is contained within the broader Treasurer's contingency fund.

What we are seeing in the early works that the government is supporting to keep the interconnector delivery on the time line that we want it to be on is proof of what I have said to you just now and in the previous two years. While there is not a \$200 million line item, as nice as it would be for you and in fact for me to see, the Treasurer has been good to his word. When we have needed money to put towards the interconnector, he has provided it.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** So there is no \$200 million contingency as such?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** It is in the broader Treasurer's contingency budget.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I got a question back from you on notice today and I will read it out to you. The question I asked you on 24 November last year was:

Do government press releases and media reports say that those advance works are on top of a \$200 million contingency or as part of that \$200 million interconnector fund that was announced in 2018?

This is your response, with your signature:

...I have been advised that:

The Government has underwritten early works activities from ElectraNet and TransGrid as part of the \$200 million commitment to expedite the delivery of an interconnector to New South Wales.

In your answer, you just said to me there is no \$200 million ElectraNet/TransGrid/EnergyConnect fund or contingency, but in your answer to me here, you say that there is a \$200 million commitment.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** What I have said consistently all along is that there is a \$200 million commitment but there is no line item that identifies that. This is the third year in a row we have had this discussion. There is no line item that identifies it but, consistent with what I have said every year for three years and consistent with what you just read out, that money is held

in the broader Treasurer's contingency fund. The Treasurer has been good to his word and we are using that money for the right purposes.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** If I can turn you now to page 116, minister, to the Grid Scale Storage Fund, I understand from this that the fund is intended to provide up to \$50 million in grants. Only one grant was offered in February 2019 for \$15 million. What is the process for the remainder of that \$50 million?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Again, it might be the lack of glasses or something else. You are quite right about the \$15 million in this audit year but money was actually spent out of this fund in the previous audit year as well. A little bit like your characterisation of the home batteries that attracted subsidies in this 12 months, trying to say that they are the only ones that have ever attracted subsidies being incorrect, it is also incorrect to say that the money that was expended this year out of the Grid Scale Storage Fund is the only money that has been spent because there was money spent in the previous year.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** How much of the \$50 million is left?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I do not have that information here. I am happy to confirm it, but I believe it is \$25 million.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The grant was established in 2018 and half has been spent. During the audit period, which is the last financial year, there was one project for \$15 million. Is there another project for \$10 million or is that divided up among a series of projects?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** There is one other.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** So two projects so far. Could I ask what the total storage capacity is for those two projects that have been funded?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Yes, but I would have to take that on notice. I do not have that here as part of the Auditor-General's preparation.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I understand. The Auditor-General says that the \$15 million that was granted during the audit period will be paid out over the remaining six years. Does that mean that the project will not be completed for six years, or are there staggered, tiered payments as they reach milestones?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** This is actually an important question and I am glad that you have asked it. We are not doing these things in every case but very often and very differently from the way the previous government did. Broadly speaking, the previous government had a grant fund for one purpose or another, contributed towards the up-front costs of a project and then hopefully taxpayers received the benefit of that project being developed.

We are actually using taxpayers' money to pay for the benefits; we are not putting it toward the capital. That \$15 million is actually \$3 million per year for five years. That is not for the project to be developed but is actually after the project is up and running. We pay for the benefits. When the project delivers the benefits to taxpayers, which the proponent of the project said it would, then we make a payment in return for those benefits. When it happens for five years in a row, they will get their \$3 million every year for five years. So we are paying for benefits, not towards somebody else's up-front capital cost.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I have one last question. The Auditor-General has your department's financial report on his online portal as part of the annual report. In table 1.2, it talks about commonwealth sourced grant funding. You received a grant for \$866,000 and the previous year you received a grant for \$322,000. What were those grants for?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I will take that on notice and get back to you, given the time has expired.

**The CHAIR:** Thank you, minister, and thank you, member for West Torrens. Time has expired. We have completed the examination of the Minister for Energy and Mining. We move now to the Minister for Education. Welcome, everybody. Over to you, member for Wright.

**Mr BOYER:** Thank you, Chair, and thank you, minister. Welcome, Mr Bernardi and Ms Riedstra. It is good to see you both and happy new year to you. If I can refer you to page 97 of

Part C, the first page of the education section of the Auditor-General's Report. Under significant events and transactions, I note the third dot point talks about an independent chair appointed to the Capital Works Governance Committee. Could you perhaps tell us a little bit about who that chair reports to, their role and why it was deemed necessary to have an independent chair?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** The appointment and the person in question is former Premier Dean Brown. The appointment was made by Rick Persse, the Chief Executive of the Department for Education, and reporting is directly to the chief executive on a fortnightly basis.

**Mr BOYER:** What kind of selection process was in place for the appointment of the independent chair of the Capital Works Governance Committee?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I will take that on notice.

**Mr BOYER:** Who was the person who appointed him? Was it you or was it the Premier?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** It was Rick Persse.

**Mr BOYER:** I will take you to page 99, under funding to support private schools. It refers to a 12 per cent increase 'in funding to private schools due to an increase in capital funding, enrolments and indexation'. In reference to the capital funding in particular, minister, can you tell us how many loans, or capital loans in particular, have been approved for private schools since the change of government—ideally, the value of those loans as a proportion of the overall increase in funding to the private sector.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Can I indicate that I suspect that, while there is a loans program and I am sure we can get some information without too much trouble (although it is in the Treasurer's portfolio, I am happy to find it in relation to the loans program), the funding here also would be, and I think particularly references, the grants program which were—

**Mr BOYER:** The capital funding grants as opposed to the loans themselves.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** This was an election commitment from both parties that there was \$5½ million for the Catholic sector and \$5½ million for the independent sector and that is indexed, so I think they are a bit more than that now. This current financial year—not in the Auditor-General's Report but the current financial year we are in, in last year's budget—was doubled as a one-off as part of COVID stimulus.

Again, if the member has a particular part of the question that I am missing here, it is probably worth explaining the process slightly. Catholic Education has a process where they seek expressions of interest, effectively, from all their schools and identify how to split up that \$5½ million in their grants. In the independent schooling sector, there is a process where almost all the independent schools get a smaller grant. They have to identify the purpose for that and it has to meet criteria, but effectively the methodology is based on their size as much as anything, so almost all the independent schools are in that process.

**Mr BOYER:** I appreciate that this may have already been published somewhere and I may have missed it, but is there a list somewhere publicly available of the non-government schools that have been awarded capital funding and, if it indeed exists, does that list include the amounts for which those schools were funded, or the amounts they were provided by the government?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** It is possible that these have been provided or are being provided through answers to omnibus questions from estimates as grants. If that was not in today's batch with the Speaker, it is not far away, but if there is not through that process we will look into that for you.

**Mr BOYER:** Thank you, minister. I refer you now to page 100 under the heading Employees. The final paragraph of that page talks about school services officers (SSOs) having increased in number by 16 per cent or 977 full-time equivalents due to a number of programs over several years since 2017. I accept that this is not something you would have on you, but could a breakdown of the years in which the additional 977 or 16 per cent of SSOs were employed across those years 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 be made available?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I believe we can get that for you and we will make endeavours to do so.

**Mr BOYER:** On page 101, the first paragraph of that page says that there was a decrease of 10 per cent or 162 FTEs in admin staff. I wonder if you could elaborate a little on that paragraph in this report about the areas in which those admin staff were employed before they were no longer employed. Are they head office or Flinders Street staff? I assume they are not schools, but I am sure you can clarify that for me.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I think that while the staff numbers being decreased are identified as 2020, what we are seeing is the outcome of some of the efficiencies from the 2018-19 budget in particular; nevertheless, there may be some other people. In terms of what the particular jobs are, I know that we have on occasion gone through some of these. In relation to the 162 identified here, if there is a particular category we will look into that, and I think that should not be too difficult. Obviously, there is a range of ways in which we have had efficiencies in automation, doing our processes in different ways and being able to reinvest a number of expenditures back into schools and education and other priorities, but what those particular jobs were we will take that on notice.

**Mr BOYER:** I am just looking for some kind of assurance of sorts that they are not being taken from school sites and a general idea of the tasks they may have been performing and how the tasks they were performing have been absorbed in their absence.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Yes, you are correct that we are not talking about school sites. That is not to say that there might not be some admin staff in some schools the principal may have determined was a contract that was no longer relevant and replaced with an SSO or an extra teacher, but that is not what this is talking about.

**Mr BOYER:** I take you to page 103. Just below the graph, there is a reference to automatic approvals. I note that the Auditor-General found that, although there was an improvement, which is a good thing, in the number of invoices for minor works and maintenance expenditure that was being paid automatically without review, there was still \$32½ million in 2020 paid automatically without review or approval. I wonder if you could give some information to the committee about what is planned to bring that number down further.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I am very pleased to provide some information. Minor works and maintenance performed at all government schools and preschools are managed by contracted facilities management service providers. The invoices for the work performed are recorded in the Facilities Asset Management Information System (FAMIS), which is managed by the Department for Infrastructure and Transport.

Sites are expected to review and validate invoices in the Facilities Asset Management Information System (FAMIS) within 30 days but, to avoid adverse impact on contractors' cash flows, contractors are paid within 10 days by the facilities management service providers subject to the submission of a valid invoice. The facilities management service providers then seek payment from the agency through FAMIS.

The agency has 30 days to accept and approve or dispute the claim, otherwise it will automatically be paid after 30 days regardless of whether they are reviewed and validated. Sites are able to dispute the charges in FAMIS. When a job is disputed, this stops the invoice from being automatically approved via the auto-approval process. When the dispute is resolved, the job is taken out of dispute by the site and approved for payment.

The Auditor-General has acknowledged that a number of the changes have been implemented by the department, and also the department that is now the Department for Infrastructure and Transport, and that has reduced the number of automatic payments since 2016 to the lowest level in five years. However, \$32.5 million was paid automatically in 2020 to ensure that those contractors were not out of pocket for work that had been done.

This issue has been raised by the Auditor-General for a number of years. I have a feeling I may have even asked a question of a similar nature three or four years ago; it is possible. Of course, the numbers were different then because the number of payments without being reviewed and approved this year is the lowest in five years, which is an improvement. The reason there is a problem, the reason the Auditor-General is concerned, is that it increases the risk of paying for works

not performed or being overcharged and the lack of delegates' approvals for payments, and you want to reduce that risk obviously.

So the Department for Education and the Department for Infrastructure and Transport have implemented several strategies over the previous years to improve the validation of invoices. There are new procedures outlining site responsibilities for approving invoices. There are FAMIS email reminders to remind sites to approve invoices 15 days before they would automatically be paid. There are monthly reminder emails being sent to the top 10 sites based on the number and value of auto-approvals. There is an email address to the site leader and the education director being sent for sites that receive more than one reminder email per calendar year.

These changes have resulted in a reduction of auto-approval statistics from 80 per cent in 2016 to 27 per cent in 2020. The department continues to monitor auto-approvals and will continue to send reminder emails to sites, particularly the top 10 auto-approvals, by value or number. Our ICT division is developing a process to enable reminder emails to be sent to all sites with auto-approvals in the previous month, regardless of the value or number of claims auto-approved. It is expected that that process will be ready for implementation for the beginning of term 2 this year.

**Mr BOYER:** If I could take you to page 104 at the top of that page where it states, '32 per cent of employee performance development plans were overdue' and, as per the previous question I asked you, I note that there has been some improvement here but there are still 9,625 performance development plans overdue. My question is twofold. What are the ramifications of this for the employees whose performance development plans remain overdue? What is the agency doing to reduce this number?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I thank the member for the very good question. The Performance and Development Guideline requires all employees with regular work arrangements to maintain a performance and development plan, which I am going to start calling PDP to reduce the risk of my misstating. The policy requires that documented PDP reviews must occur every six months from the date the plan is made and must be recorded in HR's information system. So for new employees or employees new to roles, a written plan must be established within two months of starting.

The importance of the PDPs is that they help employees realise their potential through performance planning, learning and feedback with a focus on their contribution to outcomes and improvements in line with the department's strategic objectives. The department's management information system, the HR system, is used to record those completed PDPs. It provides regular reminders to managers and staff when the PDPs are overdue, and that system also ensures visibility for executives regarding PDP completion within their division.

As a result, many areas of the department have increased the number of employees having their PDP discussions every six months. We are not yet at 100 per cent PDP completion as we would like to be, as has been identified by the Auditor-General, but the improvement in performance has been from 38 per cent down to 32 per cent since last year. The Auditor-General has highlighted opportunities to improve the reliability of the review dates for PDPs recorded with the HRS. We believe that the modest improvement that we have already achieved is as a result of greater awareness through improved reporting to education directors and executive directors on the status of PDP reviews.

Potential factors contributing to those continuing overdue PDPs include issues relating to the recording in the system. COVID meant that from term 2 email reminders for PDPs were turned off and site-based employees were not required to document six-monthly performance reviews given the dynamic situation in education sites for a period, so emphasis was placed on continuing informal performance discussions on a regular basis. Both email reminders and documented reviews will recommence during term 1 this year.

We will continue to have online training modules via plink, face-to-face training available through the leadership development unit, PDP reporting on a quarterly basis provided to leaders to monitor and advise of overdue PDPs and the organisational development unit is doing follow-ups and there is an update to the HRS module that is being used that should improve things as well.

**Mr BOYER:** At the bottom of page 107 it talks about the decrease of \$12.8 million in the SASIF balances. Does the minister have any information that he can share with the committee around how that \$12.8 million, generally speaking, was actually spent by sites to prepare the plan?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Could the member for Wright ask the question again? I understand the reference, but what was the information you are seeking?

**Mr BOYER:** At the bottom of page 107, the final sentence under the Schools Investment Fund cash balances states it has decreased by \$12.8 million in part because the department issued a site instruction to help sites to prepare a plan for using their balances. I am wondering, assuming that the plan itself is obviously not necessarily a cost item, how it resulted in a \$12.8 million reduction in SASIF.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Ultimately, the SASIF accounts and their expenditure is a site-based decision in 500 schools and many of our 400 preschools are standalone, so there are many hundreds of SASIF accounts out there and so cumulatively there being \$12.8 million less, there will be a number of reasons why those individual sites have spent less. The instruction that the member is referring to and identified by the Auditor-General is guidance that has been provided by Mr Bernardi's unit to all schools to help them manage their SASIF accounts effectively.

I am sure that the member would remember when he was working in education that you would visit some schools that would have substantial SASIF accounts and potentially they were saving more than they needed. There would be the opportunity for them to spend some and some sites were running very thin. I think it is fair to summarise that the department's view to give some extra guidance to schools to give them extra comfort and confidence in their budgeting was seen as having value and I think I have had positive feedback about it.

**Mr BOYER:** At page 108, below the graph, the paragraph that begins with 'Projections provided to us' talks about a projected spend on sustainable enrolment growth of \$131 million but only \$64 million of that was actually spent in 2020. Can the minister explain to the committee why there was such a substantial underspend on that item?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I am advised that the initial budget allocation of \$131 million expected to be spent in that year was based on the very preliminary expectations of cash flows. Of course, as the projects were developed the expected timings of when money was going to be spent similarly matured along with the project so we have a higher rate of confidence. I will just check one thing.

We are now catching up. I can advise that, in 2019-20 (some of this is directly relevant, some may be useful) the investing program spend was \$165 million. The total 2020-21 investing program budget is \$786 million. As at 31 December 2020, the budgeted spend was \$227 million; the actual spend was \$242 million. So, we have gone from having that lag to catching up, effectively.

**Mr BOYER:** Thank you, minister. If you are okay to do so, we could move to TAFE.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** As the member indicated earlier, we had Chris Bernardi and Julieann Riedstra from the Department for Education. David Coltman, the Chief Executive of TAFE SA may well provide me with some assistance throughout this section.

**Mr BOYER:** Thank you, minister, and thank you for your attendance, Mr Coltman. If I could take you to page 540, under the headings 'Statement of Comprehensive Income and Funding for the Department for Innovation and Skills', I note that TAFE's main income source is noted here as being funding from the Department for Innovation and Skills (DIS), and that the total funding was \$246 million. Under 'funding for specific course delivery outlined in the memorandum of administrative agreement', between 2020 and 2019 I see a drop of \$18 million, from \$146 million to \$128 million. Can the minister elaborate on that quite significant drop?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** There are several factors going on here. Some of the factors, as I understand it, are a \$40 million reduction in funding with respect to lower campus lease costs following the transfer of the infrastructure assets to TAFE SA. There was a \$10 million increase to offset the anticipated deterioration of revenue due to the impact of COVID-19. That was from DTF via DIS. There was a \$10 million increase as a result of other variances, including indexation, and a \$1.5 million decrease in excess staff funding. I am not sure if that hits that \$18 million mark the member was identifying, but if it does not we will check and let the member know.

**Mr BOYER:** On the same table, under capital funding, I see an increase in capital funding of \$5 million in 2019 to \$15 million. Is that the \$10 million that you referred to in your previous answer that makes up the difference between \$5 million and \$15 million?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Yes, I suspect the member is right there.

**Mr BOYER:** I take you to page 543, under expenses and optimisation plan, towards the bottom, in particular TVSPs. I understand that on the following page, 544, it talks about 444 TVSPs paid over this period, including 112 in 2019-20. I will ask a question that I know was a perennial favourite of the Hon. Rob Lucas in the other place, he having asked it for many years: do you have details on the average age and oldest age given for TVSPs under the 444?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I will take that on notice and see what we can find.

**Mr BOYER:** Rob would be disappointed if I did not ask that, I know. Page 544, towards the bottom, states that the number of TVSPs was 444 and 112 in 2019-20. I do not think I can make out from the graph above, though, the precise number for the other years across that period. If you are able to take that on notice possibly and get me that information.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I will do even better than that. I will get you the TVSPs and staff reductions for each of the last 10 years up until the last year in that graph.

**Mr BOYER:** That is very generous, minister, thank you. On the same page 544, under 'Employee benefits', it talks about HPIs (hourly paid instructors) and it elaborates on the decrease of \$10 million in benefits to—

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Can you repeat the last bit?

**Mr BOYER:** On page 544, towards the top under 'Employee benefits', the second sentence states that they decreased by \$10 million in 2019-20 and that this was mainly due to a decrease in salaries and wages of \$6 million, most of which was a decrease in payments made to hourly paid instructors. Can the minister explain what it was that drove the decrease in demand for the number of hourly paid instructors? Was it fewer courses being offered by TAFE or was it something else?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** TAFE SA increased its scrutiny in 2019-20 of the use of hourly paid instructors by implementing the workload management tool. The tool assesses the current workload demands of lecturers to determine capacity to undertake additional delivery before hourly paid instructors are engaged. The use of hourly paid instructors was also reduced during the COVID-19 pandemic when TAFE SA transitioned to blended delivery models during term 2.

**Mr BOYER:** In the first part of your answer, I think the words were—and I am paraphrasing here—to the effect that a higher level of scrutiny was cast upon the demand for hourly paid instructors. Is the suggestion there that previously they were being paid for hours of instruction that were not in some way needed?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Again, I will be corrected if I am paraphrasing incorrectly the advice that I have received over the last year or two, but one of the complexities was of course that you have TAFE permanent staff who are able to deliver a certain amount of training and the TAFE SA organisation worked very hard to have a better record of how many hours they are delivering to ensure they do not go over what they are supposed to. It also identified that there are some who have been able to deliver more hours than they were and so, rather than necessarily having to bring in an extra hourly paid instructor, if there was a permanent staff member able to undertake the task that then enabled them to use the permanent staff member.

**Mr BOYER:** If I could take you back briefly to page 543 and the graph about TAFE's total student numbers that shows a decline across four years. I accept that this is a question that you may not be able to answer off the top of your head but, hopefully, Mr Coltman can. Is that decline expected to continue into the next calendar or financial year?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** With 30 seconds left, I will take that on notice so we can have another one.

