

## HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Thursday, 17 October 2019

The **SPEAKER (Hon. V.A. Tarzia)** took the chair at 11:00 and read prayers.

**The SPEAKER:** Honourable members, I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which the parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

### *Parliamentary Committees*

#### **LEGISLATIVE REVIEW COMMITTEE: HEALTH PRACTITIONER REGULATION NATIONAL LAW (SOUTH AUSTRALIA) (REMOTE AREA ATTENDANCE)**

**Mr TEAGUE (Heysen) (11:01):** I move:

That the report of the committee, entitled Inquiry into the Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (South Australia) (Remote Area Attendance) Variation Regulations 2019 (SA), be noted.

On 19 June this year, the Legislative Review Committee resolved to conduct an inquiry into the variation regulations that had been developed to support the operation of the Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (South Australia) (Remote Area Attendance) Amendment Act 2017, more commonly known as Gayle's Law. Gayle's Law is legislation that parliament passed in late 2017 in response to the death of Gayle Woodford. Gayle Woodford was a dedicated nurse tragically murdered in March 2016 while working on the APY lands.

Having resolved to conduct this inquiry, the Legislative Review Committee invited some 27 individuals and organisations to make a submission on the regulations. In all, the committee received 16 written submissions. Those submissions came from a broad range of interested and affected parties, including the managers of health clinics operating in remote Aboriginal communities, professional and industrial organisations, and the chief executives of national organisations, including the Royal Flying Doctor Service, to name a significant one amongst them. The committee also received two submissions from members of the Woodford family.

The submissions contained a variety of views on the variation regulations. Each submission deepened the committee's understanding of the complexity of strengthening the safety afforded to the front-line health professionals working in remote and sometimes unpredictable environments. The majority of the submissions the committee received were supportive of the regulations, recognising as they did both the need and the challenge of providing a degree of flexibility in limited circumstances so that health practitioners in remote areas are able to respond to an out of hours or unscheduled call-out without a second responder, but only where it is safe to do so.

The ability to attend a call-out without a second responder in limited circumstances and to prescribed locations does not undermine the primary intention of Gayle's Law, which is to minimise the risk to health practitioners when answering an unexpected call-out. Anyone who has lived in or visited towns, including Innamincka, William Creek, Marree or the communities on the APY and Maralinga lands, will be aware of the challenges of seeking and delivering health care in these remote locations.

Limited practitioner numbers, patchy telecommunications systems and the vast distances are just some of the issues health practitioners must navigate on a daily basis as they work and live among the communities they serve. A blanket rule, where under no circumstances are health practitioners permitted to attend to the care of a patient alone, could be destructive to community health and risk patient lives in rural communities.

As the Minister for Health and Wellbeing has detailed both in the other place and in correspondence to the Legislative Review Committee, the purpose of the regulations is to ensure that every health professional working in remote areas is protected from harm, while balancing their interests in being able to carry out their job with practicality, skill and care. Everyone deserves to feel safe in their workplace, wherever that workplace may be.

The committee concluded that the disallowance of the regulations, occurring as it would some three months after it came into operation, would undermine the safety of the staff and the sustainability of services they were first and foremost designed to protect. The committee formed that view, as I have indicated, in light of the evidence that came before it in the course of its inquiry. The purpose of the committee's inquiry was to ensure that those stakeholders who had an interest in making their submissions in relation to this important issue, and to make those public, had a means by which they could do that.

The South Australian community is incredibly vocal and passionate about these regulations—and rightfully so—and about how they support the strong desire we all have to keep our front-line health workers safe. Both in the formulation of the regulations and in their examination by the Legislative Review Committee, key organisations, including the Aboriginal Health Council of South Australia, Tullawon Health Service, CRANaplus, the AMA, the Australian Nursing and Midwifery Federation and others, were consulted and invited to contribute to the evidence before the committee.

It was vital for the committee to be informed by the insights, experience and challenge of delivering health services safely—and, I stress 'safely'—in remote areas. However, there will always be risks. Unfortunately, in no situation can a guarantee be made about the absolute safety of every individual. That is simply the reality and nature of the job, and I am sure that everyone here admires the selflessness and courageous nature of the people who deliver these vital services. The resilience and dedication of these professionals in caring for some of South Australia's most vulnerable individuals is nothing short of inspiring.

When parliament passed Gayle's Law in 2017, it stipulated that a review of the legislation must be undertaken two years after the legislation came into operation, that is, in effect, after 1 July 2021. The committee has adopted in its final report a recommendation that a review be conducted one year after coming into operation instead of two years. That is the view that the committee reached and is its key recommendation, the subject of the report, and I note that that recommendation of the committee is consistent with a public commitment that had been provided by the Minister for Health and Wellbeing also.

Gayle's Law has been operating in South Australia for some three months already and the committee's recommendation, therefore, should be seen in that context to be a recommendation that it be reviewed very shortly. I note events in the other place overnight and emphasise the committee's recommendation in that context also.

On behalf of the committee's chairman, the Hon. Terry Stephens in the other place, I thank other members of the committee: the Hon. Connie Bonaros MLC, the member for Kavel, the Hon. Irene Pnevmatikos MLC and the member for Cheltenham. I also take the opportunity to note on behalf of the committee our thanks to the committee secretary, Mr Matt Balfour, and to the committee's research officer, Lisa Baxter.

I also want to thank and recognise all those who not only provided evidence to inform the committee to reach recommendations in the light of evidence but also those who assisted in the development, implementation and examination of the regulations that contributed to bringing them into effect. The committee's report, and certainly the recommendations the subject of that report, could not have been made without the engagement of all those to whom I have referred in these remarks. Again, I thank all those who made submissions and other contributions to the inquiry.

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (11:14):** I would like to begin my contribution on this issue by once again paying my condolences to the Woodford family and telling them how sorry I am that they have had to go through this debate all over again. This is a family that has suffered tremendous loss—the most brutal loss imaginable.

A strong, united family sought to seek change in honour of Gayle Woodford's life, to seek changes that would make things better for all remote nurses and to make sure that her life would be remembered through a reform that would make sure that it never happened to somebody else and that no nurse would ever be put in that situation again. That law was passed by this parliament; it was passed years ago, in fact. They have gone through the process of jubilation at that law being passed, then despondency and significant anguish waiting for it to be enacted, and then now being

shocked and appalled by what has happened with the government issuing these regulations, which have weakened Gayle's Law.

I would also like to thank all the nurses across South Australia who have stood up and fought for the appropriate protections for nurses in South Australia. Whether they be nurses in the outback or nurses in the city, nurses have united to say that they believe that this law is important, that they believe the protections are important and that they are not going to stand for the government weakening those protections through a sleight of hand regulation they sought to impose.

The principle of Gayle's Law as enacted by this parliament was very simple: no nurse should have to go alone in remote areas and be put in the same situation that Gayle Woodford was put in. This government put in regulations that exempted a whole range of factors, most of which are reasonable, but some of which completely undermine the entire premise of what this parliament sought to introduce, what the nurses sought to introduce and what the Woodford family sought to introduce. They said that if a nurse conducted a risk assessment of the situation, then they could go alone. If they themselves said, 'I don't think this is going to be risky,' then they would be put in that situation again.

The evidence we have heard from the nurses, from the Woodford family and from so many people in the community is, of course, that that is exactly what happened in Gayle Woodford's situation: she made an assessment that it was going to be safe. She was a caring and loving person who wanted to do good for the community. If this regulation were to stand, it would mean that similar outback nurses in future situations would be put in that situation again, would have pressure on them to respond by themselves in the same situation and would be put at risk once again.

We took the stand that we did not think that was acceptable. The nurses, the Woodford family, the crossbenchers and the upper house all took the stand that that was not able to be accepted. I am delighted that that is now no longer the regulation of this state because it has been disallowed by the other place. This is now the opportunity for the government to make swift amends on their hopeless management of this, turning their back on these important protections, and to put in place the regulations. They should be doing this today, and there is absolutely no reason why they cannot do this today—that is, put in place the regulations without those offensive clauses to make sure that nurses are protected.

I am very glad that, as of today, Gayle's Law stands without that clause exempting that situation happening in South Australia. It is a forward step for nurses across South Australia and it is going to help protect nurses. If the government comes back with regulations that undermine Gayle's Law again, then we will continue to take action through our members in the other place to make sure that this law is not undermined and to make sure that the commitments, including those the minister himself made, are upheld.

This report talks about a committee inquiry that was split. The only way that the recommendations of the committee inquiry worked out the government's way as the member for Heysen said is because the government used its numbers. The opposition and the Hon. Connie Bonaros issued a minority report, which is completely opposite to what the government is talking about here, and highlighted the failures, highlighted the hypocrisy, highlighted the risk to nurses and recommended significant changes to the regulations that need to occur.

It is disappointing that the government sought to use this process to try to back their ill thought-through weakening of Gayle's Law. It is unfortunate that the way this was set up did not allow public commentary and public submissions to the inquiry. Only a select number of people were given the opportunity of making submissions. Let's be very clear: the submissions we have seen from the nurses to this inquiry are damning. The submissions from the Woodford family are damning.

The nurses were consulted when the government was drafting the regulations, and they raised exactly the same concerns, but they were completely ignored by the government after that. This government say that they consult, but they absolutely do not listen to people. Those concerns were raised by the nurses at the time but were ignored. The government sat on this for 14 or 15 months before they issued any regulations at all and, when they did, they completely undermined the law that they were trying to be supporting.

We do have a good situation, in that last night action was taken to disallow these hopeless regulations. I am disappointed that we were not able to get to that vote in this place as well because I think that both houses of parliament should have supported that. The minister said, 'If you gave me another month, I would have come up with something different.' Well, this minister has had 18 months in office. The law was passed before he came to office. He has shown no ability so far to construct regulations that satisfy Gayle's Law, and the upper house was not willing to permit a situation in which the opportunity to disallow those regulations could have passed.

These regulations absolutely should have been disallowed. They are a blight upon what the parliament intended with this law, and any proper Legislative Review Committee would see that. Any proper Legislative Review Committee that was acting in the interests of the parliament, not in the interests of the government party in power, would be saying, 'This is not what parliament intended.' These regulations go exactly to the opposite of what parliament intended, including the opposite of what the now Minister for Health said in his speech on those laws when they were passed by the parliament.

Unfortunately, this Legislative Review committee was split on party lines, with the government pushing through its agenda not on behalf of the parliament but on behalf of its political party to defend its weak minister who sits in that position. I think that is a disgrace. Also a disgrace is the fact that this committee could not even keep it together before they issued their report, to the extent that we have the minister going out, talking about what submissions had been given to the committee before the committee had reported to the parliament. I know that there were members of the Legislative Review Committee who were completely outraged about that conduct of the committee.

I am also aware that there was a vote of the committee in which originally this was voted to disallow because all the members were not there. The opposition was all there, the Hon. Connie Bonaros was there, but not all government MPs were there and they lost the vote. I am also told that apparently there were threats to walk out on the Legislative Review Committee by the government MPs at the time, and then they overruled that vote later to put in their own recommendations against the previous votes of the Legislative Review Committee.

This entire process has been a complete farce. This has undermined the law that we should have been passing. This has been a threat to the protections we are trying to put in place for nurses. It has relitigated the pain for the Woodford family. Most importantly, it has dismissed an opportunity that we should all be working together to protect outback nurses, and I am so disappointed that it has come to this point.

**Mr TEAGUE (Heysen) (11:24):** I thank members who have contributed to the debate. I resist the urge to engage in a debate in relation to committee process, save—

**Mr Picton:** Well, it was a disgrace. You wouldn't want to defend it, would you?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Kaurua will cease interjecting, please.

**Mr TEAGUE:** —the committee conducted the inquiry in the circumstances that I have described and considered the evidence and, in light of that evidence, made the findings that it did. I otherwise refer to the report and ask that it be noted by the house.

Motion carried.

#### **PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: YATALA LABOUR PRISON REDEVELOPMENT**

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (11:26):** I move:

That the 23<sup>rd</sup> report of the committee, entitled Yatala Labour Prison Redevelopment, be noted.

On 4 July 2019, the Public Works Committee held a public hearing into the proposed Yatala Labour Prison redevelopment. The committee examined written and oral evidence in relation to this project and received assurances by Department for Correctional Services officials that the appropriate consultation in relation to this project had been undertaken. On this basis, together with the verbal evidence presented to the hearing, on 4 July the committee resolved to recommend the project.

The committee has since become aware of investigations into alleged irregular conduct by a number of DCS officers involved in aspects of the project. The committee understands that an investigation is being undertaken by a government agency, and I do not find it appropriate for the moment to comment further so as to preserve the integrity of that investigation.

The committee has received correspondence from the Chief Executive of the Department for Correctional Services. The correspondence is dated 16 September 2019. I read that correspondence to the house:

Dear Mr Cregan

RE: Final Report into the Yatala Labour Prison Redevelopment

I refer to the Final Report into the Yatala Labour Prison Redevelopment tabled in the House of Assembly and ordered to be published on 4 July 2019.

The Final Report provides that DCS should notify the Committee of any substantial changes—

**Mr ODENWALDER:** Point of order, Mr Speaker: if the member is reading directly from a document, I ask that he table that document.

**The SPEAKER:** Is the member reading a document or speech notes?

**Mr CREGAN:** I am reading from a letter, which I am happy to table.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Kavel, if it is a document, I ask you to table it.

**Mr CREGAN:** I seek to table correspondence addressed to me, dated 16 September 2019, from the Chief Executive of the Department for Correctional Services. However, perhaps the document might remain with me for a moment so I can continue to read it into *Hansard*.

**The SPEAKER:** I am happy with that.

**Mr CREGAN:** The letter continues:

The Final Report provides that DCS should notify the Committee of any substantial changes to the nature of the project or the evidence provided to the Committee.

On Monday 15 July 2019, the Independent Commissioner Against Corruption issued the attached public statement.

In light of the ICAC's public statement and following subsequent considerations DPTI suspended the tender process for the Managing Contractor. A subsequent review of the procurement strategy to deliver on the project has been completed and DPTI has determined that a revised procurement methodology is preferred. As a result the original procurement process for the Managing Contractor was formally abandoned on Monday 16 September 2019—

I note that is the date of the letter that I am reading from—

and the revised procurement methodology activated. The development of the design has been unaffected by the ICAC's public statement and has progressed during this period.

The Crown Solicitor's Office has provided advice with respect to the conclusion of the original process and the revised procurement methodology. Consultation has occurred with ICAC where appropriate to do so. Set out below is a description of recent changes to the Project.

Procurement Methodology.

The Projection construction works will now be delivered under two separate contracts. This will comprise:

- 1) A fixed price contract for the 'Early Works'. The Early Works will include civil works, construction of a car park, construction of a business and administration centre and construction of a staff wellness centre and training hub.
- 2) A Managing Contractor contract for the remainder of the Project.

Project timing.

The table below sets out the revised indicative timing for the Project—

There follows a table with headings: event; target start date; early works, general building contractor: request for tender, September 2019; contract award, November 2019; construction commencement, early works, January 2020; main works, managing contractor: registration of interest, November 2019; evaluation and approval to proceed to request for tender, December 2019; request

for tender, January 2020; contract award, April 2020; construction commencement, main works, July 2020—

Method of construction.

The Committee was informed that DCS and DPTI were considering using a modular construction process for the Project. DPTI and DCS have determined that a modular construction process will not be used for the Project.

If you require any further information in regard to this matter please contact—

There follows the contact details of a senior public servant—

Yours sincerely

David Brown

Chief Executive

Mr Speaker, I mentioned that a document was enclosed. That document is a public statement from the ICAC, which states:

Public Statement. Two arrested in alleged procurement corruption. Published on 15 July 2019. Statement by the Hon. Bruce Lander QC, Independent Commissioner Against Corruption.

As part of an extensive and long running investigation my investigators executed nine search warrants this morning and two people were arrested.

A 40 year old man from Seaton, who is a public officer employed as a senior executive in the Department for Correctional Services, has been charged with two counts of abuse of public office and one count of bribery or corruption of a public officer.

A 47 year old man from the Mt Gambier area has also been charged with two counts of abuse of public office and one count of bribery or corruption of a public officer.

It will be alleged that between 1 June 2018 and 15 July 2019 the men colluded to corrupt the tender and procurement processes associated with the \$150 million redevelopment of the Yatala Labour Prison in order to financially benefit themselves.

Both men have been bailed to appear in the Adelaide Magistrates Court on 21 August 2019.

The investigation is ongoing and further arrests and charges may result.

I believe there are people in the business sector who were involved in the recent tender process for the Yatala Labour Prison Redevelopment management contract, and other persons who were involved in a recent expression of interest process for the modular design of prison cells, who are aware of the public officer's alleged activities. I expect that those members of the business sector will co-operate with the ongoing investigation.

No further statement will be made at this time.

I earlier remarked that, to my mind, it would not be appropriate for further comment to be made, to protect the integrity of the process, the details of which I have provided to the house by reading a public statement and correspondence received by the chief executive.

**Mr ODENWALDER (Elizabeth) (11:35):** Thank you, Mr Speaker, for the opportunity to speak to the final report on the Yatala Labour Prison redevelopment, the 23<sup>rd</sup> report of the fine Public Works Committee, which I had the pleasure of both being a member of and chairing over the course of several years.

We will get to some of the other issues later, but I will state from the outset that the opposition, through its membership of this committee, supported this project. The genesis of the project, of course, stretches back into the last government under the stewardship of David Brown, the chief executive. It is a \$150 million project, and the reasons for it are outlined in the report: there is a projected increase in high-risk male prisoners over the next 10 years or 20 years and continuing into the future.

Importantly, the project supports the stated objective of the previous government, certainly, and an objective which the current government pays some lip service to—that is, rehabilitation and reoffending, the 10by20 strategy. One of the double-edged pleasures of being the shadow minister for corrections is that that everywhere I go stakeholders tell me how wonderful Peter Malinauskas is for really leading the charge in terms of this re-emphasis—

*Members interjecting:*

**Mr ODENWALDER:** These aren't my words, believe me. It is a double-edged sword, believe me. Every time I visit a stakeholder, I am told about Peter Malinauskas, his work and the work of his office in bringing the emphasis fully around to rehabilitation and the reduction of reoffending through the 10by20 project.

It is disappointing for us that over the course of the last two budgets some of that emphasis appears to have dropped, particularly the New Foundations program. I have heard the minister in several different ways describe why the New Foundations project was first reduced to a sort of trial and then abandoned entirely. It would have been a very important project in terms of providing housing and therefore rehabilitation to various prisoners in the system. Having said all that, I understand the rationale for this project.

The opposition was supportive of this project. The members for West Torrens and Light were on the committee that approved this report. I understand that it was all business as usual in terms of approving the report and that everyone was happy with the way it was conducted. Then, on 15 July, as the member for Kavel pointed out, we learnt that the ICAC commissioner has been investigating at least one officer of DCS in relation to this procurement process.

What the member for Kavel has not mentioned, of course, are subsequent news reports that name the officer of DCS, and I see nothing untoward in my naming that person here. It was a person by the name of Paul Andrew Robinson, who, incidentally, was present at the hearings during which evidence was given as to the need for and the scope of this project.

All of that notwithstanding, the project is a good one. It appears to have blown out. I appreciate the member for Kavel's fulsome contribution to the debate today. I note that it was postponed on the last sitting day, presumably waiting for planning advice from the department about where to now. I am glad that the member for Kavel has tabled that correspondence from the chief executive, and I look forward to examining it once again.

*Mr Cregan interjecting:*

**Mr ODENWALDER:** That's fine. I am glad you tabled it here so that we can all avail ourselves of it today. There are still some questions to be answered, though. I notice that the chief executive and others appeared at the Budget and Finance Committee meeting and that there has been a certain amount of to-ing and fro-ing between the department of corrections and the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure about who is ultimately responsible for the probity process.

I understand that in the time between the last government and the current government nothing has changed in terms of the overall way in which these matters are conducted, but the question still remains as to what probity measures have been put in place by the department so that this does not happen again. I understand what they have done in relation to this particular project, and again the member for Kavel was quite fulsome in his explanation of it being split into two, between the early works and the later works.

According to my reckoning on the back of an envelope here, the start of the main works has now been pushed forward by at least three months, but I guess three months is neither here nor there. It is the probity measures we need to be assured of. We need the minister to make a definitive statement so that, following the investigation that the member for Kavel mentioned, we can be assured that this cannot happen again and that probity measures are in place so that a very senior officer of a department cannot influence a process in such a way again, if that indeed proves to be the case in court.

We still do not know how much it has cost the businesses that tendered in the first place. I understand that in these things it is a buyer beware situation, where those tenderers have to wear any losses when something untoward happens or is alleged to have happened. But we still do not know how much it has cost those businesses. It would be interesting to see, at the end of this investigation, at the end of this court case, how much this situation has cost them.

Another question that has not been answered is whether any other officers of the department of corrections, or indeed of any other department, are being investigated. Of course, there are measures in the ICAC Act that prevent anyone from saying publicly, outside this place, whether that

is the case, but I imagine that it would certainly be of interest to people who would enter tender and procurement processes both for this project and for future projects with the department.

Having said that, I appreciate the member for Kavel's contribution today, and he did answer a few of my questions. I sincerely hope that at the end of this investigation the process is better. These projects are important, and we need good prisons and prisons that encourage rehabilitation as well as punishment for high-risk offenders. With those few words, I commend the report to the house.

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (11:42):** I certainly appreciate the Public Works Committee members' contribution to the taking of evidence and the preparation of this report. I also appreciate the shadow police minister's contribution. I thank members.

Motion carried.

### **PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: PORT ROAD DRAINAGE PROJECT**

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (11:43):** I move:

That the 24<sup>th</sup> report of the Public Works Committee, entitled Port Road Drainage Project: Stage 3 Works, be noted.

The Port Road catchment extends, Mr Speaker, as you well know, along the north and south sides of Port Road, from the West Parklands in Adelaide to West Lakes. The catchment is largely located in the City of Charles Sturt, with a small portion located in the City of Port Adelaide Enfield. This project is the third and final stage in the multistage Port Road drainage project. There have been earlier remarks made in this place in relation to other stages, and as a consequence members will be familiar with the course of the project and the scope of the works undertaken in the project stages and may also be familiar with the scope of works now remaining to be completed.

In the past, the Port Road catchment experienced significant flooding from minor events, which have in turn disrupted traffic flows and flooded property in the area. The final Port Road drainage stage 3 works will improve the level of flood protection for the community along Port Road in the north-western suburbs of Adelaide, improve road access during flood events and contribute to the level of flood protection available for The Queen Elizabeth Hospital precinct in Woodville.

The stage 3 works will involve the installation of approximately 2.3 kilometres of underground twin and single-cell trunk drain and the installation of underground detention storage to facilitate the detention management and later outflow of run-off waters. The stage 3 works are expected to be completed in November 2020.

The Public Works Committee has examined written and oral evidence in relation to the Port Road drainage project stage 3 works, and the committee has been assured by the Stormwater Management Authority that appropriate consultation with regard to the project has been undertaken and that an acquittal has been received from the Crown Solicitor. The committee is satisfied that the proposal has been subject to the appropriate agency consultation and meets the criteria for examination of projects, as described in the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991.

Based on the evidence considered and pursuant to section 12C of the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991, the Public Works Committee reports to parliament that it recommends the proposed public works that are the nature of the report.

*Parliamentary Procedure*

### **TABLING OF DOCUMENTS**

**The SPEAKER (11:46):** Before I call the next member, I refer to the document quoted recently by the member for Kavel. I have looked at past rulings on this issue. I remind the house that evidently only ministers can table documents in the house. A document in the possession of a member other than a minister can only be tabled in the house by way of a specific motion passed by the house, but I do note that the member has read the contents of the document into *Hansard* anyway and therefore the document does not qualify as a document capable of being received as a tabled document. It looks to be a public document anyway.



**Mr CREGAN:** Thank you, Mr Speaker. I am satisfied that I have read the contents of the document into *Hansard*.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Davenport has sprung to his feet.

*Parliamentary Committees*

**PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: PORT ROAD DRAINAGE PROJECT**

Debate resumed.

**Mr MURRAY (Davenport) (11:47):** I may take issue with the use of the word 'sprung', but I will continue nonetheless. I, too, rise to talk very briefly about the Port Road drainage project. As a member of the Public Works Committee, I wish to commend the project to the house and also provide some further detail on the project and its impact on not only the immediate area but also ecologically. I also want to talk a little more fulsomely than my colleague the member for Kavel has insofar as the specific benefits to cost ratio that are anticipated as a result of the project.

The project itself covers a total area of the Port Road catchment, about 657 hectares, predominantly in the City of Charles Sturt. The project dates back to 2002 as a by-product of the Port Road Rejuvenation Stormwater Management Plan, which was in turn gazetted in 2007 and which recommended expenditure of about \$60 million staged over a number of years. Stage 1 was completed in 2014 and stage 2 in 2016. The proposal put before the Public Works Committee, which is the subject of this discussion, the stage 3 works, are expected to finalise the project in its totality.

The specific aims of the project are, as the term implies, flood management, stormwater quality, stormwater re-use and environment protection, which I will detail further. The proposed works detail roughly 2.3 kilometres of underground twin and single-cell trunk drains between Park Street South in Woodville and Minnie Street in West Croydon, as well as the installation of approximately 4,000 square metres of underground detention storage or, in layman's terms, a very large tank. The proposed works are expected to contribute the following outcomes:

- the elimination of frequent 'nuisance' flooding along Port Road;
- an acceptable level of flood protection for the community thereof and, in particular, improved road access and egress for critical infrastructure in the event of a one in 100-year flood, not the least of which being The Queen Elizabeth Hospital on Woodville Road and the SA Power Networks substation on Port Road itself;
- a reduction in the volume of stormwater discharged into Gulf St Vincent and an improvement in the quality of that stormwater, achieved by virtue of linking the Port Road stormwater discharges to the stage 1 works, which comprises wetlands and settling basins; and
- an improvement in the amenity of the Port Road and surrounding areas streetscape.

Further to the primary goal of the level of flood protection being afforded, the total expenditure that is the subject of this proposal is \$27.6 million, with an expected completion date of November this year. Further to the desired outcomes, the Stormwater Management Authority does advise that there are no natural watercourses or water bodies of significance.

However, the catchment at the moment does discharge and will discharge through the old Port Road wetlands and, as a result, provide an overall improvement of the quality of the stormwater that is being discharged. So the project is essentially about flood control and also diverting the stormwater in question so that we have a better ecological outcome and not simply flood control and prevention.

One of the primary measurements of any project coming before the committee is some indication of the intended or the expected cost to benefit ratio. I can advise the house that in this particular case there is an expected overall benefit to cost ratio of some 2:1; that is, for the expenditure of the money that is the subject of the approval put before the Public Works Committee it is anticipated that in excess of double the amount will be derived by way of benefit to the broader community. In particular, that will involve the avoidance of actual and potential damages of

somewhere in the vicinity of \$115 million. The evidence before the committee indicates that that is a conservative estimate.

It is on that basis and with consideration of the other evidence and testimony given before the committee, which the committee received—as the member for Kavel has indicated, written and oral evidence—and the undertakings provided to us insofar as the appropriate acquittals having been received, that the committee recommends the approval of the proposed public works. In so doing, I endorse that proposal.

**Mr PATTERSON (Morphett) (11:53):** I also rise to speak, in the time remaining, on the 24<sup>th</sup> report of the Public Works Committee, entitled Port Road Drainage Project: Stage 3 Works. The report itself examines the history of the proposal, which has been outlined previously by both the member for Kavel, who is our Chair, and the member for Davenport, and looks at the efficacy of the application of South Australian taxpayer funds to this Port Road drainage project. Port Road itself is an arterial road and the catchment around it from rain extends both along the north and south sides of Port Road, going from the western Parklands in Adelaide.

Only a week or two ago, we saw the chimney unveiling at the West End Brewery when the Glenelg Football Club proudly put their colours on top of the chimney for the first time in 33 years, which was a great day for them. The catchment from there extends all the way down to West Lakes, which represents a total area of 657 hectares and, in terms of covering the councils in that catchment area, it is predominantly in the City of Charles Sturt, making up 618 hectares but also a small portion of the City of Port Adelaide Enfield, which is 39 hectares.

For most of the length of this Port Road project, there is an underground drainage system through pipes that runs along Port Road. Unfortunately, because it is quite dated, its capacity is less than a one-year average event in terms of rain. What that means is that, with the rain that comes into the drainage system, it exceeds the capacity. On average, once a year there is nuisance flooding and inconvenience to residents and businesses, and 'nuisance flooding' is a bit of an understatement.

The committee was presented with quite a few images of the flooding. Because of the camber of the road, a lot of the drainage goes towards the buildings, so on the building side of the road you can see it comes up quite high. We were shown quite a few pictures of floods and how high it went up—up to the windows of these businesses and houses—so I think a nuisance is an understatement and a reason for the upgrade.

The upgrade began in 2002. The City of Charles Sturt began developing their stormwater management plan for Port Road and it has been worked through ever since. Stage 1 works were completed in 2014, which included the Old Port Road wetlands, and then stage 2 works were completed between 2016 and 2018, which included upgrades to the drainage system between Old Port Road and Park Street South in Woodville. So this stage 3 takes over from that and finishes off the works. It has been run past a number of consultation processes and touched base with a number of departments.

Certainly, the Stormwater Management Authority was part of that. Residents and businesses were also consulted along with the Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges NRM and the Department for Environment and Water. The current proposal includes that the works will be a continuation of the previous works. It looks to install approximately 2.3 kilometres of underground twin and single-cell trunk drains between Park Street South in Woodville up to Minnie Street in West Croydon.

Also, the installation of approximately 4,000 cubic metres of underground detention storage. Again, we were shown pictures of that. That is quite a substantial reservoir of water which will help in terms of any surges and flash floods in being able to minimise the effects of those by storing that water in these underground detention storage areas. The proposal also proposes some service relocation required to accommodate the trunk drain and also any associated streetscaping.

In terms of what the benefits of this proposed work will be, it will certainly eliminate that frequent nuisance flooding along Port Road. It also looks to provide an acceptable level of flood protection for the community along Port Road and to provide that improved road access. Again, those photos showed that when it was flooded, there was only one lane out of the three lanes that could be used by traffic. Importantly, it looks to protect critical infrastructure to have a level of a one in

100-year events. It will provide flood protection to The Queen Elizabeth Hospital on Woodville Road and the SA Power Networks substation on Port Road, Woodville.

To conclude my remarks, I note that we examined written and oral evidence to the Public Works Committee for these Port Road drainage stage 3 works and, based upon that evidence, we report to parliament that the committee recommends the proposed public work.

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (11:59):** I thank members for their contributions and their ongoing diligence and commitment to the work of the committee.

Motion carried.

### *Bills*

## **EVIDENCE (REPORTING ON SEXUAL OFFENCES) AMENDMENT BILL**

### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:00):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Evidence Act 1929. Read a first time.

### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:00):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

The bill gives effect to a 2011 review by the Hon. Brian Martin AO, QC by substantially lifting existing restrictions against reporting on sexual offences. These limitations do not apply to other types of offences. Further, the bill supports progression of key initiatives in the Attorney-General's purview to support victims through empowering them to report claims of sexual abuse to authorities and provide an open and transparent system for the community—two tenets of the government's justice agenda.

The former government declined to accept the recommendations by the reviewer in full, instead leaving the option open for the community to be in the dark about serious sexual offenders. In 2012, it was left to the then shadow attorney-general, the Hon. Stephen Wade MLC, to progress the recommendations of the review and encourage transparency in these proceedings. With the former government being allergic to transparency, we now see amendments before the house today to achieve the recommendations of the review and provide comfort to the community in allowing publication of identification for sexual offenders and their crimes.

At the moment, section 71A of the Evidence Act 1929 prohibits the publication of information about alleged sexual offences unless and until there has been a finding of guilt in the Magistrates Court or the charges have been committed for trial to a superior court. The effect of this restriction is twofold. First, it prohibits reports regarding such proceedings—for example, publishing details of evidence given in the proceedings—or any statement that might reveal the identity of a person who has been or is about to be charged of a sexual offence. It is this aspect of the prohibition that is significantly changed under this bill.

Second, section 71A also currently prohibits the publication of any statement or representation by which the identity of a victim of a sexual offence is revealed or might reasonably be inferred. Under the current provisions, this prohibition exists regardless of the status of the proceedings against the accused, though an adult victim can consent to their identity being revealed. This protection is maintained under the bill.

In the case of a person who is yet to be charged, the Evidence Act preserves the integrity of an ongoing police investigation and potential criminal proceedings that might follow that investigation. For instance, publicity about possible charges before proceedings have commenced might compromise the veracity of witness accounts where there are multiple alleged victims who may contact each other about the allegations before providing statements to the police. This could compromise the investigation or risk an attack on the complainant's credibility. The bill has been designed to ensure that this important protection still exists by ensuring that there can be no reports of an impending arrest before it has occurred and, indeed, until after the first court appearance.

However, a number of high-profile prosecutions have demonstrated the inherent difficulties with restrictions of this type if they persist for the duration of committal proceedings. Increased digital access to information published outside this state has made the restrictions less effective in ensuring the anonymity of those charged with sexual offences. Significant charges arising in South Australia may be reported in a number of other jurisdictions, with the details being shared on social media and other digital platforms, while new services in this state would be restricted for however long the committal proceedings might take.

For some time, survivors of sexual abuse and victim advocate groups have been championing for victims' rights to be heard at any stage of proceedings should they wish to speak publicly about what they allege the defendant did. It is the choice of individual adult victims whether they identify themselves in doing so. Clause 4(2) of the bill permits them to have that voice by lifting the prohibition on identifying a defendant charged with a sexual offence after the first court hearing in relation to that charge.

The principles of open justice require that court proceedings should be conducted publicly and in open view. This is important for public confidence in the administration of justice, as it demonstrates the integrity and independence of the criminal proceedings by ensuring that they can be scrutinised and analysed. These principles must be balanced, however, against the need to ensure that publication of the details of alleged sexual offences does not inadvertently identify an alleged victim of those offences or jeopardise ongoing investigations. That is why clause 4(2) of the bill has amended the prohibition, rather than removed it outright.

By prohibiting publication of a defendant's identity until after the first court appearance, which is the relevant time according to clause 4(4) of the bill, the court can exercise any necessary oversight about whether identifying the accused might also risk identifying an alleged victim. Without the protection continuing up until this time, merely publishing the court cause list with the defendant's name and the charge might be enough for the identity of an alleged victim to be reasonably inferred, in breach of section 71A(4) of the act. Once publication of that sort occurs, the information is in the public domain. In the digital era, it is almost impossible for that sort of damage to be undone.

Accordingly, the bill allows for any such issues to be explored at the first court hearing before publication of details of the charges can occur. Preventing publication of these details until after the first appearance in court will also enable applications to be made for a suppression order under section 69A of the act. This will ensure that parties can be heard about whether identifying the defendant may, for example, cause prejudice to the proper administration of justice by impeding an ongoing investigation into similar complaints against the defendant. The court can then exercise proper oversight in relation to proceedings before it.

The government has carefully considered the implications for both victims and accused throughout this process. As I have highlighted, there have been several court cases over recent years which exposed the public's right to know an alleged offender's identity, highlighting the necessity for our laws to be both contemporary and in line with community expectations in this important area. For those accused, as Mr Martin AO, QC states in his report, leaving cases of serious sexual offending in the dark has the tendency to promote rumour and innuendo, which in turn can create an atmosphere prejudicial to the accused person whose identity is suppressed.

For victims, whom we must protect at all costs, survivors of sexual abuse and victim advocate groups have been advocating for some time for victims' rights to be heard at any stage of proceedings, which is undoubtedly aided by this bill. Further, this bill enables the flow of information to them, particularly around child sex offences, with that early publication of identity promoting the possibility of witnesses coming forward. Importantly, I must reiterate that the changes proposed do not impact on the protections already offered under the act which protect the identity of a victim of a sexual offence and anything that might reasonably identify them to the public.

Put simply, openness and transparency should be the default position of our justice system and I am pleased to progress the recommendations of the report, which were left incomplete by the former government. I commend the bill to members and seek leave to have the explanation of clauses inserted in *Hansard* without my reading it.

## Explanation of Clauses

## Part 1—Preliminary

## 1—Short title

## 2—Commencement

## 3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

Part 2—Amendment of *Evidence Act 1929*

## 4—Amendment of section 71A—Restriction on reporting on sexual offences

This clause amends section 71A of the Act as follows:

- subclause (1) deletes section 71A(1) which currently operates to prohibit the publication of certain evidence and reports relating to proceedings against a person charged with a sexual offence unless the accused person consents to the publication;
- subclause (2) amends section 71A(2) to retain the existing restriction on publication under that subsection in respect of an accused person but only until the *relevant time*, being the time at which the accused person's first appearance in a court in relation to the charge is concluded. The definition of *relevant time* is proposed to be inserted by amendment to section 71A(5). Section 71A(2), as amended would then restrict the publication of any statement or representation that would reveal the identity of a person who has been, or is about to be, charged with a sexual offence or from which the identity of such a person might reasonably be inferred, until the conclusion of the accused person's first court appearance;
- subclause (3) deletes sections 71A(3) to (3e) (inclusive). These provisions currently give a court the ability to make a publication order varying or removing the prohibition under sections 71A(1) and (2) where it may assist in the investigation of an offence or is otherwise in the public interest;
- subclause (4) substitutes a definition of *relevant time* in the place of the current definition of *relevant date* in section 71A(5).

Debate adjourned on motion of Ms Cook.

**CRIMINAL LAW CONSOLIDATION (FALSE OR MISLEADING INFORMATION) AMENDMENT BILL***Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:10):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935. Read a first time.

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:11):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I rise to introduce the Criminal Law Consolidation (False or Misleading Information) Amendment Bill 2019 with the second reading contribution as follows. The bill seeks to protect the integrity of important information provided to the courts through electronic channels. In recent years, the Courts Administration Authority has developed the Electronic Court Management System (ECMS). In November 2018, the ECMS for probate matters became fully operational and the ECMS for civil matters across all courts is expected in early 2020, and for criminal matters in 2021.

For probate matters, the introduction of this system has seen a vast increase in the speed of processing probate matters and is set to increase efficiencies across civil matters in the coming months. Once fully operational, the ECMS will allow court users to lodge their court documents and interact with the court online. Eventually, the majority of court processes will take place electronically rather than using paper forms and files.

