

## HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Wednesday, 28 November 2018

The **SPEAKER (Hon. V.A. Tarzia)** took the chair at 10:30 and read prayers.

**The SPEAKER:** I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which the parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

*Matter of Privilege*

### MATTER OF PRIVILEGE

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (10:31):** I rise on a matter of privilege. On 14 November 2017, in response to a question from the then opposition leader, the member for West Torrens stated:

It's important to note that every single part of Our Energy Plan—every single part—whether it's our procurement of the world's largest lithium ion battery, whether it's our renewable technology fund, whether it's our procurement, every single part has been on time and on budget. There are no additional costs to those previously announced.

The only cost stated by the then Labor government was \$550 million. The Auditor-General's Report tabled yesterday confirms, 'The cost to deliver the Energy Plan was disclosed as \$550 million.' The special investigator, Mr Mark Livesey QC, appointed to look into the former government's procurement of emergency diesel generators, identified that the likely overall cost for the stand-by generators would be \$610 million by itself, exceeding the total cost of the former government's energy plan, put consistently at \$550 million by minister Koutsantonis and premier Weatherill.

The Auditor-General's Report tabled yesterday now exposes once and for all that the full cost of the 100-megawatt battery at Hornsdale is not included in the overall energy plan budget of \$550 million. Mr Speaker, in considering this matter, I urge your attention in particular to chapter 6 of the Auditor-General's Report, which commences on page 34, as to his findings but most specifically, under 6.3.2, commencing at page 38 and covered onto 39. It finds, 'The full cost of delivering initiatives in the Energy Plan was not reported.' May I draw your attention in particular to point 5 on page 38:

We observe from analysis of the 2017-18 budget figures that:

- only four years of payments (totalling \$15 million) for the 100 MW battery storage were included in the overall Energy Plan budget of \$550 million. These four years of payments are recognised in the Renewable Technology Fund's grants of \$75 million. The contract for 100 MW battery storage services is for 10 years. The remaining six years of contract payments, which are about \$27 million, are not included in the \$550 million budget because they fall outside the estimate years in the 2017-18 State Budget

He goes on to make commentary in relation to the uncertainty as to whether the long-term gas generators could be delivered within the \$300 million budget. Again, more particularly at page 39, another finding states:

- the \$550 million budget did not include the estimated whole-of-life costs for delivering the gas turbine generators (ie long-term emergency generation capacity). Again, this was because the expected cost falls outside the four-year time frame covered by the State Budget. The Special Investigator identified that the likely overall cost for the generators would be \$610 million, comprising at least \$494.5 million to procure, relocate, operate and maintain them, and initial lease costs for the first 13 months of around \$115 million.

Other commentary is made, but I draw your attention to about point 5 of the Department for Energy and Mining (DEM) response where they claim to provide a different view. I think it is a worthy read to identify the parameters. The report goes on to make the most damning—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —of the statements of the Auditor-General, notwithstanding the—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —protests of the Department for Energy and Mining on this. He says—

*The Hon. A. Koutsantonis interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** It is a privileges motion.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —and I draw your attention to this, and the member for West Torrens might also like to have a good read of this:

Cabinet, DTF and DPC were all aware of the costs and the Energy Implementation Unit continues to closely monitor and report on them each month.

I ask you, Mr Speaker, to carefully consider this report and the damning report in respect of what has been claimed by the member for West Torrens on 14 November 2017.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Leader, please!

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** I further seek that, giving consideration to this matter of privilege, you rule on a motion to establish a privileges committee and that it should be given precedence over other business of the House of Assembly.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** A QC and Auditor-General.

**The SPEAKER:** Deputy Premier, please! The Leader of the Opposition is not assisting here. I do understand the matter of privilege raised by the honourable member. I respectfully ask her to provide me with all relevant information. I will defer my decision and report back to the house at the first opportunity as to whether I consider the matter to be, prima facie, a matter of privilege.

**Mr Malinauskas:** So you are interested in QCs' opinions now?

**The SPEAKER:** Leader of the Opposition, please! I will have a copy of the materials, please, Deputy Premier.

#### *Bills*

### **SENTENCING (HOME DETENTION) AMENDMENT BILL**

#### *Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 14 November 2018.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (10:37):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes .....	22
Noes .....	18
Majority .....	4

#### **AYES**

Basham, D.K.B.  
Cregan, D.

Chapman, V.A.  
Duluk, S.

Cowdrey, M.J.  
Ellis, F.J.

## AYES

Gardner, J.A.W.	Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.
Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.	McBride, N.
Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.	Pisoni, D.G.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Teague, J.B.
Treloar, P.A.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.
Wingard, C.L.		

## NOES

Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.
Brock, G.G.	Brown, M.E. (teller)	Close, S.E.
Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.
Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.	Mullighan, S.C.
Odenwalder, L.K.	Piccolo, A.	Picton, C.J.
Rau, J.R.	Stinson, J.M.	Wortley, D.

## PAIRS

Pederick, A.S.	Cook, N.F.	Power, C.
Weatherill, J.W.		

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed.

**MOTOR VEHICLES (OFFENSIVE ADVERTISING) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 14 November 2018.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (10:43):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes .....	22
Noes .....	18
Majority .....	4

## AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Cregan, D.	Duluk, S.	Ellis, F.J.
Gardner, J.A.W.	Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.
Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.	McBride, N.
Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.	Pisoni, D.G.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Teague, J.B.
Treloar, P.A.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.
Wingard, C.L.		

## NOES

Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.
Brock, G.G.	Brown, M.E. (teller)	Close, S.E.
Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.
Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.	Mullighan, S.C.

## NOES

Odenwalder, L.K.  
Rau, J.R.

Piccolo, A.  
Stinson, J.M.

Picton, C.J.  
Wortley, D.

## PAIRS

Pederick, A.S.  
Cook, N.F.

Weatherill, J.W.

Power, C.

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed.

**SOUTH AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC HEALTH (IMMUNISATION AND EARLY CHILDHOOD SERVICES) AMENDMENT BILL**

*Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 4 July 2018.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (10:48):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes ..... 22  
Noes ..... 18  
Majority ..... 4

## AYES

Basham, D.K.B.  
Cregan, D.  
Gardner, J.A.W.  
Luethen, P.  
Murray, S.  
Sanderson, R.  
Treloar, P.A.  
Wingard, C.L.

Chapman, V.A.  
Duluk, S.  
Harvey, R.M. (teller)  
Marshall, S.S.  
Patterson, S.J.R.  
Speirs, D.J.  
van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.

Cowdrey, M.J.  
Ellis, F.J.  
Knoll, S.K.  
McBride, N.  
Pisoni, D.G.  
Teague, J.B.  
Whetstone, T.J.

## NOES

Bettison, Z.L.  
Brock, G.G.  
Gee, J.P.  
Koutsantonis, A.  
Odenwalder, L.K.  
Rau, J.R.

Bignell, L.W.K.  
Brown, M.E. (teller)  
Hildyard, K.A.  
Malinauskas, P.  
Piccolo, A.  
Stinson, J.M.

Boyer, B.I.  
Close, S.E.  
Hughes, E.J.  
Mullighan, S.C.  
Picton, C.J.  
Wortley, D.

## PAIRS

Pederick, A.S.  
Cook, N.F.

Weatherill, J.W.

Power, C.

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed.

**CRIMINAL LAW CONSOLIDATION (THROWING OBJECTS AT VEHICLES) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 20 June 2018.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (10:52):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes ..... 22

Noes ..... 18

Majority ..... 4

**AYES**

Basham, D.K.B.

Cregan, D.

Gardner, J.A.W.

Luethen, P.

Murray, S.

Sanderson, R.

Treloar, P.A.

Wingard, C.L.

Chapman, V.A.

Duluk, S.

Harvey, R.M. (teller)

Marshall, S.S.

Patterson, S.J.R.

Speirs, D.J.

van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.

Cowdrey, M.J.

Ellis, F.J.

Knoll, S.K.

McBride, N.

Pisoni, D.G.

Teague, J.B.

Whetstone, T.J.

**NOES**

Bettison, Z.L.

Brock, G.G.

Gee, J.P.

Koutsantonis, A.

Odenwalder, L.K.

Rau, J.R.

Bignell, L.W.K.

Brown, M.E. (teller)

Hildyard, K.A.

Malinauskas, P.

Piccolo, A.

Stinson, J.M.

Boyer, B.I.

Close, S.E.

Hughes, E.J.

Mullighan, S.C.

Picton, C.J.

Wortley, D.

**PAIRS**

Pederick, A.S.

Cook, N.F.

Weatherill, J.W.

Power, C.

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed.

**TOBACCO PRODUCTS REGULATION (E-CIGARETTE REGULATION) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 20 June 2018.)

**Mr PICTON (Kaurua) (10:57):** Given that we have now dealt with this issue, I move:

That the bill be withdrawn.

Motion carried; bill withdrawn.

**ROAD TRAFFIC (DRUG TESTING) AMENDMENT BILL***Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 4 July 2018.)

**Dr HARVEY (Newland) (10:57):** I move:

That this order of the day be postponed.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members, please. You will be departing the chamber. We have had a mover and a seconder that the debate be postponed.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes ..... 22  
Noes ..... 18  
Majority ..... 4

#### AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Cregan, D.	Duluk, S.	Ellis, F.J.
Gardner, J.A.W.	Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.
Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.	McBride, N.
Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.	Pisoni, D.G.
Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.	Teague, J.B.
Treloar, P.A.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.	Whetstone, T.J.
Wingard, C.L.		

#### NOES

Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.
Brock, G.G.	Brown, M.E. (teller)	Close, S.E.
Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.
Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.	Mullighan, S.C.
Odenwalder, L.K.	Piccolo, A.	Picton, C.J.
Rau, J.R.	Stinson, J.M.	Wortley, D.

#### PAIRS

Pederick, A.S.	Weatherill, J.W.	Power, C.
Cook, N.F.		

Motion thus carried; order of the day postponed.

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT (RATEPAYER PROTECTION AND RELATED MEASURES) AMENDMENT BILL

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (11:03):** I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

I rise to speak on the Local Government (Ratepayer Protection and Related Measures) Amendment Bill. Firstly, I would like to comment on how appropriately titled this bill is, because its provisions will protect ratepayers. Indeed, ratepayers will be placed at the very centre of how councils operate.

The bill strengthens the democratic control of councils by ratepayers and residents. Provisions are made for greater ratepayer oversight of council budgeting, greater disclosure of council expenses and performance, and greater and more effective consultation between councils and ratepayers. Through the empowerment of ratepayers, the bill directly tackles the waste and rorts that have afflicted some South Australian councils. I note that the Auditor-General is currently

undertaking a discretionary audit of credit card use by local government. This is an area that the bill tackles head on. The bill also empowers ratepayers to restrain council revenues and expenditures that can adversely impact upon the cost of living.

We have all heard about the waste and rorts that have occurred in some councils. South Australians have been rightly outraged at these examples of waste and abuse of ratepayers' money. While the outrage of these abuses is widespread, there are different opinions on how to effectively reduce their recurrence. Those opposite have long put forward that placing a cap on council rate revenues would reduce council expenditures and, by implication, reduce council waste and rorts. However, not only have those opposite never put forward a specific council rate cap but they have also been peddling a fallacy because reducing a council's revenue does not automatically reduce a council's expenditure or priorities that are not supported by the ratepayers and residents they serve.

There is a missing causal link in this flawed logic, and empirical evidence from the New South Wales rate pegging system undermines their assumption. The New South Wales experience has been that reducing council revenues does not lead to reduced council expenditure. Instead, council expenditures remain high and council debt, and therefore ratepayer debt, is increased. Data comparisons conducted by Professor Brian Dollery of the University of New England reveal that, in the period 2013-16, New South Wales councils expended on average significantly more than South Australian councils and maintained significantly higher levels of debt.

Capping council rate revenues does not reduce the incidence of waste and rorts either. Like any problem, you do not solve council misconduct through some sort of proxy measure. You reduce council waste and rorts through increased ratepayer oversight of budgeting, greater disclosure of council expenses, restrictions on CEO remuneration packages and annual council performance reviews. If the waste and rorts of some South Australian councils are to be avoided in the future, the provisions of the ratepayer protection bill must be supported by this parliament.

I now turn to the major provisions of the bill. To restrain council waste, you must strengthen oversight of councils' annual business plans and budgets. Under the ratepayer protection bill, any new council project valued at \$1 million or more and any new service valued at \$500,000 or more, calculated for the life of the service, will require a rate impact statement. These statements will disclose the amount of revenue required to be raised from rates and the impact on different classes of ratepayers.

Rate impact statements are important because they create a link between council revenues and expenditures, which is not created under a rate capping system. The logic is simple: if councils are forced to publicly disclose the rate impact of any new project or service above the relevant cost thresholds, they are more likely to make sure that their ratepayers want them and are prepared to pay for them.

In addition, the bill also compels council CEOs to report on their reasons for budget overruns on new projects and services if their costs exceed 110 per cent of the amount budgeted. No longer will councils be able to hide cost overruns because these reports would also be required to be published in a prominent place on the council's website. The bill also includes provision for council budgeting to include a detailed four-year estimate of revenues and expenditures, similar to the forward estimates included in the state budgets.

South Australians were rightfully shocked and appalled when it was revealed that ratepayers had paid for the CEO of the City of Onkaparinga council's membership joining fee for the prestigious Kooyonga Golf Club, valued in excess of \$6,000. This type of rort cannot occur again, and will not occur again, if the bill is supported by this parliament.

Under the ratepayer protection bill, CEO remuneration packages are strictly limited to salaries and superannuation contributions, a vehicle or vehicle allowance, a reasonable provision of ICT equipment for work purposes, and the provision of a place of residence for those regional councils with an existing asset that is wholly outside the metropolitan Adelaide area. This is designed to ensure that there is no additional cost imposed on rural and regional councils where they actually provide housing that is in council ownership to attract suitable candidates for the position of CEO. That was some of the feedback that we received from country councils.

There will be no more golf memberships or other rorts. CEO remuneration will be transparent and published in a prominent place on the council website. Councils will also be forced to disclose all member and staff credit card use and all council-funded gifts received by members of staff. I need to clarify a comment I made on radio FIVEaa that this provision requires the monthly disclosure of gift giving, etc., but does not ban it. It again ensures that council ratepayers and residents know what their councils are doing.

Council will also be forced to disclose all non land-based interstate and overseas member and staff travel, which makes all interstate and overseas travel more accountable. Something I often hear residents and ratepayers ask is: what is the value to the council of travel undertaken by council members, particularly CEOs and senior staff? Certainly, that has been raised in my own council area. When expenses are required to be disclosed on prominent pages of a council website, it places a significant deterrent against misuse of ratepayers' money.

The ratepayer protection bill also requires an annual review of council performance. Performance reviews will measure quantitative performance indicators, designed to provide cross-council comparisons, such as the cost and quality of service delivery, the timeliness of service delivery and the complaint-handling procedures. The publication of performance indicators imposes an extra level of accountability on councils, allowing for comparisons to be made between councils of similar composition.

It should be emphasised, though, that the publication of performance indicators is not designed to apply pressure on councils to reduce costs in a race to the bottom on service quality. Service quality measures will also be included so that ratepayers receive high-quality, value-for-money services and avoid the service cuts and infrastructure backlogs that have been experienced in New South Wales and Victoria, where rate capping exists. Provision also exists for councils whose performance indicators raise significant concern to be referred to the South Australian Productivity Commission for investigation.

Numerous examples exist of how South Australian council members have pursued frivolous or vexatious allegations against other members' code of conduct violations. Investigations into these matters have wasted thousands of dollars of ratepayers' money—not only wasted ratepayers' money but also made some councils almost dysfunctional in their operations. The ratepayer protection bill prohibits councils from lodging such frivolous or vexatious complaints against other members' alleged code of conduct breaches.

Recent inquiries, including one conducted by Ombudsman, Wayne Lines, into Burnside city council, have revealed the shortcomings of the current Local Government Act in dealing with councillors who present a risk to health and safety because of their bullying or intimidating behaviour. The ratepayer protection bill empowers a local government commission to suspend or dismiss members considered to have seriously failed to observe the provisions of the member code of conduct.

There are also other measures in the ratepayer protection bill designed to improve council accountability and transparency: mayors and chairpersons will be given greater powers to maintain order in meetings; there will be electoral consequences for successful no-confidence motions moved against mayors, deterring, very importantly, council gridlock; higher thresholds will be applied for confidential meeting proceedings; and members' voting patterns will also be disclosed. Over the last few years, we have seen a number of examples where councils have come to a gridlock through inappropriate behaviour of some council members, and this bill will address that.

These reforms empower ratepayers to shape the operations of councils according to their wishes and interests. Council accountability, transparency and disclosure will be strengthened through the provisions of the bill. I would like to thank those crossbenchers in the other place who have worked diligently and collaboratively to shape many of the provisions of this bill. Ms Bonaros, Mr Pangallo and Mr Parnell have all left their imprints on it and, on behalf of the opposition, I thank them for their collaboration in its preparation.

In concluding my contribution, I make one final observation: the principles that underpin this bill's clauses reflect those trumpeted by the Marshall Liberal government. Under the Office of Local Government section of the budget papers, it mentions a target for 2018-19 of legislative reforms to



strengthen local government transparency and accountability. This bill does that. The Minister for Local Government has also emphasised his desire to improve transparency and avoid costly council tiffs. Just prior to the March 2018 election, the member for Unley, while serving as the shadow minister for local government, called for the disclosure of extravagant council expenses.

In light of this, and given the comments made by members of the Marshall Liberal government, I would expect the Marshall Liberal government to support this bill that will empower ratepayers to constrain council rates and expenditure, tackle council waste and rorts and generally strengthen council accountability, transparency and disclosure. With those comments, I seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses without my reading it.

Leave granted.

Clause 4 (Amendment of section 4—Interpretation)

Defines references made to the Commission or Local Government Commission as references to the South Australian Local Government Grants Commission established under the *South Australian Local Government Grants Commission Act 1992*.

Clause 5 (Insertion of section 8A—Annual review of performance of councils)

Establishes that the Local Government Commission will prepare and publish an *Annual Review of SA Council Performance* setting out quantitative performance indicators relating to the delivery of services to the community.

If, in the opinion of the Commission, the performance indicators provided by a council raise significant concern about the performance of the council, the Commission may refer the council for investigation by the chief executive of the South Australian Productivity Commission or other designated administrative unit.

Clause 6 (Amendment of section 50—Public consultation policies)

Requires councils to actively promote statutory documents relevant to the community (such as business plans) on platforms like social media to encourage greater community participation in decision making.

Clause 7 (Amendment of section 56—General election to be held in special case)

In those instances where members of a council pass a vote of no confidence in their principal member, the chief executive officer of the council must declare the council to be a defaulting council—triggering an election of all members – except in those circumstances where a council's principal member is chosen by the members of the council.

Clause 8 (Amendment of section 62—General duties)

Subsection (2a) prohibits members of a council from making frivolous or vexatious complaints about other members' alleged contraventions of the member Code of Conduct.

Other provisions require members to obtain council pre-approval for overseas travel and provide a report, to be considered at a council meeting within 2 months of the conclusion of the travel, on the actual expenses incurred and outcomes achieved.

Clause 9

(Insertion of section 79A—Publication of credit card expenditure)

Councils are required to disclose monthly credit card statements used by members on a prominent part of a council's website.

(Insertion of section 79B—Publication of travel by members)

Councils are required to disclose on a monthly basis, council-funded member travel to overseas and (non-land based) interstate destinations.

(Insertion of section 79C—Publication of certain gifts funded by council)

Councils are required to disclose on a monthly basis, council-funded gifts provided to members.

Clause 10 (Amendment of section 90—Meetings to be held in public except in special circumstances)

The deletion of section 90(3)(b) and the amendment of section 90(3)(d) of the Act relate to the ability of a council to close a meeting to the public (i.e. to deal with a matter on a 'commercial in confidence' basis).

The amendments to section 90(7) relate to those circumstances in which a council decides to hold a meeting (or part of a meeting) in confidence. In these circumstances, the vote to do so must be recorded in the minutes and the details of who voted for and against disclosed.

The insertion of section 90(7aa) provides that when a meeting of a council is being held in confidence, any resolution passed must be recorded in the minutes and the details of who voted for and against any resolution disclosed.

Clause 11 (Amendment of section 91—Minutes and release of documents)

This clause relates to the amendments to section 90(7) and the insertion of section 90(7aa). Information recorded in the minutes for the purposes of those provisions cannot be kept confidential.

Clause 12 (Amendment of section 95—Conduct at meetings)

These amendments relate to the regulation of member conduct at meetings and the introduction of a power for the presiding officer to eject disruptive members.

Clause 13 (Insertion of section 95A—Petitions)

This amendment prevents councils from rejecting a petition on the basis that the petition does not comply with a requirement of the regulations. In addition, councils are required to, within 60 days, consider the petition at a council meeting and respond to the lead petitioner.

Clause 14

(Insertion of section 99A—Remuneration of chief executive officer)

Limits chief executive officer remuneration to: salary and superannuation contributions; a vehicle (or vehicle allowance); information and communications technology equipment required for work purposes; and a place of residence (limited to councils located wholly outside Metropolitan Adelaide, which owned the land on which the place of residence is located on 24 October 2018 and on the day of the chief executive officer's appointment or reappointment).

(Insertion of section 99B—Publication of employment contract of chief executive officer)

Requires the publication of chief executive officer employment contracts on a prominent part of a council's website.

Clause 15 (Amendment of section 105—Register of remuneration, salaries and benefits)

Requires council chief executive officers to publish council's Register of Salaries on a prominent part of council's website.

Clause 16

(Insertion of section 105A—Publication of credit card expenditure)

This clause applies the disclosure provisions for council member credit card expenditure (Clause 9 – Insertion of section 79A) to council employees.

The disclosure of employee expenditure must only identify an employee's position.

(Insertion of section 105B—Publication of certain gifts funded by council)

This clause applies the disclosure provisions for council-funded gifts to members (Clause 9 – Insertion of section 79C) to council employees.

The disclosure of council-funded employee gifts must only identify an employee's position.

Clause 17 (Amendment of section 109—General duty)

This clause applies the council pre-approval and reporting requirements for overseas travel, as applied to council members in Clause 8 (amendments to section 62), to council employees.

Clause 18 (Amendment of section 115—Form and content of returns)

Council employees, required to submit annual returns, will be required to include council-funded overseas and interstate travel in those returns.

Clause 19 (Insertion of Chapter 7 Part 4 Division 2A section 119A—Travel by employees)

This clause applies the disclosure provisions for council-funded member travel (Clause 9 – Insertion of section 79B) to council employees.

The disclosure of council-funded employee travel must only identify an employee's position.

Clause 20 (Amendment of section 123—Annual business plans and budgets)

Subsection (2ba) requires councils' annual business plans to identify works relating to the maintenance, replacement or development of infrastructure which have not been substantially completed in line with previous annual business plans and budgets.

Subsection (2ea) requires councils' annual business plans to feature estimates of revenues and expenses for the 3 financial years following the financial year to which the annual business plan relates.

Subsection (2fa) requires councils' annual business plans to provide estimates of the impact on rates (including the impact on different classes of ratepayers) for each new project (valued at \$1 million or more) and for each new service (valued at \$500,000 or more, calculated for the life of the service).

Subsection (4aaa) requires councils to seek public submissions on proposals for activities and projects for inclusion in council's annual business plan, prior to its finalisation. Councils are required to seek submissions through internet platforms such as social media.

Subsection (4aa) requires councils to consider these public submissions at a meeting.

Subsection (11a) requires councils to prepare a report which responds to the public submissions received, within 14 days of the adoption of their annual business plans and budgets.

Subsections (13) and (15) require council chief executive officers to prepare a report to council on those occasions when a council has incurred expenses during a financial year in respect of a new service or project which exceeds 110% of the amount allocated in council's annual business plan or budget. Within 30 days of receiving said report, council is required to publish it on a prominent part of their website.

Clause 21 (Amendment of section 126—Audit committee)

These amendments require the audit committee of a council to be chosen from a list of persons established by the Auditor-General. These lists cannot include members or employees of a council.

Clause 22 (Amendment of section 264—Complaint lodged in District Court)

Subsection (1c) extends the powers to lodge complaints against council members with the South Australian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (SACAT) to the Local Government Commission.

Subsection (2a) limits the lodgement of complaints with SACAT to the Local Government Commission for alleged council member contraventions of section 62(2b) and (2d) (see Clause 8 above).

Clause 23 (Amendment of section 265—Hearing by District Court)

Establishes the investigative powers of the Local Government Commission.

Clause 24 (Insertion of Chapter 13 Part 1A—Conduct—complaints to Local Government Commission)

Establishes the powers delegated to the Local Government Commission to deal with complaints relating to the members' Code of Conduct.

Subsequent to a Commission investigation, the Commission can: reprimand members; require members to attend a specified course of training or issue an apology; impose fines on members; and suspend or disqualify members for serious breaches of the members' Code of Conduct.

Clause 25 (Amendment of Schedule 3—Register of Interests—Form of returns)

Members are required to include council-funded overseas and (non-land based) interstate travel in their annual returns.

Clause 26 (Review of Local Government Act 1999 and Local Government (Elections) Act 1999)

Requires a comprehensive review of the *Local Government Act 1999* and *Local Government (Elections) Act 1999*, as soon as practicable after section 24 of this Act comes into operation. A report on the review is to be provided to the Minister for Local Government.

The review and report must be completed within 12 months of the day on which section 24 of this Act comes into operation.

The Minister must cause a copy of the report to be laid before both Houses of Parliament within 6 days after receiving the report.

Schedule 1—Transitional provision

Establishes the transitional arrangements for council audit committees, as related to the reforms included in Clause 21.

Debate adjourned on motion of Dr Harvey.

*Motions*

## WOMEN IN AGRICULTURE

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (11:15):** I move:

That this house—

- (a) raises awareness of the important role women play in agribusiness and in regional South Australia, especially in leadership roles;
- (b) notes that women are occupying an increasing number of diverse roles in agribusiness; and
- (c) recognises that South Australia continues to encourage more women to pursue careers in agriculture and horticulture as the world's growing demand for our food continues to rise.

It is with pleasure that I rise today to speak on this motion. Firstly, I acknowledge the extraordinary work of Women in Agriculture and Business. As some members may know, last year they celebrated 100 years of operation. For those who have followed the history of this important organisation, it first established a women's branch of the agricultural bureau, which was popular in South Australia at the time. It subsequently changed its name to Women in Agriculture and Business and into the modern and dynamic organisation it is today.

I also acknowledge the Country Women's Association, of which I am also a member. As you can see, it postdated its establishment from the Women in Agriculture and Business, but it also established an important network for women in regional and remote parts of South Australia. Both organisations are very important and both continue to work very hard to ensure the health and happiness of those who live in regional and remote South Australia, to provide the food and product and to provide us—

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Mr Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

**The SPEAKER:** A majority not being present, ring the bells.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** In addition to that acknowledgement, and whilst the role that women play in agribusiness in regional South Australia has developed in a different way throughout the state's history, I reflect on the situation as it was 100 years ago and on those women who were residing in rural and remote South Australia, who were strong advocates for the controlled and responsible use of alcohol, for example, and ensuring that drunkenness was not a matter that should permeate the colony. They were certainly part of the moral watchdogs for the preservation of family life and safe community arrangements.

I also acknowledge the work at that time in education, ensuring that educational opportunities be available for their children—something that continues today, especially with the tyranny of distance—in the establishment of health services, particularly post World War I when repatriation hospitals were developed around rural South Australia to accommodate and care for those men of that era who returned with savage wounds; and also, of course, in transport generally. They fought for good, accessible and sufficient roads and obviously the development of a network of railways.

These were all important issues of the day and, quite frankly, they are still the issues of the day. They are a very important component of women's representation in leadership in agribusiness and also in regional South Australia generally. I am absolutely thrilled at the number of women who were elected at the recent local government elections, both to mayoral positions and as members.

I congratulate Elizabeth Calvert, for example, who is a former Women in Agriculture and Business state president from the Clare Valley area, on her re-election to the Clare and Gilbert Valleys Council. Mrs Calvert, to me, is someone who represents decades of service in relation to community leadership and also in ensuring the health and wellbeing of her community. She has taken up the responsibility to lead the charge on a number of important issues.

I can recall her and others being very strong when there had been the proposed utter destruction of country hospitals some 10 years ago by the former Labor government. There were rallies around the state: 32 public meetings, often up to 1,000 people attending local public halls to say, 'We don't want bandaid clinics; we want to have our hospitals retained.' These are the women who show leadership. They understand the significance of having health services, for example, to ensure that they have the capacity and are in a safe environment in which to pursue their roles, and, as I say, be the economic leaders for the recovery and continuation of our state.

Women are occupying an increasing number of diverse roles. There is no question that women who undertook child-bearing and child raising provided educational services, health and first aid, cooking and cleaning and are now providing all those services to modern women in the community in regional areas. They are driving trucks, harvesters and tractors, and they are dealing with stock management and training themselves in horticulture and agriculture. They are leaders in sustainable farming and they are working side by side with their partners and/or husbands in the agriculture and horticulture industries. And so they should be.

At age 12, I used to say to people, 'When I grow up, I am going to be a lawyer,' because I realised that I was not going to be given the opportunity to be a farmer. That seemed to be a generation when you could not actually take up that responsibility. It was probably the right decision, but I make this point. I used to say to people at the time that I was proposing to be a lawyer. They would say, 'What do they do?' and I would say, 'Actually, I don't know what they do.' They would say, 'Why do you want to be a lawyer?' and I would say, 'Well, we have these people who come from Adelaide, including lawyers, who buy properties and they think that they are going to be able to understand farming.'

One of them turned up one day—a leading person from a legal firm—and he said, 'What do you think you want to do?' I said, 'I think I might be a lawyer.' He then looked out over a paddock of wethers and said, 'I think we're going to have a lot of lambs this year,' and I thought to myself, 'If lawyers think that you can get lambs out of wethers, any fool can be a lawyer.' So that seemed like a pretty good option at the time. I am diverting myself. The important thing is that women are entitled to have that role and they are undertaking it.

**The SPEAKER:** One moment, Deputy Premier. The member for Mawson.

**The Hon. L.W.K. BIGNELL:** Mr Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

*A quorum having been formed:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Deputy Premier was on her—

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir: my understanding is that, either by convention or standing order, members may not leave the chamber during a quorum. Observers would have noted the member for Reynell leaving during the quorum. I would ask you to reflect on that.

**The SPEAKER:** I will reflect on that. I must admit that I was deliberating with the member for Unley on another issue, but I will certainly look at that, if that was the case, Minister for Education. Thank you. We have a quorum. The Deputy Premier was on her feet.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Of course, we now have, in the new era of South Australia's agricultural and horticultural industry and rural living, new opportunities for women in that aspect. Sustainable farming and food production are very important to our state's economy and we need to ensure that we give them all the support we can to be able to undertake that.

I understand from a number of reports that there are well over 10,000 employment opportunities that are needed in rural and regional areas for us to be able to continue to have that productivity and to provide those rural towns with services to ensure that people who are living out there with their families do not just survive but thrive and continue that economic sustainability and growth for our state. It is important that we encourage our girls to undertake careers in agriculture and horticulture; as the world's growing demand for our food continues to rise this is a large envelope of opportunity.

The other aspect of this is that clearly we understand, particularly in relation to agriculture and horticulture, that it relies on a number of things. It relies on commodity prices being viable. It relies on pest management. It relies on productivity within the envelope, usually with enough rain or sufficient water access that can be bought at a reasonable price to be able to grow anything. Therefore, all these things have areas of employment opportunity and careers for women.

Let's just consider a few: meteorology, satellite technology, cybersecurity, cyber use and obviously e-technology to be able to check on a daily or minute by minute coverage of the world price of grain, as an example. These are all areas of career opportunity that we can provide for women.

Other areas include pest management and dealing with the advice that is necessary for modern agriculture and horticulture. There is an enormously rich opportunity for women to undertake a role in that space.

Agronomy is another area, as is dealing with chemical management and non-chemical management in relation to clean and green food production. There is also a mining opportunity for women to undertake to pursue their careers. I would urge all our young people in South Australia to consider a career in this area, but particularly I highlight to women that we are never going to have enough food in the world. We produce some of the best in the world. We have air, soil and water at the highest and cleanest level and we ought not waste the opportunity to exploit the food production that can come from that.

We should be an ever-growing provider of the source of food for the world. I am confident we have extraordinary, well-educated people with high expertise who can go into these leadership and career options in regional South Australia to build our state on what is already an area of economic base for our state. It has been for 180-odd years and it can be even greater. I commend the motion to the house.

#### *Parliamentary Procedure*

### **SITTINGS AND BUSINESS**

**The SPEAKER:** Before I call the member for Giles, I remind members that under standing order 44, 'Attention called to no quorum, all Members to remain,' no member may leave the chamber while the bells are ringing for lack of a quorum.

#### *Motions*

### **WOMEN IN AGRICULTURE**

Debate resumed.

**Mr HUGHES (Giles) (11:30):** I rise in support of the motion, maybe with some qualifications. Here we are in the 21<sup>st</sup> century and still women across the board in many industries are under-represented. Indeed, we just have to look across the chamber: 21 males and four females. We have incredibly prominent Liberals, including the federal industrial relations minister, Kelly O'Dwyer, who just the other day described the Liberal Party as homophobic, anti-women and climate change deniers. Indeed, we look at the treatment of Julie Bishop. We look at the resignation of Julia Banks from the Liberal Party going over to the crossbench and in doing that she mentioned the anti-women culture that exists within the Liberal Party.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Point of order, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** There is a point of order. I ask the member for Giles to be seated for one moment. A point of order from the Deputy Premier for relevance?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Whilst I welcome the member's contribution, apart from Julie Bishop coming from a cherry-growing family, I think he is struggling for relevance.

**The SPEAKER:** Deputy Premier, for relevance, I do not accept impromptu speeches. I accept points of order. The point of order is a fair one. It is about relevance. I understand the point that the member for Giles is trying to make. I have given him some preamble, but I would ask him to please return to the substance of the motion please. Thank you, member for Giles.