**Mr BOYER:** If I can go back to the previous page, minister, page 542. It states that student and other fees and charges made up a total of 23 per cent of TAFE SA's total income. Am I right to



assume that, given other changes in TAFE around the number of courses that it will offer compared to what it offered in the past, income from student and other fees and charges are expected to drop again?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I am advised that that depends on a couple of things, including commonwealth funding. The commonwealth government's Adult Migrant English Program and Skills for Education and Employment Program were \$1.2 million lower than the previous year. That reduction is partially due to fewer migrants and clients undertaking the training during the 2020 COVID-19 period. Obviously, given the international border restrictions, there is a significant potential ongoing reduce in demand. There may well be other factors as well but that is the most significant one that jumps out of the advice that is provided to me.

Progress reported; committee to sit again.

### *Bills*

## **CORRECTIONAL SERVICES (ACCOUNTABILITY AND OTHER MEASURES) AMENDMENT BILL**

### *Final Stages*

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill with the amendments indicated by the following schedule, to which amendments the Legislative Council desires the concurrence of the House of Assembly:

No. 1. Clause 5, page 5, lines 11 to 14 [clause 5, inserted section 3(2)(g)(ii)]—Delete:

in relation to regional transfers where the person will be 200km or further from the correctional institution they are being transferred from

No. 2. Clause 9, page 7, after line 33—Insert:

19A—Preliminary

For the purposes of this Division, a reference to a correctional institution includes a reference to—

- (a) a vehicle (including a police vehicle)—
  - (i) on the grounds of a correctional institution; or
  - (ii) used to transport prisoners to or from correctional institutions; and
- (b) a cell at a court.

No. 3. Clause 9, page 8, line 9 [clause 9, inserted section 20(4)]—Delete '3 years' and substitute:

5 years

No. 4. Clause 9, page 9, line 1 [clause 9, inserted section 20A(2)(b)]—After 'direction' insert:

in relation to the exercise of powers or functions under this Division by an official visitor, including

No. 5. Clause 9, page 9, line 8 [clause 9, inserted section 20B]—Delete 'CE' and substitute:

Remuneration Tribunal

No. 6. Clause 9, page 9, after line 8 [clause 9, inserted section 20B]—Insert:

- (2) Jurisdiction is, by force of this section, conferred on the Remuneration Tribunal to make a determination or perform any other functions required by this section.

No. 7. Clause 9, page 9, line 10 [clause 9, inserted section 20C]—Delete 'The Minister must provide official visitors' and substitute:

Official visitors must be provided

No. 8. Clause 9, page 9, line 16 [clause 9, inserted section 20D(1)(a)]—Delete 'and investigate'

No. 9. Clause 9, page 9, after line 37 [clause 9, inserted section 20D]—After subsection (1) insert:

- (1a) An official visitor has power to do all things necessary or convenient to be done for or in connection with the performance of the official visitor's functions and may have free and unfettered access to a correctional institution in respect of which the visitor is appointed, prisoners in the correctional institution and vehicles used to transport those prisoners (including prisoners in, and persons whose work is concerned with, such vehicles).

- (1b) It is not necessary for any person to be given notice of an official visitor's intention to perform any of their functions.
- (1c) In connection with subsection (1)(a), an official visitor may refer a complaint concerning a particular individual to the Ombudsman or any other government agency having a function to deal with the matter but it is not a function of the official visitor to deal with the matter other than—
  - (a) to inform the complainant of the role of the official visitor; and
  - (b) to deal with the matter in the context of an inspection of a correctional institution.

No. 10. Clause 9, page 10, after line 12 [clause 9, inserted section 20D]—Insert:

- (2a) Despite any other provision of this Division, an official visitor may conduct a visit to or inspection of any correctional institution (whether or not the official visitor is appointed in respect of the institution) if the official visitor considers it necessary to do so to investigate systemic issues relating to prisoners or the provision of correctional services.

No. 11. Clause 9, page 10, lines 17 to 20 [clause 9, inserted section 20E(1)]—Delete subsection (1) and substitute:

- (1) An official visitor may have free and unfettered access to information relevant to the exercise of the official visitor's functions in the possession of a government or non-government organisation that is involved in the provision of services relating to correctional institutions under this or any other Act.

No. 12. Clause 9, page 11, lines 1 and 2 [clause 9, inserted section 20E(6)]—Delete 'a request cannot be made to an organisation under this section if compliance with the request' and substitute:

information or a document is not required to be provided or produced under this section if to do so

No. 13. Clause 9, page 11, line 21 [clause 9, inserted section 20G(3)]—Delete 'subsection (2)' and substitute:

this section

No. 14. Clause 9, page 11, after line 22 [clause 9, inserted section 20G]—After subsection (3) insert:

- (4) If a report laid before Parliament under this section includes recommendations on any matter relating to the management of a correctional institution or for the purposes of improving the quality of care, treatment or control of prisoners, the Minister must, within 8 sitting days of the expiration of 6 months after the report was laid before Parliament, cause a report to be laid before each House of Parliament giving details of any action taken or proposed to be taken in consequence of those recommendations.

No. 15. Clause 9, page 11, after line 26 [clause 9, after inserted section 20H]—Insert:

20I—Offences

- (1) A person must not hinder, resist or threaten an official visitor in the exercise of powers or functions under this Division.  
Maximum penalty: \$10,000.
- (2) A person must not make a statement that the person knows to be false or misleading in a material particular to an official visitor in the provision of information under this Division.  
Maximum penalty: \$10,000.
- (3) A person must not deliberately mislead or attempt to mislead an official visitor in relation to the exercise of powers or functions under this Division by the official visitor.  
Maximum penalty: \$10,000.
- (4) A person must not—
  - (a) prejudice, or threaten to prejudice, the safety or career of; or
  - (b) intimidate or harass, or threaten to intimidate or harass; or
  - (c) do any act that is, or is likely to be, to the detriment of,
 either of the following:
  - (d) another person because the other person has provided, is providing or will or may in the future provide information to an official visitor in the exercise of powers or functions under this Division;

- (e) an official visitor in relation to the exercise of powers or functions under this Division by the official visitor.

No. 16. Clause 9, page 11, after line 26 [clause 9, after inserted section 20H]—Insert:

20I—Conflict of interest

- (1) An official visitor must inform the Minister in writing of any direct or indirect interest that the official visitor has or acquires that conflicts or may conflict with the official visitor's functions under this Division (including, for example, if the official visitor has been an officer or employee of the Department or another public sector agency, or a member of a Minister's staff).
- (2) An official visitor must take steps to resolve a conflict or possible conflict between a direct or indirect interest and the official visitor's functions in relation to a particular matter and, unless the conflict is resolved to the Minister's satisfaction, the official visitor is disqualified from acting in relation to the matter.

**COVID-19 EMERGENCY RESPONSE (EXPIRY) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Final Stages*

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

At 18:32 the house adjourned until Wednesday 3 February 2021 at 10:30.

*Answers to Questions***ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE**

**309 The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee)** (14 October 2020). As at the close of business 13 October 2020, how many once-off rental grants of up to \$1,000 for residential landlords have been paid as part of the state government economic stimulus package?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

The Residential Rental Grant Scheme now provides two rounds of rental grants of up to \$1,000 for residential landlords who reduce the rent of a tenant experiencing rental hardship due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

To be eligible for a grant, an agreement needs to be in place (e.g. revised rent agreement) that reflects that the landlord has provided rent relief to a tenant:

- Round 1: The landlord provides rent relief to the tenant(s) within the period from 30 March 2020 to 30 September 2020 (inclusive).
- Round 2: The landlord provides rent relief to the tenant(s) within the period from 1 October 2020 to 30 March 2021 (inclusive).

Residential landlords are eligible for a second round grant irrespective of whether they received a rental grant in the first round, allowing eligible landlords to receive up to \$2,000 in grants (where appropriate relief has been provided). The grant is in addition to any land tax relief provided under the government's scheme for landlords.

Applications for the both rounds of rental grants will close on 30 March 2021.

As at 26 November 2020, 192 grants payments had been made.

**ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE**

**312 The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee)** (14 October 2020). As at 13 October 2020, how many businesses had sought a payroll tax deferral?

- (a) How many businesses received a payroll tax deferral?
- (b) In total, what is the value of these deferrals?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

Significant payroll tax relief is being provided to businesses to support them during the COVID-19 pandemic, including:

- an exemption of all commonwealth JobKeeper payments from payroll tax;
- a 15-month waiver of payroll tax due over the period April 2020 to June 2021 for businesses and business groups with annual Australian grouped wages below \$4 million;
- a six-month waiver of payroll tax due over the period January 2021 to June 2021 for businesses with annual Australian grouped wages over \$4 million that are eligible for the extended commonwealth JobKeeper payment from 4 January 2021;
- up to a nine-month deferral of payroll tax due over the period April 2020 to December 2020 for businesses with annual wages above \$4 million adversely affected by COVID-19. Extended repayment arrangements for deferred payroll tax are also available.

A 12-month payroll tax exemption for wages paid to eligible new apprentices and trainees who commence a relevant contract of training with an employer from 10 November 2020 to 30 June 2021 is also being introduced to encourage the employment of workers retraining and younger South Australians seeking to enter the workforce.

As outlined in the 2020-21 budget, total payroll tax relief over the three years to 2021-22 is now estimated to be \$233 million.

In addition, the nine-month deferral of payroll tax was expected to result in a cashflow benefit of around \$180 million for taxpayers.

Payroll tax returns are submitted on a monthly basis. Up to the October 2020 payroll tax return period, around \$128 million in payroll tax had been deferred by around 1,100 grouped businesses.

**ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE**

**313 The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee)** (14 October 2020). As at 13 October 2020, how many businesses had sought a land tax deferral?

- (a) How many businesses received a land tax deferral?
- (b) In total, what is the value of these deferrals?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

As part of the government's COVID-19 response measures, businesses and individuals paying their 2019-20 land tax liability quarterly were able to defer payment of their third and fourth quarter instalments for up to six months.

Taxpayers did not need to apply for the deferral. The deferral was implemented by allowing taxpayers to pay within six months of their third instalment due date and three months from their fourth instalment date without incurring penalties or interest.

The value of the tax deferred will vary at any point of time reflecting the timing of bills being issued and when taxpayers elect to pay their liability (for example a taxpayer may only defer for a limited time rather than the full period available). It is therefore not possible to reliably estimate the value of the land tax deferred at 13 October 2020.

At the time of the announcement of the measure there was an estimated \$180 million of 2019-20 land tax liabilities outstanding which could benefit from the deferred payment arrangements.

#### EXPORT INITIATIVES

**354 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). With regard to risks facing South Australian exports to China, has the minister briefed:

- (a) The Premier and his cabinet colleagues (if so, when)?
- (b) Affected industry peak bodies (if so, who)?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):**

- (a) Yes, on numerous occasions since August 2020.
- (b) Yes. Affected industry bodies I have met with are:
  - (i) Australian Grape and Wine
  - (ii) Wine Australia
  - (iii) South Australian Wine Industry Association
  - (iv) Adelaide Hills Wine Region
  - (v) Barossa Grape and Wine Association
  - (vi) McLaren Vale Grape, Wine and Tourism Association
  - (vii) Limestone Coast Fisherman's Cooperative

#### EXPORT INITIATIVES

**355 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (8 December 2020). Real growth business investment declined by 4.8 per cent in 2019-20. What are the key factors that DIT believes are the reason for this decline?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):** I have been advised the following:

South Australia's private business investment over the period consisted of investment in:

- non-dwelling construction (\$5.6 billion, down 11.4 per cent or \$722 million);
- cultivated biological resources (\$354 million, down 4.8 per cent or \$18 million);
- machinery and equipment (\$3.8 billion, up 0.5 per cent or \$18 million); and
- intellectual property products (\$2.4 billion, up 4.2 per cent or \$92 million).

The decline in private business investment in the state—particularly driven by lower non-dwelling construction, is reflective of the broader national and global downturn brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic which has halted or delayed large-scale investment decisions across the state and Australia more broadly.

#### EXPORT INITIATIVES

**356 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). The value of South Australian exports declined by 5.7 per cent in 2019-20. What are the key factors that DIT believes are the reason for this decline?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):** I have been advised the following:

For much of our export income, we are a price taker on global markets and subject to seasonal conditions, so in any given year, South Australian exports experience production and value fluctuation.

In 2019-20, the annual goods export figure (down 5.7 per cent) was negatively influenced by declines in export value achieved for:

- Refined copper—based on average annual price
- Petroleum products—with more sold domestically rather than exported
- Lead—influenced by prolonged outages at Nyrstar in Port Pirie
- Wool—with the price falls driven by US-China trade relations and tariff threats (leading to reduced Chinese demand).

On a positive note, these declines were largely offset by strong production gains and export earnings in other commodities (particularly influenced by positive seasonal conditions), including:

- Wheat
- Barley
- Legumes
- Canola

#### EXPORT INITIATIVES

**357 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). Why is the government abandoning its performance measure of 3 per cent growth for exports and investment?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):** I have been advised:

The 3 per cent economic growth target remains under the Growth State Plan.

#### EXPORT INITIATIVES

**358 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). What is the proportion of state's exports that rely on airfreight logistics (either wholly or partially) to sell to international markets? How does this compare to other states and territories in Australia?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):** I have been advised the following:

To answer this question, we need to rely on 2019 data, prior to COVID-19 and airfreight disruption which has occurred in 2020.

In 2019, 6.2 per cent of South Australian merchandise exports left the country via airfreight (\$692.3 million).

The proportion of South Australian merchandise exported via airfreight (6.2 per cent) in 2019 was lower than the Australian average of 13.5 per cent, and other states exported via airfreight as follows:

- Australian Capital Territory, 63.8 per cent
- Victoria, 25.0 per cent
- New South Wales, 19.6 per cent
- Western Australia, 12.9 per cent
- Tasmania, 9.7 per cent
- Queensland, 2.7 per cent
- Northern Territory, 0.7 per cent.

#### TRADE AND INVESTMENT DEPARTMENT STAFF

**359 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). How many of total employees in DTI have been with the department for one year or less?

**The Hon. S.J.R. PATTERSON (Morphett—Member of the Executive Council, Minister for Trade and Investment):** I have been advised the following:

The total number of employees currently in the Department for Trade and Investment (DTI) who have been with the department for one year or less is 32 as at 3 December 2020.

#### ADELAIDE VENUE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

**360 The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay)** (3 December 2020). The Adelaide Venue Management Corporation annual report 2019-20 references a business interruption policy from SAicorp:

- (a) Is this a standard policy offered, or was it created in response to the pandemic?

- (b) What is the period of indemnity of this policy?
- (c) What are the specific terms of this policy?
- (d) What is the total payout from SAicorp to date?
- (e) Is this insurance scheme available to any other government entity or government-managed event?
- (f) Has there been any discussion regarding offering a similar scheme to other events and festivals in South Australia?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

1. Business interruption insurance is a standard component of the South Australian government's captive insurance arrangements, which are administered by the South Australian Government Financing Authority (SAFA). The Regulatory Order Extension (under which the COVID-19 claims have been paid) has been included under the business interruption cover for many years. It is a standard policy and was not created in response to the pandemic.

2. There is no specific business interruption indemnity period defined in the Insurance Agency Agreement between SAFA and Adelaide Venue Management (AVM). The indemnity period is determined on a 'reasonable' basis by SAFA, with regard to the activities of the agency and the circumstances of the loss. For AVM, the indemnity period for the COVID-19 business interruption claim has been agreed at 24 months.

3. Business interruption cover provided under the agency agreement with AVM covers losses for interruption or interference with AVM's activities as a result of a closure or evacuation of premises on or from which AVM's activities are conducted. Claims are limited to the sums insured for business interruption under AVM's agency agreement.

4. SAFA has engaged forensic accountants to assess AVM's claim, which is being paid in arrears on a quarterly basis. A total of \$13.7 million has been paid to AVM to date, constituting \$6.2 million for the period March to June 2020 and \$7.5 million for the period July to September 20.

5. The South Australian government's captive insurance arrangements administered by SAFA are generally not available to entities outside of government.

6. The South Australian and the commonwealth government are continuing to provide significant support to assist businesses and not-for-profits to survive the COVID-19 pandemic.

Examples of relevant support available include:

- Two rounds of \$10,000 emergency cash grants for eligible small businesses and not-for-profit organisations who employ staff. Round 2 also included grants of \$3,000 for small businesses without employees that operate from a commercial premise;
- Land tax relief to support tenants and landlords;
- Payroll tax relief for businesses, including payroll tax waivers for eligible businesses and a payroll tax exemption on JobKeeper payments;
- Great State travel voucher scheme which provides \$100 vouchers for use at participating CBD and North Adelaide stays, and \$50 vouchers to spend on regional and suburban accommodation;
- Waiving of various fees and charges including liquor licensing;
- Investment in nature-based tourism and support for the tourism industry through the Tourism Industry Development Fund; and
- \$10.2 million in 2020-21 for the creation of an Arts Recovery Fund with a focus on creating employment for professional and practising artists.

In addition, the commonwealth government is providing a range of support packages to help businesses and workers in South Australia, including the JobKeeper payment for eligible workers, the Boosting Cash Flow for Employers Scheme, the JobSeeker payment and support measures for apprentices and trainees.

The commonwealth government is also providing specific further support to the arts and creative industries in response to the impacts of COVID-19, including the \$250 million COVID-19 Creative Economy Support Package and an additional \$400 million for the Location Incentive to attract large budget international film and television productions to Australia.

#### COVID-19 SUPPORT PAYMENTS

**361 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). What were the criteria for the 3,861 international students who received the \$500 COVID-19 payment given out by the Department of Human Services in 2020?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Criteria and eligibility details for the International Student Support Package are publicly available through Study Adelaide <https://studyadelaide.com/issp>

#### EMERGENCY RELIEF PAYMENTS

**362 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Can the minister please list all organisations and the amount they received from the additional \$1.6 million food security and emergency relief payments given out by the Department of Human Services in April 2020?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The April 2020 \$1.6 million food security and emergency relief payments were:

Organisation / Program Stream	Funding (GST exclusive)
Food Relief Sector	\$502,000
Foodbank	\$200,000
Meals on Wheels	\$100,000
OzHarvest	\$27,000
Port Pirie Food Hub	\$25,000
Secondbite	\$100,000
The Food Centre Gepps Cross	\$50,000
Statewide Financial Counselling Program	\$144,696
Anglican Community Care (Murray & Mallee)	\$12,058
Centacare CDPP (Eyre & Western)	\$12,058
Centacare CDPP (Far North)	\$12,058
Lifeline SE (Limestone Coast)	\$12,058
Lutheran Community Care (Adelaide Hills)	\$12,058
Lutheran Community Care (Barossa, Light & Lower North)	\$12,058
Lutheran Community Care (Northern Adelaide)	\$12,058
Uniting Communities (Eastern Adelaide)	\$12,058
Uniting Communities (Fleurieu & KI)	\$12,058
Uniting Communities (Southern Adelaide)	\$12,058
UnitingCare Wesley Bowden (Western Adelaide)	\$12,058
UnitingCare Wesley Country SA (Yorke & Mid North)	\$12,058
Emergency Financial Assistance Program	\$800,000
Anglican Community Care (Limestone Coast)	\$45,100
Anglican Community Care (Murray and Mallee)	\$60,680
Anglicare SA (Northern Adelaide)	\$258,959
Anglicare SA (Southern Adelaide)	\$98,941
Baptist Care SA (Eastern Adelaide)	\$17,403
Centacare Catholic Country SA (Eyre and Western)	\$34,440
Centacare Catholic Country SA (Far North)	\$28,560
Junction Australia (Fleurieu and Kangaroo Island)	\$20,603
Lutheran Community Care (Barossa, Light & Lower North)	\$20,603
The Hutt Community Centre (Adelaide Hills)	\$17,000
Uniting Care Wesley Bowden (Western Adelaide)	\$140,311
Uniting Country SA (Yorke and Mid-North)	\$57,400
Affordable SA: Telephone based Emergency Relief function.	\$185,000
The Salvation Army	\$185,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,631,696</b>

#### HUMAN SERVICES SCREENING UNIT

**363 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). In the year 2019-20, has there been any data breaches within the Department of Human Services Screening Unit, including data being released to the incorrect person, or a departmental officer accessing personal information whilst they were not authorised?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

During 2019-20 there were no data breaches within the Department of Human Services Screening Unit.