The shift to an electronic format necessitates some changes to traditional court processes. One example of this is the use of affidavits, a common occurrence in all court proceedings. An affidavit is a formal written witness statement, sworn or affirmed before a justice of the peace and

signed on each page, initialled for any alterations. Many court applications are currently required to be accompanied by an affidavit providing supporting evidence.

The requirement for physical signatures in the presence of a justice of the peace or otherwise authorised person means that affidavits are not well suited to the digital format. In the ECMS, some court applications will no longer be able to be supported by a formal affidavit at first instance. Instead, the formally sworn information will be collected through digital tick boxes, typed information or uploaded documents.

This bill recognises the significant reliance on truth and ensuring that the users of the system, and those providing the information, maintain the highest standards. Court processes should not be taken any less seriously purely because they take place online and without the formal trappings of an affidavit. We must ensure the initial supporting information provided to a court is correct in the first instance because, should this information be false, it will cause difficulties and delays. Further witnesses may need to be called to contest the information or formal affidavits required.

Accordingly, to deter ECMS users from supporting their applications with false, unsworn information, the bill does two things and indeed creates two new offences. First, the bill creates an offence of entering false or misleading prescribed information into an ECMS whilst knowing that the information is false or misleading. Secondly, the bill contains an offence of providing false or misleading prescribed information to a person knowing that the information is false or misleading and that it may be provided to a court.

This offence is designed to cover persons who provide false instructions to a lawyer or other person assisting with the application rather than directly entering the information into the ECMS. This offence ensures equal treatment of represented and unrepresented parties. Without an offence in relation to providing instructions, unrepresented parties who use ECMS directly will be more at risk than represented parties who act through a lawyer.

The offences proposed in the bill are confined to prescribed information to limit them to the important supporting information that cannot be sworn at the time of the initial application but may be relied on by the court to determine the course of the proceedings in the early stages of a matter. Everyday application material, such as pleadings, is not intended to be prescribed. Categories of important supporting information will be prescribed by regulation and tailored to the needs of the ECMS as it is developed.

The bill is not designed to restore the prescribed information to the status of formal evidence. In any court proceedings, the prescribed information will still need to be the subject of formal affidavits or testimony, if contested. If the party makes the same false statement under oath or affirmation, they will be subject to the more serious offence of perjury. These offences do not include a potential penalty of imprisonment in order to create a clear distinction from perjury.

The bill is an important step in creating an appropriate regulatory framework to support ECMS. It supports increased efficiency in the processing of applications across our probate court, civil and criminal courts in the future and represents that part of our justice agenda, published earlier this year, that prioritises the need for policies and legislation to reflect contemporary needs.

I wish to acknowledge the advice and information provided by the Courts Administration Authority and, in particular, the Hon. Chief Justice Chris Kourakis. In conclusion, I indicate that I am pleased to say that the bill is now commended to all members of the house for their favourable consideration. I seek leave to have the explanation of clauses inserted without my reading it.

Leave granted.

#### EXPLANATION OF CLAUSES

Part 1—Preliminary

1—Short title

2—Commencement

3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

Part 2—Amendment of Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935

4—Insertion of Part 7 Division 2A

This clause inserts a new Division into Part 7 of the principal Act, containing new section 241A.

Division 2A—Offences relating to providing false or misleading information to a court

241A—False or misleading information entered into electronic court management system

This section creates an offence for a person to enter false or misleading prescribed information into an electronic court management system. It also creates an offence for a person to provide false or misleading prescribed information to another person knowing that the information will be, or is likely to be, provided to a court.

Debate adjourned on motion of Ms Cook.

## **STATUTES AMENDMENT (LEGALISATION OF SAME SEX MARRIAGE CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS) BILL**

### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:18):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend various acts to remove gender specific language in relation to marriage. Read a first time.

### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:19):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I now make a second reading explanation on the Statutes Amendment (Legalisation of Same Sex Marriage Consequential Amendments) Bill 2019, noting that the same amends marriage-related provisions in 19 acts of the parliament.

In 2017, the commonwealth parliament passed the Marriage Amendment (Definition and Religious Freedoms) Act 2017. Following the commencement of this act on 9 December 2017 the federal definition of marriage became gender-neutral and same-sex couples could access the status of marriage. Although the definition of marriage is a matter for federal law, many of our South Australian laws rely on or reference marriage. The government has undertaken a comprehensive review of South Australian statutes to identify which laws require amending to properly reflect the new gender-neutral definition of marriage.

The bill makes basic, mostly terminological or technical, updates to marriage-related provisions in 19 acts of parliament, as identified, requiring amendment. The primary change proposed by the bill is to remove gendered references to marriage in South Australian legislation. The bill changes numerous instances of 'husband or wife' to 'spouse' and 'widow or widower' to 'surviving spouse'. This ensures that the legislation matches the commonwealth definition of marriage. The bill also includes amendments to the Family Relationships Act 1975 that will create consistent requirements in relation to parentage presumptions.

Previous amendments to the Family Relationships Act provided parentage recognition rights to same-sex couples but also introduced a lot of complexity to the scheme. The opportunity has been taken to simplify this process so that a single test is applied to couples seeking to rely on parentage presumptions. The test will be that they are either married or in a qualifying relationship, as is already defined in the act.

The bill creates a new gender-neutral test for being de facto spouses for the purposes of four acts relating to superannuation, as the current definition expressly assumes that same-sex couples cannot marry. The bill also modernises the law in relation to marriage by removing several references to obsolete doctrines about married women's property. The bill repeals sections of the Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1996 that allowed married persons to change their registered sex or gender identity even though it would create a same-sex marriage. Now that commonwealth laws allow same-sex marriages, this confirmation is no longer needed.

The bill also fixes an anomaly whereby a same-sex couple could be simultaneously married and in a registered relationship under the Relationships Register Act 2016, something the act was not meant to allow—modern-day bigamy. Firstly, the bill removes a now unnecessary right to contact a same-sex partner when detained under the Terrorism (Preventative Detention) Act 2005, as same-sex couples are now fully covered under the rights to contact spouses or de facto spouses and do not need to be placed in a separate category.

The bill also makes small changes but has an important purpose: it ensures that our lives in relation to marriage are modern, relevant and inclusive of all legally married couples. This reform will also ensure that South Australian legislation is compatible with commonwealth law and therefore remove any risks of a provision being void under clause 109 of the Australian Constitution. May I acknowledge, in progressing this bill, the work undertaken by and the support of a number of my colleagues in cabinet who also have the responsibility of legislation that is being affected by this bill. I commend the bill to members for their, hopefully, favourable consideration and seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses without my reading the same.

Leave granted.

#### Explanation of Clauses

##### Part 1—Preliminary

###### 1—Short title

###### 2—Commencement

###### 3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

##### Part 2—Amendment of Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1996

###### 4—Amendment of section 29I—Application to change sex or gender identity

Subsection 29I(3) allows a person to apply to change their sex or gender identity despite the fact that if married they would, as a result of the change, be in a same sex marriage. Due to the legalisation of same sex marriage this provision is no longer required and this clause removes the provision accordingly.

###### 5—Amendment of section 29O—Application for identity acknowledgement certificate

Subsection 29O(3) allows a person to apply for an identity acknowledgement certificate despite the fact that if married they would, as a result of the issuing of the certificate, be in a same sex marriage. Due to the legalisation of same sex marriage this provision is no longer required and this clause removes the provision accordingly.

##### Part 3—Amendment of Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935

###### 6—Amendment of section 78—Bigamy

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of the offence of bigamy.

###### 7—Amendment of section 79—Defences in cases of bigamy

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of defences to a charge of bigamy.

##### Part 4—Amendment of *Electoral Act 1985*

###### 8—Amendment of section 70—Errors etc in roll not to forfeit entitlement to vote

Section 70 provides that a female elector is not to be disqualified from voting should her surname be changed by marriage. This clause broadens the section to apply to any elector regardless of gender.

##### Part 5—Amendment of *Evidence Act 1929*

###### 9—Amendment of section 16—Parties and their spouses competent and compellable in civil proceedings

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of giving evidence in civil proceedings.

##### Part 6—Amendment of Family and Community Services Act 1972

###### 10—Amendment of section 6—Interpretation

This clause removes the reference to marriage being between a man and a woman and substitutes it with gender neutral language.



## 11—Amendment of section 104—Order for payment of preliminary expenses

Section 104 provides that where a woman is pregnant or has given birth she may sue for expenses if she can prove that she is pregnant by someone who is not her husband. This clause gender neutralises the section by replacing the word 'husband' with 'spouse'.

## 12—Amendment of section 140—Evidence of mother as to paternity of child born outside marriage etc not to be accepted without corroboration except in certain cases

Section 140 provides that the evidence of a woman that she is pregnant by someone other than her husband must not be accepted without corroboration, except in certain circumstances. This clause gender neutralises the section by replacing the word 'husband' with 'spouse'.

## 13—Amendment of section 211—Power to make provisional order against person resident in reciprocating country

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of maintenance orders against a spouse.

## 14—Amendment of section 245—Evidence of spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of giving evidence for or against a person's spouse.

## Part 7—Amendment of Family Relationships Act 1975

## 15—Amendment of section 8—Presumption as to parentage

Section 8 provides that a child born to a woman during her marriage (or qualifying relationship) will be presumed to be the child of the mother and the husband or domestic partner.

This clause changes the word 'husband' to 'spouse' to reflect that the woman's spouse may not be a husband. The term 'domestic partner' is also changed to 'partner' by this clause which reflects the fact that a qualifying relationship is, by definition, made up of 'partners' not 'domestic partners'.

The effect of this amendment is that whether a woman is married to, or in a qualifying relationship with, a man or a woman, that person will be presumed to be the parent of the child born to the woman in the circumstances prescribed in the section.

## 16—Amendment of section 10A—Interpretation

This clause gender neutralises language in relation to marriage by removing definitions of 'married woman', 'wife' and 'husband' and substitutes them with the term 'spouse'.

This clause also clarifies that a 'qualifying relationship' includes a relationship that is registered under the *Relationships Register Act 2016*.

## 17—Amendment of section 10C—Rules relating to parentage

This clause gender neutralises language in relation to marriage. The effect of the changes to subsection (3) is that where a woman who is married or in a qualifying relationship undergoes a fertilisation procedure with the consent of her spouse or partner, the woman's spouse or partner (whether male or female) will be presumed to have caused the pregnancy and will be taken to be the father (in the case of a male spouse or partner) or the co-parent (in any other case) of the child.

Section 10C(3a) was introduced into the *Family Relationships Act 1975* at a time when the presumption for parentage in 10C(3) applied to a mother and her partner who had been cohabiting for at least 3 years. The 3 year requirement in subsection (3) was later removed and, as such, subsection (3a) is no longer needed.

Clause 19 of this Bill inserts transitional provisions in relation to the changes to subsections (3) and (3a) which is explained in that clause.

## 18—Amendment of section 10HA—Recognised surrogacy agreements

This clause gender neutralises language in relation to marriage. The effect of this clause is that the spouse or partner of a proposed surrogate, regardless of gender, is to be a party to the relevant recognised surrogacy agreement.

Clause 19 of this Bill inserts a transitional provision in relation to the changes to section 10HA which is explained in that clause.

## 19—Insertion of Schedule 1

This clause inserts three transitional provisions. The first provides that in the period between the legalisation of same sex marriage and the commencement of the amending Act, a reference to a husband in section 10C(3) will be taken to have been a reference to a spouse. The effect of this clause is that, where a woman has undergone a fertilisation procedure after the day on which same sex marriage became legal, a female spouse of the woman who underwent the procedure will be deemed to be the co-parent of the child born as a result of that procedure.

To avoid doubt, this clause also provides that a partner of a woman who was taken to be a father or co-parent of a child born as a result of the woman's pregnancy in consequence of a fertilisation procedure under existing section 10C(3a) will still be taken to be the father or co-parent (as the case may be) of that child after the subsection is repealed.

This clause also provides that a female spouse or partner of a surrogate mother may only be a party to a recognised surrogacy agreement if the agreement was entered into after the commencement of the clause. The effect of this transitional provision is to prevent a person who was a female spouse or partner of the surrogate mother prior to the commencement of this clause from unwittingly becoming party to a recognised surrogacy agreement.

#### Part 8—Amendment of Judges' Pensions Act 1971

##### 20—Amendment of section 9A—Spouse entitlement subject to any Family Law determination

This clause includes domestic partners within the scope of section 9A.

##### 21—Amendment of section 11—Minimum pensions

This clause removes the gender specific language in relation to surviving spouses. This clause also includes surviving domestic partners as being able to receive a Judge's pension upon their death.

##### 22—Amendment of section 17B—Purpose of this Part

Part 2A currently only applies to facilitating the division of interests under the *Family Law Act 1975* of the Commonwealth between spouses who have separated. This clause amends section 17B to include domestic partners who have separated.

##### 23—Amendment of section 17K—Pension not payable to spouse or domestic partner on death of Judge if split has occurred

This clause provides that a pension is not payable to the domestic partner of a Judge if a split has occurred. At present, the section only applies to spouses.

#### Part 9—Amendment of Law of Property Act 1936

##### 24—Amendment of section 100—Assurance policy by spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of assurance policies.

#### Part 10—Amendment of Limitation of Actions Act 1936

##### 25—Amendment of section 3—Interpretation

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage.

##### 26—Amendment of section 32—Further provisions as to application of Act to trusts

Due to the fact that married women can own property in their own right, this clause removes the concept of separate use by married women.

#### Part 11—Amendment of Parliamentary Superannuation Act 1974

##### 27—Amendment of section 5—Interpretation

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to surviving spouses.

##### 28—Amendment of section 7A—Putative spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to putative spouses.

#### Part 12—Amendment of Police Superannuation Act 1990

##### 29—Amendment of section 4A—Putative spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to putative spouses.

#### Part 13—Amendment of Real Property Act 1886

##### 30—Amendment of section 69—Title of registered proprietor indefeasible

This clause removes paragraph 69(g) which relates, inter alia, to a wife's prevailing title where a husband has been wrongly registered as a co-proprietor of land belonging to his wife.

##### 31—Amendment of section 111—Transfer by registered proprietor to spouse etc

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage in respect of transferring an estate or interest in land.

#### Part 14—Amendment of Relationships Register Act 2016

## 32—Insertion of section 13A

The *Relationships Register Act 2016* does not permit a person who is married to be in a registered relationship. This clause puts it beyond doubt that if a person was party to a same sex marriage that took place overseas before the day on which overseas same sex marriages were recognised as legal in Australia and was also a party to a registered relationship on or before that day, the registration of that relationship is revoked.

## Part 15—Amendment of Settled Estates Act 1880

## 33—Amendment of section 44—Tenants for life etc may grant leases for 21 years

This clause removes reference to the concept of a person owning or acquiring property in right of their wife.

## 34—Amendment of section 45—Against whom such leases shall be valid

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to marriage and removes reference to the concept of a person owning or acquiring property in right of their wife.

## Part 16—Amendment of Solicitor-General Act 1972

## 35—Amendment of section 9—Leave on retirement

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to surviving spouses.

36—Amendment of section 10—Pension rights of Solicitor-General and application of *Judges' Pensions Act 1971*

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to surviving spouses.

## Part 17—Amendment of Southern State Superannuation Act 2009

## 37—Amendment of section 7—Putative spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to putative spouses.

Part 18—Amendment of *Stamp Duties Act 1923*

## 38—Amendment of Schedule 2—Stamp duties and exemptions

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to surviving spouses.

## Part 19—Amendment of Superannuation Act 1988

## 39—Amendment of section 4A—Putative spouses

This clause removes gender specific language in relation to putative spouses.

## Part 20—Amendment of Terrorism (Preventative Detention) Act 2005

## 40—Amendment of section 35—Contacting family members etc

This clause removes a now outdated reference to a same sex partner.

Debate adjourned on motion of Ms Cook.

## ARCHITECTURAL PRACTICE (CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT) AMENDMENT BILL

### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (12:25):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Architectural Practice Act 2009. Read a first time.

### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (12:26):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

This bill makes an amendment to the Architectural Practice Act 2009, mandating continuing professional development as a condition of ongoing registration as a practising architect in South Australia. In 2006, all architectural registration boards across Australia agreed to a national continuing professional development framework. Many states have now adopted this, and this proposed amendment seeks to align South Australia with other jurisdictions in mandating professional development as a condition of ongoing registration for practising architects.

The provisions of the amendment will apply to all practising architects. The Architectural Practice Board of South Australia will develop the rules for continuing professional development in South Australia once the bill has passed. The bill will require registered architects to undertake approved continuing professional development activities at their own or their employer's cost.

This amendment has been consulted on and is supported by the Architectural Practice Board of South Australia, the Australian Institute of Architects, South Australian Chapter, and the Association of Consulting Architects, South Australia. Preliminary information about the intention to mandate continuing professional development as a condition of registration of architects has been made available to the architectural profession by the Architectural Practice Board of South Australia.

In light of the recommendations of the national Shergold Weir report into building confidence, which, among other things, recommended greater consistency across Australia and a chance for architects to re-establish and refine their professional role in the construction industry, this bill seeks to protect and maintain elevated standards of professional conduct and to increase confidence in the construction sector. The mandating of continuing professional development will ensure that architects maintain their knowledge and skills, relevant to their architectural practice, and their provision of architectural services to consumers. I seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses into *Hansard* without my reading it.

Leave granted.

#### Explanation of Clauses

##### Part 1—Preliminary

###### 1—Short title

###### 2—Commencement

###### 3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

##### Part 2—Amendment of Architectural Practice Act 2009

###### 4—Amendment of section 3—Interpretation

This clause inserts a new definition of *professional development rules*, consequential on the amendment in clause 7 of the measure.

###### 5—Amendment of section 13—Functions of Board

This clause makes a number of amendments to allow the Architectural Practice Board to make rules (the professional development rules) prescribing requirements for continuing professional development for registered architects.

###### 6—Amendment of section 15—Delegations

This amendment inserts a reference to the professional development rules to be enacted by the amendments in clause 5.

###### 7—Insertion of section 31A

This clause inserts a new section as follows:

###### 31A—Requirement for further education and training

The proposed section provides that a registered architect must, in each year of registration, undertake or obtain further education, training and experience required or determined under the professional development rules. A registered architect who fails to do so is not entitled to be registered in accordance with the Act. The section provides for the circumstances in which the Architectural Practice Board may exempt an architect from the requirement to undertake further education and training.

###### 8—Amendment of section 32—Fees and returns

The clause inserts a provision requiring a registered architect to provide to the Board, along with their registration and annual fee, a form approved by the Board that contains information that, under the professional development rules, the registered architect is required to provide to the Board as to compliance with the professional development rules (or with the terms or conditions of an exemption from the rules).

Debate adjourned on motion of Ms Cook.

**FLINDERS UNIVERSITY (REMUNERATION OF COUNCIL MEMBERS) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 25 September 2019.)

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (12:29):** I rise today to make a few remarks in regard to the Flinders University (Remuneration of Council Members) Amendment Bill. Of course, universities are a vital part of the fabric of our state and our nation. Indeed, in my community and the member for Davenport's community, they are a very important part as well.

The bill makes a few changes, but by and large it brings Flinders University in line with and on the same footing as the University of South Australia in terms of payment for council members and the ability of the council of Flinders University to set levels of payment for its members, and that is so important.

In my contribution today, I would like to talk a bit more broadly about Flinders University. We know it is a globally renowned precinct. It is known for its leadership, its innovation, its enterprise and especially for its work in the area of health and education. There is a campus in Bedford Park and also an additional campus in Victoria Square. More recently, in the last couple of years, they expanded into the new Tonsley Innovation Precinct as well.

The work that the university is doing at Tonsley, in collaboration with industry, stakeholders and the state government, is fantastic and transformational and will really see the inner south explode in terms of innovation, advanced manufacturing and creating an employment hub—more importantly, an educational hub—around Tonsley and using that old Mitsubishi site to its full potential, which is fantastic to see.

Offering more than 160 undergraduate and postgraduate courses, as well as higher degrees, the university is a modern university that caters for tens of thousands of students, which is so important. It was founded in 1966 and naturally is named after Matthew Flinders. Coincidentally, in today's paper there is an article about Matthew Flinders being interred in his home town and moved from Euston in the United Kingdom. I think an honourable member in the other house was talking about how Matthew Flinders could perhaps be interred here in South Australia, given his contribution to the founding of our state, finding our state and charting the course of the great southern land.

Sir, you will be interested to know that the Queen Mother officially opened Flinders University in 1966 when the university had just 400 students, and of course Flinders Medical Centre was built on adjacent land.

A really exciting thing that is happening at Flinders at the moment is the Flinders Link Project. I know that for many residents in the member for Davenport's electorate, and indeed in my electorate as well around Bellevue Heights and Eden Hills, they cannot wait for this project to happen. I understand that it should be this time next year that the project is completed.

It is fantastic to see public transport going into that Flinders precinct. It is a \$125 million project co-funded by the federal government. I have to praise my federal colleague and the local member, Nicolle Flint, for her advocacy over the last several years to find funding for this project, and of course the Marshall Liberal government as well for funding this most important project. The project is a 650-metre extension of the Tonsley line.

There will be an elevated track over the fantastic Darlington project over South Road. It is really going to create accessibility for residents of Bedford Park in my community, as well as for students at Flinders University, to not only connect with the metropolitan train network but to give them easy access to get into North Terrace and into the City of Adelaide. That connectivity between the universities on North Terrace and Flinders, and more importantly between the two health precincts at the RAH and at Flinders, is going to be fantastic.

Another really exciting project that the university is embarking on is Flinders Village—the university's plan for a health, education and accommodation precinct to be built around the railway station at Flinders on the main oval. It will be centred around the new Flinders Station. Flinders

Village will transform the campus into a vibrant urban centre in Adelaide's south that will become a lifestyle focus for southern Adelaide.

What is happening with Flinders Village and what is happening at Tonsley is going to reinvigorate the south and lead to more investment, and we are already seeing that. On Monday night, I was very fortunate to be there when the Premier opened the new development at the Marion Hotel, a fantastic pub in this precinct. There are new accommodation facilities.

I have to congratulate the Hurley Hotel Group on their substantial investment in the south. Peter Hurley, the proprietor of the Hurley Hotel Group, said that one of the main drivers for putting in a new accommodation complex at the Marion Hotel is to cater for exactly what is happening at Tonsley, what is happening at Flinders University and what is going to happen in the coming years, which is fantastic.

The upgrade at the university village will include high-tech research facilities, cafes, shops and entertainment, as well as an expanded residential offering for students. We know how important international students are to the South Australian economy; it is one of our biggest exports. I know that the Minister for Education, the Minister for Trade, Tourism and Investment and the whole of government are pursuing this and want to see growth in international students for what they can bring to South Australia in terms of their skills and inclusiveness but, more importantly, to make a contribution to our society.

The university village will merge university life with the wider community, creating a mixed-use precinct for students, educators, visitors, service providers and local residents. Flinders Village will commence with the development of a world-class health research facility, as well as teaching simulation and clinical research spaces. Amongst other things, it will deliver commercial and office spaces, community gardens, research commercialisation incubators, health commercialisation and start-ups, and health and wellness clinics and consulting suites.

The campus will be the biggest integrated health and education precinct in South Australia and will include transitional health accommodation, a hotel, student accommodation and a range of private developments and retail facilities. This long-term plan for development will create many opportunities for students and the broader community, and the benefits will be numerous, including driving a competitive and dynamic economy for South Australia, which is so important. We have had good news today in terms of employment statistics in South Australia.

Ultimately, it is about jobs and the future, training the next generation of jobseekers and apprentices in South Australia, attracting more students to our great state and supporting real-world research that improves the lives of our community. The Flinders Village will reinforce the importance of universities to the economy and to the fabric of our society. For Flinders University, I believe that this is a really exciting future and a really exciting project, and I look forward to working with the state government over the coming years to see it come to fruition.

Universities transform people's lives through education and through the wider impact of their research. Education gives us knowledge of the world around us, and a university education is more than the next level in the learning process: it is a critical component in our human development. A university education provides not only high-level skills but also training that is essential. and they are anchor institutions in our community. I look forward to seeing Flinders University go from strength to strength.

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (12:37):** I am delighted to stand up to talk about my alma mater, Flinders University. I guess that we all have personal connections to the three universities in this state in one way or another. We certainly are very grateful for the economic activity they undertake in our state. I indicate that I am the lead speaker and that I am supporting the bill, and I will try to restrain myself from talking for too long about Flinders.

I simply note the importance of universities to the economy and the society of this state. While we pay tribute to the University of Adelaide as the first institution, and in many ways still the most economically powerful institution, the fact that we have three strong public universities has been of enormous benefit to people seeking to train in this state, to companies that benefit from the research that is undertaken, to everyone who benefits from the number of international students who

have been in our state over the last several years and also generally to the way in which our society and economy are enriched by the presence of such strong universities.

That said, I have a special place in my heart for Flinders for two reasons. One, of course, is that I am a product of Flinders University. It educated me in every meaningful way. Since I went through high school, high school education has become far more sophisticated and far more focused on developing critical and creative thinking in particular. However, my experience was that I largely developed those skills, such as I have them, through my time at university.

I was very fortunate to be in the in-between generation that got free education and was able to undertake a degree that interested me rather than made me predetermined about what kind of profession I would undertake in the future. The second reason I hold a special place in my heart for Flinders, of course, is that my parents both worked there their entire careers. They were their first and only jobs. We are, indeed, Australian because my father, having graduated from university, was offered a job in the very early days of Flinders University. He and my mother came here in early 1967 and I was born at the end of 1967 and, therefore, am Australian thanks to a new university starting up and seeking young academics for the most part from around the world.

However, the trajectory of universities has been a fraught one in many ways in Australia over the past couple of decades. I say this in the context of the bill that we are discussing today, which we support, but there is opposition to this bill which has been raised with me by the NTEU, the union representing many of the people employed within the university. While I am happy to support this piece of legislation—I think it is sensible and the university has every right to ask it of this parliament—I note that the objections raised with me were substantially about the concern the union and many people have about the way in which universities have been required to increasingly become businesses.

In some ways, there is nothing wrong with our universities operating on a businesslike footing, with universities recognising their economic impact, their economic potential, and the importance of being successful on many criteria. However, I note that the decline in the proportion of public funding which has gone to universities, which really took hold at a time when I was working at the University of Adelaide in the second half of the 1990s, pushed universities into having to consider income-raising as one of their primary considerations rather than a consideration subordinate to the intellectual work being undertaken by their academics.

As I said, this is a debate. It is not that this is all bad and what occurred before was all good. But I acknowledge what is under strain within universities in their current configuration and the current pressures that they are under. I acknowledge the difficulty for many universities to sufficiently prioritise research that has no clear benefit in the short term and yet recognise the importance of that kind of research for Australia. In fact, the importance of that kind of research has led to so many developments in our society and our understanding of the way the world works and, indeed, in science, technology and business.

We need to make sure that we are not pushing universities so hard to find immediate sources of income that they start to fail in playing that role. While I have enormous faith in the leadership of the universities, both in South Australia and in Australia altogether, I am a concerned observer of the pressure that they are under and, of course, that occasionally becomes a focus such as in the case of questions over the dependence on international students, and dependence on international students from particular countries, and the pressure that has occasionally been argued to have brought to bear on academic standards and academics' treatment of students.

As I said, I have faith, but I maintain a sceptical and inquiring eye on how the universities are managing these stresses. That said, while I acknowledge and share the concerns that were raised by the NTEU with me in that context, I do not believe that that then argues for this parliament not supporting what I regard to be a reasonable request by the Flinders University leadership for the capacity to remunerate council members.

It seems to me, on the one hand, that this is becoming increasingly standard practice. It is certainly the way that the University of South Australia was established from the start, I believe. It is practice in many universities across the country and gives a capacity for a council and a leadership that it ought to have as one of its tools.

I also take slight issue with the proposition that people should be on boards, committees and councils for free because that is the only way that they demonstrate their goodwill, their sense of altruism and their wanting the university to succeed for its own sake. The reason I take issue is that it is a very slippery slope from that argument to saying that no-one should be paid for work that they do that ought to be of a nature that is beneficial to society. Of course, that is where we started with politicians, where only those who were independently wealthy were able to take time out and be representatives. That is the last thing we want to return to if we are to have any chance of having the full diversity of Australian or any other society represented in a parliament.

It is a long stretch, perhaps, between what is properly a full-time job and what could be regarded as something that does not take very much time, but I think there is a link. If we are asking someone to undertake a serious piece of work in support of an institution, then we ought to be prepared to recognise that it may come at a cost that ought to be able to be remunerated. I do not accept that element of the argument, but I do accept the general concern raised by the NTEU that we are seeing a shift increasingly into a corporate culture for universities, some of which makes sense. Universities ought to be subject to all the policies, procedures and ways in which people are employed under fair working conditions in the rest of society.

In a deeper sense, and in the sense in which I think the NTEU means it, there is a concern of that becoming a priority over the wider and somewhat diffuse benefits that universities have always given our society in educating young people for the sake of educating them and undertaking research for the sake of the inquiry. That said, we are happy to support this piece of legislation and I indicate that we will not be seeking on this side to go into committee.

**Mr MURRAY (Davenport) (12:47):** I, too, rise to speak to the Flinders University (Remuneration of Council Members) Amendment Bill 2019. Can I start by thanking and commending the member for Port Adelaide, who I can recall self-styled herself in discussions with me at Flinders as a 'child of the university'. I congratulate her on her longstanding association with the university and support enumerated in her contribution just now.

I am a convert as a supporter of the university; Adelaide University is my alma mater. My wife and I have had longstanding banter over the years, she being from Flinders University. I am very proud to have Flinders University, and indeed the Flinders precinct, in the suburb of Bedford Park and as part of the seat of Davenport. Flinders University, and the broader Flinders precinct, is something I am not just proud to represent but also very keen to aggressively protect and enhance to the extent I can.

I intend to very briefly talk about the background of the university and this bill, the university's strategy and how the bill gives considerable weight to that. The existing act is, in some respects, a product of its time. The university started life in 1960, with premier Playford allocating about 150 hectares of land in what was Burbank, now Bedford Park, to the University of Adelaide.

Subsequent to that, in about 1965 there was a determination made that what is now Flinders University would not be an adjunct part of the University of Adelaide, but would in fact be a completely separate entity. As a consequence, in March 1966 a bill was passed that officially created the university. It is that bill, and, to some extent, as the member for Port Adelaide has pointed out, the precepts of those times that we are seeking to update today.

The university started life with an enrolment of about 400, and one of the significant early decisions with the construction of the university was to integrate, as much as possible, the university with the adjacent Flinders Medical Centre. A key feature of the university today is the tight integration and the impact that has, from a beneficial sense, on the way in which teaching is conducted and, in particular, training is provided to people on that precinct.

The member for Waite pointed out that the university also has a presence in Victoria Square, here in the CBD, and a considerable and growing presence at the Tonsley precinct. What is less widely known and appreciated is that the university also has a number of facilities in regional South Australia—it is the only university that does that—and they are justifiably proud of their investment and their support of regional populations and regional students, and the provision of integrated teaching opportunities between the main campus and those regional outliers. The university has a footprint not just in South Australia but also in south-west Victoria and the Northern Territory. Those



teaching facilities are vitally important in ensuring the delivery of health services in those areas, and the university plays a very proud part within them.

Moving to the strategic imperatives of the university, as the member for Port Adelaide has alluded to, the university sector is no different from any other sector in this day and age. It is required to be self-sustaining to a degree, which is certainly heavier and more onerous than was the case back when I went to university and, I stress, subsequent to that, when the member for Port Adelaide went to university as well. As a consequence of that, universities generally need to have an aggressive and quite focused stance to be competitive not just here in South Australia, not just in Australia, but on a global basis.

The university has a strategic vision that is devoted to student success, international growth and high-impact research. It has a series of ambitious targets in both education and research, with an intention to elevate Flinders University to the top 10 of Australian universities and the top 1 per cent in the world by 2025. That is the vision that has been articulated by Vice-Chancellor Colin Stirling, and it has been embraced by the university in its totality. It is that vision, and particularly the expansion internationally, which nicely complements the university's work on a regional basis. It is that expansion that we are indirectly seeking to support by way of this bill today.

Regarding the principal reasons for the university being desirous of having the capacity to remunerate council members, there are several arguments in favour of that, and I will take the time to enumerate them. As we have discussed, the first is that council members are required already to devote a considerable period of their time, and they are also expected to exercise a high degree of skill, knowledge and expertise.

Today, Flinders has gone from 400 students to 27,000 students, nearly 2,600 staff and annual revenues reaching some \$500 million. It has a comparable scale and complexity in many respects analogous to those of many large organisations and businesses. This is not a Mickey Mouse outfit by any stretch of the imagination; it is a sizeable enterprise and, as a result, the responsibilities of council members have a commensurate size and complexity.

By virtue of the integration Flinders has, and the unique nature of the campus, council members are required to deal with an ever-increasing regulatory landscape in relation to many complex matters of national and international significance, including cyber issues, cybersecurity, freedom of speech, foreign interference and defence, so there are complexities above and beyond those which attach themselves to enterprises of a comparable size. The member for Waite dwelt for some time on the Flinders Village project. I have made mention of the fact that the trains are coming to Davenport.

*The Hon. S.K. Knoll interjecting:*

**Mr MURRAY:** I note the very helpful and emphatically positive interjection from the Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, which can only mean that he remains as committed to it as ever, and I congratulate him on that. This is the focal point that is helping to deliver the Flinders Village. The Flinders Village will in broad terms entail its expected some \$1½ billion worth of investment. It is a prime example of the importance of the governance that an enterprise of this size needs; in this case, Flinders is no different.

It is a multiyear project and will be a combination of private and public partnerships. As a result, there will be significant governance issues that the university needs to be able to apply, and that governance entails the need for significantly higher levels of skill and expertise. As a result, this bill provides the flexibility of the council to enhance or ensure the ongoing efficacy of the governance arrangements it has in place.

I simply endorse the comments made by the member for Port Adelaide and make the point that the bill before us does not in any way enforce a disparity between one council member or another being remunerated. It actually enables the council to determine that a member of council be remunerated. It goes on to enable the council to fix different remuneration levels according to either the office held by the recipient or on the basis of any other factor the council may deem to be relevant. It also gives the council the ability to prescribe circumstances in which there may well not be any remuneration.

I think it behoves us to enable the university to make decisions about its own governance to allow it to recruit and, to the extent that it sees fit, remunerate contributors to its governance structure. It behoves us not only to enable that but to trust the university to chart its path in the future and continue to provide and enhance the sorts of education and training opportunities that it does provide.

By way of some very quick statistics that again enhance the argument, the University of South Australia, as the member for Waite has pointed out, remunerates its council members and the University of Adelaide remunerates its chancellor. Flinders currently remunerates its Chancellor and Deputy Vice-Chancellors some \$65,000 and \$32,500 respectively. Yes, you can apply later. More than half of Australian universities currently remunerate their council members. I commend not just the university but also this bill to the house.

Debate adjourned on motion of Hon. S.K. Knoll.

*Sitting suspended from 12:59 to 14:00.*

### *Parliamentary Procedure*

#### **ANSWERS TABLED**

**The SPEAKER:** I direct that the written answers to questions be distributed and printed in *Hansard*.

#### **PAPERS**

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Premier (Hon. S.S. Marshall)—

Adelaide Festival Centre Trust—Annual Report 2018-19  
 Auditor-General's Department—Report 7 of 2019 Annual Report 2018-19  
 Carrick Hill Trust—Annual Report 2018-19  
 Defence SA—Annual Report 2018-19  
 Infrastructure SA—Annual Report 2018-19  
 Libraries Board of South Australia—Annual Report 2018-19  
 Multicultural and Ethnic Affairs Commission—South Australian Annual Report 2018-19  
 Productivity Commission—Office of the South Australian Annual Report 2018-19  
 Public Sector (Data Sharing) Act 2016—Delegation of Power and Functions under the State Opera South Australia—Annual Report 2018-19

By the Deputy Premier (Hon. V.A. Chapman)—

Community Visitor Scheme—Disability Services Annual Report 2018-19

By the Minister for Energy and Mining (Hon. D.C. van Holst Pellekaan)—

Community Visitor Scheme—Mental Health Services Annual Report 2018-19  
 Technical Regulator—Annual Report 2018-19

By the Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government (Hon. S.K. Knoll)—

National Rail Safety Regulator—Office of the Annual Report 2018-19

### *Parliamentary Committees*

#### **PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (14:03):** I bring up the 32<sup>nd</sup> report of the committee, entitled Lake Bonney Battery Energy Storage System.

Report received and ordered to be published.

**Mr CREGAN:** I bring up the 33<sup>rd</sup> report of the committee, entitled Hydrogen Park SA Project.

Report received and ordered to be published.

**Mr CREGAN:** I bring up the 34<sup>th</sup> report of the committee, entitled Rebuild of the South Australian Dog Fence.

Report received and ordered to be published.

*Parliamentary Procedure*

**VISITORS**

**The SPEAKER:** I welcome to parliament today attendees from the 2019 Governor's Leadership Foundation program, which is being conducted today. I trust you will draw inspiration from our community leaders here today.

*Question Time*

**SA AMBULANCE SERVICE**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:05):** My question is to the Premier. What responsibility does the Premier take for there being no ambulances available to attend emergencies south of the CBD through to Victor Harbor at 8.30pm yesterday evening?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left, be quiet. The Minister for Energy and Mining representing the Minister for Health has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:06):** Not only does that question involve argument, it actually involves false argument because the 8.30—

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Point of order, Mr Speaker: the remedy for such a complaint would be making a point of order rather than how the minister answered that question.

**The SPEAKER:** I am taking the point from the member for Lee on board. I will listen to the answer. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The Leader of the Opposition in his question made the statement that there were no ambulances available at 8.30pm last night in the southern suburbs, and that is clearly incorrect because at 8.50 last night in the southern suburbs four ambulances—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** At 8.50pm last night, four ambulances were dispatched to a very serious accident—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —so there must have been ambulances available around the place.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Let me just say that while it is important to correct the Leader of the Opposition, medical care and emergency care—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left, I would like to hear the answer.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Medical care, and particularly emergency care, for the people of South Australia is incredibly important and the government, the Premier, the Minister

for Health and Wellbeing and everybody working in our health system in government takes this responsibility very seriously.

We respect that the ambulance union seeks to advocate for its members and that their EB negotiations are underway at the moment, but it is important to point out what a great job the actual ambulance officers are doing. Advice from the SA Ambulance Service and the Southern Adelaide Local Health Network is that neither ramping nor any other hospital-based issue was a factor in delivering any ambulance care in the south of Adelaide last night.