**Mr HUGHES:** And I guess it is the overall context. Whatever industry we are talking about, whether it is agribusiness or other businesses, there are structural issues and there are systemic issues that work against the interests of women. Obviously, a lot of women have incredibly active involvement in agribusiness at all sorts of levels. We often mention those at the professional level and the senior level, and quite rightly shine the spotlight on them. I note the repeal of the labour hire legislation that we put in place when in government, partly to protect some of the most vulnerable people, not just in agribusiness but in other businesses, to deal with the expansion of labour hire companies, the roting that has gone on, the wage theft that has gone on amongst the most vulnerable, and many of those people are women.

Having said that, I will focus on some of the great women in agriculture and some of these are at a national level. We have Fiona Simson, President of the National Farmers' Federation, who in some ways has been called an accidental activist, and it is probably incredibly relevant given the debate and division that occurred in the house yesterday that Fiona Simson ended up on her track to the presidency of the National Farmers' Federation when she became deeply disturbed at the powerlessness of farmers on the Liverpool plain when it came to opposing the extraction of coal seam gas. That was the start of her agribusiness political career, if 'career' is the right word in that particular set of circumstances. She was somebody who stood up for the farmers in her region, and now we are standing up for farmers nationally and tackling all sorts of incredibly complex issues that the farming community faces.

In this state, Caroline Rhodes came back from all the work she had done on a national level. I have had a number of meetings with the CEO of Grain Producers SA, and I am very impressed with her as an individual. She is an incredibly good operator and someone whom I have grown to respect.

A few weeks back, I heard an interview on ABC Radio National, I think, with two young male farmers and one female farmer. The female farmer, Anika Molesworth, took out the Young Farmer of the Year award and is currently doing a PhD in international agriculture development. She lives on a livestock farm, a sheep farm, just outside Broken Hill. The contrast between what she had to say and what the two males had to say was interesting.

The two males just repeated these very strange lines about climate change, whereas she was all across it. She got the science, but the two young males did not get the science. I suppose they were just echoing the incredibly inane comments that we used to get from Barnaby Joyce when it came to climate change. This young woman was incredibly smart and all over the science. That actually bodes well for the future. Of course, the President of the National Farmers' Federation, Fiona Simson, also thinks that the Liberal Party and the National Party, nationally, are completely out of step when it comes to climate change. It is incredibly encouraging to see that within the ranks of farmer organisations.

I remember a speech that Jacqui McGill gave. I have to congratulate the government on appointing Jacqui, the former asset manager at BHP, as the chair of the TAFE board. I think that is a very sensible and very worthy appointment. In her speech, she talked about the structural impediments and inbuilt biases that are often implicit when it comes to selecting women for a whole variety of roles. One way of shining a light on that was to talk about the social experiments that were done in relation to the Boston orchestra where males were invariably appointed to positions and lead positions. It was done on a networking-type basis, where you had the head of the orchestra or the people at the top appointing people like themselves—who were males.

So they went to blind testing, and a curtain was drawn. It was presumed that you could not tell whether it was a male or a female. Unfortunately, you could still tell because the females often wore high heels and walked across the stage, and so the bias was still there. Eventually, they did it in a way that no clues were given at all. In the first rounds of selection, there was an 11 per cent increase in the number of females appointed, but when it came to the senior positions—the second step in this whole process—there was a 30 per cent increase in female representation.

What it demonstrates—because the odds are that the males on the selection panels were not explicitly biased—is that there was implicit bias. That is incredibly complex and often happens at a subconscious level. This is just a way of illustrating that when we take away the cues the outcomes are very different. It indicates some of the structural and systemic impediments when it comes to women receiving high positions.

It is encouraging that there are more women in high positions, but it would be even more encouraging in the 21<sup>st</sup> century if we did not actually need to stand up and acknowledge whether you are a woman or a man because it was just normal—just normal. Having said that, I support the motion. The intent and the motivation behind it is good, but hopefully one day we will get to a point where we do not need motions like this.

**Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (11:39):** I rise to support the Attorney-General's motion:

That this house—

- (a) raises awareness of the important role women play in agribusiness and in regional South Australia, especially in leadership roles;
- (b) notes that women are occupying an increasing number of diverse roles in agribusiness; and
- (c) recognises that South Australia continues to encourage more women to pursue careers in agriculture and horticulture as the world's growing demand for our food continues to rise.

Obviously, we have a direct link in my electorate to agribusiness, regional work and the economic value that it produces. Agricultural production is a key contributor to regional economies. In 2016-17, the total value of agricultural output in Mount Gambier's District Council of Grant was \$559 million, making it 58.7 per cent of value output of all sectors in the region and equating to nearly half of all local jobs in the District Council of Grant's area being employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing. It is a monumental contribution, heavily guided by the leadership of all the different sectors of agribusiness. As noted by PIRSA:

Agribusiness recognises an innovative, contemporary and exciting sector contributing significantly to the South Australian and national economies.

It is exciting that leadership roles are now becoming more accessible to women. Although 60 or so years ago, when women were not necessarily in leadership roles per se, a typical sign of the times was that many women were still helping and contributing to the farms, as well as raising children, often by themselves. Today, women are stepping into these leadership roles in a contemporary agribusiness sector.

Traditionally, women joined the Country Women's Association, the Women's Agricultural Bureau and the Red Cross in order to socialise and discuss farming and equality with other women living on properties, sometimes rural and remote. Although these organisations are still around and do some incredible work, we are now seeing more and more women stepping into leadership roles. One example is the new CEO of Grain Producers South Australia, Ms Caroline Rhodes, who has also held previous leadership roles in the agribusiness sector. Another is Mount Gambier-based CEO of Onions Australia, Lechelle Earl, who oversees all parts of the supply chain from growers through to seed, chemical and machinery specialists.

As the agribusiness sector becomes more contemporary, it is also working towards becoming more innovative. I speak of Limestone Coast resident Olivia Nunn, who has to make a two-hour round-trip each day from her home to work as an executive officer of the Coonawarra wine region's grower body. Miss Nunn speaks about the blackspots en route to work. I quote:

Those phone calls that you probably used to take advantage of and be able to do using car kits in a city environment, they don't necessarily exist when you're in a rural environment.

I take this opportunity to commend the Liberal government for their promise to fund regional blackspots, especially since an agricultural community such as the electorate of Mount Gambier often requires mobile phone coverage, even just as a safety backup or, in Miss Nunn's case, for leadership matters.

Women are playing a significant role in the South Australian agribusiness sector. I would like to highlight some quotes from Mount Gambier resident Wendy Fennell, who is the managing director and co-founder of Fennell Forestry, one of the biggest timber harvesting and transport operators in South Australia's Green Triangle. Ms Fennell noted, and I quote, not to:

...be afraid to acknowledge that women and men operate differently. I think personal characteristics are a greater barrier to career success than gender.

She says:

...that people are the most important part of any business and if you're going to lead, lead by example.

Ms Fennell leads a workforce of almost 80, overseeing all facets of the business, and in 2015 she was named businesswoman of the year at the Women in Business and Regional Development awards. Ms Fennell also highlighted that a career in agribusiness is a career that is constantly evolving through innovation and that, when combined with challenges of the natural environment that you deal with on a daily basis, this equates to a diverse platform from which women can launch themselves.



I commend this motion for highlighting the increasing number of diverse roles in agribusiness undertaken by women, particularly leadership roles, and for highlighting the important work they contribute. To quote PIRSA once again, 'Agribusiness is recognised as an innovative, contemporary and exciting sector contributing significantly to South Australia and national economies.' Women in leadership roles are helping break down barriers that initially hindered women in agribusiness, making it true that today agribusiness has become a contemporary, innovative and exciting sector.

**Mr TRELOAR (Flinders) (11:46):** I rise to support the motion brought to this place by the Attorney-General:

That this house—

- (a) raises awareness of the important role women play in agribusiness and in regional South Australia, especially in leadership roles;
- (b) notes that women are occupying an increasing number of diverse roles in agribusiness; and
- (c) recognises that South Australia continues to encourage more women to pursue careers in agriculture and horticulture as the world's growing demand for our food continues to rise.

I congratulate the Attorney on bringing this very important motion to the house and would like to make a brief contribution on it today. Historically, agriculture has had quite distinct roles for both men and women. The Attorney-General talked particularly about the early days of this state when women really were providing support to their farming husbands. Reading between the lines, it is quite easy to see that it was more than support; in many ways, they held the show together. In fact, I would put my mother in that category. She spent years milking cows, feeding pigs, keeping chooks and keeping the whole show on the road while my father did the paddock work.

The Attorney also mentioned Women in Agriculture and Business and the CWA, both of which, I think, she is a member. Well done to her for that. The CWA, of course, is well known throughout Australia for its membership, its branch structure and the support it provides to women living in country areas of Australia. Women in Agriculture and Business is less well known, but I can say that there is an active branch in Port Lincoln. I think there are about 300 members across the state, here in South Australia. Again, it provides a really important network and support role for those women who are actively involved in agricultural business.

Of course, agricultural business means something different from what it used to. Once upon a time, life on the farm was relatively simple and enjoyable. It was a way of life and a lifestyle went with it. It was never easy, but it was all those things. It has become much more technologically advanced. Farming is far more diverse in the way it applies both technology and business structures, and women are finding more and more that there is a role for them at a senior level, not just in farm businesses but in farm organisations.

Farm business and agribusiness have become much more professional in the way they are run, and I think that has given women an opportunity to really step into leadership roles and management roles, often being more highly skilled and more educated than some of the men who operate in that environment. I am taking nothing away from the men there; I am actually congratulating the women on taking the initiative.

We are continuing to see a growth in productivity worldwide and in farms across Australia. That continued growth will require an increase in expertise, a workforce with increased educational qualifications and also business initiatives. The opportunities for professionals within agribusiness are endless. We have touched on a few of them today, but they are too numerous to name. Essentially, it is an opportunity for girls to leave school and take up an education and career path that will see them well set in the agribusiness world.

Two or three women in senior leadership roles have been mentioned today. I know that as soon as we start naming names we run the risk of forgetting some people, but Fiona Simson has been recognised as the leader of the National Farmers' Federation. Caroline Rhodes has also been mentioned; she is of course the CEO of Grain Producers SA. I first met Caroline when she was CE of the grains council—the South Australian farmers federation back in the day—so her career is progressing well.

I would also quickly like to mention Megan Low, who is based in Port Lincoln. Megan is the CE of Lower Eyre Agricultural Development Association (LEADA) and she does a terrific job. Primary production, including seafood, is paramount to the regional economy, particularly in my electorate. Farming and seafood are both primary production. Many women are already working within the seafood industry and taking their places at board level, which is encouraging to see.

A few years ago, I was fortunate to be awarded a Nuffield Farming Scholarship. Once upon a time, it was a male-only domain. It is a worldwide scholarship scheme which, in this country, provides Australian farmers with the opportunity to travel overseas. The scheme operates throughout the commonwealth and, to a certain extent, in some other countries. A couple of South American countries have come onboard, as have a couple of European countries. It is a very successful scholarship scheme and has seen worldwide growth.

As I said, once upon a time, it was a bit of a boys' club. These days, we are seeing more women being awarded these scholarships. Often, they are practising farmers or are at least practising within the agribusiness sector. This scholarship gives them the opportunity to travel the world, undertake a study of their choosing, then return to their original place of production or employment and bring to that the expertise they have gained through their studies. This enhances their farms, their businesses and their professional activities.

Congratulations to the Attorney-General on bringing this motion to the house. It is a very simple but very important motion. It is important for me, as somebody who is involved in agriculture, to recognise the increasingly important role that women are playing in our sector.

**The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay) (11:52):** I rise to support this motion and to talk about the contribution that women have made to agriculture in South Australia. South Australia was the first Australian state to establish an agricultural college, and it was a momentous event in the history of agriculture. Roseworthy Agricultural College opened in 1883 under the guidance of Professor John Custance. Many may not know that for first 91 years of the college, from 1883 to 1974, its graduates were exclusively male. Many of these men went on to become the backbone of South Australia's agriculture, farm management, wine and rural business sectors.

In 1974, something happened to change the history of women in agriculture in this state. The first female graduate of Roseworthy Agricultural College was recently honoured and recognised by the Roseworthy Old Collegians Association, and I would like to share part of her story. Pam Dunsford entered Roseworthy Agricultural College in 1972, already possessing a degree in biochemistry and horticulture from the University of Adelaide.

At that time, Pam fancied her chances of entering her fourth and final year in Roseworthy's diploma of oenology (winemaking) course; however, the principal at the time did not see it that way. In fact, during her initial entrance interview the principal said she would never get a job in the wine industry, they would not employ a woman and a woman could not do the job, but she went on to prove him wrong.

At age 22, she was a residential student at Roseworthy, surrounded day and night by 180 young men. She said that she felt intentionally isolated because of the leadership, who hoped that she would fail. She went on to graduate in 1974 and was ultimately the first woman to occupy an important winemaking position in a large company, the first Australian female winemaker to become a wine show judge and among the first women wine educators and winemaking consultants in this country.

While there were several obstacles in her way, she worked and talked hard. She developed bravado designed to bluff, tame and intimidate colleagues, as she says. Pam Dunsford went on to win a Churchill scholarship to France to study champagne production, and she was the first woman, French or otherwise, to be employed by Krug at vintage time, and she made some fine chardonnay and shiraz at Chapel Hill in McLaren Vale. Now she has retired from winemaking and, in 2007, she was honoured by the Australian government with an OAM for service to the wine industry as an oenologist, a judge and a promoter of the role of women in the field to business development and to tourism. She was also awarded a Centenary Medal by the Australian government in 2001.

In the 44 years since Pam Dunsford graduated from Roseworthy, much has changed. The college has accepted more and more female students into agriculture, wine and specialist programs

like natural resources management and horse husbandry. In 1991, the college merged with the University of Adelaide and became the Roseworthy campus. In the last 15 years, the campus has developed an important focus on animal industries, including the new veterinary school and the new Bachelor of Science (Animal Science) course. Both these courses have been embraced heavily by female students. Many of these industries are seeing active contributions from Roseworthy graduates, both male and female.

As many in this house would know, I grew up in Kapunda, which is a farming community. When I was growing up, the contribution of women to farms was that they were often seen as a provider of a second income. They were often the local teachers or the nurses at the hospital, or perhaps they worked in administration at the council. Indeed, for many of the farmers they were blessed to have a stabilising regular income from a non-seasonal source.

This did not mean that women did not work on farms, but I would say that often they were considered the silent partner. Often they worked particularly around harvest time and supported their husband as he managed the farm. Today, many women are what I call public partners, acknowledged partners or they are leading farming businesses.

In South Australia, we also have a number of key organisations that have a strong role in supporting women in rural areas and in agriculture. Already we have heard today reference to the iconic Country Women's Association, which is still a powerful and resilient support and advocacy group for rural areas. There is Australian Women in Agriculture, a not-for-profit company advocating for women's roles in influencing the agenda of the agricultural sector—and can I acknowledge the South Australian director, Simone Kain.

There is the women together learning group in SA, with their catchphrase 'growing and inspiring women in agribusiness'. They are a group of women supporting each at the highest levels of agribusiness in this state. Women in Agriculture and Business of South Australia Inc., known as WAB, is a 101-year-old organisation. It is the oldest rural women's group in Australia, with a dynamic statewide branch network. It is an organisation for women interested in rural and agricultural business issues and offers an education, leadership opportunities and skills. I understand they have a very active branch here in South Australia.

I would also like to acknowledge the Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (PIRSA), particularly under the previous Labor government, where they developed the Women Influencing Agribusiness and Regions Strategy, a strategy to grow women's influence and engagement. PIRSA also developed the Stepping into Leadership program to assist women in agriculture to grow their skills. In the six years since its inception, 82 women have completed the program, going on to fill important roles, including board positions and management roles. Participants have come from a wide range of regions and represent industries such as grain, livestock, dairy, fisheries, wine and horticulture.

I have always spoken about the importance of primary production, but it helps to remind ourselves how important this is to our state. In 2016-17, the food and wine industry generated \$19.97 billion for this state. Our agricultural commodity exports are valued at \$7.4 billion. One in five South Australians is employed in food and wine industries. These figures are significant, and as a state we cannot ignore the significant contribution that women have always made in agriculture and continue to make into the future.

Can I acknowledge all the women who have been there, often beside their husband, but who are now stepping out of their shadow to be more publicly a partner or leader in this field. We know that it takes a woman each time to make a difference. We have in South Australia our first ever Deputy Premier as a woman, who has brought this motion to the house. When women take these leadership roles, younger women recognise that they can, too, and that when the opportunity presents, because they certainly have the talent, they will go forward in these leadership roles, whether it be in politics or business.

The reason I talk about Pamela Dunsford's story today is that she had to break down barriers to take this leadership role. She is obviously in the world's best class in her abilities, recognised by Australian governments and by winning a Churchill Fellowship. These leadership roles are important. It is important to recognise the role they play and I support this motion to the house.

**Mr BASHAM (Finniss) (12:02):** I also rise to support this motion brought to us by the Attorney. I want to recognise her in particular for her beginnings, growing up on a farm, to ending up as Deputy Premier. I think it is a credit to her and to the opportunities that exist for us all, men and women alike.

There are also many women I have worked with in my roles in the dairy industry whom I would like to mention. They range from Senator Anne Ruston, who up until recently was the Assistant Minister for Agriculture and Water Resources. The Senator has come from a horticultural background—Ruston's Roses in the Riverland. She certainly has done both the industry and herself proud, particularly in her role as assistant minister in agriculture. She was very well respected in that role. She worked well with industry and helped many times to make sure a smooth outcome was found. One of the highest achievements at the moment is minister of the federal government.

Likewise, as many others have mentioned, someone else I have worked with over my time is Fiona Simson. I came across Fiona many years ago when she was involved with the NSW Farmers Association. She eventually ended up chairing the NSW Farmers Association, and I got to work with her closely through some difficult times in that role. It is an absolute credit to her that she has now gone on to head up the National Farmers' Federation—a very important role in agriculture. She is doing a wonderful job of bringing the position of agriculture to the wider community, particularly through these difficult times of drought.

There are some others within the dairy industry I really want to recognise. One lady I first got to know when I went into the national role as the representative from South Australia for the Australian Dairy Farmers was Shirley Harlock. Shirley was a member of the board at the time. I have a lot of admiration for Shirley and the work that she has done. Over the 10 or 12 years that I have known her, she has continued to put back into the industry and has tried to help achieve many things for the dairy industry.

Two other women I will mention were senior members of the leadership team at Dairy Australia when I was there, and one continues to work there now: Helen Dornom and Isabel MacNeill. Helen is someone whom I admire immensely. She has dedicated her life to the dairy industry, working in the RD&E and advocacy space in different roles at different points in time since the late eighties.

Helen has done an enormous amount of work, particularly recently in the sustainability space for the dairy industry, and has done an amazing job of positioning the industry to show how well it has done and how well it continues to do by improving and making sure that we have a sustainable industry, not just through our impact on the environment but making sure that we are economically sustainable and that we look after the people within our industry as well.

Isabel MacNeill's role was to look after the marketing. Many people may remember one of the advertising campaigns that she was involved with: the red-headed girl who goes through the journey of life ads. They show the little girl who starts off drinking milk, growing up to be a mother, and then her daughter having milk. It was an amazing dairy campaign, so much so that Channel 7 in particular continued to run that ad many years after the industry had stopped paying for it because they saw it as such an iconic ad. It is an amazing thing that these people have done for the dairy industry.

Other people I would like to mention are those who are more local in the dairy industry. One of the first women I worked with at the South Australian Dairy Farmers Association was Jill Williams. Jill is a dairy farmer from Parawa, and she has done a lot in her life for the industry as well as helping to run the business with her husband, Ian. Both of them have committed their lives to putting back into the dairy industry even though at times the business decisions on the farm have been difficult. They have still wanted input into leadership roles.

I have amazing admiration for another woman named Jodie Connor, who lives at Nangkita and who has done amazing work looking after issues around not only dairy but also the community and making sure that the communities of Mount Compass and Nangkita continue to thrive. Another lady I spent a lot of time working with and who was the regional manager of RD&E Dairy SA here in South Australia was Verity Ingham. Verity brought into that space a role where farmers and the community alike saw it as an important part of the dairy industry in actually delivering programs back to dairy farmers.

The final person I would like to recognise is a lady in Victor Harbor who has recently been recognised by the business community there for her commitment to agribusiness, and that is Beryl Price. Beryl is someone who has operated quietly behind the scenes. She has not wanted to be out the front being the driver and shaker of leadership roles. Although she has chaired many committees, she never really wanted to be the person out there advocating. Beryl and her husband, Paul, continue to live out towards the end of the peninsula, just at the edge between Finniss and Mawson, and they still continue to have enormous input into the needs of agriculture in the local area of Victor Harbor, in particular.

Personally, I also want to recognise two women who are very important to me: my wife and my mother. They have both done a lot for agriculture, and they have done a lot to enable me to be here.

**The Hon. V.A. Chapman:** They have probably milked a lot of cows.

**Mr BASHAM:** Not my wife. My wife has not milked a single cow in her life. They are very important people who have supported me to get where I am. Interestingly, 15 October is Women in Agriculture Day; it is also my wife's birthday. I thank the Attorney for bringing this motion before the house and I totally support it.

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE (Chaffey—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (12:11):** I rise to support the Attorney's motion brought before the house here today, and what a great motion it is. What a great way to celebrate women in agriculture and the contribution they make to what I consider to be one of the most critical economic drivers in the world. Here in South Australia it is our number one economic driver. It creates not only wealth and jobs but it is part and parcel of the majority of people's way of life. Everyone has to eat and enjoy good food, but they also need good leadership within that sector.

Rural women make up over a quarter of the world's population and the majority of the 43 per cent of women in the global agriculture labour force. The government is committed to promoting agribusiness and regional career pathways for women. PIRSA recently hosted Agri-Masterclass lessons in leadership on International Day of Rural Women. Joyce Ceravolo from Ashton Valley Fresh, Penny Schulz from Schulz Livestock and Victoria Angove shared their personal and professional experiences of working in industry.

They are three women with whom I work on a day-to-day basis. They are three women with a great vision, and they also have great skills in leadership in the businesses within which they work. At the recent 2018 AgriFutures Rural Women's Award in Canberra, South Australian winner, Alex Thomas, was a finalist. Alex's award project focused on work health and safety on the farm—an important and highly relevant topic.

I will not go over the many contributions that we have heard in this place today, but I will name a few of the women with whom I work, having been bestowed the honour of the position of Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development. There are many women whom I might forget, but some with whom I work make a significant contribution.

They include Caroline Rhodes from the GPSA, who has a rich history of leadership in her roles within the grains industry; Susie Green from the South Australian Apple and Pear Association; and Margaret Howie from Women in Agriculture. Everyone has talked about Fiona Simson, whom I have met many times and worked very closely with. Particularly in relation to South Australia's vision and the future of agriculture here, we share a lot of opinions as well as targets that we would like to see achieved within agriculture.

There is also Linda Sewell, CEO of OneFortyOne Plantations, down in the Green Triangle at Mount Gambier. Heather Miller, Chair of the South Australian Wild Dog Advisory Group, is doing some great work. Dr Carolyn Ireland is the Chair of the Dog Fence board. Judy Ingerson, President of Women in Horticulture is a great Riverlander and a great advocate for women in horticulture. Amy Williams, EO of the Horticulture Coalition, is doing great work overseeing a lot of the horticulture sectors that all come under one banner.

Qifeng Ye is a fish ecologist doing some really good work within the fish sector. Celia Dickason is a veterinarian and disease surveillance expert doing some very good work within the vet

world. Penny Schulz is a farm ag consultant and teacher whose work is absolutely outstanding. She is a great South Australian in the ag sector. Many of us would know Kris Lloyd, an award-winning cheesemaker from Woodside Cheese. She is also an entrepreneur, travelling the world judging cheese—not a bad gig, I say.

Robbie Davis, CEO of Potatoes SA, is doing a great job representing a huge industry in South Australia where 80 per cent of Australia's fresh potatoes are grown. The majority of that 80 per cent are grown in my electorate of Chaffey, which is great to see. Pat Hamilton, a communicator within ag services, is doing a great job. Katherine Maitland is a boutique food producer, concocting some really amazing new styles of food. Kelly Hill, a molecular biologist, is also a great part of the sector.

Of course, Maggie Beer is one of the great food ambassadors and is a really prominent South Australian in the food world doing an outstanding job not only promoting her own brand but being an ambassador for South Australia. Margaret Lehmann is another Barossa identity within the wine industry. Once upon a time, wherever you saw Peter Lehmann you saw Marg. Sadly, we have lost Peter, but Marg continues on. Wendy Fennell is a company owner and business leader within the forestry sector, and she is going to head up my forestry advisory council. She is an outstanding contributor within forestry.

There are two women in leadership roles in agriculture I particularly want to acknowledge, and they are two of my mentors. The member for Finnis mentioned Senator Anne Ruston, previously assistant minister for agriculture and water resources. Anne is widely known as an identity in South Australia not only for her political prowess but for her skills within horticulture, holding one of the largest rose collections in the Southern Hemisphere, in Renmark. Ruston's Rose Garden has been an outstanding success.

Anne has moved on, and the rose garden has now been sold, but she continues her advocacy as a Senator for South Australia and as a mentor to me. When I came into politics, she gave me guidance, comfort, surety and confidence to move forward not only in politics but in life generally. Of course, I could not forget to mention the Attorney-General and Deputy Premier, Vickie Chapman. She has been an outstanding mentor to me over my short political career. She is a genuine South Australian who has a passion for agriculture, working on a farm bestowed by her family. It is still currently a working farm.

The nous, guidance and mentoring that she has given me not only in this house but over a glass of wine or a meal have been invaluable. To be the first female Deputy Premier and Attorney-General is a great honour, but it also comes at a great cost. Vickie's life has been dedicated to the cause of South Australia, so I commend her for the great work she has done. Without further ado, I commend the motion to the house, and I imagine that every person in this chamber would think that this is an outstanding motion.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (12:19):** I will make a few comments in support of this motion. I would particularly like to highlight the experience of migrant women in regional South Australia and in agriculture. In addition to the challenges often faced by many women in trying to balance a family and work on farms and in business, over the years—less so today, but certainly in the early days—migrant women have had additional barriers of language, culture and often isolation.

Migrant women have done it very tough in regional areas, finding a place for themselves but, that said, they have done it very successfully. From my recent visit to the Riverland and other places, I note that in the South-East and the Riverland, in particular, many migrant women have gone on to great things. Their children have also gone on to great things, and that is because of the hard work the migrant women have put in. Their story is often not told, and it is very important that we acknowledge, on the record, the contribution made by these women. As I said, they had the usual hardships faced by women, but they also had the additional ones around language and culture.

I would also like to praise the organisations in regional South Australia for the important role they play, particularly the Country Women's Association. I work with them regularly in my own town of Gawler, and it is one of the few service organisations that has actually undergone a bit of a renaissance. Most service organisations have an ageing membership, a declining membership, but when you look at the Country Women's Association their numbers have increased quite dramatically.

They have now adapted to the modern world and have both night clubs and day clubs, acknowledging that women play different roles in society and that therefore their capacity to participate is different. That is something a lot of other service organisations could learn from the Country Women's Association; some continue to do things the way they have the last 50 or 60 years, but the CWA has changed with the times.

They are a very successful group and they play an important role not only in supporting women but also in supporting families. In Gawler, they work to fundraise and send money to support families not only for drought relief but also for other important projects, making sure that young people in country areas get a fair go. With those few comments, I support the motion and acknowledge the huge contribution that migrant women make to this sector as well as the role that voluntary groups such as the CWA play.

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (12:21):** I rise today to support the motion:

That this house—

- (a) raises awareness of the important role women play in agribusiness and in regional South Australia, especially in leadership roles;
- (b) notes that women are occupying an increasing number of diverse roles in agribusiness; and
- (c) recognises that South Australia continues to encourage more women to pursue careers in agriculture and horticulture as the world's growing demand for our food continues to rise.

As emergency services minister, I would like to use this opportunity extend our gratitude for the efforts of women in the emergency services sector who live in regional areas of South Australia. Living in the regions, there is a diverse range of tasks that people are called upon to perform in the agribusiness world, and a lot of members in this place have spoken about the wonderful work women do on the land and within agribusiness.

Again, as emergency services minister I would like to acknowledge a few people who work in this space in the regions of South Australia. The South Australian Country Fire Service is lucky to have a diverse group of women of all ages and backgrounds contributing to keeping our state safe from bushfires. Women make up 21 per cent of the CFS volunteer workforce, and there are nearly 3,000 women volunteers. That is outstanding.

Of 10,328 volunteer firefighters 1,343, or 13 per cent, are female. There are 19 female brigade captains and women in other leadership positions, three out of six assistant chief officers are women, and of the CFS's 170-strong paid workforce 78, or 46 per cent, are women. Women are able to get involved in all aspects of the CFS, including firefighting, radio operations, catering, administration support, operational support, community education and fundraising. There is a diverse range of tasks within the Country Fire Service, and women do an outstanding job in all those areas.

I would like to shine the spotlight on a few remarkable women working as part of the CFS today. Michelle Mason, captain of the Greenock brigade, started with Greenock CFS 14 years ago as a radio operator, but it was not long before she found herself on the back of a truck. She was elected brigade captain just over two years ago. During the Pinery fire, Michelle helped set up a safe haven and catering for several hundred people out of the Greenock CFS station.

Michelle says, 'The thing I love the most about the CFS is the sense of camaraderie and knowing that someone's always got your back. A lot of people say they couldn't do what we do, but I think that's just fear of the unknown. I just joined to help out on the radio, and look at me now.' Certainly look at her now: what a wonderful job she is doing there for sure.

Ellen Painter is a volunteer firefighter with the Eden Hills brigade. Ellen has found her time with the CFS extremely rewarding, so much so that she has changed career paths. Although Ellen trained as a hairdresser, at the start of the year she started in her new job as a fire technician. Her firefighting skills and CFS experience helped her secure a job servicing fire equipment with a private company, which she says is really enjoyable so far.

June Andrew, a brigade captain and one of the founding members of the Marree CFS, over the years has helped out with administration for the brigade, as well as responding to varied incidents such as vehicle accidents, house fires and search and rescue operations. When she is not being kept busy with the CFS, June is also a remote area nurse with the Royal Flying Doctor Service. Mr Deputy Speaker, I am not telling you anything you do not know here, but what wonderful people we have in our regions and our community, and what wonderful women we have on display here.

Charmain Altus joined the Lameroo brigade 14 years ago to help out with their communication operations. During her time with the Country Fire Service, Charmain has been administrative coordinator and auxiliary coordinator for Lameroo brigade, as well as administrative coordinator for the whole Mallee group, an absolutely outstanding achievement there as well. Charmain says, 'Being a volunteer is very rewarding and, like anything, you get out what you put in. Being in the CFS has enabled me to meet some wonderful people and make new friendships, as well as learn lots of new skills.'

The CFS also offer their award-winning Firey Women Workshop. These workshops provide women with a safe, non-threatening setting to learn new skills to protect families and livelihoods from bushfires. Sue Mickan joined the CFS 40 years ago, and since then has held a number of volunteer and staff roles within the organisation. At the moment, she is volunteering with the Coorong group as a purchasing officer and has been working as a community engagement officer for the Murraylands and Riverland since 2013. This role sees her reaching out to the public across the region to share information and advice on bushfire preparedness and safety, a very valuable role.

Sue is humbled by the effect her work has on residents who have been impacted by fire, especially through her running of the Fiery Women Workshop. The Fiery Women program started in 2005, after the Wangary fires, when women found they were left at home without their partners, who were either fighting a fire on their farm or working as part of the CFS. Workshops take place across the state during the winter months, and the CFS go absolutely anywhere with these workshops: no town is too small. Sue was awarded the Australian Fire Service Medal in 2012 for her many years of distinguished service with the CFS.

Yvette Dowling, or Pixie as she is known, started with the CFS in 1996 as a volunteer firefighter with the Happy Valley brigade. She joined the staffed workforce in 2000 and has worked her way up to her current position of operational systems manager. In this role Yvette (or Pixie) developed a new information management system called CRIIMSON, which provides real-time incident information, which is then disseminated to SA communities quickly and efficiently.

Yvette was awarded the Australian Fire Service Medal in 2006 for her contribution to emergency services and, in particular, the development of the CRIIMSON system. I would like to thank these women for their service and all the women who contribute to the CFS. We know that our regions are vitally important, and we know that women play a very significant role. They have made an invaluable contribution to protecting our state and its regions. We thank them for it, and they are a very big part of our agribusiness and regional life.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (12:29):** I thank all members for their contributions and indications of support for this motion. It fills me with pride to know that people in the parliament recognise the importance of women in our regional areas and, in particular, their contribution to the support of the state's greatest economic driver in those regions, and the opportunities that they will have in the future.

The diversity of areas of skill, expertise and contribution that has been illuminated from these contributions is both worthy and extensive, and I commend all members for that, even our most recent contribution, of course, from the minister in relation to the support of women in fire prevention management and, if it does happen, their assistance in making sure it is put out. Most importantly, there is an extraordinary amount of work that happens after a fire has gone through, caused damage or injury and the clean-up in the economic and personal wake that occurs.

The contribution of women has been exceptional, and there are opportunities for it to be even greater. In that regard, can I conclude by making sure that every member goes down to the CWA division at the next Royal Adelaide Show. I work on Friday mornings down there during the Royal Adelaide Show. I did threaten a former premier that I would put arsenic in his tea, but I did not do



that and he is alive and kicking to see the day. I would urge all members to come down to the Royal Adelaide Show when it is on and enjoy some of the hospitality of the Country Women's Association because they are truly the backbone of our state. I commend the motion to the house.

Motion carried.

### INTERNATIONAL DAY OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITY

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (12:31):** By leave, I move my motion in an amended form:

That this house—

- (a) notes that on Monday 3 December 2018 we celebrate the International Day of People with Disability;
- (b) believes that all people, irrespective of their disability, have a right to live in and be treated with dignity;
- (c) believes a well-funded National Disability Insurance Scheme will help improve the quality of the lives of people living with a disability;
- (d) calls on the Liberal-National federal government to ensure that the NDIS is properly funded so it can improve the quality of the lives of people living with disability, as was intended when the previous Labor federal government established it.

I indicate that the International Day of Disabled Persons was proclaimed in 1992 by United Nations General Assembly Resolution 47/3. The aim is to promote the rights and wellbeing of persons living with disabilities in all spheres of society and development and to increase awareness of the circumstances of persons with disabilities in every aspect of political, social, economic and cultural life. Building on many decades of the UN's work in the field of disability, the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, adopted in 2006, has further advanced the rights and wellbeing of people living with disabilities.