#### HUMAN SERVICES SCREENING UNIT

**364 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). If there were data breaches within the Department of Human Services Screening Unit in the year 2019-20, can the minister list the date of each instance and the type of data breach?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:



I refer the member to my answer to question with notice No. 363

#### HUMAN SERVICES SCREENING UNIT

**365 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). How many screening applications to the Department of Human Services Screening Unit were received from Integrity Care from 1 May 2020 to 25 November 2020?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Given that there are active investigations underway regarding Integrity Care, this information will not be provided at this time.

#### COST OF LIVING CONCESSION

**366 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). In the year 2019-20, how many Cost of Living Concession applications were made via:

- (a) Website application?
- (b) Telephone application?
- (c) Paper application?
- (d) Any other application types?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

In 2019-20, ConcessionsSA received 47,013 applications that included COLC made via:

- (a) Online: 35,656
- (b) Telephone: Nil (numbers are included in the total for online applications as details may be entered into an online form by a customer service officer)
- (c) Paper: 11,357
- (d) Other: Nil

#### HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

**367 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Given that there is indexation of Department of Human Services funding of 2.5 per cent and low inflation, can the minister guarantee that there will be no cuts to services arising from the 'operational savings' measures in this budget?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Available resources will be allocated in the budget to meet service outcome priorities.

#### HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

**368 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). While noting the provision of new classroom and facilities within the training centre, is there evidence in relevant literature about the impacts of the size of custodial institutions on outcomes for children?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

A number of publicly available academic literature reports are available that emphasise the importance of therapeutic facilities.

#### HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

**369 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). What measures will be put in place to protect younger and more vulnerable children in the larger custodial setting within the training centre?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The various population cohorts within the Kurlana Tapa Youth Justice Centre, including younger residents, continue to be managed separately, with consideration for their safety, under a dynamic mode. Fluctuations in cohort numbers determine the use and configuration of the residential accommodation units.

**YOUTH TRAINING CENTRES**

**370 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Was there consultation with the Commissioner for Children and Young People or relevant community organisations and youth support services about the initiative to merge youth training centres into one location? If so, what was their response?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The new accommodation model trial has been a topic of discussion in the monthly meetings between the Chief Executive of the Department of Human Services and the Training Centre Visitor (TCV). The TCV's views on the consolidation are available publicly.

**EARLY INTERVENTION RESEARCH DIRECTORATE**

**371 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Can the minister indicate what steps the Early Intervention Research Directorate has taken to provide a more coherent preventative and early intervention approach so as to reduce the number of children in care?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The Early Intervention Research Directorate has taken the following steps to provide a more coherent preventative and early intervention approach:

1. Analysis of data and the evidence base to identify target populations and design service models that respond to the needs of these population groups.
2. A significant co-design process, as documented in the 2019 Co-Design Report.
3. Created a lived experience network and an Aboriginal leadership group.
4. Commenced recommissioning of intensive family support services delivered by the non-government sector.
5. Reconfigured services delivered through DHS' Safer Family Services to target priority populations and better respond to complexity.
6. Commenced the development of a 'new front door' to the service system that offers earlier advice and support for families.
7. Established Child and Family Safety Networks across 10 new regional sites.
8. Taken steps to develop the capability and capacity of the sector.
9. Creating evidence-informed service models that are aligned with the complexity of the families in the system.
10. Established pilot programs including evaluation.
11. Working towards the creation of an intelligent information environment for the Child and Family Support System (outcomes, monitoring and reporting).

**HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT**

**372 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). What amount of the Early Intervention Research Directorate's annual budget for 2019-20 was directed towards programs and services to provide early intervention and prevent children and young people from being placed in care?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Approximately \$14.7 million in 2019-20 was directed towards programs and services.

**HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT**

**373 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Given the increasing number of children and young people in care, will the Early Intervention Research Directorate establish a defined target and associated indicators for reducing the number of children and young people in care?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

As the new child and family support system progresses over the next two years, the Early Intervention Research Directorate will develop specific targets under its outcomes framework, including targets for reducing the overall number of families diverted from the child protection system.

**COMMUNITY VISITOR SCHEME**

**374 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Has the minister spoken directly with any of her state disability ministerial counterparts about the community visitor scheme operating in their state without contravention of Section 109 of the Australian Constitution? With whom did the minister speak and what was discussed?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The Community Visitor Scheme is discussed between jurisdictions at the Disability Reform Council.

**DOMICILIARY EQUIPMENT**

**375 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Can the minister provide a table of, and value of each, domiciliary equipment item 'written off' to clients as part of the DES closure?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Summary of Equipment Assets Written Off to Clients in 2020

Item Category	Value of Assets as at October 2020
Bathing/Toileting	\$35,734
Beds	\$64,270
Chairs/Seating	\$21,527
Communication/Tech Devices	\$158,974
Hoists and Stand Aids	\$206,326
Home Access	\$13,382
Manual Wheelchairs	\$897,384
Mobility Aid Accessories	\$31,411
Mobility Aids	\$42,485
Powered Mobility Devices	\$2,414,708
Pressure Care/Cushions/Mattresses	\$54,187
Rehabilitation and Maintenance	\$52,395
Uncategorised Items	\$18,993

**DISABILITY SERVICES**

**376 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). What authorisation has the minister's principal disability adviser, Ms Kelly Vincent, received in respect to outside employment or other remunerative activity?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Ms Kelly Vincent is not the minister's principal disability adviser.

**NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME**

**377 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Is the Department of Human Services a registered National Disability Insurance Scheme provider and has the department had all federally legislated audits?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The Department of Human Services (DHS) is a registered National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) provider for the provision of services under the scheme.

A NDIS Quality and Safeguarding Commission Audit is being scheduled in line with the requirements of the registration.

**NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME**

**378 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Is the Department of Human Services required to keep records in line with NDIS requirements for providers?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The Department of Human Services is required to comply with National Disability Insurance (NDIS) requirements for any NDIS registered and provided services.

**NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME**

**379 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Has the Department of Human Service as a registered NDIS provider kept accurate client records on each hour of in-kind support coordination provided between 2018 and full transition of clients?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

The Department of Human Services does not provide support coordination as a registered National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) provider.

#### NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME

**380 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). How many clients within the Department of Human Services accommodation services are:

- (a) Participants of the NDIS?
- (b) Not participants of the NDIS?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

As at 7 December 2020, the Department of Human Services Accommodation Services provided services to:

- (a) 444 NDIS participants
- (b) 82 non-NDIS participants

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY

**381 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Between 1 January 2020 and 25 November 2020, how many instances of bullying, harassment or other type of inappropriate behaviour have been reported to the SA Housing Authority human resources department?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

There have been three instances reported to SA Housing Authority's Human Resources branch which match the criteria above of bullying, harassment or other type of inappropriate behaviour.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY

**382 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). How many staff above ASO7 level have left the SA Housing Authority (excluding those who accepted a targeted voluntary separation package) since 1 January 2020?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Twenty-four employees since 1 January 2020 have resigned from the SA Housing Authority who were classified at ASO7 level or above.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY

**383 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). How many staff above ASO7 level who have left the SA Housing Authority between 1 January 2020 and 25 November 2020 (excluding those to who accepted a TVSP) were women?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

Between 1 January 2020 and 25 November 2020, 14 female employees above ASO7 have resigned from the SA Housing Authority.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY

**384 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (1 December 2020). Has any member of the SA Housing Authority executive been disciplined as a result of any bullying, harassment or other inappropriate behaviour complaints?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Planning and Local Government):** The Minister for Human Services has provided the following advice:

No SA Housing Authority executive employees have been disciplined for bullying, harassment or any other inappropriate behaviour, nor have they been subject to any similar allegations of misconduct.

#### PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY HOUSING

**385 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (3 December 2020). How many people living in social housing (public and community) qualify for HomeStart loan packages?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

As at January 2020, HomeStart estimated 2,081 of the current Housing SA tenants may be able to purchase a property with assistance from HomeStart's loan packages.

HomeStart estimated a home ownership initiative using HomeStart's loan packages could potentially achieve 100 outcomes for current SA Housing Trust tenants.

#### PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY HOUSING

**386 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (3 December 2020). What modelling had been used to determine how many people living in social housing qualify for HomeStart loan packages?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** The government has provided a response in Question on Notice 232.

#### STARTER LOANS

**387 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (3 December 2020). How many \$10,000 interest-free loans were applied for in the year 2019-20?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

HomeStart is not able to provide accurate data on the number of interest-free loans (Starter Loans) that were applied for in 2019-20.

An applicant's eligibility for a Starter Loan is assessed by HomeStart under the relevant criteria and then applied to reduce the total customer contribution required as opposed to an applicant specifically applying for the Starter Loan.

#### STARTER LOANS

**388 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (3 December 2020). How many \$10,000 interest free loans were successful for the year 2019-20?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised:

110 interest-free Starter Loans were settled in 2019-20.

#### POLICE CHECK APPLICATIONS

**389 Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale)** (3 December 2020). How many Volunteer Organisation Authorisation Number (VOAN) Police Check applications were processed in the financial years:

- (a) 2017-18?
- (b) 2018-19?
- (c) 2019-20?
- (d) 2020 to date?

**The Hon. V.A. TARZIA (Hartley—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services):**

- (a) 2017-18: 13,272
- (b) 2018-19: 10,458
- (c) 2019-20: 4,318
- (d) 2020-14 December 2020: 2,701

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

**390 Mr BOYER (Wright)** (3 December 2020). For the period of 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020, provide a breakdown of all grants paid by the department/agency that report to the minister, including when the payment was made to the recipient, and when the grant agreement was signed by both parties.

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Innovation and Skills):** I have been advised:

For the Department for Innovation and Skills, the government has provided a complete list of grants paid during 2019-20 in omnibus question 14.

#### INNOVATION AND SKILLS DEPARTMENT

**394 Mr BOYER (Wright)** (8 December 2020). For each department and agency reporting to the minister, what new sections of your department or agency have been established since 1 July 2019 and what is their purpose?

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Innovation and Skills):** I have been advised:

The annual reports published for each of the agencies I am responsible for will contain this information.

#### VIRTUAL POWER PLANT

In reply to **the Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome)** (23 July 2020).

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining):** I have been advised:

The South Australian Virtual Power Plant (SA VPP) trial phases were designed to inform the business case for an expanded state-wide roll out of the program, as such the government imposed a minimum 5 per cent target for regional installations during the phase 2 trial.

These requirements were exceeded, with 64 of the 1,000 home energy systems installed on properties in regional South Australia, including Murray Bridge (23), Port Lincoln (11), Nuriootpa/Tanunda (7), and Whyalla (6).

Importantly, properties that are selected for the installation of a home energy system firstly need to be assessed as suitable, and the tenants are also required to opt-in to become a customer of the program.

To that end, under the phase 2 trial, 16 South Australian Housing Authority (SAHA) properties were assessed for suitability within the Frome electorate, and seven (7) offers were made to tenants to join the program. Regrettably those tenants elected not to accept the retail offer to become a SA VPP customer.

The SA VPP has now advanced to phase 3, and to date a further 24 SAHA properties in the Frome electorate were found to be suitable, and tenants were invited to join the program on 1 September 2020. Of these:

- 19 tenants have signed up for a site assessment;
- Eight (8) properties have been found to be suitable, with the remaining 11 still under review;
- Six (6) tenants have now accepted the retail offer to become a SA VPP customer, of which four (4) home energy systems have been installed with a further two (2) to be scheduled.

South Australia now has more than 21,000 home batteries installed or committed (with more than 14,000 supported by the government's flagship Home Battery Scheme), cementing our position as a leader both nationally and internationally for the adoption of residential storage.

Through this scheme I am advised there are currently 265 households with conditional approvals in postcodes that are located in the Frome electorate. 224 of these households have had batteries installed.

I also understand that 59 home batteries were installed under the Community Solar Project, a Port Pirie Regional Council initiative to facilitate the provision of affordable solar in the region.

#### *Estimates Replies*

#### **GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (26 November 2020). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM (Finniss—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development):** In response to questions 14 and 15 I have been advised the following:

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2019-20 and across the forward estimates for the Department of Primary Industries and Regions:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
Northern Adelaide Food Park	The Northern Adelaide Food Park initiative was established to create opportunities for businesses to co-locate and enable both new and existing food and beverage processors, manufacturers, food packaging specialists, cold-chain suppliers and logistic and transport companies to expand and grow.	-	-	-	1,450	50

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
Advanced Food Manufacturing	The program focused on translating new or existing research and technical expertise into practical outcomes for food and beverage producers, to help them create new high value or value added products or processes. Connecting the producers to the technical experts that can help them develop new products and processes and understand the value that can be added through technical innovation, and the associated productivity, and export development potential.	60	20	-	-	-
SA Wine Industry Development Scheme (SAWIDS)	The purpose of SAWIDS is to develop and support projects that add economic value to the wine industry.	1,769	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
South Australian River Murray Sustainability Program (SARMS) – Commonwealth funded program	Commonwealth funded competitive grant program to enable the SA River Murray irrigation industry to meet the new policy directions of the Murray-Darling Basin Plan and potential challenges faced by future climate change scenarios, and to support the vibrant communities across the Region.	6,643	3,048	-	-	-
On-Farm Emergency Water Infrastructure Rebate Scheme – Commonwealth funded program	Commonwealth funded grant program to provide a one-off 25 per cent rebate up to \$25,000 (GST exclusive) to primary producers in drought affected areas for the costs associated with the purchase and installation of On-Farm water infrastructure.	2,138	990	-	-	-
Horticulture Netting Infrastructure Program	Commonwealth funded grant program for South Australian horticulture producers to install new or replace damaged netting, following damaging hailstorms that impacted fruit industries in the Adelaide Hills in 2017 and 2018, and in the Riverland in 2016 and 2019.	-	4,866	4,867	4,867	-

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
South Australian Drought Support Package	South Australian Government's drought support package aims to support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,119	11,157	-	-	-
Bushfire Response – primary producer grants – joint Commonwealth funded program	Bushfire recovery grants up to \$75,000 jointly funded with the Commonwealth to primary producers affected by the Kangaroo island and Cudlee Creek Fires.	19,729	30,089	-	-	-
Recovery grants for apple growers	Commonwealth funded bushfire recovery grants for apple producers affected by bushfire damage to assist with clean-up, repair or replacement of trees, infrastructure and equipment.	-	483	4,343	965	-
Regional Growth Fund	Support projects that unlock new economic activity in our regions, creating jobs, growing export opportunities and strengthening regional communities.	7,369	56,421	9,660	9,503	15,000
Regional Development Fund	Drive economic growth and productivity by investing in regional infrastructure, creating jobs and new opportunities for regional South Australia.	3,869	3,369	1,140	145	-
Upper Spencer Gulf & Outback Futures Program	Supporting the region to achieve economic recovery by offering assistance to projects that will contribute to the economic diversification, resilience and capacity building of these communities.	104	206	75	-	-
Regional Development Australia	The Regional Development Australia Boards (RDA) have been provided with funding certainty through over \$12 million allocated over four years. This funding commitment will allow RDA Boards to continue to provide vital advice and support to drive economic development in each region.	3,219	3,591	3,307	3,307	3,307
Economic Sustainability Program	The Economic Sustainability Program is targeted towards key regional economic	148	145	-	-	-



Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
	development projects that facilitate strong, vibrant and sustainable regional industries and communities.					

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2019-20 Estimated result \$000	2020-21 Budget \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000	2022-23 Estimate \$000	2023-24 Estimate \$000
Mobile Black Spot Program	This initiative provides \$10 million over three years to address mobile phone black spots across South Australia. Improving mobile phone coverage within the state will contribute to improved productivity, improved safety and enhancing the reputation of the state's key tourist destinations.	1,227	5,573	3,200	-	-
South East Forestry Partnership Program	The South East Forestry Partnerships Program is a merit-based grant program to assist the forest and wood products industry by encouraging further investment in new and existing businesses. Funding was allocated over three phases.	4,715	2,464	-	-	-

The following table details the new commitment grants paid in 2019-20 for the Department of Primary Industries and Regions SA:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
SA Wine Industry Development Scheme (SAWIDS)	Accolade Wines Australia Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	1,662
	Adelaide Wine Co Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	540
	Australian Grape & Wine Inc	To provide an updated, user-friendly resource on best practices for wine grapes assessment that is readily adoptable across all wine businesses in South Australia (and potentially across Australia)	75,000
	Australian Wine Research	Evaluation of the impact of early-season smoke exposure on grape and wine composition and wine sensory properties	100,000
	BK Wines Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	810

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	Blefari Vineyard Estates	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	270
	Distill Unit Trust	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	810
	Golding Wines Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	810
	Group Logistics Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	270
	Hahndorf Hill Winery Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	247
	Hydraco Australia Pty Ltd	To deliver an annual program of intensive acceleration to grow innovative wine and tourism tech companies and a series of activation activities designed to increase the uptake of technology across our wine and tourism sectors	154,041
	Karrawatta Viticulture	Industry reimbursement for smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	1,858
	KJ & PN Manser	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	351
	Langhorne Creek Grape & Wine Incorporated	To work with local wine grape growers to assess, interpret and analyse data trends of various heat mitigation techniques that are being trialled in the Langhorne Creek wine region.	20,000
	Lodestone Australia Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	1,890
	Longview Vineyards	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	270
	Macelyen Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	540
	Paracombe Premium Wines	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	470
	Penley Estate Pty Ltd	Great Wine Capitals Knowledge Exchange Program Outbound (bursary)	5,000
	RG & RT Trott Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	270
	S.A. Wine Industry Association	To assist eligible South Australian wine small businesses undertake the Wine Business Program during 2019	150,000
	S.A. Wine Industry Association	To support international wine tourism, contingent on a Wine Australia grant	250,000
	Shaw and Smith Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	1,641
	Stephen Pannell Wines Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	929

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	Taylor's Wines Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	2,970
	The Islander Estate Vineyard	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	3,455
	Vitiworks Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	5,670
	Wicks Estate Wines Pty Ltd	Smoke taint test services provided to the community following Cuddlee Creek and Kangaroo Island bushfires	1,614
	Wine Australia	Rapid vineyard assessment	178,000
	Wine Australia	Enabling adoption of innovative technology to benefit the wine and grape sector in SA	116,000
	Wine Grape Council SA	To conduct mapping and assessment of bushfire affected vineyards in the Adelaide Hills and Kangaroo Island and provide technical advice and management options to participating grape growers in those regions with the aim of enabling rapid recovery and return to production	100,000
Regional Growth Fund	Surveyed Charter Boat Owners	Charter Boat Diversification Program—Promotion of underutilised species in the charter boat fishery.	35,000
	Ceduna Boat Charter	Charter Boat Diversification Program—Purchase and installation of 200 hp four-outboard motors	25,000
	Kevana Fishing Enterprises	Charter Boat Diversification Program—Purchase of new vessel	25,000
	Reef Encounters Fishing Charters	Charter Boat Diversification Program—Diversify operations to incorporate Ocean Safari Experiences	2,423
Mobile Black Spot Program	Optus Mobile Pty Ltd	Rollout of Mobile Blackspot installation – Multiple Sites	153,450
South Australian Drought Support Package	AA Kypreos	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,827
	AB & AK Oksbjerg	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,672
	AD & JI Major	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,927
	AD & RJ Story	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,266
	Adrian Michael Schmidt	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	835
	AE & DK Wilson	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,243
	AI & MF McCallum	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,697
	AI Green & Sons	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	130