### HOSPITAL BEDS

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:08):** My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier take responsibility that his decision to close 60 beds in hospitals and cut doctors and nurses is causing delays in EDs for patients, therefore affecting emergency care via ambulances?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order: standing order 97, argument and a range of other things in standing order 97.

**The SPEAKER:** I was prepared to allow it up to 'therefore'. I will allow the leader to rephrase the question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I will repeat the first part, thank you, Mr Speaker. My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier take responsibility that his decision to close 60 beds in hospitals and cut doctors and nurses is causing delays in emergency departments?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:09):** It would have been handy for everybody in this house if the Leader of the Opposition had actually listened to the answer to my last question. Advice from the South Australian Ambulance—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The leader is called to order. You have asked your question.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Advice from the South Australian Ambulance Service and the Southern Adelaide Health Network is that neither ramping nor any other hospital-based issue was a factor in the delivery of ambulance care in the south last night.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** So that's—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Leader! The member for Badcoe is called to order, as is the Deputy Premier.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** So it is important to get that on the record again for the opposition.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Let me also correct the Leader of the Opposition: we are not closing beds.

**Mr Malinauskas:** You are not closing beds? You are closing 60 beds.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

**Mr Duluk:** You closed the Repat; what are you on about?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Waite is called to order. I know he has been to mass this morning, but that is not an excuse to interject.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The opposition came here yesterday during question time and made all these assertions. The Premier addressed these questions—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, there is a point of order. If the minister could be seated for one moment. The point of order is?

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Debate, sir. When he says, 'The opposition said,' that is debate.

**The SPEAKER:** I have the point of order. With respect to the member for West Torrens, the minister is facing what I would call an unfair amount of interjections. They are not rare and they are not courteous at the moment, from the left and the right of me. So I ask those interjections to cease so that I can hear his answer, and I will adjudicate accordingly if I need to. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you very much, Mr Speaker. The opposition came in yesterday in question time and made these assertions and asked these questions, and they were ably addressed by the Premier. They were ably addressed by the Premier. Coming and asking the same things all over again—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —doesn't make them any truer. But let me provide some very specific information. Building more capacity into our hospitals is vital to stop ramping. The NRAH has been operating at full capacity for most of the time since it opened. This means that when a surge comes there is nowhere to put those patients. The Marshall Liberal government has been working to ease the pressure on our busy emergency departments—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —with programs such as the priority care centres and Hospital at Home. The RAH was designed, built and planned, like all hospitals, to have surge capacity, but since then it has basically run at full capacity. Having spare capacity is something stakeholder groups across the health sector have acknowledged is crucial to running an effective hospital. The ambulance association—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The ambulance association, the medical officers association, the South Australian Salaried Medical Officers Association and the Australasian College of Emergency Medicine have all stated that no hospital should run at 100 per cent capacity. Even the opposition, while they were in government, said that the RAH should not be running at 100 per cent capacity. The hospital needs to be able to cope with surges in demand. These beds will be on stand-by to be used when needed. Patient care will always be our government's top priority.

**The SPEAKER:** Before I call the leader, I call the following members to order: the member for Kaurana, the leader, the member for Hurtle Vale, the member for Wright and the Minister for Police. Leader.

#### **AMBULANCE RAMPING**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:12):** My question is to the Premier. Does the Premier rule out any hospital ramping occurring at the Flinders Medical Centre at 8.30pm yesterday evening?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:13):** As the Leader of the Opposition would know, the Minister for Health and Wellbeing in the other place every month makes figures available about ramping of ambulances at hospitals.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** He makes this information available very, very regularly and publicly.

**Mr Picton:** Where does he do that? No, he doesn't. That's rubbish. He does not.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, member for Kaurana!

**Mr Picton:** Where does he do that? You can't just make it up.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! We have the question. The member for Kaurana is warned. We have the question.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** These figures are available. They are made public.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** I do not require the chorus of 'where', member for West Torrens. We have the question, and I would like to hear the answer.

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** You are making things up as you go along.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens, for interjecting, 'You are making things up as you go along,' is now warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you, Mr Speaker. This ramping information is made available. I have addressed the question with regard to 8.30 last night. Twenty minutes later, four ambulances were dispatched.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Leader, you have asked your question. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** At 8.50 last night, four ambulances were dispatched to a very serious accident.

*Mr Brown interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Playford is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** This question has been asked and answered, asked—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Leader, cease interjecting please. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** Your colleagues hate you. No-one respects you.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens is warned for a second and final time.

*The Hon. A. Piccolo interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Light is warned for a second and final time. Members are going to be departing very shortly at this rate.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Mr Speaker, I think it was very generous of you to only warn the member for West Torrens for saying that about you.

**The SPEAKER:** I agree.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** You are a very generous man. This question has been asked. This question has been answered.

**JOB CREATION**

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (14:15):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier update the house on how the government is delivering more jobs?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:15):** I thank the member for King for her excellent question. She is concerned with the big issues for the people of South Australia. The number one issue is creating more jobs for the next generation—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —and growing our economy, and we are working harder than ever before to grow our economy and to create more jobs. Yesterday, I was down at Lot Fourteen. I was there with my friend and colleague the Minister for Innovation and Skills in South Australia.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We were very proud to be officially opening the new incubator and accelerator on this site. Earlier this year, we went out to the market to look at who could best run the incubator and accelerator on this site, and earlier this year we announced that Stone and Chalk were selected. Of course, they run the incubator and accelerator up in Sydney. They also run one in Melbourne. In fact, the incubator and accelerator on Lot Fourteen will be larger than the one that they run in Sydney and double the size of the one that they run in Melbourne. We look forward to them replicating the excellent job creation that they delivered for New South Wales, and are now delivering into Victoria, here in South Australia.

We want to see more businesses created. We want to see more jobs created in South Australia and we have every confidence that this will be achieved. In the first round, there were more than 200 companies that applied to come into the incubator and accelerator. This is not a co-working space. We have to make sure that what we are selecting to go in there are companies and ideas that have the very best chance of being successful businesses and creating sustainable employment in South Australia.

In the first cohort, 29 of those companies that submitted their application were successful. That represents around 105 employees who are now on that site—100 of the 600 desks are now occupied on that site and they join a growing innovation precinct on Lot Fourteen. In December this year, the Australian Space Agency will open their doors on that site. We know that next month, MIT, the most successful number one ranked university in the world, will open their Living Lab on that site.

The SmartSat CRC will be opening their doors in December of this year, and of course the Australian Institute for Machine Learning, which is a collaboration between the University of Adelaide and also Lockheed Martin, will be opened, and I'm already looking forward to the opening of the Australian Cyber Collaboration Centre next year. This is a massively growing sector and the government is investing \$8.9 million into the establishment of the Australian Cyber Collaboration Centre.

It will be a great opportunity to have another surge in creating jobs because that is our number one priority. We want to see more South Australians staying here. They finish school, they finish university and we want to see them staying here and that is why we have been working so hard on this. We have created around 15,000 jobs since we came to government. That is almost 1,000 jobs per month. In fact, if we look at the last 12 months, we see that the jobs created in South Australia are approximately double what they have been over the last decade, but there is still much more work to be done.

The Australian economy is facing some headwinds, there is no doubt about that. We are continuing to work in a positive, collaborative way with the Morrison Coalition government in Canberra to deliver for the people of our state. It is very important that we continue to do that. We have put the people of South Australia first so that we can continue to create those jobs that we need so desperately in South Australia. I was so delighted when I looked at that net interstate migration

figure again recently, which saw a massive fall in the number of people leaving South Australia to get jobs interstate. Much work has been done and much has been achieved, but there is much more work to be done into the future.

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee is called to order. The leader has the call.

#### **AMBULANCE RAMPING**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:19):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health. How can the minister inform the house that ramping was not a contributing factor to last night's ambulance shortage in the southern suburbs, but then not rule out ramping occurring at the Flinders Medical Centre?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:20):** Again, a very similar question and I have a very similar answer. Advice from South Australian—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee is warned, as is the member for Hurtle Vale.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Advice from the South Australian Ambulance Service and from the Southern Adelaide—

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** I remind the member for Lee that he has been warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Advice from the South Australian Ambulance Service and the Southern Adelaide Local Health Network is that neither ramping nor any other hospital-based issue was a factor in the delivery of ambulance care in the south last night, including Flinders Medical Centre.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: the minister just quoted advice from the Ambulance Service. Could you please ask him to table that?

**The SPEAKER:** There is a point of order on the point of order.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** If the minister was claiming to be quoting directly from a document, then the member for West Torrens' point would have a lot more going for it.

**The SPEAKER:** Is the minister quoting a document in his possession?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** No, sir. As the Manager of Government Business said very clearly, I would have said if I was quoting.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you for clarifying. I do not uphold the point of order.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** I ask the minister to continue and I would like to hear his answer. If the interjections could cease, that would be excellent.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I was so hoping that just once, sir—

**The SPEAKER:** I wasn't accusing you; I would never accuse the member for West Torrens of doing something that he hadn't.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: the minister said 'according to advice from the SA Ambulance Service', sir. I would ask you, at the conclusion of question time, to check the *Hansard*.

**The SPEAKER:** I will conduct all relevant inquiries that I need to in order to discharge my duties. Thank you, member for West Torrens; you have made your point.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Can I have another point of order, sir?



**The SPEAKER:** No, I want to hear the minister's answer. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** To help those opposite, particularly the member for West Torrens, let me be really clear about this: a parliamentary briefing note provided to me just after—

**The SPEAKER:** Internal memoranda; thank you.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —during the lunch break from the office of the Minister for Health and Wellbeing includes, and I quote:

Advice from the South Australian Ambulance Service and Southern Adelaide Local Health Network is that neither ramping nor any other hospital-based issue was a factor in the delivery of ambulance care in the south last night.

#### **AMBULANCE RAMPING**

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (14:22):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. Were there any shortages of ambulances in the southern suburbs last night?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:23):** I don't know how many times we have to go through this. The advice to me from the office of the Minister for Health and Wellbeing, which no doubt comes to him—some of it, at least—from the South Australian Ambulance Service and the Southern Adelaide Local Health Network, is that there were no issues whatsoever that caused any patients any concern associated with ambulance ramping or hospital-based issues.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The minister has the call. Member for Kurna, you have been warned. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am not sure what else it is that the opposition is seeking to try to—

*Mr Brown interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Playford is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —discover or make up or guess. I have provided, in the plainest and most straightforward way that I possibly could, to this house the information on this topic that has been provided to me by the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. For the Leader of the Opposition to say that what he wants is the truth, and therefore suggest that what I am providing is not the truth, is something that I find offensive.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Member for Kurna, do you have another question?

#### **SA AMBULANCE SERVICE**

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (14:24):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. At 8.30pm last night, how many ambulances were available to respond to cases between the Adelaide CBD and Victor Harbor?

**The Hon. A. Piccolo:** Not 8.50—8.30.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Light, you have been doing it all day and you can leave for half an hour under 137A in silence.

**The Hon. A. Piccolo:** I just came into the chamber.

*Members*

#### **MEMBER FOR LIGHT, NAMING**

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Light is named.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:25):** I move:

That the apology be accepted.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Sir, customarily, a member makes an apology before somebody stands and moves a motion like that.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, the member for Light has an opportunity—

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** Mr Speaker, I apologise for the subsequent comment.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I move:

That the apology be accepted.

Motion carried.

*The honourable member for Light having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The SPEAKER:** The minister has the call.

*Question Time*

### SA AMBULANCE SERVICE

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:26):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. At 8.30pm last night, how many ambulances were available to respond to cases between the Adelaide CBD and Victor Harbor?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:26):** Enough.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Elder has the call. Members on my left, please. The member for Elder has the call. I would like to hear her question.

### MINING INDUSTRY

**Mrs POWER (Elder) (14:26):** Thank you. My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Can the minister update the house on recent South Australian copper discoveries and milestones?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:26):** Yes, I can, and I appreciate the member for Elder's question. I won't call her the member for Power, as I was close to calling my other colleague the member for Patterson the other day. This is, in fact, incredibly positive news—the opposition, of course, therefore may not be interested—this is very good for South Australia. With regard to milestones in copper discoveries, OZ Minerals is moving forward very positively.

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Giles is called to order.

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Giles is warned. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you, sir. OZ Minerals is moving their Carrapateena project forward very positively. They have been able to extend the life at Prominent Hill as well, which is fantastic for South Australia. At Carrapateena, the newest significant mine in the state, they have now stockpiled 180,000 tonnes of ore. They have connected the electricity grid, they have a water supply on board and they have commenced production drilling, so they expect to have ore to market by the end of this calendar year—an absolutely outstanding achievement by that company which, as we know, is already considering expansion plans for the existing project that they have.

Extraordinarily exciting, also, is the information that BHP announced this morning. We would all be aware of the Oak Dam West drilling results that they got about 65 kilometres south-east of Roxby Downs earlier this year. They have now released even further information, with drilling results showing sections comprising more than 4 per cent copper. This, of course, is a long way from getting

that copper out of the ground, but these drilling results are truly outstanding. What this does, as well as clearly being incredibly buoyant for the future of the South Australian economy, for employment, for mining, is it also continues to keep South Australia at the forefront of international investors' minds with regard to copper in the Gawler Craton. South Australia is a genuine world-leading province for copper. We should be very proud of that.

Mining is one of our greatest industries. Agriculture has forever, since settlement, been our largest industry in South Australia and continues to have a very important future in South Australia. Mining is incredibly important, tourism, manufacturing, retail, hospitality, etc., etc., all these industries working together is how we will achieve through the Marshall government our 3 per cent growth target—a sustainable 3 per cent growth target—and mining is ready, willing and able to make its contribution to that economic growth in South Australia.

Congratulations to OZ Minerals on what they are doing at Carrapateena, a truly outstanding new mine that is being developed extremely quickly. Congratulations to BHP, which continues to do an extraordinary job at Olympic Dam and clearly seems to have other outstanding prospects in the same region.

The investment that these announcements will attract from overseas mean that not only are the existing operators and the companies that we are all familiar with in excess of BHP and OZ Minerals—not only do their futures look bright but the investment that will come into this region and allow other companies to do more exploration and other companies to eventually do more mining is absolutely outstanding for our state and exactly the type of information that we need to be incredibly optimistic about employment and economic opportunities, which then flow to social opportunities across our state.

#### SA AMBULANCE SERVICE

**Mr PICTON (Karna) (14:31):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. How many ambulances is enough to respond to call-outs between the Adelaide CBD and Victor Harbor at 8.30pm on a Wednesday night?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*Mr Odenwalder interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Elizabeth is warned.

**The Hon. S.C. Mullighan:** How many ministers does it take to answer a question?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee is on a second warning.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:31):** One so far. Let me share some information that might help the opposition in regard to ambulances. Our South Australian Ambulance Service crews do a remarkable job in caring for South Australians.

**Mr Brown:** You already read this out.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Playford is on two warnings.

**The Hon. S.C. Mullighan:** It's not a constitution; it doesn't need a preamble.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee today can leave for the remainder of question time under 137A. The minister has the call. I would like to hear his answer.

*The honourable member for Lee having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am deeply concerned by the moans indicating disagreement.

**The SPEAKER:** Point of order.

**Mr PICTON:** The minister is debating the answer.

**The SPEAKER:** 'Disappointed by the moans', I think that point of order is bogus and the member for Kaurna is lucky not to leave the chamber. What I would ask is for the decorum of this place to lift. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Our South Australian Ambulance Service crews do a remarkable job in caring for South Australians. Our crews always give priority to responding to the most sick in our community and those most in need of urgent—

**Ms Stinson:** So zero is the magic number. Zero is enough.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Badcoe is warned for a second and final time.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and those most in need of urgent health care. A number of cases in the data show where priority 1 cases experienced long wait times. In a majority of the cases, where the wait was more than half an hour, the patient was (a) in a regional area, (b) had started at a lower priority and been upgraded to priority 1 during the call-out, or (c) had left the location from where the call was made, making it extremely difficult for the ambulance officers to find the patient. Our South Australian Ambulance Service strives for excellence. Only in recent weeks, it was recognised as one of the best performing ambulance services in the nation.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Member for Giles you are warned. The minister has the call.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left, we have the question. If this continues, members will be departing.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Only in recent weeks it was recognised as one of the best performing ambulance services in the nation. What is highlighted in the data, which the Minister for Health and Wellbeing has made public, is the extremes at the negative end, but either way our service continues to prioritise the sickest in South Australia. The average response times for priority 1 and 2 cases for each and every month, in the information the Minister for Health and Wellbeing has provided, were under our clinical targets. I commend the Ambulance Service—

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: I have listened silently for two minutes now.

**The SPEAKER:** What is the point of order?

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Debate, sir. The question was very specific.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** At 8.30pm last night—

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, I have the point of order. I am going to ask the minister to come back to the substance of the question if he can. I have given him some time to warm up. I would ask him to come back to the substance of the question.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you, Mr Speaker.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Given the breadth of the question 'how much is enough', I am trying—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am trying to provide some context.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I am trying to provide some context, which would help. The target attention time for a priority 1/life-threatening case is eight minutes. The target

attention time for a priority 2 or potentially life-threatening case is 16 minutes. While there are particular days where some of these targets may not be achieved, average response times have been exceptionally good.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: three minutes in now, we have asked how many ambulances are enough to respond.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, I have the point of order.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** He's talking about response times now: debate.

**The SPEAKER:** I have the point of order and I believe that the minister is using his best efforts to provide relevant information that is germane to the question. I will continue to listen carefully. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Average response times for priority 1 and priority 2 cases in every month of the information provided by the Minister for Health and Wellbeing—

**Mr Malinauskas:** We said enough—what's enough?

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —have been under the clinical targets, which I just mentioned previously.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order: we didn't ask about response times. We have asked how many ambulances is enough.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for West Torrens, if this continues, you will be departing. Minister.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Now, having provided the opposition with information that makes it clear how the performance is tracking with regard to ambulance attention, I will seek a very specific answer from the Minister for Health and Wellbeing.

#### SA AMBULANCE SERVICE

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (14:36):** My question is to the Premier. Premier, how many ambulances were enough to serve the southern suburbs between the Adelaide CBD and Victor Harbor last night?

*The Hon. V.A. Chapman interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Deputy Premier is warned. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:37):** I have addressed that question quite a few times—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and, in fact—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Elizabeth, you can leave for the remainder of question time under 137A.

*The honourable member for Elizabeth having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —at the very end of my last answer, I said that I would do my best to satisfy the shadow minister, I would go and try to get a very specific answer, a specific number from the Minister for Health and Wellbeing, so that I can try to help him. That was the end of my last answer, and then his very next question was exactly the same question all over again. I am not sure what it is the opposition is trying to create here, what concerns they are trying to provide to people. I am not sure what else I can do to provide useful information, but I am more than happy to answer more questions.

### EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:38):** My question is to the Premier. Premier, at 8.00pm last night, were Flinders Medical Centre, Noarlunga Hospital, Lyell McEwin Hospital and Women's and Children's Hospital emergency departments all at Code White?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:38):** I will go to the Minister Health and Wellbeing to get an answer to that because I have not had a briefing on that, but I would be more than happy to go back to the minister and try to get a very specific answer for the shadow minister to that question.

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Finniss and then the member for Kaurna. The member for Giles is warned for a second and final time. The member for Finniss.

### PRIMARY PRODUCERS

**Mr BASHAM (Finniss) (14:39):** My question is to the Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development. Can the minister update the house on how the state government is supporting primary producers to create jobs and grow the economy?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE (Chaffey—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (14:39):** I thank the member for Finniss for his very important question. He knows only too well how important the primary sector is, particularly in his electorate of Finniss, and exactly how the dairy industry and the grains industry are playing their role in his local economy. The Marshall Liberal government is providing an enabling factor for our primary sector to achieve our 3 per cent growth. It is very important that we now put primary industries on the agenda for that 3 per cent growth and enable our sectors to have the tools and the capacity to be able to grow.

I recently announced \$1.2 million from the Regional Growth Fund for a state-of-the-art localised automatic weather station to be installed throughout the Riverland and the Mallee. I note that the member for Frome is part of another Mesonet weather station network. We will now talk to one another and interconnect so that we can have the tools for farmers to understand real-time weather data and collection so that we can move forward with those tools to better understand the unpredictable weather wind patterns and the inversion layers that our farmers are facing, particularly when they are out spraying their crops.

The Regional Growth Fund that will support the weather station network is a 10-year commitment of \$150 million that we are putting into regional economies to stimulate growth. The network provides real-time data, as I said, but these weather stations will give farmers the tools on their handheld devices, whether they are walking out of the house to their tractor for spraying, whether they are in their tractor about to load another tank. They will understand if there are wind shifts coming, if there are inversion layers that will impact on the volatility of some of the chemicals that are sprayed onto crops that, in fact, will impact on their neighbours. It is about giving one farmer the tools to be able to understand better their impact on their neighbour should there be a wind shift or an inversion layer coming.

In the Riverland and the Mallee, it has been noted that in recent years there has been significant damage with spray drift in the order of \$254 million; that is significant. So these enabling tools that we, as the Marshall Liberal government, will put in place to help our primary producers are there not only to reduce the damage and the risk to day-to-day farming management but what it will do is give us the capacity to be more productive and use fewer chemicals, fewer tractor hours, when it comes to spraying our crops.

I might also say that the Ag Ex Alliance business will run the weather station and they will be in direct contact with the Mid North weather stations. As I understand it, the Riverland and the Murraylands will set up a network of about 30. The Mid North weather station network is in the vicinity of 40 or 42 stations. They will continue to talk and we will then continue to build that network of those weather stations to reduce the damage and reduce the risk to our primary sector.

Some of the other enabling initiatives that we as a government are putting in place is working with the grains industry to develop a blueprint at \$140,000 for them to move forward. We are working

with the dairy industry on their action plan, investing \$7.5 million into the red meat and wool program and, of course, reviewing the pastoral act to grow our sheep and cattle numbers. It is about opening up productive lands, particularly in our pastoral country, so that we can grow our economy and grow our herds and our flock numbers, because we know #RegionsMatter.

#### AMBULANCE RAMPING

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:43):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier confirm that between 6.30pm and 9.30pm last night there were 60 ambulances that were ramped for over 30 minutes at our public hospitals?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:43):** I have tried to get some information for the shadow and for the house, and I have actually been told that almost all of this information is public on dashboards, so I did commit to—

**The Hon. Z.L. Bettison:** So you'd know the answer then.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Ramsay is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —go back to the Minister for Health and Wellbeing to get some more information, and I am still happy to do that, but it does appear that the member for Kaurna could help himself by looking some of this information up and avoid asking these questions here. Anything that he has asked that is not available publicly or not something that he has already requested via FOIs, which I am told he has said himself he is soon to receive, if there is anything that is outside of that information that is publicly available, easily accessible or he already has on the way to him that he has asked about, then I will certainly, as I said I would, get that information for him from the Minister for Health and Wellbeing.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Mawson is called to order, as is the member for Reynell.

#### SA AMBULANCE SERVICE

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:45):** My question is to the Premier. Why have ambulances recently had to travel from Bedford Park to Normanville—65 kilometres—and from Noarlunga to Rapid Bay—73 kilometres—to respond to urgent ambulance call-outs?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:45):** That's a very specific question and I am more than happy to go back to the Minister for Health and Wellbeing to try to get the very specific answer—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —that might help him.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** It should not surprise anybody in this house that I don't have with me the specific answer to that very specific question, but I will get it.

#### SKILLS TRAINING

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (14:45):** My question is to the Minister for Innovation and Skills. Can the minister update the house on how the government is growing South Australia's skilled workforce and strengthening the economy?

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Innovation and Skills) (14:46):** I can do that because terrific news in the job figures today is a new record in the number of South Australians working: 855,400 South Australians. This is on top of last month's record number of South Australians working in South Australia. Of course, since the March election last year—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader!

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI:** —15,000 South Australians are in new jobs here in South Australia and 9,000 of those are full time. This is almost double the growth rate of what has been the average over the last 10 years. This is good news for South Australia because it shows that there is confidence in the business community. People don't employ on a full-time basis when they are not sure about what is happening around the corner.

South Australian businesses are now investing full time in their staff so they can train staff and build their businesses. We are helping business to train their staff with \$200 million being spent on our Skilling South Australia program to enable industry to develop the skills it needs, within its own workforce, through our vocational education system. There is much more to do. We are obviously very pleased with today's figures of another record number of South Australians in work, but we know that there is more work to do.

Training is an important part of doing that and, of course, we were pleased to announce a couple of weeks ago that we have met the first year of our target: 13,000 new trainees and apprenticeships here in South Australia. We saw the story that was generated through Anglicare earlier in the week, that the jobs available in South Australia and the skills available in South Australia don't match up, so there are many people who aren't qualified with the skills needed by industry. That's why we need to make this investment.

We need to ask ourselves: how did that happen? How did we get ourselves into this situation? You only have to look at the record of the previous government: 66 per cent drop-off in the number of trainees and apprentices over the last seven years—a 66 per cent drop-off—then, of course, no policy in the lead-up to the next election from those opposite, no policy on apprentices and trainees. That is the position we are in at the moment and that is the position we are rectifying.

We are seeing green shoots in the economy and in skills and training here in South Australia. For the first time in seven years we saw a growth in the March NCVET figures of apprenticeships and trainees here in South Australia—the first time in seven years. There's a lot more work to do. Today's figures show that we are delivering for South Australians, and we will continue to do so.

#### **SNAPPER FISHERY BAN**

**The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome) (14:49):** My question is to the Minister for Primary Industries and Fisheries. Can the minister advise when he will be advertising the forthcoming snapper ban information in regional newspapers, in particular the *Northern Argus*, *The Recorder* in Port Pirie, the *Plains Producer* and the *Yorke Peninsula Country Times*?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE (Chaffey—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (14:49):** I thank the member for Frome for his question. It is an important question because, as he knows and as most fishers know, on 1 November of every year we have snapper closure. In recent times, the snapper closure is from 1 November to the second week in December, and those notices are put out on the PIRSA website, they are put out in the local newspapers and they are also put out there with other medium—radio and the like.

Yes, there will be an extension on that closure in the majority of South Australian waters and there will be some limited fishing in the south-eastern waters. Those notices will be put out there and we have approximately two weeks before those closures are implemented.

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Giles, order!

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I want to make it very clear to those opposite that I have made a decision on snapper. I made a tough decision because—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** —when the now opposition were in government they never had the balls to close the snapper season. They didn't have the go, didn't have the ticker.



*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, there is a point of order.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Debate, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** There is a point of order for debate. Is the minister finished?

**An honourable member:** I reckon.

**The SPEAKER:** During that chorus of interjections, unfortunately I have to intervene. I ask the member for Narungga and the member for Badcoe to leave for the remainder of question time.

*The honourable members for Narungga and Badcoe having withdrawn from the chamber:*

### CLINICAL GOVERNANCE

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (14:51):** My question is to the minister representing the Minister for Health and Wellbeing. What is clinical governance and what is the connection between better clinical governance and better service delivery within our hospitals?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:51):** What is the connection between better clinical governance and better service delivery, I think was the question. I will take it on notice and will be happy to bring back an answer for the member for Florey.

### SA HEALTH

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (14:51):** My question is to the Premier. Premier, why did your Treasurer write to the ICAC commissioner on 18 September 2018 denying a \$2 million request to investigate SA Health's alleged corruption and maladministration but then later agree to a \$20 million contract with KordaMentha?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:52):** I am more than happy to answer this question. It's quite clear to me that the opposition has been caught on the back foot. They thought that the unemployment figures would go in a different direction.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** They put all their questions out around that one and have been caught on the hop, so they are going back to yesterday, Tuesday, a month ago—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It's embarrassing for the opposition. I don't know what they are doing up there in the dream factory—

*Mr Duluk interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Waite is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We made it very clear yesterday that we provide the ICAC with a budget each year. We don't prioritise the work that they do. We have increased the budget to the ICAC. In fact, the forward estimates provides for a further increase in the budget to the ICAC. The most recent budget handed down had a provision for a \$14 million increase in the budget for the ICAC. We believe that we provide adequate resources to the ICAC in South Australia, and we leave the prioritisation for the investigation up to the commissioner.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Kaurna and then the member for Hammond.

**The Hon. S.S. Marshall:** Any more questions from yesterday that you would like to ask?

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier is called to order.

**SA AMBULANCE SERVICE**

**Mr PICTON (Kaurua) (14:53):** My question is to the Premier. Has any member of the Premier's staff or ministerial staff distributed any opposition FOI responses from the SA Ambulance Service to journalists today?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:53):** Not that I'm aware of.

**EMERGENCY SERVICES**

**Mr PEDERICK (Hammond) (14:54):** My question is to the Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services. Can the minister update the house on how the Marshall government is delivering jobs across our emergency services?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** I am going to ask for the excitement to be non-audible between the question and the answer.

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (14:54):** I thank the member for Hammond for his question and note that every time I get up into his electorate how excited and upbeat his community is at the wonderful work that he is doing to help the Marshall Liberal government deliver more jobs, lower costs and better services. I must commend him for his wonderful work. What we have seen—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD:** —is more engineering. Up in Murray Bridge they carry out a number of works across our emergency services vehicles, with the safety system upgrades. They have done an outstanding job there with a cab deluge. The water halo systems are of course very important in our emergency services sector when they are fighting fires. To have that halo system in place is incredibly valuable. There are in-cabin pump controls as well, to give extra security and safety to our emergency services workers so that they can control that remotely through the vehicle cab. That is important, too, and again Moore has done that.

There is the replacement of cabin fire burnover curtains, which are the curtains you often see when you inspect inside a CFS truck—or you may even travel out in one on occasions. The curtains inside are vitally important to keep people safe. Along with these upgrades done by Moore Engineering, we have put significant money into fire appliances as well, to make sure that they are inspected and the vehicles are up to scratch and roadworthy.

A lot of work has been done to remove rust from a number of vehicles, rectifying them throughout all the brigades. To date, 109 appliances have been upgraded, with nine currently in progress and a further 86 scheduled. What's better is that all the work has been undertaken right there in the member for Hammond's electorate. So they are very happy at Moore Engineering.

It's a company that was established in 1972. It has now diversified to do a lot of work in mining as well. Not only that, but I know that they sponsor a lot of local community groups in the member for Hammond's electorate. They have diversified into the mining sector, as I said, and Mr Quentin Moore has been the recipient of a number of awards, SA Great Innovation and Outstanding Business Awards. Again, it is a great business, growing jobs here in South Australia. That is what we are focused on.

Moore Engineering currently employs 40 local personnel: 22 in engineering and fabrication, 12 in the mechanical workshop and six in operations and administration. It is not just in the emergency services sector that Moore Engineering have been working. There is more, and that is in the movement into the mining sector. From the government's perspective, there is also more: through our Project Renew we have injected \$5 million into the local community, upgrading the facilities for our emergency services workers. To date, 122 projects have been implemented, and there are currently 34 projects in train.

As I said, I was in the member for Hammond's electorate. Mannum received \$51,000 for asbestos removal; that's more jobs involved there. Cambrai had over \$100,000 for a fire station extension. In fact, I was in the member for Chaffey's electorate as well, and there was \$79,000 for Sedan for updating doors. At Swan Reach, they had a great new set of roller doors for \$17,000-plus. I also ducked across to the member for Schubert's electorate, where Greenock and Roseworthy also received upgrades and had some asbestos removal: \$62,000 was invested at the Greenock brigade, and at Roseworthy there was \$22,000 for asphalt car parking and another \$15,800 for improving external walls.

We know that our emergency services people do a marvellous job. In fact, they have already been called to duty, helping out in New South Wales and Queensland. We thank them very much for that. The predictions are for a dry and hot summer ahead, so we want to make sure that they are well prepared for what lies ahead. We know that they will be out there, doing all they can to keep our community safe. We take this opportunity to thank them.

#### **AHLBURG, COREY JOHN**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:58):** My question is to the Premier. Have the Premier and his ministers, or any representatives of his government, met with convicted child sex offender Corey John Ahlburg or attended the notorious party house he owns in Moana?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:58):** Not that I am aware of. I am not familiar with this person, and I am not familiar with the residents that the member is raising questions about.

#### **E3SIXTY**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:58):** My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier, or any member of his government or his cabinet, ever met with the company E3Sixty or its representatives?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:59):** I am not familiar with this company.

#### **OPERATIONAL RESEARCH IN HEALTH**

**Mr PICTON (Kurna) (14:59):** My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier received or read a consultant's report from Operational Research in Health (ORH), completed last year, regarding resourcing of the Ambulance Service?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:59):** I can certainly say that I'm not familiar with that report, but what I can share with the house is that—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —is directly related to the member's question about resourcing for the Ambulance Service is that the Auditor-General's Report, which was released earlier this week, showed that \$23 million of increased funding has been provided to this government in the 2018-19 financial year specifically for the Ambulance Service. In fact, we have seen an increase of 137 in full-time equivalent employees from the previous financial year. So that—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —is the information that I can provide with regard to resourcing of the Ambulance Service.

*An honourable member interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for MacKillop. I will come back to the deputy leader.

### REGIONAL ROADS

**Mr McBRIDE (MacKillop) (15:00):** My question is to the Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government. Can the minister update the house on the Marshall government's regional infrastructure projects and how they will create jobs in the South-East?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (15:00):** I can, and I would like to thank the member for MacKillop for his question and his very strong interest in jobs and fixing roads down in the South-East. On that score, this Marshall Liberal government is delivering in spades, finally working together with the federal government and the federal member Tony Pasin to deliver the Penola bypass upgrade in his electorate of MacKillop. It cost \$14.6 million, of which almost \$12 million was provided by the federal government, money that the former government would not take because they are a bunch of grinchies.

This government worked together with Tony Pasin and the federal government to deliver the Penola bypass for the people of the South-East, creating and supporting 47 jobs over the life of the project. Early works are already well underway. Community information sessions were held in August and the tender is to be awarded in the coming weeks so we can get on. The people of the South-East, the people of the member for MacKillop's electorate, will finally be able to get the road upgrade that they have so longed for for such a long period of time.

But wait, Mr Speaker, there is more. There is the \$250 million that the state and federal governments have on the table to upgrade the Princes Highway, and whether that be road widening works, a safety upgrade, town bypasses, new overtaking lanes or duplications along key sections, the Marshall Liberal government, together with the Morrison Liberal government, is working to deliver upgrades to one of the most important roads in the member for MacKillop's electorate.

But again, Mr Speaker, it doesn't stop there. We have three overtaking lanes that, as part of this last budget, are now going to be delivered in the South-East. On the Riddoch Highway, between Naracoorte and Mount Gambier, we have two northbound and one southbound overtaking lanes that are going to be installed to provide better road safety outcomes and support jobs growth for local contractors in the South-East to help to support the regional economy.

On top of that, there is also our commitment to delivering road upgrades to eight selected roads across South Australia, a number of which are, again, down in the South-East. Whether that be the Riddoch Highway between Mount Gambier and Port MacDonnell, which is in the member for Mount Gambier's electorate, whether that be Claywells Road or the Southern Ports Highway to Callendale, or whether that be Carpenter Rocks Road to Mount Gambier, we are investing in roads in the South-East of our state, works that are underway or will be underway very quickly. In fact, in relation to the eight roads, I think we might have something to say in the coming weeks.

We are getting on with the job of fixing roads in regional South Australia. Working with local contractors and using local workforce to deliver jobs for regional communities is part of a \$1.3 billion package that the state government and the federal government now have on the table to deliver jobs growth in regional South Australia. This is fantastic news. It helps to build on our regional tourism economy and our regional agricultural economies.

With a government willing to invest in regional roads and a government willing to grow jobs in regional South Australia, this is fantastic news for the people of the South-East. It has been 16 years in the making. The people of the South-East can finally know that they have a government that is listening to them, delivering on their needs and putting money on the table to help grow regional economies in South Australia.

### TECHNICAL COLLEGE

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:04):** My question is to the Minister for Education. Why has the minister not yet announced the western technical college that schools were expecting to be announced at Easter this year?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:04):** The technical college was part of our election commitments to be delivered in our first term. We are in the second year of our first term and an announcement will be made in due course.

#### TECHNICAL COLLEGE

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:04):** Will the publicly funded western technical college be located in a public school?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:04):** We identified before the election that we were open to applications from schools, non-government schools and non-government organisations to collaborate and put together proposals. A process has taken place, consideration is being given to that matter and an announcement will be made in due course.

#### TECHNICAL COLLEGE

**Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:04):** Will the minister rule out tuition fees being charged at the western technical college?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:05):** I thank the member for the question. I am not in a position to provide any more information to the house other than that which I have already provided. I would make the point that it is our goal to ensure that the services provided by the technical college will be broadly accessible, and our purpose is to ensure that they will be available to as many students as we are capable of, including those in public schools.

#### PUBLIC EDUCATION AWARDS

**Mr TEAGUE (Heysen) (15:05):** My question is to the Minister for Education. Can the minister update the house about recognition of outstanding work being done across our education system?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (15:05):** I am very pleased to be able to do so—and it is a very exciting month in South Australia's education system—and to provide information to the house on this topic. Next week, we celebrate World Teachers' Day, and that will be an opportunity for us to celebrate great work. Just last night, we had the Prime Minister's Prize for Excellence in Science in both primary and secondary teaching awarded to South Australian teachers, which was outstanding.

In the member for Heysen's own electorate, at the Stirling East Primary School, teacher Sarah Finney was successful in achieving the Prime Minister's Prize for Excellence in Science Teaching in Primary Schools. Anyone in the Stirling East community would be well aware of the great work that Sarah has been doing, and I know that the member for Heysen is because he took me to Sarah's classroom just a couple of months ago to see her work in practice and the work she was doing in the classroom, engaging students in science and STEM learning. The engagement of those students was a wonder to behold.

But wait, there's more. The very next prize announced last night was the Prime Minister's Prize for Excellence in Science Teaching in Secondary Schools. Dr Samantha Moyle of Brighton Secondary School in the Minister for Sport's electorate—another teacher the Minister for Sport is very well aware of—was recognised for her excellent work in biology and across a range of other science disciplines.

This builds on the celebrations last Friday night at the Public Education Awards, where it was wonderful to see celebrated some of the extraordinarily strong practice across our public school system. Here is just the tip of the iceberg of some of that great work. I commend Rebecca Huddy, the principal at Westport Primary School—known, I am aware, to the member for Lee—who won the leadership award. In the nine years that she has been at that school, she has seen her school double in size to nearly 300 students. That is a great vote of confidence in that school.