The 2018 theme is 'Empowering persons with disabilities and ensuring inclusiveness and equality', and they are the things that I would like to focus on in my contribution to this motion. This year's theme focuses on empowering persons with disabilities for an inclusive, equitable society so that no person is left behind. Mr Deputy Speaker, you would be aware that one of the greatest reforms in this area was the introduction of the National Disability Insurance Scheme, which was campaigned on quite strongly by Bill Shorten when he was parliamentary secretary for disabilities and then actually put into place under prime minister Julia Gillard.

That reform has seen changes not only in terms of the financial contribution to supporting people with disabilities but also in the way we provide services to people living with disability, which is very important. Previously, there was a shortage of funding and, certainly in this state, with our contribution to the NDIS, we have more than doubled the amount of funds available to this scheme to support people living with disability and also changed the whole focus, from previously saying, 'These are the services. You actually take what we give you.' The whole emphasis now is enabling people living with disability to have choice and control over the services they want, and that is very important and makes a huge difference.

While the introduction of the NDIS has been an important and significant step in improving the lives of people living with disability and their families and carers, we still have a long way to go. In almost all walks of life, particularly in the areas of employment and transport, people living with disability still face significant barriers to achieving a fair go. As a society and, in particular, as employers in the area of disability employment, we need to focus on ability rather than disability to ensure that people living with disability are able to engage in meaningful and dignified work.

With my interest in this area, I recall a young lad in a wheelchair who attended a parliamentary session one day. As it was a program for young people, I assumed he was still at school, but he had left school and was working in a disability enterprise. Let me make it very clear that there is nothing wrong with disability enterprises; they have a role to play. However, there are a number of young people who work in those enterprises who have greater ability and skill and should be afforded the opportunity to work in different types of employment. That spurred me on to ask: why is it that people are working in jobs that are way below their ability level? At the time, I committed myself to do some work in that area.

Next Monday, to mark International Day of People with Disability in my electorate, I am hosting a disability employment seminar where a number of disability employment service providers are coming to talk with families, with young people and with people who have disability in their family. The idea is to make sure that they have the necessary information to make the choices that are right for them and to make them aware of the additional training opportunities available to them to ensure they can enter the open workforce if they wish to do so.

On that point, I would also like to acknowledge the contribution made by UnitingSA employment services and manager, Cherie Jolly; a number of staff at the City of Playford; staff at the Stretton Centre; Mark Kemperman from the department of state development; and training partner, the Independent Institute, a northern-based institute, and program director, Mr Thomas Knoll, who have been part of a program to help skill young people living with disability to enable them to enter the open workforce market. This program is designed around food preparation, food hygiene and food processing. I attended their first training session in the electorate where they were taught how to be baristas.

Their role is to train these young people to fill jobs—not behind the scene but up front—so we help change the attitudes of people, particularly employers, to say, 'We need to focus on young people's abilities and give them the opportunity to find meaningful work.' I would also like to acknowledge a number of local public schools that were part of this program: Mark Oliphant College, Craigmore, Gawler and District College and a number of other schools that have been a part of this program to give 10 young people a real opportunity to find meaningful work. I applaud that program and particularly Mark Kemperman from the former department of state development, who was a strong supporter of it.

I would also like to congratulate the government and minister Lensink on the announcement yesterday of the appointment of former executive director of Disability SA and CEO of Novita children's services, Dr David Caudrey, as the first Disability Advocate. I think it is a great choice. I was fortunate enough to work with David for three years. He is a person who not only understands his sector better than anyone else in this state but, more importantly, has a passion and compassion for this area. It is not just about numbers: it is about the dignity of people with disability. David is a huge advocate and I wish him well.

The only thing I would say is that the appointment took some time in the making. The minister announced back in April that she was to appoint a person and it has taken quite a few months to make the necessary appointment. Another disappointment I have is that I understand the appointment will only be for 12 months. Given that we now understand that the rollout to NDIS will not be completed for some time after that 12 months, I hope that David's appointment will be extended because we need a person like him in that role to make sure that people get the best possible scheme to support them to live meaningful lives.

I would also like to mention that, unfortunately, this government seems to be quite keen to ensure that some opportunities are taken away from people living with disability. I refer to the Marshall Liberal government's plan to close the Strathmont pool from January next year, which will force around 700 children living with disability either to find a new pool or discontinue their swimming programs altogether. If this government needs to make cuts, I think cuts to the disability sector are quite appalling, and it should not kick the most vulnerable people in our society. The forced closure, announced to families who attend the pool, was actually sent by a departmental email. I understand that no further explanation has been provided.

I would also like to mention the National Disability Insurance Scheme, which, as I said earlier, is an important social reform in this nation to make sure that people in our community can live meaningful and dignified lives. Two decisions that have been made over the last few years by the federal Liberal government I think are quite disconcerting. First of all, they said that they would need the Medicare levy to be increased to provide funding and then, as part of their tax rhetoric and to give them the excuse to be able to cut taxes for corporates, they reduced the Medicare levy.

They then withdrew that levy because there was enough money in the scheme to do so. There was enough money in the scheme to do that, but it is interesting that almost every week, without fail, my office gets complaints about the slow rollout of plans and the slow rollout of funding to people living with disability. These are people who have actually had their plans approved and

who are waiting months, if not years due to lack of funding, for services to be delivered, or they are waiting to have their plans approved and there are enormous delays.

The second decision that has been made is the recent one where they took \$3.9 billion from the National Disability Insurance Scheme to fund the Drought Aid program. I have no argument with the drought aid to farmers, as it is very important to do that, and I have spoken to people who are affected by the drought. But to do what the federal government did twice? First, they made cuts to education, families and people who rely on social security or to the NDIS.

They tried to play one vulnerable group against another, and this is what they have done again on this occasion—this time, the vulnerable group is the farmers, who are under drought pressure—and they took money away from the National Disability Insurance Scheme to do that. That is utterly disgraceful and what they have done at the national level is utterly disgraceful. People and their families who are living with disability have fought so hard for decades—

*Mr Cowdrey interjecting:*

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO:** —you will get your chance to speak—to get a fair deal in society. Unfortunately, there has been one giant step forward with the NDIS and a couple of steps backwards with the federal Liberal government. That is why it is very sad to hear that.

There is another area which needs some improvement and which will in part be addressed by the NDIS if it is properly funded. The slowdown of delivery of the services is, in essence, about funding. You either fund people directly, which is being delayed, or you fund the industry to actually grow and be able to provide the services. That is one thing that the federal government is not doing. I am sure that people will understand that, and certainly it will be reflected next year at the appropriate time.

Another issue I mention is transport, and I hope that the NDIS will address this. One of the issues facing people with disability is transport—whether they want to go to education, to work, to recreation or to culture—and the capacity to be able to get to venues and events. I still get a number of complaints about how we ration the money for people to get access to transport services. I am not sure where this case is at in the Federal Court, but the Administrative Appeals Tribunal ruled that, if a person has been approved for transport services in their plan, those transport services should be funded in full because it is either a service you need or a service you do not need.

The federal government decided to take that decision to the Federal Court to rule it out because, again, they want to ration money to the most vulnerable people in our society. It is a fact that it is in the Federal Court, and I hope the Federal Court will uphold the AAT decision because if it does not that will mean, again, a rationing scheme to people living with disability. The underlying principle of the whole NDIS is that people get the things they need, not the things we just want to afford.

With those comments, I ask members to support this motion to acknowledge the International Day of People with Disability next Monday and that we can do better in this space to help people living with disability.

**Mr COWDREY (Colton) (12:44):** I move to amend the motion as follows:

Delete paragraph (d).

So the motion will read:

That this house—

- (a) notes that on Monday 3 December 2018 we celebrate the International Day of People with Disability;
- (b) believes that all people, irrespective of their disability, have a right to live in and be treated with dignity; and
- (c) believes a well-funded National Disability Insurance Scheme will help improve the quality of the lives of people living with a disability;

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** Member for Colton, could we have a signed and seconded copy of that, please?

**Mr COWDREY:** You certainly can.

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** Thank you. You have the call.

**Mr COWDREY:** There are certainly elements of the member for Light's contribution that I agree with. The NDIS is obviously a substantial shift in the platform of disability in this country, and that cannot be underestimated. It is a shift in approach for the whole of the disability sector, in terms of the way service providers now have to look at their businesses and deliver their services.

Gone are the days when block funding came to the organisation and they decided on and worked out what services could be delivered with the amount of funding they received. Service providers are now dealing with a change, where unit pricing, forecast modelling and invoicing—things that are now commonplace within a disability service organisation—were previously unheard of.

For a number of organisations, that transition has been difficult and has taken time, but I believe that we are starting to get to the point now where a number of organisations have made that transition and understand the need to work in an efficient manner that helps them accurately determine their unit pricing and allows them to competitively put their services out to the open market, which effectively is the change that the NDIS was seeking to achieve.

For consumers of disability services, it is obviously a substantial change in terms of their ability to source services and supports that assist them in their daily lives and that assist them in achieving goals that they set for themselves. It allows them to go out to a market to determine the services that are most appropriate and beneficial for them. It is clear across the country that the anticipated number of people participating in the NDIS is much greater than was initially conceived or envisaged. I do not see that as negative by any stretch of the imagination.

The fact that we have reached a point where more people are happy to associate, to put their hand up and say, 'To achieve what I need in life, I do need support and I do need help and assistance,' is an incredibly powerful thing. We see a market where they can come in, dictate and choose what they wish to have. Previously, maybe there was a service there that they were not accessing because it was not right for them. The fact that we have more people involved now than had previously been envisaged to access services is absolutely a powerful and important change.

I do not think anybody in this house, in Canberra or anywhere else would say that the NDIS is perfect at this point by any stretch of the imagination. We know that there are challenges. We know that there are things that need to be improved, and we are certainly working, from a state perspective, to continue that journey and assist where we can in people making that transition. I thank the member for Light for highlighting one of the commitments of this government in terms of delivering the Disability Advocate, the inaugural disability advocate for South Australia. As was announced yesterday, Dr David Cowdrey—

**The Hon. A. Piccolo:** Caudrey.

**Mr COWDREY:** —Caudrey, pardon me, I will not confuse it; it does sound very similar, and certainly no relation—is the inaugural Disability Advocate for South Australia. The quantum of people we are talking about being involved, just from a South Australian perspective, is in the realm of 35,000 people, perhaps more than that. As I said, we have had more people come and be involved across the nation than was originally envisaged.

In terms of other things that the government is doing to celebrate International Day of People with Disability, there is a Celebrate on the Square event this Friday, 30 November, from 11am to 2pm, which is being co-hosted by the Paraplegic and Quadriplegic Association of SA. Also, earlier, through this parliament, we moved the Disability Inclusion Act 2018. I think we have all had an opportunity to discuss the changes to disability access inclusion plans, so I will not go into great detail around that.

In regard to the question of funding, the Coalition government committed to fully funding its share of the NDIS in the 2018-19 federal budget and on 1 July 2018 it signed a bilateral agreement guaranteeing funding for the full scheme here in South Australia. I must admit that it is actually very disappointing. My rhetoric could probably be a lot stronger, given the implication of paragraph (d) of the original motion and also the contribution, but it has been very clearly stated that strong economic

growth across the federal sphere has allowed for increased tax receipts, which it subsequently allowed.

It has well and truly been confirmed on numerous occasions that the NDIS is 100 per cent fully funded. The accusations and mistruths that have been out in the community around allaying a fear that perhaps people are going to miss out in the future because funding is not in place is something that is really, really disappointing.

*Members interjecting:*

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** Order! Member for Heysen, just take a seat please for a moment. The member for Colton has the call. He is making a contribution to this motion and he is within his rights to be heard in silence.

**Ms Stinson:** Well, ask him to explain.

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** No, I do not want to hear it. There are no interjections across the house. Member for Colton, continue.

**Mr COWDREY:** What I will say is that clearly the agreement was not in place when the former federal government was in office; it is now. I am certainly confident that everybody within South Australia who wants to access the NDIS both now and in the future will have their services funded. Further to that, we have heard both negatives and positives in regard to what the NDIS has been able to deliver in South Australia and across the country as well. I probably have more experience than most in regard to experience with people under NDIS plans.

Last week, I was at the Mid North Games, which is an annual sporting event held in South Australia over this year and next year. It rotates around the country, with a number of people with disabilities coming to compete. As part of that event, they had a final end of event celebration. A young girl performed as part of that. Her goal under her NDIS plan was to further herself in regard to her artistic endeavours, in particular singing. She had the opportunity to perform at that closing event by singing in front of a group of 200 or so people. That is just one example of where the NDIS is making a difference.

Previously, some of the services that she was accessing would not have been offered or covered by organisations, whether that be at a not-for-profit level or a state level in South Australia but, with the changes in the NDIS, they have been covered. She had the opportunity to stand up and do something that she wanted to achieve and that was absolutely fantastic to see.

To me, the International Day of People with Disability should be about celebration and hope and it should not be about fear. This motion should solely be about celebrating the contribution of people with a disability to our state and our community. I hope that we can work together to achieve a future where the lens of disability does not need to be added but is just assumed. I support and seek support for the amended motion.

**Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (12:54):** I rise to support the motion. I will make some very brief comments on it. Basically, I want to highlight a few issues with the NDIS. Certainly, I think it is a very positive step forward. But people need to realise that fear of change, particularly in terms of parents who have a child with a disability, is one of the very important things that we, as a parliament, need to recognise. Particularly as parents get older and age, they fear their child being disadvantaged, if not abandoned, by changes to the rules.

The NDIS offers great opportunity; however, the work that Disability SA did was greatly appreciated. At home, we had Di Hennessy who did amazing work. The change that I need the government to understand is that it went from where funding was applied to Disability SA, and they provided a range of services. Now we are going to individual funding. If you take just a simple exercise like respite care or craft, if you had 15 people in the class, Disability SA would run a program and advertise it.

Now it has gone to individual funding with individual plans. Those who are signing up for their plans may not actually know the range of services out there. To get a critical number to make that class or respite work, you need a cohort signing up. I still firmly believe that Disability SA had an important role in the transition to NDIS and it upsets me greatly that that opportunity has been missed.

I also want to talk about Bedford and the great work that Bedford in Mount Gambier offers in terms of employment opportunities. I have a sister who has a disability, and you see what adverse effects can occur through a disability pension where effectively they are paid and they stay at home. It leads to isolation, and it leads to mental health issues. I know that people talk about programs and getting people involved; it is a lot harder to do than it is to say.

I encourage the government, where possible, to use this transition and NDIS funding for the betterment of all people. There is no greater achievement, in my mind, than having all people in work. I would like us as a parliament to recognise that. Wherever we can assist that, without the fear of their pension being taken away, it is a vital step in the mental health and the connectedness of people with disabilities in our community.

**The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light) (12:57):** I would like to thank everybody for their contribution and ask why those opposite are concerned about the words 'ensure that the NDIS is properly funded, so it can improve the quality of the lives of people living with disability'.

The house divided on the amendment:

Ayes ..... 23  
Noes ..... 18  
Majority ..... 5

#### AYES

Basham, D.K.B.	Chapman, V.A.	Cowdrey, M.J.
Cregan, D.	Duluk, S.	Ellis, F.J.
Gardner, J.A.W.	Harvey, R.M. (teller)	Knoll, S.K.
Luethen, P.	Marshall, S.S.	McBride, N.
Murray, S.	Patterson, S.J.R.	Pisoni, D.G.
Power, C.	Sanderson, R.	Speirs, D.J.
Teague, J.B.	Treloar, P.A.	van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.
Whetstone, T.J.	Wingard, C.L.	

#### NOES

Bettison, Z.L.	Bignell, L.W.K.	Boyer, B.I.
Brown, M.E.	Close, S.E.	Cook, N.F.
Gee, J.P.	Hildyard, K.A.	Hughes, E.J.
Koutsantonis, A.	Malinauskas, P.	Mullighan, S.C.
Odenwalder, L.K.	Piccolo, A. (teller)	Picton, C.J.
Stinson, J.M.	Weatherill, J.W.	Wortley, D.

#### PAIRS

Pederick, A.S.	Rau, J.R.
----------------	-----------

Amendment thus carried; motion as amended carried.

*Sitting suspended from 13:03 to 14:00.*

#### *Petitions*

#### **SERVICE SA MODBURY**

**Ms BEDFORD (Florey):** Presented a petition signed by 100 residents of South Australia requesting the house to urge the government not to proceed with the proposed closure of the Service SA Modbury Branch announced as a cost-saving measure in the 2018-19 state budget.

*Parliamentary Procedure***PAPERS**

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Speaker—

Local Government Annual Reports—

Barossa Council, The Annual Report 2017-18

Goyder, Regional Council of Annual Report 2017-18

Playford, City of Annual Report 2017-18

Wudinna District Council Annual Report 2017-18

By the Premier (Hon. S.S. Marshall)—

Lotteries Commission of South Australia—Annual Report 2017-18

State Procurement Board—Annual Report 2017-18

By the Attorney-General (Hon. V.A. Chapman)—

Legal Profession Conduct Commissioner—Annual Report 2017-18

Serious and Organised Crime (Control) Act 2008—Report on the review of the operation and effectiveness of the—for a period of approximately six years from 17 June 2012, dated 19 November 2018

**VISITORS**

**The SPEAKER:** I welcome to parliament today the former member for Schubert, the baron of the Barossa, Mr Ivan Venning. Welcome, sir.

*Ministerial Statement***PHOENIXING**

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (14:02):** I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Today, I am pleased to indicate the government's support for the commonwealth Treasury Laws Amendment (Combating Illegal Phoenixing) Bill 2018. Phoenixing activity is not defined in legislation and can encompass both legitimate business rescue activity and the use of serial deliberate insolvency as a business model to avoid paying company debts.

A common feature of phoenix activity is the stripping and transfer of assets from a company to another entity with the intention of defeating the interests of the company's creditors in the assets of the company.

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** In 2015, illegal phoenixing activity was highlighted as a significant issue in the Senate Economics Reference Committee's inquiry into insolvency in the Australian construction industry. A July 2018 report by PricewaterhouseCoopers, prepared for the Phoenix Taskforce, estimated the annual direct cost to businesses, employees and government as a result of potential illegal activity to be between \$2.85 billion and \$5.13 billion in 2015-16.

Illegal phoenixing activity has serious impacts upon the employees of the phoenix company, businesses and contractors, who are owed money because they have supplied goods and services, and statutory bodies, such as the Australian Taxation Office. It also gives phoenix companies an unfair advantage over other competitors, damaging the competitive process. I commend the federal government for its commitment to ongoing reform of Australia's corporate insolvency regime through the introduction of this bill and other recent legislation designed to counter illegal phoenixing activity.

The bill implements the third tranche of the federal government's reforms and contains a package of measures to combat illegal phoenix activity by:

- introducing new phoenix offences and civil penalty provisions for company officers and other persons who fail to prevent the company from making creditor-defeating dispositions;
- creating a new ASIC administrative power and a new void transaction provision to combat illegal asset-stripping activity;
- enhancing the ability of ASIC and liquidators to recover assets back to the company for the benefit of creditors;
- preventing directors from improperly backdating resignations to avoid liability or prosecution; and
- limiting the ability of directors to resign when this would leave the company with no directors.

The South Australian government is committed to supporting these laws and creating a fair, competitive environment that provides equal commercial opportunity for all companies and businesses and looks forward to seeing the introduction and favourable passage of the commonwealth bill.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee was called to order this morning and he is now warned.

*Parliamentary Committees*

**ECONOMIC AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (14:05):** I bring up the second report of the Economic and Finance Committee, entitled Annual Report 2017-18.

Report received and ordered to be published.

*Question Time*

**PREMIER MARSHALL**

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:06):** My question is to the Premier. If the Premier can't lead his party room, how can he lead the state?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left! That question obviously is hypothetical and contains argument. I will allow the Leader of the Opposition one go to amend it.

**The Hon. J.A.W. Gardner:** We can answer it.

**The SPEAKER:** You would like to answer it? The Premier has the call. I have changed my mind: the Premier has the call.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:06):** Thank you very much, sir. Let me tell you about leadership. Leadership is about fixing up messes, acting on behalf of the people of South Australia. This is something those opposite wouldn't have the foggiest notion about.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** They had 16 years. What a complete and utter mess!

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We have been charged with the responsibility of fixing up 16 years of Labor dysfunction and mess in South Australia, and that's precisely what we are very, very happy to do.



**The SPEAKER:** I remind members that it is highly disorderly to reflect upon a vote of the house, if they were considering doing it. I call to order the following members: the Leader of the Opposition, the member for West Torrens, the member for Reynell, the member for Badcoe, the member for Playford, the member for Wright and the member for Waite. The Leader of the Opposition has the call.

#### GOVERNMENT MEMBERS, CROSSING THE FLOOR

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:07):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier advise the house the last time the government of the day lost a vote in the house of government?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my right! The minister for primary industries is called to order.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (14:08):** While this is indeed a reflection on a vote, I would—

**The SPEAKER:** What is the point of order, minister?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I am happy to answer as leader of the house.

**The SPEAKER:** You are answering the question? Let's hear it.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The minister is answering the question.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I was sitting here four years ago when those opposite in government lost a vote. I will remind you of what it was. The house criticised the Labor government for its absolutely fallacious, misleading and utterly inappropriate campaign to bring fear to Housing Trust residents across Australia—four years ago. It's a reflection that four years ago the house reflected on the immoral way—

*An honourable member interjecting:*

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —the question was about a vote—in which the Labor Party had conducted its election campaign in 2014, nothing to do with members of parliament standing up and doing what they think is right for the people of South Australia, which is what every member on this side of the house did last night. They voted for what they believed was in the best interests of the people of South Australia. That is what we in the Liberal Party do, and that's what we did four years ago when we voted and were joined by a member of a Labor cabinet in defeating the Labor Party four years ago.

#### GOVERNMENT MEMBERS, CROSSING THE FLOOR

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:09):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier please advise the house of any previous incidents where four government MPs have crossed the floor to vote with the opposition?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir: reflecting on a vote.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Reflecting upon a vote of the house. Could I have the question again? There was much interjection.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Thanks, Mr Speaker. My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier advise the house of the last incidence four government MPs crossed the floor to vote with the opposition?

**The SPEAKER:** Given the way it has gone today, I am going to allow that question. Would someone like to answer it? Minister.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (14:10):** The member is entitled to read the *Hansard* of the answer I just gave him.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Waite.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

#### TEACHERS DISPUTE

**Mr DULUK (Waite) (14:10):** My question is to the Minister for Education.

*Mr Picton interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Kaurna is called to order.

**Mr DULUK:** Can the minister please update the house on what impact the Australian Education Union strike tomorrow is expected to have in South Australian school communities?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (14:10):** I thank the—

*The Hon. A. Piccolo interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Light is called to order.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —member for Waite. I know that he is concerned about local school communities in his area, as all of the members on this side of the house are. We want the best possible outcomes for our children in our schools and in our communities. We want them to have the best possible opportunities to be able to fulfil their potential. Every child in every classroom in every school in this state is our concern, and their needs are our concern and, indeed, their families are our concern as well.

In the Waite electorate, there are families in Belair and Blackwood who will be impacted by school and preschool closures. The good news is that, across the rest of the Waite electorate, there are schools that are remaining open, either with full learning programs or indeed in some cases with modified programs.

The last information that I have been given is that across South Australia there will be 692 school and preschool sites staying open tomorrow for the whole day, and we are so grateful to the teachers, to the school leaders, to the SSOs who have decided that the best interests of the children come first, and they are turning up at work to do their job.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** I know that the deputy leader doesn't want them to do so, and that is a great disappointment, but the government is very grateful to all those teachers who are undertaking their work seriously because teaching is a noble profession, it is a calling, and we are grateful to those teachers who are putting their students first.

There are 183 schools that will be closing tomorrow until 12.15. That is going to be of significant disruption to many families, and it is of course going to be a disruption to the learning programs of the students in those schools. Many students who are undertaking year 10 and year 11 exams are having their programs disrupted. I spoke to a parent last night of a child who is due to be in a reception transition class at one of the schools that is being closed tomorrow, and that transition class the school has identified is unable to be replicated prior to that child going into reception next year, and that is replicated in a number of ways.

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** The Deputy Leader of the Opposition says it's the teachers' fault. I don't think it is the teachers' fault: I think this is the union leadership. The union leadership has made a decision—

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The deputy leader is called to order.

**The Hon. S.S. Marshall:** Maybe she's supporting strike action.

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier is called to order.

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The deputy leader is warned.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —to undertake industrial action without making a case—

*Mr Boyer interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Wright is called to order.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —as to why that industrial action should be made. They have made a log of claims, thus far totalling about half a billion dollars a year by the education department's calculations. They have said that wages don't form part of that claim and don't go into the reason why they are going on strike, yet they have asked for an interim wage rise of about double what the inflation rate is.

*Ms Hildyard interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Reynell is called to order.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** If all the union's claims were to be put in place, that would be more than half a billion dollars per year prior to us being able to deliver some of the significant reforms that the people of South Australia indeed voted for this government to undertake to do. We have already put in excess of \$500 million a year extra into the budget from 2021-22. We have put money into the budget over—

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Deputy leader, cease interjecting, please.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —enrolment growth and over that which was left in the budget by our predecessors in the hundreds of millions of dollars. More than \$700 million was added into the budget just two weeks ago to unlock extra federal government money that was previously not budgeted, which was not left in the budget when those opposite were in government.

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Deputy leader, please!

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** This is a government that has invested hundreds of millions of dollars extra into education into our public schools over and above what was left in the budget settings left by our predecessors. We are investing significantly in schools. We are investing significantly in public schools. We are keen to increase—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —educational attainment by every child in this state, and we wish those opposite would have had the same priorities when they were in government or in the future, but instead they are giving tacit approval for this strike which will so disadvantage so many South Australian students and families.

*Dr Close interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Deputy leader, please. The Leader of the Opposition has the call.

*The Hon. S.S. Marshall interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier is called to order!

#### FEDERAL LIBERAL GOVERNMENT

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:14):** My question—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Would the deputy leader and the Premier cease interjecting, please.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** No, they are both interjecting. The leader has the call.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Thanks, Mr Speaker. My question is to the Premier. Has the Premier called on his special relationship and sought advice from his Liberal mates in Canberra on how to deal with internal divisions within the party room?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir: that question contains assumption, presumption and argument and should be ruled out of order.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, there is certainly argument in that question. Would the leader like to alter the question?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Argument? What argument?

**The SPEAKER:** Well, there were many parts of it that contained argument. Would you like to amend the question, or I will take it away? The leader has the call.

#### STATE LIBERAL GOVERNMENT

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:15):** Has the Premier sought any advice from his federal mates in Canberra on how to deal with internal divisions?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** I am allowing that question.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** It contains the argument that there are indeed internal divisions within the Liberal Party, which there are not, of course.

**The SPEAKER:** I am allowing that question. Would someone like to answer it?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:15):** No.

#### MINING INDUSTRY

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:15):** My question is to the Premier again. Does the Premier support a right for farmers to veto mining on freehold land?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:16):** No.

#### MINING LEGISLATION

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:16):** So consultation means nothing. My question is to the Premier.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Will the Premier now—

*The Hon. J.A.W. Gardner interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Education is called to order. I remind members that there is something on the *Notice Paper* at the moment pertaining to mining. I will hear the question carefully.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** My question is to the Premier again. Will the Premier now honour his election commitment and consult with regional communities on the mining bill?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir: the Leader of the Opposition has just completely ignored your warning and is obstructing the house.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Point of order on the point of order.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Sir, the leader is not canvassing the merits of a bill.

**The SPEAKER:** I think the answer can be given without canvassing the merits of the bill. I will allow the question. The Premier has the call, and I expect him to be heard in silence or members will be departing.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:17):** I am very happy to answer this question—in fact, any of the questions, as inane as they may be—from the current Leader of the Opposition.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee is warned for a second and final time, and the member for Badcoe is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We don't have any questions from the deputy leader about important—

*Ms Hildyard interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Reynell is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —public issues to do with education this week. We don't have many questions coming from the deputy leader at all about her portfolios. For some reason they don't want to talk about education. They don't want to talk about TAFE.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The deputy leader is warned for a second and final time. I have allowed the question.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** But we do have a question—

**The SPEAKER:** I have asked for it to be heard in silence. There are members on my left and right interjecting.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —from the Leader of the Opposition, and he is asking a question about consultation. Of course, my answer is that we are always consulting. We think it's really important in regional communities in South Australia. Consultation doesn't necessarily mean agreeing with every single person on every single issue. But being respectful, sitting down and listening to people—

*Mr Odenwalder interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Elizabeth is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —and making sure that government is informed of their thoughts is very important to this government, and we will continue consulting with people in regional communities and people from right across this state. We are the party—

**The Hon. Z.L. Bettison:** A decision you should have made yesterday morning.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Ramsay is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —which arguably represents the broadest cross-section of the South Australian population. We are not the party of narrow interest groups. We are not the party which basically takes its riding instructions from the trade union bosses, like those opposite.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We are the ones who make up our own mind.

*Mr Teague interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Heysen is called to order.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We are the ones who back the people of South Australia. Now, sir, you may like some evidence of this to back up the claims that I am making in parliament today, and I will just give you a couple of examples. This will be important for the Leader of the Opposition to perhaps tune into at the moment because, after their election loss at the most recent state election, he said he was going out on a 'listening' tour. He was going to type in some places on the GPS.

**Mr Duluk:** He hasn't been to Mitcham yet.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Waite is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** 'Coomandook,' he said. 'Where's that?' he said.

*Mr Pederick interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Anyway, he found Coomandook.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Hammond is warned.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** He hasn't been there yet, but he found out where it is. It's in South Australia. A couple of things that we were talking to the people of South Australia about—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —were things like rate capping. This was something that was hugely popular. Did they listen to the people of South Australia?

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Point of order, sir.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** No. No, they didn't.

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier will be seated for just one moment, please. There is a point of order from the member for West Torrens.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** This is now a debate, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Debate.

**The Hon. D.G. Pisoni:** He asked about consulting.

**The SPEAKER:** I will deliberate on the point of order. For once, the Minister for Industry makes a very valid point: it was about consultation. However, I will listen carefully to ensure that the Premier sticks to the substance of the question. Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** The question was about whether the government would be consulting with people to inform decisions that we make as a government, and I gave the example of the rate capping consultation that we did. The opposition leader said he was consulting. I don't know who he was consulting with: 'Ring, ring. Is that Don? G'day, Don. What should I be doing today?' It's a little bit embarrassing. Of course, that's not the only thing he rang up Uncle Don about. Then there was shop trading hours: we consulted with the people of South Australia, and it's fair to say—

**Mr Brown:** What about in Millicent? Did you talk to the people of Millicent?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Playford is called to order.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It's fair to say that everybody agreed with further relaxation, but that's the difference between us in the Liberal Party and those opposite: we listen to a variety of people—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —and we make up our mind based upon what we think is in the best interests of the population overall. I don't think there are any doubts that the vast majority of people want further deregulation—

**The SPEAKER:** The Premier's time has expired.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —everybody, it seems, except the Leader of the Opposition.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, Premier. The member for Heysen and then the leader.

**The Hon. Z.L. Bettison:** Oh, a Dixier.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Ramsay is on two warnings.

### RENEWABLE ENERGY

**Mr TEAGUE (Heysen) (14:21):** My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Can the minister update the house on how the government is delivering jobs in renewables, and are there any other alternative approaches?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Before the minister answers the question, I respectfully ask the member for Ramsay to please leave for 30 minutes under 137A. Other members on both sides will join her if this continues.

*The honourable member for Ramsay having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:22):** This is an important question from the member for Heysen, who is very focused on this sort of thing. He knows, as all of our team does, how important it is to bring down the price of electricity, improve the reliability and make it cleaner and greener, but that's not all we are doing. We are creating jobs as well—new, skilled jobs in South Australia—something that the previous government failed to do.

**Mr Malinauskas:** The member for Davenport is looking straight towards the middle of your back.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, leader! The leader is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Not only did they push up the price of electricity—

*The Hon. R. Sanderson interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Child Protection is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and make the people suffer with blackouts but they also didn't deliver any new jobs. We are using this policy to deliver jobs as well. We have brought into South Australia through our home battery scheme three new assembly manufacturing plants: Sonnen, Alpha ESS—

*Mr Odenwalder interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Elizabeth can leave for half an hour for applauding and looking towards the member for Cheltenham.

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Elizabeth, not Lee.

*The honourable member for Elizabeth having withdrawn from the chamber:*

*Mr Pederick interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Hammond can join him for half an hour.

*The honourable member for Hammond having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Stop the clock.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, stop the clock, please.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Put it back. I just lost a lot of valuable information to share.

**The SPEAKER:** Minister, this is not the NBA. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you. Sonnen, Alpha ESS and Eguana. A very important point about Sonnen is that it is the first company to come and develop manufacturing here. The previous government tried to do a deal with them; it fell apart. It absolutely fell apart, but we saved it. We saved it like we're going to save Health—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and like we're going to save Education. We saved it. Let me just—

*The Hon. J.W. Weatherill interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Cheltenham is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —explain further. We've got nearly 200 jobs.

**Mr Duluk:** Are you still here, Jay?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Waite can leave for half an hour.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left will be joining him.

*The honourable member for Waite having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I think I need another minute back, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thank you, Mr Speaker. We are focused on creating jobs. Through our Home Battery Scheme, we will bring over 800 new jobs into South Australia, which is very important. The previous government did start the Telstra virtual power plant.

**The Hon. A. Koutsantonis:** Tesla, not Telstra.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for West Torrens is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Mr Speaker, the previous government did start the Tesla virtual power plant, but guess what? We are improving it; we are making it better and better and better. Today, we have announced—

*Mr Boyer interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The member for Wright is warned.

**Mr Picton:** You're going to bring in some coal, are you?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Kurna is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Today, we have announced the beginning of phase 2: another 1,000 battery panels brought into the state. Let me tell you that one of the ways we are improving it is by speaking the truth. You will remember, Mr Speaker, that before the last election the previous premier and the previous treasurer went to Tindo Solar and made an announcement that with the Tesla VPP program Tindo Solar would get 50 per cent of the panels through this program. They said that publicly, but guess what? It didn't happen and do you know why it didn't happen?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*Members interjecting:*



**The SPEAKER:** The member for Hurtle Vale and the member for Giles are warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** And I would like another minute, please, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** I will give the minister 30 seconds.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Thanks.

