

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, 9 May 2017

The **SPEAKER (Hon. M.J. Atkinson)** took the chair at 11:01 and read prayers.

The SPEAKER: Honourable members, I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which this parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

Parliament House Matters

CHAMBER CAMERA SYSTEM

The SPEAKER (11:03): I advise members that six cameras used for broadcasting proceedings from the house have now been installed. Although the cameras are now in place, the broadcasting system is yet to be fully installed. As such, there will be no broadcasting of proceedings from those cameras until the system is commissioned for use. I am advised that the broadcasting system will be ready to operate from 1 July.

Bills

SUPPLY BILL 2017

Second Reading

Adjourned debate on second reading.

(Continued from 13 April 2017.)

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (11:04): In regard to the installation of the cameras, I wholeheartedly support that. We in this parliament should be absolutely transparent, and as soon as possible, so I welcome the fact that that will be the case on 1 July.

In regard to the Supply Bill, we are being asked to allow the government to spend \$5.907 billion, and I support that principle. It is important that, between the end of the financial year and the actual authorisation of parliament, the government has its budget passed. That the government should be allowed to spend up to the estimated amount of money is a very important principle.

There is a lot of trust involved in that request, and that trust is very much about how the government goes about spending money. That trust is about not only how the government goes about spending this particular chunk of money on this particular occasion but how the government goes about spending money on behalf of taxpayers, keeping in mind the extremely important principle that the money the government spends does not belong to the government, it does not belong to parliament, nor does it belong to members of parliament, or the Premier or the Treasurer—it belongs to all South Australians.

It is provided by South Australian taxpayers on behalf of all South Australians. The government spends that money on behalf of South Australians. That is where the trust issue comes in. The way to best judge whether that trust is well placed is to look at how the money has been spent during the time of this government and to look at the results of the spending of that taxpayer money. Let me go through some accurate, current economic statistics about South Australia. South Australia's gross state product grew by 1.9 per cent in the 2015-16 year, but, unfortunately, that is compared with the national average of 2.8 per cent. It is very concerning.

Exports over 12 months up to March 2017 were \$10.9 billion, but exports fell 6.2 per cent on an annualised basis, compared with the previous 12 months, down to that \$10.9 billion. The government's target is \$18 billion. Its own self-nominated target is \$18 billion by 2017. To the year ending September 2016, net interstate migration has been negative 6,484 people. Keep in mind that these statistics are as recently available as possible from the ABS and other data. We are losing

people from South Australia to other states in Australia, where presumably they believe they have more opportunities.

On the jobs front, only 16,900 jobs have been created since the Labor government promised, in February 2010, that it would create an additional 100,000 jobs by 2014. Here we are in 2017, and 17 per cent of that promise has been fulfilled three years after the date when 100 per cent of it was expected to be fulfilled. There is a 6.7 per cent trend in South Australia in the annual unemployment rate up to March 2016—the highest in the nation. Up to March 2017, the seasonally adjusted rate is 7 per cent—again, the highest in the nation.

With regard to water prices, South Australian average household water bills have grown 233 per cent from 2001-02, when Labor came into government, up to the 2016 year. With regard to electricity prices (and I will have more to say on this a bit later on), in the last 12 months to the day since the government electricity and energy policy forced the closure of the Port Augusta power station, spot prices for electricity in South Australia in the wholesale market have increased 105 per cent. So, in one year since this Labor state government's energy policy forced the closure of the Port Augusta power station a year ago to the day, wholesale spot prices have more than doubled. They have gone up by 105 per cent. Forward contract prices for the next two years have increased 46 per cent over the same 12 months.

Net imports of electricity from Victoria have grown by 48 per cent. Keeping in mind that 86 per cent of the electricity generated in Victoria was from coal, the government's self-professed environmentally based policy to get rid of coal has only resulted in the importation of more coal-fired power from Victoria. If the government honestly believed what it says about electricity, it would understand that saving the planet is not only about emissions in South Australia. A policy that increases emissions in other states is not going to do what it says it wants to do. In that time, we have had six major blackouts, including the unprecedented statewide blackout on 28 September last year.

The government is spending \$512 million per year just on interest for total public sector debt through the 2016-17 year—\$512 million over 365 days. Juxtapose that against the fact that SA will receive \$471 million more in GST in the 2016-17 year than in the 2015-16 year. All these economic indicators are going downstream at a rate of knots when the actual income from GST, which is not the only source but is the primary source of taxation income for the state government, is actually going up very fast.

Regarding unbudgeted spending, to go off on a slight tangent, the principle of budgeting is exactly the same in a one-person household, a 10-person household, a state or a nation. You have to balance your income versus your expenditure and you have to plan exactly how you are going to manage. If you deliberately plan to have a year where you are going to spend more than you earn, that may well happen for very good reasons, but you have to have a plan to repay that deficit. You have to have a plan over coming years for how you are going to make that money back, and you have to know that that deficit is being spent for good reasons, not frivolous reasons.

I am sure all of us would agree that productive infrastructure spending may well be a good reason to have a deficit. Spending to pay public sector wages would not be a good reason to go into a deficit. I am not suggesting that those people who turn up to work every day and do their jobs properly should not have their wages paid. Of course they should have their wages paid but, in the context of the government budgeting process, it does not make sense to be going into debt.

As a former minister for forests said, it does not make sense to be spending taxpayers' money as if it were a credit card to pay for wages. That was a former Labor forestry minister—Michael O'Brien. But between the 2003-04 year and the 2015-16 year, the state government has spent \$4 billion more on general government operations than it had budgeted, so it cannot manage its own expenditure. Four billion dollars is a massive amount of money. It is not just an extra half a per cent here or there. Four billion dollars of unbudgeted spending is an extraordinary situation to be in.

I come back to this issue of trust. The government asks us to support the provision of just over \$5.9 billion for its spending. As I said before, I have no problem with the principle. We will support it, of course, based on the principle, but the questions of trust, about how the government spends their money and whether it is in the best interest of South Australia, are very serious issues.

The snapshot I have just given are figures provided by the shadow treasurer. They are the most up-to-date figures available from reputable sources and they make it very clear that South Australians are not benefiting from state government spending the way they should.

Let me just take a more detailed step into my electorate of Stuart. As people know, the electorate of Stuart is very large. It is a country and outback area, with Port Augusta as its major regional centre and Kapunda as the next largest town, only 75 kilometres from Parliament House, all the way on up to the Northern Territory border. What is most striking to an outsider about the electorate of Stuart is the diverse range of communities of interest. It is part of the Barossa Valley, part of the Riverland, most of the Mid North, the regional centre of Port Augusta and a big chunk of the Upper Spencer Gulf coastline. It is almost all of the north-eastern pastoral area of South Australia.

It has a wide range of local communities with very different local interests, local engagement, local employment opportunities, local recreational opportunities, etc. However, I will tell you one thing they are completely united about: they are absolutely sick and tired of hearing the sorts of statistics I have just read out about our state's economy. They are completely united in being sick and tired of the way the government is spending their money but not getting the results they deserve and, in fact, not getting the results that the government tells them to expect.

I have talked about unemployment across the state. Let me provide the latest figures available on unemployment in Upper Spencer Gulf. All the Upper Spencer Gulf cities combined have a 9.8 per cent unemployment rate compared with a national average of 5.7 per cent. These are the latest figures available. The City of Port Augusta, which I represent, has a 9.9 per cent unemployment rate, and in the cities of Whyalla and Port Pirie it is also significantly above the national average. There are many reasons for this, one of which is that the government is not delivering the economic outcomes that it always promises to deliver when it spends taxpayers' money.

One of the most heart-wrenching aspects of the unemployment rate is population decline. The most recent figures for regional South Australia over the last 12 months show that population growth in the Flinders Ranges has been negative 1.7 per cent, outback population growth has been negative 2.5 per cent and total outback and north-east population growth has been minus 1.1 per cent. This is compared with an Australian average of plus 1.4 per cent. The communities I represent are being incredibly hard hit because government spending is not delivering the economic outcomes that the government says it will, and that is leading directly, through a wide range of negative mechanisms, to very high unemployment—approaching 10 per cent.

If people cannot get jobs, they cannot stay. It is a dreadful situation for a household to be sitting around the kitchen table when the key breadwinner has lost their job, whether that is the man or woman, the father, the mother, whoever it is, in a wide range of different households. They sit down and say quite openly and frankly to each other, 'Look, we've done the sums and we've looked at our commitments.' It might be a house mortgage, it might be a car loan, it might be commitments to the kids.

Whatever it happens to be, they say, 'Do you know what? We can meet our commitments, including our daily, weekly and monthly consumption spending, maybe for three weeks,' maybe for three months, in a different household, maybe for six months in a another household if they are very lucky. However, the principle is the same: they sit down, they do their budget and ask, 'How long can we be without an income? What can we do to cut our spending so that perhaps we can stretch that time?'

When that household cuts their spending, it cuts the income to business and service providers in the region, and that then flows to their employment opportunities. They do everything they can to stretch their capacity to stay in the region without an income, but whether it is three weeks, three months, six months, a year, whatever it happens to be for that particular family, if they cannot get a job the time comes when they have some incredibly hard decisions to make.

The sensible, well-meaning, practical people of the electorate of Stuart do not wait until the last night and say, 'Oh, we've just run out of money. What will we do tomorrow?' They start planning much earlier than that and say, 'Do you know what? We probably have to start looking for a job and it probably needs to be somewhere else in South Australia or interstate.' You can see how the figures I read out all connect and are intimately connected to households in South Australia, in Port Augusta,

in Kapunda, in Jamestown, in Burra and in Peterborough, right down to the tiniest communities such as Bower, in the electorate of Stuart.

Our primary, most important focus here should be to support people in South Australia. Whether they are in a one-person household, a 10-person household, it does not matter: supporting people in South Australia must be our most important priority. We can all argue about the different ways to do that. For example, the Liberal Party has an incredibly strong belief that one of the best ways to support people is by supporting small and medium-sized businesses because those businesses are employers, and if they are successful they can provide secure employment, and secure employment allows households to get on and live not only day in, day out, but year in year out, and live successful, thriving and positive lives.

The Labor Party can have different views about the best way to help people, but we must all be here to support people. This all comes unravelled when a political party, such as the Labor Party, which has been in government for over 15 years now, is elected, is entitled to govern and is entitled to implement its spending programs that it says will deliver particular outcomes for the people of the state. The government is entitled to do that, but the problem is when it does not deliver and it says, 'We will spend your money, South Australians, in this way, for these purposes, to get these results,' but year in, year out, they do not deliver.

That is why we have the highest electricity prices in the nation, that is why we have the highest unemployment rate in the nation and that is why, unfortunately, we have people leaving our state in droves. Let's hope that a lot of those people come back. Let's hope that after the next election we will have a change of government and things will improve enormously and that as many of those people as possible come back to South Australia; I have no doubt they will want to. The real practicality is that if a young family moves away and their children start at a new school and they develop new friendship groups, and they get established and have new employment opportunities in other places, they will not automatically be able to uproot themselves with the snap of a finger and say, 'There's job back in South Australia. I will go back now.'

They may be able to. Let's hope that as many as possible can do that, but the reality is that will not be possible for all of them. Their 10-year-old children will soon be 15-year-old children and those 15-year-old children may say, 'Yes, it was great back at home in South Australia, but the world has moved on. I am 15 now, I've got friends in school and I want to stay.' That will tug at the heartstrings of those parents and make it a very difficult decision for them to come back.

The problem is that this is not a quid pro quo. We are not getting people coming from other states into South Australia, but maybe we will lose some and maybe we will gain some and our communities, which must be at the heart of everything we do in this place, will be okay. We have negative net population growth. We are having thousands of people leave our state every year—in the last 12 months six and a half thousand people—because the government is not delivering on its spending promises, as it said it would do.

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (11:24): I have been in this place nearly 16 years now and I have forgotten how many Supply Bill speeches I have actually contributed to; each and every one of them has been for the many billions of dollars that are required to run this state. As members in this house will realise, I am actually sitting in a different position in the house today. I was in government for two hours and 55 minutes in 2002 and then, when we lost a confidence motion in March 2002, we were put into opposition.

Every speech I have made on the Supply Bill has been as a member of the Liberal Party; however, recently the state electoral college met and preselected another person to represent the Liberal Party in Morphett at the 2018 state election. In what I can only describe as a completely unforeseen outcome, the college preselected another person as the party's candidate, and this was ratified by state council last Friday week. As a result of what amounted, in my view, to a no-confidence decision, I saw no point in remaining a member of the Liberal Party and have resigned from the party. It is now my intention to see out my remaining time in this place as an Independent Liberal on the crossbench, and I look forward to continuing to work for the people of Morphett.

I must acknowledge the past support that has been given to me by the Leader of the Opposition and all my parliamentary colleagues. I am yet to decide on my long-term future. I will

continue to consult with my family and friends, and I will come back to this house with any announcement. I would like to thank my family and staff for their undying support; this has had a terrible impact on them. I will certainly be interested to see the reaction from all my colleagues in this place.

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (11:26): I rise to speak on the Supply Bill 2017, introduced by the Treasurer. As members would be aware this is necessary until the budget is passed through the parliamentary stages and, ultimately, the Appropriation Bill 2017 receives assent. In the absence of those arrangements taking place, there would be no parliamentary authority for expenditure between the commencement of the new financial year and the date on which assent is given to the main Appropriation Bill.

This year, the government is asking us to approve \$5.907 billion to attend to that contingency, to make provision pending the passage of the Appropriation Bill. I must say that in the time I have been here that amount, relative to the total budget, represents about five-twelfths of the budget for the forthcoming year, and it concerns me that such a large amount of money is being sought. That is five months' worth of budget that is currently completely blind to us; that is, it is not been disclosed at all and will not be until 22 July.

Certainly in the last 15 years, post elections, this government has advised the parliament that it may not issue a budget until August, so delaying it a few months post a March election. That is understandable from time to time, but it has always puzzled me why former treasurer Foley and then treasurer Snelling, and even the current Treasurer, would need to do that. They have been in office for over 15 years, and it has to raise some question about their capacity or their understanding of what is happening in their own departments if there is a need to delay it post a successful election on their part.

The most concerning thing to me in respect of this whole process is that in the time I have been here South Australia has always issued its budget at least a month and sometimes five weeks or so after the commonwealth budget. This is quite different from what occurs in the other states that are actually making a difference in respect of their growth and the prosperity of their states. I thank Chris Russell, who is adviser to the Treasurer, for following up the request regarding the position on other states. He did not actually answer my question as to whether there are other states that require an allocation of five-twelfths, but he did provide some useful information on what currently happens in the other states.

In Victoria, they do not even need a supply bill because, as members would know, they usually issue their budget in May, frequently before the federal budget. They allocated their budget about 10 days ago. They do not wait for the commonwealth. The commonwealth has a different jurisdiction. They get on with it and they do not need a supply bill. Similarly, New South Wales delivers an early budget. They have power under their Appropriation Act, in any event, to allow for the provision of some moneys to be paid after 1 July for interim payments, if they did not actually pass their Appropriation Bill. They issue their budget, deal with the debate on it and pass their appropriation legislation before the commencement of the financial year.

Those states know what they are doing, they are confident in what they are doing and, frankly, we should take a leaf out of their book. Tasmania delivers an early budget. Again, that is usually passed by the end of the financial year, but their particular legislation, the Public Account Act 1986, allows them to provide for an interim supply of up to two months of expenditure relative to the previous financial year. If there is an election in that period and they are likely to exceed the two months, then a supply bill is prepared.

So, in special circumstances, other governments around the country present a supply bill, but only in exceptional circumstances. I do not understand why South Australia drags the chain on this. It is true, and I think it should be noted, that the commonwealth does allow for up to five-twelfths of its budget to be appropriated or makes provision for payments to continue to be paid past 1 July. Their own Appropriation Act allows for that. Generally, they have delivered their budget and tabled their annual appropriation bill in May. In fact, they are to do that again today, in May 2017.

Of course, they have a senate to get through, where the states are represented. There are formulas for redistribution back to the states of our share of the money, I might point out, regarding

the distribution of the GST, company tax and income tax, which the commonwealth collects. This should not in any way interfere with what we do. Our Treasury knows what they are going to get and they understand what they are entitled to. Sure, there is the capacity to make some amendment later, but we should be setting the agenda in South Australia, as do other states that are following their previous precedent.