Justine Fogden, in the member for Chaffey's electorate, was recognised as Secondary Teacher of the Year. Her work as an ag teacher at Loxton High School, connecting students to future job pathways in that important industry, has been well recognised by her peers and her community. Karen Schilling from Tintinara Area School in the South-East was awarded Primary Teacher of the

Year in South Australia. She is a year 6/7 teacher with outstanding achievements in the maths area in particular.

The Early Years Teacher of the Year was Sharnie Jamieson, from Glenelg Primary School in the member for Morphet's electorate. Sharnie gave an amazing speech to all those who were present at the Public Education Awards, talking about her practice in her classroom. The explicit teaching and the way that she uses multimodal teaching and multisensory learning experiences for her students is able to engage them, particularly students with dyslexia and other learning difficulties. Her outstanding achievements were well recognised.

Trent Heneker would be known to many people—some in this house, but also many people in the Barossa—for his work, and he won the School and Preschool Support Award. Not only does he manage the facilities and grounds at Nuriootpa High School but he also helps students with a disability by involving them in their learning in landscaping work. He has created a young environmentalist group and is also a professional musician who provides support to the music department. He was a very popular winner and there were loud cheers in the room that night.

Lucy Standish from Kilparrin won the Community Engagement Award for her work with students with disabilities learning music, performing with international artists and the Adelaide Youth Orchestra. The Innovation and Practice award went to 'Students as agents of change' from Prospect North Primary School. The System Excellence Award went to the Social Work Incident Support Service (SWISS) team at Flinders Park for the extraordinary work they do in supporting schools that have critical incidents. Most recently, the one at Brighton school is very well known, very unfortunately.

The Auntie Josie Agius Award had a popular winner: Rachel Amos from Port Augusta Children's Centre, who has lifted the Indigenous preschool enrolments. The Performance Through Values Award went to Janet Hughes for her career of work supporting students with disabilities learning how to swim. I am sure we all congratulate all those recipients.

#### *Grievance Debate*

### **AMBULANCE RAMPING**

**Mr PICTON (Kaurna) (15:09):** We have a very serious situation affecting our ambulance service, and this government is not at all interested in fixing it. They are only interested in cuts that are going to make this situation worse. Last night, we had very serious reports from our paramedics that in the southern suburbs and the Fleurieu, between the city and Victor Harbor, there were no ambulances available at 8.30pm. We had no denials of that fact from the government today at all. In fact, we asked the government how many were available, and what did the minister say? He said, 'Enough.' He could not tell us how many there were. Enough is apparently zero for people who live in the south and people who live in the Fleurieu.

This is a very serious concern for people who need urgent care. This is not the first instance we have had of the situation affecting our ambulance service. We know that things have been getting progressively worse over the 18 months that this government has been in office. The ramping statistics at our hospitals have been going up and up and up to the point that last month we had the highest ramping this state has ever seen: for over 2,300 hours, ambulances were ramped outside our emergency departments with people stuck in those ambulances, unable to get the treatment they needed in emergency departments.

That not only affects those patients but it also affects those people in the community who need those ambulances, who need those response times urgently, and clearly that was the situation last night. We had a case where ambulances were being sent from the southern suburbs up to cases in the north at Broadview and Mansfield Park. Ambulances were being sent from Ashford and Bedford Park to those cases. That is clearly a very long distance away, and it meant that the south did not have the ambulances they needed.

The government say that ramping was not a factor. Well, looking at the stats that are out, there were 60 ambulances in the three hours between 6.30pm and 9.30pm that were stuck for more than 30 minutes dropping off patients at emergency departments across our city. It is clearly not

acceptable, and this government clearly do not have a plan to address it. The only plan they have is for cuts to make it worse.

Last Friday, they announced that they are cutting 60 beds. That is on top of the 40 beds that they closed last year. Last year, they closed beds at Flinders Medical Centre and Hampstead. Now they are closing beds at the RAH, The QEH, at Hampstead again and at St Margaret's. They say, 'Don't worry, these will be flex capacity. These will be surge capacity.' When are they actually going to be turned on? They said the same thing about the 40 beds they closed last year; and despite the record ramping that we had this year, not one of those beds was ever reopened, not at any time. There is only flex down, not flex up under this government.

Not only that, this government is embarking upon cuts to doctors and nurses. Last year, we had here in the parliament the Premier standing on his feet telling the house that doctors and nurses would not be cut under his government. What we have seen is the exact opposite: they are now at five hospitals embarking upon cuts to doctors' and nurses' positions. They will not be replaced. That is going to put more pressure on the existing staff, and that is going to mean more delays for patients, more ambulance ramping and more delays in the community for people getting the care they need. Ultimately, this government only have one agenda for health, and that is cuts.

We have seen that in their appointment of KordaMentha, interstate corporate liquidators, who are all based in Melbourne and Sydney. They fly over here every Monday, they fly back Thursday night, they stay at fancy hotels and they get taxis around at government expense. They are getting paid \$6,000 a day to run and cut services in our hospitals, but people have faced the indignity of being stuck on ambulance ramps.

We had a patient die on an ambulance ramp last month amidst that record ramping at Flinders. We had the indignity experienced by a patient just this week who went to Flinders Medical Centre to get the care that he needed but who was sent home early because they were under such pressure. He could not even walk around his house, he was in excruciating pain and he said that he would expect something like that would occur in a Third World country. This situation is going from worse to worse.

We had the dramatic intervention of the ICAC commissioner this week, who said that he is very concerned and wants to launch an inquiry into SA Health. In fact, today he has released letters from himself to the Minister for Health and Wellbeing saying that he asked for this inquiry, saying that he asked for \$2 million to conduct it and what did he get? A response from the Treasurer saying, 'No, not allowed to do this.' What did the government do? They hired KordaMentha a month later and paid them 10 times as much as the ICAC commissioner wanted. This government's agenda is only cuts. Patients are bearing the brunt and the situation is going from bad to worse.

#### **HALLETT COVE FOOTBALL CLUB**

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:15):** It is good to be able to come back to the house today to provide an update about something that is particularly important to my community—

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The leader will be quiet.

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS:** —and that is of course, as mentioned in my last grievance on Tuesday, the future of the Hallett Cove Football Club. Members would be aware that the club was threatened with the termination of its lease, something that I was strongly opposed to. I did feel that the City of Marion had gone overboard with its approach to dealing with the challenges that club was facing because, while we cannot condone bad behaviour in our clubs, and we need to get alongside clubs to help them overcome these challenges, the approach the City of Marion took to announce the termination of that lease with only a few weeks notice has created and did create a substantial amount of trauma in the community I represent.

This is a club that spans generations, with grandparents volunteering and with parents and children playing across its various teams. It was very upsetting to see the way that the council's decision, and the way that the council handled that decision in the days and weeks that followed,

had an impact on the broader community. It was great to see, though, the way in which the spirit of community rallied within that club, with 6,000 people signing a petition, which was presented to the council a few weeks ago, to ask that the club's lease not be terminated. We saw people from all walks of life across the community get together, provide mentoring and support to the leadership and the management committee in that club, get alongside it and try to outline what they would do to improve their standards to develop their culture and to move towards a new future for the club and an ongoing future in their old clubrooms at Hallett Cove.

So many people were involved in the campaign to keep the club at its premises. I do want to mention a number of them: Craig Warman, President of the Southern Football League; Lisa Faraci, Community Infrastructure and Planning Manager, SANFL; Andrew English, Deputy Chair of the South Adelaide Football Club; Corey Wingard, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing was involved; and, of course, City of Marion councillors as well. I should mention the councillors who got alongside the club: councillors Ian Crossland and Tim Gard from Coastal Ward and councillors Matt Shilling and Maggie Duncan from Southern Hills Ward.

I also want to make special mention of Councillor Bruce Hull, and that is a big thing for me because Councillor Hull and I have rarely seen eye to eye during my time on Marion council and since then, but I have been so impressed by the way Councillor Hull spoke out against what he saw as an injustice and asked pertinent questions in the council meetings—those that were held in public, and I am sure those that were held behind closed doors as well. By asking those pertinent questions he really challenged the council's administration and his fellow elected members and the mayor to review this decision. I also want to make mention of Adrian Skull, the chief executive who has been involved in providing support as well.

This club is made up of volunteers. This club is made up of people who see this as a key part of their lives, a part of our community. Volunteers want to be running water on game days, cooking the barbecue and working behind the bar. They do not necessarily have the skills, understanding or desire to be involved in HR and culture change issues. I have said all along that it is so important, so critical, that the City of Marion get alongside that club and provide it with the resources, the support, the insight and the knowledge to improve the culture, if there are certain elements that need to be improved, and move to the future.

I mentioned in my last grievance the women's football team and their recent premiership, a great result for the Cobras. I want to share a statement that a friend of mine who plays in that team put on Facebook. She said:

This club has brought a lot of good in my life when things have not been so good. The club values that I have seen are support, respect, discipline and passion. The club has worked tirelessly on changing the culture surrounding [women's] footy to be one of empowerment, strength and resilience!

This is the Cove Cobras that I know and the spirit which I as their local member of parliament have seen exemplified, particularly in recent weeks. I look forward to working alongside this club. The council has reversed its decision and given them 12 months, and I look forward to working with them now and into the future.

### **SALISBURY BUSINESS AWARDS**

**The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay) (15:20):** I rise today to talk about the Salisbury Business Awards, in their third year, run by the Salisbury Business Association. It is an opportunity for the community to nominate outstanding businesses in the City of Salisbury area. The Salisbury Business Association is a member-based organisation with a focus on business, particularly in the CBD of Salisbury.

The awards this year were held at the recently renovated Salisbury Hotel. It was a wonderful night, with more than 145 people in attendance. As quoted by some, it was a fantastic night and a great venue with great food, great service and great company. The Salisbury Hotel recently renovated and went out of their way to create an impressive set-up. They made sure that they brought in tables and chairs for the night.

For many people, it was the first time back there since the renovation, and the attendees really were impressed. I was delighted to be joined by the member for Wright, Blair Boyer, for this



special night. Also in attendance was the Mayor of the City of Salisbury, Gillian Aldridge. I also acknowledge the president of the association, Stephan Knoll, and members of the committee.

**Mr Cregan:** Stephan?

**The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON:** It is his uncle. Our MC for the night was Stephen Bloor, Reverend of St John's Anglican Church. There were 11 awards up for grabs on the night. Ten of the awards were people's choice awards and recognised exceptional customer service from traders and local businesses. More than 7,000 votes were received, up from 4,500 in 2018, with members of the public voting for their favourite businesses in the area, nominating more than 80 businesses in the City of Salisbury. That is very impressive. It shows that people were willing to take the time to vote because of outstanding customer service.

This year, in 2019, there was a new award: the Salisbury Business of the Year. For this award, businesses were nominated by their peers and decided by an independent judging panel that selected the winner. That was an exciting drum-roll opportunity, it being the first time for that award. I would like to talk about the winners of the awards. The first was the Automotive Services award and the winner was EP Performance/Exhaust Plus, sponsored by Councillor Reynolds and Councillor Hood. The second award was the Cafe, Hotel or Restaurant award. That is sponsored by me; I have been sponsoring it for three years now and I am very pleased to see that Cafe Sublime are a back-to-back winner of the Salisbury Business Awards. They are located in the Parabanks Shopping Centre.

The third award was the Fresh Food and Grocery award, sponsored by the Parabanks Shopping Centre. That was won for the third time in a row by the Namaste Supermarket, run by Hari and partners, Bhutanese migrants who came here as humanitarian migrants and went on to open their store. The fourth award was the Rising Star/New Business award, which has been operating for less than two years. It is sponsored by the Rotary Club of Salisbury. It was won by a brand-new cafe in John Street, our high street in Salisbury. Congratulations to the Leyan Persian Cafe and Restaurant. They were delighted to be nominated and then to win.

The General Retail award, sponsored by Signarama Salisbury, was won by Flowers by Marissa. Congratulations to the Salisbury florist, who is a back-to-back winner. The Hair and Beauty award, sponsored by the mayor, was won by Hair Centa. The Medical/Allied Health award, sponsored by Councillor Proleta, was won by John Street Pharmacy, who won that award for the third year in a row—congratulations to them.

The Professional Services award went to Pascale Legal, sponsored by the City of Salisbury. As to the Entertainment award, congratulations to Hoyts Salisbury Cinemas, who have done a great investment in upgrading the Salisbury cinema. The Community Services award, sponsored by Blair Boyer, went to the Northern Community Legal Service, recently celebrating 30 years. The Salisbury Business of the Year was none other than The Salisbury Hotel with their big investment and renovation.

### **ROCK LOBSTER FISHING INDUSTRY**

**Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (15:25):** I rise to talk about the start of the rock lobster season in the South-East. Of note, there are a couple of issues around the Port MacDonnell harbour and breakwater. The start of the rock lobster fishing season is 1 October. It is a time when there is a flurry of activity in our regional port of the southern zone rock lobster season when fishermen are putting all of their pots out with over 60 boats.

An issue that has continually raised its head in the area is the insurgence of seaweed and sand into the harbour and, unfortunately, this year has been no different. So that people are aware, these fishing boats cost hundreds of thousands of dollars. They have inboard motors which, in essence, means that they suck water into the cooling system to cool the motors down. In terms of the breakwater area, there are plenty of cases where there is so much seaweed in the breakwater that that gets sucked into the inlet areas and clogs up the filters very quickly. The consequence of that is putting these very expensive boats and their motors at risk.

I will give credit to minister Knoll in that he has attempted to try to clear—or his department has tried to clear—some of the seaweed from that area, but the locals keep telling me that it is a bit

of a running joke down there at the moment. It involves a boat with quite literally a small cage towed behind it. As they lift it up, most of the seaweed leaves the cage and sits in the water. So there is a fair bit of frustration around the environment that is down there.

Might I say from the outset that the fishermen want to work in partnership with the state government to find solutions to this. Roger Cutting, the President of the Professional Fishermen's Association, has written to the minister and I would like to read that letter:

Dear Mr Knoll,

Yet again we are forced to write to you re the Port MacDonnell harbour. The situation is one now of extreme urgency as the position for fishermen getting in and out of the harbour is now extremely tenuous. Many of the fishermen are only about to access their mooring on high tide and from a certain direction. Some boats are having difficulties getting in and out for fuelling up.

DPTI are continuing to spend money on dredging which has no lasting benefit. No sooner has the dredge left and we are back in the same situation again. DPTI are also only dredging where the boats fuel up and boats launch which is only the tip of the iceberg. Last year DPTI dredged around the fuelling station and within two weeks of it being done a boat became stuck and had to wait for high tide to try to dislodge itself.

The government need to be mindful that this harbour is home to some 60 odd fishing boats and a multi-million dollar industry which is now being put in jeopardy. The form of dredging currently being employed does little more than stir up the mark and clog up the strainers on the boats. As stated currently the only dredging that has been done at Port MacDonnell Harbour has been in and around the fuelling station and the boat ramp.

The issue in the harbour is far greater than that. The whole of the harbour is filling with both sand and weed. The entrance to the harbour, at the end of the breakwater is completely filled with sand. In the long-term something needs to be changed in the structure of the breakwater to ensure that this problem does not continue.

The professional fishermen have met with DPTI however they hold very different views. DPTI is happy with the current dredging around the boat ramp and fuelling station, the professional fishermen are concerned about the life of the harbour and their livelihoods and believe a solution rather than a bandaid needs to be found.

This is not going to be easily solved but needs to be addressed now as the consequences to the fishing industry and the state as a whole could be catastrophic. We implore you to come down to view the situation for yourself and meet with a group of professional fishermen. We wait in anticipation of your response. Yours sincerely Roger Cutting, President of the Professional Fishermen's [Association].

The other fact that Roger wanted me to point out is that over the last 13 years Port MacDonnell locals have had to pay close to \$1 million in fees to the state government for mooring. That constitutes between \$60,000 and \$90,000 a year in mooring fees for roughly 65 boats.

#### **BURG, MR C.**

**Mr BOYER (Wright) (15:31):** In April this year, I was approached for assistance by Mr Claus Burg of Brahma Lodge. I visited Claus and his wife, Linda, in their home, where they took me through a very detailed account of what had transpired in the past 12 months. Claus told me over the phone that he had received a misdiagnosis from The QEH in July 2018, when he went for a regular check-up to see if the bladder cancer that he had been diagnosed with in 2008 had spread. He was told the good news that it had not spread to his stomach, and he and Linda returned home relieved that they could enjoy their lives together for many years to come.

But in the weeks following that diagnosis, Claus started to lose weight dramatically and went to Lyell McEwin Hospital for an urgent check-up. He and Linda were told the heartbreaking news that the cancer had in fact spread to his stomach and that it was so advanced that it was inoperable. The oncologist at Lyell McEwin Hospital accessed the CT scans that had been used at The QEH to give Claus the all clear to try to work out what happened. He explained to Claus that a very obvious thickening of the stomach lining was clearly visible in those scans but had not been picked up. He also explained that the time that had passed between the two diagnoses meant that nothing could be done.

As I came to realise over the next few months as I worked with Claus and his wife, Linda, he was not one to dwell upon his own misfortune. He set to work writing to the Minister for Health to seek answers for the terrible mistake that had been made, not to seek any personal compensation but, in his own words, to make sure that something like this never happened to anyone else. The point at which Claus sought my assistance was after having waited patiently for weeks for a response

from the Minister for Health, but to no avail. So I wrote on his behalf, and we, too, waited more than two months for a response.

My diligent office manager called the minister's office on 24 April and on 8, 14, 21, 23 and 27 May to ask how far away the response was, anxious in the knowledge that Claus did not have long left. Eventually we gave up. I went back to Claus and Linda and advised them that the only avenue left to us was to seek some kind of redress through the legal system. They agreed and, with the assistance of Duncan Basheer Hannon, Claus and Linda formally wrote to SA Health outlining their case.

It was at this time that Channel 7 ran a story with some heartbreaking footage of Claus comforting a distraught Linda. All of a sudden, there were letters being couriered out to Brahma Lodge and urgent phone calls from the Central Adelaide Local Health Network, but still no reply from the minister. When the minister did finally get around to replying to Claus, it included an admission that a mistake had in fact been made. Finally, in mid-September, a settlement was reached, acknowledging the grievous mistakes that were made.

Claus had fought bravely through this period, determined to live to see a resolution and determined to leave his beloved wife, Linda, in a secure financial position once he was no longer with her. He also continued to fight to make sure that genuine reforms were made to prevent a repeat of the mistake that ultimately cost him his life. On Friday 4 October, I attended Claus's funeral in Elizabeth East. It was a beautiful service that mirrored the relaxed and easy-going way that Claus had led his life. I should acknowledge, too, the presence at that funeral of Mark Mooney from Channel 7 and Mitch Mott from *The Advertiser*, both of whom covered this story extensively and both of whom held a lot of respect for the Burgs.

In the lead-up to the funeral, I thought long and hard about how best to honour Claus's legacy. I was conscious that Linda no longer had Claus by her side to join her in the fight, but I also know that Claus's motivation had always been to prevent a repeat of the mistake that ultimately cost him his life. The reassurances we had been provided by SA Health and the minister about what investigations took place into any other possible misdiagnoses were so weak and so unconvincing that I felt I was left with no option but to refer the matter to the Coroner for a thorough investigation, and that is what I have done.

Claus Burg deserved, at the very least, to go to his grave confident that his fight would prevent a tragic repeat of the mistakes that cost him his life. Sadly, that was not the case. To this day, we await a determination of freedom of information applications we made on Claus's behalf more than four months ago. I for one intend to do the right thing by the Burgs and follow this through to the end.

### WOODSIDE, GOLD MINING

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (15:36):** I have been provided by a constituent with emails authored by a law firm representing the mining company proposing to mine gold at Woodside in my electorate. My concerns in relation to the proposal are well known but, putting those aside for a moment, the law allows the company to outline its proposal. My reason for raising this matter is not so much to reflect on the balance of rights between mining companies and farmers—I have earlier made substantial remarks in relation to that matter in this place—it is about the way in which lawyers acting for a mining company engage with my community.

My constituent has asked that I bring these emails to the attention of members, and I take that request seriously. I received the following email from my constituent, and I will read the relevant parts to the house with the names of my constituents redacted, reading the emails in such a way as to preserve their grammatical integrity in view of those redactions. From my constituent, dated Tuesday 1 October 2019, subject: threats and intimidation, the email states:

Dear Dan,

I would like to draw to your attention the email correspondence received below and request a meeting with the Mining Minister.

By way of background [and the email then refers to my constituent's partner] was in hospital last night with [and there is a reference to my constituent's child] who was having his tonsils out.

[She] forwarded me the email and was upset to be receiving legal advice. We discussed how unusual it was for it to be sent to [her].

It was my opinion and I assured [there is a reference to the constituent's partner] that it must just have been a mistake.

It was very strange as we do not make [her] contact details available to the public and [there is a reference to the mining company's lawyers or the mining company] already had the correct contact details.

It appears I was in error.

I have previously raised issues of threats and intimidation directly with [and there follows a reference to the mining company] and in public correspondence as have many within the Adelaide Hills Community.

Could you please contact me when convenient.

Kind Regards,

I read to the house the email chain that followed below the cover note, also taking care to redact portions of the email chain that would name individual people if I did not take that step. This email is from an employee of the law firm, dated Monday 30 September, and states:

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached by way of service a Notice of Appeal filed on behalf of the Appellant in the above matter today in the Environment, Resources and Development Court.

Kind Regards,

There is a further email from my constituent, dated Monday 30 September:

Hi

I have no idea how you have got my email. It's not listed...

There is a website reference. The email continues, 'You should email' another address. This is where matters become unusual. There is a further email, dated Tuesday 1 October, from an employee of the law firm to a lawyer and my constituent:

Please note that I have just spoken to [there is a description] on the phone...has requested that all communications be sent only to...I have added the [there are further details] and this email address to the file contacts.

Cheers

There are two further emails, dated Tuesday 1 October, from a solicitor to a law clerk including the email address of my constituent who received this email:

I emailed it to...last night too. Largely just to piss the whole family off.

Cheers

The final email that I wish to raise in the house is dated Tuesday 1 October 2019, from an employee of the law firm to a lawyer and to the address of my constituent who received this email:

I'll get started looking for the grandparents email addresses.

Those of my constituents who are aware of the emails are deeply concerned. This does not appear to be the way that any mining company would want to be, or should be, represented. I raised this matter directly with the minister immediately after becoming aware of it. I take the responsibility of raising these difficult issues and of providing full and proper representation to my constituents seriously. I will continue to represent my constituents without fear or favour, even when dealing with powerful interests.

Time expired.

### *Bills*

## **LANDSCAPE SOUTH AUSTRALIA BILL**

### *Conference*

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (15:42):** I move:

That a message be sent to the Legislative Council granting a conference as requested by the council, and that the time and place for holding it be the Garden Room at 1pm on Tuesday 29 October 2019, and that Dr Close, Mr Duluk, Mr Hughes, Mr Murray and the mover be the managers on the part of this house.

Motion carried.

**Mr McBRIDE:** Deputy Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

*A quorum having been formed:*

## **FLINDERS UNIVERSITY (REMUNERATION OF COUNCIL MEMBERS) AMENDMENT BILL**

### *Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading (resumed on motion).

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (15:45):** I rise to support the Flinders University (Remuneration of Council Members) Amendment Bill. In doing so, I want to acknowledge the great work of Flinders University—the university that my wife went to to study psychology and then law—and to really acknowledge the work that Flinders University has already done and will be doing in relation to helping to grow the South Australian economy.

There is a lot happening down at Flinders at the moment. Together with the member for Davenport, I had the opportunity to talk to the nearby Bedford Park Residents Association in relation to what is happening at Flinders University. There is a whole lot of good stuff going on and there is a good relationship between the university and the government. When we came to office, there had been plans mooted in relation to public transport upgrades as part of the Darlington project, as well as this expansion mooted at Flinders University, but it seems that idea, that proposal, that deal, was really stuck in the muck and had a degree of complexity around it that was going to stifle the growth happening down there on site.

We have also seen on that site some of the good growth at Tonsley and the money that Flinders University has expended to put a building on site—essentially, a student facility—there at Tonsley as part of their expansion. Overall, there was a whole heap of potential that just needed to be unlocked by a government that has a higher degree of commercial reality and one that was willing to work together and partner in a way to deliver the best altruistic outcome for South Australians. What is going to happen down at the Flinders precinct is transformational for the southern suburbs and off the back of the existing Darlington project, one that is well underway and has seen quite a number of the elements of that project already open with significant elements to be opened between now and the end of the year.

What really has unlocked the Flinders University project is two things: number one is this government actually getting on, finalising the design and then getting the federal government's commitment to delivering the Flinders Link upgrade—a 650-metre train line extension that goes over South Road and for the first time actually delivers trains into the heart of the Flinders University and Flinders Medical Centre precincts.

It is an extension that needed to be upgraded to improve the quality of the viaduct structure so that that structure is safe. Having seen the issues that existed on the Glenelg tram overpass on South Road and listened to the engineers about what the strength of the structure needs to be to carry those EMUs, when the engineers say that you need to upgrade to make sure that this thing is safe, that is what you listen to.

In addition to that, what we also did was make sure that there are proper cycling and pedestrian links through Flinders Link, make sure that we have an elevator at the bottom of the Flinders Link overpass on the northern side of the road, make sure that we have the proper shared use path and make sure that the structure is built to the highest quality standard rather than a substandard cut-price rush job.

That investment is going to spark some \$1½ billion worth of investment in the Flinders University site and that is the second part of this story that I want to highlight. The Minister for Environment and Water has done a phenomenal job in working together with Flinders University, as well as my department, to put something on the table that is going to unlock Flinders University. We

know that this is a government that has an ambition to grow our economy by 3 per cent a year. This is a government that has an ambition to grow our population here in South Australia.

One of the nine key sectors that the Joyce review talked about was international education and its ability to deliver population growth as well as jobs growth as well as broader economic growth for South Australia. From what the statistics say, every extra international student we get delivers somewhere between \$35,000 and \$40,000 into the South Australian economy—a huge boost per student.

We have an opportunity here to grow our international student population with our university that is outside of the central business district. On site at Flinders University, there is essentially a blank canvas to be able to develop not only a student accommodation village and some of those associated retail and hospitality businesses that would naturally go with a larger resident population, but also other research buildings and the like that Flinders University want to put on site, all adding up to \$1½ billion worth of construction investment off the back of the state and federal governments' \$125 million commitment to delivering the Flinders Link upgrade.

That will also give a very easy and quick connection between that Flinders University village precinct and Adelaide. For those international students who are attracted down there to Flinders University, the opportunity to be able to get on a train and get straight to Adelaide without any further connection is fantastic and really helps to unlock the amenity and the livability and improve the lifestyle choice of Flinders University for international students.

It is amazing to me that this was not something that was locked down earlier but something that we saw the unbridled potential in. Again, I would like to commend the Minister for Environment and Water. I also commend my department, the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure, for essentially unlocking this project—a project that had so many caveats and difficulties with it that the growth was going to be stifled and delayed—and realising the potential that construction investment was going to bring and that increasing the number of international students was going to bring, which will really help grow Flinders University and increase its reputation as a global university.

Flinders University has also been fantastic to work with when it comes to our ambition to drive forward transport innovation here in South Australia. Together with a number of university professors, including Professor Rocco Zito, one of the state's leading experts in autonomous vehicle technology, we have been working on the work that is happening down on site at Tonsley to help drive forward this government's ambition to embrace new transport technology.

Again, we have been able to work in a commercial way, in a way that unlocks the benefits and the development of this new technology, to help keep our young people here. So many of the students that are involved in that program are intimately involved with the trials that have been set up and are really helping to build a hub here in South Australia for this kind of technology. Again, it is a real credit to the university and also a credit to the relationship that exists between this state government and Flinders University in seeking to really drive forward those employment outcomes.

We have also been extremely impressed by the work that the university is doing around the creative arts, especially around animation, digital design and all those creative industry technology jobs that are growing here in South Australia. I am sure that the Minister for Innovation and Skills would be very excited to know how the work he is undertaking with bringing *Mortal Kombat* here to South Australia is creating connections with students who are recent graduates of the courses that Flinders University provides.

In an industry that is exciting and engaging for young people—those very young people who have been leaving this state in droves, some 6,000-odd persons per year—it is essentially providing a clear pathway, a clear link between their education (after having finished their education) and their ability to see a career pathway here in Adelaide and here in South Australia. Again, it is brilliant work that Flinders University has partnered together with the state government on.

There are extremely exciting times moving forward for Flinders University. They are extremely forward looking and have a deep understanding and deep penetration in international markets and are a fantastic ambassador for South Australia. Our key export industries really are the links between South Australia and the rest of the world—whether they be our wine exporters

travelling the globe, sharing the wares of South Australia and building our reputation as a global wine maker, or whether they be our food exporters taking our wares, our beef or sheep meat or our seafood or grains products. They are showing the world that we have world-class products. It is also our international education space, and they embed themselves in key international markets.

Again, in selling the courses and selling the lifestyle, they are trying to attract people here from other countries to enjoy that. They are selling South Australia. Again, they are a key ambassador in this government's ambition to drive jobs growth, population growth and, in turn, broader economic growth for South Australians. So I commend this bill to the house.

It is only fair and proper that the members of the board, a number of whom I have met, get paid for the work that they do and for their time. In fact, we are talking now about an organisation that has over 20,000 students and over 2,000 staff, and the further professionalisation of our universities is right and proper. Yes, they are first and foremost educational institutions and research institutions, but they also do form part of this government's agenda to drive growth and prosperity.

Having the best and brightest, and paying the best and brightest for their time, is a simple yet very key step forward, and I commend the Minister for Education for bringing the bill to this house. It has been wonderful, in my short 18 months in this gig, to work with an organisation like Flinders University and its Vice-Chancellor, Colin Stirling. They approach things with a clear mind and with clear commercial and educational outcomes at their heart, and they are willing to work cooperatively and in good faith to deliver the best outcomes for the south and for the people of South Australia.

**Mr PICTON (Kaurana) (15:57):** Originally, I was not going to speak on this bill, but the Minister for Education has convinced me of the need to. I think the first time I met the Minister for Education was at Flinders University, and I think we were negotiating something that seemed probably incredibly important at the time about student politics or the like, but in the light of day now I cannot even remember what it was. I have to declare my interests as an alumni of Flinders University. Therefore, I am incredibly biased about its importance to the state. Sadly, I was never a member of the university council, but I was a member—

**The Hon. J.A.W. Gardner:** Not yet.

**Mr PICTON:** Not yet, says the Minister for Education, so there is still time. I might use him as a referee for the appointment. I was a member of the Academic Senate for a year there. Back in the day, the arrangement was that the student association president or general secretary, in the particular vernacular that I am sure was used dating back to the seventies, sat on the university council, and the union president—who I was—got a gig on the Academic Senate. I also declare my interest, given that my mother is an employee of Flinders University, on the academic staff, and holds a doctorate from there. I am, of course, very proud of her endeavours.

What a great thing for the council to come to the parliament and to be as forward thinking as to ask for money for themselves. It is very good of them to suggest this idea. I am sure it was done with all modesty and thinking about the greater good rather than themselves. Hopefully, this will prove successful for the council. Hopefully, they will be able to attract and retain some excellent people to sit on the council and to provide support for the university over the years to come.

Since I graduated in the mid-2000s to now, Flinders University has undertaken some fantastic initiatives. The work they have done around the Tonsley precinct has been amazing. A lot of those departments now in the Tonsley precinct were departments of Flinders University that people were questioning whether or not should continue to operate. Now they have gone from strength to strength and grown significantly in terms of the number of people there. There is obviously good industry collaboration happening there and it has been very successful, and the growth in student numbers is to be welcomed.

I will make a contribution to say that I see some risk in the approach the university has taken on some matters. Particularly controversial at Flinders is some of the academic restructure that has happened in recent years. I think it is vitally important that universities continue to modernise and connect with industry and with where employment and innovation are happening to make sure they are commercialising. That does not necessarily apply quite as neatly to some of the social sciences and humanities areas as it does to engineering, business, science and biomedical pursuits.

I have some concerns about what I have seen in terms of some of the more academic and more humanities and social sciences areas, that they are pushing into a field where the drive is for commercialisation in areas where it is very difficult to do. There has been a significant shake-up of the academic staff there and some good people have been lost. I look at my old school of law there, and when I went to Flinders University I chose it over Adelaide because it was the higher rated degree. Over the last few years, some really excellent people have been lost out of Flinders University law. I am concerned by that and hope that it does flourish under the current staff there, but it concerns me how much that restructure has impacted on some of those areas.

That said, it is still a fantastic university and my heart will always be at Flinders University. As a member of parliament in the southern suburbs, I acknowledge the vital role it plays in the south, not only by training and educating people in the south, by providing opportunities for people from low socio-economic backgrounds in the south and by being not only a major sponsor of the mighty South Adelaide Football Club but also, very importantly, the major employer in the southern suburbs, and that is a vital role it plays there. I wish it every success for the future and hope that this vital piece of legislation helps them along the way.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (16:02):** It is a pleasure to be able to close the debate after hearing contributions from a range of measures on the Flinders University (Remuneration of Council Members) Amendment Bill. It is perhaps not surprising that a number of different members might want to contribute to a debate such as this because, when we all reflect on legislation that reminds us of institutions where many of us have had personal experiences, one cannot help but have one's interest increased in the subject matter at hand.

I was not originally going to, but I will indulge for a moment because the member for Kurna has provoked me to. I do not necessarily recall the political negotiations that, as he said, seemed very important at the time, but the passage of time has not been kind to the level of importance they may have had ultimately. I do not recall what it was about either, but it does reflect the fact that I did a couple of subjects at Flinders University.

My degree was at Adelaide, but I had enough of a stake at Flinders that I attended the campus, they gave me a student card and I was able to negotiate with the member for Kurna as he was then—that is, the convener of student unity, I suspect, or some other such student political term—on behalf of the Liberals on campus, or whatever we were calling ourselves at the time. I am sure that went very well and was to the greater good.

It reminds me that when I was at university I think I did two or three language subjects at Flinders, some on Flinders campus and some through their partnership with Adelaide University that enabled Flinders University subjects to be taught at Adelaide. I did Spanish at Adelaide, and I seem to remember doing Italian at Flinders. There may have possibly been other subjects.

I look back at that period of my life and wish that I knew as much now as I did then. I knew everything then—students are blessed with that gift. The older I have become, and the more time that has elapsed since I was at university, the more I understand how much is to be known and how the world perhaps is not as black and white as it seemed back then.

**Mr Szakacs:** It is never too late to go back. Everyone loves a mature age student.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** The member for Cheltenham might join the member for Kurna, if he likes, in a future career on the Flinders University Council. I am no longer as sure that I am going to add my name to a reference check after hearing the member for Kurna's speech, but I will consider it. If he would like to apply in the next year or so as an alternative career path, then I am absolutely happy to endorse that application.

The fact is, as the Deputy Leader of the Opposition identified I thought pretty eloquently in her speech, the provision of community service through service in a parliament or on an board or a council or a committee should not be denigrated because financial recompense is sought and granted. Indeed, it is not just the history of the South Australian parliament: politicians for thousands of years in the Western world, and probably anywhere in the world where we have representatives, often were not paid for their service. The lack of payment means that that service is unavailable to people who do not have the means to provide that service unless there is payment.



Now, as the member for Port Adelaide said, in the case of a full-time job, that is potentially more critical. But we do also want to identify that there are places where people can provide community service through service on boards and committees where significant time is taken in that service, and having somebody who has a level of capacity, but potentially not the ability to provide that time unless there is some recompense, we would certainly see as beneficial for some recompense to be paid for that service.

The fact of the matter is that in South Australia we have three main public universities: the University of South Australia, where members of council are paid; the University of Adelaide, where members of council are not paid, nor have they sought the opportunity to be so; and Flinders University, where, outside the Chancellor and, I think, the Vice-Chancellor, who are the only two who are paid, members of the council are not paid. We want our public universities to contribute to our economy. We want them to contribute to our social wellbeing and the development of our students' education and we want them to continue to contribute to our culture. Having strong leadership in the universities independent of government is important.

Some members have taken the opportunity during the course of this debate to give what I am sure the Vice-Chancellor and the university council at Flinders University would see as advice. But, ultimately, I think that it is best that politicians are not the ones dipping their hands into the day-to-day administration of universities. It is, indeed, a responsibility of the universities because independence from government, as much as possible, is one of the things they cherish, as is being able to develop a strong leadership culture and the governance culture that they have.

The Flinders University Council has identified that the difference between them and the University of South Australia, and indeed the majority of public universities around Australia, in that they are not able to pay the council members and offer some recompense for the extraordinary amount of time, diligence and effort provided by those council members, is deleterious to Flinders University. I also identify that the current members of the Flinders University council have not been paid and are providing the current service they provide for free. That is something for which we are grateful.

They have been willing to provide that service to the people of South Australia, to the institution, to the staff and to the students of Flinders University without been paid before, and we are grateful for that. But, as has been put by the Chancellor, who is not going to benefit from this bill, as I understand it, given that he is already in a position of receiving some recompense, we want Flinders University to be in a position where the lack of payment does not prevent any suitable person from potentially serving on the council in the future, and I think that is absolutely reasonable.

The commercial and economic benefit, and the work that is being done by the university, have been identified by all the speakers and I thank them all for making such identification. The university has contributed to our state's economy through international students and through the research, offshoots, spin-offs and start-ups that have started at Flinders University. I am happy to acknowledge the extraordinarily inspirational work being done at Tonsley, but also through Flinders University's other campuses.

The university is having an impact in supporting education in regional South Australia. The range of disciplines where Flinders University makes an impact on our economy is significant, and this is through its direct work but also through the input from its graduates into our economy subsequently. It provides a service for the people of South Australia through giving our students every opportunity to succeed and prosper.

Flinders University is one of our more modern universities and has a place in the world which all South Australians can be proud of, particularly which the staff, students and alumni of Flinders University can be proud of. The way that its schools are shooting up the rankings in a range of disciplines is to be applauded and the way that they have attracted increasing numbers of international students, particularly in recent years, is tremendous.

I also want to reflect again on the cultural impact that our universities have, through the contribution that their existing students make, through clubs and societies even, but also their engagement with institutions, performing arts companies and other cultural organisations is substantial. They are amongst our bigger employers in South Australia, certainly in the southern

suburbs, as has been identified. The future-thinking, forward-looking developments currently being led by the administration of Flinders University are laudable.