**The SPEAKER:** He has been interrupted a lot. Minister, 30 seconds.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Guess what? The previous government made a promise to Tindo—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and then failed to include that promise in their contract with Tesla. So Tesla is doing what they should be doing—honouring the contract. We are honouring the contract, as we said we would. We are honouring contracts that the previous government entered into on behalf of the state, but we cannot honour the broken promises of the previous government. Not only did they deliver the world's highest electricity prices and more blackouts than ever but they made a promise to Tindo which they failed to deliver on.

#### MINING INDUSTRY

**Mr MALINAUSKAS (Croydon—Leader of the Opposition) (14:27):** My question is to the Premier. Given the Premier does not support a right of veto for farmers on freehold land, will he rule out consulting farmers on a right of veto on farming land?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** What is the point of order?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** The member continues to—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** —ask questions relating to a bill that is before the house.

**The SPEAKER:** It is possible to answer such a question without referring to a bill that is before the house. I will listen assiduously to the answer. I warn and I caution members that in future questions they do not canvass what may potentially be before the house. Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:27):** Thank you very much, sir. I was asked earlier by the Leader of the Opposition whether I personally support a right of veto. The answer to that was no. I am happy to have consultation. We as a party make our decisions—it's a very different beast from the Australian Labor Party. They make their decisions—well, in fact, nobody really knows. I remember when they got rid of Kevin Rudd—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —they made it in a Chinese restaurant in Canberra. I don't know what they do here in South Australia, but we make decisions in our joint party room. We make decisions of government within the cabinet. I was asked my opinion and I offered my opinion.

*Ms Stinson interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Badcoe is warned for a second and final time.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I know that we are definitely very keen to look at other models that exist around the rest of the country and we have made a commitment to look at those various models that exist around the country. I think consultation is extraordinarily important. Do I support the right of veto? No.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

#### **GOVERNMENT MEMBERS, CROSSING THE FLOOR**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:29):** My question is to the Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** You've been busy.

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** When did the minister first learn that four of his colleagues would cross the floor and vote with the opposition to adjourn the debate on his mining bill?

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order: that reflects on a vote.

**The SPEAKER:** That does reflect on a vote of the house.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Industry is warned. I will swing back to the member for West Torrens.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** I made no comment on the vote, sir; I simply asked when he was first informed.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, but I have taken that to be within the remit of reflecting on a vote of the house. I ask the member for West Torrens to move on to another question, please.

#### **GOVERNMENT MEMBERS, CROSSING THE FLOOR**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:29):** Did any of the four members of parliament, who yesterday voted to adjourn the mining bill, inform the minister in advance of their intentions?

**The SPEAKER:** I am also taking that—

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** Are you going to allow this?

**The SPEAKER:** —to be reflection on a vote of the house.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** It's not, sir.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for West Torrens, your side are giving it as much as getting it.

**Mr Malinauskas:** We're not calling anybody names.

**The SPEAKER:** The Leader of the Opposition is not helping at the moment. Member for West Torrens, would you like to move on to another question? If not, I will be taking the question away.

#### **MINING INDUSTRY**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:30):** My question is to the minister for mineral resources and energy. Does the minister support a right for farmers to veto mining on freehold land?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:30):** I think I have made it very clear that I don't support that and I'm very happy to talk about the topic. I understand why farmers would like a right of veto. I understand. Do you know what, Mr Speaker?

**Mr Malinauskas:** Your consultation is faux consultation.

**The SPEAKER:** The Leader of the Opposition is warned for a second and final time.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Do you know what, Mr Speaker?

**The SPEAKER:** Tell me.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** If I was a farmer, I would want a right of veto. It makes perfect sense. I understand why they want it. I am not a farmer: I am the Minister for Energy and Mining. It is my responsibility to lead the discussion within our party. We will make decisions collectively on where we go. There is not one of us—

*The Hon. A. Piccolo interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Light, you can leave for half an hour today under 137A. You have been doing it all day.

*The honourable member for Light having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** There is not one of us who is arrogant enough to think that our personal view automatically becomes the party view. That might be different over there, but we are collaboratively working together on all the issues that we are dealing with on behalf of the people of South Australia.

**The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell:** Don't put up with it, Fraser.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Mawson is called to order.

*Mr Ellis interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Narungga is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Our government is solid and united, but it does not mean—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —that we are not allowed to have different opinions on issues. That's what they can't understand.

**The Hon. V.A. Chapman:** They are not allowed to do that.

**The SPEAKER:** The Deputy Premier is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Let me just tell you, when it comes to right of veto and my personal view—

*The Hon. S.K. Knoll interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Transport is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —I don't think it's right, but I am very willing to listen to people who have a different view to find more information. The reality is that freehold landowners own the surface of their land, and in farming circles, and I am sure in residential and commercial, overwhelmingly people have had to work incredibly hard to get that land. They want to protect it; they want to control it—fair enough. But guess what? This is not about farmers versus miners. It's actually all South Australians who own the mineral rights under that land.

This is about finding a way forward that farmers can be comfortable with and also without reducing the rights of all South Australians. Every South Australian has a right to the mineral resources under that land. We need to find a balance. My opinion is my opinion; I share it quite openly, but it is not the thing that determines our position. It's not something I am shy to share. Mr Speaker, as you know, on our side we are allowed to share our opinions. But when it comes to determining legislation—and I am aware that I cannot talk about particular legislation before the house—

**The SPEAKER:** Yes.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —we do what is best for everybody in this state. We will find a way through this that is positive and sensible and offers improvements for all concerned. We actually want to get on with this. We want people who will receive benefits from legislation that we bring to this parliament to get them as quickly as possible, and then we want to move on and look for future improvements and find more ways for the state to benefit. We are determined to do that. We will do that, and that is in stark contrast to the opposition, which has a position, which it has told everybody in the world, and they are playing politics with this issue for no other reason than to support themselves and not the state.

### STATE OF THE ENVIRONMENT REPORT

**Mr PATTERSON (Morphett) (14:34):** My question is to the Minister for Environment and Water. Can the minister update the house on how the Marshall Liberal government's environment policies and platforms are responding to recommendations of the recently released State of the Environment Report?

**The Hon. D.J. SPEIRS (Black—Minister for Environment and Water) (14:35):** I thank the member for Morphett for his excellent question. The State of the Environment Report 2018 was handed down last Monday afternoon by the Environment Protection Authority. The report provides a mixed response in terms of environmental status for South Australia. What it does do is it gives us a very good benchmark for measuring progress over time and also building strategy for environmental protection and recovery going forward.

The previous report in 2013 presented quite a bleak outlook for the state's environment and, while the current report is not particularly rosy in some respects, it does show that some aspects of our natural environment are showing some recovery. Of course, a report like this, and the trends that it sets and patterns that it outlines over time, extends far beyond one government or one party of a particular political persuasion. The trends and recommendations in this report really need to be seen in a generational context and responded to accordingly.

Some of the aspects of the State of the Environment Report that are showing upticks in terms of their trends and showing signs of positive change include water quality, aquatic ecosystems, coast and marine environments and air quality in the state. We see these are all things that are heading in the right direction. Some of them still have a substantial way to go and some of them still face very significant threats, but we are seeing positive trends in these areas.

This connects quite closely with the environmental report cards that the Department for Environment and Water handed down a few months ago. The two bodies of work—the State of the Environment Report and the environmental report cards—are very closely linked and enable us to build that really strong understanding of where we've been, the current status and where we might need to head in the future.

The more worrying aspects contained within the State of the Environment Report this year are probably of no surprise to the people in this chamber today. They include climate change, and the trends continue to be extremely worrying for our state and obviously at a global level as well. That is something that this government certainly recognise we need to respond to. We need to respond in a practical way and we need to invest substantial effort towards dealing with the effects of climate change, as well as mitigating the causes of climate change here in South Australia.

That's a big challenge for us because it is a substantial policy area that is not only state-based but national and global and presents us with particular challenges. But this government has put a number of significant climate policies on the table, which will not only mitigate but help adapt to the effects of climate change as well.

Another very significant area, which has unfortunately been a trend of these report cards for many years and generations in Australia, is biodiversity decline. We are suffering very significant biodiversity decline. That continues to occur unabated, and so we do need to focus on projects that have that landscape recovery, and look at preventing native vegetation clearance, and particularly get the basics right when it comes to predator control—dealing with the pest plants and pest animals

in the landscape, which is of course a very significant part of the natural resources management reform that I look forward to bringing to this house next year.

#### MINING LEGISLATION

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:39):** My question is to the Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy. Can the minister inform the house how he consulted with Aboriginal communities on his proposed mining bill? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Point of order, sir: this question can only be reflecting on a bill that is before the house.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes. With respect, member for West Torrens, that is within the purview of a bill that is before the house, so—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Ministers on my right will cease interjecting or they will be leaving the chamber today. Member for West Torrens, would you like to move on to another question?

#### MINING LEGISLATION

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:39):** My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Will the minister now agree to conduct meaningful consultation with the industry and regional communities in relation to his mining bill?

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:39):** Despite the fact that that question is out of order according to standing order 97, I am happy to answer that. The short version of the answer is that we are consulting with regional communities nonstop, absolutely nonstop. I'll tell you what: as well as having a significant number of regional MPs on this side of the house, all our metropolitan-based MPs have an interest in the regions as well. We understand on this side of the chamber—

*Mr Picton interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Kaurua is warned for a second and final time.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** We understand on this side of the chamber that our state is actually our entire state. It's not just about the city or just about the country or just about the outback. Let me tell you, Mr Speaker: we represent electorates from one end of this state to the other, from east to west, north to south, and we represent metropolitan Adelaide seats as well. There is no other party that can say that. We represent people from the breadth—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left and right!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The Leader—

*The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Mawson, I have been to Kangaroo Island. It's beautiful.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The Leader of the Opposition interjects saying, 'We can say that we represent the entire breadth of the state because we have one seat in the country.' That displays his complete lack of understanding.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** We represent—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Get on with it.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —the entire state and in every decision we make we focus on the entire state.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** So we are nonstop consulting with regional South Australians on all issues, and here's another interesting point: the question is, 'Will you consult with regional South Australia on this issue?' when it should actually be 'on all issues'. That's how we go about it. That's how narrow the view is over there. We consult with regional people on all issues—

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Giles is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —and we value—

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Giles, please.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —the contribution of our country, outback and metropolitan MPs towards our—

**The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell:** Yorke Peninsula?

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Mawson is warned.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —collective decision-making. We encourage people to bring different views to the party. We encourage people to engage with their communities. In fact, we engage with communities that we are not immediately directly part of nonstop as part of that broader consultation. The question that the member for West Torrens has asked belies his complete misunderstanding of what it actually means to consult with a regional community. It's a very poor reflection on the member who asked that question. It's a nonstop issue for us. With regard to the inference in his question that we have not done so, I reject that completely out of hand.

*Mr Hughes interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Giles is warned a second time.

#### MINING LEGISLATION

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:43):** Given the minister's answer, will the minister now brief his colleague the member for MacKillop on the extensive consultation he has conducted? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The member for MacKillop stated publicly this morning, and I quote:

Before coming into Government, [we] promised a consultation process with a key region and that would be the Yorke Peninsula with the proposed Copper mine and developments there and this has not happened.

So, when the Minister puts the papers on the party room tables on a Monday afternoon without any consultation to the Member for Narungga...and doesn't have the consultation process that he thought was due then that's when I said: [and I quote] 'Oh dear...this is not how it's meant to work. This is not how this process is meant to work at all.'

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Those facts can only be introduced for the purpose of asking the question.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left and right! There was a lot in that question. I'm not going to be taking points of order for debate unless there is a pretty extreme case. The minister has the call and he will be heard in silence.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:44):** The quote that the member opposite used—he started off by saying 'quote' and then in the

middle of it he said 'quote' again, so I don't know how exactly how much of it was a quote or how much—

*Ms Stinson interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Badcoe can leave for half an hour under 137A, please.

*The honourable member for Badcoe having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I don't know how much of it was a quote, how much of it wasn't a quote or whether he got lost reading his own handwriting.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Premier, please.

*Mr Malinauskas interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Is the Leader of the Opposition whistling? If he does it again, he will be leaving today. The minister has the call.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** The reality is that we have been discussing this issue—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —for a long time, internally and externally. I can tell you, given that the member opposite asked a question specifically in reference to the member for MacKillop, the member for MacKillop and I are talking very regularly about this. When the member for West Torrens asks will I now explain to him this, that and the other, I can assure you that we are talking about this all the time.

All of us are dealing with these things and we do so respectfully, considerately, collegiately and we work through the issues. That is exactly what we are doing, no problem at all. Do you know what, Mr Speaker? We know that if a Labor MP dared to say anything against the party or a minister, that person would be lost somewhere in Port Adelaide with concrete boots. They would be gone, never to be seen again.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** Turkish embassy style.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** On our side—

*Mr Patterson interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Morphett is called to order.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —we work through these things. Without wanting to reflect upon a bill in front of the house—

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** —if it happens to be that a bill has the support of 21 of 25 members of parliament, we keep working through it.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** We are not ashamed of that. The member for MacKillop and I are working very constructively on this. It does not bother him that I speak as the minister and it does not bother me that he speaks as the member for MacKillop.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order! The leader is on two warnings. The leader is close to the edge today.

#### **FIREFIGHTERS, INTERSTATE DEPLOYMENT**

**Mr BASHAM (Finniss) (14:47):** My question is to the Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services. How is the South Australian Country Fire Service assisting with the fires in Queensland?

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (14:47):** I thank the honourable member for his question and note that, in the electorate of Finniss, he is very active with the emergency services in his community. They, like the rest of the state, are preparing for our own fire danger season ahead. I was, in fact, in Finniss just a week or so ago. It was a pleasure to be with the member, getting to the Goolwa CFS station, the Victor Harbor MFS and also the Victor Harbor and Goolwa Sea Rescue Squadron base, and having a look at how they are preparing for the rising temperatures and the fire danger season here that is kicking in.

I am also pleased to inform the house that South Australia is proud to be able to support our colleagues in Queensland during this difficult and challenging time as they battle the fires up north. South Australia is sending support from the Country Fire Service, the Metropolitan Fire Service, and the Department for Environment and Water. At this stage, the CFS have offered 60 firefighters, two short-incident management teams and three command staff. In addition to the support on offer from the CFS, 12 MFS staff will be part of the deployment team heading north.

It is great that South Australia's emergency services can come together to help areas in their time of need. MFS professional firefighters offer specialty skills in urban firefighting and are more commonly becoming involved in fighting bushfires within suburban areas. The way the MFS and CFS are working together to keep South Australia safe is absolutely outstanding.

Firefighters plan to fly out this afternoon, weather permitting, and will spend five days in Queensland. The incident management team will stay for seven days, helping out up there in Queensland. The Department for Environment and Water are also lending a hand, with 12 specialist firefighters leaving today. The team from the Department for Environment and Water will include 10 firefighters from across the state and two incident controllers. A further two employees, who are fire behavioural analysts, will be sent in further deployments.

DEW often sends specialist staff to fires outside of Australia, recently sending specialists to Canada and the California fires overseas. Queensland is seeing unprecedented fire conditions and fire behaviour with more than 80 fires across the state, hundreds of residents evacuated from their homes and multiple serious fires threatening lives.

Bushfires of this scale are unheard of in Queensland, and the conditions facing the state over the coming days have never been experienced before. Heatwave conditions coupled with dry thunderstorms will result in horrific conditions for firefighters in that environment. Our South Australian CFS crews have recently experienced large-scale fires, including Sampson Flat and Pinery and will be able to offer those experiences to support their Queensland colleagues. I would like to take the opportunity to assure South Australians that our needs will continue to be met. We remain well resourced as we assist our Queensland cousins.

Thank you to the volunteers and staff who were contacted late yesterday afternoon and have given their time to help Queensland firefighters under extraordinarily difficult conditions. The strength, value and experience our local services hold are shown in examples like this, where we are able to send highly skilled firefighters and support teams to interstate and international events. I thank again all the volunteers who have offered to go and help and all the people who are going to help up in Queensland. We know they are tough times for them in the northern state. I wish everyone from South Australia who is going to help out the safest of travels. We wish them to stay well and come home safely as soon as possible.



**MINING LEGISLATION**

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens) (14:51):** My question is to the Minister for Energy and Mining. Is the minister aware of the public comments of the member for MacKillop regarding the minister's conduct of his portfolio? With your leave, sir, and that of the house, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS:** The member for MacKillop stated publicly this morning:

...what happened yesterday...all that we've done is...I believe saved the Government from complete turmoil amongst our own key constituents and Liberals from our regions, and particularly the Yorke Peninsula...

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart—Minister for Energy and Mining) (14:51):** Essentially, that's exactly the same question as the last one, so I could give four minutes, if that's what the opposition wants, with exactly the same answer again.

*The Hon. S.S. Marshall interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** It's the same question. Perhaps I could do the poor old member for West Torrens a bit of a favour because he hasn't asked many questions this year. His lack of questions on energy is actually quite extraordinary. But he does show up one thing by not asking questions on energy: he shows up the Leader of the Opposition, who keeps asking questions on Health. It just doesn't make any sense. I will tell you what—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

*The Hon. A. Koutsantonis interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** If the member for West Torrens utters words like that again, he will be leaving today. You are on two warnings.

**The Hon. D.C. VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN:** I will tell you what, Mr Speaker: let him ask another question. There we go—he's run out.

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee and then the member for Elder.

**HANDLEY, MR N.**

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:52):** My question is to the Minister for Industry and Skills. Does the minister stand by his comments yesterday in the house regarding Mr Nicholas Handley? With your leave, and that of the house, sir, I will explain.

Leave granted.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Yesterday, the minister told the house that Mr Nicholas Handley 'has experience and skills in the training industry', and, 'The facts are that Mr Handley is well qualified to meet the criteria for the ministerial appointment into that position.'

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Industry and Skills) (14:53):** Yes.

**HANDLEY, MR N.**

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:53):** My question is again to the Minister for Industry and Skills. Can the minister then confirm whether Mr Handley meets the requirements for appointment as a ministerial appointment under the Construction Industry Training Board pursuant to section 5 of the Construction Industry Training Fund Act 1993?

**The Hon. D.G. PISONI (Unley—Minister for Industry and Skills) (14:53):** Yes. The composition of the board, it says here:

...two persons nominated by the Minister, being persons who have appropriate experience in vocational education or training and who are or have been employed or engaged in the provision of such education or training...

The answer is yes.

#### LOT FOURTEEN

**Mrs POWER (Elder) (14:54):** My question is to the Premier. Can the Premier update the house about any developments at Lot Fourteen and what they mean for South Australia?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL (Dunstan—Premier) (14:54):** Colonel Light named this part of Adelaide. He called it Lot Fourteen, hence our name. I just wanted to clarify that because a few people have been asking me why it is called Lot Fourteen.

It was a great pleasure to be down at Lot Fourteen again. We have a bold vision for this part of our city, unlike those opposite who were in for a very long period of time deliberating what they would do on that site. They made a decision to move off that site, I think, in 2007. By the time they got to the election in 2018, I think they were on their third, fourth, or—I don't know. Everybody had lost count of the version of what they were going to do. At one stage, their preferred option for much of the last term of government was 1,300 apartments.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** That says to me one thing and one thing alone, and that is that they had nothing for Lot Fourteen whatsoever. They made the decision to get off that site, and they had nothing to put there except 1,300 apartments. This would have created some short-term construction jobs, and they are important for South Australia, but to me it would have taken away the great opportunity to create something which would have a lasting benefit to the South Australian community—seven hectares in the centre of the city, a wedge between our wonderful Botanic Garden and the university precinct on our major cultural boulevard.

We have a very different ambition, but most importantly we are getting on with delivering on that ambition. In the first budget that we handed down in September this year, we provided the \$476 million for the redevelopment of that site over the next five years, and we have wasted no time. It was a great delight to be down at Lot Fourteen on Monday this week with two very special people, the Minister for Industry and Skills—my colleague the member for Unley, who is doing a great job in this portfolio of industry and skills—and His Royal Highness Prince Andrew, who is the Duke of York.

*The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Mawson is warned for a second and final time.

*The Hon. L.W.K. Bignell interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Mawson can leave for half an hour under 137A.

*The honourable member for Mawson having withdrawn from the chamber:*

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** It is unfortunate that the member for Mawson would speak in such a disparaging way about a member of the royal family, especially one who has dedicated himself so carefully to promoting entrepreneurship in Australia and around the world. In fact, Pitch@Palace now operates in 57 countries around the world. It has created thousands of jobs. Most importantly, it has really provided inspiration and opportunity for the next generation of entrepreneurs worldwide. We are very, very happy to have His Royal Highness here in South Australia, most importantly to launch Lot Fourteen. We thought that it added another dimension.

We were also joined on that site by Jim Whalley, who is the first Chief Entrepreneur in South Australia. He has done an incredible job in trying to promote entrepreneurship and innovation in South Australia, and it is only essentially just the beginning. While we were down there, we had the opportunity to meet with many of the people who will be on that site. Myriota in particular, which is a fantastic company dealing with satellites and the Internet of Things, is using the great capability they have to enhance productivity for our state.

Of course, we are now waiting on an announcement by the federal government, which I think every person in South Australia is anxious about. We would like to win as much of the project for the Space Agency here to South Australia. It is an important announcement by the federal government.

Every state has a capability, and every state has a bid in. We have a compelling and competitive bid. It is a bid on behalf of all people in South Australia because we know that this would be really fantastic for this state and it would augment already what we are doing on Lot Fourteen.

#### ADELAIDE OVAL HOTEL DEVELOPMENT

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (14:58):** My question is to the Minister for Child Protection. Does the minister support the government loan for the development of a hotel at Adelaide Oval?

**The SPEAKER:** The Minister for Transport and Infrastructure.

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (14:58):** Thank you, Mr Speaker.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** The members of the opposition can ask us about deliberations of cabinet any time they choose, but this is a government that wants to build our tourism and visitor economy here in South Australia, and the provision of a \$42 million loan to help underpin a development at Adelaide Oval to help turn what we would admit, we would concede, is a world-class stadium—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** —to keep it at the forefront of world-class stadia right across the world is an important way for us to invest our money. There has been so much commentary from members opposite trying to muddy the waters on this issue, so I would like to explain it quite clearly for them.

A loan is different from a grant. It is different from underwriting something, and do you know why? Because a loan gets repaid. So in this instance the South Australian taxpayer is actually going to see a direct return from this asset—not the least of which is the fact that, because Adelaide Oval is an asset that the people of South Australia own, they will actually own this hotel as well. Regardless of that, there is a margin that is going to be made on the provision of this loan to Adelaide Oval for the construction of a hotel.

More than that, there is some suggestion that somehow the Stadium Management Authority is some sort of private-for-profit developer. I don't understand because it was those opposite who actually put the deal in place in the first place. All we are seeking to do here is to make sure that we keep Adelaide Oval the world-class venue that it is—but more than that. And when the member for Lee is on radio talking about, 'If they're charging \$9.50 for a pint of beer, that's too expensive,' well, it's a deal that you guys set up.

*An honourable member interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** All we are trying to do is to make sure that the Adelaide Oval has a diverse range of revenue sources so that, if there is an eventuality in the future when Port Adelaide once again need to pull out the tarps and their revenue streams go backwards, if they have alternative options to be able to sustain themselves that don't involve the taxpayer, this is actually about building resilience for the Stadium Management Authority.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** It is an extremely good use of taxpayers' money. We stand by this decision as a cabinet. We stand behind this decision as a government because this is how we grow our visitor economy. Unlike those opposite, who would throw grants around willy-nilly, what we have

done is a deal that actually delivers a return for taxpayers, that actually has a net positive benefit for the budget. What it will do is help underpin the visitor economy.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** What it also enjoys is the fact that we are trying to incentivise and grow part of our state that has seen some difficulty in recent times. Even just the other day, we heard that Paesano, a business that has been in North Adelaide for some decades, has been struggling to be able to survive. By making this strategic investment, what this government is doing is helping to underpin the visitor economy in and around Adelaide Oval. That is a great use of taxpayers' loan facility—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** —because we know that in the end it's actually going to pay more than it costs. Somehow, this basic financial accounting eludes members opposite. If it means that we need to have some sort of basic economics lesson, some sort of understanding of how basic loan facilities work, then I think we can provide that briefing.

I think that it is extremely disingenuous to suggest that we are doing anything other than building into a great asset that South Australians get to own and making sure that we have a model that sees that Adelaide Oval is successful and remains successful into the future and will help to grow jobs in our state, which is something that the former government failed to do for a decade.

#### FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

**Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (15:02):** My question is to the Minister for Planning. Can the minister inform the house what the government's target is for responses to FOI requests?

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (15:02):** When you say 'target', I assume by that you mean a time target?

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member will not refer to the member for Mount Gambier in the second person. Remarks are through the Chair. Could the member for Mount Gambier clarify the question for the minister? Please repeat it quickly.

**Mr BELL:** My question is to the Minister for Planning. Can the minister inform the house what the time target is that the government has set for responses to FOI requests?

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** I am happy to take that on notice.

#### FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

**Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (15:03):** Supplementary: can the minister explain why his department has taken 596 days and 27 separate pieces of correspondence to respond to an FOI request from my office?

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (15:03):** Again, I will have to take that on notice. Obviously, there would be a breakdown of correspondence that existed before 17 March that I wouldn't have direct access to, but I am more than happy to take that question on notice and provide an answer.

#### ADELAIDE OVAL HOTEL DEVELOPMENT

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (15:04):** My question is to the Minister for Child Protection. Has the minister yet written to the Minister for Infrastructure expressing her opposition to the hotel development?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL (Schubert—Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, Minister for Planning) (15:04):** This is unbelievable—a former government spent \$535 million on a piece of infrastructure. This government seeks not to just give an ex gratia payment but to actually provide a loan facility, and somehow structuring a loan that actually provides a better return to taxpayers is not okay. This level of hypocrisy and shamelessness knows no bounds.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order, members on my left and right!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** What we also sought to do through this process was provide a level playing field. We are a government that has very much moved away—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** I am trying to listen to this answer.

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** We saw through the 2018-19 budget the fact that we scrapped a whole heap of individual ex gratia grant programs that the former government had in place. Again, they shouldn't need me to explain this to them, but what happens when you get a loan is that banks tend to ask for security against that asset, so you need an asset to secure against to get some money loaned.

Interestingly, with the Adelaide Oval, the Stadium Management Authority don't own it—we do—although the Stadium Management authority does put \$3 million a year into a sinking fund for the asset they don't own. They put \$3½ million into maintaining an asset they don't own. They then pay a lease, which next year will be \$1 million a year, for an asset they quite clearly don't own, as well as paying \$1 million towards the sport and rec fund.

Interestingly, this is the deal that the former government put in place. The fact that they don't understand it really does not make much sense to me but, when you don't own your asset, it is much more difficult to get secured finance. In this instance, what we did was give the Stadium Management Authority access to money in the same way as another developer who owns their asset would be able to go to a bank and get it. All we did was provide a level playing field. If those members simply don't understand that, then I invite them—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Order!

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** —to go and speak to a member of a big bank or something like that to be able to understand how basic economics and finance work.

*Members interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.K. KNOLL:** I think that's enough.

*Matter of Privilege*

#### **MATTER OF PRIVILEGE**

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (15:06):** Before grievances, I rise on a matter of privilege. Mr Speaker, you have been asked a number of times to rule on matters of privilege. Each time, you have made reference to a ruling on a matter of privilege which has informed how you have ruled on each of those matters. While I do not have your ruling in front of me, it does go to whether information provided to the house by a member causes the obstruction of the business of the house.

Yesterday, I asked the Minister for Industry and Skills a question regarding the appointment to the Construction Industry Training Board of one of two ministerial appointees, Mr Nicholas Handley. The minister advised the house that Mr Handley had the appropriate experience to serve as a ministerial appointment on the board. Indeed, I questioned the Minister for Industry and Skills today about whether he stood by the comments and the advice that he provided in question time yesterday.

The quotes that I recounted in my questioning today were the Minister for Industry and Skills saying that Mr Handley 'has experience and skills in the training industry' and 'the facts are that Mr Handley is well qualified to meet the criteria for the ministerial appointment into that position'. The act that controls this, the Construction Industry Training Fund Act 1993, is quite explicit. Section 5(1)(b) stipulates the requirements and the necessary qualifications for a ministerial appointment under the act. I will quote the act:

- (b) two persons nominated by the Minister, being persons who have appropriate experience in vocational education or training and who are or have been employed or engaged in the provision of such education or training;

Mr Nicholas Handley does not have this requisite experience or skill necessary—

**The Hon. D.G. Pisoni:** Make that allegation outside of the parliament.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! I will listen.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** —in order to be a ministerial appointment. Indeed, one might forgive the Minister for Industry and Skills if, perhaps on reflecting on the answer that he had given the house yesterday, he came in perhaps to clarify the actual experience of Mr Nicholas Handley. Unfortunately, he did not. Not only did he not but he doubled down and reiterated his advice yesterday to the house that Mr Nicholas Handley has the requisite skills and experience in order to serve on the board.

I do not propose to colour in, in my own words, the skills and experience that Mr Nicholas Handley has. I will let his own curriculum vitae do that for us. He tells us that he has served on the board at AnglicareSA, he has served on the finance committee of AnglicareSA Housing Limited, but more substantively, and including up to the present day, he presumably runs Handley Accounting Services, an accounting service from the Adelaide CBD, Eastwood and Port Adelaide, that has been at the forefront of accounting, providing clients with a broad range of services.

He advises that they are experienced in accounting, financial services, business development, coaching and mentoring requirements, none of which is related to or includes the vocational training industries. There is nothing here that suggests he has actually been engaged in providing the requisite—

**The SPEAKER:** Do you have a point of order?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** It is, but the position is that it seems the privilege proposal is that the curriculum vitae—

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** It is not for you to comment on.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** No, no.

**The SPEAKER:** One moment. Is there a point of order?

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left, be quiet! Is there a point of order?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** Rising on a matter of privilege requires the member to present, of course, to you his case—

**The SPEAKER:** What is the point of order, Deputy Premier?

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** In relation to the document, if he is relying on the curriculum vitae on the basis of alleging what the experience or qualification is, then the member should tender it to you and not—

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my left, please. I have the point.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —debate—

**The SPEAKER:** Yes.

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN:** —whether that comprehensively deals with the matter or not.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, Deputy Premier. The Deputy Premier will be seated. I will listen to the end of the member for Lee's answer and then I will make a determination. Member for Lee.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Thank you, Mr Speaker. That is how he has summarised his experience at Handley Accounting Services. He also goes on, of course, to continue to let us know that he is a director and a board member of the William Wilberforce Foundation—which is admirable, given William Wilberforce was the person ostensibly responsible for ending slavery in the United Kingdom—and he was educated at the University of South Australia and has contributed to the Adelaide business hub. Mr Speaker—

**The SPEAKER:** Sounds like a summation coming up.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Correct.

*Members interjecting:*

**The SPEAKER:** The member for Lee will be heard in silence. I will make a determination.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** It is abundantly clear that the advice that the Minister for Industry and Skills has provided to the house is wholly incongruent with the facts. When given the opportunity today in question time, he only repeated that incorrect information to the house. Mr Speaker, I will provide this information to you and I ask that you rule on this matter of privilege.

**The SPEAKER:** The Chair's role in these circumstances is confined to two aspects: firstly, does the matter prima facie impinge on privilege and secondly, is the matter of such importance that precedent should be given to dealing with it as soon as possible. What I will do is defer my decision. I understand the matters raised by the honourable member. I will report back to the house at the first opportunity on whether I consider the matter to be, prima facie, a matter of privilege. I ask the member for Lee to pass me all the relevant information.

#### *Grievance Debate*

### **ADELAIDE OVAL HOTEL DEVELOPMENT**

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee) (15:14):** There is an unfortunate saying amongst some people, usually amongst those who feel disenfranchised or disenfranchised—in fact, it is quite contemporaneous to the matter I just raised—and that is: 'It's not what you know; it's who you know.' It is the lament of those people who see others in life unfairly given preference or advantage over those who are equally and sometimes more worthy than those who are enjoying such preference.

Unfortunately, as recently as this past weekend, we have seen another shining example of this in Adelaide. The announcement by the Marshall Liberal government that it will provide a \$42 million taxpayer-funded loan to the Stadium Management Authority is an outrage. It is an outrage to those hoteliers who have done the hard graft, building their businesses, working with their investors and their banks to secure private finance for their developments, now only to be trumped by a taxpayer-funded hotel. It is an outrage that this loan has been provided without any process.

There was no opportunity for other hoteliers to come forward and make their case for similar support, and it must be an outrage to the Liberal Party's base that the supposed party of the free market is using taxpayers' funds to compete with the private sector. It is certainly an outrage, given how this loan came about because in this case, again, it is not what you know; it is who you know for the Stadium Management Authority. There have been grumblings and complaints that until only very recently we could possibly have a situation where the president of the Liberal Party is also the president of the SANFL and the chair of the Stadium Management Authority at the same time.

Those interested in corporate governance might wonder what controls were in place and exercised by the Stadium Management Authority to manage the inherent conflict between being chair of both the SANFL and the Stadium Management Authority. But what might also cause outrage is what role this same person played in facilitating the taxpayer-funded loan from the Liberal government. Was the Liberal Party president the person to organise a \$42 million taxpayer-funded loan from the new Liberal government? We might well ask what controls were in place and exercised to manage this inherent conflict of interest.

These are interesting questions, and we look forward to discovering the answers during the investigations into the hotel loan deal as well as the Stadium Management Authority's management of the oval in the coming weeks and months during a select committee inquiry to be conducted in the other place. Of course, what makes this whole loan affair worse is that it is not the first time 'it's not what you know; it's who you know' has been realised with this government. The engagement of KordaMentha with no process and no probity is another example.

How were they a walk-up start for what was, on 21 September, apparently, an \$880,000 contract; then, only six weeks later, a \$2 million contract; and now, on Monday, we learned, a further \$18.9 million contract? What we are being told on this side is that the appointment of KordaMentha without any process was most definitely a 'it's not what you know; it's who you know' scenario to secure that work. We look forward to teasing out the appointment of KordaMentha in the coming months, too.