I should also mention Western Australia, which usually passes its appropriation bill in late August. Its Financial Management Act allows for two months of automatic interim supply. Members would be aware that they recently had an election and there may well be some delay, again in exceptional circumstances. However, we have a government that has been here for 15 years and it is asking us, blind to any indication of what is going to be in this year's budget, to approve \$5.907 billion to be spent without any scrutiny.

I think that is arrogant at least and demonstrates a level of incompetence and a failure to take the lead, which the government should be doing, especially when it has been in charge and has access to all the material. Historically, we have not held up a supply bill. I would be very tempted to say that you only get a couple of months' worth and we ought to be able to deal with these bills, but our party room has considered that and we will allow the bill to follow, as has been the precedent.

Frankly, a very clear message needs to go to Treasury that it needs to get its act together. They are the ones who have told me that they put the recommendation that there be sufficient time allowed for a five-month request. It is bit like saying to the Treasurer, 'Well, just ask them for five months. The parliament might agree to it. It's a bit of an ambit claim. See what you can get.' There is no justification for it. There has been no election.

To the best of my knowledge, there has been no smashing of the revenue of the state. That is certainly not what the government has disclosed to us. There has been no lack of provision under the payroll tax that they get. Obviously, our businesses are going under at a rate of knots in this state. Nevertheless, there has been no indication to us that their payroll tax income is to be smashed. There has been no indication that stamp duty and property taxes, for some reason, have evaporated. There is no reason to suggest that the gaming and gambling taxes that we generate at the state level have all suddenly evaporated.

So, it is a complete mystery why, without any explanation, it is necessary in this non-election year that a government needs to have five months of its annual budget approved under a supply bill. No explanation has been provided—none whatsoever. All I can assume is that the government is in such a frozen state of incompetence that they cannot even pull together a budget and prepare the budget bills and the appropriation bill that go with it. All that does is reinforce to me what a state of paralysis and incompetence they are in financially.

If I were to refer to any other budget this year at the state level, let me pick one that is run currently by a Labor administration in Victoria. They were able to deliver a budget a few days ago on 1 May. I think it was actually issued on 2 May but, like most things these days, they tell us all about what is going to be in the budget the day before. They were able to announce very significant capital works and a very healthy and balanced approach towards some very pressing issues.

One of the matters that the Andrews government in Victoria dealt with was police and the difficulty of managing their law and order obligations and responsibilities. They announced not only a very significant provision for extra police but also \$308 million to monitor serious violent offenders. That is a matter that I would ask the government to take note of here. Also, they announced an extra \$44 million to expand the Thomas Embling forensic mental health hospital. It puts us to shame here, if anyone goes out to see James Nash House. They also announced an extra \$30 million to improve mental health services for people at risk of committing crimes.

An area in which the government here has dragged the chain and which, if they got on with an early budget, they could deal with, is to invest money into drug and alcohol addiction, which is a serious blight across Australia and no less so in South Australia. The government has already rejected our proposal under the Controlled Substances (Youth Treatment Orders) Amendment Bill to allow for the establishment of mandatory treatment of young people who are struggling with drug addiction through a court approval process and to enable a secure therapeutic centre for children addicted to ice and other highly destructive and illegal drugs to progress.

Not only did they reject that legislation but it seems that they have not read the National Wastewater Drug Monitoring Program, which found that Australia ranked second in the world in its use of methamphetamine. In terms of capital city populations, levels of methamphetamine use were consistently above the national average in Western Australia and South Australia.

I do not know what minister Vlahos, the Minister for Substance Abuse, has been doing. It seems that she has not been reading the Oakden report. It seems that she has not been dealing with one of the most scandalous exposés of the abuse of treatment of mental-aged, mature-aged people in our state. Quite clearly, she has not been. She did announce, with minister Malinauskas, that they were going on a task force tour to look at drug addiction in this state. They were supposed to have a report to us at the end of April. It is now 9 May.

We still have not seen that report, which I do not doubt for one moment will reinforce exactly what the national inquiries have told us, and that is that we have a serious addiction problem. The most contemporary use of a drug in that category is methamphetamine, and this use is dangerous, deadly and prolific in this state. I do not doubt that for one moment. Perhaps that is why she has the report and is not going to show it to us. Perhaps it is because she does not want to spend any money in this area. Perhaps it is because she does not appreciate the significance of the issue. Either way, she needs to disclose that report to us.

Frankly, if this government does not invest a very significant amount of money into the therapeutic treatment of those with addiction, then it is an absolute scandal that they should fail to do so. The Victorian government have embarked on an action plan, which is an \$81.1 million investment in their budget into an ice action plan. The investment was to include \$34.8 million for 30 new rehabilitation beds and \$9.7 million to acquire land to build three new residential drug facilities in regional Victoria. I think, frankly, that is an excellent initiative. I read with interest Minister for Mental Health in Victoria Martin Foley's statement on this and his government's commitment to deal with drug rehabilitation in their state.

The depth of understanding of the government there ought to be very clearly understood by this government. It is a Labor government. They are prepared to understand that they have an issue, they are prepared to act on it and they are prepared to put their money where their mouth is in relation to the therapeutic treatment of that. They face, in their state, 257 overdose deaths per year from illicit drugs and many other prescription drugs, and found that there had been a continued and significant impact in the Gippsland communities, particularly, and other regional areas.

So, they are prepared to do something about it—not write another report, not have a task force, not go on a roadshow, not hide away in some four-wheel drive, bumbling along the road (shocking roads as they are out in rural South Australia) to consult with people about what is a known quantity. What has minister Vlahos done? Nothing. There has been no report and nothing published. Parliament has resumed today. I expect her to table it today and let us get on with seeing some commitment from this government, some ounce of decency that they give at least some scintilla of care towards the children who are dying at this stage in South Australia and who have no respite.

There is no secure rehabilitation facility for children who are addicted to ice in this state except through a private facility, and that of course is inalienable to most people. I say to the government, and in particular to minister Vlahos, have a look at what your colleague has done in Victoria, see what the Liberal government have done in New South Wales, and actually understand how pressing this issue that must be dealt with is.

What have the government done? The government have actually given Ms Vlahos another job this week. Not only has she not acted on the ice epidemic issue, not only is there a scandalous exposure of the Oakden issue, but they have now asked her to undertake a role as the acting minister for minister Martin Hamilton-Smith, who is off in China somewhere.

It never surprises me, of course. I am sure the cabinet gives him all sorts of jobs overseas just to get rid of him. The point is that here is a minister—minister Vlahos, the Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse—who has been exposed as failing to deal with the most scandalous issue of this year in respect of aged mental health patients, and who has done nothing to address the ice addiction of our children, and what does this government do? They give her an extra job.

Well, God help the trade and investment portfolios while under her watch, because clearly she should not be undertaking any role such as those when she has demonstrated incompetence in dealing with the current role. I will not be opposing this bill, but for the reasons I have said it is about time that the government understood that it has been in office for 15 years—this is its 16th budget—and they ought to know what they are doing by now. It is just a gross act of incompetence that they should be coming back to us asking us for five-twelfths of the forecast budget, blind, for them to be able to continue their squandering waste.

Mr GARDNER (Morialta) (11:46): I rise to speak on the Supply Bill 2017, which proposes to give the government \$5.9 billion to spend in the next financial year ahead of there being a budget prepared for the next financial year. Every year, we have a supply bill, and usually it seeks to appropriate \$3 billion or thereabouts to get through the first quarter in case there is any hold-up with the full Appropriation Bill—the budget bill—that will cover the whole year.

As the Deputy Leader of the Opposition just identified, this is a very unusual process being undertaken this year—to seek five months' worth, nearly half of the year's budget, ahead of the actual budget bill. It makes one wonder what exactly the government is doing. Why this breach of protocol, convention and process? Why this incompetence? Why is the government seeking nearly \$6 billion without even having to go through a budget process? It does not make for good governance and is not a practice that I endorse or hope we will see again.

That said, with the government seeking \$5.9 billion, it is my happy duty, as the member for Morialta, to offer some suggestions on how they might best spend that money in the year ahead. Of course, this is the regular business of government. Paying salaries for teachers and doctors is tremendously important, and we do not want to put any impediment in front of that, which is why we will be supporting this bill.

I wish to talk about some issues that are particularly important in my electorate and also in my portfolio area and, as the shadow minister for education, multicultural affairs and the arts, I will endeavour, if there is time, to also touch on some of those issues. I will touch on some of the brief issues first.

There are some tremendously important works being done, and I commend the Adelaide Hills Council for the work they are doing in conjunction with the powerline undergrounding process and the PLEC committee, which is a collaboration between different levels of government. In particular, I note that some of these processes are in train, and some of these processes are sought but we are optimistic they will be delivered. Certainly, if a Marshall Liberal government is elected at the next election, we will ensure that they are delivered.

I applaud the work that has already been done to make sure that powerlines are able to be undergrounded in Lobethal in the 2018-19 year and in Gumeracha in the 2019-20 year. I will spend a moment on Gumeracha. In terms of Lobethal, many people go to the wonderful Christmas parade, the Lobethal lights, the Lobethal Living Nativity and all the other things that are in Lobethal. I note the incredible 175th anniversary of Lobethal celebrations, in the presence of the Governor, just on Sunday. It was a tremendous day.

In terms of Gumeracha, the Gumeracha Main Street committee, formed by Chelsea Lewis and her team, is really focused at the moment on ensuring a body of work to make that town an attractive feature to increase tourism to the area and to ensure that the beautiful history of Gumeracha is maintained and celebrated and the current business opportunities are leveraged to do even better in the future.

Powerline undergrounding will be one of those things that will be part of a broader strategic plan that the Gumeracha Main Street committee is putting together, and I look forward to that process. I am pleased that the Adelaide Hills Council is on board and supporting that. We will need to make sure that the powerline undergrounding committee supports that work so it can be carried out before the end of this decade.

A couple of other issues in the local area have been brought to my attention as the shadow minister for education. A total of 168 petitioners have signed a petition in relation to the student crossing at Ridgehaven Primary School requesting that it be improved. As the petition that was presented to the parliament last year said:

Every week, there are near misses and it is only through good fortune that we haven't seen a tragic accident at this location.

Your petitioners therefore request that your Honourable House approve funding for improvements to the student crossing at Ridgehaven Primary School—preferably to include pedestrian-activated lights or, at least, a raised 'wombat crossing'.

I note that in the *Leader Messenger* in April there was a letter from Dr Richard Harvey, the Liberal candidate for Newland, which I also concur with, in which he wrote:

It is disappointing that the State Government has ignored community and police concerns about the safety of the school crossing at Ridgehaven Primary School. Not only have hundreds of parents signed a petition asking for the crossing to be upgraded, but police also want the crossing upgraded. The safety of children must come first. Jay Weatherill's response is to disregard parents and police and do more surveys. It is extraordinary that while the government is able to find millions of dollars for spin-doctors in the education department, they can't find the money to make a school crossing safe. I urge Mr Weatherill to listen to the community and police and just get on with fixing the crossing.

As I said when I spoke to this chamber on 30 November last year about this school crossing that needs some fairly inexpensive works to have it improved, this should not be a political issue. The current signage is inadequate. The current crossing is inadequate. It should be a matter of a couple of days' work during the school holidays—it could have been last summer school holidays; let's hope it is the next one—to get it fixed so that this situation can be improved for all those residents and all those families at the Ridgehaven Primary School and they can have their children walking to school more safely in the years ahead.

I am going to talk in a moment about Highbury Primary School, which is on the border of my electorate and the Newland electorate and which has some similar issues. While we are on this school crossing issue, it is important to note that we should have more children walking to school and more incentives, rather than fewer for children to walk to school, and ensure that they are safe. We live in a society where we want our young people to be more active. We want children to have less screen time and more exercise.

This is something that we should all be focused on trying to help happen more often. It is very disappointing that the government has been so slow to act on these school crossings, which are hopefully things that can be fixed relatively easily. This is, I think, the fourth time that I have brought this issue up in parliament. The Hon. Jing Lee has been asking questions of the Minister for Road Safety in the Legislative Council about this matter. Frankly, I am not satisfied that the government has been doing enough to get this fixed. I hope that it is fixed. If the government does not fix it, then a change of government after the election, I am sure, will see these matters fixed.

In February, I spoke to the house about Highbury Primary School and the petition signed by 571 local residents who live near or who are part of the Highbury Primary School community, noting that the roads there are unsafe. Improvements are needed for those students walking to school, not only across Lower North East Road between Dordoy Street and Stow Court but also across Valley Road, either at or between Honeysuckle Drive and Beckman Avenue. Constituents are calling for improved road signage, road markings and student crossings on the roads immediately surrounding the school and preschool.

This matter was clearly of concern to the local community, with 571 signatures on the petition. The Hon. Jing Lee asked a question of minister Malinauskas in February. I note the minister said that he would be:

...more than happy to facilitate higher level discussions with the department so that if there are genuine concerns to be raised and there is a genuine priority that needs to be met that it can be contemplated in the context of all other areas where the government is expending large and significant sums of money to ensure that we do have safe traffic crossings in and around our schools.

That is apparently all one sentence. What that sentence means will be up to the linguists to decipher. I hope the department will endeavour to do some work on how those crossings might be improved and how the signage around the immediate vicinity of the school might be improved.

So far, in the local Messenger press—and Ben Cameron did some excellent work for the *Leader Messenger* in writing about these issues—the government response suggested that the Highbury Primary School might like to reconsider an opportunity to be involved in the Way2Go school

bicycle scheme. A scheme that the school did several years ago—and they got some instructors talking about cycling safety—was completely irrelevant to the issue at hand: the need for improved school crossings and signage.

In relation to the federal government's budget, which is also being held today, there has been an announcement by the federal government in relation to school funding. It will impact South Australia in some ways that are welcome. It is, of course, a lower quantum in the next two financial years—in the 2018 and 2019 years—than was suggested by Bill Shorten and Julia Gillard might come through, had their plan in 2013, which was unfortunately unfunded, come into place.

Obviously, as the state opposition we would always like more money to come into South Australian schools. The increase on the current forward estimates is welcomed, but what is particularly important here is that we are now talking about a genuinely needs-based funding model, based on the six principles outlined by David Gonski in his initial review. I welcome Mr Gonski's engagement with how this money best can be spent into the future.

The increases over the next 10 years from the federal government to South Australian schools look like a 5.6 per cent increase to government schools, a 3.7 per cent increase in funding to Catholic schools and a 4.6 per cent increase in funding to independent schools. Across Australia that compares with a 5.1 per cent increase to government schools, 3.5 per cent to Catholic schools and 4.1 per cent to independent schools.

You will note that the increases to South Australian schools are significantly higher than the increases to schools in other states, and that is because the deal that our Premier, Jay Weatherill, signed with the federal government ahead of that 2013 federal election was an inadequate deal. It was not as good a deal as other states were able to achieve. The Premier is obviously not a very good negotiator when it comes to school funding or when dealing with his federal counterparts, and unfortunately South Australian schools (and I particularly note the comments of Michael Honey from Nazareth College in the South Australian Catholic school sector) have suffered as a consequence and will benefit from the improvements that will happen over 10 years.

Of course we would like any of that federal funding that was able to come earlier to do so, and we will always stand up for South Australia's interest. There was also a state component of that Gonski funding that was to come as a result of the original agreement, and the state government put it in the budget last year. The Liberal opposition, of course, voted for the budget last year, and we have always supported that contribution coming through and we will continue to support it coming through.

We will stand by that budget commitment from the state government because the true Gonski model, with those six categories of need, whether it is a disadvantaged or regional and remote location, disability or low SES (and there are a couple of other categories, one of which is for small schools), should be the principle on which needs-based funding is allocated, and it is good that we are getting back to that straightforward principle.

It is especially important, as today our children are sitting their NAPLAN tests, and it is important for South Australia's future that we are able to deliver the best schools in the country—that should be our ambition: nothing less than the best schools in Australia. This Labor government, after 15 years, has failed to deliver that. Last year, we were last or second to last in 18 of the 20 NAPLAN categories. That is not good enough. Our children deserve better and our community deserves better. Over the years to come, a Liberal government, after the next election, will deliver the best schools in Australia and an improvement in those NAPLAN results, which is tremendously important.