With that, I commend the bill to the house. I thank the opposition and other members for their support for this piece of legislation and I look forward to its further passage through the parliament in the not too distant future so that Flinders University can benefit from its provisions.

Bill read a second time.

*Third Reading*

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (16:12):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

**CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES (YOUTH TREATMENT ORDERS) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 1 August 2019.)

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON (Adelaide—Minister for Child Protection) (16:12):** I rise to speak today in support of the Controlled Substances (Youth Treatment Orders) Amendment Bill 2018. Across Australia, the abuse of illicit substances has a devastating impact, not only on the user but also their families and the broader community. Drug dependence is a serious and complex issue which can lead to substantial illness, disease, social and family disruptions, workplace issues, violence, crime and, sadly, in some cases, death.

In my portfolio of child protection, drug dependence by parents is one of the three leading causes of children entering care. Often the drug dependency issue is longstanding and the user has not availed themselves of any treatment. In 2016, more than 3.1 million people in Australia reported using an illicit drug. However, drug dependence is not limited to adults. In 2014, more than 23,000 secondary students, aged between 12 and 17 years, participated in the Australian Secondary Students Alcohol and Drug Survey.

The key findings of that report are alarming. Cannabis was the most commonly used illicit substance: 16 per cent of students reported using it, with 7 per cent having done so in the month prior to the survey. The proportion of students using cannabis increased with age, with 3 per cent of students reporting they used ecstasy or MDMA in the previous year and 1 per cent having consumed it in the previous month. While the vast majority of secondary school students had not used amphetamines, it was reported that the lifetime use of them increased with age, from 1 per cent of 12 year olds to 4 per cent of 17 year olds.

Evidence suggests that the teenage years are typically a period of experimentation, regardless of parenting skills and influence. There are many reasons given by teenagers for using illicit drugs, including to change how they feel, peer pressure, as a way to relax or have fun, out of boredom, curiosity or, most relevant to my child protection portfolio, to escape from psychological or physiological pain.

On a practical level, drug use can lead to property and violent offences, where young people use crime as a method of raising the necessary money to support expensive and illegal drug habits. For young people, this can often result in their first contact with the criminal justice system. If this persists, a permanent impact on employment prospects, their social interactions and attitude towards the law can result in continued contact with the criminal justice system as an adult and periods of incarceration.

Other vulnerable young people who are drug dependent are known to engage in sexual behaviours in exchange for drugs, which can negatively impact self-esteem and place them at risk of disease or harm in dangerous situations. Accepting that there is no silver bullet to prevent young people from experimenting with drugs, suggested ways to reduce the possibilities of experimentation include encouraging a healthy approach to life, including regular exercise and sport, fostering close

and trusting relationships with the child and modelling appropriate behaviour. All of these I expect for children in care in this state and are matters that I regularly receive briefings on from my chief executive.

For those in our community who do use drugs, it is recognised that treatment for drug dependence is complex. More often than not, overcoming the addiction is not attainable without professional help. In some cases, the withdrawal process can be dangerous and potentially damaging to the user's health and it is for these reasons medical supervision during the detoxification phase is often required. While the availability of treatment options for anyone who has succumbed to the scourge of illicit drugs is important, the bill seeks to strike the balance of early treatment for young people considering both our obligation to care and protect them as well as their rights and autonomy.

In 2015, Mission Australia gave a submission to the National Ice Taskforce stating their opinion that adult treatment facilities are not appropriate and are often not available for young people. They submitted that adult facilities often do not have the supports that young people need to recover, particularly young people with underlying experiences of trauma, and that in some cases can expose young people to more trauma through their contact with older people who are also managing their drug withdrawal. Mission Australia was of the opinion that youth-specific facilities, which deal with young people holistically in a safe, secure and encouraging environment, are much more likely to succeed long term.

The bill speaks to the government's commitment to protecting young people from the scourge of drugs. It is what drug-dependent children need and it is what parents have been asking for. The bill has a therapeutic focus, ensuring that there are protections in place for the young person by ensuring their best interests are paramount in all decision-making. There is community support for this scheme.

In my electorate, from two separate surveys, over 86 per cent of the respondents were supportive of drug treatment for children under 18 and, importantly, the mandatory treatment when ordered by a court. Support for this scheme has also come from Frances Nelson QC, Presiding Member of the Parole Board, who, I suggest, too often sees before the board adults whose drug dependence has led them into a life of crime. A Youth Court judge has also proffered support and considers that the orders considered by the bill will be useful in that jurisdiction.

Any measure to ensure that those young people who find themselves in the grip of drugs can access treatment is a positive measure. The opportunity that this will afford those impacted young people to live healthy, law-abiding lives and to contribute positively to society should be supported. The bill, with its checks and balances, does just that: it gives people the chance to recover, from which the community as a whole benefits. I commend the bill to the house to ensure that South Australia continues its responsibility to keep our children safe.

**Mr PATTERSON (Morphett) (16:19):** I also take this opportunity to speak today in parliament on the Controlled Substances (Youth Treatment Orders) Amendment Bill 2018. This bill meets an election commitment by this government to provide children and young people with drug dependency problems treatment for up to 12 months, and to enable parents to legally force their children to attend drug treatment programs.

This is a challenging issue for this house, which has to consider the rights of children, and so the amendments that the bill seeks to introduce are an indication of the serious effect that drug dependency has on children and the increasingly addictive and destructive nature of drugs, such as ice, and the long-term damage they can cause to all drug users, especially children. The bill seeks to provide a balance between protecting and respecting the rights of children and families who care for and protect their children and also the community's obligation to protect children.

As a member of parliament, along with many others in this place I have been contacted by parents with children who have serious drug dependency problems and the terrible toll that it takes on not only the child but also the child's family. They feel helpless in not being able to protect their children from such a destructive drug addiction. Parents face not only the drug addiction itself but also the helplessness they feel towards drug dealers who fuel their child's drug dependency. As an example, I sat down with a parent who was devastated that he had a child who grew up like most: a

good kid who loved sport but who, at around the age of 15, just happened to hang around with the wrong group of kids who introduced them to drugs.

What started out as an occasional use of drugs spiralled into frequent use, to the point where, unbeknownst to the parent, the drug dealer was visiting the house to drop off the drugs when the parent was not home. The dangerous issue around this, even more than dropping around when the parent was not home, was that there was a daughter living at home as well. Not only was there a child taking drugs being put in danger but the siblings were as well. As a natural response, the parent cracked down on anyone visiting the house to try to prevent the drugs from being brought into the house, but this led to even more dangerous practices by the child, such that at night-time when everyone was asleep the child would sneak out and try to meet the drug dealer down the street in a local park at 3 o'clock in the morning.

The parent told me that unbeknownst to him anything could have happened and, understandably, they were beside themselves wanting to help their child. In cases such as these and others members of parliament will no doubt have come across, unless that child receives treatment for their drug dependency the parent really does live in fear that one morning they are going to find that their child is missing or, worse, they are receiving a knock on the door from the police telling them that their child has been taken into custody or to hospital or, worse still, has been killed.

Really, for the parent in these circumstances that I have described, the best possible outcome is for the child to get treatment for their drug dependency. As it stands at the moment, this can only really happen if the child voluntarily enters into treatment. There is nothing compelling that child to get treatment, so the vicious cycle continues. Quite rightly, the government also recognises the importance of treating drug dependency in the first instance as a health issue. Previously, I spoke about the parent and how they tried to treat the problem from a disciplinary point of view and the adverse outcomes from that. That parent came to the realisation that it was better to treat the problem as a health issue, as this government is doing.

Additionally, the best interests of the child still have to remain the paramount consideration under the bill, so it is under these considerations that parents who are guardians of their children until they enter adulthood also wish to act. By trying to get treatment for their children, parents really are acting in their best interests. We heard the Minister for Child Protection speaking about the adverse outcomes that drug dependency has had on children that she has had to look after and care for.

To do this, the bill amends the Controlled Substances Act 1984 by inserting a new part 7A, which deals with youth treatment orders. The amendment is in relation to a child or young person with a drug dependency under the age of 18 years. Because they are under 18 years, the Youth Court is the jurisdiction in this state with specialist expertise in matters relating to persons under the age of 18 years. The bill itself relates to a very specific group of children and young people who have refused to engage in a voluntary treatment system, who are at risk and for whom there are no other appropriate or less restrictive means available.

Importantly, the bill does not establish any new criminal sanctions or impose additional penalties on the children or young people who are subject to detention in a training centre. This provides the court with added scope to order medical assessment and appropriate therapeutic treatment where specialist clinicians judge that this is required. New section 54A refers to the best interests of the child. It provides:

The paramount consideration in the administration, operation and enforcement of this Part must always be the best interests of the child that is, or is proposed to be, subject to an order under this Part.

Section 54B of the bill outlines the following orders that the Youth Court can make, these being assessment orders, treatment orders and/or detention orders. The bill anticipates that the court would make these orders in sequence in most circumstances; this is outlined in later sections of the bill.

In terms of what those orders are, the assessment order is outlined, as I said, in section 54B(1)(a), and it requires a specified child to attend a nominated assessment service in accordance with any requirements specified in that order. It also requires the nominated assessment service to provide a report not only to the applicant but also to the child and the court, following the assessment of the child.

The treatment order is outlined in new section 54B(1)(b). This is an order requiring the specified child to attend a nominated treatment service in accordance with any requirements specified in the order. It also requires that the nominated treatment service will provide a report to the applicant, the child and the court, following its treatment of the child. It should be noted that a child may be assessed or given treatment and reports may be provided, despite the absence or refusal of consent by the child, which is what I spoke about previously in terms of children who do not want to comply with treatment orders still being covered in this amendment bill.

Section 54B(1)(c) goes on to outline what a detention order is, namely, an order authorising the detention of a specified child for the purpose of ensuring compliance with either the assessment order or a treatment order made in relation to the child. Importantly, these orders can only be made for a person who is a child at the time the order is made, and orders must not exceed 12 months.

Section 54C relates to who may apply for one of the orders that has been made by the court. The application for an order can be made by a family member of the relevant child. In the bill's definitions, it is specified that a family member can either be a spouse or a partner of that child, noting that legal marriage is between people aged 18 and above. For a child to be a spouse, it has to go through the courts for that to occur. Other family members can be a parent or a guardian.

Other people who can make an application for an order include the person holding, or acting in, the Office of the Public Advocate under the Guardianship and Administration Act 1993; the officer of the department; the chief executive of the administrative unit of the Public Service responsible for assisting a minister in the administration of the Youth Justice Administration Act 2016; and a medical practitioner who is providing treatment to the relevant child in relation to the child's use of controlled drugs. A medical practitioner would be aware of what the child was going through.

Applications can also be made by a person who is prosecuting the child for an offence and by officers involved in youth corrections and child protection. This takes into account the circumstances that a child may already be before the Youth Court in relation to an offence or child protection proceeding, or may also be in detention in a youth training centre at the time that an application for a youth treatment order is considered appropriate. Finally, the Youth Court itself may also make orders of its own motion if there are proceedings before the court involving that child.

New section 54D relates to the court making orders. The bill does anticipate that the court would first make an assessment order requiring a child to attend a nominated assessment service. To make assessment orders for a child, the court must be satisfied that there is a reasonable likelihood that the child is habitually using one or more controlled drugs and at the same time the child may be a danger to themselves or to others, that the child has refused to voluntarily seek that relevant assessment and that there is no other appropriate and restrictive means available to ensure that the child receives a relevant assessment.

There are a fair number of requirements before orders can be made and, again, that goes to the heart of taking into account the best interests of the child and also noting that, because of their drug dependency, they may not really be acting in their own best interests. In terms of a treatment order, the court can make one requiring a child to attend a nominated treatment service if that child has been assessed by a medical practitioner, following the making of an assessment order and pursuant to that assessment order that the child is dependent on one or more controlled drugs.

The bill also provides that the question of dependency on controlled drugs is to be determined by reference to diagnostic criteria for a dependent syndrome that is published by the World Health Organization. That gives a frame for that medical practitioner about how the question of dependency on controlled drugs should be viewed. For a treatment order, the Youth Court must also be satisfied that the child may be a danger to themselves or others, that the child has refused to voluntarily seek relevant treatments, that there are no other appropriate and less restrictive means available to ensure that that child receives the relevant treatment and also that the treatment and care of the child pursuant to the order will be governed by an appropriate treatment and care plan directed towards treating the child's dependency on controlled drugs.

In relation to the detention order, the court can make one if it has made an assessment or treatment order in relation to that child and either the child has failed to comply with that order of the court or the court is satisfied that it is likely that the child will fail to comply with that order, again also

making sure that there are no other appropriate and less restrictive means available to ensure that the child complies with the order.

In terms of if there is a detention order, new section 54D(5) outlines that a chief executive of the Department for Child Protection, as the department administering the Child and Young People (Safety) Act 2017, must be given notice of proceedings relating to a child that is in his or her custody, or under his or her guardianship, and given the opportunity to make a submission to those proceedings. I should mention that this is for all orders.

If the court does make a detention order, then the court must ensure that the Chief Executive of the Department for Health and Wellbeing is notified of the making of that order once it has been made. New section 54I(1) also notes that a detention order made in relation to a child does not authorise the detention of a child in a place other than an assessment service or a treatment service, unless that child is also subject to a period of detention in a training centre. If it is the case that the child is in a training centre, then that child may be detained in the training centre for the purposes of the detention order.

The bill also imposes obligations on the Chief Executive of the Department for Health and Wellbeing to ensure that a child subject to a detention order receives appropriate care while so detained, including that there are appropriate family visits that take into account the best interests of the child and also that education is provided to them and access to the Guardian for Children and Young People so that they can monitor the situation. It explicitly states that the Guardian for Children and Young People must monitor the child's circumstances throughout this detention.

If the detention order is for ensuring compliance of an assessment order, then that assessment is to occur as quickly as possible. Likewise, if the detention order is for ensuring compliance of a treatment order, that treatment plan should be implemented as soon as practicable after the child is detained, ready to reduce any time spent in detention by the child.

The bill also creates annual reporting obligations, including reporting on the number of detention orders that have been made during a period, the outcome of each treatment order and how many children failed to comply with a treatment order. Of course, because this is new and does take balances into account, as I said before, we really do need to monitor the outcomes of this bill to ensure that the outcomes are those that are intended. To determine the impact and effectiveness of this legislation, on not only the children but also their families and the health and justice systems, there will be a statutory review of the operation of the new legislation starting three years after its commencement.

In her second reading speech, the Attorney-General outlined that operationally it is proposed to implement these reforms in two phases. The first is in respect of children and young people who are already engaged with the youth justice system and who have drug dependency problems and then, subsequently, other children in the community. The intention of the bill is certainly to reduce the number of children who are drug dependent.

I outlined at the start of my contribution that this bill is a balancing act between respecting the rights of children compared with the obligations of the family and also the community to care for and protect that child from the serious effects that drug dependency has on children. The bill does that and I commend the bill.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (16:36):** I wish to first acknowledge and thank all members of the parliament who have made a contribution to this debate. I particularly acknowledge the considerable work of the Hon. Stephen Wade in the other place, who has supervised the passage of the bill through the Legislative Council, and, indeed, a number of the members on all sides of the parliament in that place, in a political sense, who undertook quite a lot of work in the development of what is ultimately before us in the bill.

The stakeholders who have been consulted over a period of time have been extensive and they have not always agreed with what is being proposed. I think of somebody like Michael White, the Executive Director of the South Australian Network of Drug and Alcohol Services (SANDAS), a leading body in relation to treatments and services available to support people with drug and alcohol issues. His view was very clear: he did not think that mandatory treatment in any way was acceptable. I think in our discussions he was able to present his basis for that and, by the same token, say that

if it comes in he would like to be consulted about the model of care that would be developed to support this. These are the mature contributions, even when they do not agree with us, that we have valued.

Judge Eldridge, the Chief Judge and head of the Youth Court in South Australia and also chair of the equivalent of the parole board for children in South Australia, is someone of vast experience who has to deal with children either in a child protection manner or in relation to criminal aspects. This brings her front and centre with the problem and the devastating legacies of addiction, particularly those where the family has failed to protect children and where the children themselves may then have entered into conduct that is illegal. Frances Nelson QC has also provided wise counsel in relation to this as a leading criminal practitioner in this state and chair of the South Australian Parole Board.

Both have been clear in their support of the advance of this model, being a mandatory process via a health model. It is one which does, as I think other speakers have made clear, balance the need to protect our children and reverse the dereliction of duty of this parliament—indeed, leadership in the community at all—to have allowed our children to get to a state where we have ice addiction that we are now all so familiar with, but it predates that particular popular drug. This has been going on for a long time, certainly in the time I have been in the parliament.

I have been amazed at the diversity and number of people who have come to me—and I think I would share this with a number of members in the parliament—from all walks of life, from different backgrounds, who have been pleading with us to do something to protect children in these circumstances. Very often they are desperate parents, puzzled in many ways as to how the situation has got so bad for their child, but they are begging for somebody to do something to protect them because they see their son or daughter spiralling into a circumstance that is unsafe and completely unsatisfactory.

So we understand why we are here. The model has been teased out and developed and, in fact, enhanced in the other place. As I say, I welcome the contribution from the crossbench and from others in the debates there. To the people of South Australia I would like to say that it has been a long time since I issued a private member's bill in a similar format, which was dismissed by the parliament in 2017, obviously being voted down by the majority numbers of the Labor Party who, of course, were in government at that time.

I respect the decision of the parliament at that time, but I make the point that this is not an issue that is going to go away. We did make a commitment to progress it. We have had a considerable diverse contribution to its development and that has continued during the year or so during which this matter has been debated in this parliament.

We are getting to a stage where I foreshadow there will be some amendments in committee and some to tidy up. Some aspects have become clear now as a result of decisions that were made in the Legislative Council, but that does not in any way undermine the fact that some tidying-up amendments need to be made because subsequent amendments up there have made some of the sections superfluous. Wiser people than me have looked through the bill as it has come out of the considerable debates in the other place and identified some things that we need to tidy up if we are going to progress this, and I certainly hope the parliament is going to be in step with us on this side of the house to ensure that its passage is secure.

With that, I am happy to move to committee. I note that the opposition have foreshadowed two amendments that I have seen. Obviously, we will listen to the view in relation to those. One of those appears to be a variation of what had been previously put in the Legislative Council, so I will look at that as we progress. I thank all members. I just say to the people of South Australia that if this bill is able to pass this parliament and receive assent to the amendments that are being proposed here, we will have done something, finally, to help children, and the bill will be able to commence to provide a service to children who are already detained in youth centres.

We will continue to work with the model and how we might provide a service in the community for those other children but in a mandatory environment. So I thank all members and ask that the bill be now read a second time.

Bill read a second time.

*Committee Stage*

In committee.

Clause 1.

**Mr PICTON:** Firstly, I would like to make some comments in relation to the cost of this measure. As the Attorney-General reminded us in her closing contribution, this came about because of a private member's bill that she originally introduced in the previous parliament. It was then reintroduced into the other place in the middle of last year, so it is been a very long time since it was first introduced into the parliament. Still, despite all that time, we are yet to know how much this is going to cost.

I think it is important to note that this originally came about because it was a private member's bill because, basically, the reintroduction of her bill was similar in many ways to her private member's bill. There did not seem to be a significant amount of work that had been done on it prior to its introduction into parliament, it did not have a lot of detail as to how this was going to work, there were very little protections in there, there seemed very little work with stakeholders from what they have been telling us, and it has basically been up to the Legislative Council to try to fashion this into some sort of shape.

Quite often, when you have private members' bills, it is up to the government of the day to raise the question, 'Well, you want to do this, but there is a budget connotation with what you are trying to do. There is going to be a cost to this at the end of the day.' Here it is quite the reverse, where it is the opposition and the minor parties saying, 'Well, government, there is going to cost associated with this bill you are introducing. Can you outline how much that cost is going to be and who is going to pay for it?'

Yet we have received no answers on that front and no detail from the government as to how much it is going to cost. In fact, the recent state budget outlined specifically one measure for this particular bill, but it was not in relation to the treatment of young people, the detention of young people or any of the key measures of this bill; it was a small amount of money in the Attorney-General's area allocated for legal representation. We know how much the government thinks the legal representation element to this is going to cost, but there seems to be no allocation of funding for how much the actual guts of this bill is going to cost, and that is important for a number of reasons.

One is obviously that that will impact upon what sort of treatment is going to be available for people under this measure. When the budget is available is going to impact upon when this will start operating, and whether the government is going to be paying for it or not is going to impact upon the judicial system's willingness to prescribe orders of this type. I ask the Attorney-General: can she outline finally for the parliament and for the public, how much this measure is going to cost, where the money is coming from, and from when the funding is going to start operation?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I thank the member for the question and I think it is a reasonable question, but here is the situation: we have a new model of approach to deal with children who are addicts. Here is what happens at the moment: it costs us, I think, up to half a million dollars a year if they are in a children's prison in one of the training centres. It cost us an enormous amount of money to provide for supervision and support if they become subject to a guardianship order in the state. They might be both in the children's prison and under the guardianship of the minister. It costs us an enormous amount of money for extra health services to deal with the legacy of addiction, and there is the emotional cost of these children whose lives could be destroyed, both literally and, of course, in a circumstance where they might be alive but have no future.

What is the cost of those things? It is astronomical, and this government is committed to looking at a process within the envelope of the protection of a court approval to do something about it. As the member is aware, a working group has been established in the health department to start working on the model of care and what options there are. No doubt the member is fully aware that, for example, with mandatory treatment in mental health matters, sometimes that is done by the person remaining in the community and they have to attend to have a mandatory medication,



sometimes an injection, over a few months, and on other occasions they are detained in a psychiatric facility or part of a service at a general hospital. That costs money.

I would certainly hope and we would expect that the numbers that we are talking about here, which I would hope would only be a handful a year, are going to be much less than that burden. But even in that area we have a process under the Mental Health Act to protect people against harm to themselves and others. We have a process that we impose so that we can protect those people who are clearly not in a position, in those circumstances, to make decisions with some clarity to ensure that they protect themselves or others against harm.

For us on this side of the house, this is an imperative. The estimate still is that it would not be very many. Again, we have consulted with the Chief Judge of the Youth Court because, as the member quite rightly points out, in doing the assessment on the question of legal costs of representation, dealing with fewer than 10 applications that go through a year, estimates have been given and we have identified that for the purposes of this being an add-on.

The reason we cannot do that and say, 'For a child who is going to be detained, what is it going to cost for this treatment? What is the daily rate in a facility that is purpose-built in some way—either in a facility or purpose built?' We do not know the answer to that. The member well knows that the interagency working group is continuing to do that work, and that includes some of the people I have referred to already in the contribution to the debate. However, it also includes addiction medicine specialists and child psychiatrists. These are the types of people who are very well versed in what is required for the treatment and the circumstances of how it is going to be managed in a compulsory environment.

It is important that we let them do that work, and that is the very reason why there is a proposed staggered approach to the implementation of this legislation. Let's not waste any time if there is a chance. As the judge in the Youth Court says, if there is a circumstance already where a child is being detained in custody that there is an opportunity for them to have treatment while they are there because they are identified as being addicted, let's not waste any time. Let's get on with it. That is what her position is. Let us just appreciate that this is necessary for us to do.

As a government, we will obviously have to look at the cost and set-off and savings that will be made in a financial manner for us to be able to advance this as an available option to those who we vest the responsibility to protect our children.

**Mr PICTON:** I appreciate the Attorney-General's response in which she has basically acknowledged that they do not have an idea of how much this is going to cost. They are still waiting for the interagency working group—and 'interagency working group' sounds a bit like *Utopia*—to start working on this matter to try to come up with what the cost is going to be. But it seems very unclear how much it is going to cost. It is an important question for the parliament to be asking. I think usually governments do not introduce legislation without having that idea first, without knowing what the offsets are going to be, because parliaments should be able to consider what the cost is going to be to other services.

The Attorney-General says that there is a whole range of costs for these people already, so does that mean that whatever costs the interagency working group comes up with are going to have to be born of those budgets that she mentioned in terms of mental health budget, the youth detention budget, community services, youth justice? Will there be new funding that will be allocated by the government to this, or will it be that whatever the cost is will be born of those agencies, and additional savings will be borne by those agencies to fund this new scheme?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** The member understands that, whilst there is no costing provided for the purposes of this process, as a member of the parliament, he does have an input ultimately in relation to the budget of the government that the government presents to the parliament for approval each year. When that has been developed and finalised in concept, and it has been determined which model of care is to be applied, those assessments will be made and will ultimately come back to the parliament for approval.

**Mr PICTON:** Clearly, we are not going to know. The concern I have in relation to that is not only in terms of our ability to oversight this but also in terms of what the ability of this is going to have

on those other services, what the impact is going to be on those of the services who are potentially going to face offsets. The Attorney-General mentions the budget. We have passed the budget in this chamber, which allocated a nice little slice for her department to look after her department as part of this, but there is no additional allocation out of general revenue for the health department. So I am concerned as to whether health is going to be impacted.

I was also very interested in the Attorney-General's comments—and I think she was quoting the Chief Judge of the Youth Court—in saying, 'Let's not waste any time.' We have finally got to this point of having this committee discussion here in this parliament, more than 18 months after the election, and that seems to have been a significant amount of wasted time that it has taken to get up to this point.

It was also a very significant amount of wasted time that the Minister for Health took to even start this interagency working group to look at the model of care. He did not start that until very late last year when he said, in one of his narky letters that he occasionally sends to me, 'Based on your comments on the radio, I am going to start this working group.' What were you waiting for? Apparently, 'Let's not waste any time,' but it took six to eight months at least for the government to even start thinking about what the model of care was going to be.

Now we have amendments being brought in by the Attorney-General to say this is going to be a problem for the Acts Interpretation Act for us to have to start this two years after the bill gets passed in parliament. Give me a break. This would mean if this suggestion was passed by the Attorney-General that it would not actually start operation during the life of this parliament. It would be in the next parliament that this scheme would start operating.

Not only that, the government has sought amendments in the other place to make it clear that this is only going to initially apply to people who are already in youth detention. As far as I can see, there is nothing preventing the Attorney-General, the Minister for Health, and particularly I guess the Minister for Human Services, from providing care, drug diversion programs, drug counselling and drug treatment for people in youth detention today. That could happen today.

That could happen today, but we are going through this whole rigmarole, this whole exercise, and what we are going to get out of it at the end is the government saying, 'We'll pass this bill and it will be two years plus, plus. We don't want it to be two years. We want it to be more than two years, until it even starts operation,' and even then it is only going to start for youth detention detainees, and then it will be some time after that, perhaps years, when it might start for any other person who could apply through the Youth Court.

Perhaps this is all related to cost, perhaps this is all related to the fact that they know that this is going to be expensive and they do not know how to fund it and that is why no money has been put in the budget. I ask the Attorney-General specifically: when will the Youth Court be able to provide the first order in relation to a child who is not currently in youth detention?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I refer to my previous answer. Otherwise, I take that as a comment.

Clause passed.

Clause 2.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 1 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 2, after line 7—Insert:

- (2) Section 7(5) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1915* does not apply to this Act or to a provision of this Act.

Essentially, this clause is a formal clause. The government proposes to disapply the two-year rule to ensure that this legislation does not commence until the necessary facilities and support services are in place and ready to accept children. While the government does not intend to unduly delay the commencement of this important legislation, it is critical to the successful operation of this legislation that it only commence when these facilities and services are ready.

The interagency working group has advised that additional services, infrastructure and training will be required before the youth treatment order initiative could commence. Reinstating disapplication of the requirement for the usual two-year rule will ensure that robust, safe, clinical and legally effective systems are in place that protect the best interests and safety of children prior to its commencement. Given the position outlined by the representative for the opposition, I would expect that in those circumstances this would be supported.

**Mr PICTON:** I rise to absolutely oppose this amendment. It is completely ridiculous that the Attorney-General stands up in this parliament and says, 'Let's not waste any time,' but then the first amendment she moves in this parliament is to push this off into the never-never. She is saying that she wants to pass this legislation swiftly, even though it has taken them 18 months to get to this point of the debate because they have been delaying, but then saying, 'Oh, well, it's going to take us years and years to even get to the point of not only implementing it for people in the community but, in fact, just implementing it for people already in the youth justice system.' It is completely absurd.

A previous question to the Attorney asked when she expected this to be operational, and she clearly had no answer. This whole issue of the timing of the bill is completely a farce. If the Attorney-General is serious about this matter, if the Attorney-General is serious about her proposition of 'Let's not waste any time,' as she said, it should not be put off for more than two years. Let's remember that there is another element to this bill, which would mean that it could be years after that before the Youth Court would even be able to apply this to anybody who is not already in the youth justice system.

The delay on this highlights that the government talked a big game on this before the election, talked a big game on this and a whole range of other drugs policies, but subsequent to the election have been doing exactly the opposite: they have been sitting on their hands. They want to talk tough on this and they want to pass a bill and say, 'We did something,' but they want to make sure that it never actually gets implemented (a) because they do not know how much it is going to cost; (b) because they do not know where they are going to get the money to pay for it; and (c) they do not know how it is actually going to operate, and they are concerned about that.

Clearly, one of those reasons is why this is being pushed off into the never-never. This was an element of the Minister for Health's original bill, some 14 or 15 months ago, when he originally introduced it into the other place. The Legislative Council saw no reason to support this back then, took this out of the bill, and now the Attorney-General is seeking to put it back in. We are opposed to that. We do not see any reason why the Acts Interpretation Act should not apply here. If the government is serious about this measure, they should do some work on it rather than just sit on their hands.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I take that as a comment. I do not have anything further to add.

The committee divided on the amendment:

Ayes ..... 22  
 Noes ..... 18  
 Majority ..... 4

AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Cregan, D.	Duluk, S.	Ellis, F.J.
Gardner, J.A.W.	Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.
Luethen, P.	McBride, N.	Murray, S.
Pederick, A.S.	Pisoni, D.G.	Power, C.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Tarzia, V.A.
Teague, J.B.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.
Wingard, C.L.		

NOES

Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.
----------------	-----------------	-------------

## NOES

Brown, M.E.  
Gee, J.P.  
Koutsantonis, A.  
Mullighan, S.C.  
Picton, C.J. (teller)

Close, S.E.  
Hildyard, K.A.  
Malinauskas, P.  
Odenwalder, L.K.  
Stinson, J.M.

Cook, N.F.  
Hughes, E.J.  
Michaels, A.  
Piccolo, A.  
Szakacs, J.K.

## PAIRS

Bell, T.S.  
Brock, G.G.

Marshall, S.S.

Patterson, S.J.R.

Amendment thus carried.

**Mr PICTON:** I would like to ask the Attorney-General about the interagency steering group. Could she outline for the house what is the membership of the steering group, what days has the steering group met on and when is the steering group likely to reach an outcome?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** The group has participation from a range of departments, including the Attorney-General's Department, SAPOL, the Department for Child Protection, the Department for Education, the Courts Administration Authority, the Department of Human Services' youth justice component, the Department of Human Services' disability division and the Department of the Premier and Cabinet, which of course is responsible for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation. Health is represented on the group by Drug and Alcohol Services SA, whom I have referred to; child and adolescent mental health services, that is, the Women's and Children's Health Network; and the Office of the Chief Psychiatrist.

My understanding is that they have, and continue to have, the capacity to invite other parties to attend their meetings to inform the group on specific matters to assist their deliberations. I have not seen, or had reported to me, any outcomes of draft models of care/treatments, but I am also advised that the intention is that the interagency working group will prepare a draft model of care for youth treatment for public consultation by the end of 2019.

The working group will report back to the minister through the Department for Health and Wellbeing on the basis of feedback on the draft received from the public and from key stakeholders. In this, the government remains committed to facilitating consultation with key health justice and non-government service providers and stakeholders, including children and families, to ensure that a model of care is developed that is clinically robust, evidence-based and appropriate to the needs of children and young people.

I would like to indicate that this clearly is not a situation that is common. It does occur in other parts of the country where people are under mandatory treatment. However, the key new feature that is being added to this is that in certain circumstances for treatment of the addicted person, in this case for a child, to apply that, there may be, for example, the same medication, but there may need to be models identified for the purpose of the application of that, particularly in a mandatory or compulsory environment.

As responsible members of the government, and as ministers, we have to rely on the advice that we receive on these matters. We have had a diverse view. As you know, there are plenty of people out there who are desperate to have this initiative, but there are also those in the professions who take the view—I suppose at the other end of the spectrum—that anything mandatory should not apply. But we have to work through that with those who, like the Drug and Alcohol Services executive director, say, 'We don't agree with mandatory treatment, but we do want to be part of the working team to develop that model of care.' So that is the time frame, and that is the best that I can advise the committee.

**Mr PICTON:** To remind the Deputy Premier, I did ask a couple of things. One of them was: on what dates has the steering group met? In relation to the work of the steering group, I appreciate that she has committed that there is going to be public consultation on the draft model of care that

will be put out apparently by the end of the year and that people will have the opportunity to comment on that. I guess I would ask: is that when people will know about what the costing of the scheme is going to be or it will not be until the full model of care is decided?

My other question is about when the draft model of care is to be released. That is when the government will turn its mind to procuring some of these services or going out to the market or determining that they are going to procure them from within the government and run them as a government-run service. Will that come only after the full model of care is determined and is there a date when that is likely to happen?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** As to the meeting dates, I do not have those here, but if that information is easily available I will arrange for that to be provided. In relation to the costing, it would be somewhat illogical to cost something, even if we thought the draft was the ideal, before we had consultation on it, so it would depend on that being concluded.

The only thing I could think of that was similar was during the previous government when mental health was the responsibility of the Hon. Gail Gago of the other place. There was a model of care developed over quite a significant period of time for the new care arrangements that were going to be employed at what was going to be left of the Glenside hospital. I remember that the Minister for Innovation and I were involved for a long time in that, I think, shameful history in the reduction of services in mental health in South Australia.

Nevertheless, in that era, post the commissioner for social inclusion of the day Monsignor Cappo's report on the audit of mental health services in this state, recommendations came in for new models of care. There was a select committee of inquiry. I think the Hon. John Dawkins might have been the chair of that at the time. This took a long time and we respected that. We were just disappointed that a big 'for sale' sign went up on the Glenside site for 40 per cent of that land before that had been finalised.

That is the only other process that I am familiar with. The member may have more information or experience in relation to those matters than I have, but in my limited experience on this, they do take a long time. We need to get it right. We are talking about the treatment of children in a mandated environment.

**Mr PICTON:** I appreciate what the Attorney-General has said. I wonder whether, even given what she is saying, it all has to be worked out after the model of care, etc. Does the government have a view on whether these services, whenever they are inevitably rolled out, will be a government-run service or will there be a not-for-profit or other external provider that will be contracted to the government to provide the services? I would have thought that that was a fundamental question that the government would have turned its mind to no matter what the model of care is going to be. I am sure that which pathway you go down would probably influence what the model of care was likely to be. So does the government have a view or even an inclination on whether this will be a government-run service or a not-for-profit or other provider service?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** At present, we currently have drug and alcohol services in South Australia that are private, public and not-for-profit. There may be other models, but they are the ones I am aware of. Some of them are under health agencies.

All are available and they are utilised, depending on what service you want. For example, I think there is a facility on South Terrace that allows for drug addiction treatment. It is private; there is still no healthcare component that is available, as I understand it, at the national level. It costs about \$30,000, which is a lot of money, so obviously it is available only to very few.

I think there will be services that we will have to explore once the model of care is determined, as well as who can provide those services. Certainly, nothing has been excluded at this point, but we will obviously have to examine, once the model of care is determined, who can provide those services and what the terms and conditions would be if they want state government funding to do it.

Clause as amended passed.

Clause 3.

**Mr PICTON:** I will generously give the opportunity to the Attorney-General to clarify something that has been of concern to a number of different key groups, key providers and key professionals in this space. Is this going to be a service that is going to, for lack of a better word, cannibalise the existing very small number of services that are available for young people with drug addictions in South Australia? I spoke in more detail in my second reading contribution about the services that are currently available. Essentially, there is one site that currently provides such services in South Australia.

The concern that has been raised with me and with others is that the government will either send people under this scheme into that service or convert that service into the provider here or, alternatively, it will make it a mixed model, where some people will be under this scheme and some people will be under a voluntary scheme. A lot of the professionals have raised concerns that that will impact significantly upon the people who are there on a voluntary basis.

I am happy to give the Attorney-General the ability to clarify this now and put people's minds at rest, but it seems to me that this would be an additional service and would not be eating into that very small service that is currently available, which is very much oversubscribed and has a very long waiting list to get into.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Well, I hope this is some reassurance. Firstly, the services we have now work very hard. They respond as best they can on a voluntary referral basis to the demand that is there. Unquestionably, we know we have a problem and it has to be dealt with as a community.

The model has not yet been designed. Whether it needs to be in a separate facility and how long it will take are obviously things that need to be considered. This is why agencies that might currently provide services are in the tent. This is why these groups are being consulted as part of this process: to make sure that the model of the care is got right. All I can assure the member of at this point is that there is no service provider that is being excluded or that is going to lose work. There is a lot of work to be done in this area.

Funding is another aspect. Our government, in due course, if this bill passes and a model of care is resolved—it may provide different levels and different options of service—will be looking for competent and qualified people to undertake this difficult task. On the structure of their own arrangements, whether they are public or private, there has been no decision to exclude any part of the sector from that. In fact, we welcome those who are prepared to work in this area and we appreciate it and need more of it.

**Mr PICTON:** As part of the changes that the Minister for Health introduced to his own bill that significantly changed it, one is to initially make this bill only apply to people already within the youth justice system. We do not know for how long that is going to apply; the government could take years to determine that. At least initially, whenever this kicks off, this will only apply to people who are already in youth detention.

The concern that has been put to me is that this could create a problem. Could this influence a magistrate's decision in terms of whether to grant or deny bail to somebody, or the particular sentence that they might apply to somebody because they would seek to try to utilise the ability for an order for somebody in youth detention? It actually creates an incentive for somebody to be in youth detention for longer.

Maybe the Attorney-General does not agree with that, but hopefully she can see that there is some potential for that to occur. Has any thought being given to those concerns—have they been put to the Attorney-General—and does she see that it is important, therefore, that that initial stage that the government has now put in place should not be too long because it could create a perverse incentive in the system?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I do not reflect in any way on those who have raised this when it was considered in the other place, whether there might be a risk of a judge making a decision to incarcerate a child to enable them to effect the provisions of this clause. Quite simply, I have a lot greater confidence in the Youth Court, and those who comprise it—which is Judge Eldridge and the two magistrates assigned to the court—to make that determination.