We also have Mitsubishi Motors Australia—again, a walk-up start with this government for a \$2 million grant to maintain their retail business here in Adelaide. Are any of these value for money? Of course they are not. Mitsubishi: \$2 million to secure a further 20 extra positions or \$100,000 a job. The hotel at Adelaide Oval: a \$42 million loan apparently to secure an extra hundred jobs or \$420,000 per job. KordaMentha: apparently \$821,000 on average for each of the 23 interstate liquidators now working in SA Health as part of their \$18.9 million walk-up start contract without any process. This government does not just pick winners; it drowns them in taxpayers' cash.

Putting aside for a minute the many unanswered questions that remain about how the \$42 million loan came about, it also raises the question about what exactly the Liberal Party stand for. It cannot be the free market, as they wish now for the state, apparently, to compete against the market in the hotel industry. These hoteliers who had to secure commercial loans from banks based on their business plans are now contacting the media and contacting us to complain, and we look forward to prosecuting this issue in the weeks and months ahead.

## VOLUNTEERS

**Mr CREGAN (Kavel) (15:19):** I rise to recognise the value and significance of volunteers to my community. Australian Bureau of Statistics data suggests that smaller states and territories are enjoying higher rates of volunteering than some of the nation's larger states. Data suggests that at least 21.4 per cent of South Australians identify as volunteers and complete volunteer work through an organisation or group in their community.

Such a figure is above the national average of 19 per cent, and compares very favourably with the rates of volunteering in Queensland (18.1 per cent) and New South Wales (18.8 per cent). I observe that volunteering rates in Kavel are extraordinary. The state average, as I have mentioned, is 19 per cent; in Kavel, it is 27.81 per cent, with Piccadilly having the most substantial rate of volunteering at well over 38 per cent.

It is increasingly recognised that volunteering leads to a strong civil society and brings considerable community benefits. For example, assisting others in our community is related to improved physical health. It is thought that benefits include weight control, lower blood pressure and relief from depression and chronic pain. Dr Tim Sharp has sought to explain results of this kind in remarks published by Sydney University. Dr Sharp observes:

When we're helping others we're more likely to feel good about ourselves which is, not surprisingly, a positive contributor to mental health. Mental and physical health are highly correlated so when we're psychologically well, we're also more likely to be physically well.

Of considerable interest to me is the OECD Better Life Index and other research undertaken by the OECD examining social capital. I understand that the OECD has formed the view that measures of trust and civic engagement, such as volunteering rates, are linked to higher levels of economic growth and government performance.

It is always a special pleasure to meet with volunteer organisations in my electorate. I am deeply proud of the work of service clubs in my community, including Lions, Rotary, Apex, Kiwanis, Zonta and the VIEW Club. Indeed, I have four Lions clubs in my electorate: Mount Barker, Nairne and Districts, Onkaparinga, and Hahndorf and Districts. There are also three Rotary clubs: Mount Barker, Blakiston and Onkaparinga.



In the time available to me, I want to reflect briefly on the work of Kiwanis, Rotary, Zonta and VIEW clubs. The Kiwanis Club of the Adelaide Hills has most recently, amongst the very substantial span of its volunteer efforts, opened a bookshop. It is a growing business, the profits of which are returned to the community. I thank them sincerely for their work.

I specifically recognise the work of Zonta. Mr Speaker, you will know that Zonta empowers women through service and advocacy. In my community, Zonta have raised money for safe birthing kits for developing countries, support Zonta Breast Care cushions and developed a very successful project, Expect Respect, to educate our community about domestic and family violence. The project aims to reduce the incidence of domestic violence in the long term through an engagement, educational and learning platform. School students supply artwork for a calendar. I have a copy of the most recent calendar; it is a powerful document for use in wider domestic and family violence education.

Teaching respect is possible, and the Zonta Club of Mount Barker is proving this. I am aware of the broad scope of Zonta's contribution to my community, and I have touched briefly, although I hope in an informative way, on the nature of their contribution. I also acknowledge and thank the Rotary Club of Mount Barker for their substantial volunteering program, including support for Operation Flinders, and the Rotary Club of Blakiston for their work to improve the amenity of Glebe land in Littlehampton within my electorate.

The contribution of Rotary to my community cannot be overstated, though the standing orders may not allow me the time to do justice to their volunteering effort, for which I and all members of my community are grateful. The VIEW Club of the Adelaide Hills in my community has supported the Smith Family for many years. VIEW members dedicate many volunteer hours each year. Between clubs, they generously give their time to read to children in schools, help the Smith Family's after-school learning clubs, mentor students and use their craft skills to make school resources for students in need. I cannot thank my local VIEW Club enough. It was a great pleasure to meet and speak with its members.

#### **INTERNATIONAL DAY OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITY**

**Ms COOK (Hurtle Vale) (15:23):** I rise to talk about the extraordinary situation that happened in this house regarding a motion that was put up by the member for Light. The member for Light is a very hardworking local member, and also a former minister for disabilities, who has shown nothing but tremendous commitment and advocacy over a number of years. It was a show of indifference regarding the commitment to advocate for the full and proper rollout of the NDIS that has led me to bring this again to the house this afternoon.

The motion was supporting the people in our community living with a disability and the celebration of their lives on the International Day of People with Disability that is coming up on 3 December next week. As part of the motion, the member for Light proposed that this house:

- (d) calls on the Liberal-National federal government to ensure that the NDIS is properly funded, so it can improve the quality of the lives of people living with disability as was intended when the previous Labor federal government established it.

During the debate I was gobsmacked to learn that the member for Colton moved the government amendment that struck out completely this last part of the motion. I just feel that is an extraordinary own goal. The thousands of people in South Australia who live with a disability rightly expect that the state government, and indeed the opposition, will do everything they can to ensure that in this environment of transition everything possible is done to advocate for the full and proper rollout of the NDIS.

We have seen many challenges and many issues with the NDIS rollout over the past four years. We have seen debates and arguments about how the NDIS is funded. We have seen one group being pitted against another in some kind of funding *Hunger Games* where the newly appointed Prime Minister, Mr Scott Morrison, woke up with some thought bubble to move \$3.9 billion out of a security fund from the NDIS into a drought relief fund for farmers.

Nobody is going to argue that we need immediate relief for farmers, but to play that off against people waiting for plans, people struggling with a disability, is an outrageous turn of events.

Then today, again, we see that the state Liberal government is going to do nothing to stand up for people living with a disability in South Australia. They are not going to put a call out to the federal government to say it must be fully funded. There is no way that this scheme is anywhere near fully funded at this stage. We are waiting on thousands of plans to be approved and rolled out.

Last week, we were in the Riverland and I spoke to many people up there. There are specific and different challenges for people living with a disability in the country in terms of getting therapists to go up there and see them because the transport is not being funded for them to get up there, being able to keep people in the country to provide ongoing therapy so that there is some consistency in that. That is an enormous challenge for people in rural Australia and I hope that the South Australian Liberal government will stand up and say that we must fund these things properly. I will certainly be standing up to say that.

Only yesterday was it announced that a disability advocate is now being appointed and then today we have a situation where the minister is not able to tell us how that appointment happened. This appointment is only happening for 12 months. Well, that provides no comfort to people with a disability who have no certainty around the advocacy they need in an environment where an NDIS plan is almost having to be begged for by people who are not getting their equipment, not getting their therapy and not getting their supports.

There was a \$600,000 commitment made on 1 April by this government, by the minister in the other place, to fund and support disability advocacy, and today we are told again that it is only \$200,000. I am very disappointed and it is a shame to this Liberal government for voting down that part of the motion today which calls on the federal Liberal government to fully fund the NDIS. Block funding has fallen apart. There is a range of issues with the NDIS and I call on the government to change that motion back.

Time expired.

#### CHAFFEY ELECTORATE

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE (Chaffey—Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development) (15:29):** The Riverland sporting scene has a rich history of producing professional athletes, and it is a testament to the strong competition in the Riverland that the region is so well represented at elite national and international levels.

Former Loxton local Grant Schubert has enjoyed an illustrious hockey career. Recently, he was inducted to the South Australian Sport Hall of Fame, where he was recognised for his outstanding deeds on the hockey field in national and international competitions. He was inducted into the Hall of Fame alongside the likes of cycling great, Anna Meares, and Aussie Rules legend John Cahill. Grant joins this prestigious group after a stellar career in hockey that saw him earn six international titles. Schubert won gold at the 2004 Athens games and was World Hockey Young Player of the Year in 2003. He also played in teams that won a Commonwealth Games gold, a World Cup and three Champion Trophies.

Alex Hill is another Loxton lad also making waves in the international sporting world. Over the weekend, Alex and his teammates in the Australian men's coxless four were named 2018 World Rowing Men's Crew of the Year, and he and his teammates, Spencer Turrin, Joshua Hicks and Jack Hargreaves, were recognised for a fantastic 12 months, which included their second consecutive world championship win at the World Rowing Awards dinner in Germany.

Alex, who has had a fantastic year, also made up the team that won the Grand Challenge Cup at the 2018 Royal Henley Regatta in the UK earlier this year before also winning the 2018 New South Wales single scull title and the 2018 state of origin interstate single scull title for South Australia in the 150<sup>th</sup> year of the Australian interstate sculling competition. We also cannot forget the 2016 silver Olympic medal in Rio.

Karri McMahon was among the Hockeyroos team that were silver medallists in the Champions Trophy played in China over the weekend. Karri started her hockey career for the Berri Hockey Club in the Riverland, before moving to Adelaide to play for the Adelaide Peas. McMahon was a member of the Australian women's national field hockey team that was a gold medal winner

at the 2014 Commonwealth Games and a member of the team that went to the 2016 summer Olympics, all of which are fantastic achievements.

Chaffey award winners include:

- Nicole Pitman, of Kingston Estate Winery, recognised as Viticulturist of the Year at the 2018 Australian Women in Wine Awards;
- Ashley Ratcliffe, of Ricca Terra Farms, awarded Best Alternative Variety Wine in Show and Best Dry Red Wine at the Riverland Wine Show;
- Jim Markeas, of Mallee Estate Winery, who tasted success at the New York International Wine Competition, taking home the Riverland Winery of the Year in the International Individual Award;
- Roger Hefford and Megan McKenzie, of Waikerie Olive Grove, took home a silver award for Distinguished Olive Oil at the 2018 Royal Adelaide Show;
- Davia Smith, from Renmark, who took home first place in the artisan category at the 2018 Australian Marmalade Festival—another great Riverland jam maker;
- Jackson Wickham, from Renmark, recognised as the 2018 Young Achiever by the Wooden Boat Association of South Australia;
- the Renmark Club, which recently took out multiple awards at the Clubs SA Awards, including Regional Club of the Year, Best Entertainment, Hospitality Team of the Year, and Best Function Venue. Chef Joshua Hatch was also awarded Club Chef of the Year. Congratulations to the manager, Darren Baker, and his team;
- Ceobahn Webber-Wilson received the Australian Scouting Medallion for her outstanding commitment to her local community and the Waikerie scouts;
- Nanda De Winter, of St Joseph's Primary School in Barmera, recognised as the 2018 Teacher of the Year by the Australian Association of Special Education.
- Jeff and Paul Butters, of Laser Electrical and Plumbing, awarded the Best in Business at the Business of the Year Awards at the 2018 Lasercon Conference;
- the team at Birdlife Australia Gluepot Reserve received this year's Fairfax Media Landcare Community Group Award at the 2018 National Landcare Awards;
- the Berri Swimming Club and the Riverland Dinghy Club, who both received Starclub Accreditation;
- Tom Edwards and the team from Loxton Amcal Pharmacy, awarded the 2018 Amcal Pharmacy of the Year Award;
- the Riverland Dinghy Club Championship Series, recognised as the Event of the Year at the 2018 Riverland Citizen of the Year awards, Samantha Hauptman was Young Person of the Year and Jean Voigt was awarded Citizen of the Year;
- Stephen and Marianne Lynch, awarded Grand Champion Orchid Award at the Loxton Show, a great achievement by dedicated flower growers; and
- Marnie Townsend was announced as the 2018 Renmark Rose Festival Ambassador.

It has been a very successful period for the Chaffey electorate. It is a prime example of what, with hard work and dedication, individuals in small rural communities can achieve at an elite level.

Time expired.

### **SALISBURY FOOD AND CULTURAL FESTIVAL**

**The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay) (15:34):** I rise today to talk about another fantastic event held in my electorate of Ramsay, the Salisbury Food and Cultural Festival, a collaboration between the Salisbury Business Association and the City of Salisbury. The festival is now in its

second year and celebrates the rich diversity of cultures that makes up our community. Salisbury is fortunate enough to be one of the few remaining shopping precincts in South Australia to have retained an original high street. A stroll down John Street in the Salisbury CBD is fantastic any day of the week. I like to say, 'Come to Salisbury and see the world.'

We have certainly seen changes within John Street, which predominantly has been filled with traditional banks and pharmacies, and more recently we are seeing different migrants come and settle in Salisbury: we have the Bhutanese with the Namaste Supermarket and the Vietnamese through their bakeries. Of course, we have a wonderful place called the Pacific Big Butcher, which is actually owned by a Vietnamese developer and businessperson but has an eastern European feel and is very popular with our Polish community.

However, let me talk about Saturday 3 November when the street was closed to traffic and it came truly alive with sights, sounds, aromas and tastes from all over the globe. It was a perfect spring day and more than 2,000 people attended the event, which had a market bazaar with more than 30 stalls. The John Street traders were also open, selling their goods and wares. Five hours of entertainment were scheduled on the main stage and a dozen cultural food vendors, including Thai, Vietnamese, Dutch, Chinese, Persian, Italian, South African, Indian and much, much more, as well as the most popular of the festival, the Mexican food vendors. We had very traditional tacos, which were very highly regarded.

The children were kept entertained by many free activities, including a bouncy castle and face painting, balloon animals, a colouring competition, and the library was open. Of course we had our very own badge-making store, where our office was kept busy all day with parents and children designing their own badges to make and wear. It was an honour for me to officially open the event with the now newly re-elected mayor, Gillian Aldridge OAM.

Most importantly, it was this collaboration between the Salisbury Business Association and the City of Salisbury that brought people together to make sure we could celebrate the uniqueness of Salisbury by combining the local traders and many of our multicultural community groups. What we want to do in Salisbury is make it a warm and welcoming place for people to come together and for families to be entertained and enjoy wonderful food from around the world.

One of the things my office did was have a stall where we produced a diagram looking at the history of migration into Salisbury and at both the social and economic impact the successive waves had made over the years. Of course, it has been quite diverse, from post World War II migration to people from Cambodia and Vietnam in the eighties. More recently, we have had people from Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran, Africa and also the Burmese population, with both the Chin and the Karen groups settling in Salisbury as well.

Can I recognise the many people involved on the day: the City of Salisbury, through community services, seniors and their community houses; the Salisbury Business Association; the Rotary Club of Salisbury; and many other local groups, including the Salisbury town band, which played a beautiful set of music.

This event had a bit of a rough start. In the first year, last year, we had to move it indoors at the last minute because of wind, so I am absolutely delighted that it got up and running for the second year. A street fair is a wonderful event. It is very inviting to many members of the public, and I look forward to participating next year as the Salisbury Food and Cultural Festival develops and grows in popularity and size.

### **AL SALAM COMMUNITY CENTRE**

**Mrs POWER (Elder) (15:39):** I rise today to share with the house the incredible community that has been created and strengthened at the Marion mosque with their recent community centre extension. On Sunday 18 November, I had the pleasure of attending and celebrating the opening of the Al Salam Community Centre, a community centre for peace, with several of my parliamentary colleagues, including the federal member for Boothby, Nicolle Flint, the member for Morphett and the Hon. Jing Lee. Unfortunately, the Premier was unable to make it due to personal reasons but I know he would have been there, if possible, as he was most looking forward to it.

The community centre for peace is a project that was initiated by the Islamic Society of South Australia, a not-for-profit organisation established in 1995 to provide social, educational and spiritual services to the Muslim and wider community. The Islamic Society of South Australia holds a range of special events and opportunities for gathering to encourage interculturalism. It identified the need for a new community centre to be able to expand its facilities at its mosque in Park Holme. Through its mosques, the society works with individuals and families who face a range of issues relating to, for example, family and marital disputes, grief and loss, financial hardship or social isolation.

The new community centre for peace is a multifunctional facility equipped with two function rooms, a commercial kitchen—which is very impressive—meeting rooms, offices and a new car park. The centre will provide a venue for a range of programs and initiatives that encourage and facilitate social connectedness. I have been told that the key objective is to provide a vibrant and accessible gathering place and social hub where people from all walks of life may enjoy and participate in community events.

The community centre for peace has had tremendous input and support from the entire community, with the Muslim community donating \$1 million towards the build of this \$1.4 million community centre. I would like to acknowledge such generosity, hard work and commitment by all who have been involved in making this dream a reality. In particular, I would like to acknowledge the Imam and President of the Islamic Society of South Australia, Mr Ahmed Zreika, who is also a member of the South Australian Multicultural and Ethnic Affairs Commission, and the previous president, Dr Waleed Al khazrajy. Their vision and commitment is inspiring.

The open day itself was more than just celebrating this incredible achievement and opening of the centre, it was also about opening the doors to the public, promoting social cohesion within communities and clarifying misconceptions. The day included tours, question and answer panels, henna and some delicious food. Peace and tolerance matter to all South Australians, so I wholeheartedly thank our local Muslim community in southern Adelaide for all their efforts on this front and congratulate them today, in particular, on their new community centre for peace.

#### *Bills*

### **LABOUR HIRE LICENSING REPEAL BILL**

#### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (15:43):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to repeal the Labour Hire Licensing Act 2017. Read a first time.

#### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (15:43):** I move:  
That this bill be now read a second time.

The Labour Hire Licensing Repeal Bill 2018 repeals the Labour Hire Licensing Act 2017 introduced by the former government. The act currently requires anyone who provides labour hire in South Australia to be licensed. However, since the commencement of the licensing scheme, the government has received numerous complaints about the scheme's scope and application.

Numerous written submissions have been made to my office, including from industry representative groups and small businesses, outlining their confusion, angst and concerns in relation to the scheme. As a result of this, I undertook to closely review the issues raised, in consultation with Consumer and Business Services (CBS), who have also been made aware of concerns from various businesses and industry groups across SA.

Following a review of the submissions received, it has become apparent that the licensing scheme applies to a range of businesses that were not intended to be captured while failing to address the very issues that the scheme was supposed to address, namely the exploitation of workers. Whilst these matters were raised in previous debates, this situation now confirms those concerns.

These laws do very little to increase protections for workers and instead create an unnecessary layer of red tape for a number of industries that should not be captured by regulations governing labour hire. If the scheme is to proceed as it currently stands, there is a high likelihood that we will be regulating industries and business arrangements that extend well beyond what is reasonably required.

In September this year, I wrote to the labour hire industry to advise of the government's intention to seek the repeal of this legislation, and I must say that the response from industry groups in relation to this has been overwhelmingly supportive. Workers already have important protections under commonwealth and state legislation, and the government is of the firm view that existing laws can be better utilised to deliver a far more effective response to issues within the labour hire industry, as opposed to the unworkable laws introduced by the former government.

To more effectively tackle the issues at hand, I have already established a task force whose primary purpose is to facilitate cross-agency and departmental collaboration aimed at reducing the number and impact of unscrupulous labour hire providers in SA. The task force, which includes representatives from CBS, ReturnToWork SA, Safe Work SA, RevenueSA and the Small Business Commissioner, has undertaken a review of existing laws and determined that these are sufficient to deal with issues that have been raised in relation to the labour hire sector.

Strengthened cross-agency information sharing and continued operation of the task force to promote appropriate information sharing will enable a collaborative approach to be taken to address the relevant labour hire issues and concerns and support the compliance and enforcement activities that can occur under existing legislation. The task force will focus on protecting vulnerable workers by sharing data that would more effectively identify, and potentially prosecute, those unscrupulous operators who are seeking to take advantage of workers.

I commend the bill to the house, and I seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses in *Hansard* without my reading it. It is a very short bill, but the explanation nonetheless is provided.

Leave granted.

#### Explanation of Clauses

##### Part 1—Preliminary

##### 1—Short title

This clause is formal.

##### Part 2—Repeal of *Labour Hire Licensing Act 2017*

##### 2—Repeal of Act

This clause repeals the *Labour Hire Licensing Act 2017*.

Debate adjourned on motion of Mr Gee.

### **CRIMINAL LAW CONSOLIDATION (FOSTER PARENTS AND OTHER POSITIONS OF AUTHORITY) AMENDMENT BILL**

#### *Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (15:48):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935. Read a first time.

#### *Second Reading*

**The Hon. V.A. CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General) (15:48):** I move:

That this be now read a second time.

The bill amends the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (CLCA) to address an issue that has been identified which may impact on the ability to prosecute foster-parents and residential care workers for sexual abuse of children in their care in certain circumstances.

The Children's Protection Law Reform (Transitional Arrangements and Related Amendments) Act 2017 (children's protection law reform act) passed parliament on 28 November

2017. It made necessary transitional and consequential amendments to a range of legislation as required to commence the Child Safety (Prohibited Persons) Act 2016 and the Children and Young People (Safety) Act 2017.

Amongst other things, the children's protection law reform act inserted a definition of 'approved carer' into section 5 of the Criminal Law Consolidation Act referencing the Children and Young People (Safety) Act and purported to replace the term 'foster parent' with 'approved carer' in sections 49, 50, 57 and 63B of the CLCA. These provisions include a list of who is considered to be in a 'position of authority' for the purpose of prosecuting certain sexual offences involving a child of or above the age of 17 years. These amendments were proclaimed to commence on 22 October 2018.

However, shortly prior to the introduction of the Children's Protection Law Reform Act into parliament, the Statutes Amendment (Attorney-General's Portfolio) (No 2) Act 2017 amended sections 49, 50, 57 and 63B of the CLCA, with the result that the internal numbering of those provisions was changed. Unfortunately, that change was not picked up before the Children's Protection Law Reform Act proceeded through the parliament. Consequently, the intended amendments to sections 49, 50, 57 and 63B of the CLCA were unable to take effect on 22 October 2018, so the sections continue to refer to a 'foster parent' instead of an 'approved carer', while the insertion of the definition of 'approved carer' did commence on 22 October 2018.

There is now a concern that a change in the terminology used in the context of the Children and Young People (Safety) Act to refer to 'approved carer' rather than 'foster carer' within South Australia could impact on the interpretation of the term 'foster parent' in the CLCA; that is, a court may apply an interpretation of the provisions such that a person who has been made an approved carer under the Children and Young People (Safety) Act since 22 October 2018 is not regarded as a foster-parent for the purposes of the CLCA.

This would mean that an approved carer would not be considered to be a person in a position of authority in relation to a child who has been sexually abused. The impact of being found to be in a position of authority in those provisions is to extend criminal liability to include situations where the child is 17 years of age and where criminal liability would otherwise arise only if the child was under 17 years of age. Accordingly, if this interpretation were applied, the ability to prosecute foster-parents for sexual abuse of children in their care aged 17 years would be impacted.

The risk of this occurring is considered to be extremely low. However, in the absence of a legislative definition, a court would ordinarily be expected to continue to interpret the definition of a foster care parent according to its ordinary meaning rather than by reference to the Children and Young People (Safety) Act. Prior to the proposed amendments, the term 'foster parent' was not defined in the CLCA whether by reference to relevant child protection legislation or otherwise. The section referring to 'foster parent' also refers to 'parent', 'step-parent' and 'guardian'. It is clearly directed toward a person acting in loco parentis of the child irrespective of the formality of the arrangements.

It is therefore expected that the type of care provided by an approved carer would be interpreted to be within the meaning of care provided by a foster carer in any event. However, to ensure that there is absolutely no ambiguity about whether that should be the case, the government has brought this bill to address that risk. Since identifying this issue, there has been further consideration of the amendments that were initially intended by parliament in the Children's Protection Law Reform Act.

The existing term 'foster parent' in the CLCA has a broader application than the proposed substituted term 'approved carer'. Given this, it is now considered appropriate to retain the term 'foster parent' in the CLCA instead of replacing it with the term 'approved carer'. However, to remove any doubt about whether an approved carer is intended to be regarded as being in a position of authority in respect of the relevant CLCA provisions, the bill is intended to clarify that the term 'foster parent' includes but is not limited to an 'approved carer'.

The bill also specifies that a person in whose temporary care a child is placed pursuant to section 77 of the Children and Young People (Safety) Act is included. It is the government's view that this will ensure that all approved and temporary carers are clearly captured as being in a 'position of

authority' in the CLCA while ensuring that the broader application of the term 'foster parent' that previously applied continues to apply.

Out of an abundance of caution, these amendments have been drafted with retrospective operation so that they will be taken to have commenced on 22 October 2018, the date that the original amendments were intended to take effect. In the event that any relevant offending has occurred since this date, retrospective application of the bill will put beyond doubt that any such offending is intended to be captured by the relevant provisions.

In the course of preparing the bill to address this drafting issue, a further gap in the categories of people who are defined to be in a position of authority was identified. As noted above, the position of authority provisions effectively extend criminal liability in situations where the cut-off age for regarding a person as a child would have been 17 years old (being the age of consent in SA). That is, it extends criminal liability for people who are in a position of authority in relation to children if the child is between 17 and 18 years of age.

The categories setting out who is in a position of authority includes teachers, social workers and health workers providing services to the child, and those who provide religious, sporting, musical or other instruction to the child—amongst other categories. Obviously, it also includes a parent, step-parent, guardian or foster-parent. However, people who work in children's residential facilities are not currently specified to be in a position of authority in these provisions. Clearly, they should be. We are fixing that.

There are two types of children's residential facilities established under child protection legislation. These are:

- facilities established under section 36 of the Family and Community Services Act 1972. These are established and operated by the Department for Child Protection; and
- facilities licensed under section 105 of the Children and Young People (Safety) Act. These are predominantly non-government departments.

Both these types of facilities are staffed predominantly by employees who are not social workers, such as youth workers and other ancillary staff. These employees provide rotational care and services for children and young people who reside in the facilities. These people are unlikely to fall within the definition of 'foster parent'.

Accordingly, I have determined that it is appropriate to separately provide for this category of person as being in a position of authority in each of sections 49, 50, 57 and 63B of the CLCA. A similar provision already exists in respect of people providing services in correctional institutions and youth training centres. This inclusion is therefore consistent with the existing approach to protecting vulnerable children in institutional environments and will ensure that those who might prey on our vulnerable children are able to be held to account.

I also advise the house, particularly as the bill is proposing retrospective application, that I do not know of any case currently pending that this would affect; nevertheless, this is being presented to the parliament out of an abundance of caution. Our children are very important and their protection is paramount. I seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses into *Hansard* without my reading it.

Leave granted.

#### Explanation of Clauses

Part 1—Preliminary

1—Short title

2—Commencement

3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

Part 2—Amendment of *Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935*



## 4—Amendment of section 5—Interpretation

This clause inserts a definition of 'foster parent' into section 5 of the principal Act to reflect changes to terminology made by the children's protection law reform exercise.

## 5—Amendment of section 49—Unlawful sexual intercourse

This clause amends section 49 of the principal Act to include the specified persons as people who are in a position of authority in respect of a child.

## 6—Amendment of section 50—Persistent sexual abuse of child

This clause amends section 50 of the principal Act to include the specified persons as people who are in a position of authority in respect of a child.

## 7—Amendment of section 57—Consent no defence in certain cases

This clause amends section 57 of the principal Act to include the specified persons as people who are in a position of authority in respect of a child.

## 8—Amendment of section 63B—Procuring child to commit indecent act etc

This clause amends section 63B of the principal Act to include the specified persons as people who are in a position of authority in respect of a child.

Debate adjourned on motion of Mr Gee.

**FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL***Introduction and First Reading*

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (15:57):** Obtained leave and introduced a bill for an act to amend the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 and to make a related amendment to the Emergency Management Act 2004. Read a first time.

*Second Reading*

**The Hon. C.L. WINGARD (Gibson—Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (15:58):** I move:

That this bill be read a second time.

It is with great pleasure that I rise to address the house on the introduction of the Fire and Emergency Services (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill 2018. The bill seeks to amend the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 to incorporate long overdue legislative changes from recommendations from the 2013 review of the act and a number of subsequent internal reviews undertaken by the emergency services sector.

In addition, the bill seeks to incorporate a long awaited provision for inclusion of the Country Fire Service and the State Emergency Service volunteer charters, an issue first introduced into this place by way of a private member's bill in 2012. Since the review of the act in 2013, a number of unsuccessful attempts have been made to incorporate into the act legislative changes from the 2013 review.

In contrast, as we have seen on many occasions of late, this government is proud to have delivered the Fire and Emergency Services (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill in just eight months. Whilst it may have been some five years ago, and unfortunately inaction by those opposite leading to this government inheriting yet another overdue task on the to-do list, the report on the review of the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 outlined a number of important recommendations to address issues relating to the provision of emergency services in South Australia. These issues, which the bill seeks to address, include:

- employment insecurity of volunteers who are absent from work to respond to an emergency;
- breaches of permit conditions imposed by authorised officers;
- providing CFS officers with the power to direct the cessation of harvesting or any other actions that, due to weather conditions, may cause a fire if ignited to get out of control;

- we remain committed to working with stakeholders, including Grain Producers SA, to ensure that there are no unintended consequences to the grain harvesting code of practice; and
- various technical issues raised by the emergency services organisations relating to apparent anomalies or ambiguities in the act.

Additionally, the existing volunteer charters are an effective policy tool that reflect the structure and function of the CFS and SES as volunteer organisations within the South Australian government. The desire to have the CFS and SES charters enshrined in the act arose from a fundamental concern of some volunteers that existing arrangements on consultation processes were insufficient to provide confidence that their views would be treated seriously.

I would like to acknowledge the hard work of the Hon. Tammy Franks from the other place in helping to drive a long-awaited change. Including the volunteer charters in the act demonstrates the South Australian government's appreciation of the importance of emergency service organisation volunteers to the safety of our community, as well as the government's strong commitment to ensuring that volunteers' views are heard and that issues of concern can be identified and acted upon through a well-established and understood process.

The key objectives of the bill are to improve the ability of the emergency services to deliver public safety outcomes at minimal cost to the government and community and to demonstrate the government's commitment and appreciation of emergency services volunteers to the safety of our community. I commend the bill to members. I seek leave to insert the explanation of clauses into *Hansard* without my reading it.

Leave granted.

#### Explanation of Clauses

##### Part 1—Preliminary

###### 1—Short title

###### 2—Commencement

###### 3—Amendment provisions

These clauses are formal.

##### Part 2—Amendment of *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005*

###### 4—Amendment of section 3—Interpretation

Definitions and interpretative provisions are inserted for the purposes of the measure.

###### 5—Amendment of section 26—Functions and powers

This clause expands the list of examples in section 26(3) to include the recording, possession or use of moving or still images for the purposes of SAMFS operations and activities.

###### 6—Amendment of section 37—Rectification where safeguards inadequate

This clause amends section 37 to provide for references to the new *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*.

###### 7—Amendment of section 38—Closure orders etc

This clause clarifies powers in relation to closure of buildings by allowing orders to be issued requiring persons to leave a building and the securing of the building against further entry. In addition, all orders under the section will have an initial maximum period of 2 full business days after the day on which the order was issued (instead of 48 hours from the time of issue of the order). The provision also clarifies that the power to rescind an order under subsection (7) does not apply to an order of the Court.

###### 8—Amendment of section 42—Powers

This clause will allow an officer of SAMFS to engage a contractor to carry out demolition or other work at the scene of a fire or emergency whether or not the officer is present at the scene of the fire or other emergency.

###### 9—Insertion of section 58A

This clause inserts a new provision providing Parliamentary recognition of the SACFS Volunteer Charter (as defined in the provision).

## 10—Amendment of section 59—Functions and powers

This clause expands the list of examples in section 59(3) to include the recording, possession or use of moving or still images for the purposes of SACFS operations and activities.

## 11—Amendment of section 68—Establishment of SACFS

The amendment to section 68 enables an industry brigade to form part of an SACFS group.

## 12—Insertion of Part 4 Division 5A

Division 5A is inserted:

Division 5A—Industry Brigades

69A—Preliminary

Definitions are inserted for the purposes of the Division, including definitions of a *prescribed person* and a *responsible person*.

69B—Designated areas for industry brigades

The Chief Officer may designate an area of land (being land not within a fire district) as an area where the Chief Officer considers an industry brigade should be established.

69C—Establishment of industry brigades

The Chief Officer may give a prescribed person a written notice relating to a designated area requiring the prescribed person to establish an industry brigade, have it registered and take other steps related to establishing and maintaining an industry brigade.

An appeal against the notice is provided for. It is an offence to breach or fail to comply with the notice.

The costs incurred in complying with the notice will be borne by the responsible person (defined as the prescribed person or a person designated as the responsible person for the purposes of the definition of *responsible person*).

69D—Registration of industry brigades

Provision is made in relation to the registration of industry brigades.

69E—Chief Officer may give directions

The Chief Officer may give directions to the responsible person for an industry brigade relating to a range of matters (set out in the provision), which generally relate to the maintenance and operation of the industry brigade.

69F—Exclusion of certain claims

Compensation is not payable by the Crown or SACFS in relation to the Division.

## 13—Amendment of section 70—Command structure

This clause is a related amendment to provide that only SACFS brigades may take part in elections of group officers.

This clause provides for the making of regulations to make provision with respect to the eligibility of employees of SACFS to be elected to an office.

## 14—Insertion of Part 4 Division 6A

New Division 6A will provide the SACFS with equivalent powers to those of the SAMFS under Part 3 Division 5 of the Act.

## 15—Amendment of section 71—State Bushfire Coordination Committee

This clause requires the appointment of a presiding member of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee that is independent of the emergency services and makes a consequential increase to the quorum requirement for the Committee.

## 16—Amendment of section 71A—Functions of State Bushfire Coordination Committee

This clause is consequential to the repeal of Part 4 Division 7A and requires the preparation of the Rural Fire Hazard Plan.

## 17—Repeal of section 72

This clause repeals section 72.