I want to use the remaining time I have to talk about a particular local issue that is very important to residents of my electorate who live in Woodforde, Teringie and Rostrevor and to residents who live in Magill, in the electorate of the member for Hartley. It relates to the new development at the old Magill Training Centre site, and there is a long history and context to this.

The Deputy Premier signed the development plan for this site the day after Boxing Day, I think, in 2013, when obviously the minimum possible scrutiny could be applied by the fourth estate, the local Messenger was on a two-week hiatus and many people were on their summer holidays. That plan was to allow about 400 dwellings to be built at Woodforde, rather than the 200 to 250 that

Renewal SA talked about in its initial correspondence to local residents. At any rate, it is a plan that our residents are somewhat used to and have been familiar with for over three years now.

In the week before last, the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure put out a category 3 public notice of application for consent to development for quite a substantial change to the plan. I wrote to local residents as soon as we were able to get the detail of the change to inform them that, far from the three-storey maximum for a couple of buildings identified in the original plan, this one sought that some five-storey developments take place there.

This is on the old Magill Training Centre site, which is basically Hills Face Zone, and it is an extraordinary development. Constituents of mine and anyone else who is interested have until 10 May—bearing in mind that we got this in the last week of April—to make a submission. I invited all my constituents who wished to do so to put forward their views to the DAC or, if they wished to write to me, I would present them.

This is an issue on which there has been significant state government abrogation of responsibility in relation to traffic management. This development of 400 houses (it may be more now) is, of course, going to have a massive impact on traffic in the area, so we thought that it was appropriate, as there are already traffic pressures in that area, that the state government produce a traffic management plan and some traffic management improvements. The state government has refused to do that.

However (and I have spoken to the house on this before), they did come back last year and identified that they would work with the Campbelltown and Adelaide Hills councils to get a new traffic study and, potentially, a plan. The CEO of the department, Michael Deegan, wrote to me in January stating:

I am advised that on 17 November 2016, representatives from the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure, Adelaide Hills Council and Campbelltown City Council met to discuss a jointly funded Traffic Study for the Woodforde Development and its surrounds. The Traffic Study will be for the area generally bounded by Magill Road, Norton Summit Road, Glen Stuart Road, Moules Road and St Bernards Road and will review the traffic generation rates, distributions and the traffic impacts of the Woodforde Development within the study area, as well as examine any existing problem locations.

In response to concerns raised by members of the local community at forums, I understand the Councils are also considering expanding the traffic study to review traffic issues on local roads outside the Woodforde Development study area.

The outcomes of the traffic study will inform the need to undertake any further road management plans of the arterial roads in the area, as well as inform the Councils' road and traffic management programmes. It should be noted that a comprehensive road management plan has already been undertaken for Penfold Road, St Bernards Road, Newton Road and Darley Road.

It is expected that the traffic study will commence in early 2017 following agreement by Councils and the State Government on the scope.

I trust this information is of assistance.

I wrote back to Mr Deegan on 14 March stating:

Dear Mr Deegan,

Thank you for your letter dated 20 January 2017 regarding the Housing Development at Woodforde.

Now that the development is underway I would be grateful to hear what steps will be taken next by the government.

I note in your last letter you referred to the Road Management Plan for St Bernards Road/Penfold Road, which was previously undertaken. I also note that the government has spent no money delivering the road management plan's recommendations.

I look forward to your advice on this matter.

That is true: five years after that road management plan that was referred to, for St Bernards Road and Penfold Road, the government spent no money actually delivering on any achievables. I very much hope that the government will look at the traffic management plan now being undertaken, which is being partly funded by Campbelltown and the Adelaide Hills councils—in fact, majorly funded by

them—and a little bit supported by this government, and deliver on the improvements that are suggested.

The point I want to make in relation to the new application to the housing development is this. How can we possibly have a relevant consideration by the DAC of this new proposal that will increase the height of the buildings in this development until we have had the road management plan, the traffic survey of the traffic there at the moment?

In the last five days, I have been absolutely inundated through Facebook, email, correspondence, visits to my office and letters to my office. Dozens of constituents have taken the trouble to write letters, make telephone calls or physically visit the office to pass on their concerns. Their concerns are widespread and need to be taken seriously. I will be putting together a comprehensive report of those concerns in a submission I will be sending to the DAC tomorrow on the due date.

I note that the Adelaide Hills Council has told me they are identifying to the DAC a particular problem when considering this issue prior to the completion of the traffic survey. How can the DAC possibly contemplate the significant impact this is going to have on our local community until we have had that traffic survey work done? That is a point I will also be making to them in supporting the submission by the Adelaide Hills Council that their consideration be deferred until that work is done.

Because I only have 30 seconds left, I will not read the specific letters of those constituents who have taken the trouble to get in touch, but I note that the flavour of their concerns include:

- the irregular infrastructure for the area;
- that the original development was approved for a maximum of three storeys and this far exceeds that;
- that it is not located in a site suitable for high density;
- that it does not meet the required policies and zone objectives;
- that the buildings are too tall; and
- that the streets are too narrow for the proposed number of car parks, which are clearly inadequate in this development.

I hope the DAC will take notice.

Mr WINGARD (Mitchell) (12:06): I rise today to speak on the Supply Bill. We note that the mechanism is to allow for the continued payment of public servants and the Public Service until the Appropriation Bill, or the budget bill, is passed in parliament sometime later in 2017. The appropriation for the 2017 Supply Bill is \$5.9 billion—that is right, \$5.9 billion. In 2016, the appropriation was \$3.44 billion, while in 2015 it was \$3.291 billion, which is quite phenomenal. Why the big jump? Why the big jump this year is a question that I think all South Australians will be asking and that we ask of this government.

There are people out there asking a lot of questions about this government and about what is happening with our state. We know that we live in the great state of South Australia. When I am out on the street talking to people—and I often do this when I am in my community doorknocking or at the local supermarket, at the local footy club or sporting community group catching up with people in the local area—I ask them how they think our state is going. I can tell you that, to a person, the response is, 'Poor to woeful.' Every now and then I find someone who says, 'We're going okay. As a state, we are going okay,' but that is the best I can find. The rest say, 'Poor to woeful.'

Even if you want to be the most optimistic of people and look at the 'okay' rating, I have an issue because I do not want to accept okay. The government thinks okay is acceptable. I do not. I think we are a better state than that. I think that we are better than okay and that we need to be striving for a whole lot more. Unfortunately, I think that after 15 years the government has conditioned South Australians to think that okay is good enough. Well, it is just not and we need to be doing a whole lot better.

Let's look at some of the areas where we are not doing very well and start with employment because that is a real key indicator of how our state is travelling. Again, this is a key point people talk to me about when I am discussing issues with people at supermarkets or when I am out doorknocking.

South Australia has the highest unemployment rate in the country at 7 per cent seasonally adjusted; on trend, it is 6.7 per cent. We have had the highest unemployment rate in the nation on trend for 28 months—more than two years—and that is absolutely unbelievable. We are sitting at the bottom of the pile when it comes to employment and the trend rate of 6.7 per cent. It is the bottom of the ladder and, again, that is not acceptable and that is not okay.

The government would have you believe that it is okay. It is not. In fact, at the time these latest figures came out the employment minister did not seem to be too fussed about that figure or that we again languish at the bottom of the table when it comes to employment in South Australia. Unemployment hurts South Australians and it hurts South Australian families.

Youth unemployment is also incredibly high, and this is another great concern. The youth unemployment rate is 17.3 per cent, the highest rate in Australia. The youth unemployment rate is for 15 to 24 year-olds, so that is another grave concern. We know that South Australians and young South Australians in particular are leaving the state at a great rate of knots, and it is alarming that that youth unemployment figure is so high. Again, I look to the other side of the chamber and say that that is not acceptable. The government wants you to accept it, but on this side of the chamber we do not accept being at the bottom of the pile again when it comes to youth unemployment.

There are currently 88,500 South Australians who are underemployed. There are 88,500 people aged 15 years and over who want more hours and are available for more hours to work in the community and they are not getting those hours and opportunities. That is not good enough. South Australia's underemployment ratio is 10.8 per cent, increasing from 9.5 per cent 12 months ago. The underemployment ratio is the number of underemployed workers expressed as a percentage of the labour force. These are people who cannot get jobs yet they want them.

South Australia's underutilisation rate is the highest in the country at 17.3 per cent. The national average is 14.7 per cent. Again, we are a mile away from the national average. The government would have you accept that that is okay. We do not believe that is okay. The underutilisation rate is the sum of the number of unemployed persons and the number of underemployed persons expressed as a proportion of the labour force.

All these figures and numbers show that South Australia is doing it tough after 15 years of this state Labor government. It is not good enough and people are not accepting it anymore. People have had enough and they want better for South Australia. I noted a little while back an article in *The Advertiser* from August 2016, entitled 'Premier in a spin on state's job rate'. This is the way the government deals with these things, and this is part of the problem with the budget and the way the budget is managed by this government, because they are prone to waste a lot of money.

One of the ways they could deal with our unemployment rate is to come up with programs that might initiate education and help people into work or come up with ways to help companies grow and employ more people—but, no, none of that. What does this government do to deal with the unemployment problem that we have in South Australia that has been going on for more than two years? Again, I refer to this article, entitled 'Premier in a spin on state's job rate'. What does the Premier do? He spends \$200,000 on 'creative services' and 'developing appropriate messaging' for the problem that we have here in South Australia. This is absolutely unbelievable.

At the time, we had the highest jobless level in 15 years. Clearly this government saw this as a problem but, again, the solution they came up with was wasting money and spending money on a campaign. Their concern was that 'the brutal reality is SA has the highest unemployment rate in the nation'. That is a fact. However, the Premier looks at how we can convince people and use 'creative services' to convince people that we do not have a problem. That is what is really alarming.

I still find that hard to believe, but this went out to tender and bidders were briefed to develop a campaign in 'promoting SA as the state of employment and business' and to provide 'versatile imagery' that can be used in traditional and social media. This is what this government is about. They

are about spin and not about substance, which is a problem. South Australians are waking up to what this government is doing. As we have said, after 15 years they have taken us to the bottom of the pile. We sit at the bottom of the ladder on so many measures, and there is only one person to blame—the Premier and all of his team.

When we look at CommSec's State of the States report, South Australia ranks seventh out of eight in terms of economic performance. That is not good enough. We talked about the unemployment rate and we talked about jobs because you will remember that the Labor Party did promise 100,000 jobs in February 2010. That is what they were going to create. Only 16,900 jobs have been created since that promise was made. They have promised 100,000 jobs, but only 16,900 jobs have been created. It is phenomenal.

We also look at economic growth of 1.9 per cent in South Australia. South Australia's gross product grew 1.9 per cent in 2015-16 compared with 2.8 per cent nationally. Nationally, the country is going relatively well, but South Australia is way off the mark. The government would have you believe that that is okay. I am sorry, but we on this side do not believe that South Australia is going okay.

That leads to a lack of trust, which we see on so many other levels as well at the moment. I come back to the Oakden and child protection fiascos that this government has overseen in South Australia. They are red raw in the media at the moment with the Oakden fiasco in particular as far as aged care and mental health care for the aged are concerned. South Australians have every right to be absolutely livid.

I am going to stick with employment and small business, another portfolio area that I look after. I get out into the community and listen to people, which is obviously a key part of this job. I go to supermarkets, I knock on doors, I go to community groups and I hear what is happening. I also visit businesses to find out how they are travelling and what is going on there, because we know that if we can grow businesses we can grow jobs in South Australia. That is the actual key: grow businesses, grow jobs—that is how it works.

Government jobs are just money spinning around internally. If we want to grow the pie—and we need to grow the pie in South Australia—growing business is the way to do that. Small business is the lifeblood of South Australia. There are 140,000-odd small businesses in South Australia. The majority of these small businesses do not employ anyone; they are sole traders. About 93,000 to 94,000 small businesses are sole traders.

In BankSA's latest State Monitor survey in March 2017 is another alarming figure. They recorded the lowest level of state pride on record. South Australia has the lowest level of state pride on record with the BankSA survey. That is absolutely alarming for all small businesses. This reverberates because that is how people feel about our state. That is the point I am making to the government: they are not out there listening, they do not know what is going on and they have lost touch with the people.

That low confidence level is incredibly damaging. It means that people keep their money in their pockets and that they will not spend with our small businesses. We need to do all we can to help small businesses in South Australia. When I get out and talk with and listen to small business owners, they have a real 'get on with it' attitude. They have difficulties, but they are so busy trying to make their business work and putting effort, energy and time into their business that they have trouble dealing with government.

That is very much a sentiment that comes back to me as I speak to a lot of small businesses, whether it is a local coffee shop or a manufacturing firm. Even some businesses that are starting up and want to grow into bigger businesses say, 'When I speak to the government about help, assistance and pathways'—and this is not just financial help and assistance, this is just pathways to make the processes easier, to reduce red tape—to allow me to get to where I want to go and take roadblocks out of the way, it is incredibly difficult to get through those pathways'. They are so busy trying to keep their head above water that they cannot access these pathways, which is incredibly disappointing.

The good thing is that South Australian people and South Australia businesses have a very proactive attitude. While they are concerned about how our state is going—and most of them feel we

are going quite poorly or, at best, okay—they are on board when we talk to them about being better than okay and they believe that is where South Australia should go. We on this side, led by the leader of our party, believe that South Australia is well positioned to be doing a whole lot better than it is doing at the minute.

When I talk to these small businesses about what holds them back, I mention red tape and government regulation and the difficulty getting through those barriers. They talk about taxes and charges and fees—we know a lot about those and I am going to talk about those again in a minute—and that is what holds them back. One of the biggest factors they want to speak about is utility prices, and it is no surprise that electricity is number one on the hit list.

I am always out and about talking to businesses and listening to what they have to say, but late last year I did 50 businesses in 50 days. It was a very intense time, but it was a great time to hear from these businesses and to find out what is impacting them. As I said, utility prices and electricity prices in particular are a real key to what is going on there. We know that South Australia has a very high renewable energy target and that pushes the price of our electricity up when we compare it with other states. Other states do not have the same high renewable energy target that we have set in South Australia. That is why on this side we say we should go with the national figure so that it is a level playing field for everyone.

There is no reason for us to be higher than everyone else out there. It makes no sense. It puts an impost on the cost of electricity in South Australia, and that is a big impost on businesses as well. When businesses are weighing up whether to set up or stay in South Australia and then look at the costs interstate, these imposts and extra fees and charges that we have in South Australia make it very hard to keep us competitive. That is a key, and that is something that we are very focused on.

We know that the Finkel report comes out a little later, and that will give us a better shaping as to how we can go about implementing a policy on electricity that is going to make it reliable and affordable and keep costs down in South Australia for families and for businesses. That is what small businesses talk about when you get out there in the community. When you stack it up against how other states are travelling, and how South Australia is travelling, it really is quite phenomenal.

The government also talks about running a surplus budget. I will not go into great detail, but the Treasurer bangs on about this. We have to be very conscious of a couple of things; one is the increase in GST revenue that is coming South Australia's way year on year, and next year it is going to increase quite significantly again. The Mid-Year Budget Review also showed that the privatisation of MAC is estimated to be about \$2.5 billion above the amount of \$1.6 billion. That is what assisted the net operating balance of the budgets in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17. The MAC dividend in 2016-17 of \$298 million compares to the estimated net operating surplus of \$300 million.

The point of that is the Treasurer talks about his surplus, yet we can see it comes almost fairly and squarely from the dividend of the privatisation of MAC, and that is what the Treasurer has done. He says to South Australians that it will not impact on their CTP insurance in the long term, and we will keep an eye on that because the Treasurer has sold off that asset to make his budget bottom line look good. In the long term, based on the other key indicators from other states, that will force up the price of CTP insurance. It is another cost, another fee, another charge that is going to come to South Australians courtesy of this Weatherill Labor government.

Ultimately, again, that is where we sit. South Australians have those grave concerns, and there are a couple of areas that I deal very closely with as far as my portfolios are concerned. They are the employment space and also the small business space, and industry as well. When we get out and speak to industry, it is quite phenomenal. I mentioned before the matter of small business having trouble getting through and working and dealing with government.