One of the reasons it is important to have a judicial determination for an application such as this is to protect the interests of the child and to ensure that there is a place or a venue to which perhaps desperate and distraught parents who might be begging to have a child put in custody can go. That is why we need to have the independent qualified determination by the Youth Court. I have confidence in them having the capacity to do it, and they do that every day. So when you ask the question whether I have any concern about that, the answer is no.

**Mr PICTON:** Can the Attorney-General articulate for the committee how she would describe the cooperation between her and the Minister for Health, between her department and the health department, between her office and the Minister for Health's office. Are you one mind on this legislation?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** It seems to be a very general question. I do not have a lot of direct interaction with the Department for Health officers and those who are facilitating the working party. I have a regular interaction with the minister and, occasionally, with ministerial staff. I am very pleased that the Minister for Health has willingly taken up the progression of this legislation in the other place. The Controlled Substances Act is a matter that is dedicated to him, and therefore he is the party, in a government circumstance, to have the carriage of this matter. My position on this matter is well known. I gave it the first crack back in 2016-17. Are we at one? Absolutely.

**The CHAIR:** Member for Kaurua, you have had three questions.

**Mr PICTON:** If I could ask one more, I am happy to skip a few clauses.

**The CHAIR:** So you want to strike a deal, do you?

**Mr PICTON:** That is right. Let's make a deal. Alright, we will go to the next clause.

**The CHAIR:** I think we had better stick to the rules as best we can. I know I have been flexible in the past and it is the first time, as Chair, I have had a deal put to me. Anyway, it was worth a try, member for Kaurua.

Clause passed.

Clause 4.

**Mr PICTON:** I have now decided that I am going to ask some more questions, you will be glad to know.

**The CHAIR:** Member for Kaurua, you are entitled to do that.

**Mr PICTON:** I appreciate that. Thank you very much for your entitlement.

**The CHAIR:** You are entitled to do that, and if I were a stickler for the rules then we would stop after three questions on each clause. We are on clause 4 now and you may continue.

**Mr PICTON:** Thank you very much, Mr Chair. I am wondering whether the Attorney-General can outline whether any of her staff or whether any of the Minister for Health and Wellbeing's staff, or indeed the minister or herself, have been present at any of the working group meetings.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I can only answer for myself in relation to the working group, but I have a representative from the Attorney-General's Department who sits on that who reports back. I also chair a social division of the cabinet committee, obviously as a member of cabinet. In relation to the working group itself, I have not attended it; I am not a member of it, but I do have a representative on it.

**Mr PICTON:** From the department or your office?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** The department.

**Mr PICTON:** I understand that you said you are not going to answer on behalf of the Minister for Health, but can you take on notice between the houses whether the minister or his office have been attending meetings of the working group?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I am happy to do that and, if that information is available and able to be given, I will make sure that it is made available.

**Mr PICTON:** I am wondering whether as part of the proposed oversight model for this, which we will get to in detail later, the government will be providing funding for that oversight body, or whether it will somehow have to be funded within a department, or whether it will be a voluntary position.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** As clarification, are we talking about the interagency working group?

**Mr PICTON:** No, the visitor scheme.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** It has nothing to do with this clause and I was just trying to find where it is in the bill. I think the member is referring to the visitor scheme for the purposes of auditing the services available. For example, we have a visitor scheme under the Mental Health Act, and there is a body vested with the responsibility to attend premises, unannounced basically. It is a way of supervising or at least keeping a check on the services that are made available, and we have them in different agencies. If we are talking about a proposed visitor scheme under this act, I will take that on notice and get back to the member.

Clause passed.

Clauses 5 and 6 passed.

Clause 7.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 2 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 4, line 37 [clause 7, inserted section 54B(4)]—Delete 'subsection (5)' and substitute 'subsections (5) and (5a)'

Amendment No 3 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 5, after line 4 [clause 7, inserted section 54B]—After subsection (5) insert:

(5a) Until the prescribed day, an order made under this Part will cease when the child is released from detention (if the order has not ceased at an earlier time).

Amendment No. 2 is consequential to the insertion of subsection (5a) in amendment No. 3. I am happy to outline what No. 3 is about so that is clear. The bill allows for a two-stage implementation approach. It sets out that, until a date is prescribed for the implementation of the initiative more broadly, the court would only be able to make orders in relation to children who are subject to detention in a training centre at the time an order is being made, whether or not the child has commenced the period of detention. This amendment makes it clear that, until the prescribed day, orders made in relation to children in detention at a youth training centre will cease when their term of detention finishes.

Once the full scheme is implemented, this requirement will no longer be necessary, as services for continued court-ordered treatment for children released from a training centre will be available in community settings. In light of the fact that amendment No. 2 is consequential on amendment No. 3, I propose to move together amendments Nos 2 and 3 standing in my name.

**Mr PICTON:** I indicate that the opposition will support these amendments; however, to make a brief comment, this does highlight a couple of things, and one is that it highlights the poor drafting of the government in this matter. This was the government's bill. They amended it with this clause and now they are amending their amendment. It shows what a weird and lacklustre process the government has gone through on this matter.

It also highlights again that the government is basically pushing this off into the never-never, that this is applying initially only to people within the youth justice system and that the government are going to be holding this up and saying, 'We have done this,' even though it applies to people they could provide services to tomorrow if they wished. It could be many, many years away before anybody else would actually be able to receive services as they wished. This essentially makes very clear that this is going to be only for people who are already in detention, already in the youth justice system and could already be provided with services by the Attorney and by the minister if they wished to do so.



Amendments carried.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 4 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 10, lines 32 to 34 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(1)(c)]—Delete paragraph (c)

New section 54L(1)(c), which was inserted in the bill in the other place by the opposition, is redundant, as the issue of legal representation is now covered by section 54M. The bill provides that with any proceedings under this part or any appeal under section 22 of the Youth Court Act 1993 relating to proceedings under this act children will be entitled to be represented by a legal practitioner provided at no cost to the child. That said, I would be expecting the opposition to support this, as it is to really tidy up what has been left there.

**Mr PICTON:** We are all being told by the Attorney what to do now. Thursday afternoon in parliament—

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** In some parliaments, that would be contempt.

**Mr PICTON:** That's right. The member for West Torrens says that in some parliaments that might be contempt. Let's be very clear about what is happening here. The government put a bill which was based on the Attorney-General's whip-up of level 2 as she had an idea of something when she was opposition. They have plonked it into the parliament, they had no idea how it was going to work and it had no protections in there. The opposition, being very helpful—and credit to the Hon. Kyam Maher for his expert work on this matter, which I may or may not have assisted him with—put some amendments into the parliament, including that we should actually give these kids some legal protection. We introduced that to the parliament.

The minister then caught up to the fact that members of the other place were pretty upset by the fact that there was no protections in this bill for people whatsoever and introduced his own amendment. As per the way that these things tend to work in the other place, both amendments were passed: our original one, which set it, and then the minister's subsequent one. If anything, the minister has chosen to remove our amendment but equally could have removed their amendment. Perhaps, it could be equally said that this is tidying up their mess rather than our mess.

In any case, we support it. We are glad that two amendments got passed through the upper house to the government's lacklustre bill to ensure legal representation. Once again, we note that the Attorney-General has expertly protected her department from the funding element of this bill, but the actual health services are completely exposed and it could lead to significant cuts for other agencies.

Amendment carried.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** As to my amendments Nos 5, 6 and 7, amendment No. 5 is consequential upon amendment No. 7. I advise the committee as follows regarding amendment No. 6. The existing provision requiring that a child be reviewed by a psychiatrist at a minimum once every four days while in detention is too prescriptive, as the model of care may determine that such oversight should be provided by any medical specialty relevant to the specific child's treatment—for example, addiction, medicine or paediatrics. It is proposed that the scope of medical oversight be broadened to allow for other relevant clinicians to examine children subject to detention orders.

In respect of amendment No. 7, as it stands, section 54L(2) of the bill under clause 7, which was inserted as a result of an opposition amendment in the other place, imposes an inappropriate obligation on the Guardian for Children and Young People to report to the chief executive of the department. As members know, under the Children and Young People (Safety) Act 2017, the guardian should report to the parliament through the Minister for Child Protection.

Additionally, not all young people detained pursuant to the bill will be under the guardianship of the Chief Executive of the Department for Child Protection. This amendment rectifies this inconsistency with other legislation. I will clarify this, but I understand that the Guardian for Children and Young People, who is the subject of this, supports that.

Amendment No. 5 is an amendment that is consequential to amendment No. 7, so I have dealt with two substantive matters. One is in amendment No. 6, which relates to the model of care being too prescriptive. I am happy to do them in whatever order.

**The CHAIR:** The difficulty we have here is that the member for Kaurna has a further amendment to amendment No. 6. Let's be patient and go through these one at a time. Attorney, move amendment No. 5 and we will pass it.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 5 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 10, line 35 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(1)(d)]—Delete 'Guardian for Children and Young People' and substitute:

person responsible for exercising functions under the visitor scheme (established under subsection (2))

I move this amendment for the reasons I have already explained.

**Mr PICTON:** In relation to this matter, once again this was something that originally did not form any part of the bill whatsoever. This was something that the Hon. Kyam Maher sought to introduce for some protection so that we would have somebody with oversight of what is going on, if this scheme was ever to be established, which seems a very long way off indeed.

We obviously have been speaking, as has the government, to the guardian, who has expressed her concerns. She said to us that she would rather it was the youth training visitor who performs this function than the guardian. That is the amendment that we are working on. The government has come up with its own amendment, which is to say that there would be a new visitor scheme. I think that raises questions about who that will be. Who is going to do it? How much is it going to cost? How are they going to establish it? There is a whole range of questions.

It seemed a lot simpler to do what the guardian was suggesting and make it the youth training visitor, particularly since we know that, maybe for years to come, it is only going to be applied to youth justice. So I think that what the government is proposing is not as good as what the guardian was suggesting. But we have spoken to the guardian's office. They are happy to support this, I guess being pragmatic about the matter. On that basis, we are happy to support it, but with the questions that I have asked raising those concerns.

Amendment carried.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 6 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 10, line 37 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(1)(e)]—Delete 'psychiatrist' and substitute 'medical practitioner'

I have indicated that we consider this to be too prescriptive and therefore the amendment is being made to ensure that we can add in a speciality that is relevant to the care, i.e. an addiction medicine or paediatric person.

**Mr PICTON:** I move:

Amendment No 1 [Picton-1]—

Page 10, line 37 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(1)(e)]—Delete 'psychiatrist' and substitute:

medical practitioner with appropriate expertise in dealing with paediatric substance abuse or mental health issues

I am reminded of the movie *Inception*, the dream inside the dream, as I move this amendment to the amendment. I am moving to amend amendment No. 6 of the Attorney-General by adding the words 'with appropriate expertise in dealing with paediatric substance abuse or mental health issues' after the word 'practitioner'.

On the first point, I acknowledge that I see the point of the government in changing 'psychiatrist'. I think that is a reasonable proposition. However, I think that you have gone from being too prescriptive to being too vague in changing it to just medical practitioner. Clearly, we are not just

looking for any medical practitioner, with respect to any medical practitioner. I think the parliament, the public and the people involved in this would want to have somebody who has some expertise in the matters that they are going to be dealing with, whether it is mental health or whether it is going to be substance abuse.

I do not think that it is unreasonable that we should have a doctor who has some knowledge or expertise in dealing with those matters. To take that out, to make it too vague, I think is removing one of the protections that I thought was important in the other place. Therefore, I move this amendment to give more flexibility, acknowledging, in particular, significant issues we have in terms of the availability of psychiatrists, which we have dealt with in terms of bills that the Attorney-General has brought to broaden a whole range of criminal laws so that we do not need psychiatrist's opinions on things, but we should have a medical practitioner who has some expertise in what they are dealing with.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** On the face of it, there would be some merit, but again we think this is too prescriptive—they have to be a medical practitioner in this instance. This is the every four-day checking of the children we are talking about; we are not talking about the original assessment or the treatment orders that are made as such. We are talking about a process where there is going to be a statutory obligation for this child to be checked by a medically qualified person—not a health professional or even a nurse administrator or any other health qualification; they have to be a medical practitioner to actually do that check. We think that is prescriptive enough.

I take the point made by the member and I would expect that agencies that are operating this service in due course will obviously need to have very clear expertise in this area of service in a robust way, no question about that. We also need to remember that we are putting in this area a very prescriptive obligation—namely, every four days this child has to be checked. We think a qualified medical practitioner is adequate. I therefore oppose the motion to amend the motion.

The committee divided on the amendment to the amendment:

Ayes ..... 18  
 Noes ..... 22  
 Majority ..... 4

AYES

Bettison, Z.L.  
 Brown, M.E.  
 Gee, J.P.  
 Koutsantonis, A.  
 Mullighan, S.C.  
 Picton, C.J. (teller)

Bignell, L.W.K.  
 Close, S.E.  
 Hildyard, K.A.  
 Malinauskas, P.  
 Odenwalder, L.K.  
 Stinson, J.M.

Boyer, B.I.  
 Cook, N.F.  
 Hughes, E.J.  
 Michaels, A.  
 Piccolo, A.  
 Szakacs, J.K.

NOES

Basham, D.K.B.  
 Cregan, D.  
 Gardner, J.A.W.  
 Luethen, P.  
 Pederick, A.S.  
 Sanderson, R.  
 Teague, J.B.  
 Wingard, C.L.

Chapman, V.A.  
 Duluk, S.  
 Harvey, R.M. (teller)  
 McBride, N.  
 Pisoni, D.G.  
 Speirs, D.J.  
 van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.

Cowdrey, M.J.  
 Ellis, F.J.  
 Knoll, S.K.  
 Murray, S.  
 Power, C.  
 Tarzia, V.A.  
 Whetstone, T.J.

PAIRS

Bell, T.S.  
 Marshall, S.S.

Patterson, S.J.R.

Brock, G.G.

Amendment to amendment thus negated; amendment carried.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 7 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 11, lines 21 to 25 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(2)]—Delete subsection (2) and substitute:

- (2) The Minister must ensure that a visitor scheme is established, in accordance with the regulations, to monitor the health, safety and wellbeing of children who are detained pursuant to detention orders.
- (2a) The regulations may confer functions under the visitor scheme on the Training Centre Visitor appointed under the *Youth Justice Administration Act 2016*, the Guardian for Children and Young People or a person appointed as the visitor for the purposes of the scheme by the Governor.

Amendment carried.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I move:

Amendment No 8 [DepPrem-1]—

Page 11, lines 32 to 36 [clause 7, inserted section 54L(4)]—Delete subsection (4)

This amendment is consequential to amendment No. 6.

Amendment carried; clause as amended passed.

Remaining clauses (8 and 9), schedule and title passed.

Bill reported with amendment.

*Third Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (17:57):** I move:

That this bill be now read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

**PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (RESERVES) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Introduction and First Reading*

Received from the Legislative Council and read a first time.

**STATUTES AMENDMENT (MINERAL RESOURCES) BILL**

*Final Stages*

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

**LIQUOR LICENSING (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Final Stages*

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

**COORONG ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST BILL**

*Introduction and First Reading*

Received from the Legislative Council and read a first time.

At 18:00 the house adjourned until Tuesday 29 October 2019 at 11:00.

*Estimates Replies***GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **Mr BROWN (Playford)** (24 July 2019). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** In response to questions 13 and 14 I have been advised the following:

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2018-19 and across the forward estimates for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Grants provided to organisations that offer direct services and support to members of the South Australian community, and do not receive mainstream funding from government. From 1 July 2019, this program has been consolidated with the Premier's Contingency and requests for funding will be managed through that process.	571	—	—	—
Fund My Neighbourhood	The Fund My Neighbourhood program is a community funding initiative aimed at improving people's neighbourhoods. Ideas across funding regions that attracted the highest number of votes secured funding.	150	—	—	—
Ageing Well Initiative	1. To lead in the creation of a new narrative around the benefits associated with an aging population rather than it being viewed as a societal burden. 2. To establish Adelaide and South Australia internationally as a global centre of Ageing Well and to leverage this position for investment attraction and other benefits. 3. To provide local companies the opportunity to create and/or develop their product and service offerings, facilitating and accelerating their opportunity to realise new local, regional and global streams of wealth creation from a market that is increasing in size.	3,161	2,722	2,582	—
Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Operating funding	24,302	18,853	18,895	17,975
Adelaide Festival Corporation	Operating funding	9,011	9,074	8,874	9,046
Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Operating funding	2,577	2,589	2,534	2,597
Art Gallery Board of South Australia	Operating funding	13,267	13,188	11,941	10,257
Australian Dance Theatre	Operating funding	1,310	1,227	1,200	1,231
Carclew Youth Arts Board	Operating funding Carclew Youth Arts Board transferred to the Department for Education on 1 July 2018 but payments for 2018-19 were made through the Department of the Premier and Cabinet.	2,580	—	—	—
Carrick Hill Trust	Operating funding	1,181	1,003	969	984
Country Arts SA	Operating funding	8,052	4,805	4,632	4,696
Adelaide Fringe	Operating funding	2,319	2,326	2,240	2,261
Museum Board of South Australia	Operating funding	11,792	13,319	11,099	10,948
Public Libraries Services	Operating funding	19,746	20,234	20,712	19,006

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
State Library of South Australia	Operating funding	16,195	15,304	14,829	15,092
State Opera of South Australia	Operating funding	1,559	1,553	1,483	1,491
State Theatre Company	Operating funding	2,702	2,485	2,437	2,466
Tandanya	Operating funding	844	843	825	846
History Trust of South Australia	Operating funding History Trust of South Australia transferred to the Department for Education on 1 July 2018 but payments for 2018-19 were made through the Department of the Premier and Cabinet.	6,131	—	—	—
Windmill Theatre Company	Operating funding Windmill Theatre Company transferred to the Department for Education on 1 July 2018 but payments for 2018-19 were made through the Department of the Premier and Cabinet .	1,078	—	—	—
Arts Organisations Program	The Arts Organisations Program provides funding to vibrant arts organisations that contribute to a sustainable and thriving arts culture in South Australia.	3,829	4,415	4,321	4,429
Capital Investing program	Capital Investing program and projects funding	185	—	—	—
Independent Makers & Presenters	The Independent Makers and Presenters program supports skills and career development and the creation, development and presentation of new work by independent practising professional South Australian artists involved in literature, the performing arts or visual art, craft and design.	1,773	1,137	1,113	1,140
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	This program invests in projects with professional practicing artists that provide greater community awareness of, and participation and engagement in, arts and culture.	472	832	815	835
Made In Adelaide	The Made in Adelaide program aims to assist our artists to build sustainable careers while based in South Australia. Assistance towards international market development opportunities including those leveraged through Adelaide's designation as a UNESCO City of Music, will be provided through targeted grant funding.	138	205	210	215
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	The Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts program supports projects and initiatives by South Australian practising professional Deaf and disabled artists.	312	265	270	276
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Grant funding towards arts and arts projects produced by Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander people, or involving or incorporating Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander people and cultures as the focus or presenters of work.	265	182	187	191

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
Public Art and Design Program	Public Art and Design promotes, facilitates and supports the commissioning of high-quality new works of art and design for public places by practising, professional South Australian artists. The aim is to enhance the public experience of contemporary art and encourage professional opportunities for artists.	187	174	171	175
State-wide Indigenous Community Arts Development	The initiative supports Aboriginal leadership, project ownership and community wellbeing through the arts.	100	104	106	108
Grant for artists leading to sustainable careers	Increase funding for existing funding programs and the establishment of new grant categories allocated in the 2018-19 State Budget process.	—	1,025	1,050	1,077
Other Arts Assistance	Funding towards Festivals Adelaide, Fringe Honey Pot, Spirit Festival, Theatre Presenters' initiative, community initiatives, regional development programs, arts capacity building and international engagement.	1,350	1,317	1,133	1,383
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	The Veterans SA's grant program aims to educate South Australians about the State's involvement in our nation's military history (including peace-keeping and peace-making); To honour and commemorate the service and sacrifice of South Australian veterans'; To assist the education of South Australian veterans' dependents; Any other purpose of a like kind determined by the Minister. Veterans SA transferred to Defence SA from 1 July 2019.	270	—	—	—
Celebrating Diversity Program	Funding for activities to celebrate multiculturalism and diversity to support an inclusive and cohesive society.	1,176	1,004	1,090	1,174
Grants SA	This program provides one-off grants to celebrate cultural traditions and support vulnerable cultural and linguistically diverse communities.	425	400	400	400
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	This program supports the development of projects that address priority needs and issues and improves outcomes for culturally diverse communities.	798	800	800	800
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	This program provides support for multicultural organisations to upgrade, extend or build community facilities	500	800	800	800

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2018-19 and across the forward estimates for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
Promotion of the State	A non-competitive grant fund available to support government and non-government organisations in activities that promote the economic, social and cultural aspects of the state.	2,028	2,078	2,130	2,183

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	The ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund supports South Australian projects aimed at educating the community about the significance of ANZAC Day and/or commemorating our nation's military heritage. The ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund transferred with Veterans SA to Defence SA from 1 July 2019.	100	—	—	—

The following table details the *new* commitment of grants in 2018-19 for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Adelaide Youth Orchestra Inc	David Tonkin Memorial Scholarship 2018-19	\$8,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	The Association Of Community Service Organisations Inc	2018 Premier's Community Service Awards	\$14,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Panther Club Inc	Grant for Southern Community Christmas Carols 2018	\$1,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Point Pearce Aboriginal Corporation	Point Pearce Community 150 Years Celebrations	\$20,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Federation of Polish Organisations in SA Inc	Commemorations of Independence grant	\$3,846
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Watpa Meyunna (SA Indigenous Legal Professionals Association)	Assist with costs associated with attending the World Indigenous Law Conference	\$4,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Free Serbian Orthodox Church	Upgrade to facilities	\$15,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	City of Holdfast Bay	Support for 2018 New Year's Eve Celebrations	\$20,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	South Australian Stolen Generations Aboriginal Corporation	Operational costs for Annual General Meeting 2019	\$3,800
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Ferment The Festival	Support for CheeseFest 2018	\$25,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Master Builders Association South Australia	Building Ideas 2019 project	\$88,000
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Central Districts Football Club Inc	Sonney Morey Book project	\$11,150
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	Lions Club of Port Pirie Inc	Liberty Wheelchair Swing to be installed in the Mid-North Education Centre, Port Pirie	\$8,500
Special Appeals and Minor Grants	RSPCA (SA) Inc	Domestic Violence Program	\$33,000
Fund My Neighbourhood	City of Port Adelaide Enfield	Construction of Men's Shed	\$150,000
Ageing Well Initiative	International Centre for Modern Ageing Ltd	Contribution to support the creation and operation of the Global Centre for Modern Ageing Limited and the Living Laboratory at Tonsley	\$2,712,000
Ageing Well Initiative	Council of the Ageing South Australia Inc	Creation of the Council on the Ageing Plug-In project	\$448,500
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$24,301,807
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Festival Corporation	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$9,011,000
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$2,577,000
Major Arts Organisations	Art Gallery Board of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$13,266,574



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Major Arts Organisations	Australian Dance Theatre	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$1,310,000
Major Arts Organisations	Carclew Youth Arts Board	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$2,580,000
Major Arts Organisations	Carrick Hill Trust	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$1,181,073
Major Arts Organisations	Country Arts SA	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$8,052,000
Major Arts Organisations	Adelaide Fringe	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$2,319,000
Major Arts Organisations	Museum Board of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$11,791,781
Major Arts Organisations	Libraries Board of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$35,941,041
Major Arts Organisations	State Opera of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$1,559,000
Major Arts Organisations	State Theatre Company of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$2,701,824
Major Arts Organisations	Tandanya (National Aboriginal Cultural Institute)	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$844,000
Major Arts Organisations	History Trust of South Australia	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$6,131,000
Major Arts Organisations	The Australian Children's Performing Arts Company (Windmill Theatre Company)	Operating Grant 2018-19	\$1,078,000
Arts Organisations Program	Access2Arts	Multi Year Funding 2017-2019	\$94,142
Arts Organisations Program	ACE Open	Multi Year Funding 2017-2019	\$230,000
Arts Organisations Program	ActNow Theatre Inc	Annual Funding 2019	\$96,000
Arts Organisations Program	Adelaide Chamber Singers Inc	2019 Artistic Program	\$97,000
Arts Organisations Program	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Multi Year Funding 2018-2021	\$134,300
Arts Organisations Program	Artlink Australia	Artlink Magazine	\$55,000
Arts Organisations Program	Artlink Australia	Annual Funding 2018	\$49,980
Arts Organisations Program	Arts Law Centre of Australia	Multi Year Funding 2017-2020	\$30,000
Arts Organisations Program	Australian Network for Art and Technology	Multi Year Funding 2017-2019	\$54,621
Arts Organisations Program	Australian String Quartet	Multi Year Funding 2017-2019	\$193,800
Arts Organisations Program	Brink Productions	Multi Year Funding 2016-2021	\$297,433
Arts Organisations Program	Chamber Music Adelaide	Multi Year Funding 2016-2018	\$107,150
Arts Organisations Program	FEAST Festival	Multi Year Funding 2019-2021	\$155,448
Arts Organisations Program	Gravity & Other Myths	Multi Year Funding 2018-2019	\$87,500
Arts Organisations Program	Guildhouse	Multi Year Funding 2016-2021	\$290,500
Arts Organisations Program	Leigh Warren and Dancers	Multi Year Funding 2018-2019	\$70,005
Arts Organisations Program	Leigh Warren and Dancers	Once off funding to LWDance Hub	\$25,000
Arts Organisations Program	Nexus Arts	Multi Year Funding 2018-2020	\$212,363

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Arts Organisations Program	No Strings Attached Theatre of Disability	Multi Year Funding 2017-2020	\$123,624
Arts Organisations Program	Open Space Contemporary Arts	Multi Year Funding 2018-2019	\$100,000
Arts Organisations Program	PlayWriting Australia	Multi Year Funding 2017-2019	\$7,856
Arts Organisations Program	SALA Festival (South Australian Living Artists Festival)	Multi Year Funding 2018-2020	\$177,250
Arts Organisations Program	Slingsby Theatre Company	Multi Year Funding 2016-2018	\$82,250
Arts Organisations Program	Slingsby Theatre Company	Triennial Creative Program 2019-2021	\$237,812
Arts Organisations Program	The Mill	Multi Year Funding 2018-2021	\$108,500
Arts Organisations Program	Tutti Inc	Multi Year Funding 2018-2019	\$200,000
Arts Organisations Program	Vitalstatistix Theatre Company	Multi Year Funding 2018-2020	\$281,213
Arts Organisations Program	Writers SA	Multi Year Funding 2018-2020	\$125,607
Arts Organisations Program	Zephyr Quartet	Multi Year Funding 2018-2019	\$100,000
Arts Organisations Program	South Australian Museum	Yurtu Ardla Program	\$4,500
Capital Investing Program	Art Gallery of South Australia	Capital Investment Program	\$185,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Wendy Walker	2020 SALA Monograph Writers Fees	\$8,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Rayleen Forester	2020 SALA Monograph Writers Fees	\$2,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Alexis West	JOURNEY SOMEWHERE Stage 2 Development	\$9,850
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tutti Inc	Company AT Presentation at PAX Exchange 2019	\$21,450
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sarah Brokensha	The World is Looking For You—Stage Two	\$17,388
Independent Makers and Presenters	Yasmin Gurreeboo	Foul Play's Production of Holly Brindley's Yerma	\$29,575
Independent Makers and Presenters	APY Art Centre Collective	Kulata Tjuta at Muse de Opal, Switzerland	\$19,300
Independent Makers and Presenters	Emma Young	Development of work for solo exhibition at Urban Cow Studio in 2019.	\$4,900
Independent Makers and Presenters	FELTspace	FELTspace Exhibition Program September 2019—February 2020	\$11,850
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sam Franzway	Editing YA manuscript for publication with mentor	\$9,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jessica Lumb	Silver Linings	\$1,093
Independent Makers and Presenters	Corinna Hosking	Clutch Feathers, Draw Breath	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ashley Hribar	Encounters	\$10,690

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sarah Tooth	Floor Plan: A memoir in 32 houses	\$10,400
Independent Makers and Presenters	Heather Taylor Johnson	The Guggenheim—edit the manuscript to publishable standard	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Gregory Wain	'Exposing Edith': Return Season at Assembly Festival/Edinburgh Fringe Festival 2019	\$8,379
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jordan Gower	New Ceramic Directions: Studio and material development	\$8,340
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kate Kurucz	The Inland Sea: Creation of New Work for Solo Exhibition	\$3,008
Independent Makers and Presenters	Gabriella Smart	2019 Soundstream Emerging Composers' Forum: Supporting the next generation of SA composers	\$12,250
Independent Makers and Presenters	Marissa Ziesing	Marissa Ziesing: Bishopsland Retrospective Exhibition	\$10,010
Independent Makers and Presenters	Windmill Theatre Co.	Windmill's Baba Yaga International Touring 2019	\$35,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	James Gus Clutterbuck	Big Porcelain Tiles Exhibition	\$6,803
Independent Makers and Presenters	Nicholas Folland	SALA at ACSA	\$4,910
Independent Makers and Presenters	Stephen House	Almost Face To Face	\$2,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Theatre Republic	Nil by Mouth by Duncan Graham	\$10,205
Independent Makers and Presenters	Brenden Scott French	Creating Sounding Balloon chandelier for Installation Contemporary 2019, CarriageWorks, Sydney	\$7,832
Independent Makers and Presenters	Shannon Rush	Limit	\$20,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Adelaide Baroque Inc	Love and Death in Venice	\$11,580
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kath Inglis	New work for solo exhibition at Studio Ingot	\$10,440
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lavinia Emmett-Grey	Suffrage City: Mary Lee	\$16,800
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ashlyn-Jade Schwenke	Inheritance Exhibition	\$2,630
Independent Makers and Presenters	Olympia Antoniadis	Solo Exhibition—Solace	\$9,645
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tara Rowhani-Farid	FIVE WALLS—Exhibition—Christian Lock + Tara Rowhani-Farid	\$7,955
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kylie Maslen	In/Visible	\$12,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tobiah Booth-Remmers	Damaged Goods	\$16,800

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Anna Zagala	Protest and Propaganda: Political printmaking in SA (1970s-1980s)—Artist Interviews	\$6,465
Independent Makers and Presenters	Mike Ladd	Walking Into Paintings	\$6,018
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lochlin Maybury	Moof's Adventures (1st Development)	\$3,207
Independent Makers and Presenters	Slingsby Theatre Company	2019 United Kingdom Emil and the Detectives Tour	\$30,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	James Dodd	2019 solo exhibition at Backwoods Gallery, Melbourne	\$9,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Nadia Rossi	Tour of Angelique to Bendigo's Ulumbarra Theatre in 2020	\$21,390
Independent Makers and Presenters	Fleur Elise Noble	Tour of Fleur Elise Noble's visual theatre work Rooman presented by Festival of Colour & UpSurge Festival in New Zealand in March/April 2019 produced by Insite Arts/Jason Cross	\$6,770
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ray Harris	Mysterious Delusions (working title)	\$3,485
Independent Makers and Presenters	Bernadette Klavins	FELTspace Exhibition Program March—August 2019	\$5,894
Independent Makers and Presenters	Janice Vitkovsky	Research and experimentation to develop new artworks in glass	\$7,042
Independent Makers and Presenters	Louise Omer	Holy Woman	\$9,400
Independent Makers and Presenters	Rebecca Meston	Hits of the 70s, 80s and 90s	\$5,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Rebecca Meston	'Drive': season at Theatre Works, June 2019	\$32,300
Independent Makers and Presenters	Michael Kutschbach	Return to form. 6 month development opportunity for studio based sculptural project	\$10,429
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jesse Budel	Featherstone Sound Space	\$9,726
Independent Makers and Presenters	Susan Frost	Research and development of new work, establishment of contacts and promotion of work in New York, USA	\$9,930
Independent Makers and Presenters	Rose Hartley	The Elegies	\$7,141
Independent Makers and Presenters	Emma Beech	The Invisible City—An installation performance set around a dining table in private homes.	\$9,020
Independent Makers and Presenters	Priya Pavri	Illusion	\$8,318
Independent Makers and Presenters	Aidan Coleman	Thin Ice: A Life of John Forbes	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jason Sweeney	Masc—Creative Research & Development	\$18,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Danielle Clode	'Against the Tide'—a biography of Jeanne Baret	\$4,530
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jo Case	Insecure: A memoir in essays	\$8,640
Independent Makers and Presenters	Mark Valenzuela	Tambay	\$5,292
Independent Makers and Presenters	Foul Play Inc.	Foul Play's CREATIVE DEVELOPMENT of Holly Brindley's YERMA	\$14,140
Independent Makers and Presenters	Fine Print Magazine	fine print magazine—2019 series	\$6,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Adele Sliuzas	One Square Inch of Silence	\$10,454
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tanya Voges	The Long Line	\$2,800
Independent Makers and Presenters	Fleur Elise Noble	Rooman by Fleur Elise Noble presented by Vitalstatistix in association with Insite Arts International at the Waterside Workers Hall	\$22,305
Independent Makers and Presenters	Various People Inc	P'opera—a streetscape opera—creative development	\$18,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Constanctina Augoustinos	Solo SALA Exhibition at JamFactory	\$4,476
Independent Makers and Presenters	Amos Gebhardt	HORSES, a large scale photographic series	\$7,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Catherine Truman	The Visible Light Project- experiments in light and perception	\$12,800
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sally Chance	ThinkArts India—Tour of 'Touch & Go'	\$13,037
Independent Makers and Presenters	Victoria Cross	Alison Currie's presentation of Concrete Impermanence at 2019 Dance Massive Festival presented by Dancehouse and produced by Insite Arts	\$15,333
Independent Makers and Presenters	Nicholas Folland	Create new work for The National: New Australian Art AGNSW 2019	\$4,952
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jascha Boyce	Jelly or Jam	\$14,713
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sanaa Ink Limited	Sanaa Street Festival—curation of dance performance	\$2,650
Independent Makers and Presenters	Philippa Williams	The Dictionary of Lost Words	\$7,300
Independent Makers and Presenters	Katerina Bryant	Researching 'The Art of Falling'	\$3,868
Independent Makers and Presenters	Adelaide Baroque Inc	'Beasts and Battles'	\$9,911
Independent Makers and Presenters	Louise Nicholas	Provenance: 101 Objects	\$5,550
Independent Makers and Presenters	Hew Parham	Jacques Cornichon in Just Pedalling—Second Development	\$11,018

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Matthew Shilcock	Bundanon Residency: An exploration or Rest in sustainable dance practice	\$19,552
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kath Inglis	In Light Of	\$6,886
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kaspar Schmidt Mumm	IMMI—Development and Rehearsal	\$14,319
Independent Makers and Presenters	Stephen Bowers	Exhibition in Edinburgh	\$6,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lesa Farrant	Professional documentation and an essay to accompany my solo exhibition	\$1,200
Independent Makers and Presenters	Live In The Dark	In The Dark inSPACE Creative Development	\$3,054
Independent Makers and Presenters	FELTspace	FELTspace Exhibition Program September—December 2018	\$2,274
Independent Makers and Presenters	Neville Cichon	Filter Photographic Exhibition	\$3,475
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ben Smith	A Thousand Answers	\$5,680
Independent Makers and Presenters	Margot Osborne	The Adelaide Art Scene 1939-2019	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tracy Crisp	Blackout	\$6,500
Independent Makers and Presenters	Min Wong	Born to give not to get (solo exhibition)	\$3,893
Independent Makers and Presenters	Olivia Kathigitis	Olivia Kathigitis: La Wayaka Current Exhibition	\$5,240
Independent Makers and Presenters	Luke Altmann	New Work for Benaud Trio	\$2,917
Independent Makers and Presenters	Andrew Roff	At the Stockade	\$2,925
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sharon Kernot	Dog People	\$8,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ray Harris	Psychache (working Title)	\$9,730
Independent Makers and Presenters	Roy Ananda	Development of New Work	\$5,580
Independent Makers and Presenters	Elyas Alavi	Art and Migration conference in Sweden and visiting galleries in Berlin	\$1,552
Independent Makers and Presenters	Marie Campbell	Gertrude Opera Studio Young artist Performance Program	\$2,402
Independent Makers and Presenters	Danielle Lo	Impressions	\$2,600
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jonathan Dady	Research Rome	\$10,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kristin Weidenbach	CBCA conference 2019	\$1,426
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sylvia Nevistic	Masterclass with Giovanni Corvaja in Todi, gallery meeting in Milan and visit museums in Florence, Italy.	\$4,967
Independent Makers and Presenters	Deborah Prior	The Laconia Project	\$3,062
Independent Makers and Presenters	Neville Cichon	COCE Fire and Flood Showcase and Research	\$4,681
Independent Makers and Presenters	Sundari Carmody	Three-month residency at Phasmid Studios, Berlin to develop new work and foster new connections.	\$8,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lewis Major	Traineeship, mentoring and network development for professional practice	\$1,506
Independent Makers and Presenters	Christine Cholewa	Self-directed mentorship in stone carving specifically lettering with Brent Quilliam	\$9,460
Independent Makers and Presenters	Christian Lock	13th Cairo Biennale—Eyes East Bound—International Exhibition	\$9,103
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lisa Furno	New and Used: New Ideas with Used Materials	\$9,960
Independent Makers and Presenters	Meg Wilson	Lighting Design secondments and mentorship	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Adriana Bonaccorso	Professional development and mentorship funding for Adriana Bonaccorso	\$3,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Alycia Bennett	Self directed residencies in 4 infoshops/art spaces in Manila, Philippines.	\$5,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Karin Riederer	Tara Books Residency	\$2,373
Independent Makers and Presenters	Zephyr Quartet	International Market Development support	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	West Gallery Thebarton	Exhibiting at Sydney Contemporary 2019	\$9,964
Independent Makers and Presenters	Open Space Contemporary Arts	Of Boys and Men—Building Pathways	\$4,366
Independent Makers and Presenters	Wakefield Press	Attendance at VIPs 2019	\$3,534
Independent Makers and Presenters	Wakefield Press	Attendance at Frankfurt Book Fair 2019	\$7,901
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tjala Arts	Exhibition by Yaritji Young and Tjungkara Ken at Olsen Gruin Gallery in New York, U.S.A, May 2019.	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	James Howe	Attending Denfair Melbourne, 2019	\$7,448
Independent Makers and Presenters	Access2Arts	THANK residency	\$12,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Restless Dance Theatre	Targeted national & international presenters at the world premiere season of Zizanie	\$8,324