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	AJ & KL Norman	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,522
	AJ & LK Green	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	6,277
	AN Koch & DA Koch & JS Koch & KJ Koch	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,500
	AP & KS Jaeschke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,748
	BA Jenke & CJ Jenke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,102
	BR & KJ Bradtke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,140
	Barry M & Annette E Atze	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,885
	BB, BI & CA Stringer	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,625
	Bengor Proprietors	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,284
	BJ & PJ Kroehn	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,484
	BKR Farming	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,834
	BL Noll, HM Noll, LT Noll, TL Noll	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	15,965
	BM & DM Andretzke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,631
	Boothby Property Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,779
	Bradley & Chloe Wake Family	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,492
	Broadacre Bacon Farming	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,088
	CE & JL Becker	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,547
	CJ Kay & Sons	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,500
	CA & MJ Clarke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	931
	CA Brooks & Son	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,992
	CA Dixon & KT Dixon & ZT Dixon	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,346
	Carn Family Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,500

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	Clarence Harrold Schmidt	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,405
	Clay Henderson	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,582
	CN & El Francis	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	753
	CP Germein & N Trembath	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,113
	D & A M Latorre	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	602
	DN McDonald	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,892
	DA & LJ Jenke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,270
	DALMARVIN	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	836
	David Dare	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	619
	DG & KW Martin	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,625
	DJ & JA Atze	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	838
	DJ & SJ Dolphin	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,070
	DM Christopherson & LP Christopherson	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,878
	Donald J & Rosilyn M & Clinton J Matthews	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	667
	DR & HR Wittwer	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,407
	DUNKADOWNS	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,088
	Ebavale Pty Ltd	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,640
	EW Bormann & Partners	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,239
	Ewin Leon Porker & Merissa J Porker	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	942
	FJ Sampson & RJ Lihou	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,531
	GP Nielsen	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,775
	GA & MP Chapman	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,559

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	GA & SI Seidel	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,591
	GD & ES Doering	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	815
	Ge-Oak	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,559
	Geoffrey Ian Meaney	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,016
	GG & KM North	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,582
	Gibbs, DM & VK	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,888
	GJ & AJ Oldfield	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,413
	Glenurra Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,500
	GN & DJ Freeman	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,996
	HM & JM Kelly	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,593
	IW & KR Heidrich	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	389
	JA Rover & MJ Rover	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,257
	JA & CA Michalk	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,833
	JA & JR Bruhn	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,442
	JA Allen & KI Allen & SR Allen	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,463
	JA Shacklepond & Sons	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,435
	JB Proctor & PR Proctor	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,206
	JG & KM Eatts	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,966
	JJ Carey & JK Carey & TJ Carey	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,816
	JK Maxwell and KA Maxwell	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	880
	JK Schulz & KS Schulz	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,394
	JN CH DS and LS Evans	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,931

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	Jogilma EH Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,510
	Joshua Porker	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,153
	JW Sander & LA Sander & LJ Sander	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,603
	Kaye Dianne Wicker	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,310
	KE & MP Clarke & Co	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,096
	KE HA & BK Ohlmeyer	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,272
	KH & PN Fielding	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,504
	Kim Klose	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	268
	Kinmana Organics	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,500
	KJ & AK Eichler	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	536
	KZ & CI Scholz	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,184
	LD & MR Liebelt	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,212
	LM Glare & PA Glare	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	899
	LT Honner & VS Honner	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,616
	MA & HE Nicholls	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,147
	Megan Short	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	17,026
	Michael McCallum	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	273
	Michael Sandland	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	650
	MJ & PA Leonard	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,811
	MK & YI Schmidt	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,266
	MS & DK Kassebaum	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	6,290
	Neville Parker	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,874

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	NH & LA Maczkowiack	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	637
	NJ & EI Piggott	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	5,427
	NP & BP Saegenschnitter	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	6,337
	NS & TJ Rover	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,342
	NW & GA Harris	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,719
	Orchard View Unit Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,267
	PA & BA Rudiger	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,176
	PA Webb & JI Webb	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,725
	Peter G & Leanne G Leedham	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,495
	Philip George Ferguson	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	875
	Phillip Dohnt Contracting	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,778
	PM Schiller	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	761
	R & TK Singh Pty Ltd	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,793
	R Clark & DJ Gropler	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,292
	RD Ahrns & SA Ahrns	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	925
	RJ & PM Rover	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,951
	RR & JA Wilmshurst	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,337
	S & C Collins	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	604
	SA & LJ Nitschke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,261
	SA Organics Pty Ltd	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	479
	Schutz Hillview Trading	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,428
	Scott Bartlett	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,171



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
	SJ & JA Schutz & Son	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,970
	SJ Chase Family Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,489
	Trustee for Hall Farm Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,442
	Trustee for The Schubert Family Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,709
	TJ & SI Modystach	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,386
	TK Luckraft	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,407
	Tony Francis	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	164
	Trevor Linke	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,071
	Trustee for Calcookara Trading Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	3,613
	Trustee for Carawood Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,822
	Trustee for Modytrans Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	712
	Trustee for PVS Pastoral Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	82
	Trustee for The Alcock Family Trust	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,131
	VL & JK Eichler	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,058
	Wheare Holdings	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	7,160
	Whitehill Proprietors	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	4,191
	William Kruger	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,555
	Woollahra Pastoral Pty Ltd	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	1,736
	Zippel Holdings Pty Ltd	To support farm families, local businesses and rural communities dealing with drought conditions.	2,701

Please note that more than one payment may be made to a recipient under a grant agreement reflecting milestone payments.

Controlled Operations

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Accolade Wines Australia Ltd	1,662	27/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Adelaide Wine Co Pty Ltd	540	19/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Agcommunicators Pty Ltd	5,000	26/06/2020	11/06/2020
Australian Grape & Wine Inc	75,000	26/06/2020	17/06/2020
Australian Wine Research	5,000	25/07/2019	28/06/2019
Australian Wine Research	100,000	24/06/2020	27/03/2020
BK Wines Pty Ltd	810	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Blefari Vineyard Estates	270	10/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Chalk Hill Wines Pty Ltd	5,000	18/07/2019	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Chapel Hill Winery Pty Ltd	5,000	23/07/2019	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Dairy Authority of SA	65,000	11/07/2019	25/06/2019
Distill Unit Trust	810	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Figgery & Kangaroo Island	8,500	23/07/2019	1/03/2018
Golding Wines Pty Ltd	810	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Goolwa Pipi Co Pty Ltd	13,000	11/07/2019	10/08/2018
Group Logistics Pty Ltd	270	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Grow4 Pty Ltd	5,000	15/08/2019	28/06/2019
Hahndorf Hill Winery Pty Ltd	247	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Horticulture Coalition of SA	30,000	11/07/2019	28/06/2019
Hydraco Australia Pty Ltd	154,041	30/06/2020	18/06/2020
Karrawatta Viticulture	1,859	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
			have a grant agreement
KJ & PN Manser	351	27/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Langhorne Creek Grape & Wine	20,000	11/07/2019	01/07/2019
Livestock SA	5,250	28/11/2019	18/04/2019
Livestock SA	50,000	4/07/2019	21/06/2019
Lodestone Australia Pty Ltd	1,890	3/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Longview Vineyards	270	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Macelyen Pty Ltd	540	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Paracombe Premium Wines	470	24/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Penley Estate Pty Ltd	5,000	23/07/2019	28/06/2019
Potatoes South Australia Inc	40,000	1/08/2019	09/02/2018
Retallack Viticulture Pty Ltd	5,000	4/07/2019	28/06/2019
RG & RT Trott Pty Ltd	270	30/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
S.A. Wine Industry	250,000	18/07/2019	18/10/2018
S.A. Wine Industry	150,000	2/07/2019	20/06/2019
S.A. Wine Industry	250,000	30/01/2020	25/06/2018
SA Dairy Farmers Association	60,000	2/07/2019	21/06/2019
Shaw and Smith Pty Ltd	1,641	20/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Stephen Pannell Wines Pty Ltd	929	3/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Taylor's Wines Pty Ltd	2,970	29/05/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
The Islander Estate Vineyard	3,455	19/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
			have a grant agreement
Vitiworks Pty Ltd	5,670	19/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Wicks Estate Wines Pty Ltd	1,614	19/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Wine Australia	178,000	26/06/2020	18/06/2020
Wine Australia	116,000	30/06/2020	23/06/2020
Wine Grape Council SA	100,000	15/04/2020	27/03/2020
John Haycraft	137,748	30/06/2020	22/06/2020
Australian Animal Health Council Ltd	20,338	22/08/2019	26/06/2019.
Australian Animal Health Council Ltd	367	22/08/2019	26/06/2019.
Australian Animal Health Council Ltd	139,975	27/08/2019	26/06/2019
Australian Animal Health Council Ltd	102,631	14/01/2020	26/06/2019
Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment—Australian Government	165,722	24/12/2019	29/08/2002)
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	79,317	16/07/2019	26/06/2019
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	2,649	5/12/2019	17/12/2015
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	60,180	16/01/2020	8/04/2019
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	15,437	16/01/2020	11/12/2019
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	3,541	16/01/2020	31/05/2019
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	1,436,330	15/05/2020	6/03/2020
Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland Government	14,416	22/05/2020	25/07/2019
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development—Western Australia	130,557	29/08/2019	7/08/2019
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development—Western Australia	7,038	24/10/2019	8/10/2019
Department of Primary Industry and Resources—Northern Territory Government	31,413	16/01/2020	24/01/2018
NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment	4,202	26/06/2020	13/03/2019
Plant Health Australia Limited	122,654	6/08/2019	29/08/2008 as per constitution date
Plant Health Australia Limited	35,000	7/01/2020	20/09/2018
Australian Animal Health Council Ltd	385	10/09/2019	26/06/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment—Australian Government	470,400	12/11/2019	15/04/2019
Centre for Invasive Species Solutions	47,873	27/08/2019	15/02/2018 as per constitution date
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development—Western Australia	273,130	11/07/2019	16/05/2019
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development—Western Australia	2,221	10/10/2019	16/09/2019
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development—Western Australia	1,235	12/12/2019	29/11/2019
Department of Primary Industry and Resources—Northern Territory Government	220,167	10/10/2019	16/09/2019
Department of Primary Industry and Resources—Northern Territory Government	193,362	17/12/2019	29/11/2019
Department of Primary Industry and Resources—Northern Territory Government	248,429	18/03/2020	18/01/2019 as per signed increase in agreed limit agreement
Department of Primary Industry and Resources—Northern Territory Government	110,592	10/06/2020	18/01/2019 as per signed increase in agreed limit agreement
Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited	97,059	20/08/2019	18/06/2019
Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited	72,000	8/10/2019	18/06/2019
Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited	72,000	3/04/2020	18/06/2019
Rural Industries Research & Development Corporation	50,000	27/08/2019	20/12/2016
Rural Business Support Service Incorporated	100,000	23/03/2020	29/06/2018
Rural Business Support Service Incorporated	100,000	26/06/2020	29/06/2018
Rural Business Support Service Incorporated	60,000	18/02/2020	20/03/2019
Treasury Wine Estates Vintners	70,000	12/09/2019	27/06/2019
Ag Excellence Alliance	117,800	22/08/2019	13/03/2018
AP & NC Haitana	30,000	15/10/2019	12/01/2018
Apple and Pear Growers Association	240,000	16/01/2020	4/08/2019
Apple and Pear Growers Association	100,000	27/05/2020	4/08/2019
Ashwood Estate Pty Ltd	86,319	19/09/2019	24/03/2016
Ashwood Estate Pty Ltd	35,000	12/12/2019	24/03/2016
Balaklava Community Children's Centre	10,000	21/01/2020	26/02/2018
Barossa Village Incorporated	89,000	19/12/2019	15/12/2015
Bentley's Cabin Park-Pt Pirie	400,000	27/08/2019	11/10/2017
Beston Pure Dairies Pty Ltd	191,250	16/01/2020	5/09/2016
Ceduna Boat Charter	25,000	27/05/2020	1/05/2020
Ceravolo Orchards Pty Ltd	5,000	12/09/2019	25/02/2015
Chalk Hill Wines Pty Ltd	100,000	3/12/2019	8/01/2018

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Chalk Hill Wines Pty Ltd	250,000	8/04/2020	8/01/2018
Cummins Mill	120,000	10/02/2020	28/09/2017
Cummins Mill	20,000	23/01/2020	28/09/2017
D'arenberg Pty Ltd	150,000	22/08/2019	15/12/2015
D'arenberg Pty Ltd	100,000	6/04/2020	15/12/2015
Days Eggs Pty Ltd	132,250	21/02/2020	2/02/2015
Department for Trade and Investment	3,900,000	12/12/2019	9/12/2019
Deviation Road	75,000	17/02/2020	25/01/2018
District Council Of Ceduna	200,000	6/03/2020	19/10/2016
District Council Of Ceduna	200,000	27/03/2020	19/10/2016
District Council Of Ceduna	475,000	1/04/2020	19/10/2016
District Council Of Ceduna	50,000	19/06/2020	19/10/2016
Eyre Peninsula Seafoods Pty Ltd	150,000	29/08/2019	21/11/2017
Eyre Peninsula Seafoods Pty Ltd	163,000	23/01/2020	21/11/2017
Eyre Peninsula Seafoods Pty Ltd	123,300	27/04/2020	21/11/2017
Gambier Earth Movers Pty Ltd	3,500	16/07/2019	22/08/2016
Glen Forest Tourist Park	6,670	10/10/2019	17/10/2017
Golden North Pty Ltd	20,000	16/01/2020	11/08/2016
Inghams Enterprises Pty Ltd	100,000	29/10/2019	16/12/2016
Johnson Home Improvements	5,000	27/03/2020	1/05/2018
Kevana Fishing Enterprises	25,000	27/05/2020	10/05/2020
Lincoln Estate	42,318	3/10/2019	17/10/2017
Lot 100	172,000	25/03/2020	4/08/2019
Mid Murray Council and Bowhill Engineering	300,000	22/04/2020	27/06/2019
Mid Murray Council and Bowhill Engineering	46,556	24/04/2020	27/06/2019
Mitolo Wines Pty Ltd	26,209	13/05/2020	29/08/2016
MK Wine Solutions Pty Ltd	50,000	8/10/2019	29/08/2016
Mulgundawa Investments	5,000	9/01/2020	25/02/2015
Neutrog Australia	50,000	28/11/2019	10/11/2018
Northern Areas Council	95,156	11/07/2019	9/04/2015
Northern Areas Council	5,000	11/07/2019	9/04/2015
Optus Mobile Pty Ltd	153,450	16/01/2020	2/08/2019
Pichi Richi Railway	42,000	13/08/2019	7/09/2016
Pikes Wines Pty Ltd	27,000	28/01/2020	9/09/2016
Platinum Operations Pty Ltd	32,000	10/09/2019	10/01/2018
R Ceravolo & Co Pty Ltd	20,000	27/03/2020	17/01/2018
Reef Encounters Fishing Charter	2,423	17/06/2020	9/05/2020
Regional Australia Institute	179,000	23/07/2019	27/06/2019
Regional Council Of Goyder	110,000	1/04/2020	13/09/2017

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Regional Council Of Goyder	85,000	30/03/2020	13/09/2017
Regional Council Of Goyder	25,000	27/03/2020	13/09/2017
Regional Development Australia – Adelaide Hills, Fleurieu and Kangaroo Island	342,000	22/08/2019	8/03/2018
Regional Development Australia – Adelaide Hills, Fleurieu and Kangaroo Island	22,550	22/08/2019	8/03/2018
Regional Development Australia – Adelaide Hills, Fleurieu and Kangaroo Island	91,200	20/04/2020	8/03/2018
Regional Development Australia – Barossa, Gawler, Light, Adelaide Plains	306,000	1/10/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia – Barossa, Gawler, Light, Adelaide Plains	20,150	10/09/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia – Barossa, Gawler, Light, Adelaide Plains	81,600	27/04/2020	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Far North	321,750	12/09/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Far North	21,200	17/09/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Far North	85,800	27/04/2020	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Limestone Coast	21,200	1/10/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Limestone Coast	321,750	1/10/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Limestone Coast	85,800	24/04/2020	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Murraylands and Riverland	23,900	22/08/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Murraylands and Riverland	363,000	27/08/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Murraylands and Riverland	96,800	27/04/2020	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Whyalla and Eyre Peninsula	24,950	12/09/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Whyalla and Eyre Peninsula	378,750	12/09/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Whyalla and Eyre Peninsula	101,000	6/05/2020	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Yorke and Mid North	382,500	5/11/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Yorke and Mid North	25,200	5/11/2019	7/03/2018
Regional Development Australia—Yorke and Mid North	102,000	6/05/2020	7/03/2018
Royal Flying Doctor Service of Australia	200,000	13/05/2020	11/07/2019
Shield Intermodal	200,000	17/09/2019	27/06/2019
South Pacific Seeds Pty Ltd	20,000	4/02/2020	1/01/2018
Surveyed Charter Boat Owners	35,000	24/04/2020	17/04/2020
Telstra Corporation Ltd	330,173	19/09/2019	21/06/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Telstra Corporation Ltd	116,364	1/04/2020	21/06/2019
Telstra Corporation Ltd	216,509	17/04/2020	21/06/2019
Telstra Corporation Ltd	21,236	17/04/2020	21/06/2019
Telstra Corporation Ltd	81,745	17/04/2020	21/06/2019
Telstra Corporation Ltd	268,436	13/05/2020	21/06/2019
Telstra Corporation Ltd	18,109	13/05/2020	21/06/2019
Trustee for The W.J. Duthy Holdings Superannuation Fund	20,000	23/07/2019	18/01/2018
Trustee for The W.J. Duthy Holdings Superannuation Fund	20,000	28/11/2019	18/01/2018
Trustee for The W.J. Duthy Holdings Superannuation Fund	90,000	21/01/2020	18/01/2018
Western KI Caravan Park	16,500	10/10/2019	5/10/2017
Western KI Caravan Park	20,000	21/02/2020	5/10/2017
Wilpena Pound Resort	5,000	15/10/2019	17/11/2016
Wilson Pastoral International	20,000	15/10/2019	20/02/2015
Yumbah Hatchery Pty Ltd	20,000	12/12/2019	31/01/2018
Adelaide Research & Innovation Pty Ltd	50,000	19/11/2019	19/12/2014
Agrifutures Australia	5,000	17/12/2019	1/07/2017
Australian Wine Research Institute	41,866	15/05/2020	29/08/2017
Australian Wine Research Institute	40,000	26/09/2019	29/08/2017
Benguet State University	10,000	26/06/2020	1/03/2018
Benguet State University	12,000	16/07/2019	1/03/2018
Cesar Pty Ltd	78,497	15/10/2019	24/08/2018
Cesar Pty Ltd	61,235	10/06/2020	24/08/2018
Charles Sturt University	29,852	18/07/2019	21/09/2016
Charles Sturt University	81,500	18/05/2020	12/12/2018
Charles Sturt University	90,944	8/10/2019	16/05/2017
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)	146,086	5/11/2019	10/05/2018
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)	146,086	18/05/2020	10/05/2018
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)	34,091	24/06/2020	27/06/2019
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)	33,409	16/07/2019	2/04/2019
Curtin University	47,500	27/04/2020	1/01/2017
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development – Western Australia	133,000	2/03/2020	7/12/2018
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development – Western Australia	26,776	2/03/2020	17/01/2020
Fight Food Waste Limited	112,500	23/07/2019	14/11/2018



Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Fight Food Waste Limited	112,500	22/10/2019	14/11/2018
Fight Food Waste Limited	112,500	28/01/2020	14/11/2018
Fight Food Waste Limited	112,500	13/05/2020	14/11/2018
Fisheries Research and Development Corporation	56,000	30/06/2020	11/10/2019
Fisheries Research and Development Corporation	85,000	30/06/2020	26/03/2020
Grains Research and Development Corporation	38,204	22/05/2020	10/05/2018
Grains Research and Development Corporation	50,000	3/06/2020	4/05/2020
Grasslands Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	47,792	27/05/2020	6/02/2019
Institute of Agricultural Research	16,204	27/05/2020	22/03/2019
Kazakh Scientific Research Institute	41,277	27/05/2020	18/02/2019
Macquarie University	104,000	19/12/2019	12/11/2019
Macquarie University	26,000	16/01/2020	12/11/2019
Macquarie University	97,500	29/04/2020	12/11/2019
Macquarie University	100,000	8/10/2019	26/06/2019
Mindoro State College of Agriculture and Technology (MinSCAT)	20,000	25/07/2019	9/10/2017
Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical & Astronomical Services Administration	40,913	30/08/2019	3/08/2015
Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical & Astronomical Services Administration	39,390	31/01/2020	3/08/2015
Philippine Institute of Development Studies	32,756	16/08/2019	3/08/2015
Philippine Institute of Development Studies	20,000	27/08/2019	3/08/2015
Philippine Institute of Development Studies	35,171	18/02/2020	3/08/2015
Philippine Institute of Development Studies	30,000	26/02/2020	3/08/2015
Philippines Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Training Institute	25,811	25/11/2019	14/12/2016
Philippines Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Training Institute	16,023	26/02/2020	14/12/2016
Southern Australian Meat Research Council	8,625	1/10/2019	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
Southern Australian Meat Research Council	8,625	10/06/2020	Grants \$10,000 or less not required to have a grant agreement
The Australian Wine Research Institute	5,000	1/10/2019	21/03/2018
The Australian Wine Research Institute	1,500	8/10/2019	21/03/2018
The Australian Wine Research Institute	5,000	24/12/2019	21/03/2018
The Australian Wine Research Institute	5,000	18/03/2020	21/03/2018
The Australian Wine Research Institute	5,000	10/06/2020	21/03/2018
The Australian Wine Research Institute	2,727	30/06/2020	21/03/2018
The University of Adelaide	126,568	25/03/2020	16/03/2017

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
The University of Adelaide	84,204	22/05/2020	11/02/2019
The University of Adelaide	12,000	10/06/2020	30/11/2018
The University of Adelaide	110,250	10/06/2020	4/02/2020
The University of Adelaide	200,000	30/06/2020	26/06/2020
The University of Adelaide	84,204	30/06/2020	11/02/2019
The University of Adelaide	72,217	7/08/2019	30/06/2016
The University of Adelaide	20,000	28/01/2020	30/11/2018
The University of Adelaide	198,450	4/02/2020	10/04/2017
University of Sydney	20,000	18/10/2019	30/06/2016
University of Sydney	17,000	22/10/2019	3/05/2019
University of Sydney	130,818	9/07/2019	20/06/2019
University of the Philippines Los Banos Foundation Incorporated	34,069	16/08/2019	14/12/2016
University of the Philippines Los Banos Foundation Incorporated	20,000	30/08/2019	14/12/2016
University of the Philippines Los Banos Foundation Incorporated	30,000	18/02/2020	14/12/2016
Western Australian Agriculture Authority	77,610	2/07/2019	7/12/2018
Western Australian Agriculture Authority	25,357	2/07/2019	16/12/2016
Western Australian Agriculture Authority	33,000	2/07/2019	16/11/2018
Western Australian Agriculture Authority	25,357	2/07/2019	16/12/2016
A & E Sourtzis	42,075	18/07/2019	15/07/2019
A & E Sourtzis	17,925	25/07/2019	23/07/2019
B & MG Valente & Sons Pty Ltd	30,114	1/10/2019	26/08/2019
B & MG Valente & Sons Pty Ltd	22,250	24/10/2019	26/08/2019
Bowhill Produce Pty Ltd	58,639	24/09/2019	13/07/2019
Bowhill Produce Pty Ltd	5,336	28/11/2019	27/09/2019
DA & JM Webb	25,500	27/08/2019	19/08/2019
DA & JM Webb	62,772	24/12/2019	8/02/2019
DA & JM Webb	28,386	25/07/2019	23/07/2019
DA & JM Webb	11,754	24/12/2019	23/07/2019
Hillview Orchards	35,000	19/12/2019	18/09/2019
I.L. And J.A. Mueller	42,747	9/07/2019	3/07/2019
Janeian Pty Ltd	33,436	4/07/2019	1/07/2019
Janeian Pty Ltd	3,246	9/07/2019	1/07/2019
Janeian Pty Ltd	23,136	4/07/2019	1/07/2019
Janeian Pty Ltd	6,317	4/07/2019	1/07/2019
Janeian Pty Ltd	36,000	8/10/2019	3/07/2019
Jay Dale David Ruediger	68,125	4/07/2019	1/07/2019
Jay Dale David Ruediger	12,313	29/08/2019	26/08/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
JE & RD Dellazoppa	71,696	22/08/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	21,000	27/08/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	3,500	15/10/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	80,000	15/10/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	59,000	18/10/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	31,184	3/12/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	36,500	17/12/2019	27/09/2017
JE & RD Dellazoppa	18,528	20/12/2019	27/09/2017
JF Zadow & Son	4,738	27/08/2019	22/08/2019
Kingston Vineyards Pty Ltd	1,737,820	22/06/2020	18/06/2020
Kingston Vineyards Pty Ltd	899,585	19/06/2020	17/06/2020
Lacton Pty Ltd	89,000	27/08/2019	27/09/2017
Lacton Pty Ltd	75,123	18/07/2019	27/09/2017
Lacton Pty Ltd	111,000	27/08/2019	27/09/2017
Lacton Pty Ltd	41,270	27/08/2019	27/09/2017
Lacton Pty Ltd	271,290	8/08/2019	27/09/2017
Lacton Pty Ltd	50,000	15/10/2019	27/09/2017
Ngopamuldi Aboriginal Corp	70,250	1/08/2019	30/07/2019
Ngopamuldi Aboriginal Corp	50,000	8/08/2019	30/07/2019
Overland Corner Estate Pty Ltd	157,950	17/09/2019	6/09/2019
Overland Corner Estate Pty Ltd	36,350	10/12/2019	30/10/2019
PJ, EA, CJ & H Sapinski	10,000	26/09/2019	23/09/2019
PJ, EA, CJ & H Sapinski	11,550	26/09/2019	23/09/2019
Red Earth Farms Riverland Trust	126,773	16/07/2019	10/07/2019
Red Earth Farms Riverland Trust	50,000	18/07/2019	15/07/2019
Rivercorp Land & Water Ltd	50,000	5/09/2019	2/09/2019
Riverhaven Enterprises P/L	54,200	15/10/2019	14/10/2019
Riverhaven Enterprises P/L	22,684	7/11/2019	22/10/2019
RNR Farms Pty Ltd	24,581	25/07/2019	23/07/2019
Timothy Grieger	8,929	2/07/2019	27/06/2019
Timothy Grieger	10,336	9/07/2019	3/07/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	380,000	18/07/2019	15/07/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	144,320	18/07/2019	15/07/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	363,793	29/08/2019	26/08/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	786,557	26/09/2019	26/08/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	300,412	26/09/2019	26/08/2019
Vitalharvest Leasehold Pty Ltd	50,000	25/03/2020	26/08/2019
A & JE Tilbrook	75,000	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
A A Florance And J G Florance	75,000	13/05/2020	30/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
A A Protheroe & J P Protheroe	75,000	15/04/2020	6/04/2020
A R & D A Arbon	17,748	30/07/2019	15/07/2019
A R & P J Lomman	729	17/12/2019	26/11/2019
A R Mcinness & H J Mcinness	72,369	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
A Scarfo & M Scarfo	65,610	25/03/2020	4/02/2020
A.J & K.L Norman	1,522	20/01/2020	10/01/2020
AA Kypreos	3,827	24/04/2020	18/03/2020
AB & AK Oksbjerg	1,672	13/03/2020	12/02/2020
AC & JL Dunn Family Trust	4,130	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
AC & JL Dunn Family Trust	65	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
Acca Pastoral Co Pty Limited	2,172	11/07/2019	22/05/2019
AD & JL Major	5,927	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
AD & RJ Story	1,365	29/10/2019	4/10/2019
AD & RJ Story	2,266	24/01/2020	15/01/2020
Adam Mark Mays	75,000	22/04/2020	6/04/2020
Adrian Michael Schmidt	557	24/01/2020	15/01/2020
Adrian Michael Schmidt	278	27/03/2020	15/01/2020
AE & DK Wilson	2,243	13/03/2020	10/02/2020
AG Soilworks Australia Pty Ltd	75,000	22/06/2020	12/06/2020
Agriculture KI Inc	50,000	30/06/2020	24/04/2020
Airborn Maintenance	2,516	22/05/2020	20/04/2020
Airborn Maintenance	2,516	22/05/2020	20/04/2020
AJ & KJ Nankivell	13,943	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
AJ & LK Green	2,827	18/07/2019	28/06/2019
AJ & LK Green	4,320	25/05/2020	28/06/2019
AJ & LK Green	1,957	27/03/2020	26/02/2020
AJ & LK Green	4,320	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
AJ & PA Mcbride Pty Ltd	75,000	28/02/2020	19/02/2020
AJ Michael & DJ Michael	25,000	15/10/2019	20/09/2019
AJ VJ Mctaggart	11,451	9/07/2019	13/06/2019
AK & KL Omalley	1,430	17/04/2020	11/03/2020
AK & KL Omalley	1,430	17/04/2020	11/03/2020
AK Sutherland & LJ Williams	17,805	17/06/2020	10/06/2020
AK Sutherland & LJ Williams	14,956	17/06/2020	10/06/2020
AL & MF McCallum	2,697	12/02/2020	29/01/2020
AL Green & Sons	130	1/04/2020	11/03/2020
AL Green & Sons	75,000	13/03/2020	26/02/2020
Alsace Farming Pty Ltd	13,500	8/05/2020	13/06/2019
Alsace Farming Pty Ltd	5,426	8/05/2020	13/06/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
AM & IF Lewis	75,000	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
AM&AR Litchfield	3,159	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
AM&AR Litchfield	1,776	26/06/2020	0/01/1900
AM, NT, PK & PG Clark	75,000	16/03/2020	26/02/2020
Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara	198,713	15/06/2020	4/05/2020
Anderson Hill Pty Ltd	75,000	18/03/2020	2/03/2020
Andrew Hall	5,773	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
Andrew Hall	1,806	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
Andrew Kelly Family Trust	75,000	4/05/2020	21/04/2020
Andrew Tolley Trust	75,000	27/03/2020	18/03/2020
Angela Mackay Farm	51,864	18/03/2020	6/03/2020
Angela Mackay Farm	22,510	6/05/2020	6/03/2020
AP & KS Jaeschke	5,020	17/04/2020	1/04/2020
AP & KS Jaeschke	2,728	6/05/2020	21/04/2020
AP & KS Jaeschke	5,020	17/04/2020	1/04/2020
AR & RK Bennett	75,000	28/02/2020	13/02/2020
Ardene Australian Whites	75,000	15/05/2020	21/04/2020
Artwine Pty Ltd	54,800	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
Ashley Ness	71,962	18/03/2020	4/03/2020
Australian Bee Services P/L	37,500	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
Australian Bee Services P/L	37,500	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
AW Scott & KM Sugars & NB Suga	75,000	25/03/2020	12/03/2020
B & J Hodgson	75,000	13/05/2020	22/04/2020
B A Halfpenny & C J Windham	75,000	28/02/2020	17/02/2020
B A Jenke And C J Jenke	1,102	27/05/2020	9/04/2020
B D & C L Jones	75,000	19/03/2020	25/02/2020
B H & S M Davey	8,532	3/06/2020	25/02/2020
B H & S M Davey	8,532	3/06/2020	25/02/2020
B J Pengelley & D L Pengelley	4,441	19/06/2020	1/05/2020
B J Pengelley & D L Pengelley	810	19/06/2020	1/05/2020
B Smith	75,000	25/03/2020	2/03/2020
B.A Briese & D.M Briese & J.P Briese & P.A Briese	6,311	27/05/2020	20/04/2020
B.A Briese & D.M Briese & J.P Briese & P.A Briese	100	27/05/2020	20/04/2020
B.A Riggs & E.K Riggs	75,000	18/03/2020	4/03/2020
B.R & K.J Bradtke	3,140	8/05/2020	20/04/2020
BA Corby	75,000	13/03/2020	25/02/2020
Badette Pty Ltd, PA Goode, PA Hodson, GJ Jeffery, Jesoto Investments Pty Ltd, PW Scown, Staplebear Pty Ltd & VLH. Pty Ltd	75,000	13/05/2020	29/04/2020
Barossa Grape & Wine Assoc Inc	124,000	24/06/2020	15/06/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Barossa's Rosedale Park Olives	1,877	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Barry M & Annette E Atze	2,885	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Bartsch Vignerons Pty Ltd	75,000	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
BB BL & CA Stringer	2,661	5/11/2019	27/09/2019
BB BL & CA Stringer	4,625	6/03/2020	11/02/2020
BD & DJ Smith	3,357	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
BD & DJ Smith	3,357	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
BD MD & MJ Smith	9,902	30/04/2020	17/04/2020
BD MD & MJ Smith	9,902	30/04/2020	17/04/2020
Beltana Progress Association	3,000	6/05/2020	2/04/2020
Bendleby Pastoral	4,150	5/06/2020	29/05/2020
Bendleby Pastoral	1,849	5/06/2020	29/05/2020
Bengor Proprietors	4,284	8/05/2020	29/04/2020
Benjamin Scott Davis	75,000	21/02/2020	10/02/2020
Bernie Henderson Family Trust	3,671	1/10/2019	2/09/2019
Bin Bin Station P/L	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
Bindarra Pastoral Co Pty Ltd	951	13/05/2020	22/08/2019
BJ & BI Hughes	2,234	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
BJ & BI Hughes	2,234	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
BJ & PJ Kroehn	3,484	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
BJ Fischer & KLM Fischer	25,000	22/06/2020	5/06/2020
BJ Fischer & KLM Fischer	7,112	22/06/2020	5/06/2020
BKR Farming	1,834	27/03/2020	29/01/2020
BL & RJ Morgan	67,500	21/02/2020	17/02/2020
BL, HM, LT & TL Noll	9,595	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
BL, HM, LT & TL Noll	4,284	27/03/2020	19/02/2020
BL, HM, LT & TL Noll	2,086	8/04/2020	19/02/2020
BL, HM, LT & TL Noll	9,595	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
Blue Hills Family Trust	75,000	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
BM & BP Mumford	75,000	12/02/2020	31/01/2020
BM & DM Andretzke	2,557	15/10/2019	2/09/2019
BM & DM Andretzke	2,631	8/05/2020	20/04/2020
BN & JC Baker	1,487	10/06/2020	28/05/2020
BN & JC Baker	1,619	10/06/2020	28/05/2020
Boolapuckee Pastoral Company	75,000	30/06/2020	17/04/2020
Boonoonar Partnership	75,000	11/03/2020	21/02/2020
Boothby Property Trust	3,779	1/04/2020	17/03/2020
Bowyer Ridge Vineyard	75,000	11/03/2020	17/02/2020
Boxall Family Trading Trust	22,092	12/06/2020	29/05/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Boxall Family Trading Trust	22,092	12/06/2020	29/05/2020
BR & CA Vogt	4,528	24/06/2020	4/06/2020
BR & CA Vogt	15,228	26/06/2020	4/06/2020
BR & CA Vogt	4,528	24/06/2020	4/06/2020
BR & CA Vogt	11,056	26/06/2020	4/06/2020
Bradley & Chloe Wake Family	7,492	1/04/2020	16/03/2020
Bralyn Nominees Pty Ltd	3,627	15/08/2019	25/07/2019
Branch Creek AG & Mechanical	75,000	25/03/2020	11/03/2020
Branson Farms	2,040	18/07/2019	24/06/2019
Brenton & Lester Teakle	75,000	20/03/2020	5/03/2020
Brett Nietschke	55	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Brett Nietschke	68	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Brian Daniel	2,324	1/06/2020	19/05/2019
Brian Daniel	2,324	1/06/2020	19/05/2019
Brian Noble	75,000	19/06/2020	2/06/2020
Brigalow Partners Pty Ltd	75,000	4/03/2020	21/02/2020
Broadacre Bacon Farming	1,284	8/04/2020	4/03/2020
Broadacre Bacon Farming	804	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
Bryan Redden	36,000	26/06/2020	10/06/2020
BS & W Pty Ltd	16,884	9/07/2019	13/06/2019
BS & W Pty Ltd	3,039	10/09/2019	13/06/2019
BS Wallis & JA Wallis	10,109	28/02/2020	28/01/2020
BS Wallis & JA Wallis	10,109	28/02/2020	28/01/2020
Buck Pastoral Pty Ltd	75,000	24/04/2020	1/04/2020
Bunjibrown Pastoral	751	10/09/2019	22/08/2019
C A Johnson And D J C Johnson	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
C J Kay & Sons	7,500	1/04/2020	12/02/2020
C J Nutt	4,220	9/07/2019	13/06/2019
C R Pastoral Pty Ltd	75,000	14/02/2020	31/01/2020
C S & N Kirkman	1,424	16/07/2019	13/06/2019
C.E & J L Becker	1,547	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
CA & MJ Clarke	2,726	19/06/2020	4/06/2020
CA & MJ Clarke	931	8/05/2020	20/04/2020
CA Brooks & Son	1,141	10/09/2019	3/06/2019
CA Brooks & Son	1,992	6/05/2020	4/04/2020
CA Dixon & KT Dixon & ZT Dixon	1,401	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
CA Dixon & KT Dixon & ZT Dixon	1,945	27/04/2020	9/04/2020
CA Dixon & KT Dixon & ZT Dixon	1,401	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
Caleb Pratt	75,000	17/06/2020	20/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Callowie Proprietors	2,164	25/05/2020	7/05/2020
Callowie Proprietors	2,164	25/05/2020	7/05/2020
Camens Family Trust	75,000	13/05/2020	1/05/2020
Carinya Enterprise Pty Ltd	49,600	24/04/2020	10/02/2020
Carinya Enterprise Pty Ltd	25,400	21/02/2020	10/02/2020
Carn Family Trust	7,500	22/06/2020	19/06/2020
Casella Management Pty Ltd	65,554	17/06/2020	10/06/2020
CB, JJ Greenfield & VJ AJ McTaggart	5,280	5/06/2020	19/05/2020
CB, JJ Greenfield & VJ AJ McTaggart	26,900	5/06/2020	19/05/2020
CH AM DC MJ Zadow	10,308	27/08/2019	11/07/2019
Charlie Ppiros Pty Ltd	21,680	28/02/2020	10/12/2019
Chatenois Pty Ltd	75,000	20/04/2020	1/04/2020
Cherrita Pastoral Pty Ltd	75,000	26/06/2020	1/06/2020
Christine Rowe	347	24/06/2020	15/06/2020
Christopher Coulthard	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
CJ SJ & SL Girdham	9,718	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
CJ SJ & SL Girdham	4,018	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
CK & RA Bowman	5,418	30/06/2020	1/06/2020
CK & RA Bowman	5,418	30/06/2020	1/06/2020
Clarence Harrold Schmidt	1,405	27/03/2020	3/03/2020
Clay Henderson	5,582	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
Clifford Family Trust—Cliffords Honey Farm	44,954	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
Clover Bank Pastoral	75,000	27/03/2020	17/03/2020
Clover Communications Trust	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
CM, RJ & RD Lienert	29,815	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
CN & EL Francis	753	20/03/2020	19/02/2020
CN & SM Florance	75,000	16/03/2020	25/02/2020
Colin Broad Proprietary	5,519	19/06/2020	3/06/2020
Colin Broad Proprietary	5,519	19/06/2020	3/06/2020
Colin H & Carol P Kelsh	575	6/05/2020	20/04/2020
Coodlie Park Pty Ltd	6,650	1/04/2020	10/02/2020
Coodlie Park Pty Ltd	6,650	1/04/2020	10/02/2020
Cooper Family Trust	75,000	24/04/2020	30/03/2020
Coorong Apiaries Pty Ltd	75,000	20/03/2020	2/03/2020
Cox, Paul Simon	75,000	20/03/2020	11/03/2020
CP & SJ Heinjus	4,761	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
CP & SJ Heinjus	4,761	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
CP Germein N & S Trembath	2,113	25/05/2020	17/03/2020
CR & KM Juers	75,000	11/03/2020	21/02/2020



Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
CR & MJ Briese ATF The Briese Trust	2,493	1/10/2019	30/08/2019
CR & RM Schubert	70,303	13/05/2020	29/04/2020
Craig & Tracey Boxer	75,000	10/02/2020	30/01/2020
CRC for High Performance Soils Limited	100,000	1/08/2019	25/10/2017
Crouch Family Trust	1,605	30/07/2019	27/06/2019
CT & RE Watts	5,468	15/04/2020	25/02/2020
CT & RE Watts	5,468	15/04/2020	25/02/2020
CT & SA Hayes Family Trust	75,000	11/03/2020	2/03/2020
D & A M Latorre	412	17/01/2020	10/01/2020
D & A M Latorre	190	24/06/2020	10/01/2020
D C Halloran	75,000	20/03/2020	2/03/2020
D C White	75,000	11/03/2020	25/02/2020
D J Busch & L J Busch	75,000	29/05/2020	19/05/2020
D.C Watts & G.C Watts	75,000	21/02/2020	7/02/2020
D.L Huxtable & L.A Huxtable	58,700	8/05/2020	28/04/2020
D.R Trethewey & J.A Trethewey	13,500	3/06/2020	8/05/2020
D.R Trethewey & J.A Trethewey	75,000	19/02/2020	7/02/2020
DA & KJ & JS & ALN Koch	7,500	5/06/2020	2/06/2020
DA & LJ Jenke	4,270	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
DA Mcdonald, DD Mcdonald & KJ Mcdonald	1,366	13/05/2020	31/05/2019
Darlain Pty Ltd	75,000	27/04/2020	2/04/2020
Darryl Keith Green	836	1/04/2020	19/02/2020
David C & Suzanne P Holt	10,615	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
David C & Suzanne P Holt	8,505	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
David Dare	619	20/01/2020	10/01/2020
David Jenke	646	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
David Jenke	896	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
David Leckie	15,550	27/05/2020	15/05/2020
Davis Tyler Family Trust	75,000	25/03/2020	11/03/2020
Day, Graham Lester	52,800	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
DC Shipard & BK Workman	8,535	6/05/2020	24/04/2020
DC Shipard & BK Workman	7,050	6/05/2020	24/04/2020
DCH & JL Paschke Pty Ltd	75,000	16/03/2020	30/01/2020
Dean Willmott Pty Ltd & D Willmott & P.L Willmott T/A D & PL Willmott	2,296	13/05/2020	5/04/2019
Declan Anthony Hardy Corby	62,000	8/04/2020	30/03/2020
Delfordvale Pty Ltd	75,000	4/05/2020	22/04/2020
Dennis Wedding	75,000	4/03/2020	12/02/2020
DG & JS Robinson	1,732	27/05/2020	14/05/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
DG & JS Robinson	1,732	27/05/2020	14/05/2020
DG & JS Robinson	33,680	25/03/2020	11/03/2020
DG & KW Martin	5,625	27/04/2020	9/04/2020
District Council of Franklin Harbour	499,617	27/04/2020	23/10/2019
District Council of Franklin Harbour	215,000	20/05/2020	23/10/2019
District Council of Franklin Harbour	500,000	24/06/2020	23/10/2019
DJ & JA Atze	838	13/03/2020	29/01/2020
DJ And SJ Dolphin	1,070	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
DJ RM & CJ Matthews	667	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
DJ Turner & JF Turner	29,428	27/04/2020	21/04/2020
DJ Turner & JF Turner	10,536	3/06/2020	21/04/2020
DL & LK Pearson	1,273	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
DL & LK Pearson	1,273	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
DM & LP Christophersen	3,878	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
DM Correll	75,000	20/03/2020	2/03/2020
DM, PF & RN Watson and Trustee for PF& DM Family Trust	25,000	27/04/2020	1/04/2020
DM, PF & RN Watson and Trustee for PF& DM Family Trust	25,000	27/04/2020	1/04/2020
DN & K Mcdonald	5,892	27/04/2020	9/04/2020
DN & LD Mcardle	10,155	4/05/2020	21/04/2020
DN & LD Mcardle	10,155	4/05/2020	21/04/2020
DN & LD Mcardle	75,000	18/02/2020	7/02/2020
DP Clark & JL Clark & KM Clark	1,535	30/06/2020	12/06/2020
DP Clark & JL Clark & KM Clark	1,535	30/06/2020	12/06/2020
DR & HR Wittwer	1,408	13/03/2020	19/02/2020
DR GA & RL Fiebiger	3,285	6/04/2020	18/03/2020
DR GA & RL Fiebiger	3,285	6/04/2020	18/03/2020
DS & LK Hilder	3,529	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
DS & LK Hilder	3,529	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
DT & JM Hill & GM Kellock	21,921	17/06/2020	2/06/2020
Dunstan Family Trust	8,765	9/07/2019	19/06/2019
DW & MJ Henderson Family Trust	12,500	27/04/2020	1/04/2020
DW & MJ Henderson Family Trust	12,500	27/04/2020	1/04/2020
DW Francis & KR Francis	4,425	10/12/2019	26/11/2019
DW Obst & SD Viney	75,000	15/05/2020	1/04/2020
E & K Whale	3,577	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
E & K Whale	3,577	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
E & K Whale	75,000	16/03/2020	4/03/2020
Ebavale Pty Ltd	2,640	8/05/2020	21/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Edcoda Pty Ltd	16,820	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
Edcoda Pty Ltd	6,031	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
EG & AC Fogden	75,000	13/05/2020	1/05/2020
EL & GR & NL & SN Roberts	8,531	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
EL & GR & NL & SN Roberts	8,531	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
EL & MJ Porker	942	20/03/2020	25/02/2020
EL Short & LA Short	75,000	26/02/2020	14/02/2020
Eleanor Downs Pty Ltd	75,000	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
Elke Hocking Consulting	75,000	22/06/2020	27/05/2020
Ella Matta Pastoral	75,000	26/05/2020	25/04/2020
Ellery Props Pty Ltd	3,467	18/07/2019	13/06/2019
Empire Farm Trust	75,000	22/04/2020	1/04/2020
Eric Ashby Nominees Pty Ltd	51,626	27/05/2020	15/05/2020
Erika Noble	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
Evelyn Downs Station	25,000	11/07/2019	27/06/2019
Evfarm Co Pty Ltd	8,528	16/07/2019	14/06/2019
EW Bormann & Partners	5,239	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
F Cane & M K Cane	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Figgery & Kangaroo Island	27,861	14/02/2020	7/02/2020
FJ Atze & NJ Atze	2,876	26/06/2020	12/06/2020
FJ Atze & NJ Atze	2,876	26/06/2020	0/01/1900
FJ Sampson & RJ Lihou	600	25/05/2020	7/05/2020
FJ Sampson & RJ Lihou	621	13/03/2020	19/02/2020
FJ Sampson & RJ Lihou	310	20/04/2020	19/02/2020
FJ Sampson & RJ Lihou	600	25/05/2020	7/05/2020
Florance Family Trust	3,484	1/04/2020	11/03/2020
Florance Family Trust	3,484	1/04/2020	11/03/2020
Florance Family Trust	75,000	15/05/2020	5/05/2020
Franklin Ag Pty Ltd	12,678	17/04/2020	1/04/2020
Franklin Ag Pty Ltd	12,313	17/04/2020	1/04/2020
G & LA Williams	75,000	15/05/2020	11/05/2020
G A & J A Buick	3,891	3/06/2020	11/05/2020
G A & J A Buick	3,891	3/06/2020	11/05/2020
G N Jaensch & J S Jaensch	4,660	13/05/2020	17/04/2020
G P Nielsen	2,775	1/04/2020	16/03/2020
G.N Hancock & J.T Hancock	69,799	4/05/2020	24/04/2020
GA & MP Chapman	2,373	6/03/2020	17/02/2020
GA & MP Chapman	1,186	27/03/2020	17/02/2020
GA & SL Seidel	1,591	8/04/2020	12/02/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
GA & TL Downing	75,000	15/05/2020	9/04/2020
GC & SK Ruwoldt	14,281	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
GC & SK Ruwoldt	14,281	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
GD & ES Doering	544	20/01/2020	10/01/2020
GD & ES Doering	271	20/04/2020	10/01/2020
GD Oliver & WE Oliver	9,160	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
GD Oliver & WE Oliver	1,032	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
GE-Oak	1,559	27/05/2020	4/03/2020
Geoffrey Ian Meaney	1,016	22/04/2020	16/03/2020
Geoffrey Nutt	75,000	20/03/2020	2/03/2020
GG & KM North	1,582	24/06/2020	19/06/2020
Gibbs DM & VK	3,888	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
GJ & AJ Oldfield	1,262	4/03/2020	29/01/2020
GJ & AJ Oldfield	1,150	24/06/2020	12/02/2020
GJ & V Frick	11,625	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
GK & ML Hannemann	11,564	9/01/2020	6/12/2019
GL & TA Johnson Family Trust	1,644	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
Glen Turret Pastoral	525	17/09/2019	28/05/2019
Glen Turret Pastoral	6,501	17/09/2019	28/05/2019
Glencorrie Pty Ltd	75,000	11/03/2020	21/02/2020
Glenfield Lamb Pty Ltd	25,000	29/04/2020	23/04/2020
Glenfield Lamb Pty Ltd	25,000	29/04/2020	23/04/2020
Glenmax Holsteins	75,000	26/02/2020	17/02/2020
GM & JL Obst	75,000	28/02/2020	17/02/2020
GM & MJ Glynn	75,000	16/03/2020	2/03/2020
GM & VR Power	2,436	24/10/2019	13/09/2019
GMRJ Trust	3,923	10/06/2020	28/05/2020
GMRJ Trust	3,923	10/06/2020	28/05/2020
GN & DJ Freeman	5,996	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
GP & LE & PL Barlow	57,065	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
GRA & KM Puckridge	75,000	11/03/2020	27/02/2020
Greg Sand Family Trust	25,000	14/11/2019	23/10/2019
Greg Sand Family Trust	4,540	1/06/2020	23/10/2019
Greg Sand Family Trust	3,888	24/04/2020	23/10/2019
Greg Sand Family Trust	4,540	1/06/2020	23/10/2019
GT & CL Hughes	4,589	29/08/2019	9/08/2019
Gum Creek Marron Farm	75,000	8/04/2020	31/01/2020
Gumlee Pty Ltd	6,391	21/11/2019	31/10/2019
GW & WT Piggott	5,747	3/06/2020	19/05/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
GW & WT Piggott	4,837	3/06/2020	19/05/2020
H Bowley & BL Martin & ED Martin & KA Martin	7,707	22/06/2020	5/06/2020
H Bowley & BL Martin & ED Martin & KA Martin	5,467	22/06/2020	5/06/2020
H.L Mumford & S.A Mumford	75,000	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
Hall & Cryer Holdings	7,283	20/03/2020	28/01/2020
Hall & Cryer Holdings	7,283	20/03/2020	28/01/2020
Hancock Bros	75,000	6/04/2020	31/03/2020
Hancock Farm Trust	3,175	24/06/2020	2/06/2020
Hancock Farm Trust	667	24/06/2020	2/06/2020
Harrison Family Trust	18,685	26/06/2020	22/06/2020
Harwill Park Pty Ltd	73,591	18/03/2020	28/02/2020
HB AG Unit Trust	75,000	23/03/2020	27/02/2020
Hegarty Family Trust	75,000	10/02/2020	28/01/2020
Henry Riggs	75,000	21/02/2020	12/02/2020
Henry Ringwood	75,000	22/04/2020	20/03/2020
Hibble, Mark Leonard	75,000	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
HM & JM Kelly	8,015	18/07/2019	13/06/2019
HM & JM Kelly	3,593	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
Hooper Honey	75,000	25/03/2020	11/03/2020
Hurtlegrove Pastoral Co	17,977	1/10/2019	6/09/2019
Ildoura Wild Fruits KI	75,000	20/03/2020	4/03/2020
Indigenous Land Corporation	70,000	19/06/2020	1/04/2020
Inglewood Proprietors Pty Ltd	25,000	12/06/2020	11/05/2020
Inglewood Proprietors Pty Ltd	2,077	12/06/2020	11/05/2020
Ingomar Pastoral Company	22,761	10/12/2019	26/11/2019
Ingomar Pastoral Company	2,239	22/06/2020	11/06/2020
Ingomar Pastoral Company	24,419	22/06/2020	11/06/2020
IR & D Rowett	8,937	8/08/2019	19/07/2019
IR & JJ Pfitzner	64,125	22/06/2020	12/06/2020
Island Beehive Pty Ltd	75,000	15/05/2020	24/02/2020
IW & KR Heidrich	389	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
J & K Pym Family Trust	3,749	1/06/2020	18/05/2020
J & K Pym Family Trust	984	1/06/2020	18/05/2020
J A Rover And M J Rover	2,257	22/05/2020	25/02/2020
J Ball Contracting	14,900	29/04/2020	13/03/2020
J Ball Contracting	11,526	3/04/2020	13/03/2020
J Braakman & D B Lock	75,000	27/05/2020	15/05/2020
J H And B M Wiadrowski	1,043	27/05/2020	7/05/2020
J H And B M Wiadrowski	1,043	27/05/2020	7/05/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
J N & E D Niejalke	3,881	7/11/2019	11/10/2019
J N & E D Niejalke	2,703	30/01/2020	11/10/2019
J N & E D Niejalke	2,703	30/01/2020	11/10/2019
J N & E D Niejalke	9,286	3/04/2020	11/10/2019
J.G. & S Weckert Pty Ltd	5,571	13/08/2019	23/07/2019
J.M Boyle & D.J Willmott	75,000	18/03/2020	2/03/2020
JA & AC Ness	75,000	20/03/2020	4/03/2020
JA & CA Michalk	1,833	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
JA & JR Bruhn	1,442	13/03/2020	19/02/2020
JA & PA Powell	75,000	29/05/2020	26/05/2020
JA Allen & KL Allen & SR Allen	3,642	12/02/2020	29/01/2020
JA Allen & KL Allen & SR Allen	1,821	3/04/2020	29/01/2020
JA Boyle & CL Joseph	75,000	28/02/2020	12/02/2020
JA Shacklepond & Sons	1,435	1/04/2020	17/03/2020
Jack T Bricknell	56,500	25/05/2020	15/05/2020
James Drummond	13,539	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
James Wandel	75,000	4/05/2020	27/04/2020
Jason Grant Allen	19,309	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
Jason Grant Allen	10,028	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
JB & Sed Gould	75,000	27/05/2020	18/05/2020
JB Proctor & PR Proctor	2,138	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
JB Proctor & PR Proctor	1,068	24/06/2020	4/03/2020
Jebsta Farming	75,000	16/03/2020	21/02/2020
JF & JA & KB & RM Trethewey	2,804	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
JF & JA & KB & RM Trethewey	2,804	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
JG & KM Eatts	4,966	20/03/2020	12/02/2020
JH & LR Arthur	1,133	18/07/2019	7/05/2019
JI DA & PJ Mcinerney	2,588	15/08/2019	22/07/2019
Jindalee Enterprises Pty Ltd & Roger Mullan Family	6,220	3/06/2020	19/05/2020
JJ & EH Nicholas	1,236	17/09/2019	22/08/2019
JJ Carey & JK Carey & TI Carey	1,816	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
JK Maxwell & KA Maxwell	880	25/05/2020	2/04/2020
JK Schulz & KS Schulz	2,394	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
JM & JK Jones	4,657	10/06/2020	21/05/2020
JM & JK Jones	4,657	10/06/2020	21/05/2020
JM Fennell & FE Lumb	23,498	5/09/2019	16/08/2019
JM Fennell & FE Lumb	11,714	1/05/2020	22/03/2019
JM Fennell & FE Lumb	14,789	1/05/2020	22/03/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
JN CH DS & LS Evans	4,931	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
Jogilma EH Trust	4,510	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
John Lloyd	1,339	17/09/2019	30/08/2019
John Warwick Pty Ltd	15,051	27/05/2020	5/05/2020
John Warwick Pty Ltd	1,952	27/05/2020	5/05/2020
Joshua Porker	1,153	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
JR & AS Villis	5,790	5/06/2020	28/05/2020
JR & AS Villis	3,129	5/06/2020	28/05/2020
JR & TS Flavell	3,750	6/05/2020	28/04/2020
JR & TS Flavell	3,750	6/05/2020	28/04/2020
JRT (SA) Enterprises	59,308	30/03/2020	22/01/2020
JRT (SA) Enterprises	15,962	31/01/2020	22/01/2020
JW, LA & LJ Sander	2,603	24/04/2020	4/03/2020
Kangaroo Creek Marron	62,500	13/03/2020	31/01/2020
Kangaroo Island Fresh Garlic	75,000	15/05/2020	11/05/2020
Kangaroo Island Freshwater Crayfish	75,000	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
Kangaroo Island Living Honey	21,849	30/03/2020	18/03/2020
Kangaroo Island Natural Honey	75,000	17/04/2020	18/03/2020
Kangaroo Island Prime Livestock	75,000	4/03/2020	21/02/2020
Kangaroo Island Prime Livestock	75,000	15/05/2020	21/02/2020
Kangaroo Island Seed Potato	75,000	6/03/2020	24/02/2020
Katham Springs	3,176	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Katham Springs	2,337	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Katham Springs	75,000	10/06/2020	29/05/2020
Kaye Dianne Wicker	1,310	6/05/2020	29/04/2020
Kazway Contracting	6,036	27/04/2020	28/05/2019
Kazway Contracting	3,247	27/04/2020	17/04/2020
KD, MB, RD & WD Stanton	75,000	25/03/2020	10/02/2020
KD, MB, RD & WD Stanton	75,000	15/04/2020	18/03/2020
KD, MB, RD & WD Stanton	75,000	25/03/2020	18/03/2020
KE & MP Clarke & Co	1,096	29/04/2020	4/03/2020
KE HA & BK Ohlmeyer	2,272	6/03/2020	12/02/2020
Keith T & Erica M Bolto	13,945	19/06/2020	18/05/2020
Keith T & Erica M Bolto	9,273	19/06/2020	18/05/2020
Kelli Park	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
KH & PN Fielding	4,504	17/02/2020	31/01/2020
Kice Pty Ltd	75,000	25/05/2020	11/05/2020
Kilpuruna Vineyards P/L	6,161	25/05/2020	21/04/2020
Kilpuruna Vineyards P/L	6,161	25/05/2020	21/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Kim Klose	268	17/02/2020	29/01/2020
Kinmana Organics	2,333	20/03/2020	10/01/2020
Kinmana Organics	1,167	27/03/2020	10/01/2020
KJ & AK Eichler	358	1/04/2020	16/03/2020
KJ & AK Eichler	178	1/04/2020	16/03/2020
KJ Anderson & MG Wirthensohn	75,000	13/05/2020	14/04/2020
KK Pledge & SR Pledge	510	24/02/2020	6/12/2019
KK Pledge & SR Pledge	510	24/02/2020	6/12/2019
KK Pledge & SR Pledge	1,020	24/04/2020	6/12/2019
KK Pledge & SR Pledge	30,670	17/06/2020	4/02/2020
KL, MS & BA Scobie	6,252	29/05/2020	15/05/2020
Kokatha Pastoral Pty Ltd	40,000	24/06/2020	13/05/2020
Kowald Farm Partnership	75,000	16/03/2020	4/03/2020
KW & Amg Dawes	227	17/06/2020	4/06/2020
KW & Amg Dawes	5,925	17/06/2020	4/06/2020
KZ & CL Scholz	3,184	8/05/2020	23/04/2020
L Ellul	51,500	15/04/2020	17/03/2020
LA Hanna & SK Hanna	20,000	26/02/2020	17/02/2020
LA Hanna & SK Hanna	55,000	6/04/2020	17/02/2020
LA Wilkey	20,000	26/02/2020	12/02/2020
Lacharily Pty Ltd	4,023	8/08/2019	8/07/2019
Larcombe Family Trust	75,000	3/04/2020	13/03/2020
Larwood Investments Pty Ltd	19,552	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
Larwood Investments Pty Ltd	682	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
LB Davis & PW Southern	75,000	15/05/2020	21/04/2020
LB Oborn & PS Oborn	75,000	17/02/2020	4/02/2020
LC Bubner Nominees Pty Ltd	4,602	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
LC Bubner Nominees Pty Ltd	4,291	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
LD & MR Liebelt	1,212	13/03/2020	12/02/2020
Leeanne Kaye Noske	75,000	17/02/2020	4/02/2020
Leonard Wicks	56,885	15/05/2020	11/05/2020
LG Williams	7,309	5/09/2019	9/08/2019
Liaway Pty Ltd	6,150	2/01/2020	26/11/2019
Limevale Farming Pty Ltd	75,000	18/03/2020	2/03/2020
Linga Longa Farming Trust	9,327	15/08/2019	23/07/2019
Livestock SA	10,000	12/02/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA	54,000	30/06/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA	180,000	23/03/2020	12/03/2020
LJ & KA Cattle	5,817	26/06/2020	15/06/2020



Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
LJ & KA Cattle	5,340	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
LJJ Enterprises Pty Ltd	75,000	22/04/2020	2/04/2020
LK & RC Reichelt	5,317	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
LK & RC Reichelt	1,727	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Lloyd M & Christine J Berry	18,153	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
Lloyd M & Christine J Berry	18,153	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
LM & PA Glare & the Trustee for Glare Family Trust	899	22/05/2020	4/03/2020
Locklands Pastoral Pty Ltd	75,000	25/03/2020	4/03/2020
Lonely Tree Vineyards	29,713	14/02/2020	12/02/2020
Lonely Tree Vineyards	21,218	16/03/2020	12/02/2020
Lonely Tree Vineyards	6,902	16/03/2020	12/02/2020
LP Herbig & DR Herbig	7,644	25/05/2020	25/04/2020
LS Edwards & R DE Saxe	75,000	2/03/2020	21/02/2020
LT & NH Alderson	5,460	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
LT & NH Alderson	5,460	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
LT Honner & VS Honner	3,616	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
Lucas Boyle	75,000	30/03/2020	16/03/2020
Lyndavale Pty Ltd	25,000	10/12/2019	31/10/2019
Lyndavale Pty Ltd	2,398	20/03/2020	26/11/2019
Lyndavale Pty Ltd	2,398	20/03/2020	26/11/2019
M & J Family Trust	44,500	13/05/2020	15/04/2020
M Blefari, S Blefari & V Blefari	75,000	22/04/2020	3/04/2020
M Smith & R Smith	75,000	22/04/2020	1/04/2020
M W F & E M Burns	1,384	26/11/2019	1/11/2019
MA & CF Higgins	1,516	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
MA & CF Higgins	1,516	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
MA & HE Nicholls	5,147	1/04/2020	17/03/2020
MA & HE Nicholls	75,000	1/04/2020	20/03/2020
Macaw Peak Farms	3,304	16/07/2019	27/06/2019
Mannahill Pastoral Services	8,580	17/09/2019	27/08/2019
Maramville Farm Trust	2,314	27/08/2019	22/07/2019
Maramville Farm Trust	2,747	24/06/2020	22/07/2019
Maramville Farm Trust	2,747	24/06/2020	22/07/2019
Marbrum Downs Pty Ltd	2,829	10/12/2019	31/10/2019
Mark Gordon	12,607	26/06/2020	12/06/2020
Mark Gordon	8,063	26/06/2020	12/06/2020
Marmon Pastoral Pty Ltd	16,381	1/10/2019	13/09/2019
Mary Lou Oldfield	75,000	1/05/2020	14/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Matthew David Dunn	75,000	15/04/2020	11/03/2020
Matthews Cootra Trust	1,949	19/09/2019	22/08/2019
Matthews Cootra Trust	7,711	26/06/2020	22/08/2019
Matthews Cootra Trust	8,889	26/06/2020	22/08/2019
Maureen Noske	26,000	15/05/2020	11/05/2020
Maurice J & Debra M Hombsch	11,638	27/04/2020	24/03/2020
Maurice J & Debra M Hombsch	3,624	27/04/2020	24/03/2020
Mawarra Livestock Co	75,000	27/04/2020	21/04/2020
Mayhem Pastoral	75,000	1/04/2020	5/03/2020
MC & LK Schneider	1,152	9/07/2019	3/06/2019
MC & LK Schneider	3,155	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
MC & LK Schneider	3,299	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
McFarlane Pastoral	8,092	3/06/2020	18/05/2020
McFarlane Pastoral	8,092	3/06/2020	18/05/2020
McKinnon Judith	75,000	3/04/2020	18/03/2020
Megan Nancye Short	13,623	6/03/2020	25/02/2020
Megan Nancye Short	3,253	17/06/2020	25/02/2020
Megan Nancye Short	151	17/02/2020	31/01/2020
Megan Nancye Short	13,623	6/03/2020	25/02/2020
Megan Nancye Short	3,253	17/06/2020	25/02/2020
MG & TM Smith	75,000	1/04/2020	20/03/2020
MG Green & The Max Green Absolute Family Trust	75,000	4/05/2020	23/04/2020
MHA & RM Walsh	75,000	20/03/2020	4/03/2020
MI & AK Baldock Family Trust	75,000	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
Michael Bowe Vineyards	75,000	25/03/2020	17/03/2020
Michael Jon Geursen	75,000	28/02/2020	24/02/2020
Michael Mccallum	685	10/09/2019	16/08/2019
Michael Mccallum	273	27/03/2020	16/03/2020
Michael Sandland	650	25/05/2020	6/04/2020
Mile Creek Pty Ltd	3,683	30/07/2019	11/07/2019
Mills Farming	75,000	24/04/2020	30/03/2020
Minburra Operating Trust	25,000	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Minburra Operating Trust	25,000	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Mine Creek Partners	75,000	17/04/2020	26/03/2020
MJ & M Tremaine	75,000	25/03/2020	2/03/2020
MJ & PA Leonard	2,811	26/02/2020	4/02/2020
MJ Atze & TJ Atze	5,325	28/02/2020	20/01/2020
MJ Atze & TJ Atze	5,325	28/02/2020	20/01/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
MJ Cutting & SB Cutting	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
MJ Schuppan & Co	2,428	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
MJ Schuppan & Co	2,428	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
MJ Sparks & SC Sparks	3,596	19/06/2020	28/05/2020
MJ Sparks & SC Sparks	1,649	19/06/2020	28/05/2020
MJJ Hannaford	75,000	24/04/2020	17/03/2020
MK & YL Schmidt	3,266	10/06/2020	4/06/2020
ML & SM Borgas	1,458	26/11/2019	16/08/2019
MM & NA Glynn	5,937	24/04/2020	11/03/2020
MM & NA Glynn	5,937	24/04/2020	11/03/2020
MM & RM Florance	75,000	23/03/2020	11/03/2020
Moonaree Station Pty Ltd	25,000	7/11/2019	28/06/2019
Mount Beevor Pty Ltd	75,000	8/05/2020	29/04/2020
MP & RL Deer	1,826	14/11/2019	23/10/2019
MS & DK Kassebaum	6,291	26/02/2020	5/02/2020
Mt Boothby Pastoral Co Pty Ltd	25,000	17/12/2019	15/11/2019
Mt Boothby Pastoral Co Pty Ltd	1,205	15/04/2020	15/11/2019
Mt Eba Pty Ltd	17,503	27/05/2020	18/05/2020
MT Piggott	960	26/06/2020	1/06/2020
MT Piggott	960	26/06/2020	1/06/2020
Mulberry Gardens Investment	6,104	6/05/2020	20/04/2020
Mulberry Gardens Investment	3,338	6/05/2020	20/04/2020
MW & DL Bowden	75,000	22/04/2020	1/04/2020
MW & SA Scroop	19,639	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
MW & SL Smith	75,000	3/04/2020	16/03/2020
N W Carr & D C White	75,000	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
NA Correll Farm Trust	9,335	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
NA Correll Farm Trust	9,065	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
Narrioota Pty Ltd	13,681	12/06/2020	28/05/2020
Narrioota Pty Ltd	12,403	12/06/2020	28/05/2020
Narungga Nation Aboriginal Corporation	40,000	11/07/2019	7/06/2019
ND & JM Herrmann	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
ND Graeber & M Graeber	75,000	22/04/2020	30/03/2020
Neales River Livestock	24,837	16/07/2019	13/06/2019
Neales River Livestock	163	10/06/2020	13/06/2019
Neales River Livestock	25,000	10/06/2020	13/06/2019
Neville Hoffrichter	3,313	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
Neville Hoffrichter	580	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
Neville S & Leanne K Parker	2,874	26/06/2020	15/06/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
NG & DL Kitson	4,454	11/07/2019	7/05/2019
NH & LA Maczkowiack	637	25/05/2020	17/03/2020
Nigel G & Simon J Murton	75,000	15/05/2020	5/05/2020
NJ & EL Piggott	5,427	24/06/2020	5/06/2020
NK & SE Foulis	1,776	31/10/2019	4/10/2019
North Coast Initiatives	75,000	1/04/2020	18/03/2020
North Coast Pastoral Co	75,000	24/04/2020	30/03/2020
Noske Pastoral Co P/L	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
NP & BP Saegenschnitter	2,394	4/05/2020	16/04/2020
NP & BP Saegenschnitter	3,943	4/05/2020	9/04/2020
NP & BP Saegenschnitter	2,394	4/05/2020	16/04/2020
NR Speed & A Kessell	75,000	18/03/2020	25/02/2020
NS & TJ Rover	2,342	22/05/2020	9/04/2020
NW & GA Harris	1,719	27/05/2020	20/04/2020
Oakley Partners	13,242	24/04/2020	14/04/2020
Oakley Partners	8,495	24/04/2020	14/04/2020
Oakvale Station Pty Ltd	25,000	27/08/2019	14/08/2019
Oolamont Family Trust	4,624	6/03/2020	4/02/2020
Oolamont Family Trust	4,624	6/03/2020	4/02/2020
Orchard View Unit Trust	1,267	3/04/2020	12/02/2020
Oulnina Nominees Pty Ltd	25,000	20/08/2019	6/08/2019
Owen Chandler Trust	13,048	3/06/2020	15/05/2020
Owen Chandler Trust	3,571	3/06/2020	15/05/2020
P.I Valkenburg & S.A	15,728	27/04/2020	30/03/2020
PA & BA Rudiger	2,176	6/03/2020	19/02/2020
PA Webb & JL Webb	3,725	5/06/2020	2/06/2020
Pampalla Farm	75,000	26/02/2020	12/02/2020
Parmaree Farm Trust	75,000	6/05/2020	1/04/2020
Parsons, Peter Charles	75,000	8/05/2020	20/03/2020
Paul Stanton	75,000	25/03/2020	25/02/2020
Paul Vivian	75,000	23/03/2020	2/03/2020
Paxton Ag Pty Ltd	25,000	4/05/2020	22/04/2020
Paxton Ag Pty Ltd	25,000	4/05/2020	22/04/2020
PDL Thomas	3,405	10/09/2019	23/08/2019
Peter G & Leanne G Leedham	1,495	4/05/2020	21/04/2020
Peter Murray Family Trust No 3	75,000	4/03/2020	17/02/2020
Philip Noske	3,470	25/03/2020	17/03/2020
Philip White	15,371	26/06/2020	10/06/2020
Philip George Ferguson	875	8/05/2020	25/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Phillip Dohnt Contracting	1,778	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
Phillip Edwin Maguire	75,000	20/03/2020	4/03/2020
Piaget Investment Trust	75,000	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
Pitla Farming Trust	18,153	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
Pitla Farming Trust	8,091	22/06/2020	10/06/2020
PJ & K Lovering	12,082	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
PJ & K Lovering	9,874	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
PJ & MG Edwards	75,000	27/05/2020	15/05/2020
PJ Browne & SK Browne	2,130	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
PJ Browne & SK Browne	2,220	8/05/2020	24/04/2020
PJN Pty Ltd	75,000	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
PM Schiller	761	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
Pocock Family Trust	25,000	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
Pocock Family Trust	25,000	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
Potatoes South Australia Inc	7,359	24/06/2020	26/03/2020
PP & GR Ryan	4,721	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
PP & GR Ryan	499	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
PR & ST Morris	5,720	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
PR & ST Morris	5,720	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
PT Zanker Farming Trust	4,076	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
PT Zanker Farming Trust	4,076	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Puddy Pastoral Trust	1,120	27/05/2020	25/05/2020
Puddy Pastoral Trust	1,120	27/05/2020	25/05/2020
PW & AC Needs & Co	6,167	10/09/2019	23/08/2019
Pympton Family Trust	7,147	2/07/2019	28/05/2019
R & RA Benney	75,000	28/02/2020	14/02/2020
R & TK Singh Pty Ltd	2,793	20/03/2020	25/02/2020
R A & Y G Hams	75,000	13/05/2020	2/04/2020
R Clark & D.J Gropler	1,292	4/05/2020	20/04/2020
R J And V J Florance	65,900	15/05/2020	5/05/2020
R J Michael Proprietors	25,000	27/08/2019	8/07/2019
R M J Guthrie	5,715	8/04/2020	17/03/2020
R Talbot & S Talbot	1,818	22/05/2020	20/04/2020
R Talbot & S Talbot	418	22/05/2020	20/04/2020
RA & AE Morris	9,101	3/06/2020	7/05/2020
RA & AE Morris	9,101	3/06/2020	7/05/2020
RA & ML Harvey Pty Ltd	1,361	5/11/2019	3/10/2019
Rachel Anne Chirgwin	5,824	22/04/2020	1/04/2020
Rachel Anne Chirgwin	5,824	22/04/2020	1/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Rangelea Park Pty Ltd	75,000	18/03/2020	2/03/2020
RB & JJ Riggs Pty Ltd	19,489	30/07/2019	12/07/2019
RB & LM Couchman	75,000	13/03/2020	25/02/2020
RB & SA Talbot	75,000	16/03/2020	26/02/2020
RC & RL Noack	2,646	27/08/2019	6/08/2019
RD & MA Baxter Pty Ltd	75,000	29/04/2020	18/03/2020
RD Ahrns & SA Ahrns	925	5/06/2020	2/06/2020
RDG Watson & JA Maczkowiack	680	16/07/2019	27/06/2019
RE & KL Kowald	71,500	30/03/2020	4/03/2020
Reedy Creek Pty Ltd	75,000	30/04/2020	5/03/2020
Regional Development Australia	10,000	22/05/2020	8/03/2019
RG & RG Green	70,330	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Richard Afford	818	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
Richard Young	75,000	18/03/2020	4/03/2020
Ricky A & Anne E Morris	75,000	26/02/2020	11/02/2020
Ridgway Proprietors	6,487	27/04/2020	21/04/2020
Ridgway Proprietors	7,025	27/04/2020	21/04/2020
Rivervale Farm	75,000	4/05/2020	23/04/2020
RJ & AJ Bury	5,148	26/06/2020	4/06/2020
RJ & AJ Bury	5,148	26/06/2020	4/06/2020
RJ & JL Walsh	5,364	26/06/2020	5/06/2020
RJ & JL Walsh	5,120	26/06/2020	5/06/2020
RJ & MJ Vogt	5,167	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
RJ & MJ Vogt	5,167	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
RJ & PM Rover	3,301	13/03/2020	12/02/2020
RJ & PM Rover	1,650	1/04/2020	12/02/2020
RL Cootes & LJ McHugh	75,000	12/02/2020	31/01/2020
RM & CE Cohen	12,500	27/03/2020	18/03/2020
Robert Fechner	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
Robert Hams	44,500	25/05/2020	20/04/2020
Roberts & Co Pty Ltd	1,784	3/06/2020	20/05/2020
Roberts & Co Pty Ltd	1,784	3/06/2020	20/05/2020
Ronald Baker	32,808	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
Rosario Virgara	59,501	26/02/2020	11/02/2020
Rosario Virgara	14,000	22/04/2020	9/04/2020
RR & JA Wilmshurst	1,337	27/03/2020	3/03/2020
RT & AM Piggott	287	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
RT & TL Gladigau	75,000	28/02/2020	19/02/2020
Rural Business Support Service	305,455	26/06/2020	12/03/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
RW & MA Kakoschke	41,200	19/02/2020	31/01/2020
S & C Collins	605	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
S A Moore And J I Sandow	5,297	3/06/2020	14/05/2020
S A Moore And J I Sandow	5,297	3/06/2020	14/05/2020
S And A Farms P/L	75,000	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
SA & LJ Nitschke	2,261	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
SA Organics Pty Ltd	480	24/06/2020	19/06/2020
SA Rowsell	75,000	28/02/2020	17/02/2020
Salem Bridge Trust	15,991	21/02/2020	10/02/2020
Saul Webb	6,918	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Saul Webb	4,280	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Scaffidi Estates Pty Ltd	5,000	19/06/2020	2/06/2020
Schutz Hillsvie Trading	4,104	17/04/2020	1/04/2020
Schutz Hillsvie Trading	4,428	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
Scott Antony Wilson	12,735	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Scott Antony Wilson	12,735	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Scott Antony Wilson	47,100	18/03/2020	5/03/2020
Scott Antony Wilson	27,900	15/05/2020	5/03/2020
Scott Bartlett	1,092	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
Scott Bartlett	874	27/03/2020	4/03/2020
Scott Bartlett	297	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
SE & JL Weckert Family Trust	3,344	27/05/2020	8/05/2020
SE & TM Nayda	1,088	21/02/2020	5/02/2020
Seemor Pastoral Co	3,126	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
Seemor Pastoral Co	3,126	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
Shane Charles Leahy	75,000	4/03/2020	25/02/2020
Shane Rathjen	8,001	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Shane Rathjen	866	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Simon Tolley Lodge	75,000	18/03/2020	2/03/2020
SJ & JA Schutz & Son	2,970	4/03/2020	12/02/2020
SJ & TD Strauss	2,063	16/07/2019	24/06/2019
SJ Bald & RJ Kelly-Bald	75,000	19/06/2020	5/06/2020
SJ Chase Family Trust	3,489	19/06/2020	1/06/2020
SJ Ross & TR Ross	75,000	25/03/2020	5/03/2020
SL AJ & BJ Niemz	1,905	16/07/2019	27/06/2019
SL AJ & BJ Niemz	3,609	25/05/2020	27/06/2019
SL AJ & BJ Niemz	3,609	25/05/2020	1/05/2020
Smimac Farming Trust	3,571	9/07/2019	13/06/2019
Snoweys	862	8/05/2020	22/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Snoweys	862	8/05/2020	22/04/2020
Sommerville Partners	519	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Sommerville Partners	1,812	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Spektrum Pty Ltd	8,500	16/07/2019	24/06/2019
SR & Lvm Morgan	75,000	22/04/2020	3/04/2020
St Flowers	75,000	3/04/2020	26/03/2020
Stafford Ridge Vineyards	75,000	27/04/2020	21/04/2020
Stephens & Stephens	75,000	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Steven Holland	75,000	16/03/2020	27/02/2020
Steven John Childs	75,000	4/05/2020	23/04/2020
Stott Ag Services	75,000	8/05/2020	27/04/2020
Stradbroke 2 Family Trust	8,187	1/05/2020	24/04/2020
Stradbroke 2 Family Trust	8,187	1/05/2020	24/04/2020
Sturt Vale Pastoral Pty Ltd	23,073	20/04/2020	3/04/2020
Sturt Vale Pastoral Pty Ltd	23,073	20/04/2020	3/04/2020
Sturt Vale Pastoral Pty Ltd	3,855	5/06/2020	3/04/2020
SW & SM Riley	75,000	28/02/2020	14/02/2020
T A & R A Willmott	75,000	17/02/2020	5/02/2020
T S And T J Shipard	2,307	25/05/2020	8/05/2020
T S And T J Shipard	2,045	25/05/2020	8/05/2020
TA & DH Philp	2,061	2/01/2020	26/11/2019
TD & PK & JT Barlow	75,000	10/06/2020	28/05/2020
Teakles Hill Wines	9,400	4/03/2020	21/02/2020
Teakles Hill Wines	8,087	18/03/2020	21/02/2020
Teakles Hill Wines	4,000	22/04/2020	21/02/2020
Teakles Hill Wines	4,000	30/06/2020	21/02/2020
The Bartlett Family Trust	484	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
The Bartlett Family Trust	484	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
The Islander Estate Vineyard	75,000	10/02/2020	31/01/2020
The Plueckhahn Holdings Trust	3,895	30/06/2020	4/06/2020
The Plueckhahn Holdings Trust	3,895	30/06/2020	4/06/2020
The Tilba Trust (Tilba Downs)	2,625	3/06/2020	11/05/2020
The Tilba Trust (Tilba Downs)	2,050	3/06/2020	11/05/2020
The Trustee for Andrew Cooper Family Trust	19,060	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
The Trustee for Andrew Cooper Family Trust	25,370	4/05/2020	24/04/2020
The Trustee for Andrew Cooper Family Trust	25,000	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
The Trustee for Beinke Family Trust	697	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
The Trustee for Beinke Family Trust	697	19/06/2020	10/06/2020
The Trustee for Craig Barns Family Trust	1,573	30/06/2020	15/06/2020



Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
The Trustee for Craig Barns Family Trust	1,573	30/06/2020	15/06/2020
The Trustee for Geoffrey Family Trust	23,873	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
The Trustee for Geoffrey Family Trust	23,873	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
The Trustee for Glenurra Trust	1,531	3/04/2020	24/03/2020
The Trustee for Glenurra Trust	3,969	25/05/2020	5/05/2020
The Trustee for Glenurra Trust	1,531	3/04/2020	24/03/2020
The Trustee for Golding Vineyards Trust	75,000	11/05/2020	14/04/2020
The Trustee for Graham Ragless Family Trust	12,314	26/06/2020	12/06/2020
The Trustee for Graham Ragless Family Trust	13,712	26/06/2020	12/06/2020
The Trustee for Hall Farm Trust	2,976	21/02/2020	20/01/2020
The Trustee for Hall Farm Trust	1,467	8/04/2020	20/01/2020
The Trustee for Island Horticultural Trust	75,000	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
The Trustee for J & J Maloney Family Trust	75,000	3/06/2020	21/05/2020
The Trustee for J & R Gosling Family Trust	2,620	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
The Trustee for Lange Family Trust	5,248	30/04/2020	2/04/2020
The Trustee for Lange Family Trust	5,171	30/04/2020	2/04/2020
The Trustee for Morella Vineyards Trust	4,069	26/06/2020	1/06/2020
The Trustee for Moten Family Trust	3,042	30/07/2019	11/07/2019
The Trustee for Pinery Farm Trust	75,000	4/05/2020	27/04/2020
The Trustee for Riverview Trust	2,376	24/04/2020	21/04/2020
The Trustee for Riverview Trust	2,376	24/04/2020	21/04/2020
The Trustee for TCC & AL White Family Trust	49,165	13/05/2020	5/05/2020
The Trustee for The Carcesca Trust	75,000	3/06/2020	22/05/2020
The Trustee for the Gregor Farming Trust	75,000	15/04/2020	20/03/2020
The Trustee for the Gregor Farming Trust	14,051	27/05/2020	12/05/2020
The Trustee for the Gregor Farming Trust	11,209	27/05/2020	12/05/2020
The Trustee for the Gregor Farming Trust	75,000	22/04/2020	20/03/2020
The Trustee for the Kukakunga Family Trust	75,000	27/04/2020	9/04/2020
The Trustee for the Kunoth Family Trust	3,092	8/08/2019	8/07/2019
The Trustee for the Schubert Family Trust	4,709	6/05/2020	25/04/2020
The Trustee for the SPJ Hoffrichter Family Trust	13,739	24/04/2020	18/03/2020
The Trustee for the SPJ Hoffrichter Family Trust	10,439	24/04/2020	18/03/2020
The Trustee for the Towri Trust	961	14/02/2020	20/01/2020
The Trustee for the Towri Trust	961	14/02/2020	20/01/2020
The Trustee for Morella Vineyards Trust	4,069	26/06/2020	1/06/2020
The Wine Grape Council of SA	4,943	5/06/2020	26/04/2020
Thornby Pty Ltd	12,144	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
Thornby Pty Ltd	4,299	10/06/2020	1/06/2020
Thornby Pty Ltd	75,000	1/04/2020	20/03/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
TJ & SL Modystach	1,386	24/01/2020	15/01/2020
TJ Lally & Co	3,414	1/10/2019	2/09/2019
TK Luckraft	1,605	1/04/2020	4/03/2020
TK Luckraft	802	1/04/2020	4/03/2020
TM & JA Fairey	3,585	8/08/2019	15/07/2019
Todmorden Cattle Company	25,000	15/10/2019	23/08/2019
Tom Kelsh Farming Trust	4,571	29/08/2019	11/04/2019
Tony Leigh Francis	164	22/05/2020	4/03/2020
Tony Riggs Family Trust	75,000	20/03/2020	2/03/2020
Torbrech DM Haby	75,000	16/03/2020	4/03/2020
TP & CM May	75,000	15/05/2020	7/05/2020
Trevor Linke	1,071	8/05/2020	29/04/2020
Trustee for 33 Macclesfield Road Meadows Unit Trust	9,816	21/02/2020	11/02/2020
Trustee for 33 Macclesfield Road Meadows Unit Trust	61,200	29/04/2020	11/02/2020
Trustee for Ashco Enterprises	7,641	12/06/2020	11/05/2020
Trustee for Ashco Enterprises	2,738	12/06/2020	11/05/2020
Trustee for Bealdan Estate	4,620	27/05/2020	8/05/2020
Trustee for Bealdan Estate	849	27/05/2020	8/05/2020
Trustee for Cactus Creek Trust	2,094	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
Trustee for Cactus Creek Trust	2,134	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
Trustee for Calcookara Trading Trust	3,613	22/05/2020	20/04/2020
Trustee for Cambourn Trust	1,071	27/05/2020	11/03/2020
Trustee for Cambourn Trust	1,071	27/05/2020	11/03/2020
Trustee for Carawood Trust	4,822	27/05/2020	26/05/2020
Trustee for Denis Kenny Family Trust	2,484	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
Trustee for Denis Kenny Family Trust	2,484	30/06/2020	25/06/2020
Trustee for J Koch Family Trust	1,340	27/05/2020	7/05/2020
Trustee for J Koch Family Trust	1,101	27/05/2020	7/05/2020
Trustee for John Gilbertson Trust	5,425	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
Trustee for John Gilbertson Trust	5,425	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
Trustee for McInerney Farms Trust	5,087	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
Trustee for McInerney Farms Trust	5,087	29/05/2020	18/05/2020
Trustee for MJ & PB Hebbeman Family Trust	3,452	30/06/2020	24/06/2020
Trustee for MJ & PB Hebbeman Family Trust	2,298	30/06/2020	24/06/2020
Trustee for Modytrans Trust	712	20/05/2020	29/01/2020
Trustee for Nanbona Pastoral Trust	3,912	29/05/2020	20/05/2020
Trustee for Nanbona Pastoral Trust	2,151	29/05/2020	20/05/1900
Trustee for Parosa Trust	19,500	27/05/2020	15/05/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Trustee for PVS Pastoral Trust	82	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Trustee for Simounds Farm Family Trust	1,296	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Trustee for Simounds Farm Family Trust	431	27/05/2020	11/05/2020
Trustee for The Alcock Family Trust	1,131	20/05/2020	25/02/2020
Trustee for The Bald Family Trust	7,929	3/06/2020	8/05/2020
Trustee for The Bald Family Trust	8,275	3/06/2020	8/05/2020
Trustee for The Bennett Farm Trust	2,432	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
Trustee for The Bennett Farm Trust	4,012	5/06/2020	21/05/2020
Trustee for The Wartaka Trust	25,000	3/06/2020	25/04/2020
Trustee for The Wartaka Trust	10,615	3/06/2020	25/04/2020
Trustee for Turnbull Family Property Trust	75,000	27/05/2020	18/05/2020
Tullabrin Pastoral Trust	3,643	19/06/2020	4/06/2020
Tullabrin Pastoral Trust	3,231	19/06/2020	4/06/2020
TW & R Howard	5,438	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
TW & R Howard	3,674	24/06/2020	12/06/2020
Tyringa Farming Trust	2,844	24/06/2020	1/06/2020
Tyringa Farming Trust	1,792	24/06/2020	1/06/2020
Uvae Pty Ltd	18,987	14/02/2020	30/01/2020
Uvae Pty Ltd	38,210	25/05/2020	30/01/2020
Uvae Pty Ltd	3,208	30/06/2020	30/01/2020
V L & J K Eichler	1,058	13/05/2020	20/04/2020
VD & PJ Tomney Pty Ltd	2,917	21/11/2019	31/10/2019
Vincent N & Yvonne M Cox	75,000	27/03/2020	11/03/2020
Vine Hill Pty Ltd	9,738	20/08/2019	25/07/2019
Vinteloper Wines D Bowley	75,000	3/04/2020	18/03/2020
VJ Hawker T/A Dorrington Park	75,000	30/03/2020	26/03/2020
WA & K Noble	75,000	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
Wangara Proprietors	75,000	29/04/2020	30/03/2020
Warren Beattie	5,366	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
Warren Beattie	3,284	1/06/2020	19/05/2020
Warren Trading FamilyTrust	75,000	11/05/2020	29/04/2020
Waterman Rural Pty Ltd	6,332	5/12/2019	15/11/2019
Watervalley Pty Ltd	75,000	26/06/2020	22/06/2020
WD & LM Paech	2,644	26/02/2020	11/02/2020
WD & LM Paech	2,644	26/02/2020	11/02/2020
WH Giles & Sons	75,000	25/03/2020	13/03/2020
Wheare Holdings	7,160	1/04/2020	17/03/2020
Wheaton Family Trust	75,000	18/03/2020	4/03/2020
White AV & TJ	75,000	24/04/2020	9/04/2020

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Whitecliffs Farming Trust	7,000	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Whitecliffs Farming Trust	4,984	26/06/2020	15/06/2020
Whitehill Proprietors	574	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
Whitehill Proprietors	2,411	8/05/2020	25/04/2020
Whitehill Proprietors	1,205	19/06/2020	25/04/2020
Whitehill Proprietors	574	17/06/2020	1/06/2020
William Kruger	1,056	9/07/2019	26/04/2019
William Kruger	2,555	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
William Alex McWhinnie	75,000	21/02/2020	10/02/2020
William Graetz	6,742	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
William Graetz	3,084	27/04/2020	20/04/2020
William J Roper	27,000	6/03/2020	12/02/2020
Williams Cattle Co	25,000	5/12/2019	15/11/2019
Willson River Trading	12,064	27/05/2020	12/05/2020
Willson River Trading	3,212	27/05/2020	12/05/2020
Willunga Basin Water Co. P/L	150,000	24/12/2019	24/10/2019
Willunga Basin Water Co. P/L	1,100,000	27/04/2020	24/10/2019
Willunga Basin Water Co. P/L	1,250,000	26/06/2020	24/10/2019
WJ & TE Mitchell	470	10/10/2019	20/09/2019
WJ, MA & NP Rowett	13,100	14/11/2019	23/10/2019
Woodlands Ridge Vineyard	75,000	18/03/2020	28/02/2020
Woolahra Pastoral Pty Ltd	1,736	24/04/2020	9/04/2020
World Explorer Travels P/L	75,000	29/04/2020	16/04/2020
WR Laing	1,484	27/08/2019	14/08/2019
Yackandandah Farming Trust	11,733	17/06/2020	2/06/2020
Yackandandah Farming Trust	12,244	17/06/2020	2/06/2020
Yaeda Dorrestijn	75,000	17/04/2020	20/03/2020
Yalpara Station	12,241	15/08/2019	2/08/2019
Zippel Holdings Pty Ltd	2,701	24/06/2020	5/06/2020
TOTAL	59,275,290		

## Administered Operations

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Forestry SA	1,025,500	2/7/2019	23/10/2018
Forestry SA	1,028,750	24/4/2020	23/10/2018
Forestry SA	1,028,750	5/11/2019	23/10/2018
Forestry SA	1,028,750	2/3/2020	23/10/2018
Forestry SA	1,028,750	19/6/2020	23/10/2018

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
Adelaide Hills Wine Region Incorporated	49,000	3/12/2019	29/10/2019
Adelaide Hills Wine Region Incorporated	147,000	14/11/2019	29/10/2019
Adelaide Hills Wine Region Incorporated	30,000	24/6/2020	29/10/2019
Adelaide Hills Wine Region Incorporated	70,000	2/7/2019	15/11/2018
Barossa Grape & Wine Association Incorporated	155,800	3/12/2019	30/10/2019
Barossa Grape & Wine Association Incorporated	467,400	14/11/2019	30/10/2019
Barossa Grape & Wine Association Incorporated	80,000	2/7/2019	15/11/2018
Barossa Grape & Wine Association Incorporated	155,800	22/6/2020	30/10/2019
Citrus Australia Ltd	44,000	19/12/2019	19/11/2019
Citrus South Australia Incorporated	43,000	10/2/2020	19/11/2019
Citrus South Australia Incorporated	43,000	12/6/2020	19/11/2019
Clare Valley Wine & Grape Association	52,000	3/12/2019	29/10/2019
Clare Valley Wine & Grape Association	156,000	14/11/2019	29/10/2019
Clare Valley Wine & Grape Association	24,000	24/6/2020	29/10/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	29/10/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	18/7/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	19/9/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	15/5/2020	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	15/8/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	19/2/2020	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	21/11/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	28/1/2020	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	24/4/2020	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	30/6/2020	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	19/12/2019	01/07/2019
Grain Producers SA Ltd	112,500	25/3/2020	01/07/2019
Langhorne Creek Wine Industry	60,000	3/12/2019	30/10/2019
Langhorne Creek Wine Industry	180,000	14/11/2019	30/10/2019
Langhorne Creek Wine Industry	60,000	22/6/2020	30/10/2019
McLaren Vale Grape Wine & Tourism Association Incorporated	110,000	2/7/2019	15/11/2018
McLaren Vale Grape Wine & Tourism Association Incorporated	80,000	22/6/2020	18/10/2019
McLaren Vale Grape Wine & Tourism Association Incorporated	113,200	5/12/2019	18/10/2019
McLaren Vale Grape Wine & Tourism Association Incorporated	339,600	29/10/2019	18/10/2019
Pork SA	302,364	19/9/2019	21/08/2019
Riverland Wine Industry	185,000	22/6/2020	08/05/2020
Riverland Wine Industry	740,000	20/5/2020	08/05/2020
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	81,348	19/9/2019	27/06/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	27,743	9/7/2019	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	17,611	10/12/2019	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	337,722	15/4/2020	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	132,335	15/5/2020	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	51,103	15/8/2019	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	448,580	19/2/2020	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	42,822	21/11/2019	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	216,521	28/1/2020	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	90,549	24/4/2020	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	41,065	24/10/2019	27/06/2019
South Australian Grains Industry Trust	147,280	30/6/2020	27/06/2019
Wine Grape Council of SA	100,000	3/12/2019	29/10/2019
Wine Grape Council of SA	300,000	14/11/2019	29/10/2019
Wine Grape Council of SA	50,000	24/6/2020	29/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	100,000	14/11/2019	23/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	13,600	4/02/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	50,000	14/02/2020	23/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	6,800	20/03/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	6,800	25/05/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	50,000	27/05/2020	23/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	199,742	28/11/2019	23/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	5,000	7/01/2020	11/12/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	35,000	4/02/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	99,871	12/02/2020	23/10/2019
Livestock SA Incorporated	17,500	20/03/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	17,500	25/05/2020	15/01/2020
Livestock SA Incorporated	99,871	27/05/2020	23/10/2019
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	63,595	4/07/2019	13/06/2019
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	30,332	4/07/2019	13/06/2019
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	12,444	6/08/2019	13/06/2019
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	101,888	22/06/2020	12/05/2020
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	101,284	22/06/2020	12/05/2020
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	53,532	22/06/2020	12/05/2020
Meat & Livestock Australia Limited	10,906	22/06/2020	12/05/2020
Plant Health Australia Ltd	40,000	6/02/2020	8/01/2020
SA Junior Heifer Expo Council	2,500	26/11/2019	10/10/2019
South Australian Dairy Farmers Association Inc	22,500	5/11/2019	30/09/2019
South Australian Dairy Farmers Association Inc	11,250	25/03/2020	30/09/2019
South Australian Dairy Farmers Association Inc	11,250	27/05/2020	30/09/2019
South Australian Sheep Expo Council Inc	11,500	6/02/2020	10/01/2020
South Australian Stud Merino Sheep Breeders' Association Inc.	23,000	3/09/2019	16/08/2019
The University of Adelaide	21,333	25/05/2020	19/12/2019

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant \$	Payment Date	Grant Agreement Signed Date
The University of Adelaide	10,667	27/05/2020	19/12/2019
	13,492,008		

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (26 November 2020).  
(Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. D.K.B. BASHAM (Finniss—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development):** I have been advised the following:

The government has provided a list of grant programs administered by the Department of Primary Industries and Regions during 2019-20 in omnibus question 14.