I also know that from an industry perspective they had that same issue. I was out speaking to a number of people last week. A number of industries in South Australia are really working hard and fighting hard to stay in South Australia because they love this state, too, and they want to see it survive. They are just up against it when it comes to a number of these fees and charges and cost overheads that are way above the national average when you look at South Australia.

I know I bang on about electricity, but it is the big issue. We have the highest priced electricity and the least reliable electricity in the nation, and businesses do feel that, especially businesses that use a lot of electricity. When I speak to those businesses and talk about the liaison with government, they say, 'We need better liaison with government.'

We talk about the Industry Advocate, which I will talk about a little bit later in this house. The specifics are that businesses say, 'We like the Industry Advocate because it helps us liaise with government.' A few people have mentioned that to me. I said, 'Do you mean that before you weren't liaising that well with government and government wasn't helping you?' They said, 'No, the Industry Advocate really helps with that, and, yes, there was no communication with government before.' In fact, under this Labor government, it is still hard for industry to deal with government, and that is not how governments should be.

Governments should be getting out of the way and allowing business and industry to succeed. Governments need to make those pathways clearer. When you see industries blocked or, more to the point in this case, when you see industries surprised because the Industry Advocate has enabled them to speak to government, then you stand back and ask: why was it so hard in the first place? Why was it so hard for that business to speak to and deal with government? If South Australian companies have something to sell and South Australian governments need to procure, why is there not a direct relationship? Why is there not better communication, and why has it not been there for a long time?

That is something we want to get to the bottom of because we need to have better communication. The government is putting someone in place to facilitate that. We want to make sure that is going to work efficiently and effectively, but surely government and business should be working together every day. That is how we are going to take South Australia forward. Putting inhibitors and roadblocks in the way does not help anyone, and I think that is what has helped drag South Australia down to the point it is at.

I mentioned that I want to talk about Oakden and a few other things. I will do that in my grievance because my community has some very strong feelings about what is happening at Oakden and also about the child protection fiasco we have seen under this Labor government over a long period of time, and their inability to deal with those matters. As far as the budget is concerned, we will stay on the economic side of things.

It is disappointing to know where South Australia sits. That is what I hear most when I am out talking to people on the street. When they are asked how South Australia is going, their response is, 'Not good.' At best, they will say, 'Okay.' I stand here with hand on heart and say to this parliament that it is not acceptable anymore that we are just okay. We are a better state than okay, and we need to have better government.

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (12:25): It is a pleasure to make another contribution to the supply debate about the \$5.907 billion. When I talk to people in the electorate, and particularly if they are in my age group, I say to them that they probably lived in the best of times to some degree, because I think there are going to be more challenges as we go forward. I wish that was not the case, but when you look at the complication that exists in our lives and the complication to ensure that we have prosperous futures, even though governments of all persuasions do the best they can in making decisions to try to provide, it is very challenging.

This budget process is part of it. It is a really important part of it. That is something that I say normally to close friends and to those who are reflecting upon things they are not necessarily happy about in their lives and the good things also. We look at each other when I say that and they say, 'Yes, Steven, you are probably right.' There is an older anecdote that the fifties were great times, the sixties were great times and the seventies were great times. I experienced that, and while younger people cannot reflect upon those older years they are excited by the present. They know there are challenges in the future, but there are challenges for all of us. No matter what your age group, you want to ensure that the future is a prosperous one.

That is where the budget is a key factor. This is a process that takes a while to go through the parliament. I wish that it was the more detailed version where we speak about direct lines within budgets, what the outcomes and expectations would be and what the measurements against the

previous year were, but that comes later in the process. This is when the opposition traditionally critique things they are not happy with, acknowledge some decisions that have been made, and put a lot onto the record.

I want to start with a positive. I want to say thank you for the investment that has been announced for the Northern Adelaide Irrigation Scheme. As I understand it, \$110 million will potentially come from the state on the basis of some \$46 million that has been applied for from the federal government. The Northern Adelaide Irrigation Scheme is in theory a great project, and in practice I believe it will provide a significant number of job opportunities and an improvement to the food production industry in the Adelaide Plains area, which will be significant.

I and some others will be provided with a briefing about it tomorrow, when we will get greater detail about what infrastructure will be undertaken, the number of connections that can be made—I believe it is 12 gegalitres of water, but I could be wrong on that—the amount of water, the growth opportunities and the potential that it creates. The Northern Adelaide Irrigation Scheme is a project that the Liberal Party has supported for some time. Before the 2014 election, Steven Marshall, the member for Norwood, as the Leader of the Opposition, made an announcement about a financial commitment to it in the early stages from the Liberal Party, if we had formed government.

It is significant that the Labor Party in government has chosen to continue to support this because it recognises the importance that it plays across those northern Adelaide electorates, where its own members sit, and its importance for those communities. I acknowledge the commitment by the government on this and hope that it is an example of some more announcements about job creation schemes that will come later in the budget papers.

Many members on this side who stand up and talk about our communities, metropolitan or suburban, talk about jobs and unemployment, the challenges for young people and those in middle age who, through no fault of their own, are looking for positions and the difficulty attached to that. The budget has to drive that opportunity, but this is an example where an early decision was made by the state government to invest \$110 million. I recognise that and I commend them for it.

Another good point I want to make, which you can argue is not directly linked to supply legislation but is supported by the state government and very strongly supported by local communities, concerns the national heritage register listing. Only yesterday, the federal minister, Josh Frydenberg, announced that Burra and Moonta would actually be 109th and 110th respectively on that national heritage listing, which provides them with an opportunity to apply for and seek world heritage listing.

That is great. I must admit that I was part of a group of people who had discussions about this going back to 2009. It has taken a long time for it to be achieved, but it is a reflection on the significance of what the Moonta, Wallaroo and Burra Burra mines and the communities actually did in the mid-18th century and a little bit later, which actually got South Australia out of significant financial trouble. It has also brought another part of the world to us, particularly in relation to where I am from, with the Cornish connection.

These are wonderful examples of where the history of those areas has been recognised. They have gone onto the national listing and that provides an opportunity for those communities to push for that higher level of listing with world heritage site listing. That is fantastic news. It has taken too long to be achieved, but it is just another step in the efforts being made to ensure that what is there is recognised, that those who built it are recognised and that we all benefit.

I know that in the Goyder community, when you drive around Kadina, Wallaroo and Moonta, the buoyancy that existed in the local communities when the mines were strong and provided job opportunities is so pronounced. Yes, there was a sadness attached to poor health conditions at that time, including the terrible influenza epidemic and the loss of lives resulting from that, but they built and constructed those communities in a way that was an exponential leap above what would have been their natural growth.

Indeed, they provided some fantastic facilities not far from us on North Terrace from the money, the revenue and the profits and their commitment to improve South Australia by contributing to the facilities on North Terrace. What they did in the state in that period in the 1900s was significant.

What they did locally was of extra special significance, but there is a longer term benefit from it also. I commend all who have been associated with that. It has taken a lot of effort for it to be achieved, but it will be part of that next level of recognition.

For the Kadina, Wallaroo and Moonta communities, it builds on the Kernewek Lowender, the Cornish festival that will happen in only a few weeks' time. For those members who are listening and who are not committed between 19 and 21 May, there is a wonderful three-day event—it used to be a little bit longer than that, but the moving of public holidays has made it a bit more challenging—where the Cornish history of the community is recognised by thousands and thousands of people. I am pleased that the Premier is going to be there on Friday at Moonta to open the event. I think it was four years ago when the member for Frome and I were—

The Hon. G.G. Brock: Dancing.

Mr GRIFFITHS: Yes, that's right—not maypoles; I should remember this. I know that other members of parliament will be there, too, who might have a Cornish connection in their own family or have a deep interest in history in the community. I encourage all to attend. You will certainly enjoy your three days. Accommodation might be hard to find now because the festival brings a lot of people to the area. Even if you just drive up for the day, it will be time well spent. It is another recognition of our history, which is interesting because May is History Month. It is a good time of the year.

Talking about supply, I want to put on the record an issue I am a bit conflicted about. The Minister for Transport made some announcements in August or September last year, a little while after the budget was delivered, about the level of investment in road infrastructure in the electorates of Frome and Goyder. That is fantastic, I must say. There is a variety of issues, including Y-junction repairs becoming T-intersections, shoulder widening and pavement improvements. It is not all of it. We certainly need a lot more done across both electorates, there no doubt about it, but it is a significant investment, which did not receive a lot of fanfare at the time of the budget announcement, but it has come out since and it is exciting to see what it will do.

However, there is one component of it that I have had concerns with, and it is not necessarily about the intention but the scope of the work, and that is the Federation Park roundabout. Other members in this place might be aware that if you are a visitor to Yorke Peninsula, travelling there or leaving during peak holiday times, it is not just that current T-intersection; it is also the intersection with the Copper Coast Highway and Highway One just north of Port Wakefield which causes concerns.

There is about \$4 million in this commitment by the Minister for Transport to construct a roundabout at Federation Park. I believe that is a significant part of the fix for it. I have no doubt about that, but it is the scope of the roundabout that concerns me. One part of the Road Rules is that we give way to those on the right. At the moment, if you are headed from the Copper Coast towards Port Wakefield and further south, Yorke Peninsula traffic attempting to get onto that main road often takes a long time to do so. For example, deliberately during a challenging time I travelled back to Adelaide, and it took me 33 minutes to do about two kilometres from the old railway line that crossed at the top of the gulf to the Federation Park T-junction and then 27 minutes to get to the Highway One intersection, so an hour to do about 10 kilometres.

The fix that the government proposes and that the minister has put in place, for which construction work is probably about to start very soon, is for the roundabout to be a single lane. A single lane does not necessarily concern me, but my wish and the wish of the alliance of councils—Barunga West council, Copper Coast council, Yorke Peninsula Council and Wakefield Regional Council—was for a slip lane to exist on the eastern side of the roundabout to allow Copper Coast traffic heading south to go around the roundabout and then have a connection on the southern side of the roundabout where the Yorke Peninsula traffic going around the roundabout will connect and head into Port Wakefield.

The minister was good enough to meet with me and the mayor and CEO of each of those councils in about the middle of October last year. I am really grateful for the opportunity. They all came down and met here in parliament. The minister and his staff put to us that the modelling undertaken for the intersection was for the maximum weight and distance (not necessarily time) to be no more than 70 metres from the roundabout when it goes through it. Remember that the

roundabout creates a different situation, whereby Yorke Peninsula traffic gets on it and Copper Coast traffic has to then stop for it because of its single lane nature. The concern of the councils about the design by the minister and his staff was that the intersection would not be improved necessarily because it would transfer the challenge that, instead of Yorke Peninsula traffic having to stop, Copper Coast traffic would have to stop, and that has created some worries.

The minister met with the mayors, CEOs and me. He listened to the request for the slip lane on the eastern side of the roundabout for Copper Coast traffic heading south to be included. The minister committed to providing the modelling, but unfortunately the modelling has not been provided. There have been numerous requests for it, but it has not come through, and the minister has made the final determination that the design work shown at that meeting will continue and there will not be a slip lane but a single lane. I only hope that it works.

I am contacted regularly about this by people who feel as I do that, yes, it is fantastic that money has been invested, but the money is not going to provide the solution that it should for the long-term benefit of the Copper Coast and Yorke Peninsula communities and their visitors. I hope it works out. I have grave doubts about that. I am frustrated to some degree by the lack of feedback that has come through.

While I am very comfortable with 90 per cent of the expenditure of that \$32 million that the state government has committed to the infrastructure in the areas of the members for Frome and Goyder, I am frustrated that this money might not be put to its optimum use. That is part of the challenge. There has been a lot of local media about it. The minister has been contacted by a variety of people about it. It is not just traditional sedan or car drivers, but the transport industry has also put its position about this, so I hope it works out.

I also want to put on the record some frustrations I have with hospitals, and I hope that there will be investment in hospitals in the budget when it is eventually submitted; for me, a typical example at the moment is Yorketown Hospital. The member for Frome was invited to a public meeting. He was unable to attend, but he provided me with some words that I committed to read at the meeting. Minister Snelling, the Minister for Health, was also invited, but he was unable to attend and so one of the senior regional SA Health people was there. There were 607 people who attended that meeting, which is a lot from the southern Yorke Peninsula area, and it was because they are passionate about their hospital.

In the convening of that public meeting, the key person for me in making sure I was there was Dr George Kokar. I have known George for a long time. He put my shoulder back in a couple of times when I popped it out playing sport. He was there for the birth of both my children and, even though the midwives did all the hard work, Dr George was there. He has been practising in the area for 44 years, and he is part of an age profile of GPs.

Lyn Poole from the Rural Doctors Workforce Agency advised me that previously doctors dedicated their lives to their practice, and many would be there for a long time in very small practices. There used to be single doctor practices, but these matured into two-doctor practices to at least give them some days off. It was not unusual for them to have late nights, very early mornings, seven-day schedules and very little time off.

Dr George Kokar also provides anaesthetist services for surgical procedures conducted at Yorketown. George is 70 and he has indicated that within a couple of years he will choose to do something else with his life—and he has earned that right, absolutely and completely. Because of the difficulty of getting skills into regional hospitals in particular, they are all facing the same sort of challenge.

This is a really important policy area where governments and oppositions need to work in association with universities to ensure that a solution can be found through not just regional kids who study medicine and go back to practise in regional areas but metropolitan kids who study medicine and who are prepared to practise in regional areas. Unless we get that skill transfer right and we have skilled people in regional hospitals providing important health services, we are going to lose more and more.

The surgical challenge for Yorketown Hospital was that colonoscopies would still be conducted but that three other surgical areas would be removed or transferred to Wallaroo. I believe that places significant pressure upon Wallaroo Hospital's ability to continue to provide. Wallaroo is a bigger structure organisation. It does not have any more beds than Yorketown, but it does have seven private beds associated with the public hospital. Health is trying to do the best they can within the resources provided to them, so it is a resource issue but, as I said at the public meeting, it is also a society challenge.

In the future, maybe we will not get doctors who are prepared to devote their lives to their patients, commit themselves to their patients and forgo their own family commitments, but we cannot necessarily have a situation where professionals are restrictive in their hours. It has been put to me that too many younger professionals graduating as doctors only want to work nine to five. I am surprised by that. Maybe I am old-fashioned, but I believe that, if you commit yourself to seven years of university study for a medical degree, you are going to work a lot more hours than that because that is where you believe that your life lies and that is the commitment you make to the people and to the community you serve and in which you work and become such an important part of.

There were 607 people at that meeting. Within three days we had 650 people's names on a petition calling for resources to be allocated to it. I was very pleased that the Hon. Stephen Wade from the other place as the shadow minister for health attended the public meeting and committed from the Liberal Party perspective, on the basis of being elected into government next year, the funding required for the hospital surgery upgrades. That was up to about \$220,000 and Mr Wade made that commitment, which is fantastic news.

I hope that the government can recognise the important service that surgical procedures in regional hospitals provide to all areas. It is easy to say that people can go to Wallaroo instead. It is easy to say that it is an hour and a quarter drive, but it is 75 minutes if you live in Yorketown. If you are further down the southern Yorke Peninsula—say at Marion Bay—you have another 45 minutes on top of that again, so you are two hours away from the place where the procedure is to be undertaken, and if you want to deliver a baby on Yorke Peninsula Wallaroo is the only place that does obstetrics and deliveries. It is part of the challenge.

I know that many members on this side live in regional communities and we know the challenges they face; dollars are part of it, but policy is a really important part of it, too, and that is where the budget and the Supply Bill help drive that, because government departments need resources, all government departments need to be efficient in what they do. The member for Stuart talked about \$4 billion in expenditure that was above budget figures, also over a few years, but it is that efficiency of service delivery that has to create the resources to provide what everybody needs.

I have long been a believer—it is part of the reason I came into this place and sought to represent others—that no matter where you live you should receive the same level of service. The more information I possess the more I understand the great challenges of that, and that is why there is a significant investment in metropolitan areas. I understand that, because that is where the concentration of people live, but those of us who choose to live in the regions and who want the regions to be vibrant and strong communities that provide a future for the next generation and the capacity for our older generation to live there, retire there and live their last days there need to ensure that state budgets actually provide for that.

That means you have to have a strong economy, and that is why others talk about jobs. I absolutely and totally agree with that because it is jobs that create opportunities for revenue and it is revenue that creates transactions, and it is transactions that put money into Treasury's hands. Treasury then dishes it out with the capacity to fund what it needs—

Ms Redmond: For services.