18—Amendment of section 72A—Establishment of bushfire management committees

This clause replaces the requirement to establish a bushfire management committee for each bushfire management area with a requirement to do so in respect of each Emergency Management Zone.

19—Amendment of section 72B—Functions of bushfire management committees

This clause is consequential and deletes the requirement for a bushfire management committee to develop and maintain a Bushfire Management Area Plan for its area.

20—Repeal of 4 Division 7A

This clause repeals Part 4 Division 7A.

21—Amendment of section 80—Total fire ban

This clause allows information about total fire bans to be disseminated by means other than just radio broadcast.

22—Amendment of section 81—Permit to light and maintain a fire

Section 81 is proposed to be amended to require each council that is a rural council or that includes a designated urban bushfire risk area to appoint at least 1 authorised officer to issue permits under the provision (unless exempted by the Chief Officer of SACFS).

23—Amendment of section 82—Power to direct

This clause clarifies and extends the powers of direction under section 82. The existing power to direct someone to refrain from lighting a fire where weather conditions mean a fire may get out of control is extended to apply to a fire that is being maintained and is amended to make it clear that it applies even if the fire is being lit or maintained pursuant to a permit. A new power is added to allow an officer to direct a person to refrain from carrying on an activity (being an activity of a prescribed kind or any other activity that the officer is satisfied may cause a fire) during a specified period if because of weather conditions a fire caused by the activity might get out of control.

24—Amendment of section 94—Failure by a council to exercise statutory powers

This clause replaces a reference to the South Australian Bushfire Prevention Advisory Committee with a reference to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee.

25—Amendment of section 97—Powers

This clause is a related amendment that deletes certain provisions relating to the person in charge of a fire on a forest reserve.

26—Insertion of section 107A

This clause inserts a new provision providing Parliamentary recognition of the SASES Volunteer Charter (as defined in the provision).

27—Amendment of section 108—Functions and powers

This clause expands the list of examples in section 108(3) to include the recording, possession or use of moving or still images for the purposes of SASES operations and activities.

28—Amendment of section 116—SASES units

This clause amends section 116 of the principal Act to delete the requirement for a SASES unit to have a constitution and to make consequential amendments to delete all references to the constitution of a SASES unit.

29—Insertion of Part 5 Division 4A

This clause inserts a new Division dealing with the command structure of SASES. The provision largely mirrors various provisions in the current section 70 relating to the command structure of the SACFS.

30—Amendment of section 118—Powers

This clause will allow an officer of SASES to engage a contractor to carry out demolition or other work at the scene of an emergency, whether or not the officer is present at the scene of the emergency (which is equivalent to section 42(5) for the SAMFS).

31—Insertion of section 127A

Proposed section 127A provides that a person who is absent from employment on official duties as a member of an emergency services organisation, in connection with a fire or other emergency is not liable to be dismissed or prejudiced in employment by reason of that absence.

32—Amendment of section 142—Payment of costs and expenses for certain vessels and property

This clause amends section 142 to extend the capacity to recover costs and expenses to the SASES.

## 33—Amendment of section 143—Fees

This clause allows the regulations to prescribe late payment fees.

## 34—Amendment of Schedule 5—Regulations

This clause is consequential to clauses 12.

## Schedule 1—Related amendments and transitional provisions

Part 1—Amendment of *Emergency Management Act 2004*

## 1—Insertion of section 5B

This amendment introduces a new requirement for Emergency Management Zone committees to report to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee and the Chief Officer of the SACFS on activities relating to bushfire.

## Part 2—Transitional provisions

This Part contains transitional provisions.

Debate adjourned on motion of Mr Malinauskas.

*Auditor-General's Report***AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORT**

In committee.

(Continued from 15 November 2018.)

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** I declare the examination of the Report of the Auditor-General 2017-18 open. I remind members that the committee is in normal session. Any questions have to be asked by members on their feet. All questions must be directly referred to the Auditor-General's Report 2017-18. I welcome the Premier and now call for any questions.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer the Premier to Report 5, Part B, pages 9 to 14. The Auditor-General notes on page 14 that the Stadium Management Authority moved in 2015-16 to run a non-sporting pursuit on the property known as the Adelaide Oval RoofClimb. To do this, it established the Commercial Operations Trust to operate the RoofClimb in the Adelaide Oval core area.

The Adelaide Oval Stadium Management Authority licensed the Commercial Operations Trust (COT) to operate the business from 1 July 2016 to 16 November 2031. The issuing of the licence was approved, and the SMA also entered into a service agreement with the COT that enables the SMA to manage the RoofClimb on behalf of the COT. Can the Premier advise what entities have been in discussions with the government regarding the hotel proposal?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I fail to understand how that has anything to do with the Auditor-General's Report, which covers off last financial year.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I have just referred the Premier to the relevant section. As I said, in Report 5, Part B, pages 9 to 14, there are clear references to the Stadium Management Authority. We have questions regarding the Stadium Management Authority.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** This is the examination of the Auditor-General's Report. It does not mean that, because the words 'Stadium Management Authority' are in it, we can start exploring other topics related to the Stadium Management Authority not covered by the Auditor-General's Report of the last financial year.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** The question pertains to the Stadium Management Authority's finances, and indeed my questions speak to matters that may well have been in the last financial year.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Leader, obviously we are here, as the Premier has indicated, to look at actual items the Auditor-General has raised in the report. If there is an item that the Auditor-General has raised in regard to the 2017-18 financial year, then that is where your questions are to come from. It is very similar to estimates, in which I know we had the same experience.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Yes, indeed, and occasionally—

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** And I would not want to go down that path, leader.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Occasionally your judgement was wise, Mr Chairman. Just to be clear, is the Premier unwilling or unable to answer questions regarding the SMA?

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** If you sit down for a moment, please, leader, that question is completely out of order because I have just ruled that the questions—and I appreciate this is your first Auditor-General's Report in the House of Assembly—are to come from statements arising from Auditor-General's Report; otherwise, we are going to be here for a long, long time.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I refer to Report 5, Part B, page 14. Is there only one Commercial Operations Trust in existence at the SMA?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I do not have details of that; I am happy to come back to the committee.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Thank you, I appreciate the Premier committing to do that. Could he also provide to the parliament when the Commercial Operations Trust to which the Auditor-General refers was established, who the trustees of the trust are and who the beneficiaries of the trust? Can those questions also be answered for any other trusts, if indeed they are in existence.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am happy to take the first part. As for other components of the SMA, I will seek advice from them.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I will move on to questions regarding Report 5, Part B, page 285. Sorry, let me rephrase: the first page of the financial grants and subsidies regarding Brand SA.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Sorry, what page?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** We are talking about section F, Mr Chairman. I have one quick question on this area. Brand SA is funded by a grant from Department of the Premier and Cabinet. Can the Premier can give us an insight as to whether or not that funding will continue beyond this financial year?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** The government has not reached a determination on that at this point.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Sorry?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We have not reached a position on that.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Moving on, then, regarding Part A: Executive summary, page 26, I draw the Premier's attention, as he is obviously already aware, that responsibility for Lot Fourteen was delegated to the Premier on 19 June 2018. The Auditor-General's Report notes the redevelopment cost of \$476 million over five years and:

In June 2018 the Treasurer and Minister approved a \$194 million increase in the [Urban Renewal Authority's] core debt facility...

Was that approval made by the minister responsible for the authority or by the Premier in his delegated role as responsible for Lot Fourteen?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I will take that question on notice.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Who has oversight of Lot Fourteen's tenancy agreements and approvals?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Renewal SA.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** For the sake of clarity, when the Premier took over responsibility for Lot Fourteen back in June he did not take over responsibility for tenancy agreements and approvals?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** No.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** A follow-on question from that: it is understandable that those tenancy agreements would be managed by Renewal SA but are they reporting to you or to the minister who usually superintends Renewal SA?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As per the notation in the Auditor-General's Report, I have taken responsibility for Lot Fourteen because this is a site which actually has multiple government departments interested in the site. For example, it has Industry and Skills, it has DPC with Arts, it has DPTI with their involvement with Renewal SA, so I have taken over ultimate responsibility. But I am certainly not responsible on a day-to-day basis for tenancies on that site. That remains the responsibility of Renewal SA.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I am grateful to the Premier for that advice. Is Renewal SA providing any form of reporting to you as minister responsible for Lot Fourteen on the tenancies that are at Lot Fourteen?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am down there on a regular basis. Daniel Redden, who looks after that element of Renewal SA's work, is the project manager for Lot Fourteen and keeps me abreast of decisions that Renewal SA has made. They are more likely to seek advice regarding tenancies from the Chief Entrepreneur, Jim Whalley, and his team because they are responsible for the overall curation of what happens on that site.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Does Mr Redden, I think you said his name was, and the other staff of Renewal SA have delegated authority to reach tenancy agreements with tenants down there? Do they report to you any metrics on the tenancies—for example, the number of tenancies that are in effect, say, at 30 June or quarterly?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As I have already answered, I am down there on a pretty regular basis. I have a pretty good understanding of who is there at the moment and who is in the pipeline. But as for a quarterly report, I am more than happy to provide the member with details regarding tenancies, but there is nothing I can put my finger on at the moment.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Does the Premier have any sense of how many tenants or occupants are currently at Lot Fourteen on rent-free deals?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am not aware of any, but I am happy to take that on notice.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Was a business case and cultural assessment done for the proposed national Aboriginal arts and cultural gallery?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am not sure what you are referring to in the Auditor-General's Report, but in the state budget you would note that there was money put aside for that express purpose and I think it was in the order of \$200,000.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Yes, was there a business case done?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I have answered that question.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Obviously, conscious of the budget commitment, was there a business case done for that?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I have answered that question. It is very difficult when the Leader of the Opposition, because he does not have any actual questions regarding the Auditor-General's Report, seeks to continue to waste the time of the committee asking the same question over and over again. There is \$200,000. Firstly, I do not see where it relates in the Auditor-General's Report and, secondly, I have made it very clear to the committee that \$200,000 was included in the budget to conduct that work.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** In providing the detail to the committee that the Premier has committed regarding the tenancies, in addition to providing the number of tenancies, could he also indicate to the parliament in those answers the rents which are being paid, perhaps on a dollar per square metre basis?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am not sure that that would be appropriate, but if it is I am happy to provide it to the committee. I am not sure that providing commercial rent rates to the parliament would be appropriate.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** In doing so, in providing that information, could you also indicate the number of and which tenancies are subsidised by the government?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Again, I am happy to take that on notice and I will come back to the committee if we are in a position to provide that level of detail.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Just to be clear, I do not think it is unreasonable to ask for the number of tenancies. You have already committed to providing that and I appreciate it, but the number of tenancies within that global number that are subsidised and the extent to which they are subsidised is reasonable information.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am happy to do that.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Premier, regarding Lot Fourteen, for which you are the responsible minister, I was just wondering: what is the status of our bid for the Australian Space Agency?

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Leader, I have been pretty generous. Obviously, we are looking at the Auditor-General's Report and unless I can find the words 'Space Agency'—

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** No, Lot Fourteen; not just the Space Agency.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** He has run out of questions. I can talk for the remaining 18 minutes on the Space Agency bid and put him out of his misery. South Australia is very well positioned with our enormous capability and history in space, going back more than 50 years. In September 2017, the federal government announced that they would establish an Australian Space Agency. They have put money into their budget to support that decision and now they are in the midst of making a decision as to where the Australian Space Agency will be located and whether it will be one central body, a distributed model or a combination.

We are obviously working very hard to secure as much of that Space Agency as possible to come to South Australia. We do this not because we are simply being parochial but because we genuinely believe that we are the best place to locate the headquarters for the Space Agency and, more than that, some of the very important components of the Space Agency that the federal government is considering at the moment. About 70 per cent of expenditure in terms of the Space Agency at the moment is related to or comes from the defence sector. As the Leader of the Opposition well knows, we are the defence state and we have enormous capability in that area.

By way of evidence of the support for the space sector from the defence sector, we only need look at the details of the very competitive bid that we have put in for the SmartSat Cooperative Research Centre (CRC), which is an excellent proposal. That bid is now over \$200 million; \$65 million, I understand, is in cash. We are also looking forward to having a decision made on that in the next six months, but that gives evidence of the level of support we have for industry for the SmartSat CRC and hence the potential Australian Space Agency node, headquarters or both here in this state. This is something that Defence SA takes the key area of responsibility for.

We have put a proposal to the federal government that would see that headquarters being located in the McEwin Building on the old Royal Adelaide Hospital site—Lot Fourteen—and I think we have put in a compelling bid. We look forward to the federal government making a decision on this. The federal government has now appointed Dr Megan Clark, former head of the CSIRO, to evaluate the opportunities that each state presents, finalise the plan for the national Space Agency and present this to the Prime Minister and cabinet, and we await their decision.

We are particularly interested in this site because, although the federal government's budget line for this is not massive, we believe that it will attract the type of investment that will have a significant effect on our economy in South Australia. More than that, we think it will have a significant effect on promoting school students into STEM subjects. I think all the indicators are that kids at school love learning about space. We believe that this will have a massive, positive effect not only in terms of investment in our state but in future generations studying more STEM subjects, which we believe will have a very positive effect in many other sectors of our economy.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Regarding the financial statements for Defence SA, point 25, contingent assets and liabilities—

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Where and which page?

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Under the Defence SA section, point 25. Premier, the report's financial statements note that there is a disputed claim for the recoupment of costs associated with the



maintenance dredging contract at the Techport Common User Facility. Defence SA states it has paid its contracted sums, but there are variations totalling \$1.95 million for extra costs and downtime. What progress has been made on resolving this dispute?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** This dispute goes back well before my time as the minister for defence industries. It goes back to an issue with regard to the previous government. I am happy to get a full brief on that issue, that problem which we inherited from the previous government, and come back to this committee.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I refer to point 2.2 in the same document, Defence Industry Development. By way of background, in November 2017, Becker Helicopters received a grant from the Economic Investment Fund of \$3 million to establish a new operating base in Whyalla, relocating from Queensland. Defence SA has carriage of the relocation project. Can the Premier advise on the progress of that relocation and the number of jobs and economic activity that have been derived from the relocation?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Again, this is a project of the previous government. It is one that they spoke about repeatedly, how they had won this work to come to South Australia and the jobs that it would create in South Australia. I am happy to get an update for the Leader of the Opposition on his previous government's program and the progress to date.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Regarding Part A: Executive summary, pages 8 to 10, the Auditor-General makes observations about his access to the decisions and processes of cabinet and lists the proposals he made to the state government in August 2018. He states, 'Cabinet Office advised me that the policy and process were being worked through.' What is the Premier's position now and what is the Auditor-General's position now? What discussions has the Premier had with the Auditor-General?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** As the leader would be more than aware, the Auditor-General has been making comments for some time now about access to documents. There were some changes that the previous government made in 2017. We have of course upheld that access regime. On coming to government, I invited the Auditor-General to come to cabinet. He made some further representations about access to documents that he thinks is best practice. They are currently under active consideration. My understanding is that the Attorney-General and her department have considered those. They have come or will come to my department and then ultimately go via cabinet. We hope to have something to update the parliament on in the coming weeks.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Can the Premier indicate when the Auditor-General presented to cabinet?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I do not have the date. It probably would have been in the first two or three months of the new government. But if it is particularly important to you, I am happy to come back with an answer.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Do you have an expectation about when the government might respond to the Auditor-General?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I answered that two minutes ago.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** No, I understand the process that you advised.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I said in the coming week.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** In the coming weeks? Okay.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** So if you just listen carefully. I know it won't chew up more time—

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Premier.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** —but it will probably look better on the *Hansard* if people are reading it.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Am I right in saying that the Premier has not had any cabinet papers, reports or documents that have been released thus far?

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Member for Lee!

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Nothing is germane. If it is not in the Auditor-General's Report, it is not germane at all. I am happy to rule out any further questions from the opposition if they cannot identify it in the Auditor-General's Report.

*The Hon. S.C. Mullighan interjecting:*

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** The member for Lee is called to order. The leader.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Regarding the report, Part B, page 285, the Attorney-General criticises the lack of documented evaluation plans for renewable energy.

**The Hon. S.S. Marshall:** Auditor-General.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Sorry, the Auditor-General criticises—

*The Hon. S.S. Marshall interjecting:*

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** —an even longer week for you—the lack of documented evaluation plans for renewable energy fund grant programs transferred to another agency, namely, the Department for Energy and Mining, in July. I refer to concerns the Auditor-General raised regarding separately documented evaluation of grant programs, concerns that were addressed by the agency.

My question relates to the final terms and conditions negotiated by this government for the subsidised solar panel and battery scheme. What risk assessment was made of the agreement to subsidise a minimum of 40,000 batteries?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Well, (1), as the leader would be more than aware, energy sat within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet under the previous government; it does not sit within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet under the current government.

Actually, you have already been through the Auditor-General questions with regard to mining and energy and asked questions, so I would have thought that somebody could have given you a briefing on your own side that those questions would be better directed to the Minister for Mining and Energy. With regard to the work that we did, I think we canvassed this extensively before the last election that we had an external company that came in and looked at our energy policy, and I refer honourable members to that information.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** I refer to Part A, page 3. Has the Premier or anyone in his office sought a briefing from the Auditor-General regarding Carillion?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am happy to ask whether anybody in my office has sought a briefing from the Auditor-General regarding that matter.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Is it your recollection that you have not yet had a briefing on the Carillion matter that the Auditor-General refers to?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I have already given an answer to that question.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** You will have to check with someone else whether you were briefed on something?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I know you have run out of questions.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Order! Premier, do not provoke the opposition, please.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Does the Premier have any interest in the Auditor's observations around Carillion?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I have an interest in everything the Auditor-General has an interest in.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Yet you cannot recall whether you have been briefed on Carillion?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Is that a question or a statement?

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Yes, that was a question.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Order!

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Would you like me to air punctuate it for you?

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Order! Premier, please also stand up when addressing any reply.

*Members interjecting:*

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Gentlemen!

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Has the Premier been briefed on the Auditor's agency audit approach revised for the 2018-19 financial year? It is on page 13 of Part A.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am advised that each chief executive has been briefed on the changes that the Auditor-General proposes.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Is this a change that is acceptable to the government?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** The Auditor-General has given advice on how he sees audits progressing in the future. He has provided briefings to the chief executives within the various departments. I am not sure that he is seeking feedback or approval.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Regarding Part B of the report, page 285, contract extensions and single offer market approaches, did the Auditor-General express any concerns about the consultancy contract with New Zealand's Wayne Eagleson?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Not that I am aware of.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Has the contract with Mr Wayne Eagleson been extended beyond the initial term?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** This was something that was done originally by the Acting Chief Executive of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. I am not sure that there was a defined term for that contract, but I am happy to find out and come back to you.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** In that exercise, if it is established that there is not a contract extension, when does the term cease? If there is a contract extension, under what terms and conditions has that contract extension been made? If you could take that on notice, that would be great.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Yes.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Regarding my earlier line of questioning—

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Page number?

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Page 13.

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** Carry on, Rumpole of the Bailey.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Well, you certainly do not have one next to you, that is for sure. That is why she is here and not sitting on a bench somewhere.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Order!

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** My question referred to—

*An honourable member interjecting:*

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** You are here for now and hopefully when that changes Josh will not have to park next to me.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Member for Lee, it is entirely inappropriate.

**The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN:** Will the Department of the Premier and Cabinet be one of those agencies that is prioritised for a controlled review by the Auditor-General?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** I am more than happy to find out and come back to the committee. Are you going to go back to an old question as well?

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Premier, please do not provoke the leader.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** I am actually going to go to a similar section in the same report: Part B, page 285, contract extensions and single offer market approaches. Was the process that the government used to recruit New Zealand's Steven Joyce to review the state government's trade and investment strategy the same process that you used to appoint Wayne Eagleson?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** For starters, that is not an activity that relates to this financial year and I cannot find any reference to it whatsoever in the Auditor-General's Report.

**Mr MALINAUSKAS:** Again, regarding the same section, did the Premier examine the former Economic Development Board's comprehensive review of investment strategies completed in December 2013, which recommended the consolidation of cross-agency efforts into a single investment attraction agency—an agency that was established in 2015 and dismantled by your government shortly after your coming into office, i.e., during the last financial year?

**The Hon. S.S. MARSHALL:** We took a range of advice regarding the formulation of our strategy, and we are absolutely satisfied that we arrived at the right point.

**The ACTING CHAIR (Mr Duluk):** Alas, time has expired. We now proceed to the examination of the Auditor-General's 2017-18 report in relation to the Minister for Child Protection. I remind members that the committee is in normal session. Any questions have to be asked by members on their feet. All questions must be directly referenced to the Auditor-General's 2017-18 report.

**The CHAIR:** The allotted time has arrived. The previous Chair has declared the examination open. I welcome the minister, the member for Badcoe and various advisers to the questioning. I remind the member for Badcoe that it is a normal committee process and that you will need to stand to ask questions. With the time having arrived, member for Badcoe, you have the call.

**Ms STINSON:** I refer to Report 5, Part A: Executive summary, section 2.5.1, page 37. How many children were in care as at 30 June?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** When I was the shadow minister, this figure always vexed me because some reports record children to the age of 17 and some reports require it to the age of 18, which is why there is often a disparity in figures, so I understand why you would ask this. As at 30 June 2018, the number of children from zero to 18 years—so that includes 18 years—was 3,734.

**Ms STINSON:** The figure published on the DCP website's statistics page was 3,672 and then in the budget it was 3,675. Can you explain the discrepancy between the three different numbers?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** The correct figure for our reporting principles, which is up to the age of 18—so 17 year olds are included—was 3,672. The difference of three that you indicated was a pre-auditing figure, so there is a discrepancy at some points in time when they are verifying all the figures.

**Ms STINSON:** To clarify, the number in the September budget is incorrect and the number published in July on your website is correct?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Apparently, multiple reconciliation processes are done to verify the figures. As at July 2018, the actual figure is 3,672 from our recording, which is only inclusive of 17 year olds, which is the figure required for the report on government services. So including the age of 18, more are included in that figure.

**Ms STINSON:** Obviously that number is broken down in the statistics in both the Auditor-General's Report and on your website. For the sake of clarity, how many of those 3,672 children were in commercial care as at 30 June?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** As at 30 June 2018, there were 93 children in commercial care. It was previously reported online as 86, but that was before the data was re-audited and confirmed.

**Ms STINSON:** I am also asking what the figures are at 30 June for residential care, foster care and kinship care. To assist you, I have the number for children in residential care as 412, the number in foster care as 1,434 and I have different numbers for kinship care: 1,664 or 1,685. Could you clarify what the correct numbers are for residential care, foster care and kinship care as at 30 June?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Can I take that on notice and we will get you a thorough response?

**Ms STINSON:** You can do that. That can be taken on notice, thank you. Do you have a total figure for the cost of care as at 30 June 2018?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** While we are looking for that, would you like to ask your next question?

**Ms STINSON:** That would be good, thank you. On the same reference, but page 38, the proportion of children in commercial care fell by 3 per cent, from 2016-17 to 2017-18, according to the Auditor-General's Report. What is your target for either increase or decrease over the next financial year?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** That was a 3 per cent decrease for commercial care?

**Ms STINSON:** Yes, that is what the Auditor-General's Report states.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I have not actually specified it as a percentage for each individual. I have been working on numbers, but we are aiming at reducing commercial care as quickly as possible, and residential care. The problem is I cannot stop the children coming into care. So at the same time that we are working on early intervention and prevention through the Early Intervention Research Directorate, which is now managed through Human Services, as we wait for programs to start and get results to stop the incoming, it is hard to stop the total, so we are continuing to work on that.

I do have a goal of increasing foster carers by 50 per year, which, if you did not have any extra children coming in, would see a reduction of 50 across residential care and commercial care, so it is just dependent on how many are coming in at the same time. Certainly a big goal of mine is to focus on getting children out of commercial care, as many as possible out of that situation, and into family-based care.

**Ms STINSON:** With that goal of 50 new foster carers, is that above attrition?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I would hope so. That is the plan, yes. I can report the budget figures. We budgeted for 80 in commercial care for the 2018-19 year, whereas the 2017-18 figure was 115, so a reduction of 35 is anticipated.

**Ms STINSON:** I am not sure if we have lost track of where questions are up to, but could you explain what those figures are that you just read out? I am not sure what that is in answer to.

**The CHAIR:** If we just go back a little bit to a couple of questions ago, you asked a question, member for Badcoe. The minister said she would seek the answer and in the interim you could ask some more questions. So we can go back to what was asked.

**Ms STINSON:** It is my recollection that the minister took my questions in regard to the number of children in care on notice, so I imagine she will get back to me at some later date about that. My subsequent question to that was what the total cost of care was at 30 June.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Sorry, we do not have that with us today, so we will take that on notice as well.

**Ms STINSON:** In estimates, the minister talked about there being a forecast of 33 extra children coming into the system over the next 12 months and that the budget was structured around that. Has that figure been revised and, if so, what is the new figure?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** The Mid-Year Budget Review is of course still to be released, so I will not be discussing what will happen there. To further clarify the 33, the 33 figure was actually calculated by the former Labor government in February, before I came into government. It was formulated on the basis of the policies of the previous government and the way that it had always been negotiated between Treasury and Finance and my department.

To give you an example, in the 2015-16 year, the Labor government estimated a reduction in the total number of children in care of 48, yet ended up with actual growth of 402, which was 450 off its own budget. You are quite reasonable to be suspect of that figure of 33 because the following year, in 2016-17, the Labor government predicted growth of 128, yet actually got growth of 244. The year after, in 2017-18, the Labor government predicted growth of only 14 children and yet got 168.

So consistently this has been calculated poorly, I would say, and we are now working with Treasury and Finance to see if we can work a better way because of the way that it is calculated. We cannot give an actual figure; we cannot predict how many children really will be removed. In one weekend, you might get 17, and then there might be none for two weeks and you think everything is going well. It is not something we can control. There are drugs involved. There are domestic violence and mental health issues—they are not things I can control.

We have to respond as a department, but the way that Treasury and Finance need their figures is on real figures, which we cannot give them because it is a very volatile department. As you can see, the way that it has been calculated consistently over many years has been quite incorrect and we are working to fix that at the moment with Treasury and Finance.

**Ms STINSON:** Thank you. That is the answer to the question I asked in estimates several months ago, but I appreciate it anyway. What is your targeted time line for achieving zero children in commercial care?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** What page is that on?

**Ms STINSON:** Report 5, Part A, section 2.5.1, page 38.

**The CHAIR:** The top two lines of page 38, minister.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I cannot see the relevance of the question. That point says:

The proportion of children in commercial care has fallen to 2% in 2017-18, compared to 5% in 2016-17, and the proportion in foster or kinship care has increased to 85% from 83%.

You might note—and it has been recorded in the RoGS—that South Australia has consistently had double the number of children in non-family based out-of-home care, which is, we know, the worst form of care. It is great to see that there is a reduction already starting to occur and we are continuing that. My goal is to get us to the national average as soon as possible. That will take at least, I would say, four to five years but, again, this is a figure that is a goal you would work towards—it is an aspiration.

I cannot control the number of children coming in, as Labor would know. The former government announced \$9 million to increase foster carers by 150; they did not increase them at all and spent \$9 million to do that. We are looking at different and better ways of increasing our foster carers. One way is by looking after them better so that word of mouth can be our best form of advertisement. It is a very difficult area to predict, but we are working as hard as we can to improve that and at least get to the national average.

**Ms STINSON:** Thank you. I refer to Part B: Agency audit reports, page 61, under 'Contracted out-of-house care services expense'. It is only a very simple question and you might want to take it on notice, given the answers to previous questions. What is your anticipated cost for commercial care in 2018-19? There was a drop of 16 per cent between the previous two years, which is noted on that page, so what is your anticipated cost for commercial care in this financial year.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** The budgeted cost for commercial care is \$51,791,000.

**Ms STINSON:** Page 62 of the same part notes:

Additional appropriations [were drawn] from the Governor's Appropriation Fund of \$40 million and the contingency fund...to offset cost pressures.

Do you envisage receiving funds from these sources of income in this financial year?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We cannot comment on that at this stage. We still have the Mid-Year Budget Review to be announced; however, I will note that in the 2016-17 financial year, under the former Labor government, there was an additional \$120,946,000 from the Governor's Appropriation Fund, so it is reducing but has still been quite out of control.

**Ms STINSON:** So you do not know if you are expecting to draw on either of those funding sources?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We do not know at this stage; we are only five months in. My goal would be not to access that fund, but I am sure that was the goal of the former Labor government, yet they accessed \$40 million and \$120 million the year before. If there are children who need to be removed, we have to remove them, and if we need the money to do that we have to find it. We cannot say, 'We've run out of money; we can't have it.' It is very difficult to predict.

**Ms STINSON:** Part B: Agency audit reports, page 53. Under 'Significant events and transactions', the Auditor-General notes:

The remaining elements of the [new act] will come into force on 22 October 2018. The new Act requires all employees who work with children to have a psychometric test.

Have all Department for Child Protection employees undergone psychometric tests?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** All department staff who are working with children in care have been psychometrically tested—from the department, in residential care.

**Ms STINSON:** Just to clarify, is that all department staff in residential care or all department staff who have contact with children?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Psychometric testing only applies to staff working in residential care. All the DCP staff have been tested and have passed—the ones who are working with children. I believe there was an extension of one year for non-government residential care providers to give them time to do all the assessments.

**Ms STINSON:** That brings me to my next question. Have all non-department workers (for example, NGO and agency staff) undergone psychometric testing? Based on your last answer, you might give an indication as to how many have been tested and how many more are to be tested, if they have received an extension.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** There is not a specific answer here. It is my understanding that the non-government organisations had a year's extension; however, apparently it is part of the transitional legislation. If that is not correct, I will get back to you with the correct answer.

**Ms STINSON:** Have you made inquiries whether all NGO and agency staff have been tested and where that process is up to?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I have actually met with every single provider, every single non-government organisation that provides staff, to discuss this very issue. As you might know, they have been reticent to have to do it because of the cost and the time that is involved; hence, they were given a time extension so that they had the capacity, the money and the time to get all of their staff tested. It is their intention to meet the legislative requirement and they are all undergoing it, but there is only one provider in South Australia at this time and he has put on a lot more staff, so they are doing them as quickly as possible. They are working through them as fast as they can.

**Ms STINSON:** When does that extension of time expire?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We are just checking, but my understanding was that it was one year from meeting with the NGOs; that was their belief, but we are just confirming that.

**Ms STINSON:** I am happy for you to take it on notice so that we do not end up getting confused with the sequence of questions, if that assists. How many staff have either failed or had an uncertain or questionable outcome or had red flags raised by their test? I realise it is not a simple pass/fail, so I am asking how many have had questionable outcomes or red flags raised by the outcome of their test.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I am advised that a small number of employees have been assessed as being potentially unsuitable to work in residential care facilities. It should be noted that the majority of these have not been assessed as providing an immediate risk to the safety of children in residential care but have presented with psychological wellbeing concerns that if not effectively managed might, in the opinion of the psychologist, present an indirect risk to the safety of children in care.

These employees are currently either undertaking suitable duties in non-residential care settings, if deemed appropriate by the chief executive, or are absent from the workplace pending further consideration, including where applicable further consideration about the current management of their psychological risk factors to enable reconsideration of the current psychological assessment outcome and will receive an opportunity to provide further information to inform this assessment before a final decision is made by the chief executive regarding their suitability. There are not exact figures.

**Ms STINSON:** Can I please request exact figures? Would you like to take that question on notice as to the exact figures?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** The reason the figures have not been released is that the figures are rather small and it would then make it easy to identify which staff are affected. For privacy reasons, the exact numbers will not be released.

**Ms STINSON:** Surely that is not much of a consideration if they have left the workplace, though. Can you expand on why that is an issue? I do not see much difference between saying there is a small number and saying five or six.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Because many of these people have been redeployed, it would make it obvious who they are. Some of them are for reasons of mental health issues that do not need to be publicised and because it is such a small number the department staff working there would be able to work it out, therefore the numbers are not being released.

**Ms STINSON:** How many have been redeployed?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** That is the same thing and would identify them.

**Ms STINSON:** How many have been directed away from the workplace?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We will take that on notice.

**Ms STINSON:** How many remain in the workplace who have red flags?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We will take that on notice and provide a full answer around this issue. Clearly, it is of interest to you.

**Ms STINSON:** Well, you have had a month to look at this. I asked this question in estimates as well, so I do not see why you have come without any answers to these questions.

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** If you look back on the last four years of Auditor-Generals and the years before that, your line of questioning is considerably different to the Auditor-General's Report, so I was not anticipating this. This is more budget and finance questioning; however, we will take it on notice and we will get the information that you have requested.

**Ms STINSON:** I am asking questions that are directly related to what is in the Auditor-General's Report. I have not actually strayed far at all from the Auditor-General's Report, but I take it that you will take it on notice. Could you also take on notice how many have returned to the workforce since their adverse outcome; how many are no longer employed in the department, an agency or an NGO; how many have handed in a voluntary resignation; and how many have been forcibly removed, for want of a better term, from the workplace?



I refer now to Part B: Agency audit reports, page 58. Has the Chief Information Officer begun their program to address the identified areas of risk? When will that be devised and implemented?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Firstly, this finding is accepted by the department. The C3MS has been rated as 'sensitive medical' by the department after following the ISMF guideline. This recommendation has been approved by the technology and information governance subcommittee, so that has already been completed.

Weaknesses in the password configuration, which was also a finding, have been accepted. There is work underway to synchronise the password used by staff for logging into their PC to C3MS. Initial testing is underway and it is expected that this will be rolled out by the end of 2018. This will then change the minimum password age, complexity and expiry requirements to be in line with those used across the DCP identity system. The DCP identity system meets the ISMF requirements, and aligning this will assist C3MS to meet these requirements also.

**Ms STINSON:** Do you want me to repeat the question?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** Which section?

**Ms STINSON:** My question was: has the Chief Information Officer begun their program to address the identified areas of risk? When will that be devised and when will it be implemented?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** I am answering that. There were multiple areas of risk that were identified, one being the password configuration, which is on track. Implementation is planned for January/February 2019. The inappropriate assigning of user access, and no review of user access, is also on track. That was accepted, and they are expecting completion around April 2019. The lack of database audit logs is not yet commenced; however, it was accepted and DCP will consider the inclusion of an audit logging guideline in the development of the ICT policies and guidelines framework.