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tutti Inc	Dublin to Adelaide—Creative Residency with Disabled Playwright Rosaleen McDonah	\$12,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Darren Koziol	DARK OZ national conventions Nov 2018 to Feb 2019	\$6,832
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jamie Harding	Cold As Ice—The third and final development, rehearsal and presentation	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Lewis Major	Triennial Funding for the creation of new dance work in regional and metropolitan South Australia	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	The PaperBoats	The PaperBoats International Partnership Platform	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Patch Theatre Company	The Lighthouse	\$100,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Australian Dance Theatre	Australian Dance Theatre North/South	\$125,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Gabriella Smart	Gabriella Smart: Fellowship to creatively develop three international, collaborative cross-cultural projects	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ellen Steele	Digging a Hole to China	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Kirsten Coelho	Fellowship—Research and development of two new bodies of work in porcelain for exhibitions nationally and internationally.	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Wakefield Press	Production and delivery of the 2020 South Australian Living Artist Publication	\$35,200
Independent Makers and Presenters	Jonathan Kim	The British School at Rome Residency	\$3,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Alice Blanch	Six month period of development	\$17,550
Independent Makers and Presenters	Caroline Reid	No Place for Children	\$19,896
Independent Makers and Presenters	Madeleine Collopy	Project development on 's/words' with Lewis Major Projects	\$10,255
Independent Makers and Presenters	Rosina Possingham	Mapping Green	\$9,953
Independent Makers and Presenters	Tjala Arts	Iluwanti Ken	\$8,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Ray Harris	Saudade Exhibition and Performance night	\$9,797
Independent Makers and Presenters	Adelaide Hills Council	Out of Round Funding for Nightlight at the Lights of Lobethal	\$10,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Emily Gann	Connecting The Dots in Music: Building Connections for A Better World Through Music	\$6,900
Independent Makers and Presenters	Gabrielle Nankivell	Fellowship Project—research & development of a collaborative working methodology and new solo performance work 'Future History' (working title)	\$40,000
Independent Makers and Presenters	Alice Clanachan	Undertake a scholarship at the British Museum—London	\$10,000



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	AJZ Productions Inc.	'Drop Out'	\$22,649
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Media Resource Centre Inc	2019 Seniors on Screen: a daytime community of film lovers	\$40,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	ActNow Theatre Inc.	Theatre of the Global Majority	\$39,500
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Tutti Inc	Standing Up Standing OUT 2019	\$40,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Adelaide Central School of Art	Support for the Adelaide Central School of Art 2019 Exhibition Program	\$17,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	APY Art Centre Collective	Arts South Australia Support: APY Art Centre Collective	\$75,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Semaphore Music Festival	Artist Fees for Semaphore Music Festival 2019	\$10,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Arts House	Dance Massive 2019	\$10,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Australian Book Review Inc	Australian Book Review 2019 Funding	\$12,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Chamber Music Adelaide	Once-off grant—Chamber Music Adelaide	\$20,000
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Port Adelaide Artists Forum Inc	It Came from the Harbour	\$32,243
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	Carclew Youth Arts Inc	Wanna Make A Movie	\$29,548
Community Arts & Cultural Development Project Funding for Organisations	The Hut Community Centre	Waterlines	\$24,060
Community Arts & Cultural	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	DreamBIG Festival	\$100,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Development Project Funding for Organisations			
Made In Adelaide	Adelaide Festival Corporation	Participation of Rachel Healy and Rob Brookman in Edinburgh, during its 2019 summer festival season	\$5,000
Made In Adelaide	Adelaide Fringe Inc	Support for the 2019 Edinburgh Fringe	\$5,000
Made In Adelaide	Slingsby Theatre Company	Made In Adelaide Producers	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Peta Spurling-Brown	Hey Boss—Participation in Made in Adelaide in Edinburgh 2019	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Jared Stevens	Jared Stevens MIA—Edinburgh 2019	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Gravity & Other Myths	Backbone	\$5,940
Made In Adelaide	Michaela Burger	A Migrant's Son tour to Edinburgh Fringe 2019	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Erin Fowler	Erin Fowler Projects—Producer	\$3,950
Made In Adelaide	Hannah Rohrlach	Post Dining: PitchFork new works	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Daniel Michael	Gluttony	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Brittany Plummer	Chameleon	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Emma Knights Productions	Made in Adelaide: Producers Presenters Emma Knights Productions	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Jascha Boyce	Jelly or Jam	\$4,816
Made In Adelaide	Comfort Food Cabaret	Comfort Food Cabaret Dining Experience	\$6,000
Made In Adelaide	Anna Thomas	Anna Thomas Productions—2020 Treasury 1860 Adelaide Fringe Season	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Gregory Wain	'Exposing Edith': Return Season at Assembly Festival/Edinburgh Fringe Festival 2019	\$3,000
Made In Adelaide	Joanne Hartstone	Made In Adelaide—SA Producer/Presenter in Edinburgh	\$4,000
Made In Adelaide	Marina Sartoretto	Producer—Marina Sartoretto	\$2,937
Made In Adelaide	Lina Limosani	Not Today's Yesterday @ British Council Showcase—Edinburgh Fringe Festival	\$3,936
Made In Adelaide	Michelle Pearson	Made in Adelaide Award 2019	\$10,000
Made in Adelaide	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Symposium 2019	\$35,000
Made in Adelaide	Electric Fields	Music: 2018 Made in Adelaide—London, Glasgow, Edinburgh	\$4,000
Made in Adelaide	Ukulele Death Squad	Music: Ukulele Death Squad @ The Edinburgh Fringe	\$3,500
Made in Adelaide	Thom Buchanan	Top Up Grants: Made in Adelaide UK 2018	\$500
Made in Adelaide	Little Black Duck & Co Pty Ltd	Edinburgh Fringe 2019	\$2,000
Made in Adelaide	David Sefton	Contribution to Random Harvest Productions	\$2,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	State Theatre Company of South Australia	Access Engagement Grant	\$10,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Access2Arts	Disability Access and Inclusion Plan (DAIP) Training	\$3,859
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Holden Street Theatres	Installation of a temporary Disability Access toilet for the Adelaide Fringe 2019 season	\$988
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Australian Dance Theatre	New assisted listening services at The Odeon (in conjunction with the season of Impersonal Space)	\$6,832
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Restless Dance Theatre	Program Funding for Restless Dance Theatre in 2019	\$75,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Restless Dance Theatre	Restless / Candoco Collaboration	\$25,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Adelaide Fringe Inc	Accessible Adelaide Fringe	\$10,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Sit Down Shut up and Watch Film and New Media Festival	Access support for the Sit Down Shut Up and Watch Film and New Media Festival 2018	\$2,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Chamber Music Adelaide	Access for On the Terrace 2018	\$3,800
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Fleur Green	Desert Plea—Stage 1: Recording	\$9,900
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Airlie Jane Kirkham	Mentoring in Poetic Writing	\$3,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Michael Doman	Rockwood: Recording Music	\$3,500
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Of Boys and Men	Of Boys and Men	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Michael Retter	Vertical Feature Film Production	\$10,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Oliver Travis Mills	Poetry Collection	\$4,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	James Kurtze	Kooky Machine 2D	\$8,575
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Tutti Inc	Standing Up—Standing Out	\$25,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Company AT	AT this PLACE	\$18,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Scott Pyle	Just Me	\$6,000
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Sit Down Shut up and Watch Film and New Media Festival	Sit Down Shutup and Watch Film & New Media Festival	\$24,621
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Ellie Kammer	Art Prizes	\$9,689
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Jacek Limanowka	Eternal Rhythms—Exhibition of paintings at the Hughes Gallery	\$2,712
Richard Llewellyn Deaf and Disability Arts Program	Restless Dance Theatre	Seeing Through Darkness	\$25,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Lilla Berry	Of Desert and Sea Development and Presentation of Beautiful	\$20,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Yasmin Gurreeboo	Alira Mckenzie Williams— Foul Play's Stepping Stones component of Holly Brindley's YERMA	\$2,500
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Carclew Youth Arts Inc	Aboriginal Artists Development Program	\$8,161
Indigenous Arts Development Program	ActNow Theatre Inc.	Pathways Program	\$27,766
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Dunjiba Design Textile Workshop	\$13,389

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Nexus Arts	Next Up music video project	\$5,950
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Semaphore Music Festival	Down By The River	\$15,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Adelaide Fringe Inc	2019 ATSI Work Placement at the Adelaide Fringe	\$10,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	The Engagement of the Central Australian Aboriginal Women's Choir (CAAWC) and Local SA Artists Nancy Bates and Vonda Last, and Local Choirs Deadly Nanna's and the Kurna Choir.	\$10,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Marie Falcinella	SICAD Professional Development Program	\$10,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Kym Kropinyeri	David Unaipon Biography	\$10,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Ros Miller	Red Poles and Spinning Yarns Aboriginal Arts Festival 2019, 'Stringy Bark and other Stories'	\$7,300
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Edoardo Crismani	Adaptable	\$1,076
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Lynette Lewis	Milpatjunanyi contemporary jewellery	\$4,840
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Joanna Agius	Digital Storytelling in Auslan format for Aboriginal people	\$12,275
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Iwantja Arts & Crafts Aboriginal Corporation	Young Women's Film Project	\$10,076
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Remote Access Support	\$3,114
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Alexis West	Journey Somewhere	\$8,644
Indigenous Arts Development Program	The Gardeners Of Unearthly Delights	2019 Garden of Unearthly Delight ATSI Program	\$10,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Country Arts SA	Aboriginal Diggers Visual Arts Residency—The Vietnam War	\$20,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Ninuku Arts Indigenous Corporation	Ninuku Glass Design Development Project (Stage 2)	\$12,215
Indigenous Arts Development Program	First Nations Australia Writers Network	Attendance at the First Nations Australia Writers Networks workshop for five emerging writers	\$5,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Jonathan Stier	To work collaboratively with Producer Mali (Skitzo Productions) to develop a 9 Track experimental EP to be released via online platforms.	\$2,778
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Michael O'Brien	Culturally appropriate and correct Kurna Welcome to Country	\$5,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Howard Sumner	H Lawrence Sumner to attend meeting at Melbourne Theatre Company with Chris Mead	\$850
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Nexus Arts	Creative Pathways program BIGSOUND delegation, 2018	\$3,904

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Patricia Ingram	Yarnin' 'n' Darnin'	\$8,000
Indigenous Arts Development Program	Carclew Youth Arts Inc	Aboriginal Artists Development Program	\$16,669
Public Art and Design Program	Festival Fleurieu	Rugged- The Cape Jervis Lighthouse Illuminated	\$15,000
Public Art and Design Program	Kimba Community Development Group Inc.	Art and Nature Entwined	\$14,450
Public Art and Design Program	Light Regional Council	Kapunda Town Square Landmark Sculpture	\$15,000
Public Art and Design Program	Country Arts SA	Land Arts of the Limestone Coast	\$14,200
Public Art and Design Program	The District Council of Yankalilla	The Cape Jervis Gateway Art Shelter	\$15,000
Public Art and Design Program	City of Prospect	City of Prospect new Community Hub, Library & Innovation Centre (CLIC), Grand Foyer Public Artwork	\$15,000
Public Art and Design Program	City of Marion	Woodlands Park Railway Station Public Art Project	\$5,250
Public Art and Design Program	Corporation of the City of Unley	Echo (formerly known as Centrepiece)	\$80,000
Public Art and Design Program	City of Charles Sturt	From Lane to Place: Re-imagining Crawford Lane	\$4,000
Public Art and Design Program	Light Regional Council	Landmark Sculpture for the Kapunda Town Square	\$9,000
State-wide Indigenous Community Arts Development	Ananguku Arts & Culture Aboriginal Corporation	Statewide Indigenous Community Arts Development (SICAD) project funding	\$100,000
Other Arts Assistance	Michael Retter	Clair de Lune—Additional Funding	\$5,000
Other Arts Assistance	South Australian Museum	2019-20 Exhibition Program including 'Dogs'	\$15,000
Other Arts Assistance	JamFactory Contemporary Craft & Design	Tom Moor Monograph and Professional Development Opportunity	\$20,000
Other Arts Assistance	Australian Copyright Council	Multi-year funding 2017-2019	\$4,554
Other Arts Assistance	Karl Telfer	Yellaka Participation in Wan Smol Bag 30th Anniversary Festival	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Carclew Youth Arts Inc	Writing Place—Regional Residency Program	\$29,700
Other Arts Assistance	Helpmann Academy	Khadim Ali Mural Project	\$25,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Youth Orchestras Inc	Delivery of 2019 AdYO Program of Activities	\$30,000
Other Arts Assistance	FEAST Festival	Artist Fees 2019 Opening Night Party	\$10,500
Other Arts Assistance	Living Rocks Collaboration Pty Ltd	Living Rocks: A Fragment of the Universe—Support to Exhibit at Venice Biennale 2019	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	State Theatre Company of South Australia	Safe Theatres Forum 2019	\$4,000
Other Arts Assistance	ActNow Theatre Inc	Regional Arts Industry Platform	\$30,000
Other Arts Assistance	Regional Galleries Association of SA Inc	Engagement of a part-time Project Officer	\$20,000
Other Arts Assistance	Country Arts SA	Mount Gambier Fringe 2019-2021	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	No Strings Attached Theatre of Disability	I forgot to remember to forget	\$36,850

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Other Arts Assistance	Festivals Adelaide	2017-19 Joint strategic development of major arts, literary, music, creative & film festivals held in Adelaide	\$120,000
Other Arts Assistance	Bakehouse Theatre Company Inc	Theatre Presenters Initiative 2018-19	\$40,000
Other Arts Assistance	Access2Arts	Disabled Producers Project	\$25,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	OzAsia Festival DanceLab 2019	\$18,000
Other Arts Assistance	Holden Street Theatres	Theatre Presenters Initiative 2018—2019	\$40,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Festival Corporation	Funding for the Major Festivals Initiative (MFI) Commissioning Site	\$130,000
Other Arts Assistance	Flinders University	ARC Research Grant—Meaningfully Communicating the Value of Arts and Culture Through Reporting	\$75,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Fringe Inc	2019 Karrawirra Parri Dreaming Project	\$100,000
Other Arts Assistance	Equity Foundation	Travel costs for Ita O'Brien	\$14,441
Other Arts Assistance	Country Arts SA	Regional Theatre Strategy (2016-2019)	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Access2Arts	Equal Remuneration Order Supplementation	\$16,936
Other Arts Assistance	Tutti Inc	Equal Remuneration Order Supplementation	\$13,349
Other Arts Assistance	Central Studios Inc	Funding to support the Ed Tweddell Studio through Central Studios Inc, 2017, 2018 and 2019	\$5,000
Other Arts Assistance	Festivals Adelaide	Festival and Events Management masterclass—selection process to engage sector	\$4,800
Other Arts Assistance	Zephyr Quartet	Support to perform in the 2019 ClassicalNEXT showcase in Rotterdam, The Netherlands	\$10,320
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra	Funding for Accommodation Expenses 2019-2021	\$30,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Festival Centre Trust	Support to the Adelaide UNESCO City of Music Office	\$50,000
Other Arts Assistance	GI Theatre and Studios Pty Ltd	Spirit Festival	\$141,500
Other Arts Assistance	Media Resource Centre Inc	Cinematique 2018-19	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	University of South Australia	To support Panpapanpalya, the 2018 Global Dance Congress, to be held in Adelaide in July	\$3,000
Other Arts Assistance	Adelaide Fringe Inc	Strategic Projects 2019-20	\$35,500
Other Arts Assistance	Windmill Theatre Company	International Touring Proposal	\$15,000
Other Arts Assistance	Music SA	ATSI Umbrella, SAM, UNESCO Documentary	\$60,000
Other Arts Assistance	Lina Limonsani	International production and 2020 tour of the Spinners	\$30,000
Other Arts Assistance	Museums Australia	Museum Leadership Program 2018	\$2,000
Other Arts Assistance	Queensland University of Technology	ARC Linkage Project 'Australian cultural and creative activity: A population and hotspot analysis'	\$10,000
Other Arts Assistance	Australian Council for the Arts	Contribution to the National Indigenous Arts and Cultural Authority (NIACA)	\$25,000
Other Arts Assistance	Department of Communications and the Arts	Contribution to the Indigenous Art Code	\$5,244
Other Arts Assistance	Australian Dance Theatre	Performance at the COAG Meeting of Cultural Ministers' Dinner	\$9,091

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Other Arts Assistance	Libraries Board of South Australia	Audio Visual Preservation Program	\$37,267
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Royal Australian Regiment Association SA Inc	Vietnam War and Long Tan Commemorative Service—18 August 2018	\$655
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Regal Florist	Wreath of flowers	\$50
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Digital Print Australia	Dardanelles Commemorative Service Leaflet	\$228
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Party on Wheels	Dardanelles Commemorative Service—White café chairs	\$390
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Lewis O'Brien	Dardanelles Commemorative Service—Welcome to Country	\$50
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Legacy Club of Adelaide Inc	Legacy Club of Adelaide Welfare Fund—annual grant funding for 2018-19	\$100,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Payneham RSL Sub-Branch	Playground equipment	\$1,091
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Vietnam Veterans' Federation South Australian Branch Inc	World War 2 Oral History Project	\$3,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Affordable Marquees Adelaide	Dardanelles Commemorative Service—Marquee Hire	\$400
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Army Museum of South Australia	Support grant for the Medical Practitioner and Medical Students of South Australia who served in World War 2	\$3,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Royal Australian Regiment Association SA Inc	Battle of Hat Dich Commemorative Service—19 February 2019	\$835
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Replica Press	Commemorative Calendar	\$1,390
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	South Australian Boer War Association Inc	Publication of Boer War Dispatches and related projects	\$2,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Vietnam Veterans' Federation South Australian Branch Inc	Coral/Balmoral Commemorative Service—10 May 2019—Marquee Hire	\$1,040
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Department for Education	Commemorative Calendar	\$1,042
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Australian American Association in South Australian Inc	Battle of the Coral Sea 77th Anniversary Dinner and Commemorative Service	\$1,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Royal Society for the Blind Pty Ltd	Operation K9—Assistance Dog program	\$15,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	CMF Observer Group Vietnam (SA Branch)	Reunion Dinner 2019	\$500
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Cowell RSL Sub Branch	100 Year Celebration	\$5,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Salisbury RSL Sub Branch	Bombing of Darwin Service	\$1,215
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Plympton Veterans Centre	SA Regional Outreach Veterans Military Advocacy and Welfare project	\$8,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Adelaide City Council	Dardanelles Commemorative Service—power supply and access	\$37
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	ANZAC Remembrance Appeal—1965 Trust Fund Inc	Annual operating grant	\$100,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Vietnam Veteran's Federation South Australian Branch Inc	Grant for electrical equipment for new kitchen	\$2,700
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	GTR Publishing	The Last Post Remembrance Day 2018 Edition	\$600
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	A D Owen	Dardanelles Commemorative Service—Hire of PA System	\$512
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Royal Australian Regiment Association Inc	Support for the Baker Gordon Armistice Charity Shield Cricket Match—18 November 2018	\$5,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	GTR Publishing	The Last Post Anzac Day Winter 2019 Edition	\$720
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Graphic Alliance Pty Ltd	Ex-Service Commemorative Calendar 2019	\$850
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Virtual War Memorial Ltd	Armistice State Dinner—10 November 2018	\$4,545
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Goolwa RSL Sub Branch	100th Anniversary Dinner	\$2,500
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Reconciliation SA Inc	Contribution for the 2019 Aboriginal Veterans Commemorative Service	\$5,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Headstone Project SA	Headstone project	\$10,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Auburn, Clare & Districts RSL Sub Branch	100 Year Celebration	\$3,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	3 RAR South Australia Inc	Korean War 1950-53 & Battle of Kapyong Commemorative Service—24 April 2019	\$565
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Reconciliation SA Inc	Aboriginal Servicemen's Graves Restoration Project—West Terrace Cemetery	\$9,785
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	GTR Publishing	The Last Post Magazine July 2019 Edition	\$900
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Trojan's Trek Foundation Limited	Trojan's Trek Foundation Mentoring Program	\$25,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Port Lincoln RSL Sub Branch	Port Lincoln RSL expansion project	\$21,000
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Friends of the Veterans Incorporated	Purchase of journals for Veterans and families writing group	\$380
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	Salisbury RSL Sub-Branch	Replacement / repairs due to vandalism	\$909
Veterans SA Annual Grant Program	R.A.A.F. Association Mitcham Branch Incorporated	Supply of one cast bronze plaque with service motifs of Navy, Army and Air Force pertaining to the Mitcham Memorial Library	\$664
Celebrating Diversity Program	Chinatown Adelaide of South Australia Inc	Chinese New Year Festival	\$30,000



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Celebrating Diversity Program	African Communities Council of South Australia	Unmasked African Festivals	\$20,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Alliance Francaise	French Market	\$15,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Australian Indonesian Association of South Australia	INDOfest	\$20,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Islamic Society of South Australia Inc.	Salam Festival	\$15,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Adelaide & Metropolitan Malayalee Association	Onam Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Adelaide Tamil Association Incorporated	Sangamnam Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Greek Orthodox Community & Parish of Norwood and Eastern Suburbs Inc	Norwood Greek Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of Australia Third Archdiocesan District-Intercommunities Council	Glenelg Greek Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Guru Nanak Society Australia	Lohri Mela	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Middle Eastern Communities Council of South Australia Inc	Nowruz—Middle Eastern New Year	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Greek Orthodox Community of the Nativity of Christ Port Adelaide & Environs Inc (new agreement until 18-19)	Semaphore Greek Cultural Festival	\$50,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Vietnamese Community in Australia	Tet—Lunar New Year	\$30,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Dozynki Incorporated (biennial event)	Dozynki (Polish Harvest Festival)	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Japan Australia Friendship Association	Kodomo-no-hi (Children's Day)	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Pan Macedonian Association of SA Inc	Dimitria Greek Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Laziza Festival Incorporated	Laziza Mediterranean Festival	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Migrant Resource Centre of SA	SA Refugee Week	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Welcome to Australia Ltd	Walk Together	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Sikh Society of South Australia Inc	Annual Vaisakhi Celebration Dinner	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Multicultural Communities Council Of SA Inc	Sector support and advocacy	\$200,938
Celebrating Diversity Program	National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters Ltd (NAATI)	South Australian Government Contribution to NAATI	\$54,514
Celebrating Diversity Program	Migrant Resource Centre of SA	Operational funding	\$95,630
Celebrating Diversity Program	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Australia Day Parade	\$10,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Celebrating Diversity Program	The Adelaide Festival Centre Foundation Incorporated	OzAsia Festival	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Congolese Community Network Access	Congolese Cultural Festival & Independence Day	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Croatian Sports Centre SA Incorporated	Festa Croatian Food and Wine Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Don Dunstan Foundation	Migration Update Conference	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Korean Community of Adelaide SA Inc	Korean Cultural & Food Festival	\$10,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Liberian Community of South Australia Inc	Liberian Cultural Festival (Kendeja Fest)	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Port Lincoln Tunarama Inc	Mosaic on Eyre event	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Riverland Youth Theatre Inc / Riverland Multicultural Forum Inc	Riverland Harmony Day	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Returned Soldiers League (SA Branch) Inc	Anzac Eve Youth Vigil	\$4,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Holy Mary of Montevergine Association (SA) Inc	Holy Mary of Montevergine Festa	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Indian Australian Association of SA	Indian Mela	\$30,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Islamic Information Centre of South Australia Inc	Multicultural Eid Al Fitr Festival	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	The SA Zhu-Lin Buddhist Association	Chinese New Year Celebration	\$5,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Filipina Network of South Australi Incorporated	Philippine Fiesta	\$15,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	Ethnic Broadcasters Incorporated	Operating Funding	\$22,550
Celebrating Diversity Program	TAFE SA	Women's Leadership Course	\$6,000
Celebrating Diversity Program	South Australian Bangladeshi Community Association (SABCA)	Bijoy Dibosht Festival	\$5,000
Grants SA	German-Speaking Aged Services Association Incorporated	Multifunction Printer Purchase	\$6,755
Grants SA	Bund der Bayern Incorporated	Practice and Storage Space	\$4,993
Grants SA	Australian Refugee Association Inc	Al-Sham community event	\$4,500
Grants SA	Australian Lithuanian Cultural Society Incorporated	30th Australian Lithuanian Days Festival 2018	\$6,490
Grants SA	Gurjari SA Inc	Diwali Celebrations	\$4,900
Grants SA	Lyell Mcewin Health Service Volunteers	Coming Together	\$40,580
Grants SA	Iraqi Community Cultural Association Of SA Incorporated	Be Active Be Fit & Connect	\$4,660
Grants SA	Sri Lankan Catholic Association of South Australia Inc	Annual Cultural Christmas Celebration	\$5,000
Grants SA	Russian Culture Centre Incorporated	Purchase of musical equipment for Russian Culture Centre events	\$4,926
Grants SA	Sanaa Ink Limited	Sanaa Street Festival	\$4,559
Grants SA	Dom Polski Arts Club Incorporation	The Renovation of Main Hall	\$5,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Grants SA	Adelaide Kannada Sangha Inc	Rasa Sanje 2018 Celebration of Art & Culture	\$2,900
Grants SA	Kurdish Australian Association SA Inc	Night of Fun & Music	\$1,000
Grants SA	Iranian Women Organisation SA	Nowruz Bazaar	\$7,000
Grants SA	Cyprus Community Of South Australia Incorporated	Cyprus Festival 2019	\$8,500
Grants SA	Macedonian Community Of Adelaide & South Australia Inc	Sound and audio equipment for Volunteers	\$4,500
Grants SA	Chin Community Of South Australia	Chin Community Picnic	\$2,890
Grants SA	The Croatian Club Adelaide Inc	Clean Floors	\$5,000
Grants SA	Chin Community Of Adelaide	The 71 <sup>st</sup> Anniversary of Chin National Day Celebration	\$4,250
Grants SA	'Let's Talk Together' Association Incorporated	The Wild Swans by H.C. Andersen, performed in Russian	\$5,000
Grants SA	Fiji Seniors Club Of South Australia Inc	Purchase Essential Equipment for regular use	\$4,195
Grants SA	Association Of Himalayan Buddhist Of South Australia Inc	Equipment for the Losar Event in 2019	\$9,240
Grants SA	Sanaa Ink Limited	Sanaa Festival	\$4,700
Grants SA	Who Is Hussain? Adelaide Incorporated	Family Fun Day	\$4,760
Grants SA	Association Of Latvian Organisations In South Australia Inc	3x3 Latvian Family Camp	\$5,000
Grants SA	Peniel Free Pentecostal Church (PFPC) Incorporated	2018/2019 Youth Camp	\$2,150
Grants SA	The Croatian Club Adelaide Inc	Annual 40th Anniversary Dance Performance	\$3,627
Grants SA	Latvian Co-Operative Society Social Club Inc	Purchase and installation of defibrillator	\$2,395
Grants SA	Hindu Society Of SA Inc	Audio Visual System for Community Cultural Centre	\$20,000
Grants SA	Adelaide Tamil Association Incorporated	Pongal 2019—Harvest Festival	\$1,700
Grants SA	South Adelaide Malayalee Community Incorporated	South Adelaide Malayalee Community Christmas and New Year 2018-19	\$4,950
Grants SA	Bosniaks' Association of South Australia—Bosniaks' Masjed Adelaide Inc	Volunteer Support Worker	\$32,508
Grants SA	Multicultural Communities Council Of SA Inc	International Women's Day Multicultural Art Exhibition	\$2,604
Grants SA	The Maltese Guild Of South Australia Inc	Equipment Requirements	\$4,889
Grants SA	Vishva Hindu Parishad Of Australia Incorporated	Rakshabandhan 2019	\$2,300
Grants SA	Thai—Australian Association Of South Australia Incorporated	Thai Festival 2019	\$4,235
Grants SA	The House Of Arts & Culture—Seeking The Light Incorporated	Australian Day	\$4,480

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Grants SA	Jewish Community Services Inc	Catch-up on Tuesdays—community time	\$2,598
Grants SA	The Persian Cultural Association Of South Australia	Nature Day	\$4,800
Grants SA	Australian South East Asian Womens Association Inc	Purchase of laptops and software	\$3,836
Grants SA	The Czechoslovak Club In South Australia Inc	Outdoor playground and seating for backyard area	\$17,492
Grants SA	Iranian Women Organisation SA	Persian Speakers' Social Club	\$6,755
Grants SA	The Latvian Association of SA Incorporated	From Riga to Adelaide	\$9,420
Grants SA	Buddhist Compassion Relief Tzu-chi Foundation Australia Inc.	Training Program for new Volunteers 2019	\$4,369
Grants SA	The Czechoslovak Club In South Australia Inc	New floor, chairs and tables at dining area	\$20,000
Grants SA	Shruthi Adelaide Incorporated	Shruthi Adelaide Vibrace 2019	\$3,679
Grants SA	Pakistani Australian Association Of South Australia Inc	Purchase of the equipment	\$4,988
Grants SA	Iraqi Families United Of South Australia Inc	Governance Training for Iraqi and Syrian Leaders and Volunteers	\$4,790
Grants SA	Association Of Ukrainians In South Australia Inc	Back to Woodside- Ukrainian Youth Camp	\$3,000
Grants SA	Associazione Nazionale Carabinieri Sezione Di Adelaide Incorporated	Yearly anniversary dinner dance 2019	\$800
Grants SA	The Horn of African Community of South Australia Incorporated	Strength The Horn African community Men Group and Volunteers Project	\$3,618
Grants SA	Greek Orthodox Community Of The Nativity Of Christ Port Adelaide And Environs	Purchase of First Aid Equipment and Training for Volunteers	\$4,346
Grants SA	Bangladesh Australia Society of South Australia	Bangla New year celebration 2019 (Pohela Boishakh)	\$3,000
Grants SA	Bangladesh Puja and Cultural Society of South Australia Inc	Pohela Boishakh & Rabindra-Nazrul Jayanti 2019 (Bengali New Year 2019)	\$2,695
Grants SA	Greeks Of Egypt And Middle East Society Of South Australia Incorporated	Equipment & Improvement of kitchen facilities	\$5,000
Grants SA	Glimmer of Hope Incorporated	Showcasing Palestine	\$4,425
Grants SA	The Congolese Community Of South Australia	Congolese Community Independence Day Celebration	\$5,000
Grants SA	Shruthi Adelaide Incorporated	Young Talents Day (Yuva Kala Mela)	\$4,990
Grants SA	Fogolar Furlan Incorporated	Boccedrome Judging Platform	\$800
Grants SA	Multi Purpose Media Inc	Equipment Purchase for the Establishment of an Internet Radio	\$5,000
Grants SA	Afghan association South Australia	Equipment for Volunteers and Community members	\$4,577

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Grants SA	Ugandan Community of South Australia	Working together to build a strong community	\$3,747
Grants SA	Adelaide Nepalese Society Australia Incorporated	Celebration of Women's Festival 'TEEJ'	\$1,500
Grants SA	St Elias Antiochian Orthodox Parish Association Incorporated	Community Seniors Program	\$2,499
Grants SA	Adelaide Bangladeshi Cultural Club	International Mother Language Day and Bengali New year celebration 2019	\$5,000
Grants SA	Adelaide Sri Lanka Buddhist Vihara Incorporated	Sri Lankan Curry Night 2019—Annual Mega Cultural Event	\$4,728
Grants SA	Chinese Music & Arts SA	Music without barriers	\$4,000
Grants SA	South Australia Telangana association	Telangana Festivals & Community Events—Clean Up & Tree Planting 2019	\$4,400
Grants SA	Singapore Business and Social Association (SA) Incorporated	Singapore Bazaar	\$5,000
Grants SA	Pashtun Association of SA Incorporated	Sounds System Equipment	\$4,999
Grants SA	South Australian Bangladeshi Community Association (SABCA)	Bengali New Year Celebration 1426	\$2,921
Grants SA	Afghan Cricket Club incorporated	Afghan Cricket Club Youth Empowerment program	\$3,187
Grants SA	Zambian Association of South Australia Incorporated	Zambian Independence Day Celebration	\$500
Grants SA	Burundian Drummers' Club in South Australia	10 years of the Burundian Drummers entertaining in South Australians	\$3,110
Grants SA	Chin Community Of South Australia	The 71st Anniversary of Chin National Day Celebration	\$1,173
Grants SA	Burundi Intamba Gasimbo Association Of South Australia Promote Culture Educating Young Burundian	Music Equipment	\$5,000
Grants SA	Australian Unitarian Druze Community Inc	Facilities Upgrade	\$5,000
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	South East Community Legal Service Inc.	Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) Community Legal Education Project	\$31,072
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Multicultural Youth SA	ReCalibrate	\$95,572
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Welcome to Australia Ltd	Intercultural Future SA	\$100,000
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Women's Safety Services South Australia—Western Adelaide Domestic Violence Service	Community And Life-skills Development for CALD Women	\$34,482
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Australian Red Cross Society	In Search of Safety	\$29,926
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Surf Life Saving South Australia	Ride the Wave—Lifesaving World Championships Adelaide 2018	\$50,615

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Nexus Multicultural Arts Centre Incorporated	Creative Cohesion: connecting communities through music	\$59,346
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Australian Refugee Association Inc	Building Stronger, Safer Communities	\$90,909
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	The Association of the Burundian Community of South Australia Inc.	Future without Violence for African Families	\$42,570
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Australian Migrant Resource Centre	Connecting Women—Building Leadership	\$99,364
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	Middle Eastern Communities Council of SA	Building Foundation	\$84,847
Stronger Families, Stronger Communities	ActNow Theatre Incorporated	Community dialogue through theatre	\$78,870
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	Amazing Northern Multicultural Services Inc	Community hall extension	\$50,000
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	Welcome to Australia Ltd	Bathroom facilities and centre upgrade	\$450
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	Amazing Northern Multicultural Services Inc	Community hall extension	\$50,000
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	Cyprus Community Of South Australia Incorporated	Community centre upgrade	\$100,000
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	St Sava Serbian Orthodox Church in Woodville Park Inc	Community hall upgrade	\$100,000
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	Cyprus Community Of South Australia Incorporated	Community centre upgrade	\$100,000
Multicultural Infrastructure Grants	St Sava Serbian Orthodox Church in Woodville Park Inc	Community hall upgrade	\$100,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide United Football Club	Adelaide United Football Club Women's League Program	\$75,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Renew Adelaide Incorporated	Operational funding	\$300,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	APY Art Centre Collective Aboriginal Corporation	Contribution to secure tenancy at 9 Light Square, Adelaide	\$100,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide Football Club Ltd	Multicultural Video Production grant	\$150,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Playford Memorial Trust	Annual grant funding for 2018-19	\$85,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Tillman Group Pty Ltd	Tester Truck program	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Funding for accommodation and corporate costs	\$62,500
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide Symphony Orchestra Pty Ltd	Funding for Tour to China and South Korea 2019	\$250,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Flinders University of South Australia	Postdoctoral Fellowship Project	\$448,704
Discretionary Grant Payments	University of South Australia	Positive Futures Research Collaboration Aboriginal Engagement Program	\$47,070
Discretionary Grant Payments	Susan Crafter, French Consulate	French National Day 2019	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Susan Crafter, French Consulate	Premier and Ambassador's Dinner	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	French Australian Chamber of Commerce	Australia's Space Program, what's next? A French perspective	\$2,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Discretionary Grant Payments	Australian Davos Connection Ltd	Host a global blockchain summit in Adelaide 18-19/3/2019	\$200,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Connecting Up	Ongoing Management, hosting, and development of the online SA Community services information directory	\$120,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Wright Technologies Pty Ltd	To develop the co-winning idea from the 2019 Blockchain Innovation Challenge: deliver a research prototype for wine security	\$50,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	G&T Technology Pty Ltd	To develop the co-winning idea from the 2019 Blockchain Innovation Challenge: use blockchain to link wine screw cap closures and QR codes	\$50,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide City Council	Funding for the acquisition of 88 O'Connell Street, North Adelaide	\$5,000,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Committee for Adelaide	Adelaide Abroad	\$50,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Jawun	Indigenous Corporate Partnerships Program in South Australia	\$200,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	APY Law and Culture—Food and Fuel to support Cultural Business	\$182,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Aboriginal Lands Trust	Funding for the administration of the Aboriginal Lands Act 2013	\$1,287,911
Discretionary Grant Payments	Narungga Nation Aboriginal Corporation (NNAC)	To support NNAC to strengthen its governance to take advantage of economic opportunities as outlined in the Buthera Agreement	\$600,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Supply Nation	Partnerships to support Aboriginal economic prosperity by integrating small to medium-sized Indigenous businesses into the supply chains of Australian companies and government agencies	\$63,760
Discretionary Grant Payments	Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement	Aboriginal Visitors Scheme	\$176,300
Discretionary Grant Payments	Gladys Elphick Award Committee of SA Inc	Support for the Gladys Elphick Awards	\$20,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	NAIDOC SA Incorporated	Funding for NAIDOC SA Activities 2019	\$18,182
Discretionary Grant Payments	Nunukuwarrin Yunti of South Australia Inc	Funding for community consultation process under Section 29 Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Recurrent funding to administer the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)	\$1,565,280
Discretionary Grant Payments	Reconciliation SA Inc	Implementation of the business plan and Reconciliation events	\$210,323
Discretionary Grant Payments	Reconciliation SA Inc	New website and web based booking system	\$15,540
Discretionary Grant Payments	Maralinga Tjarutja	Recurrent funding to administer the Maralinga Tjarutja Land Rights Act 1984 (SA)	\$728,910
Discretionary Grant Payments	Nunukuwarrin Yunti of South Australia Inc	Recurrent funding to support the operation of the SA Link-Up Program	\$70,099
Discretionary Grant Payments	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Support for the 'Inspiring South Australian Aboriginal Women Who Impact the World 2019' event	\$34,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	University of South Australia	Governors Aboriginal Youth Higher Education Award for Ms Arabella Hart	\$3,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Aboriginal Drug and Alcohol Council	Contribution towards cost of holding the inaugural SA Aboriginal Youth NAIDOC Awards	\$2,500
Discretionary Grant Payments	Nathan May	Governors Aboriginal Youth Arts Award for Mr Nathan May	\$3,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement	Closing the Gap Workshops	\$10,909
Discretionary Grant Payments	Punjabi Association Of South Australia Inc	Annual Multicultural Diwali Mela	\$10,000