Mr GRIFFITHS: Absolutely, for services and infrastructure, as the member for Heysen confirms. It drives everything. I hope that, while we sit in here and talk about \$5.907 billion, we get it right, because getting it right provides an opportunity for all our communities to live and prosper.

*Parliamentary Procedure***VISITORS**

The SPEAKER: Before I call the next speaker, I would like to welcome to parliament today some interstate guests from Queensland, John and Lonah and Lorraine and Des, who are guests of the member for Heysen. We welcome them to parliament and hope they enjoy their time with us today.

*Bills***SUPPLY BILL 2017***Second Reading*

Debate resumed.

Mr WHETSTONE (Chaffey) (12:46): I rise to speak on the Supply Bill 2017. While we on this side support the bill, it is important to point out some of the areas in which I think the state could be doing much better ahead of the state budget.

The Supply Bill is a mechanism to allow the continued payment of public servants and public services until the Appropriation Bill, or the budget bill, is passed in this place. However, the appropriation for the 2017 Supply Bill is \$5.9 billion; in 2016, the appropriation was \$3.444 billion, in 2015 the appropriation was \$3.291 billion, and in 2014 the appropriation was \$3.941 billion. There has been a clear rise in appropriation funds in the past 12 months, and you have to ask: where have these extra funds benefited our economy? Has our economy grown? These are the questions that need to be asked and the questions that need to be answered by the government.

I can assure the house that it has not. If we look at our economy's performance, if we look at our investment performance, productive investment, infrastructure investment, where are we prioritising growing the state? If we look at the state of play in South Australia, we have the highest unemployment rate in the nation. That is a concern, and what are we going to do about it? What economic stimulus are we going to put in place to address the high unemployment? It affects everyone living here in South Australia. I know that impact of unemployment has been stated many times on this side, but what are the impacts on our economy of not addressing the high unemployment rate? That is what the government really needs to look at.

Currently, the government's credit card is maxed out, yet we still have high unemployment. Labor keeps spruiking how good South Australian business conditions are and how this state is the greatest place to live and invest in Australia, but we need to be realistic. The government can pick and choose all the statistics that suit it and suit the argument, but if we do not address the situation in a realistic way we are never going to pull ourselves out of this economic decline. Sadly, at the moment the economic decline is almost at the point where it is in freefall. As shadow minister for trade and investment, I know what we are dealing with—the decline in numbers.

The stats do not lie. I ask the Minister for Investment and Trade, who is not here: what are we actually getting from all these runaway programs and these overseas contingencies that head overseas? The political argument is that it is great to be taking many businesses and many South Australians to overseas destinations, but I can assure you that the numbers do not lie. The ABS stats are showing us, year on year, the predicament we have got ourselves into. That is a real concern.

If we look at exports, the minister told this house in February that our merchandise exports were up to \$11.5 billion in 2015-16, yet in a speech to the South Australian Press Club in 2014 the Minister for Trade said, 'We recently reached \$12.3 billion in exports, a record total, but we need to do far better than that.' Is he really assessing his performance? Has he actually assessed where South Australia is headed when it comes to building our economy and improving our exports? When it comes to getting rubber to hit the road and those businesses achieving export success for South Australia so that the government of the day can stand up there and spruik the credentials that they long to spruik, sadly it is not happening.

The latest ABS stats show that in the 12 months to March 2017 the value of South Australia's overseas goods exports totalled \$10.9 billion. That is down 6.2 per cent, or \$716 million, on the

previous 12 months in original terms. Nationally, the value of overseas goods and exports was up 13 per cent. That is a clear indication that South Australia is not following the national trend. I would like to think that we could at least match the rest of the country.

We cannot keep blaming resource prices and the lack of resources heading overseas because every other state is being impacted by the price of resources. They are all being impacted by the GFC, they are all being impacted by the price of the dollar and they are all being impacted by their economy that they are actually addressing. They are building an economy. At the moment, our economy has flatlined. In actual fact, our economy is heading south.

That national value shows us that South Australia cannot keep up with the national average. That is a real concern. What are we doing wrong? At the moment, we have headline acts about ministers and the Premier heading over to China. Are they going over there to actually address the economic decline or are they going over there to watch a game of football?

Mr Pengilly: To have a jolly good time.

Mr WHETSTONE: Yes, that's right. What is their priority? We all love to see a South Australian football team going over to China to play another Australian AFL team, but what is it actually doing for our economy? What is it actually doing for the numbers? The minister continues to get up and say, 'Well, it's the naysayers. It's all about the opposition picking numbers,' but I am not just picking numbers. I am using the numbers the national bureau is giving us in relation to the national average. They give us a figure that gives us our performance indicators. It is giving us a very, very clear picture that our economy is in decline.

What are we doing about it? Let's have a look around. In annual terms averaged over 12 months, South Australia's 4 per cent share of national exports is down on the 4.8 achieved in March 2016. Nationally, over the past 12 months, the value of merchandise exports has risen by 12.6 per cent compared with the preceding 12 months, to \$274 billion nationally. In 2002, South Australia had 7.4 per cent of the national footprint for exports. Today, we have just 4 per cent of the national footprint. That is a pretty clear indicator that things have gone backwards and are continuing to go backwards.

I plead with the government to get their priorities right. Put some focus on what we need to be doing to build our economy and not on jetsetting around the world, taking large numbers of people. It is all the fanfare, all the ganbei and all the fantastic headline acts, but taking a large number of people into a room, swapping business cards and having some niceties is not going to address the situation.

We need to strategically target businesses in South Australia with importers or exporters in export destinations. It is not just about putting all our export eggs into one basket, into China, or putting our export eggs into the emerging economies of India. We have to look at what our businesses are doing well, what goods and services of ours countries are wanting, such as our fantastic foods and beverages. We have to look at how South Australia can build reputations, how South Australia can better represent itself and how it can put itself on the world map.

How are we going to sell goods and services to the rest of the world? We have to sell ourselves. We have to show the world where we are. If you go to a lot of overseas destinations—as I have and as I used to in a previous life—many people do not know where South Australia is. They say, 'South Australia? Adelaide? It's in Australia. We know Sydney, we know Melbourne, we know the Gold Coast.' They do not know where South Australia is. They say, 'Southern Australia?' I say, 'You're getting close.'

We have to have these one-on-one businesses being able to go and present themselves and tell a story, gain trust, continue that trust and continue the ongoing dialogue. Once our overseas partners have that trust, they will then engage in parting with the cash, because that is what it is about. It is about our customers investing, but that is usually gained through trust. It is a bit like going into a car yard. You are going to buy from someone that you trust. You are not going to go into some dodgy car yard that is not known for its worthiness or its quality vehicles. You have to gain trust before you can gain the money. When you gain the money, that is when the exports start to grow.

South Australian export markets that experienced a decline in the year to March—the US was down 24 per cent, the Middle East was down 30 per cent and Canada was down 30 per cent. The ASEAN region, one of our most critical regions, was down \$74 million. The total EU was down \$56 million. These markets are some of the strongest prospects that we have for growing our exports and our economy.

We did see an increase in some of our export destinations and export partners, which is great, but we have to diversify our targeted markets so that we can do a better job of growing our economy. We can send value-added products to these destinations. It is not about filling up ships with raw commodity. It is not about filling up containers with big bladders of wine or filling up ships with wheat. It is about value-adding to that wine and putting it in bottles. It is about value-adding to our wheat, putting it into starch content, putting it into pastas, putting it into those value-added products that we can send away.

When we value-add, we are creating jobs. When we create jobs, we create wealth. When we create wealth, we create employment. When we create employment, we build our economy. It is a pretty simple dynamic. It is a circle that goes round and round. These statistics show what we can and what we should be achieving. In regard to interstate migration, in his 2009 book, *Wool to Weta*, late New Zealand psychiatrist Paul Sir Callahan wrote:

Our children go to London or Sydney or New York, and they like the lifestyle, they like the high salaries and they have plenty of Kiwi mates on hand. There are plenty of countries on the planet less prosperous than our own. But when our grandchildren are growing up on the other side of the world, when we have to Skype to read a bedtime story, we feel a pang of grief.

We feel the pain. We feel the grief that our young have left the country. They have left our shores because we did not have anything to offer them. We did not offer them prosperity. There is the issue of population growth here in South Australia. In mainland Australia, we are at the bottom of the pack. It hurts to know that my son has just moved interstate. It hurts that my daughter, who is now studying at university, is saying to me, 'Dad, if I can't find a job, I will have to do what my brother Nick is doing. I'll have to go to interstate, too.' They are already programming themselves that, if there are no jobs here in South Australia, they are going to move. I seek leave to continue my remarks.

Leave granted; debate adjourned.

Sitting suspended from 12:59 to 14:00.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (ELECTRICITY SUPPLY EMERGENCIES) AMENDMENT BILL

Assent

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

ROAD TRAFFIC (ROADWORKS) AMENDMENT BILL

Assent

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

STATUTES AMENDMENT (REGISTERED RELATIONSHIPS) BILL

Assent

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

RAIL SAFETY NATIONAL LAW (SOUTH AUSTRALIA) (MISCELLANEOUS NO 3) AMENDMENT BILL

Assent

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

Condolence

MILLHOUSE, HON. R.R.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (14:01): I move:

That this house express its deep regret at the death of the Hon. Robin Rhodes Millhouse QC, a former member of this house, minister of the Crown and justice of the Supreme Court of South Australia, and places on record its appreciation of his long and meritorious service and that, as a mark of respect to his memory, the sitting of the house be suspended until the ringing of the bells.

On 28 April 2017, South Australia lost one of its most colourful, accomplished and resilient public figures with the passing of Robin Millhouse at the age of 87 years. He possessed a sharp and brilliant mind. He excelled in both law and politics. He was sometimes prickly, often eccentric and controversial, but always his own man. He had a passion for social justice and progressive ideas and he was an extraordinarily popular and electorally successful MP. Most of all, Robin Millhouse loved his parents, his wife, his children, his grandchildren and his God. All these traits were obvious to those who attended, as I did, his funeral at St Columba's Anglican Church at Hawthorn on Saturday 6 May.

On behalf of members on this side of the house, I extend my sympathies to his children, Issy, Jenny, Libby, Christopher and Penny, and the wider Millhouse family. I am very pleased that some family members are in the public gallery here this afternoon.

The son of a Supreme Court judge, Robin Rhodes Millhouse was born in North Adelaide on 9 December 1929 and educated at St Peter's College and the University of Adelaide. He was admitted to legal practice in 1953. Four years later, he married Ann Radford, who was sadly to pass away in 1992. In May 1955, at the remarkably young age of 25, he became the member for Mitcham following a by-election. He began as a representative of what was then called the Liberal and Country League, but this turned out to be just the first of many parties and groupings with which Robin Millhouse would associate his often turbulent 27-year parliamentary career.

We see perhaps a hint of his analytical independent frame of mind in his first speech he made in this chamber. He said in the speech, after generally commending the work of the then Playford government, that he did not want to be thought of as blindly eulogistic of the government. That was a warning! No person or group of human beings was perfect, he noted, and although we were bound to seek perfection, none of us could attain it. He was reported in *Hansard* as then telling the house:

It follows that no government, however good it is, can be entirely without blame and we delude ourselves if we think otherwise. When considering problems, political or not, we must do so on their merits, bringing to bear both our own background of knowledge and belief, but also our consciousness, our sense of what is right, just and fair, if you like to put it that way.

This is a philosophy he adhered to throughout his time in this place and throughout his life. After rising through the parliamentary ranks, Robin became among other things Attorney-General in the Steele Hall government of 1968 to 1970. As such, he was a central figure in the reforms that made the state electoral system much fairer and, as a result, profoundly changed the political landscape.

When we look at it now with the benefit of hindsight, this period between the first and second Dunstan governments is characterised more by continuity than interruption. It is clear that the Hall government's agenda, with its support for the building of the Adelaide Festival Centre, its progressive social legislation and changes to the electoral system was very much of a piece with the general opening up of the state in the post-Playford era. In particular, the removal of the notorious gerrymander, which was brought about by the courage and leadership of Robin Millhouse and Steele Hall, was a bold and admirable act that changed our state for the better.

As the 1970s progressed, Mr Millhouse's prominence in public life grew. He became well known for his quirky behaviour and views. He once outed himself as the Parliament House stalker, after being caught naked or half-naked, no-one will ever really know, walking between the second-floor men's showers and his office. He gained publicity by visiting an Adelaide massage parlour on a purely research basis—see whether you can get away with that these days—to work out whether it was indeed a brothel.

For a 1981 picture opportunity in the old afternoon newspaper *The News*, he once dressed up as a kind of caveman and cavorted around Botanic Park. He loved to jog, once running from Adelaide to Gawler in a marathon. Although sometimes called a bible-basher for his devout Christian beliefs, he was rather progressive in his social attitudes for the time. For example, he was a fan and

active member of nudist clubs, having officially opened the first one established in this state and was a frequenter of Maslin Beach.

Also, as Attorney-General, he sanctioned the performance in Adelaide of a risqué piece of off-Broadway theatre called *The Boys in the Band*. He railed against salary increases and expanded the benefits for MPs. He advocated for the decriminalisation of prostitution and held a more progressive view on abortion laws than many of his colleagues. He also introduced legislation making compulsory the use of seatbelts in cars. As many members are aware, Robin Millhouse was a key figure in the schisms that occurred in the 1970s in the conservative side of state politics. There were fights about electoral reform and other matters, and the result was a series of dramatic resignations and party splinterings.

Described in the papers as a maverick, showman and gadfly, Mr Millhouse at various times represented the LCL, the Liberal Movement and the New Liberal Movement. At one stage during a particularly complex period, he somehow managed to hold the position of deputy leader of both the mainstream LCL and Steele Hall's breakaway Liberal Movement. Congratulations! After further manoeuvrings in the 1970s, he eventually became a member of the Australian Democrats and successfully contested the seat of Mitcham under that banner—quite an achievement, given the Democrats were still an emerging party. Extraordinary!

Having become Queen's Counsel in 1979, he spent a lot of time representing clients in the courts and was happy to describe himself as a part-time parliamentarian. He was criticised for this by colleagues of both ends of the political spectrum, but he insisted that it made him a better MP. Robin was quite open about his desire to take up a position on the judicial benches, and that came to pass when he was appointed to the Supreme Court in 1982. Following a long and well-respected judicial career here in Adelaide that ended in 1999, he became the Chief Justice of the High Court of Kiribati and Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Nauru. Later, he served as a locum judge in the Pacific nation of Tuvalu. Most recently, he lived in Sydney and, despite failing health, he tried to remain as physically active as he could.

Robin Millhouse had a stellar career and, by his own assessment, a happy life. Sharing characteristics with leaders at the time, such as Don Dunstan, he demonstrated flair, theatricality and idealism. Like so many who founded South Australia in the 1800s, he was a mixture of both conservative and progressive. As the former Adelaide journalist, Peter Ward, wrote in 1982, at the time of Robin's move to the bench, 'They don't make them like Millhouse anymore.'

Today, we celebrate the life of this great South Australian. It was easy to see the depth of the love and sadness of his family at the service on the weekend. We express our deep condolences to his family and friends.

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (14:10): I rise to support this motion and commend the Premier for his comments to the house.

Robin Rhodes Millhouse entered this house at a by-election in 1955. His departure in 1982 triggered a by-election. In between, little he did was conventional either. He was a stirrer, he was an activist and he was often controversial—if sometimes unintended. He was a reformer. For almost 20 years, he was a member of the Liberal Party, then he managed to sit as a member of four parties in just four years. His differences with the Liberal Party during the last decade of his service to this house are well known. Today, however, we lay aside those differences to remember a remarkable man.

Even before entering parliament, while studying law at Adelaide University he had challenged the prevailing orthodoxy within the Liberal Party about electoral reform. He continued to do that as a member of this place until electoral laws for both houses were changed in the 1970s. He sought the leadership of our party in 1966 when Sir Thomas Playford stood down. He was not successful, but gave steadfast support to Steele Hall as Playford's successor.