The inadequate patch management is also on track. This finding was also accepted, and it is noted by DCP that the regular patching of systems reduces the risk of known bugs and issues being experienced and also reduces security risk. NEC provide fully managed service for all ongoing C3MS systems, and there are continuing discussions with them. The finding regarding the lack of disaster recovery management was also accepted and is on track. A disaster recovery plan for technical recovery of the C3MS system in the event of a primary environment is unavailable.

The plan for successful recovery of C3MS was successfully implemented and tested on 20 May 2018. However, it is noted that the formal approval of the plan, along with a periodic review, is required and review is currently underway. Development of regular, annual DR exercises will be planned. The other finding of weakness in vulnerability and threat management is also on track and was accepted. DCP will develop a patch policy that reflects a risk management approach and will outline why certain patching was not considered wise due to application, compatibility and stability concerns.

**The CHAIR:** Final question.

**Ms STINSON:** Has any confidential information been compromised as a result of weaknesses in C3MS?

**The Hon. R. SANDERSON:** We will take that on notice.

**Ms STINSON:** You do not know if there have been any security compromises of personal information?

**The CHAIR:** The time has expired, member for Badcoe. The minister has indicated that she will take it on notice. I thank the minister, the member for Badcoe and the advisers. We proceed now to the examination of the Auditor-General's 2017-18 report in relation to the Minister for Primary Industries and Regional Development.

I welcome the Minister for Primary Industries, the member for Giles and also the minister's advisers. I remind members that the committee is in normal session. Any questions need to be asked by members on their feet. All questions must be directly referenced to the Auditor-General's 2017-18 report. I call for questions, member for Giles.

**Mr HUGHES:** Thank you, Mr Chairman. I refer to page 301 of Part B: Agency audit reports regarding PIRSA. On that page, the Auditor-General notes, under Statement of Comprehensive Income, that there has been a \$2.1 million decrease in payments for natural disaster recovery programs. Can the minister confirm if he believes the current drought in South Australia is a natural disaster? If so, what direct financial help will the Marshall government be providing to farmers and drought-affected communities?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** Where is the drought mentioned in the report?

**Mr HUGHES:** We are actually referring to the reduction in the natural disaster recovery programs, so I am asking you whether you see—

**The CHAIR:** Just for my benefit, is it page 301?

**Mr HUGHES:** Page 301, Part B: Agency audit reports, natural disasters.

**The CHAIR:** The very the bottom line. Your question really is whether we are suffering a natural disaster?

**Mr HUGHES:** Yes.

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** In the 2016-17 year, there was support there for the Pinery bushfire grant of \$762,000; the Gawler flood, \$1.209 million; and the Riverland storm in the 2016-17 year, \$502,000. That added up to \$2.473 million. The reason there is a reduction is because of the assistance with respect to the Riverland storm of 347, and that equates to a reduction in \$2.1 million.

**Mr HUGHES:** I guess it is a definitional thing when it comes to whether drought constitutes a natural disaster, so I would gather that the criteria are such that they do not cover drought. Could you explain what the current criteria are for receiving funding through the natural disaster recovery programs?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I will take that on notice.

**Mr HUGHES:** Given the recent events in the Adelaide Hills, will the apple and pear industry in the Adelaide Hills receive any direct financial assistance as a result of the recent hail damage, taking into account that this is the second year in a row that they have experienced damage?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** Whereabouts are you referring? What page?

**Mr HUGHES:** We are still referring to the same page, page 301, the \$2.1 million decrease in payments for the natural disaster recovery programs. It is actually linked to the program.

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** You are in the wrong year.

**The CHAIR:** Yes, to be fair, member for Giles, your questions should be relating to the report itself rather than what might be in the future.

**Mr HUGHES:** I refer now to page 296 of Part B: Agency audit reports, regarding PIRSA. On that page the Auditor-General notes, under the section 'Significant events and transactions', that the regional development fund was repurposed as the Regional Growth Fund. How this fund will be spent in the future will be determined by the minister on the basis of recommendations. Given that the first round has closed, have any submissions at this stage been successful?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** At this stage there have been 84 applications in the competitive pool, and they are currently being assessed. There has also been a number of inquiries to the strategic pool. That strategic pool is open all year round but, as you have highlighted, the competitive round opened on 2 July and it closed on 31 August. That gave applicants two full months to prepare and submit applications, and those guidelines for that fund were available online.

**Mr HUGHES:** When are we likely to know which applications have been successful?

**The CHAIR:** I appreciate the minister's answer to the question before, but I remind the member for Giles that we are actually talking about the 2017-18 financial year. The minister may choose to answer that, but I will bring the member for Giles back to the report itself. Minister.

**Mr HUGHES:** Some applications do apply to that time period.

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** They do. The applications apply to that period, but the assessment of those applications do not.

**Mr HUGHES:** I refer to page 303 of Part B: Agency audit reports, regarding PIRSA. On that page the Auditor-General general notes, under the section 'Other expenses', that there has been a \$2.8 million increase in workers compensation liability re-evaluation expense as a result of the review of the liability by a new consulting actuary in 2018-19. Can the minister advise why a new actuary and a review were required?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** That actuary was for the entire government, not just for PIRSA. It was not just a PIRSA-related incident; it was for the entire government.

**Mr HUGHES:** In relation to PIRSA, what were the implications, the findings and the liabilities?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** It is not an actual amount: it is a forecast cost.

**Mr HUGHES:** I refer to page 307 of Part B: Agency audit reports, regarding PIRSA. On that page, the Auditor-General notes in the 'Administered items' section, 'The main administered revenues are industry contributions and aquaculture and fishing licence fees.' Can you give the breakdown of the revenue sources?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I can, but just before I do that I will answer the first question that I took on notice when you talked about the national disaster criteria. That is actually the responsibility of the Treasurer to determine in association with the commonwealth, and you would have to direct that question to the appropriate minister. It is not my responsibility: the Treasurer works in association with the commonwealth.

I have the breakdown of revenue from fees and charges. For aquaculture and fishing licences in the 2018 year, \$14.168 million; penalties and fines, \$53,000; and other fees and levies equated to 2.391. So total revenue from fees and charges is \$29.525 million.

**Mr HUGHES:** On fees, charges and licences, has the agency done any modelling on revenue projection from the introduction of recreational fishing licences?

**The CHAIR:** I am going to rule that one out of order, member for Giles. It was an excellent try. The member for Giles has the call.

**Mr HUGHES:** I refer to page 301 of Part B: Agency audit reports, regarding PIRSA. On that page, the Auditor-General notes, under the section Statement of Comprehensive Income, that employee benefits' expenses increased by \$3 million to \$95 million, largely due to the increase in salaries and wages. Can you please advise how many staff in PIRSA are currently on salaries of over \$100,000 and how many are on salaries over \$150,000?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I can tell you that the breakdown of the Auditor-General's Report is that there are 35 people who earn over \$149,000.

**Mr HUGHES:** And \$100,000?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** That is not in the report.

**Mr HUGHES:** Returning to page 296 of Part B: Agency audit reports, regarding PIRSA, that page covers the regional development fund and the repurposing of the funds to the Regional Growth Fund. Can you explain the process by which the agency makes recommendations for the use of the funds and the process by which it assesses applications for funding?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I have already answered that. The guidelines for the fund, including the assessment criteria, are available on the PIRSA website.

**Mr HUGHES:** Are the evaluation processes available on the website as well?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** The evaluation processes are determined by an appointed independent chair. He will oversee the assessment of projects under the competitive pool, and he will undertake an assessment of all applications as an independent chair.

**Mr HUGHES:** Can the minister clarify the degree of openness and transparency in relation to the submissions and ultimate decisions?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** Yes, I can: it is very open and very transparent.

**Mr HUGHES:** How is the minister going to ensure that is the case?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** I have an independent chair who oversees the assessment of all those projects. He has been appointed. He has significant knowledge and background in government grants. That is why he was appointed as the chair, an independent person away from the government department.

**Mr HUGHES:** Will those decision-making processes be publicly available?

**The Hon. T.J. WHETSTONE:** Yes, they will.

**Mr HUGHES:** With that, I conclude my questions.

**The CHAIR:** Thank you very much. I thank the minister, the member for Giles and the minister's advisers.

Progress reported; committee to sit again.

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER:** Sir, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

**The DEPUTY SPEAKER:** There not being a quorum, ring the bells.

*A quorum having been formed:*

#### *Bills*

### **STATUTES AMENDMENT (CHILD EXPLOITATION AND ENCRYPTED MATERIAL) BILL**

#### *Second Reading*

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 27 November 2018.)

**Ms LUETHEN (King) (17:26):** I support the Statutes Amendment (Child Exploitation and Encrypted Material) Bill because I will do everything I can on behalf of my community and government to act now to ensure that we stop the abuse of children. The exploitation of children for sexual purposes, in which children are used as commodities for the sexual pleasure of adults, is particularly heinous.

I have been heartened to hear that one thing many of us agree on across this house is the need to act together to deter, stop, prosecute, penalise and put away people who are abusing children in this state. Together, we can and must crack down on people who administer, distribute or play any part in producing child exploitation material.

The exploitation of children has been facilitated and enhanced by the availability of the internet. It was reported by the SBS that, in Australia and around the world, rates of live stream child abuse via webcam, video footage and image capture are growing. The Australian Federal Police received 11,000 online child exploitation reports in 2015.

In 2016, Matthew Graham was sentenced to 15 years' imprisonment for distributing child exploitation material. Between 2012 and 2014, Graham administered online websites and forums. He shared hundreds of thousands of images, including videos of the torture and rape of a young child in the Philippines and in one instance encouraged the rape and murder of a child in Russia. The United States Federal Bureau of Investigation described Graham's network as one of the largest and most extreme in the world.

In 2015, Shannon McCoolle was sentenced to 35 years' imprisonment on charges relating to his role as the head administrator of a global online network with 45,000 members. In the McCoolle case, the sentencing judge drew attention to the challenges posed by secretive computer networks and websites created for the specific purpose of distributing exploitative material. Studies show

offenders are routinely caught with thousands of images each. A coordinated and powerful response is necessary if we are to protect children.

We must pause and note once again that evidence from the Australian Institute of Criminology tells us that intrafamilial sexual exploitation of children has been and remains a major problem. The reality of exploitation by offenders who are known to their child victims runs counter to the perceived danger presented by strangers. The focus on the danger presented by strangers appears to have been part of a collective denial of the reality of exploitation committed by those entrusted with the care of children.

According to Bourke and Hernandez, exposure to child pornography, and the cultural and technological context in which it is exchanged, has an insidiously harmful effect on offenders. They asserted that this material normalises child adult sexuality, dehumanises children and desensitises the offender to the harmful consequences of child victimisation. They argue that these effects are further exacerbated by the offender's immersion in cyber communities of similarly socially marginalised and sexually deviant individuals. Online communities not only serve as online trading posts for illicit material but they also provide social validation and a sense of belonging and support.

In grooming offending, sex offenders may use adult pornography or child exploitation material to lower children's inhibitions against engaging in sexual behaviour. The authors found that offenders often show pornography to children, especially adult-child sexual depictions, to make the adult-child sexual activity appear normal and desensitise the child to the behaviour. Sex offenders may also use pornography to instruct children in how to behave, pose or re-enact scenes. The potentially perpetual availability of digital recordings of abuse is a form of recurrent abuse of the child victim concerned.

Demand for child exploitation material creates a market for exchange, which the internet facilitates. This demand for new material drives child victimisation. It is likely that—or at least for some offenders who have not previously committed contact offences—exposure to child exploitation material may make it more probable that they will commit contact offences. The internet may provide a path from child exploitation material offending to contact offending through online grooming and the engagement of children.

I support the bill because our laws need to keep pace with technology, which is why there are specific provisions that will allow investigators to seek approval from the court to compel people to provide information to access encrypted material. That can include the provision of passwords, fingerprints, facial scans or retinal scans—whatever enables authorities to access a device that may contain evidence of a serious offence. These are significant changes to the law that will ensure authorities have the power they need and can take the appropriate action to help stop these online predators.

Our Attorney-General has said that a failure to comply with the order could result in up to five years' imprisonment. The new bill includes specific offences to ensure that offenders who manage or promote websites featuring child exploitation material can be prosecuted. Under the laws, it will also be illegal to provide information that would help someone avoid apprehension for an offence involving child exploitation material. These laws will ensure that people who administer or host websites that deal with sickening material can be prosecuted, even if they are not technically in possession of it.

To summarise why we are doing this, South Australia's existing child exploitation material laws do not adequately capture persons who administer, establish, operate or promote these websites and online networks. Persons can do this without necessarily possessing child pornography. Police have also identified the increasing difficulties gaining access to encrypted material. Currently, authorities cannot compel a person to provide their passwords or access to encrypted materials. We are doing this because aiding or facilitating the possession of child pornography perpetuates child abuse. The Marshall government is taking the necessary action to crack down on anyone involved in this evil industry by ensuring that our laws are fit for purpose.

In closing, this bill introduces a number of specific offences designed to criminalise the creation, promotion and use of child exploitation material websites. It also introduces new

investigative powers and procedures to assist police in the detection of child exploitation material, made increasingly difficult by technological advances and sophisticated encryption programs.

The bill specifically creates three additional offences to address concerns around a person impeding an investigation by tampering with data. It provides broader protections to victims of child exploitation material. It requires the Commissioner of Police to provide an annual report to the Attorney-General detailing the number of applications, whether they were granted, urgent applications, the types of offences, description of devices and the charges laid. It requires the ICAC to provide an annual report to the Attorney-General detailing the same, and it provides for statutory review of this entire bill.

Although the full extent and nature of the sexual exploitation of children is only beginning to be recognised, it is a problem of global significance that requires strong and effective responses. The extent to which the viewing of child exploitation material is linked to involvement in producing such material, sharing it and using it to groom and then assault children is a very grave concern. I commend the Marshall Liberal government and the Attorney-General for this bill to protect our state's most vulnerable people.

#### *Matter of Privilege*

#### **MATTER OF PRIVILEGE**

**The SPEAKER (17:36):** I advise members that I rise in respect of the matter of privilege that was raised today regarding the member for West Torrens. I make the following statement with regard to the matter of privilege that was raised by the Attorney-General in the house earlier today. However, before addressing the matter, I wish to outline the significance of privilege as it relates to the house and its members.

Privilege, as we know, is not a device by which members or any other person can seek to pursue matters that can be addressed by debate or settled by the vote of the house on a substantive motion. We have heard the test by McGee in *Parliamentary Practice in New Zealand*, which in my view makes the test for whether or not a matter is a matter of privilege by defining it as a matter that can 'genuinely be regarded as tending to impede or obstruct the house in the discharge of its duties'.

Generally speaking, any act or omission which obstructs or impedes the house in the performance of its functions, or which obstructs or impedes any member or officer of such house in the discharge of his duty, or which has a tendency, directly or indirectly, to produce such a result, may be treated as a contempt and therefore be considered a matter of privilege, even though there is no precedent of the offence.

I refer to the matter of privilege raised by the Attorney-General, made in relation to an allegation of misleading the house by the member for West Torrens in his former capacity as the minister for mineral resources and energy, in respect of his answers to questions on 14 November 2017. I quote the relevant parts of the member for West Torrens' answers, which are the subject of this matter of privilege. I refer to page 12,004 of the *Hansard* of 14 November 2017, where he says:

It's important to note that every single part of Our Energy Plan—every single part—whether it's our procurement of the world's largest lithium ion battery, whether it's our renewable technology fund, whether it's our procurement, every single part has been on time and on budget. There are no additional costs to those previously announced.

Further, on the same day, at page 12,005, I quote the former minister:

We have always said we were going to procure a brand-new, state-owned generator and temporary generator. What we have done is skip the temporary part and go on to the final solution. Within the \$550 million package, what we have done is that we have been able to procure not only our temporary generation but our final solution to our backup within our budget.

The Attorney-General refers to the Auditor-General's Report, Report 9 of 2018: Battery storage procurement, and more specifically to the finding on page 38 of the report, where:

The \$550 million budget provided in the 2017-18 State Budget captures Energy Plan implementation costs up to 2020-21. It does not include the full life-cycle costs of delivering the Energy Plan initiatives.

On further reading of the report, on page 38 it notes:

The contract for 100 MW battery storage services is for 10 years. The remaining six years of contract payments, which are about \$27 million, are not included in the \$550 million budget because they fall outside the estimate years in the 2017-18 State Budget.

The Attorney-General asserts that the answer provided to the house by the former minister on the full cost of the Energy Plan 'with no additional costs' at \$550 million is misleading, as it does not accord with the full life-cycle costs of the project as documented in the Auditor-General's Report. It is noted on page 39 of the Auditor-General's Report:

The Energy Plan included significant initiatives that envisaged longer term arrangements and financial commitments extending beyond the four estimate years in the 2017-18 State Budget. Reporting on Energy Plan implementation by DPC was based on the budget amount of \$550 million included in the State Budget and disclosed in the Energy Plan, not on the full estimated project cost required to deliver all of the Energy Plan initiatives.

It is clear that the former minister's answer at the time, in acknowledging the full cost of the energy plan, is referring to costs within the estimate years in the 2017-18 state budget and not the cost for the entire plan which includes costs outside of the four estimate years.

In the context of how government project costs are reported, referring to project costs based on four estimate years is often the practice and consistent with the way in which the former minister provided information to the house. So there is nothing in the Auditor-General's Report to bring into question the project costs provided by the minister at the time and the costs included in the report for the estimate years 2017-18. On this basis, I cannot see any ample inconsistency between the project costs referred to by the minister and the cost referred in the Auditor-General's Report for the four estimate years.

Therefore, in the Chair's opinion, this is not a matter of privilege for the reason I have stated above. In the Chair's view, the conduct complained of cannot, to use the test, 'genuinely be regarded as tending to impede or obstruct the house in the discharge of its duties'. Therefore, I also decline to give the matter the precedence that would allow the Attorney-General to immediately pursue the matter. However, as always, my opinion does not prevent any member from pursuing the matter by way of substantive motion.

### *Bills*

## **STATUTES AMENDMENT (CHILD EXPLOITATION AND ENCRYPTED MATERIAL) BILL**

### *Second Reading*

Debate resumed.

**Ms STINSON (Badcoe) (17:41):** I rise as the lead speaker for the opposition on the Statutes Amendment (Child Exploitation and Encrypted Material) Bill 2018. I indicate that this side of the house will be supporting this bill at this stage. However, we are continuing to consult—that is right, consultation, very important—and we flag that we may, or may not for that matter, have amendments in the other place.

This bill has two distinct aims, two different sections. Firstly, the bill establishes new offences to deal with child exploitation websites, specifically targeting the creation, administration, promotion of child exploitation websites and efforts to advise users on how to avoid detection. Secondly, the bill seeks to provide a means by way of an order for the police to compel a person to provide access to encrypted or protected electronic material that is reasonably suspected by police to be connected with criminal activity. It is worth noting that this second section of the bill is not restricted to child protection material but indeed applies to all indictable offences, and I will come to that second part of the bill later.

The first draft of changes is drawn largely, or even exclusively, from the work of the previous government's statutes amendment child exploitation bill which reached the Legislative Council on 18 October last year. The bill had received broad support and was expected to pass, but time simply got the better of the parliament.

That bill sought to better protect children from those who seek to benefit from their abuse—and that is what it is, it is abuse—by creating new offences targeting those who create or manage

websites of child exploitation, promote or encourage others to use them or assist viewers of the material to avoid apprehension with advice on encryption or other tactics.

This bill reflects the rapidly changing technological space in which crime is committed and there are few criminal enterprises that have been aided by technology in recent decades more than the disgusting trade of child exploitation material. While once the consumer of such depraved images would have to take an image, find some way to develop it, print it and distribute it in physical form, the rapid advances in image related technologies and the existence of the internet have enabled this process to be much faster, incredibly more prolific, global and, most disturbingly, anonymous or at least very difficult to detect.

It has always been the case that law enforcement agencies are playing a game of catch-up with the most wily of criminals and, after many years spent examining and reporting on our courts, it has been my observation that those who not only view child exploitation material but create and distribute it are not stupid. They are among the most technologically skilled, organised, careful and calculating criminals. That is how they both execute their crimes and evade detection, sometimes for very long periods of time. They are also among the most security conscious, which brings us to this bill.

The first section of the bill introduces three specific offences to criminalise the creation, promotion and use of child exploitation websites with a penalty of ten years' gaol, consistent with most existing aggravated child exploitation material offences in this state. The first offence in section 63AB(1) seeks to target offenders who create, moderate or manage an offending website, including people who might be tech support of such a site or hold the membership list, not just those who build it and manage it daily. It is also worth noting that those found guilty of this new offence will be registerable offenders and subject to the requirements of the state Child Sex Offenders Registration Act 2006 and, consequentially, the national ANCOR and NCOS schemes as applicable.

New section 63AB(5) creates an offence of promoting or encouraging another person to use a child exploitation website. It is wise to give this word 'encourage' a deliberately broad meaning so as to extend to any form of promotion, whether that is online advertising through an app or chat group or, of course, the old-fashioned way of a one-on-one, direct conversation.

The third new offence in this part of the amendment bill is one of essentially coaching or equipping another person with the knowledge or advice to avoid apprehension or reduce the risk of detection. That advice may be given on the platform itself, separate to it, or in verbal advice. That might include providing information about how to use an offending website anonymously, how to encrypt files of child exploitation material or, indeed, to conceal the personal details of offenders using the site.

I accept the advice of those in the Attorney-General's office that this bill, consistent with the previous incarnation, will have little to no impact on law-abiding internet service providers (ISPs), chiefly because the element of knowledge or intent needs to be made out for the aforementioned new charges. I also appreciate the advice of staff to clarify that these new charges will also interact with division 10 of the Evidence Act, which restricts access to sensitive material during the prosecution process. That division ensures that sensitive material—images of child abuse certainly fall into that definition—are tightly held through the prosecution process so as to avoid retraumatisation of victims and prevent benefit to offenders or suspected offenders.

The proposed section 67H(1)(ab) in this amendment bill expressly incorporates child exploitation material into the definition of 'sensitive material' for the purposes of the Evidence Act, ensuring the restrictions outlined in division 10 apply to such material. I inquired about this and I am concerned to ensure that these restrictions are applied. As a former chair of the Victim Support Service and indeed as a reporter working with victims of crime most days, I am conscious of the great pain caused in the investigation and prosecution process in these cases in terms of revictimisation.

Victims of such heinous offences, whether they know it or not, become victims again each time exploitative material of them is viewed. While it is of course necessary to view material to some extent in order to ensure the process of justice is carried out, it is highly distressing to a victim to know that material of them may be viewed again and again by the offender or offenders, and I am



sure anyone can understand that. That is why changes were made to the law in 2008 under the former Speaker, the then attorney-general, Michael Atkinson, to limit the circumstances in which such offending material is viewed by all parties to a prosecution and particularly by offenders.

I am also encouraged by the advice from the Attorney-General's Department and office that the proposed amendment to section 69 of the Evidence Act allows for judges and magistrates to issue an order clearing the court. Indeed, that was part of the previous incarnation of this bill. Currently, I am advised, child exploitation material is not expressly stipulated for the purposes of an order for clearing the court under section 69 of the Evidence Act. This amendment ensures that this will now be an explicit ground for such an order.

All these changes were features of the previous bill and I am glad they remain, as they are important aspects of ensuring our justice system is as respectful of the experiences and ordeals of victims as it possibly can be. In looking at the bill, it is all too easy to see how it can be of great assistance in protecting children.

I covered the shocking and incredibly depraved case of Shannon McCool as a reporter for both Network Ten and Seven News. As we all know, he was the administrator of a network spanning 45,000 offenders across the world. Some excellent police work overseas, then in Queensland and then here in Adelaide led to his detection, arrest and conviction. But that might have been easier with some of the powers contained in this bill or, indeed, the charges against him may have been more extensive.

Once offenders or would-be offenders realise the powers that police have under this legislation, we can only hope they might think twice about committing such offences. Ultimately, we do not want to just catch these child abusers—and that is what they are; even if they never touch a child, they are still a child abuser—but ensure they are unable to commit these offences in the first place. That is what we should continue to strive for.

The second section of the bill seeks to provide the means for police to compel a suspect or third party to provide information or assistance that will allow access to encrypted or other restricted material that is reasonably suspected to relate to criminal activities. This is in relation to a variety of crimes, not just child abuse and exploitation crimes, though of course it will be very useful, if enacted, in relation to those types of offending. In short, it is the ability for police to compel a person to hand over passwords or encryption keys so they can access evidence.

In this second section there are three new offences contained in proposed section 74BX designed to deter or penalise people who impede or seek to impede an investigation by tampering with data. Subsection (1) outlines the alteration, concealment or destruction of data held on a device which is subject to an order or may be expected to be evidence.

Subsection (2) provides that a person is guilty of an offence if they tamper or instruct another person to tamper with data once an order has been issued. Subsection (3) is designed to address the very serious situation where a person purports to provide access to data to law enforcement agencies, but instead deletes or causes the deletion of the required data—for example, by providing a self-destruct password or the wrong password to trigger the automatic deletion of material. A 10-year penalty applies for such conduct.

There is also a range of other amendments with which I am sure members are familiar in relation to expanding the types of data to include fingerprints, retinal scans and facial scans. I was pleased to hear in the briefing from the department and the Attorney's office that this list is in fact not exhaustive and may also include things like voice and other access-activating data as might be devised in coming years. There is also a provision to replace 'belief' with 'suspicion' in new section 74BT(1)(c), setting a lower bar for police to make out when making a decision to hold a person in custody without a warrant.

The bill also increases to four hours the length of time a person can be held by police or an investigator pending an application for an order. It was two hours under the previous prorogued bill, but I understand this extension of time, this amendment, was requested by SAPOL and conforms with the lengths of time permitted under other similar provisions.

A bill such as this raises very real questions about the extent and application of police powers and privacy considerations. For that reason, I am pleased to see that this bill also includes a requirement for the Commissioner of Police and ICAC to comply with annual recording and reporting obligations, and furnish the Attorney-General with an annual report to be tabled in the parliament. There is also a requirement to review the provisions three years after the commencement of the act.

It is worth noting that there is currently an extensive and, indeed, fascinating worldwide debate, including court cases in the US and other jurisdictions, in relation to compelling tech companies to divulge passwords or encryption keys to law enforcement agencies in order to investigate crime. That is a broad and multifaceted debate and one with very real implications for law enforcement, privacy, accountability, technology and the broader society here in Australia. However, these amendments in the bill are not aimed at compelling tech companies but rather individuals, though I am sure that that is a debate that will continue and maybe visit us here at some point.

I thank the staff of the Attorney-General's Department and the Attorney-General's office for their work on this bill. I thank them for their patient advice and answering all my questions in the briefings. I also commend those staff in the department, and previous ministerial officers, who worked on the earlier incarnation of this bill. Their work has certainly been put to good use in the current bill before us.

I would also like to commend the work of the shadow attorney-general, Kyam Maher, and thank the member for Elizabeth for his contribution to the consideration of the bill. I commend the bill to the house with the caveat that we on this side are continuing to consult and may have amendments in the other place.

Debate adjourned on motion of Mr Pederick.

### **SENTENCING (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL**

#### *Final Stages*

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

#### *Parliamentary Procedure*

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education) (17:55):** I move:

That the house do now adjourn.

The house divided on the motion:

Ayes .....	22
Noes .....	15
Majority .....	7

#### **AYES**

Basham, D.K.B.  
Cregan, D.  
Gardner, J.A.W.  
Luethen, P.  
Patterson, S.J.R.  
Power, C.  
Teague, J.B.  
Whetstone, T.J.

Chapman, V.A.  
Duluk, S.  
Harvey, R.M. (teller)  
McBride, N.  
Pederick, A.S.  
Sanderson, R.  
Treloar, P.A.

Cowdrey, M.J.  
Ellis, F.J.  
Knoll, S.K.  
Murray, S.  
Pisoni, D.G.  
Speirs, D.J.  
van Holst Pellekaan, D.C.

#### **NOES**

Bettison, Z.L.  
Brown, M.E. (teller)  
Hildyard, K.A.  
Mullighan, S.C.

Bignell, L.W.K.  
Close, S.E.  
Hughes, E.J.  
Odenwalder, L.K.

Boyer, B.I.  
Gee, J.P.  
Koutsantonis, A.  
Picton, C.J.

NOES

Stinson, J.M.

Weatherill, J.W.

Wortley, D.

PAIRS

Marshall, S.S.  
Cook, N.F.

Malinauskas, P.

Wingard, C.L.

Motion thus carried.

At 18:00 the house adjourned until Thursday 29 November 2018 at 11:00.

*Estimates Replies***GRANT PROGRAMS**

In reply to **Dr CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (26 September 2018).  
(Estimates Committee B)

**The Hon. J.A.W. GARDNER (Morialta—Minister for Education):** The following table provides grant program expenditure for the 2017-18 financial year

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
CHIEF EXECUTIVES OFFICE	RECONCILIATION SA INC	Grant allocation	22,727.27	Note 2
LITTLE BIG BOOK CLUB	THE BIG BOOK CLUB INC	Grant allocation	283,000.00	Note 2
RESOURCE FACILITATION	VIRTUAL WAR MEMORIAL LIMITED	Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
RESOURCE FACILITATION	CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	Grant allocation	247,000.00	Note 2
DISABILITY POLICY AND PROGRAMS	AUSTRALIAN ASSOCIATION OF TEACHERS OF THE DEAF (SA) INC	Grant allocation	20,000.00	Note 2
SPORT, SWIMMING & AQUATICS	SCHOOL SPORT AUSTRALIA INC	Grant allocation	22,650.00	Note 2
LANGUAGES PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	KAURNA WARRA KARRPANTHI	Grant allocation	30,000.00	Note 2
LANGUAGES PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	MIWI-INYERI PELEPI-AMBI	Grant allocation	30,000.00	Note 2
LANGUAGES PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	NARUNGA ABORIGINAL PROGRESS	Grant allocation	30,000.00	Note 2
LANGUAGES PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	DANTE ALIGHIERI SOCY OF SA INC	Grant allocation	5,000.00	Note 2
LANGUAGES PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	THE AUSTRALIAN-INDONESIAN	Grant allocation	5,000.00	Note 2
PRIMARY LEARNING IMPROVEMENT	ENVIRONMENT WATER AND NATURAL	Grant allocation	39,000.00	Note 2
PRIMARY LEARNING IMPROVEMENT	GOETHE INSTITUTE	Grant allocation	5,000.00	Note 2
NUMERACY & LITERACY RESULTS PLUS	PRIMARY MATHEMATICS ASSOC SA	Grant allocation	15,000.00	Note 2
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT PROJECT	LIFE EDUCATION SA INC	Grant allocation	432,350.00	Note 2
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT PROJECT	YOUTH AFFAIRS COUNCIL OF SA	Grant allocation	100,000.00	Note 2
ABORIGINAL EDUCATION UNIT	RECONCILIATION SA INC	Grant allocation	11,818.17	Note 2

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
NATIONAL PARTNERSHIPS—ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	ST PATRICK'S TECHNICAL COLLEGE NORTHERN ADELAIDE	Grant allocation	1,084.00	Note 2
SECONDARY LEARNERS	NAMIG (NORTHERN ADVANCED)	Grant allocation	150,000.00	Note 2
SECONDARY LEARNERS	ROYAL INSTITUTION OF AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	150,000.00	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ABERFOYLE CAMPUS SCHOOLS OSHC	Grant allocation	5,697.65	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ANTONIO SCHOOL	Grant allocation	3,184.44	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	BLACKFRIARS PRIORY SCHOOL	Grant allocation	624.40	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	COROMANDEL VALLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL GOVERNING COUNCIL	Grant allocation	874.16	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	COWANDILLA OSHC	Grant allocation	2,372.72	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	EMMAUS CATHOLIC PRIMARY SCHOOL	Grant allocation	374.64	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	HAPPY HAVEN PTY LTD—VIRGINIA	Grant allocation	1,717.10	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	HAPPY HAVEN PTY LTD—MALLALA	Grant allocation	999.04	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	KEMI KIDS OSHC & VAC CARE	Grant allocation	561.96	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	KEMI KIDS PTY LTD	Grant allocation	3,512.25	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	MADISON PARK SCHOOLS OSHC	Grant allocation	1,045.87	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	MIDWAY ROAD COMMUNITY HOUSE	Grant allocation	3,824.45	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	MINDA INC VACATION CARE	Grant allocation	15,110.48	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	OSCARS INC	Grant allocation	1,592.22	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	PARADISE FOR KIDS	Grant allocation	2,716.14	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	PLAYFORD MCAULEY CAMPUS OSHC	Grant allocation	14,689.01	Note 2

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	PORT LINCOLN ABORIGINAL	Grant allocation	67,495.76	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	SEAFORD DISTRICT CHILD CARE CENTRE OSHC	Grant allocation	2,981.51	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	SHERPA KIDS PORT LINCOLN	Grant allocation	327.81	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ST ANTHONY'S SCHL EDWARDSTOWN	Grant allocation	655.62	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ST BRIGID'S CATHOLIC SCHOOL-OSHC	Grant allocation	999.04	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Grant allocation	2,341.50	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	ST MARY MAGDALENE'S SCHOOL	Grant allocation	468.30	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	TORRENSVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL OSHC	Grant allocation	1,155.14	Note 2
EARLY CHILDHOOD POLICY & STRATEGY	YMCA SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	2,965.90	Note 2
REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDREN	REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDRENS CENTRE	Grant allocation	122,650.00	Note 2
SCHOOL SPORT TEAM	MAITLAND LUTHERAN SCHOOL	Grant allocation	8,235.00	Note 2
SCHOOL SPORT TEAM	OUR LADY OF THE VISITATION SCH	Grant allocation	19,075.00	Note 2
BUILDING AN INDIGENOUS WORKFORCE	RECONCILIATION SA INC	Grant allocation	6,363.64	Note 2
SA COLLABORATIVE CHILDHOOD	HALIFAX ST CHILDRENS CENTRE	Grant allocation	22,727.27	Note 2
SA COLLABORATIVE CHILDHOOD	LADY GOWRIE CHILD CENTRE INC	Grant allocation	98,800.00	Note 2
SA COLLABORATIVE CHILDHOOD	ST PETER'S GIRLS SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Grant allocation	22,727.27	Note 2
NYLAND ROYAL COMMISSION	CHILD & FAMILY WELFARE ASSOC	Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
NYLAND ROYAL COMMISSION	KICKSTART FOR KIDS	Grant allocation	40,000.00	Note 2
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	Grant allocation	30,000.00	Note 2
BETTER PATHWAYS	BARKUMA INC	Grant allocation	723,000.00	Note 2