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Adelaide Festival Centre Foundation Incorporated	OzAsia Festival	\$10,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	United Indians of South Australia Incorporated	Ganesh Festival in South Australia-Australia Cha Raja	\$7,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Hindu Council of Australia (SA Chapter)	Deepavali Mela 2018	\$10,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	San Giorgio La Molara Community Centre Inc	San Giorgio La Molara Community Centre 40 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary Commemorative Book	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Latvian Co-operative Limited	Toilet upgrade	\$20,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide Sarvajanik Ganeshotsav Samitee Incorporated	Adelaide Sarvajanik Ganeshotsav	\$3,500
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Chinese Association Of South Australia Incorporated	Cantonese Opera Cultural Concert 2019	\$7,800
Discretionary Grant Payments	Association Of Latvian Organisations In South Australia Inc	A centenary of Latvia's history	\$3,500
Discretionary Grant Payments	Bund der Bayern Incorporated	60th Year Anniversary of Bavarian Dancing in South Australia	\$6,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Islamic Society of South Australia Inc	Community Liaison Officer	\$50,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Multicultural Youth SA	2019 South Australia Migrant Small Business Expo	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Dutch Community (Dutch Social and Welfare Club) Inc	Kitchen upgrade	\$7,900
Discretionary Grant Payments	Islamic Information Centre of South Australia Inc	Additional funding for Eid Festival	\$10,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Migrant Resource Centre of SA	Exploration of the availability of low income housing in regional South Australia (in partnership with the Hugo Centre)	\$15,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Mauritian Association Of South Australia Incorporated	Mauritian Independence & Republic Day Celebration	\$2,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Campania Sports and Social Club Community Centre Inc	Renovation of Napoli Hall	\$40,300
Discretionary Grant Payments	St Gregorios Indian Orthodox Church Inc	Vegetable Garden & Install Irrigation Systems for Kids / Youth Development	\$4,900
Discretionary Grant Payments	Migrant Resource Centre of SA	Drive About program (in partnership with Ambrose Solutions)	\$50,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Punjab Aussie Association of South Australia Incorporated	Vaisakhi Mela	\$6,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Ghan United Soccer Club Incorporated	All Afghan-Australians Multicultural tournament	\$4,900
Discretionary Grant Payments	Associazione Santa Eufemia	Facility improvement to support the annual Santa Eufemia Festival	\$12,500
Discretionary Grant Payments	Bosnian and Hercegovina Muslim Society	Asbestos Removal Project	\$20,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of Australia Consolidated Trust —Greek Welfare Centre SA	Solar Panels	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Farda (Tomorrow) Association	TV Equipment	\$4,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Slava Ukrainian Cultural Centre Inc	Pysanka Festival	\$5,000



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Czechoslovak Club In South Australia Inc	Community development activities located at Czechoslovak Club	\$1,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Dutch Community (Dutch Social and Welfare Club) Inc	Tables and chairs	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Islamic Society of South Australia Inc	Ramadan Carnival	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	The Bulgarians' Educational and Friendly Society	Zdravei Bulgarian Cultural Festival 2019	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Sri Lankan Catholic Association of South Australia Inc	Together for Sri Lanka Fundraising Dinner	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Croatian Sports Centre SA Incorporated	Lighting for sports centre	\$15,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Australian Unitarian Druze Community Inc	Sound System	\$5,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Lebanese Community Advisory Centre	Monthly Community Get Together	\$2,400
Discretionary Grant Payments	Hugo Centre—University of Adelaide	Research Project on South Australia's Multicultural Population	\$1,650
Discretionary Grant Payments	Greek Orthodox Community Of The Nativity Of Christ Port Adelaide And Environs	Outdoor Community Kitchen for Port Adelaide Greek Orthodox Community	\$12,400
Discretionary Grant Payments	South Australian Council for the Greek Cultural Month Incorporated	Festival Hellenika 2019	\$3,170
Discretionary Grant Payments	Afghan National Association of South Australia Incorporated	Trailer purchase for volunteers' outdoor activities and kitchen equipment	\$9,000
Discretionary Grant Payments	Adelaide Nepalese Cricket Association	Venue hire and uniforms for Adelaide Nepal Cup in March 2019	\$2,277

The following table details the *new* commitment of grants in 2018-19 for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Promotion of the State	Brand South Australia	Operational funding 2018-19	\$1,106,000
Promotion of the State	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Operational funding 2018-19	\$157,500
Promotion of the State	Australia Day Council of SA Inc	Indigenous Program	\$150,000
Promotion of the State	Carols by Candlelight (SA) Inc	Carols by Candlelight 2018	\$98,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Wirrabara Craft House Inc	Improvements to Wirrabara War Memorial	\$4,207
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Nuriootpa High School	Replacement of plaque on School Memorial	\$1,804
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Loxton RSL Sub-Branch	World War I mural at entrance of Loxton RSL	\$2,145
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Strathalbyn RSL	Repairs to War Memorial surrounds	\$3,520
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Stansbury Progress Association Inc	Upgrade to Stansbury War Memorial	\$3,293

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Legacy Club Of Adelaide Inc	Presentation of set of miniature service medals to children of deceased veterans	\$3,054
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Legacy Club Of Adelaide Inc	Production of documentary film of Tour de Legacy fundraising event	\$19,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Moonta RSL	Publication of collection of letters from the front during World War I	\$2,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Regional Council Of Goyder	Restoration of Krupp field gun	\$7,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	District Council of Mount Remarkable	Repairs to Boloroo Centre Monument	\$1,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	RSL Crystal Brook Sub-Branch	Creation of wall of remembrance	\$5,143
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	RSL Macclesfield Sub-Branch	Construction of Gabion wall with signage in memorial gardens	\$3,700
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	RSL Ardrossan Sub-Branch	Placement of commemorative signage	\$4,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Vietnam Veterans Association	Recording oral histories of veterans from Korean & Malaya Borneo conflict	\$4,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	University Of South Australia	Production of commemorative educational documentary on Vietnam	\$6,740
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Royal Society for the Blind Pty Ltd	Operation K9—Assistance Dog Program	\$15,000
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	AEME/RAEME Association of South Australia Inc	Craftsman Doug Borlace Memorial Restoration Project	\$732
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Norton Summit / Ashton CFS	Norton Summit War Memorial Project	\$500
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Flinders University	Return of unspent grant funds from 2016-17	-\$6,252
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Outback Communities Authority	Provision of park benches at remote war memorial sites	\$9,343
ANZAC Day Commemoration Fund	Salisbury RSL Sub Branch Inc	Painting of mural on RSL building	\$2,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Ananguku Arts and Cultural Aboriginal Corporation	Ku Arts Infrastructure Project—Repairs and improvements of art centres on the APY Lands	\$430,000
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Regional Anangu Services Aboriginal Corporation	Funding for the Community Administration Officers Coordinator and associated office costs	\$256,250
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	APY Art Centre Collective Aboriginal Corporation	Contribution to the construction/purchase of a new house for the Iwantja Art Centre Manager	\$222,909
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY)	Recurrent funding to administer the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)	\$283,032

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	Ngaanyatjarra Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (NPY) Women's Council	To support the NPYWC Domestic and Family Violence Program in reducing family violence in remote communities in the APY Lands and to support women and children who have experienced domestic violence in their interactions with the justice system	\$528,303
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	APY Art Centre Collective Aboriginal Corporation	Contribution to the removal of an existing fence and construction of a new fence at Tjala Arts in Amata, APY Lands	\$38,897
Administered Discretionary Grant Payments	SANFL	2019 Don McSweeney Lands Cup	\$30,000

## Defence SA

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2018-19 and across the forward estimates for Defence SA- Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
Space Innovation Fund	Scholarships for space related studies, Commercialisation and research to market to support for new ventures	389	1,000	1,000	325
Defence Innovation Partnership Collaborative Research Fund	To foster relevant research and development collaborations with researchers, industry and Defence	655	420	420	270
Premiers Defence Industry Scholarship Program	To support defence industry export opportunities	-	150	150	150
Veteran's SA Annual Discretionary Fund	Support the Veteran's community in South Australia (1)	N/A	206	205	204
Veteran's SA Headstone Project	Ensure First World War Veterans appropriately commemorated	N/A	10	10	-

(1) Veterans SA transferred to Defence SA 1/7/2019

The following table provides the allocation of grant program/funds for 2018-19 and across the forward estimates for Defence SA- Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Purpose of grant program/fund	2018-19 Estimated result \$000	2019-20 Budget \$000	2020-21 Estimate \$000	2021-22 Estimate \$000
ANZAC Commemorative Fund (1)	Acknowledge the contribution of all those who have served Australia in time of war or armed conflict, or in international peace keeping operations	N/A	100	100	100

(1) Veterans SA transferred to Defence SA 1/7/2019

The following table details the *new* commitment of grants in 2018-19 for Defence SA—Controlled:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$000
Space Innovation Fund			
	Aaron Pereira	Post-doctoral Research USA	20
	Marcus Andreucci	Scholarship Masters Degree France	20

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$000
	Matthew Stead	Small Satellites Conference, Wind Farm Industrial Experience, University of SA VCS US Program USA	20
	Scott Schneider	Advanced Master of Laws—Air & Space Law Netherlands	20
Defence Innovation Partnership Collaborative Research Fund			
	University of Adelaide	Defence related collaborative research project	150
	Dynamic Solutions Engineering	Defence related collaborative research project	150
	DEWC Systems	Defence related collaborative research project	150
	Acacia Systems	Defence related collaborative research project	150
	University of South Australia	Defence related collaborative research project	95
Other Grants			
	Raytheon Australia	Establish facility and expand operations in South Australia	8,000
	Defence Teaming Centre	Veteran's Defence Industry Employment Program	700
	Defence Teaming Centre	Lot 14 Landing Pad Fitout	18
	Defence Teaming Centre	SADISP transition funding	250
	IGS Networks	Infrastructure works associated with NGN Satellite Station and high speed connectivity to Lot 14 Mission Control	200
	University of South Australia	Southern Hemisphere Space Studies Program Scholarships	50
	University of Adelaide	Technocampus Collaboration	30

The following table details the *new* commitment of grants in 2018-19 for Defence SA—Administered:

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary/Recipient	Purpose	Value \$
N.A. (1)			

(1) Veterans SA transferred to Defence SA 1/7/2019

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **Mr BROWN (Playford)** (24 July 2019). (Estimates Committee A)

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier):** I have been advised that for the Department of the Premier and Cabinet:

The government has provided a complete list of grants paid during 2018-19 in omnibus question 13 (previous answer).

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

In 2018-19 major governance reforms occurred across the Department for Health and Wellbeing and this has resulted in a higher than normal movement in executive roles.

Between 1 July 2018 and 30 June 2019, there were 28 executive roles abolished. They were:

CALHN	DIRECTOR EPAS CLINICAL DELIVERY	SAES1
CALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BUSINESS REFORM	SAES1
CALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PEOPLE AND CULTURE	SAES1
CALHN	DIRECTOR, COMMISSIONING NEW RAH	EXA
CALHN	DIRECTOR, ICT PROGRAM NEW RAH	EXA
CALHN (SCSS)	CHIEF PHARMACIST/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, SA PHARMACY	EXB

CALHN (SCSS)	DIRECTOR, STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT	EXA
CHSALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, SERVICE IMPROVEMENT AND REFORM	SAES1
CHSALHN	REGIONAL DIRECTOR, BAROSSA HILLS FLEURIEU	SAES1
CHSALHN	REGIONAL DIRECTOR, RIVERLAND MALLEE COORONG	SAES1
CHSALHN	REGIONAL DIRECTOR, SOUTH EAST RURAL REGION	SAES1
CHSALHN	REGIONAL DIRECTOR, YORKE AND NORTHERN	SAES1
CHSALHN	REGIONAL DIRECTOR, FLINDERS & UPPER NORTH	SAES1
CHSALHN	DIRECTOR, EYRE & FAR NORTH	SAES1
CHSALHN	DIRECTOR, MENTAL HEALTH STRATEGIC OPERATIONS	SAES1
CHSALHN	DIRECTOR, ABORIGINAL HEALTH	SAES1
CHSALHN	DIRECTOR, WORKFORCE	SAES1
CHSALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR NURSING AND MIDWIFERY	SAES1
SAAS	FINANCE DIRECTOR	SAES1
SAAS	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, CORPORATE BUSINESS AND SUPPORT SERVICES	SAES1
WCHN	DIRECTOR, CHILD SAFETY STRATEGY	SAES1
DHW	SENIOR DIRECTOR, PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES/DEPUTY CHIEF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER	SAES1
DHW	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER & CHIEF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER	SAES2
DHW	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, OPERATIONAL SERVICE IMPROVEMENT AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT	SAES2
DHW	DIRECTOR, STRATEGIC SUPPORT UNIT	SAES1
DHW	PROJECT DIRECTOR, DISTRIBUTION CENTRE INVESTMENT PROJECT	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR, MEDIA	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR LEGAL AND LEGISLATIVE POLICY	SAES1

Between 1 July 2018 and 30 June 2019 there were 36 executive roles created. They were:

CALHN	CHIEF DATA AND ANALYTICS OFFICER	SAES1
CALHN	ADMINISTRATOR	SAES2
CALHN	ADMINISTRATOR	SAES2
CALHN	INTERIM LEAD, WORKFORCE MANAGEMENT AND REFORM	SAES1
CALHN	DIRECTOR CLINICAL GOVERNANCE	SAES1
CALHN	TEMPORARY COO ROLE	SAES2
CALHN (SCSS)	DIRECTOR, BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND STRATEGY	SAES1
CALHN (SCSS)	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, SA PHARMACY	SAES1
CALHN (SCSS)	DIRECTOR PATHOLOGY OPERATIONS	SAES1
CALHN (SCSS)	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PATHOLOGY	SAES1
CHSALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, RURAL SUPPORT SERVICE	SAES1
CHSALHN	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, GOVERNANCE REFORM	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, BAROSSA HILLS FLEURIEU	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, RIVERLAND MURRAY COORONG	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, SOUTH EAST	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, YORKE AND NORTHERN	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, EYRE AND FAR NORTH	SAES1
CHSALHN	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, FLINDERS AND UPPER NORTH	SAES1

SAAS	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, CORPORATE SERVICES	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR, DOMICILIARY CARE PROJECT	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR, GOVERNANCE REFORM PROJECT	SAES1
DHW	CHIEF PHARMACIST	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR MENTAL HEALTH PLANNING, POLICY AND SAFETY	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR, EHEALTH PROJECT SERVICES	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE	SAES1
DHW	DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE	SAES2
DHW	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, HEALTH PROTECTION & LICENSING SERVICES	SAES1
DHW	CHIEF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER	SAES2
DHW	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER	SAES2
DHW	PROJECT DIRECTOR, EUC	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR CORPORATE AFFAIRS	SAES1
DHW	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, HEALTH SERVICES PROGRAM & FUNDING (NEW)	SAES2
DHW	DIRECTOR, HEALTH SERVICES PROGRAM & FUNDING (NEW)	SAES1
DHW	DIRECTOR SAFETY AND QUALITY (NEW)	SAES1
DHW	COMMISSIONER	
DHW	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WELLBEING SA	

#### GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

- For 2018-19 Actual

Department/Agency	2018/2019 Budget		2018/2019 Actual	
	No of FTEs budgeted to provide Communication and Promotion Activities	Estimated Employment Expense	No of actual FTEs to provide Communication and Promotion Activities	Employment Expense
Department for Health and Wellbeing	14.1	\$1,448,695	12	\$1,251,254
Country Health SA	5.0	\$510,559	5.0	\$494,053
Northern Adelaide Local Health Network	4.5	\$424,433	2.21	\$222,000
SA Ambulance Service	5.0	\$599,104	3.7	\$380,987
Southern Adelaide Local Health Network	4.9	\$482,201	4.54	\$495,802
Central Adelaide Local Health Network	5.0	\$515,993	6.14	\$579,317
Women's and Children's Health Network	5.0	\$515,245	5.0	\$515,245
DASSA	3.0	\$307,060	2.5	\$214,332
TOTAL	46.5	\$4,803,290	41.09	\$4,152,990

The table below outlines the budgeted FTEs and estimated employment costs:

- For 2019-20

Department/Agency	No of FTEs budgeted to provide Communication and Promotion Activities	Estimated Employment Expense
Department for Health and Wellbeing	15.1	\$1,641,269
Country Health SA	2.0	\$209,674
Northern Adelaide Local Health Network	3.0	\$306,352
SA Ambulance Service	4.2	\$420,194
Southern Adelaide Local Health Network	5.63	\$563,138
Central Adelaide Local Health Network	6.7	\$671,224
Women's and Children's Health Network	5.0	\$522,973
DASSA	2.0	\$205,553
Barossa Hills Fleurieu Local Health Network	0.0	\$0
Eyre and Far North Local Health Network	0.0	\$0
Flinders and Upper North Local Health Network	0.5	\$44,000
Limestone Coast Local Health Network	0.5	\$51,055
Riverland Mallee Coorong Local Health Network	0.5	\$48,716
Yorke and Northern Local Health Network	0.5	\$48,716
TOTAL	45.63	\$4,732,864

As an open and transparent government, marketing communications activity reports and annual media expenditure details are proactively disclosed. The reports list all marketing campaigns over the cost of \$50,000 and are disclosed on the DPC website: <https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/about-the-department/accountability/government-marketing-advertising-expenditure>.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEES

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Attraction allowances, retention allowances and non-salary benefits paid to public servants and contractors between 1 July 2018 and 30 June 2019:

LHN/DHW	Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Allowance Amount	End Date
CALHN	Director Safety & Quality	MAS3	A&R	20,280	
CALHN	Clinical Director	MD02	A&R	50,000	30/06/2022
CALHN	Consultant Intensive Therapy	MD02	A&R	57,493	30/06/2020
CALHN	Senior Registrar HRC Spinal	MDP4	A&R	21,439	
CALHN	Nursing Co-Director MH	RN/M6	A&R	17,617	20/11/2019
CALHN	Director Aboriginal Health	ASO8	A&R	19,174	29/04/2020
CALHN	Project Manager SCSS	ASO8	A&R	17,492	28/11/2019
CHSALHN	Manager Strategic Medical	MAS	A&R	26,285	
CHSALHN	Senior Manager Digital Health	ASO8	A&R	29,153	31/03/2021
NALHN	ED Allied Health	AHP6	A&R	10,000	11/12/2020
NALHN	Director Corporate Operations	MAS3	A&R	10,000	07/01/2021
SAAS	Director Workforce	MAS3	A&R	17,530	14/04/2020
SALHN	Clinical Director	MD02	A&R	20,718	30/04/2020
SALHN	Medical Director	MD02	A&R	40,340	

LHN/DHW	Position Title	Classification	Allowance Type	Allowance Amount	End Date
SALHN	Co-Ordinator Aboriginal Clinical Services	ASO7	A&R	27,077	
SALHN	EPAS Manager	MAS3	A&R	17,530	28/12/2019
SALHN	Senior Misconduct Consultant	ASO6	A&R	11,964	
WCHN	Director Health Information Services	MAS3	A&R	25,000	
WCHN	Medical Practitioner	MDP4	A&R	81,010	21/12/2020
WCHN	Director Aboriginal Health	MAS3	A&R	35,060	05/08/2019
DHW	Associate Director	MES5	A&R	25,000	07/03/2020
DHW	Manager Capital Planning	MAS3	A&R	23,373	20/02/2020
DHW	Principal Consultant Executive Services	ASO8	A&R	5,200	04/02/2020
DHW	Team Lead Technical Support	ASO8	A&R	28,703	10/07/2019
DHW	Manager health Protection Programs	PO4	A&R	18,684	26/03/2020
DHW	Director Health Protection	PO6	A&R	25,475	01/02/2021
DHW	Manager Strategy and Reform	MAS3	A&R	34,700	12/04/2019
DHW	Communications Engineer	ASO5	A&R	15,533	
DHW	Asst Director Customer Services	MAS3	A&R	29,217	31/01/2020
DHW	Senior Manager Customer Engagement	MAS3	A&R	29,217	30/01/2021
DHW	Customer Account Manager	ASO8	A&R	28,703	30/01/2021
DHW	ECU Transformation Manager	MAS3	A&R	9,349	22/12/2019
DHW	Senior Enterprise Architect	ASO8	A&R	22,963	27/12/2019
DHW	Senior Manager Application Services	MAS3	A&R	38,332	28/08/2019
DHW	Director Medical Education	MAS3	A&R	35,060	02/08/2019
DHW	Asst Director Budgeting & Finance	MAS3	A&R	35,060	17/04/2020
DHW	Principal Corporate Assurance Manager	ASO8	A&R	10,000	31/07/2019
DHW	Principal Statistician	AHP4	A&R	10,911	26/01/2020
DHW	Asst Director Procurement	MAS3	A&R	13,000	29/03/2021
DHW	Case Manager	ASO5	A&R	4,315	30/04/2020
DHW	Senior Manager Security Services	MAS3	A&R	18,560	20/11/2020
DHW	General Manager Revenue Services	MAS3	A&R	10,500	28/02/2020
DHW	Manager Pathology Systems	MAS3	A&R	35,060	28/03/2020
DHW	Manager Contracts RAH	ASO8	A&R	10,000	20/01/2021
DHW	Senior Manager Infrastructure	MAS3	A&R	21,954	30/07/2019
DHW	BI Software Architect	MAS3	A&R	23,683	30/06/2020
DHW	Snr Business Analyst	MAS3	A&R	22,021	30/06/2020
DHW	Snr Mgr Customer Engagement	MAS3	A&R	29,153	31/03/2021
DHW	Data Warehouse Architect	MAS3	A&R	23,683	30/06/2020

#### MINISTERIAL STAFF

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised the following in relation to staff employed within my office:

- Ministerial staff employed as at 5 July was published in the *Government Gazette* on 18 July 2019.
- The following table lists public sector staff employed as at 30 June 2019

Title	ASO Classification	Non- salary benefits
Office Manager	ASO8	N/A
Executive Assistant to the Minister	ASO6	Reimbursement of business calls on personal mobile phone.
Personal Assistant/Liaison Officer (0.2 FTE)	ASO5	N/A
Senior Ministerial Liaison Officer	ASO6	N/A
Senior Ministerial Liaison/Parliamentary Officer	ASO6	N/A
Senior Ministerial Liaison/Cabinet Officer	ASO6	N/A
Ministerial Liaison Officer	ASO5	N/A
Ministerial Liaison Officer	ASO5	N/A
Executive Services & Quality Control Officer	ASO4	N/A
Senior Business Support Officer	ASO3	N/A
Business Support Officer	ASO3	N/A
Business Support Officer	ASO3	N/A

#### TERMINATION PAYOUTS

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)



**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Two executive level employees have been terminated from SA Health since 1 July 2018.

Details of any separation payments of former executive employees will not be released as it is considered an unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

#### PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Between 1 July 2018 and 30 June 2019, the following new executive appointments were made within the Department for Health and Wellbeing:

NAME	POSITION TITLE	SAES LEVEL
Kelly Barns	Director Governance Reform Project	SAES 1
Martin Courland	Director eHealth Project Services	SAES 1
Rachel Newrick	Director, Program Delivery Support Office	SAES 1
Lynette Pugh	Director Domiciliary Care Project	SAES 1
Julienne TePohe	Executive Director, Business Improvement	SAES 2
Virginia Wilkinson	Director Office of the Chief Executive	SAES 1

Between 1 July 2018 and 30 June 2019, the following new executive appointments were made within the Local Health Networks and SA Ambulance Service:

NAME	POSITION TITLE	SAES LEVEL
Mark Chilvers	Executive Director, SA Dental Service, CALHN	SAES 1
Andrew McAlindon	Chief Data and Analytics Officer, CALHN	SAES 1
Lesley Dwyer	Chief Executive Officer, CALHN	SAES 2
Chris Martin	Administrator, CALHN	SAES 2
Mark Mentha	Administrator, CALHN	SAES 2
Helen Kemp	Lead, Workforce Management and Reform	SAES 1
Bronwyn Masters	Executive Director Operations RAH, CALHN	SAES 1
Kathryn Zeitz	Director Clinical Governance, CALHN	SAES 1
Craig Martin	Director Business Development and Strategy, SCSS, CALHN	SAES 1
Terry Sparrow	Executive Director Aboriginal Health, CHSALHN	SAES 1
Verity Paterson	Chief Executive Officer, Eyre and Far North*	SAES 1
Marina Bowshall	State Director Drug and Alcohol Services	SAES 1
Heather Baron	Director, Child and Family Health Service, WCHN	SAES 1
Sarah McRae	Chief Operating Officer, WCHN	SAES 1
Julia Waddington-Powell	Director Operations Country, SAAS	SAES 1

Individual executive total remuneration package values as detailed in schedule 2 of an executive employee's contract will not be disclosed as it is deemed to be unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs.

#### GRANT PROGRAMS

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

The government has provided a complete list of grants paid during 2018-19 in question 13.

#### GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Section 4 of DPC Circular 13—Annual Reporting details the use of the annual report template. The template includes sections for an organisational structure and changes to the agency to be included by each agency.

I refer the member to the annual reports published for each of the agencies I am responsible for.

#### EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

The LMH ED Expansion project will provide an increase in the paediatric assessment cubicles as well as a dedicated waiting room, play area and parenting room.

**WOODLEIGH HOUSE**

In reply to **Mr BOYER (Wright)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

The third and final stage of the Woodleigh House upgrade will be completed by the first half of 2020.

**PUBLIC SECTOR EXECUTIVES**

In reply to **Ms BEDFORD (Florey)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Individual executive total remuneration package values as detailed in Schedule 2 of an executive employee's contract will not be disclosed as it is deemed to be unreasonable disclosure of personal affairs. The following are the Department for Health and Wellbeing executive positions as at 30 June 2019:

1	Executive Director, Procurement and Supply Chain Management/Chief Procurement Officer	SAES 1
2	Director, Health Performance Council Secretariat	SAES 1
3	Director, Governance Reform Project	SAES 1
4	Director, Strategy and Architecture	SAES 1
5	Director, Project Services	SAES 1
6	Director, Corporate Finance	SAES 1
7	Director, Portfolio and Strategy	SAES 1
8	Director, Women's and Children's Capital Program	SAES 1
9	Director, Financial Accounting	SAES 1
10	Executive Director, Infrastructure	SAES 1
11	Executive Director, System Design and Planning	SAES 1
12	Chief Nurse and Midwife	SAES 1
13	Executive Director, Strategy & Intergovernment Relations	SAES 1
14	Director, Technology & Infrastructure	SAES 1
15	Secretary, COAG Health Council	SAES 1
16	Executive Director, Media & Communications	SAES 1
17	Director, Aboriginal Health	SAES 1
18	Director, Program Delivery Support Office	SAES 1
19	Director, Capital Projects	SAES 1
20	Director Mental Health Planning, Policy and Safety	SAES 1
21	Director, Domiciliary Care Project	SAES 1
22	Director, Corporate Affairs	SAES 1
23	Group Director, Risk and Assurance Services	SAES 1
24	Director, SA Biomedical Engineering	SAES 1
25	Director, Procurement	SAES 1
26	Director, Corporate Systems Support	SAES 1
27	Project Director	SAES 1
28	Chief Allied & Scientific Health Advisor	SAES 1
29	Director Office for Ageing Well	SAES 1
30	Director, Office of the Chief Executive	SAES 1
31	Chief Psychiatrist / Director Mental Health Strategy	SAES 1
32	Deputy Chief Executive	SAES 2
33	Interim Chief Executive Officer, Wellbeing SA	SAES 2
34	Deputy Chief Executive	SAES 2
35	Chief Digital Health Officer	SAES 2
36	RAH Engineering Advisor	SAES 2
37	Executive Director, Provider Commissioning & Performance	SAES 2
38	Deputy Chief Executive	SAES 2
39	Executive Director Finance/Chief Finance Officer	SAES 2
40	Chief Public Health Officer	SAES 2
41	Chief Executive	

The following are the Local Health Network and SA Ambulance Service executive positions as at 30 June 2019:

#### Central Adelaide Local Health Network

1	Executive Director, Corporate Affairs	SAES 1
2	EMR Program Operations Director	SAES 1
3	Executive Director, SA Dental Services	SAES 1
4	Director, EMR Operations	SAES 1
5	Nursing Co-Director, Medical Services	SAES 1
6	Nursing Co-Director, Critical Care	SAES 1
7	Director Engagement and Commissioning, TQEH Stage 3 Redevelopment	SAES 1
8	Interim Lead, Workforce Management And Reform	SAES 1
9	Director, Operational Services	SAES 1
10	Chief Data and Analytics Officer	SAES 1
11	Executive Director Strategic Integration and Partnerships	SAES 1
12	Nursing Co-Director, Medicine; Director of Nursing TQEH	SAES 1
13	General Manager, Adelaide Dental Hospital	SAES 1
14	Director, EMR Implementation and Business Change	SAES 1
15	Nursing Co-Director, Surgery	SAES 1
16	Director Clinical Governance	SAES 1
17	Director of Finance, SCSS	SAES 1
18	Executive Director, SA Medical Imaging	SAES 1
19	Director, Business Development And Strategy, SCSS	SAES 1
20	Executive Director Operations—RAH	SAES 1
21	Executive Director Operations TQEH	SAES 1
22	Executive Director, Finance and Business Services	SAES 2
23	Administrator	SAES 2
24	Administrator	SAES 2
25	Group Executive Director, SCSS	SAES 2
26	Chief Executive Officer	SAES 2

#### Northern Adelaide Local Health Network

1	Chief Operating Officer	SAES 1
2	Executive Director, Nursing & Midwifery/Clinical Governance	SAES 1
3	Chief Finance Officer	SAES 1
4	Executive Director of Human Resources and Workforce	SAES 1
5	Director, Aboriginal Health	SAES 1
6	Chief Executive Officer	SAES 2

#### Southern Adelaide Local Health Network

1	State Director, Drug And Alcohol Services SA	SAES 1
2	Executive Director Nursing and Midwifery	SAES 1
3	Chief Workforce Officer	SAES 1
4	Chief Finance Officer	SAES 1
5	Co-Director Operations, Rehab, Aged & Palliative Care	SAES 1
6	Co-Director Operations, Surgery	SAES 1
7	Chief Operating Officer	SAES 1
8	Chief Executive Officer	SAES 2

#### Country Health Local Health Networks

1	Executive Director, Rural Support Service	SAES 1
2	Executive Director, Corporate Services	SAES 1

1	Executive Director, Rural Support Service	SAES 1
3	Chief Executive Officer, Barossa Hills Fleurieu	SAES 1
4	Chief Executive Officer, Eyre and Far North	SAES 1
5	Chief Executive Officer, Flinders and Upper North	SAES 1
6	Chief Executive Officer, Limestone Coast	SAES 1
7	Chief Executive Officer, Riverland Mallee Coorong	SAES 1
8	Chief Executive Officer, Yorke and Northern	SAES 1

#### Women's and Children's Health Network

1	Director, Child & Family Health Service	SAES 1
2	Executive Director, Nursing & Midwifery	SAES 1
3	Chief Operating Officer	SAES 1
4	Chief Finance Officer	SAES 1
5	Executive Director, Corporate Services	SAES 1
6	Director Engagement & Commissioning, W&C Capital Prog	SAES 1
7	Executive Director, People and Culture	SAES 1
8	Chief Executive Officer	SAES 2

#### SA Ambulance Service

1	Executive Director, Corporate Services	SAES 1
2	Exec Director, Clinical Performance & Patient Safety	SAES 1
3	Director Of Operations Statewide Services	SAES 1
4	Director, Operations, Country	SAES 1
5	Chief Executive Officer	SAES 2

#### PREGNANCY ADVISORY SERVICE

In reply to **Ms BEDFORD (Florey)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. The Pregnancy Advisory Centre (PAC), administered by the Central Adelaide Local Health Network, offers safe and specialised health care and advice on unplanned pregnancy and termination services for South Australian women.

A number of metropolitan and country hospitals also offer counselling and termination services, including The Queen Elizabeth Hospital (under the auspices of the PAC), Flinders Medical Centre, Noarlunga Hospital and Lyell McEwin Hospital. The Women and Children's Health Network provide termination services for gestation up to 22 weeks and six days with congenital anomalies/foetal compromise or medical grounds.

Regional centres offering services include Mount Barker, Clare, Gawler, Murray Bridge, Port Lincoln, Tanunda and Wallaroo. With the exception of Mount Barker, these regional services provide medical terminations up to nine weeks gestation, and provide quality referral services beyond that time.

The Pregnancy Advisory Centre is run by Central Adelaide Local Health Network.

2. The Pregnancy Advisory Centre is run by the Central Adelaide Local Health Network. Termination services at the Women's and Children's Health Network that replicated those provided by the Pregnancy Advisory Centre were consolidated to the Pregnancy Advisory Centre in July 2018 to ensure women receive more timely, streamlined services from the specialist multidisciplinary team.

#### KORDAMENTHA

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. The CALHN Financial Recovery and Sustainability Steering Committee initially attempted to recruit for the role of Executive Director Turnaround Team, to service this requirement. The committee approached three recruitment companies, with a view to providing CALHN a number of appropriate candidates for the role. After an extensive search, all recruiting companies advised CALHN this had been unsuccessful.

KordaMentha was not one of the three companies. KordaMentha is not a recruitment company.

2. KordaMentha were initially approached by the committee to ascertain whether they knew of any individual from their professional network who might be suitable to be referred in as a potential contractor.

KordaMentha advised they are not a recruitment company and they were unable to recommend any suitable individual contractors.

3. KordaMentha held discussions with the Department of Treasury and Finance in January 2019 to understand any separation package funding mechanisms available to CALHN. Specifics such as potential separations were not discussed.

#### LOCAL HEALTH NETWORK GOVERNING BOARDS

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. The Local Health Networks have been involved throughout the development of the service level agreements..

2. Yes, these registers have been established and are available to the public.

3. The presiding members panel was established as a committee of the Country Health SA Local Health Network Governing Council to bring community representatives together to provide advice to the governing council.

From 1 July 2019 the governing council has been reconstituted and will continue in a revised role as the Country Health Gift Fund Health Advisory Council.

Each of the governing boards of the regional local health networks will be working with their local health advisory councils as part of their community engagement strategies.

#### HEALTH AND WELLBEING DEPARTMENT

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. SA Health policy allows executives to request a car park. The cost of the car park is to be met by the executive, (pre-tax dollars) through an adjustment to their schedule 2.

15 DHW executives are provided with a car park as part of the executive contract, with those parameters outlined above.

2. There are five ministerial staff with access to a car park as part of their salary package.

3. Yes.

#### MENTAL HEALTH COMMISSION

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. The commissioner was interstate on the day of the estimates hearing.

2. I have regular conversations with the Mental Health Commissioner.

3. A consultation process commenced in April 2019 in relation to the interim government response to the mental health governance review. This consultation process sought comments and input through a number of mechanisms including both written submissions and consultation forums. The public consultation forum included presentations on the review by SA Health senior staff. The consultation outcomes were carefully considered by the government and informed the final response to the review.

#### MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. A letter from the Department for Health and Wellbeing in January 2019 advised that all state-funded mental health programs will continue until 30 June 2020, with an option to extend a further 12 months or part thereof. The letter advised that a contractual clause will be included to enable contracts to be varied in accordance with the funding for those clients that transition to the NDIS. Since then, a letter from the Chief Psychiatrist on 23 May 2019 and the Chief Executive, Department for Health and Wellbeing, on 12 June 2019, advised that existing clients who may be NDIS eligible will continue to receive SA Health funding until their NDIS application is approved and their plan is activated.

2. I refer to the answer above.

3. The proportion of funding transferred to the NDIS will reflect the proportion of clients who transfer to the NDIS from the eligible programs.

4. All non-government organisations affected by the transfer of funding to the NDIS from 2019-20 have been notified. There are no plans to transfer other mental health program funding at this stage outside of this scope.

#### MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Tentative planning is common in government projects. The implementation of the Mental Health Services Plan will involve community consultation.

#### MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. All but one action item in the Glenside Inpatient Rehabilitation Services (IRS) report have been met within the original time frames outlined in the CALHN Action Plan. Item 2.1, relating to rostering options, has a revised date of 30 May 2020 so that further analysis may be undertaken of roster patterns and consideration of a state-wide approach.

2. A briefing to the Minister for Health and Wellbeing on the review of Glenside Mental Health Inpatient Rehabilitation Service (dated 1 May 2019) was provided by Central Adelaide Local Health Network and was noted by the minister on 4 May 2019.

3. The Mental Health Directorate nursing leadership team are developing a competency verification tool which is based on Australian College of Mental Health Nursing Standards and will meet with individual nursing staff members as part of the individual performance review process.

4. One staff member has been through a disciplinary process which has been completed.

5. 52 of the original staff members work in the unit.

#### MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. As the majority of therapeutic psychology services on Eyre Peninsula are provided by private providers who either visit the region or provide services via digital telehealth (Skype etc), SA Health does not have this data.

2. I refer to the answer above.

3. Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services comprise a multidisciplinary team spread across seven sites in northern country South Australia. The Eyre Peninsula team, with primary sites at Port Lincoln and Whyalla, comprises social workers, mental health nurses, psychologists, occupational therapists, consultant psychiatrist and Aboriginal consultancy staff.

#### AGED-CARE FACILITIES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

The final report from the external clinical audit into state run aged-care facilities was received by the Department for Health and Wellbeing on 30 June 2019. It was received in my office on 9 August 2019.

#### AGED-CARE FACILITIES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

SA Health offered flu vaccinations to residents in state-run residential aged-care facilities from early April 2019.

SA Health were advised that four state-run residential aged-care facilities were on heightened alert due to influenza.

#### AGED-CARE FACILITIES

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Yes.

**HOME CARE PACKAGES**

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

Data on the operation of commonwealth services is maintained by the commonwealth.

**SA AMBULANCE SERVICE**

In reply to **Mr PICTON (Kaurna)** (26 July 2019). (Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. S.G. WADE (Minister for Health and Wellbeing):** I have been advised:

1. The 2019-20 budget provides increased funding to SAAS. I refer the member to the clarification provided during the estimates committee as to interpretation of FTE estimates.

2. SAAS is exploring with staff and the relevant union how changes to its operating model could improve access to breaks for staff..

3. The procurement process has taken longer than initially anticipated.

4. SAAS has sought and received approval to directly negotiate a short-term contract with the existing supplier, Mercedes-Benz Vans. During the next 12 months, SAAS will commence a new procurement process, exercising due caution.

5. I am advised the document 'A consultation paper for support services model corporate services' was prepared as a discussion document only and was still only in draft form.