Playford had served at Gallipoli. Millhouse and Hall had been too young to serve in the Second World War. The transition in Liberal leadership marked generational political change. The Hall government introduced many reforms between 1968 and 1970, building on the economic legacy of Playford. Indeed, while Dunstan may be remembered as a social reformer, the Hall government

set the design with more courageous and enduring reforms in just two years than Dunstan had achieved in a decade. They included:

- extensive law reform;
- abortion law reform;
- fundamental reform of House of Assembly electoral boundaries;
- fluoridation of the metropolitan water supply to improve child dental health in South Australia;
- the metropolitan Adelaide transportation plan;
- the initiation of West Lakes as pathfinding urban development;
- construction of the Dartmouth dam to shore up the state's water supply;
- the establishment of the Festival Centre at a better location than had previously been selected;
- the introduction of seatbelts in cars;
- lowering the drinking age from 21 to 18; and
- searching reviews of social education and environmental policies.

The circumstances in which the Liberal Party lost the 1970 election and subsequent divisions within our party have overshadowed these historic achievements and denied the party the credit it deserves for what it has done for South Australia—but they should not, nor should we overlook the vital role of Robin Millhouse in pushing the reform envelope as Steele Hall's Attorney-General and the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Social Welfare.

Robin left our party in 1973. He subsequently sat as a member of the Liberal Movement, the New Liberal Movement and, finally, as a founding member of the Australian Democrats. Even as a lone member, he made many waves as he continued his bare-chested running on the coldest of winter mornings, drove his purple Mini Moke around the leafy streets of Mitcham and even sometimes bared it all at Maslin.

As an Australian Democrat, he campaigned against uranium mining and the establishment of the Olympic Dam mine. He asked parliamentary questions that led to the dismissal of a police commissioner. He inquired publicly about whether the governor at the time was a fit person to remain in office. He remained fearless and unrepentant, earning the reflection from Sir Walter Crocker, in his biography of Playford, that Robin was 'a somewhat erratic, if courageous lawyer'.

While on some important issues in his closing parliamentary years Robin may have been on the wrong side of history, for much of his service he had helped to create that history. In 1982, the Tonkin Liberal government was prepared to put aside its differences with him and elevate him to the Supreme Court. In that role, he followed his father, as he had committed to his mother that he would do. For the following 17 years, it was a fitting climax to a life of meritorious public service to the people of this state. It is for that we honour Robin Millhouse in this parliament today. On behalf of our side of this parliament, I would like to express our sincere condolences to his surviving family members: his children, who are here today, and his many grandchildren.

The Hon. J.R. RAU (Enfield—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Justice Reform, Minister for Planning, Minister for Industrial Relations, Minister for Child Protection Reform, Minister for the Public Sector, Minister for Consumer and Business Services, Minister for the City of Adelaide) (14:15): I rise today to express my sincere condolences with respect to the passing of the Hon. Robin Millhouse QC. The Hon. Mr Millhouse had an astonishing career, serving as a parliamentarian for the better part of 30 years as a minister, including, and most well remembered for, as Attorney-General for five years, and as a Justice of the Supreme Court for 17 years and Chief Justice of both Nauru and Kiribati.

As a parliamentarian, Mr Millhouse was well known for being passionate and outspoken on his ideological views, with what has been described already as a maverick tendency. His commitment

to liberal democratic principles guided his actions as a key player in the politics of the 1970s. The previous two speakers have canvassed the detail of that, so I will not repeat it. As a minister, he was by any measure a reformist. His achievements included, from my point of view very significantly, the reformation of the electoral system, as well as several important social reforms.

His bold and, I would suggest, courageous commitment to 'one vote, one value' is a lasting testament to his progressive inclinations. As a Justice of the Supreme Court, he served with distinction, dedication and good humour. Although his time and mine did overlap, I did not have the privilege of appearing before him. However, he was reputed amongst the profession to have a practice of airing the judicial running kit near the Grote Street windows from time to time. He remained very keen on those physical activities.

By the time he retired from his various judicial roles, he was aged over 80, which clearly demonstrates a lifelong commitment to public service. The Hon. Mr Millhouse continued a long-honoured South Australian tradition of parliamentary eccentricity, noted for his inclination to wear shorts in professional environments and occasionally much less than that as a regular at Maslin Beach. My thoughts are with his family and friends at what must be a very difficult time. He was a man who left a significant and lasting legacy to the benefit of all South Australians.

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:18): I rise to support the Premier's motion to recognise and pay tribute to Robin Rhodes Millhouse QC in the presence of his family and some of his former colleagues. As we know, Mr Millhouse entered this parliament in 1955, at a time before almost all of us were born. Once the 1960s and even the 1970s came around, there was a major period of legal, electoral, social and political reform. It was a great time, I think, for him to have served in his public office in a political life, culminating at that time as Attorney-General in the Hall government.

Much has already been said about his reformist agenda and his preparedness to speak up in respect of issues that were popular at the time, remembering that this was a fairly volatile period for Australian politics, when the Prime Minister had gone missing on a Victorian beach, presumed to have been either eaten by a shark or taken in a Russian submarine. It was a very volatile time. His advocacy is well known, as is his ultimate, second and most impressive career as a Justice of the Supreme Court and then in a justice role on behalf of the national government in the islands surrounding Australia. I thought the most effective phrase to capture the personality of Robin Millhouse was one of his good friends Rex Jory's, who described him as being 'unfailingly courteous, generous and instructive'. He was referring to their continued relationship and friendship post his residency in New South Wales.

As some would say, it was a gentle era for politicians, but I think that it was highly revolutionary. The issues that I think need to be recorded are relevant to his push to have a nudist beach in South Australia, which ultimately was declared at Maslin Beach on our southern beaches. It was very controversial at the time (it was, in fact, in my father's electorate at the time) and there were extensive petitions for and against it. However, Mr Millhouse took the view that it was important that there be a private area, where there was not a high level of residency, for people to be in their state of undress and for all of the attributes that went with that.

He invited the then Jennifer Adamson—later Jennifer Cashmore, a minister in the Tonkin government—to attend with him to skinny dip at Maslin Beach. She relied on the wonderful Oscar Wilde response: 'I have only one thing to decline, and that's your invitation.' Notwithstanding what was quite significant public outrage at the time, he continued and decided that, in his era on the bench in the Supreme Court, he would delight and surprise young practitioners who would go to his chambers when hearing an application out of court. The Speaker smiles and reminisces. I am not quite sure whether you were one of those practitioners. It would have been a long time ago, of course.

It was quite common for people to come into his chambers and be seated to hear the applications. The judge would already be seated, but you would have to walk past his mantelpiece to actually sit down. Of course, if you took some poor, young, hapless junior with you, they would almost drop the brief as they walked past the mantelpiece after seeing the judge in a large framed photograph in a complete state of undress. It was quite a challenge for some of our young practitioners, but it was an initiation that indicated to them the level of fun and eccentricity, to some

degree, displayed by His Honour. He was obviously out to shock in other areas. He insisted on riding a bicycle to court rather than taking a publicly funded car, etc.

Perhaps a reflection of the era in which he served during the 1970s was his insistence on continuing his practice at the bar. He would be known, according to the Hon. Graham Gunn, to ask a question at 2 o'clock at question time and then immediately hop in his car and be driven down to the Supreme Court to resume a trial as senior counsel at 2.15. Those were the days.

Unsurprisingly, his passion for physical activity meant that he was an extremely fit man and enjoyed a long life. My earliest memories of him in this capacity include his service to the Army. It is not well known, but he would take patrols out to regional South Australia. On one occasion, it was to the cliffs of Western River, where he was asked to take a patrol for them to do what was the early version of parasailing and climbing up and down cliffs for fitness for the Australian Army. As I said, there is not much mention of Mr Millhouse's contribution in that regard, but he did hold quite a senior rank in the Army.

Finally, I would not say that Mr Millhouse was instrumental in my taking up a career in the law. However, in 1970 I attended as a witness in a case in the Supreme Court. Mr Jim Crammond (now deceased) appeared for the applicant, Mr Robin Millhouse appeared for the respondent and the judge was Justice Sangster—not something that you would rush to—he has also since passed. I was a witness at the age of 13 and had the pleasure of being cross-examined by Robin Millhouse for 2½ hours. I would have to say that, on balance, it was a character-building exercise. It was my first introduction to court procedure and the opportunity for another life post-education.

I thank Mr Millhouse for his service to public office. To his family, and the sacrifice they inevitably make during a time when a parent undertakes decades of public service to us in South Australia, to the nation and, of course, to our neighbouring colonies, thank you very much.

The Hon. T.R. KENYON (Newland) (14:25): I also rise to offer my condolences to the family and to thank Mr Millhouse for his service to this state. I would like to reflect on the contribution of the Hon. Robin Millhouse from the perspective of the current member for Waite, who has written to me. I will read his letter to me:

Dear Mr Kenyon,

As the current member for Waite, representing most of the old electorate of Mitcham which the Honourable Robin Millhouse served so well from 1955 to 1982, I would like to offer some thoughts on his contribution to public life.

He was well known in Mitcham as a young MP and remained well known to the community for the several decades after his 27 years' service as their local representative. When I started door-knocking in the electorate, people often spoke about his contribution and his sense of community.

As Rex Jory noted in his obituary to Robin, the Millhouse way was never the easy way. He was a member of a political party dominated by regional MPs and when he was elected as the MP for Mitcham he was one of the few Liberal Country League members with an urban middle class constituency. As political academic Dean Jaensch wrote in 1997, Millhouse was a 'vocal advocate of his broader constituency, championing their cause in a party dominated by rural conservatives'.

There was a sign of things to come when he wrote a paper on the 'Liberal Case for Electoral Reform', arguing for a fairer electoral system than the one he said was biased against voters resident in metropolitan Adelaide, whether they be progressive or conservative, Liberal or Labor. In that paper, Robin argued that unless the Liberal Country League did more to embrace young urban voters, the trend of young voters shifting to Labor would continue.

This wasn't the only area where the savvy political brain of Robin Millhouse was able to see where our society was heading. In 1975, as State Parliamentary Leader of the Liberal Movement, in his election policy speech at Adelaide Town Hall, he urged that government embrace the emerging concept of solar power. In the same speech he slammed Premier Dunstan's policy for a City of Monarto.

I wonder how he would view today's achievement of 50 per cent renewable energy; and also how he would view the latest political thought bubble for an airport at Monarto.

I imagine that in today's political environment, Robin Millhouse would have been just as he was in this House from 1955 to 1982. He didn't seek applause; he sought fair and intelligent debate. He didn't criticise for the sake of political opportunity.

As he wisely told this House in his first speech in May 1955, and I quote; 'Although we are bound to seek perfection, none of us can attain it. It follows that no Government, however good it is, can be entirely without blame and we delude ourselves if we think otherwise.'

He added, 'When considering problems, political or not, we must do so on their merits...In every problem there are conflicting facets. Our task is to reconcile them as best we can. The answer, even then, will not be perfect, but it will be an honest attempt to do the best of which we are capable.'

Some 20 years after he made that contribution to the House, when the political organisation of which he was a member strayed from what he saw as core principles, he remained loyal to the principles, not the party.

The breakaway formation of the Liberal Movement required some tough personal decisions and when some of those who broke away returned to the party, it was Millhouse who resolved to stand his ground and form the New Liberal Movement, then the Australia Party and eventually the Australian Democrats.

Despite an extraordinary career as a Minister, Attorney-General, reformer and advocate of advancement, it seems that the headline writers of the time were more focused on his decision to leave the Liberal Country League, rather than noting his reasons why.

The voters had a different view: After leaving the Liberal Country League he increased his margin in the seat of Mitcham by three per cent to make it one of the safest in the State, with a 58.8 to 41.2 two party preferred vote.

I also place on the record today the community's appreciation of Robin Millhouse's contribution to the Army Reserve, known in his time as the Citizen Military Forces or CMF. He served as a major and in 1967 while an MP, went to Vietnam in November of 1967 as an observer, which enabled him to have a greater understanding of the challenges faced by those serving in Vietnam.

I will leave it to others to reflect on his judicial career but I close my letter by thanking the former member for Mitcham for his 27 year service to that community and to this house. He stands tall in South Australian politics for his strength of character and commitment to social principles, and I offer my condolences to his family.

Yours sincerely,

The Hon. Martin Hamilton-Smith

Member for Waite

I also add my thanks to Mr Millhouse for his work on behalf of this state, and I acknowledge that it is quite substantial. Many of us can only dream of undertaking such significant reform in our political careers. He should certainly be very satisfied with his contribution to the state both here in the parliament and also in the judiciary, and once again I offer my condolences to the family.

The SPEAKER (14:30): I think I may be the only member to have voted for Robin Millhouse. My primary vote was cast for him, for tactical reasons, at the 1977 general election and the 1979 general election. Indeed, I did some folding and stuffing for his campaign in his Belair Road electorate office and some letterboxing. In the 1975 general election, there had been posters around our neighbourhood with the slogan, 'Millhouse for Premier'.

Robin Millhouse, the son of a judge, studied at St Peter's College, St Mark's College (University of Adelaide) and served as a major with the Citizen Military Forces and with the Universities of Adelaide Regiment. He was a most effective opposition to the Dunstan government in the 1970s, and Bruce Muirden wrote of him in *The Nation Review*:

He knows better than any other MP the best methods of getting others saying what they shouldn't and usually in a rage.

So, I was well pleased when in my first term in the house Heini Becker, Robin Millhouse's principal detractor, interjected on me, 'You're as bad as Millhouse.' Millhouse infuriated his fellow MPs by opposing pay increases and then ostentatiously proposing donating half the increase to charity for a period of six months. Robin Millhouse certainly taught me the electoral value of riding a bike and travelling on public transport.

Robin Millhouse was elected in 1955 to the safe Liberal state district of Mitcham in a by-election necessitated by the death of the sitting member. Millhouse was 25 years of age. His maiden speech is evocative of the era. He talks about the building of the new Unley High School, the recently completed Mannum-Adelaide pipeline, his opposition to controls on prices and rents, and state government grants to municipalities to create libraries, of which he remarked, 'Incidentally I should think that few moves would be less welcome to our communistic enemies than this one.' In his maiden speech, he said:

As a lawyer I have sometimes wished that the legislature would stop tinkering so much with acts of parliament.

He went on to say:

I believe that there is only one perfect being in this universe and to Him all of us, whoever we may be, must look for all our strength and guidance.

I found it hard to persuade my parents to switch from a Labor vote in the state district of Mitcham to a tactical vote for Robin Millhouse. For one thing, my mother loathed his arranging for the media to cover his reading the Bible on a red hen travelling on the Belair line, but eventually they came around. In 1979, his opposition to the state Labor government and criticism of the then state governor prompted the ALP state council to pass a resolution denying ALP second preferences to Robin Millhouse. He still won, despite expensive Liberal Party campaigns against him, starting with the slogan, Callister Can (he could not), and finishing with a couple of Robert Worth challenges.

Millhouse infuriated the government and the Speaker in question time. He was often named and actively sought naming. After being named, he would pack up his attaché case and stride out of the chamber, shouting that he would be at his chambers for the remainder of the day doing legal work.

Indeed, he embraced his status as a part-time MP, argued its merits and sought out a Supreme Court appointment from the Tonkin Liberal government. Attorney-General Trevor Griffin tried in vain to resist the appointment. I recall, as a cadet at *The Advertiser* in 1982, the editor and day editor, and I think perhaps Rex Jory, taking him out for a long lunch the day after his appointment was announced. They were losing a great source of news and stunts.

The Tonkin Liberal government appointed Robin Millhouse to the Supreme Court to create a by-election in Mitcham, which they expected, on good grounds, to win and then lost to the Australian Democrats' Heather Southcott. However, the appointment was not entirely in vain because, at the general election in 1982, the Liberal Party regained the seat of Mitcham with Stephen Baker as its candidate.

When my best man went to work as an associate to a Supreme Court judge, he took me into Justice Millhouse's chambers for the illicit purpose of viewing the photographs on the walls. Robin Millhouse was a devoted Christian who lived the latter part of his life moving freely between the Catholic Church and the Anglican Church. Judging by his published obituary, he had what the church calls a good death. Rest eternal grant unto him, O Lord, and may light perpetual shine upon him.

Motion carried by members standing in their places in silence.

Sitting suspended from 14:37 to 14:46.

Parliamentary Procedure

ANSWERS TABLED

The SPEAKER: I direct that the written answers to questions be distributed and printed in *Hansard*.

PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Premier (Hon. J.W. Weatherill)—

Remuneration Tribunal—Determination No. 2 of 2017 Report Manager Family Violence List Allowance—Magistrates

By the Attorney-General (Hon. J.R. Rau)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—
Security and Investigation Industry—Classes of Offences
Rules made under the following Acts—
Magistrates Court—Amendment No. 16

By the Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (Hon. L.W.K. Bignell)—

Grain Growers Rail Fund—Eyre Peninsula Annual Report 2015-16
Grain Industry Research and Development Fund—Annual Report 2015-16
Industry Fund—
 Apiary Annual Report 2015-16
 Cattle Annual Report 2015-16
 Citrus Growers Annual Report 2015-16
 Deer Annual Report 2015-16
 Grain Annual Report 2015-16
 Pig Annual Report 2015-16
 Sheep Annual Report 2015-16
 South Australian Grape Growers Annual Report 2015-16
Wine Industry Fund—
 Adelaide Hills Annual Report 2015-16
 Barossa Annual Report 2015-16
 Clare Valley Annual Report 2015-16
 Langhorne Creek Annual Report 2015-16
 McLaren Vale Annual Report 2015-16
 Riverland Annual Report 2015-16

By the Minister for Education and Child Development (Hon. S.E. Close)—

Murray-Darling Basin Authority—Annual Report 2015-16
Regulations made under the following Acts—
 Dog and Cat Management—General

By the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure (Hon. S.C. Mullighan)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—
 Passenger Transport—Point to Point Transport Services
 Rail Safety National Law (South Australia)—Fatigue

Ministerial Statement

ROYAL ADELAIDE HOSPITAL

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries) (14:49): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING: The Premier and I announced yesterday that the new Royal Adelaide Hospital will open on 5 September.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING: Don't they hate it. You can see the terror in their eyes as they realise that for the last 10 years they have been on the wrong side of the debate. You can hear the terror in the Leader of the Opposition's shrill little squeaks.

This move is the culmination of countless planning discussions with some of the state's brightest clinical and logistical minds in order to be assured that the move will be as safe as possible. The extensive move plan includes learnings from other major hospital moves across Australia and the world and will be led by the SA Ambulance Service, who will draw on their experience of transporting our state's most critically ill patients across our system every day.

Multiple agencies, including health, fire, ambulance, local council, police and transport, have been working together to identify the best travel routes, traffic control, resources and staffing required. Inpatients will be shifted into the state-of-the-art facility over a three-day clinical move that will happen over 4, 5 and 6 September.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING: I will lay London to a brick that I am not going to get a question about this today because they do not want to talk about the new Royal Adelaide Hospital. They will talk about anything else, but they want to bury their heads in the sand. They want to pretend it does not exist. The South Australian people will hold you to account for the last 10 years that you have been on the wrong side of this debate.

Inpatients will be shifted into the state-of-the-art facility over a three-day clinical move that will happen over 4, 5 and 6 September. A fleet of additional ambulances and South Australia's multipatient ambulance bus will be available to transport around 100 patients to the new hospital on each day of the move.

At 7am on Tuesday 5 September, the emergency department of the new hospital will start taking new patients. At the same time, the old emergency department will officially close. We will see some same-day services progressively start operating at the new Royal Adelaide Hospital from 14 August. This phased approach will enable staff to ease into the transition and become more familiar with their new workplace before the inpatient move.

Six weeks prior to the inpatient move, the number of patients receiving care at the RAH will progressively ramp down from around 600 to around 300. This will involve our whole health system working together to temporarily transfer activity between sites and the rescheduling of non-urgent adult elective surgery. Moving the RAH will be one of the biggest and most intricate undertakings ever seen in Australia and its success is reliant on the health system working together.

In addition to the inpatient move, the move will relocate 6,000 staff, 1,000 volunteers and students and over 60 clinical services and specialities, as well as 177 years of significant and valuable medical history. Moving to the new site is a huge undertaking for all involved, and I know the community is excited about the new hospital opening its doors in September to inpatients. There has been a huge amount of interest from the community, so I will be holding tour days so the public can see inside this wonderful facility for themselves and that will be in July.

The opening of the state-of-the-art new Royal Adelaide Hospital will be one of the most significant events in this state's history. This is a very exciting milestone and I thank all our clinicians, staff and those who were involved in working to ensure a safe and successful move.

LOY YANG POWER STATION

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy) (14:53): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

Mr Knoll: You guys are just trying to run down the clock, aren't you?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS: Ministerial statements don't run down the clock, but you are new, so you will learn.

I wish to update the house about the recent threats of industrial action that were forecast to take place at Loy Yang power station in Victoria next week. Last week, I was informed by the Australian Energy Market Operator, as well as AGL, of impending industrial action for an indefinite period at the plant.

In response to the industrial action, AGL advised the stock market would be instituting an orderly shutdown of the power station and mine to maintain safety this week, with a subsequent lockout of staff. More specifically, the Loy Yang A power station will be switched off tomorrow, while the closure of the mine will result in the shutdown of ENGIE's Loy Yang B power station on about 14 May, removing approximately 3,300 megawatts from the system.

Due to the forced closure of the plants in Victoria, AEMO forecast significant shortages of supply and disruptions to both South Australia's and Victoria's power networks, including load shedding. This, once again, is a completely unacceptable situation. I immediately instructed my department to take action to support the Victorian government and make a submission to the Fair Work Commission. We made it clear that if this action was to recur, the welfare of South Australians would be significantly compromised.

I am pleased to be able to inform the house today that last night the Deputy President of the Fair Work Commission ruled that the threatened industrial action be terminated on the grounds that it would impact the safety and welfare of the community and potentially damage the Australian economy. While this government always supports the rights of workers, I welcome the decision by the commission. The relevant parties will now have 28 days to negotiate a new deal.

An incident yesterday at the same power station has highlighted yet again why this government's energy plan is so important. The Australian Energy Market Operator advised that 1,100 megawatts was lost yesterday afternoon following an unexpected trip to units at Loy Yang. Fortunately, on this occasion reserves were sufficient to cover the loss and the restoration of the units began late last night and early this morning. I have asked AGL what caused the trip and I look forward to receiving that information. It shows the importance of being less reliant on Victoria and more self-reliant on our own generation in South Australia.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (14:58): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: I rise to update the house on the steps undertaken so far to improve the level of care for people at the Oakden older persons mental health facility. What has been uncovered at Oakden is deeply distressing. I reiterate the unconditional apology the Premier and I have made on behalf of the South Australian government to residents, their families and their loved ones.

The Chief Psychiatrist's report uncovered examples of systemic elder abuse and neglect of some of our most vulnerable South Australian citizens dating back to 2011. Disturbingly, he also uncovered a staff culture of cover-up at Oakden that only came to light throughout the course of sustained forensic investigations. The Chief Psychiatrist has stated that his own visit in June last year was not sufficient to uncover the systemic issues.

In 2007-08, the facility failed a significant number of commonwealth accreditation standards. At this time, the state government acted on concerns raised by the commonwealth's Australian Aged Care Quality Agency and full accreditation was again granted. The mental health minister at the time, the Hon. Gail Gago, oversaw both the removal of the commonwealth sanctions regarding the accreditation process and the implementation of a joint management system with private provider ACH, an arrangement that lasted for three years.

Ms Gago advised the chamber in the other place of these developments in April 2008. In 2011, the then minister for health, John Hill, was advised by the department that there had been no further accreditation issues since 2008. I quote:

A strategy has been implemented to reassure both the Department of Health and Ageing and the Aged Care Standards and Accreditation Agency that improvements made in Makk and McLeay would be maintained...

There has been a significant amount of learning, changes and developments of individuals in their roles and functions within Makk and McLeay. Staff have a better understanding of the requirements under the Accreditations Standards and are aware of the need to pursue continuous improvement having a resident focus...

Older Persons Mental Health Services do not consider that accreditation is at risk, but as with any nursing home, there is potential for issues to arise that may impact on the accreditation [standard]...

When the ACH Group contract expired, meetings were held with the Department of Health and Ageing, which was supportive of the strategies suggested and confirmed that Makk and McLeay is no longer under the scrutiny generated by previous non-compliance with standards. The Aged Care Standards and Accreditation Agency was also supportive of the strategies outlined, and advised that Makk and McLeay can expect an unannounced review visit shortly following the end of the ACH Group contract.

Since 2010, the facility has received three-yearly accreditation renewals. As recently as March 2016, Oakden received full accreditation from AACQA until 2019. The 44 standards provide comprehensive coverage of all aspects of an aged-care facility, including management systems, staffing and organisational development, health and personal care, care recipient lifestyle, and physical environment and safety systems. I note the head of the AACQA, Nick Ryan, and his minister, Ken

Wyatt, have now ordered a review of the agency in light of events at Oakden, which the state government welcomes.

As outlined in my previous ministerial statements, the community visitor contacted my office in October 2016 to raise concerns with me regarding the Spriggs' case at Oakden. As a result of this contact, I made inquiries to SA Health, which resulted in the CEO of the Northern Adelaide Local Health Network meeting with the Spriggs family. As a result of this meeting, I agreed to the review of the service in December 2016. The review into Oakden commenced on Monday 16 January.

Prior to the release of the Chief Psychiatrist's report, a number of actions were already being taken to improve care at Oakden. These include introducing further specialist clinical and senior nursing support, increasing the hours of the consultant psychiatrist and employing specialist mental health clinical pharmacists, a social worker, an occupational therapist and a nurse adviser. Since the release of the Chief Psychiatrist's review, further actions have been taken to ensure residents have the respect, dignity and level of care they deserve.

As the report recommended, the Oakden facility will be closed and all six of the review's recommendations have been accepted by the government. Some residents of Oakden will move to the Northgate aged-care facility, which has been scoped for a contract to complete the appropriate safety measures such as the removal and reinforcement of glass to ensure that the building is as safe as possible.

Since the review was released, a number of other senior staff (including a new head of unit) have been appointed. Dr Duncan McKellar, one member of the review panel, commenced in this role last week. An interim nurse unit manager with extensive aged-care dementia and regulatory compliance experience also commenced work at Oakden yesterday, Monday 8 May. Oakden now has senior support on site for residents and their families and carers seven days per week, 24 hours per day.

I can report that a number of staff have been suspended pending further investigation, and a total of 21 have been referred to the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA). While some of the staff are facing serious allegations and have therefore been suspended, others are accused of procedural failures and therefore do not warrant suspension but will be subject to training and performance management. I have also asked AHPRA to expedite its investigations into Oakden staff so that these matters can be dealt with as soon as possible.

It is important to point out that, while I am determined to ensure that any staff who have done the wrong thing are dealt with appropriately, I would like to acknowledge the work of the new staff at the facility and the tremendous difference that they and the new head of Oakden are already making to the safety and wellbeing of residents. We have begun the process of forming an advisory panel that will take responsibility for implementing all the recommendations within the report, including development of an appropriate model of care.

I am advised that the use of restraints at Oakden has already significantly declined since the completion of the review. I can also advise the house that an external clinical audit of other mental health and ageing facilities that house our most vulnerable will be conducted across SA Health. The Premier and I have also met with a number of family members of residents of the Oakden facility. It is important to hear their stories directly, and we will continue to meet with them at their request to update them on the recommendations' implementation.

The government has already supported a parliamentary joint committee on elder abuse to consider reports into abuse in non-government aged-care services. We now announce our intention to support an expansion of the terms of reference for the joint committee to consider the Oakden review. It will also consider the issue raised by the Hon. Kelly Vincent MLC in the other place in relation to the selection and screening of staff working in the aged-care sector in totality. I give a firm commitment to the families and residents of Oakden that I am committed to taking action and fixing this situation and ensuring it cannot happen again.

*Parliamentary Committees***PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE**

Ms DIGANCE (Elder) (15:06): I bring up the 567th report of the committee, entitled 'Workplace fit out at the state government office in Port Adelaide'.

Report received and ordered to be published.

*Question Time***OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY**

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:07): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Does the minister stand by her statements that she initiated the review of practices at the Oakden Older Persons Mental Health Service?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:07): When I received advice from the head of NALHN, Jackie Hanson, that there were concerns so great, yes, I do acknowledge that, as the minister, I initiated the review, which was commenced in December and released to the parliament and the public recently.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:07): Supplementary: why then does the report of the Chief Psychiatrist state that it was prepared in response to a request by the CEO of NALHN?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:08): The members opposite obviously don't understand ministerial delegation between the Public Service.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:08): Why is it that on four separate occasions the minister specifically told this house that she had initiated and triggered this review?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:08): I did trigger the review that the Chief Psychiatrist undertook.

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The deputy leader has a record of shouting over other members of parliament, including women members of parliament. I ask her to stop doing it.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:08): Can the minister explain to the house the difference between her statements in the house on four previous occasions and her statement to the house today, where she clearly stated, 'I agreed to a review of the service in December 2016,' and previously, 'I initiated and I triggered that review'?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:09): As per usual, the opposition is more interested in stunts and semantics about the facts. I triggered, I initiated, I did all those things. I am the minister who ordered the review. You can use a number of words to play with it as you want. I triggered it, I ordered it, I followed the advice once I heard there were abuse concerns, and we did the right thing: we acted on it.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:09): Supplementary: can the minister explain to this house why she is prepared to come in here and accept responsibility for triggering or initiating this review but not take responsibility for the hopeless mismanagement of that facility over an extended period of time when it was under her control?

The SPEAKER: Well, that question is probably framed in a way that's out of order, but, minister.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:10): Mr Speaker, I won't be bullied into resigning by the opposition.

Mr Gardner: What about explaining your hypocrisy?

The SPEAKER: The member for Morialta is called to order.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:10): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. On what date did the minister first discuss concerns regarding the Oakden facility with the Chief Psychiatrist?

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The members for Finniss and Hartley are called to order.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:10): When I became aware of care and concern issues raised about the Oakden site by the head of NALHN, there were conversations that took place with staff, the head of NALHN and the Chief Psychiatrist's office, and we moved very quickly amongst the space of time around 19 December.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:11): Given that the review was underway by 20 December 2016, why was the public not immediately told that a review was underway?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:11): Once we decided to trigger the review, commence the review, a scoping for the correct terms of reference had to be done, and that was for the whole Oakden campus. We then commenced the review, as I have publicly outlined in ministerial statements to this house previously, in January.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:11): Can the minister outline to the house what steps she has taken, or in fact the Chief Psychiatrist has taken, to inform all the families of potential residents affected by the management of that facility about the review that was underway?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:12): What I can tell the house is that we have made every endeavour during the whole process to keep in contact regularly with the families and the residents of Oakden and their carers. Once the review was underway, the Chief Psychiatrist held meetings with them. That is documented in the report, for those people who have read the report, and we have continued to communicate with them. In fact, all the residents and their carers have had a number of meetings over the preceding period since the report's release. Those meetings are ongoing. I can list them in detail, if you would like that.

I can reassure you that those conversations and the support of those families and the residents are ongoing and intense. There is a hotline that has been opened by SA Health, where 21 calls have been made, and those have been personally addressed by Jackie Hanson in the NALHN framework. Everyone has a copy of the report; it was couriered to them. We have had a number of multiple meetings, and we will continue to update the house and the people of South Australia about the actions we are taking to improve the state of Oakden. It is considerable progress that we have made and will continue to make.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:13): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: if the action was so prompt, post-December 2016, why did the first letter to families only go to them on 1 February 2017 as per appendix 4 of the Chief Psychiatrist's report?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:13): Once the review was triggered, the matter was in the hands of the Chief Psychiatrist as an independent review. The way he conducts his inquiries in that space is appropriate for him.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:14): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Will the minister release the October 2016 letter from the Principal Community Visitor in relation to Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:14): I'm sure the opposition has already made its FOI requests.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:14): Nevertheless, can the minister indicate whether she will release the Oakden letter, which is referenced in her ministerial statement, to the house today?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:14): I have told you honestly what has occurred in these incidents and you continually twist the truth. We have acted appropriately. When information has been brought to me, I have triggered the inquiry. The review has taken place. The cabinet has adopted all six of the recommendations. Oakden will be closed. The residents will be moved to safer and better facilities at Northgate aged care or other clinically appropriate aged-care services.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:15): Supplementary: is the minister suggesting to this house that the only way that this letter is going to be released is if the opposition puts in an FOI request?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:15): You have already lodged FOI requests in my understanding.