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MISCELLANEOUS GRANTS & PAYMENTS	EDUCATION ADELAIDE	Grant allocation	75,000.00	Note 2
MISCELLANEOUS GRANTS & PAYMENTS	YOUTH OPPORTUNITIES	Grant allocation	200,000.00	Note 2
MAJOR FEASIBILITY STUDIES	NATURE PLAY SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	100,000.00	Note 2
AUSTRALIAN EARLY DEVELOPMENT INDEX	ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS OF SA	Grant allocation	5,000.00	Note 2
AUSTRALIAN EARLY DEVELOPMENT INDEX	CATHOLIC EDUCATION OFFICE	Grant allocation	5,000.00	Note 2
COUNCIL OF EDUCATION ASSOCIATIONS OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA GRANT	CEASA INC	Grant allocation	192,339.00	Note 2
DEPARTMENTAL TRAINEESHIPS	CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	Grant allocation	113,300.00	Note 2
CAPITAL WORKS ASSISTANCE SCHEME PROJECTS	COPPER COAST COUNCIL	Grant allocation	2,000,000.00	Note 2
ELECTRICAL TESTING	CALVARY KINDERGARTEN	Grant allocation	80.00	Note 2
ELECTRICAL TESTING	CONCORDIA KINDERGARTEN	Grant allocation	80.00	Note 2
ELECTRICAL TESTING	SALISBURY LUTHERAN KINDERGARTEN	Grant allocation	80.00	Note 2
ELECTRICAL TESTING	ZION PRESCHOOL CENTRE	Grant allocation	80.00	Note 2
BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	TELETHON INSTITUTE FOR CHILD HEALTH	Grant allocation	193,731.79	Note 2
BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	Grant allocation	7,000.00	Note 2
BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	66,500.00	Note 2
SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS	MUSICA VIVA AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	35,454.54	Note 2
SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS	CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	Grant allocation	13,000.00	Note 2
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	PRESCHOOL DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION OF SA	Grant allocation	60,389.00	Note 2
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	Grant allocation	207,828.00	Note 2

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	SA SPECIAL SCHOOLS PRINCIPALS	Grant allocation	20,627.00	Note 2
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	SASPA—SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	Grant allocation	207,828.00	Note 2
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	SMALL SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA	Grant allocation	22,188.00	Note 2
PRINCIPALS ASSOCIATIONS	SOUTH AUSTRALIAN AREA SCHOOL	Grant allocation	25,627.00	Note 2
KEEPING THEM SAFE	POWER COMMUNITY LTD	Grant allocation	20,000.00	Note 2
ED STATEWIDE SERVICES & CHILD DEVELOPMENT	ABORIGINAL LEGAL RIGHTS	Grant allocation	25,000.00	Note 2
CHILDREN'S CENTRES FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT AND PARENTING	THE BIG BOOK CLUB INC	Grant allocation	359,000.00	Note 2
CHILDREN'S CENTRES FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT AND PARENTING	TOGETHER SA	Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
CHILDREN'S CENTRES FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT AND PARENTING	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Grant allocation	45,000.00	Note 2
SA ABORIGINAL SPORTS	ABORIGINAL BASKETBALL ACADEMY	Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
SA ABORIGINAL SPORTS	PORT ADELAIDE FOOTBALL CLUB	Grant allocation	100,000.00	Note 2
SA ABORIGINAL SPORTS	POWER COMMUNITY LTD	Grant allocation	30,000.00	Note 2
SA ABORIGINAL SPORTS	SA NETBALL ASSOC INC	Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	IMMANUEL PRIMARY SCHOOL	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	PINNACLE COLLEGE	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	ST JAMES' SCHOOL	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	ST MICHAEL'S COLLEGE	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHL NARACRT	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2
PREMIER'S BE ACTIVE CHALLENGE	TRINITY COLLEGE—BLAKEVIEW	Grant allocation	1,000.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	SOCIETY OF ASBESTOS DISEASES	Grant allocation	17,500.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	ASC TRAINING & DEVELOPMENT PTY LTD	Grant allocation	115,680.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	CAREER EDUCATION ASSOCIATION	Grant allocation	25,000.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY TRAINING	Grant allocation	70,000.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	FOUNDATION EDUCATION PTY LTD	Grant allocation	999.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	LIFE BUS. INTERNATIONAL PTY LTD	Grant allocation	11,255.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	OPEN COLLEGES PTY LTD	Grant allocation	2,692.50	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	RUSSELL WILLIAM ATWELL	Grant allocation	436.36	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	THE ACADEMY OF INTERACTIVE	Grant allocation	1,454.55	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	TIME EDUCATION & TRAINING PTY LTD	Grant allocation	50,545.00	Note 2
VET PATHWAYS	VELG TRAINING PTY LTD	Grant allocation	1,363.64	Note 2
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GREY WARD CHILDRENS CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	21,633.28	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LUTHERAN SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	542,889.20	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ACCADEMIA DI MONTESSORI—NEWTON	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	22,590.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ACCADEMIA DI MONTESSORI—WEST CROYDON	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	4,375.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ADELAIDE CAMPUS CHILDRENS CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,980.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ADELAIDE CAMPUS CHILDRENS CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,380.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ADELAIDE MONTESSORI	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	22,432.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ANGLICARE SA-WANSLEA CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,632.50	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ANNESLEY EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	35,800.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	AUSTRALIAN ISLAMIC COLLEGE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	114,209.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BABTHORPE MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,520.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	59,150.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BLACKWOOD COMMUNITY CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	29,800.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BLUE SKIES CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,855.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BROMPTON CHILDREN'S CENTRE INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,631.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	BROOKLYN PARK PRIVATE KINDERGARTEN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,342.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CAMPBELLTOWN COMMUNITY CHILDRENS CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,287.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CEDARS MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,923.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CHANCERY LANE MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	57,360.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CHILD CARE SERVICES AUSTRALIA P/L	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	9,395.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CHILDRENS EDUCATIONAL CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	10,545.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CHRISTIAN BROTHERS COLLEGE CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,133.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CHURCHILL ROAD EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,105.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CITY WEST CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	10,777.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COLONEL LIGHT GARDENS WORLD OF LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,217.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COMMUNITY KIDS ASHFORD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,302.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COMMUNITY KIDS BLOSSOMVALE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,852.50	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COMMUNITY KIDS GREENACRES EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,755.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COMMUNITY KIDS MCLAREN VALE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,723.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	COMMUNITY KIDS MONTEBELLO	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,972.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CONCORDIA COLLEGE EARLY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	44,479.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CONFIDENT KIDS CHILD CARE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,272.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CREATIVE GARDEN EARLY LEARNING CENTRE NORTH LAKE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	19,275.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	CRITTENDEN ROAD EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	19,507.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	EARLY STEPS GROUP PTY LTD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	14,122.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ENCOUNTER LUTHERAN SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	66,284.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	EXPORT PARK CHILD CARE CENTRE & KINDERGARTEN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	29,382.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	FELIXSTOW WORLD OF LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	4,700.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GAWLER COMMUNITY CHILDCARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	9,966.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GLANDORE KINDERGARTEN & LONG DAY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	11,417.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-GAWLER SOUTH	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,189.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-ABERFOYLE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	10,610.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-ANGLE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	791.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-BEDFORD PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,455.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-BLACKWOOD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	5,932.50	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-BLAKEVIEW	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	26,679.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-CAMPBELLTOWN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	14,432.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-CLAPHAM	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,888.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-EVANSTON PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	41,463.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-GLENELG	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,325.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-HACKHAM	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,755.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-HOPE VALLEY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	27,127.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-INGLE FARM	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,563.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-INGLE FARM KYLIE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,762.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-LINDEN PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,937.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-MAGILL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,557.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-MAWSON LAKES	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	11,525.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-MAWSON LAKES	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,975.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-MELROSE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,898.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-NURIOOTPA	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,746.25	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PARAFIELD GARDEN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	28,683.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PARALOWIE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	31,605.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PAYNEHAM	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	9,574.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PLYMPTON	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,729.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-POORAKA	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,187.50	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PROSPECT	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	5,305.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SALISBURY NORTH	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,221.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SEAFORD RISE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,600.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SEMAPHORE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,509.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SEMAPHORE SOUTH	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	20,714.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SHEIDOW PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,126.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SMITHFIELD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	25,347.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-WHYALLA	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,062.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GOODSTART PARALOWIE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	15,412.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GREEN LEAVES EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,880.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	GREENWITH CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	34,415.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	HALIFAX ST CHILDRENS CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,043.24	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	HALIFAX ST CHILDREN'S CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,625.07	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	HILLBANK COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,325.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	IGNATIUS EARLY YEARS	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	43,458.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	IMMANUEL PRIMARY SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	86,803.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	JESCOTT MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	5,513.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	JUDY'S CHILDCARE & EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,199.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	KIDDYWINKS CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	9,827.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	KIN KIN EARLY EDUCATION	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,100.00	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	KINDY PATCH REYNELLA	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	894.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	KINDY PATCH-SALISBURY NORTH	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,231.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	KINGSTON ROAD EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,590.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LADY GOWRIE CHILDREN'S CENTRE—UNDERDALE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,428.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LEARNING SANCTUARY-LITTLEHAMPTON	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	34,307.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LEARNING SANCTUARY THEBARTON	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	11,948.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LITTLE HEARTS CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,970.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LOCKLEYS CHILD CARE & EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	12,047.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	LOXTON LUTHERAN SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,393.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	MARGARET IVES COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	25,850.40	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	MOORINGE WORLD OF LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	4,762.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	MORPHETT VALE EARLY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,245.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	MT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	38,205.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	MURRAYLANDS CHILDREN'S CENTRE MURRAY BRIDGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	54,298.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	NAVIGATOR COLLEGE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	74,490.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	NAZARETH EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	68,150.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	NORTHGATE CHILDREN'S SERVICES	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	120,351.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	OASIS COMMUNITY CHILDRENS CNTR	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	23,646.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	O'HALLORAN HILL CHILD CARE PTY LTD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,788.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	OPEN ARMS COMMUNITY CHILD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,727.00	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PARADISE EARLY LRNG CHILD CARE CENTRE & KINDERGARTEN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	32,017.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PEMBROKE SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	34,960.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PLAYFORD CHILDREN'S CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,225.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	POORAKA COMMUNITY CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,787.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	82,950.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO BLACKWOOD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,480.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO COLLINSWOOD PTY LTD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,905.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO EDUCATION LOCKLEYS	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	20,262.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO EDUCATION-MYRTLE BANK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	11,922.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO MONTESSORI	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,090.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRECIOUS CARGO WOODVILLE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	20,218.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	54,610.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	63,115.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	QUINLIVEN ROAD EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,697.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	RAINBOW CHILD CARE CENTRE WESTFIELD MARION	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,313.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	REDEEMER LUTHERAN SCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	36,250.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	REDEEMER LUTHERAN SCHOOL INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	25,704.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ROSEMONT HOUSE MONTESSORI	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	14,899.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SACCS SPECIFIC EDUCATION GRANT	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	357,473.92	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SCOTCH COLLEGE PRESCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	73,804.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SEAFORD DISTRICT CHILD CARE CENTRE INC	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	32,287.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SEYMOUR COLLEGE PRESCHOOL CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	70,674.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SOUTHERN BAROSSA CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	17,975.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	24,238.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST ANDREW'S EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	64,596.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST GEORGE COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	26,842.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	23,730.32	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST MARGARET'S KINDERGARTEN—WOODVILLE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	74,048.13	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST PETERS CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,569.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST PETERS COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	43,501.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST PETER'S GIRLS SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	58,727.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST PETERS LUTHERAN BLACKWD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,527.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ST PETERS WOODLANDS GRAMM EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	90,916.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STEPPING STONE-ABERFOYLE PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,822.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STEPPING STONE-ANGLE VALE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,765.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STEPPING STONE-HAPPY VALLEY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	1,238.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STEPPING STONE-KIDMAN PARK	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	13,304.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STIRLING COMMUNITY EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,562.50	Note 1



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	STURT CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	26,077.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SUNRISE CHRIST SCHOOL WHYALLA	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	48,723.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL MORPHET VALE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	40,093.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	SUNRISE PARADISE EARLY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	27,447.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TANUNDA LUTHERAN EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	32,080.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TENISON PLACE EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	18,798.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TENISON WOODS EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	97,136.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	THE GUMS CHILDCARE CENTRE PTY LTD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	25,817.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	THE HILLS CHRIST COMMUNITY	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	23,215.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	18,412.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	THE LEARNING SANCTUARY NORWOOD	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	8,755.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	THE RANGES EARLY LEARNING & CHILDREN'S CENTRE STIRLING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	3,897.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TRUSTEE FOR FRENCH FAMILY TRUST	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	870.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TYNDALE CHRIS SCHOOL-STRATHALBYN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	22,035.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-STRATH	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	60,887.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-MURRAY BRIDGE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,115.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	VICTOR HARBOR CHILD CARE CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	16,830.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	VICTOR HARBOR WORLD OF LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	10,605.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WAITE CAMPUS CHILDRENS CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,947.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WALFORD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	24,013.00	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WANDANA AVENUE EARLY LEARNING	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,510.60	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WARRADALE COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE—PARK HOLME	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	5,517.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WARRADALE COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE—WARRADALE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	6,262.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WELLY ROAD EARLY LEARNING CNTR	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	7,935.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WESTMINSTER EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	116,487.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WILDERNESS SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	38,397.00	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	39,220.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	WOODCROFT LITTLE LEARNERS	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	5,809.50	Note 1
PRESCHOOL UNIVERSAL ACCESS	ZEBRA EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	Universal Access grants to non-government sites	2,884.00	Note 1
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	AUTISM ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,611,049.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	CAN DO 4KIDS TOWNSEND HOUSE	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	246,523.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	DOWN SYNDROME SA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	123,453.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	GUIDE DOGS ASSOC OF SA &NT INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	211,561.50	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	INCLUSIVE DIRECTIONS	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	361,289.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	NOVITA CHILDREN'S SERVICES	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	801,001.50	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
SPECIAL EDUCATION-COMMONWEALTH	PORT AUGUSTA CITY COUNCIL	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	70,000.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	ADEL SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,250.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	ADELAIDE RUSSIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	4,200.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	AL SALAM ARABIC SCHOOL INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,250.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	ASSOC OF UKRAINIANS IN SA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,200.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	BURUNDI INTAMBA GASIMBO ASSOC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	4,500.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	CEYLON TAMIL ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	4,250.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	CORA BARCLAY CENTRE	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	215,000.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	30,000.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY OF THE NATIVITY OF CHRIST PT ADEL & ENVIRONS THE NATIVITY OF CHRIST	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	4,450.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	1,400.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	HUNGARIAN COMMUNITY SCHOOL ADELAIDE	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	4,750.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	10,050.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	15,750.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	SCHOOL OF ST NICHOLAS PARISH	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,550.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	SLAVIC BAPTIST CHURCH SA	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	1,950.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	7,300.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	10,100.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	2,800.00	Yes
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	THE BANTU ETHNIC COMMUNITY OF SA	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	7,850.00	Yes



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
SPECIAL EDUCATION NEPS-COMMONWEALTH	THE VIETNAMESE CATHOLIC	Grants are provided to non-government organisations to assist in improving the educational opportunities, learning outcomes and personal development of children with disability.	26,550.00	Yes
COMMONWEALTH NON-GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	SA INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS BLOCK	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	5,555,255.72	Note 1
COMMONWEALTH NON-GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS OF SA	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,039,500.00	Note 1
COMMONWEALTH NON-GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	CATHOLIC EDUCATION CENTRE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	6,290,722.61	Note 1
COMMONWEALTH NON-GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	851,400.00	Note 1
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ANNESLEY COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	85,079.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	AUSTRALIAN ISLAMIC COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	574,704.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	AUTISM SPECTRUM AUSTRALIA	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,560,269.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,632,369.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	BLAKES CROSSING CHRISTIAN	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	773,096.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	95,342,811.00	Yes—funding deed

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	CEDAR COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,733,854.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	DARA VILLAGE SCHOOL INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	82,541.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	DOMINO SERVITE COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	126,075.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	EMMAUS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,466,621.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	328,984.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	GARDEN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	766,084.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	724,885.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	729,394.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	HOPE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,652,003.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,332,473.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	IQRA COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	143,459.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,721,176.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	LUTHERAN SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	23,930,546.00	Yes—funding deed

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	866,114.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	MERIDIAN SCHOOL INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	176,563.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	734,937.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	510,795.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	311,734.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,577,591.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PEMBROKE SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,046,476.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PILGRIM SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	307,443.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PINNACLE COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,319,608.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PLAYFORD COLLEGE LTD	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	273,110.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,365,158.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,777,371.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,173,119.00	Yes—funding deed

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	RIVERGUM CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	195,610.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	9,065,750.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SCOTCH COLLEGE ADELAIDE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,568,887.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SEAVIEW CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	148,796.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST SCHOOLS	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,996,977.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SEYMOUR COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,010,670.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	367,587.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN COMM	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,416,956.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SPECIALISED ASSISTANCE SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	740,840.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST ALOYSIUS COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	3,071,160.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	439,330.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,520,976.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	716,073.00	Yes—funding deed

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,012,429.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST MARY'S COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,617,882.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST PAULS COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,910,510.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST PETER'S COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,002,257.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	919,314.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	884,736.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	140,928.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SUNEDEN SPECIALIST SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,827,484.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHL WHYALLA	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	316,875.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,087,751.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,555,136.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	732,729.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	233,494.00	Yes—funding deed

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,388,962.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	TYNDALE CHRIS SCHOOL-STRATHALBYN	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	905,160.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-SALISBURY EAST	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	3,338,102.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-MURRAY BRIDGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	688,633.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	657,281.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	806,266.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,676,864.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	WILDERNESS SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	1,235,036.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	761,282.00	Yes—funding deed
NON GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS PER CAPITA	WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation for non-government schools	2,026,925.00	Yes—funding deed
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADEL BANGLADESHI CULTURAL CLUB	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,632.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADEL JAPANESE COMMUNITY SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	26,166.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADELAIDE PROGRESSIVE JEWISH CONGREGATION	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,346.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADELAIDE RUSSIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	41,830.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AFGHAN UNITED ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	37,202.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D'ADELAIDE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	17,444.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ASSOC OF UKRAINIANS IN SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	11,570.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BANGLADESH PUJA & CULTURAL SOCIETY SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,060.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BHUTANESE AUSTRALIAN ASSOCIATION	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	23,674.00	Yes



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CEYLON TAMIL ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	8,722.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CHINESE SCHOOL OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	29,192.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CHINESE WELFARE SERVICES OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	23,496.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CROATIAN SPORTS CENTRE SA CORP	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,345.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	DINKA BOR ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,061.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTH COMMUNITY OF BERRI SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,346.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE AUS IMMANUEL GREEK SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,633.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY OF THE NATIVITY OF CHRIST PT ADEL & ENVIRONS THE NATIVITY OF CHRIST	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	31,684.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH—COMMUNITY SAINT ANTHONY NORTHERN SUBURBS	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	14,062.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX COM&PARISH/ST.GEORGE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	16,020.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GURU NANAK SOCIETY OF AUST	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,919.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	HUNGARIAN COMMUNITY SCHOOL ADELAIDE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,058.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	IMAM ALI MOSQUE AND ISLAMIC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	38,270.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ISLAMIC INFORMATION CENTRE OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	43,076.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ISLAMIC SOCIETY OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	30,616.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	LAC-VIET VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	8,544.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	LATVIAN SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,764.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	MYANMAR ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,773.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	POLISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,204.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	POLISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,346.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	RUSSIAN MOLOKAN SCHOOL INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	12,460.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SA BANGLADESHI COMMUNITY	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	13,706.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	28,658.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SERBIAN ORTHODOX CHURCH & SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	12,638.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SLAVIC BAPTIST CHURCH SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,775.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	8,722.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,586.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	TELUGU ASSOCIATION OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	8,010.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE BANTU ETHNIC COMMUNITY OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	16,020.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE KOREAN PURE PRESBYTERIAN	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	14,596.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	XINGGUANG CHINESE SCHOOL INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	10,680.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADEL SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	33,820.00	Yes



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADELAIDE TAMIL ASSOC INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	13,528.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AUSTRALIAN ISLAMIC SOCIAL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	21,182.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AUSTRALIAN UNITARIAN DRUZE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	9,790.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BOSNIAKS' ASSOC OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,488.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BRAZILIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,916.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CHINESE ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	27,412.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE OF AUST - PARISH OF ST RAPHAEL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,062.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY & PARISH OF PROPHET ELIAS NORWOOD & EASTERN	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	24,742.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	63,012.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	30,616.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	NEW ERA PERSIAN LANGUAGE & CULTURAL SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	7,832.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	PORTUGUESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,201.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ROMANIAN COMMUNITY OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,202.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SCHOOL OF ST NICHOLAS PARISH	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,776.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SIKH SOCIETY OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	7,120.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ST DIMITRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	8,900.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	18,690.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE CROATIAN CLUB ADELAIDE INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	7,120.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE ERITREAN ISLAMIC SOCY IN	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,918.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE VIETNAMESE CATHOLIC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	150,133.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	TURKISH ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,202.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY AUSTRALIA IN	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	67,640.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	VISHVA HINDU PARISHAD OF AUSTRALIA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,586.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADELAIDE NEPALESE SOCIETY AUSTRALIA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,942.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AFGHAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,489.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AFGHAN WOMEN'S FED OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,633.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	AL SALAM ARABIC SCHOOL INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	30,438.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BANGLA SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,775.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BULGARIAN EDUCATION & FRIENDS SOCIETY INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,488.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	25,098.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ERITREAN MUSLIM COMMUNITY	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,230.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	300,200.00	Yes



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHOOL SA (SAL)	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,345.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GABRIELA MISTRAL SPANISH SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	4,775.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	7,476.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOCIATION	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	95,507.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	RENMARK GREEK ORTHODOX AFTERNOON SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,919.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SOUTHERN AREA POLISH SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,487.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SPANISH CLUB OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	2,773.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SUDANESE ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	9,256.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	TATAR—BASHKURT ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,059.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	THE UNITED VIETNAMESE BUDDHIST	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	14,418.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	TURKISTAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	13,706.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	ADELAIDE LITHUANIAN SOCIETY INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,202.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	BURUNDI INTAMBA GASIMBO ASSOC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	20,826.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	CHINESE CULTURE & EDUCATION CENTRE SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,408.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	FREE SERBIAN ORTHODOX CHURCH SCHOOL CONGREGATION	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	1,573.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	IGBO CULTURAL SCHOOL OF SA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	5,702.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SARBAT KHALSA PUNJABI SCHOOL	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	16,198.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SCHOOL OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	3,774.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SIKH SERVICES AUSTRALIA	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	6,408.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS-STATE	SUKULU NA BARI ASSOC INC	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	7,120.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ADELAIDE CROWS CHILDREN'S	Grants are provided in support ethnic and community language schools to deliver language and cultural programs to South Australian school-aged students outside school hours.	150,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE TRUST	Grant funds in support of the Growing With Gratitude program which focuses on the 5 habits of happiness to improve children's emotional and physical wellbeing.	52,275.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ADVERTISER NEWSPAPERS	Grant in support of the Adelaide Festival Centre's program centrED school education program.	5,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS OF SA	This grant is in support of the Newspapers in Education Road Safety Program, which provides education to young students on making safe decisions regarding road safety.	175,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	AUSTN CHILDREN'S TV FOUNDATION	This grant is in support of parent groups to increase participation in their child's school community.	25,250.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	BEACON FOUNDATION	This grant in support of program costs for the Australian Children's Television Foundation.	200,000.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MINISTER'S GRANTS	CARLY RYAN FOUNDATION INC	This grant is in support of the expansion of the Beacon Foundation's pilot program to support students in Adelaide's northern and western suburbs in 2018.	220,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	CHILDRENS WEEK ASSOC OF SA INC	Grant funds to deliver Project Connect to SA Schools. These sessions provide both online safety and healthy relationships education to school students in Year's 8 to 12 to empower and equip them with the right tools and support in their online journey.	26,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	CITY OF PLAYFORD	Grant is in support of the continuation of the Children's week event at a state level with the aim to widen participation by bringing in new schools and organisation events.	120,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ENCOUNTER YOUTH INC	This grant supports the City of Playford for the Stretton Centre to administer the Gawler and Playford Youth Entrepreneur Program.	335,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Grants in support of program costs that cover effective, preventative, alcohol and other drug education for South Australian Secondary Students.	65,000.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MINISTER'S GRANTS	FEDERATION OF CATHOLIC SCHOOLS	This grant is in support of the development of a new SACE teacher's course for South Australian Ethnic and Community language schools.	50,200.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ICE FACTOR FOUNDATION INC	Grant is in support of parent groups to increase participation in their child's catholic school community.	60,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ISOLATED CHILD PARENTS ASSOCIATION	Grant are in support of the Ice Factor Program which target youth who are at risk, experiencing disadvantage and who would otherwise not have access to team sport.	10,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	L.A.P. ASSOCIATION	This grant is in support of IPCA programs that provide advice, support and information to its members.	15,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	MIGRANT RESOURCE CENTRE SA INC	Grant in support of program costs.	8,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	POWER COMMUNITY LTD	Grant in support of the Australian Migrant Resource Centre to support Refugee Week launch and youth poster competition.	75,000.00	Yes



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MINISTER'S GRANTS	REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDRENS CENTRE	Grant funds supporting the Power to End Violence Against Women Program. The program was established by the Power Community Ltd to provide programs across rural and metro South Australia to encourage wellbeing and health lifestyles and positive employment outcomes.	28,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	ROYAL AGRICULTURAL & HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY OF SA	Grant funds in support of the upgrade in technology used in the RICE preschool program and for the upgrading of the resources for children in accessing the program.	10,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SA ASSOC OF SCHL PARENT'S CLUB	This grant is to support the 2017 edition of the Science Alive Careers Day.	70,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SACE BOARD OF SA	This grant is in support of the South Australian Association of School Parent Committees to contribute towards the ongoing costs associated with the associations program costs for the provision of support services for parents.	30,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SAMMY D FOUNDATION	Grant funds in support of the SACE Internationalisation Business Plan Funding.	235,000.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SASPA—SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	Grant funds are in support of the Bullying and Violence Prevention School Program.	50,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SCIWORLD INC	Grant in support of producing six video vignettes, each one focussing on a characteristic of public education.	50,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SECONDBITE	Grant in support of the SciWorld outreach services to remote and disadvantaged schools that are least likely to be able to adequately fund science incursion experiences for their students.	1,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	SPELD SA INC	This grant supports the Secondbite Traineeship by enabling the purchase of a white board and projector to facilitate training of students with additional needs.	120,110.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	STAR OF THE SEA SCHOOL	This grant is in support of the SPELD program, which provides an information service and engages and manages professionals to help people with specific learning difficulties.	20,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	TEA TREE GULLY TOY LIBRARY	Grant in support of the delivery of learning programs for the Marine Discovery Centre.	25,000.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MINISTER'S GRANTS	THE SMITH FAMILY	Grant funds enable the Tea Tree Gully Toy Library continued sustainability of its loan services, ongoing provision of an environment particularly for families from vulnerable and isolated communities and improvements to the quality of services it provides.	120,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	UNITED WAY SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Grant funds in support of 'Lets Read and Lets Count' program	60,000.00	Yes
MINISTER'S GRANTS	VIRTUAL WAR MEMORIAL LIMITED	This grant is to support the United We Read program to support the enrolment of at least 450 children from the Elizabeth area.	145,000.00	Yes
COMMUNITY VISITOR SCHEME	FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SA	Grant in support of the virtual war memorial portal, a resource for the students of South Australia.	40,000.00	

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Multicultural Grants University of South Australia This grant is in support of the 'Their stories our stories' project which provides the opportunity for two secondary schools to be involved in a unique song-writing and communal singing project which invites students to actively participate in and reflect upon linguistic and cultural diversity through writing songs and singing together.	30,920.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	AUSTRALIA DAY COUNCIL OF SA	This grant is to provide funding for the Award for Excellence in languages and cultures for 2018.	5,000.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	CORINNA DI NIRO	This grant is in support of the Commedia Project. Commedia utilises masks as a tool for exploring identity and students will learn to develop characters and improvise scenes using masks and other props.	10,900.00	Yes

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	DANIELA KALEVA	This grant is to provide funding to 'The Youth Music Identity Profiles project' which aims to increase cultural awareness and support positive identity building for secondary students from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.	15,000.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	ELYAS ALAVI	This grant is in support of the My Journey project, which is designed for primary school students to participate and reflect upon linguistic and cultural diversity through visual art and poetry workshops.	7,250.00	Yes
MULTICULTURAL GRANTS	FELICITY MEDIA ARTS PTY LTD	This grant is to provide funding to Felicity Arts to deliver the school based GoFilm, exploring cultural and linguistic diversity through film making.	30,930.00	Yes
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	DAME ROMA MITCHELL TRUST FUND FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE	Annual Grant allocation	250,000.00	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	MARGARET IVES COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE INC	Annual Grant allocation	216,523.21	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDRENS CENTRE	Annual Grant allocation	80,600.00	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	GREY WARD CHILDRENS CENTRE	Annual Grant allocation	181,779.81	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	HALIFAX ST CHILDREN'S CENTRE	Annual Grant allocation	212,322.78	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	LADY GOWRIE CHILDREN'S CENTRE—UNDERDALE	Annual Grant allocation	76,744.80	Note 2

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	LUTHERAN SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Annual Grant allocation	1,795,974.18	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	PLAYGROUP SA INCORPORATED	Annual Grant allocation	118,000.00	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	ROXBY DOWNS CHILD CARE CENTRE	Annual Grant allocation	50,000.00	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	SACCS SPECIFIC EDUCATION GRANT	Annual Grant allocation	1,488,190.14	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation	122,346.33	Note 2
NON-DEPARTMENTAL PRESCHOOL GRANTS	ST MARGARET'S KINDERGARTEN—WOODVILLE	Annual Grant allocation	282,945.29	Note 2
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ANNESLEY COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation	448,923.03	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	AUSTRALIAN ISLAMIC COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation	3,958,639.85	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	AUTISM SPECTRUM AUSTRALIA	Annual Grant allocation	778,392.45	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual Grant allocation	5,723,133.99	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	Annual Grant allocation	##### #	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	CEDAR COLLEGE	Annual Grant allocation	7,376,684.92	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY MINISTRIES	Annual Grant allocation	3,669,396.78	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	DARA VILLAGE SCHOOL INC	Annual Grant allocation	359,175.30	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	DOMINO SERVITE COLLEGE INC	Annual Grant allocation	556,673.16	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	EDMUND RICE EDUCATION AUST	Annual allocation Grant	6,537,148.87	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	EMMAUS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	Annual allocation Grant	6,317,092.86	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	Annual allocation Grant	1,013,491.61	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	GARDEN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	3,274,549.85	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	2,914,050.75	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	Annual allocation Grant	3,397,989.34	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	HOPE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	Annual allocation Grant	6,850,441.68	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	4,992,770.81	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	IQRA COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	1,334,084.41	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	8,587,591.01	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	LUTHERAN SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	Annual allocation Grant	##### #	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	3,498,447.49	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MERCY EDUCATION LIMITED	Annual allocation Grant	7,565,787.90	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MERIDIAN SCHOOL INC	Annual allocation Grant	1,258,905.53	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	3,557,065.82	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	2,572,839.32	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	Annual allocation Grant	986,028.99	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	7,688,932.56	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PEMBROKE SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	7,303,805.63	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PILGRIM SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	1,529,431.41	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PINNACLE COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	5,221,722.55	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PLAYFORD COLLEGE LTD	Annual allocation Grant	1,972,181.75	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	6,790,680.62	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	5,765,818.01	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	4,749,001.99	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	RIVERGUM CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	734,037.47	Note 1



Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM INC	Annual allocation Grant	43,852,850.86	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SCOTCH ADELAIDE COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	5,078,888.47	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SEAVIEW CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	658,077.48	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST SCHOOLS	Annual allocation Grant	12,206,258.97	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SEYMOUR COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	3,387,261.42	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	1,768,463.77	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN COMM	Annual allocation Grant	5,765,701.51	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SPECIALISED ASSISTANCE SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	3,683,363.91	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST ALOYSIUS COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	2,424,247.12	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	1,710,605.48	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	5,692,071.55	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	Annual allocation Grant	2,391,130.28	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	4,736,487.21	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under TI5
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST MARY'S COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	6,947,299.37	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST PETER'S COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	6,703,370.35	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	Annual allocation Grant	3,605,271.34	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	Annual allocation Grant	4,260,240.13	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	452,536.64	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SUNEDEN SPECIALIST SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	1,808,051.31	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHL WHYALLA	Annual allocation Grant	1,661,571.60	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	7,544,763.18	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	9,359,711.78	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	Annual allocation Grant	3,400,183.39	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	1,190,891.88	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	5,429,708.97	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	TYNDALE CHRIS SCHOOL-STRATHALBYN	Annual allocation Grant	3,434,054.16	Note 1

Grant program/fund name	Beneficiary	Purpose	Value \$	Subject to grant agreement under T15
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-SALISBURY EAST	Annual allocation Grant	15,278,452.79	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-MURRAY BRIDGE	Annual allocation Grant	2,515,964.93	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	Annual allocation Grant	2,500,952.71	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	Annual allocation Grant	2,674,348.26	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	7,825,781.77	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	WILDERNESS SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	4,414,971.00	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	Annual allocation Grant	3,029,770.72	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	Annual allocation Grant	10,672,966.24	Note 1
AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION PER CAPITA COMMONWEALTH	YOUTHINC	Annual allocation Grant	173,983.70	Note 1
Note 1—Commonwealth funding provided to non-government schools or preschools through the Department				
Note 2—The Department for Education does not maintain a centralised grant management system where grant agreements are readily available to be sourced. Grant / Funding agreements or approvals are maintained by relevant Divisions.				