Ms Chapman: How do you know that?

The SPEAKER: The deputy leader is called to order.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:15): Supplementary: will the minister indicate to the house whether this letter will be released?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:15): In due course.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:15): Can the minister explain to this house what 'in due course' means?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:15): At the appropriate point of time.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The member for Morialta is warned.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:16): Supplementary: can the minister provide any plausible explanation to this house for her outrageous cover-up of this situation and not releasing the letter as per the FOI request?

The SPEAKER: The Leader of the Opposition is called to order. He knows the reasons that question is entirely out of order and a misuse of question time.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The leader is warned.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:16): Can the minister outline to the house—

The SPEAKER: The leader has forfeited, in my view, this line of questioning by his abuse of question time and his flouting of the standing orders. The member for Elder.

ROYAL ADELAIDE HOSPITAL

Ms DIGANCE (Elder) (15:16): My question is to the Minister for Health. Can the minister update the house on work that is currently underway to ensure a safe move to the new Royal Adelaide Hospital?

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries) (15:16): I thank the member for Elder for her question. As a nurse and midwife, I know that she is excited, along with the rest of the health profession, about the new Royal Adelaide Hospital. This morning, the Premier and I were briefed by senior emergency services, logistics and clinical personnel on planning for the move to the new Royal Adelaide Hospital.

This is a very complex, once in a 200-year move, and needs to be done safely. To facilitate a safe inpatient move, the SA Ambulance Service will lead a move command team to be based at their headquarters. The team will work closely with other agencies, such as fire, police and transport during the move. It will assess the number of patients set to be moved, how sick they are and what level of medical support they need during the journey. The move command team will also monitor the progress of the move to ensure safe transportation.

A project control centre will be connected to real-time traffic management and weather maps, as well as SAPOL and South Australian ambulance systems, and will play an integral role during the move, ensuring coordination of the move with on-site activities at the new RAH. Road conditions and the sequencing of traffic lights along the move route will also be carefully monitored. While it is not expected that roads will need to be closed for the move, alternative routes have been identified for use in the event of traffic congestion.

The project control centre is currently testing the readiness of the new RAH, including the installation and rollout of high-tech medical equipment, staff training and testing of the building itself. There are around 8,000 pieces of new equipment going into the new RAH, with an additional 2,000 items being transferred from the current Royal Adelaide Hospital. The new equipment, worth more than \$100 million, includes CT scanners, MRIs, linear accelerators and a host of monitoring equipment. This critical medical equipment will be vital in supporting front-line services, improving patient outcomes and, most importantly, saving lives.

Major pieces of medical infrastructure are currently being installed and tested, including three new linear accelerators and a brachytherapy machine to deliver targeted cancer-killing radiation therapy. Almost 1,600 of the total 2,000 pallets of medical equipment, devices and supplies have already been delivered to the new RAH. State-of-the-art systems and technology are being assembled, tested and switched on right across the facility, from bedside devices to pharmacy robotics.

The massive task of moving hundreds of patients and thousands of staff to the new Royal Adelaide Hospital is well on track, and a huge amount of work is currently underway to ensure that the move to the new RAH is safe and successful.

GAS INDUSTRY

Mr HUGHES (Giles) (15:19): My question is to the Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy. Can the minister inform the house of the likely impact of the proposed Australian domestic gas security mechanism on South Australia's natural gas industry?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy) (15:19): I would like to thank the member for his question and his continued support for the state's upstream petroleum sector and the many jobs and economic opportunities it creates for our state. Natural gas is a central element of Our Energy Plan. This government understands that to keep downward pressure on prices you have to encourage energy companies to explore and extract gas from local reserves. More supply and greater competition work together to deliver better prices for the consumer, whether it is a family heating their home, a gas-fired power station generating electricity, or a manufacturer using gas to make products for use here or abroad.

We do not impose artificial barriers to exploration or production of natural gas. We have an approval process that lets the science decide whether a proposed well cannot or can go ahead, yet South Australian producers are about to be punished by the commonwealth government for the sins of other states. The proposed Australian domestic gas security mechanism will, from 1 July this year, limit the export capability of South Australian gas producers. Rather than boost supplies to meet demand for gas from the east coast, the mechanism penalises producers that are already extracting gas from the Cooper Basin.

This mechanism will allow the commonwealth government to impose export controls on energy companies when there is a forecast shortfall of gas supply in the domestic market rather than tackle the reason for that shortfall. South Australia and Queensland are being hit hard simply because the Turnbull government wants to make sure the lights stay on in Sydney. Our South Australian gas isn't being redirected back to South Australia, but South Australian companies and their shareholders will be penalised simply because we are producing gas to meet the obligations of international contracts.

Again, the Abbott/Turnbull government has turned its back on South Australia. We have seen it all before. Whether it is the River Murray, closing down Holden, or their failure to reform the national energy market, again and again the interests of South Australians and shareholders based here in South Australia are sacrificed to the interests of the east coast.

This gas mechanism hurts Santos and Beach. Santos is the largest ASX-listed company, headquartered here in South Australia, and it has a higher representation of South Australian-based shareholders. It penalises South Australia and doesn't encourage one extra molecule out of the ground but just a pea and thimble redirection of supply from one market to another. This government doesn't impose moratoriums on gas exploration and production. This government doesn't distinguish between conventional and unconventional gas molecules provided that the science backs the mitigation of risk.

We want them all to be put to work to meet the demands of consumers, for the profits of South Australians and South Australian businesses. Quite frankly, it is crazy that our country, with our significant gas reserves, should be imposing export restrictions just because we are putting unnecessary impediments in the way of local production, much like a gas ban in the South-East that the opposition is proposing.

Gas is an important transitional fuel as we make progress towards a low carbon future. That is why this government provides incentives to produce gas and exploration, not restrictions based on fear and petty politics. If the Turnbull government is genuinely interested in securing gas supplies for the east coast, it should be calling on members opposite to abandon their policy of a ban on fracture stimulation in the South-East. The most clear and present danger to the gas industry in this state is the opposition and the Leader of the Opposition. They will drive costs up, they will drive explorers out, they will hurt South Australia's energy producers.

The SPEAKER: Point of order.

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN: Standing order 98.

The SPEAKER: You say the Treasurer is debating?

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN: Debating and he is not responsible for opposition policy.

The SPEAKER: I uphold the point of order. I am going to return to the leader, but if ever there was a case for asking questions calculated to elicit relevant information, questions framed in accordance with standing orders, Oakden is it, so I ask the leader not to use his questions to make impromptu speeches or expressions of opinion. In short, dispense with the yah-boo politics. Leader.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:24): Thank you, sir. Thank you for that advice. My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Who prepared the terms of reference for the Oakden review?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:24): I am advised that that was a combination between the Chief Psychiatrist's office and liaison with NALHN. It would have come past my office before the final sign-off though, yes.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:25): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Given that a series of concerns had been raised since commonwealth sanctions were imposed on the Oakden Older Persons Mental Health Service in 2007, why was the current review into Oakden focused only on events in 2016?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:25): If you read the report in detail, it actually talks about—

Mr Pederick: Have you?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: —things in broad detail that go beyond that time frame.

The SPEAKER: The member for Hammond is called to order.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:25): Can the minister explain then whether families of patients or residents before 2016 were contacted as part of this review?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:25): I will have to check with the Chief Psychiatrist about that, but the terms of reference were initially framed around the information and care and concerns raised by the Spriggs family, which were triggered by the community visitor's letter to my office in October, which I am happy to release with the appropriate FOI and privacy constraints, as would be a normal response.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:26): Does the minister accept the fact that, if families of residents prior to 2016 were contacted, many more issues would be brought to light?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:26): When the report was released, previous residents and their families were in fact mailed the current report, and the hotline remains open for them contact us. As of last Friday, 21 people from across the current resident cohort, of which there are 31, and the previous people who had been across that 10-year space, I am led to believe had been contacted and given the report, the hotline number and FAQs so they could reach us if they had concerns. That represents some of those 21 phone calls that were received up until last Friday. I don't believe any phone calls were received yesterday, I am advised.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:27): So, can the minister explain to the house, of the 21 families who have contacted the government on the helpline, how many were prior to 2016, so families of residents prior to 2016?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:27): That's an extensive list that I would need to get categorised, and I ask for some time to come back to the chamber about that.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:27): The minister has indicated that families of residents who were resident at that facility in 2016 have been proactively contacted. Can she now give an assurance to the house that families prior to 2016 will now be proactively contacted?

Mr Pengilly: It's not funny.

The SPEAKER: The member for Finniss is warned.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:28): Perhaps I should make myself clear to the opposition once again. At the request of family and carers, the CEO of NALHN has had six scheduled meetings to individually discuss concerns, as at the close of 1 May. We know there have been a number of external and internal stakeholder meetings that have occurred.

We know also that there have been a number of further family forums scheduled across the following dates involving family and carers who were notified. There was one on 28 April; one family of a current care recipient attended. On 1 May, there was another one; 15 members of current care recipients attended. There was one on 3 May; eight families of care recipients attended that family forum. Further family forums will be scheduled this week and more if required.

We continue to have ongoing contact with past family of care recipients who have previously resided in the Oakden facility from 2008. They have received correspondence, as I stated before, from the Chief Executive Officer of NALHN advising them of the independent review, and have been provided with frequently answered questions.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:29): Does the minister stand by her statements that she triggered the independent review into the older persons mental health unit at Oakden as soon as she became aware there was a problem?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:30): When I was made aware of the significance of the care and concerns outlined from my conversations with the CEO of NALHN on 19 December, we triggered the independent review by the Office of the Chief Psychiatrist, which was tabled recently.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:30): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Of the 21 staff referred to AHPRA for further investigation, can you explain how many of the staff that you say are facing serious allegations have been suspended? How many of those have been suspended?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:30): Human resources management issues fall within the purview of the CE of SA Health, but on any day at the moment they are pursuing those HR matters very vigorously. I have made it very, very clear that no staff member who has been on the Oakden site where there are significant concerns of abuse is to be let back on the site or is to be working in any of our environments in SA Health where they could be placing vulnerable people in a particularly jeopardous situation.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:31): Supplementary: of those who you have clearly given instruction are not to be allowed back on site, how many are they?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:31): I have given a directive that people who are considered to be a risk are not to be allowed back on the Oakden site or in SA Health, as I stated previously, but I don't drive into the day-to-day levels of SA Health's HR management. I get daily reports currently on Oakden, but some of these issues are very, very fluid and moving very rapidly. I have asked AHPRA to expedite all concerns. As I stated in my ministerial statement, I want these matters dealt with as quickly and as promptly as possible.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:32): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: given your instruction, before you made this statement to parliament today, did you make any inquiry as to how many people have been suspended and, according to your directions, not let back on site?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:32): What we do know is that 21 people have been directed to AHPRA. There are people who have made procedural errors and have had appropriate clinical training and guidance, and are under professional management plans for their employment in SA Health. Those people will be potentially allowed to continue to do their work under appropriate HR status.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:33): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: what did you mean then, minister, when you told the parliament today that 'while some of these staff are facing serious allegations and have therefore been suspended, others are accused of procedural failures', etc.? What did you mean when you told the parliament that some have been suspended for 'facing serious allegations'?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:33): They are currently under investigation.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:33): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: will the minister inquire of her department today to ascertain how many people are in that category of facing serious allegations, suspended and not let back on site, and report back to the parliament today?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:34): Certainly. I am happy to take that on notice.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:34): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. After the Chief Psychiatrist commenced his review into the Oakden facility, when did the minister first receive a briefing on the review?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:34): Once the independent review was triggered, I was waiting for it to be brought back to my office, which we know was the Monday before the Easter long weekend. It arrived in the office late that afternoon.

Mr Bell interjecting:

The SPEAKER: I call to order the member for Mount Gambier.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:34): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: is the minister telling us that she received no briefing from the Chief

Psychiatrist from the time of issuing the direction in December 2016 until she received the report in April 2017?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:35): I have regular briefings with SA Health officials on numerous matters every week but, with regard to the review, I asked how progress was being made and if I was having a CE's meeting as would be appropriate. It is an independent review. It is not for me to become involved intimately in the day-to-day workings of that review. It is important that it was the Chief Psychiatrist's office conducting it and overseeing it independently with the review panels.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:35): Supplementary again to the Minister for Mental Health: did the minister, her office, her department or NALHN make any comment or suggest any additions, subtractions or edits, to the draft report or any draft findings or recommendations of the Chief Psychiatrist?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:36): I will have to seek advice about that.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:36): I have a further question to the Minister for Mental Health. After the Oakden report was delivered on 10 April, which member of the minister's office did she task to read the report?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:36): With a report of this significance, I believe several people in my office would have been looking at it and considering the preparation of recommendations for me to be able to take that away on the weekend and peruse it over the Easter break.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:36): Supplementary: my recollection is that when we were last here in the parliament the report had been received on the Monday. You were asked questions on the Tuesday about that report, and you indicated you hadn't read it at that stage, but who in your department had you asked to read it on that Monday afternoon?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:37): My department is the mental health department's part of SA Health and I asked them to create the recommendations that would be brought to me and that I would take to cabinet, so there would have been a number of people perusing the document at that time.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:37): At that time—that is, on the Monday afternoon when this report was received and read by persons unspecified—did the member or staff or any of the members of the minister's office speak with her about its contents before she read it the following weekend?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:37): Could you repeat the question again, please?

Ms CHAPMAN: After the report was received and read by someone unspecified, did you or members of your staff speak with that person about its contents before you read it on the following weekend?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: As I have stated before, SA Health was going through the report and creating recommendations and an appropriate course of action that the cabinet could consider and act upon at its consideration. There would have been numerous people who would have been looking at this document in preparation to give me recommendations.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:38): Supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: so, from Monday afternoon when the report was received, read by someone unspecified and your reading it on the following weekend, you had no information from anyone on your staff about what was in it?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:39): I knew from the nature of what had been outlined to me from the concerns of the Spriggs family that we had concerns about this facility, which is the reason we triggered the report. The depth of the issues, the disheartening and distressing issues that have been going on at Oakden, was not something I was aware of until I fully read the report over the weekend. I took time on that weekend to take the recommendations and read them thoroughly. In fact, I went over certain sections and re-read them because it is such an important document that it warranted slow and considerate appreciation of what was going on.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:39): A further question to the Minister for Mental Health: did any members of the minister's office speak with the Premier or any of his staff about the contents of the Chief Psychiatrist's report before the Premier went on leave?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:40): As I have said before, the Premier's office is aware of many things in government. They knew that the independent review was on its way and its report-back timetable, and numerous people in SA Health would have been working collaboratively with my office to work on the recommendations.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The leader is warned for the second and final time. Deputy leader.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:40): A supplementary—

Mr Knoll interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The member for Schubert is called to order.

Ms CHAPMAN: —to the Minister for Mental Health: given the collaboration between the departments and the staff, it is quite possible then that members of the Premier's office staff could be speaking to your Chief Psychiatrist and/or members of your staff during this time?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:40): I would have to ask individual staff members those questions.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:41): A supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: will you make that inquiry, minister, and report back to the parliament as to what conversations did take place between members of your staff and the Premier's staff prior to his going on leave?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:41): I am happy to seek advice on that matter.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:41): A further supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: did any members of the minister's office speak with minister Snelling, that is, the Minister for Health, or any of his staff, about the contents of the Chief Psychiatrist's report? If you are not familiar with that, will you make that inquiry and report back to the house?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:41): It was well known that there was an independent review being undertaken. I had done two previous ministerial statements on this matter—

Mr Knoll interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The member for Schubert is warned.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: —and I brought that to the parliament. In fact, it was not until 28 February that the opposition even asked a question about this matter. They were late to this matter. It was the government that acted and brought on this independent review. We are the ones who are acting to improve the quality of care of the residents at Oakden. We are the ones who are closing the facility and moving people to a safer environment that is appropriate for their clinical needs.

Mr Knoll interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Member for Schubert, the classic question in *Lady Chatterley's Lover's* trial was, 'Would you let your servants read this book?' The answer in this case is yes.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:42): Yes, indeed. A supplementary to the Minister for Mental Health: from the receipt of the report on the Monday until the Premier and the Minister for Health went on leave, you had no conversations with either of them about what was in this report?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:43): I am not going to—

An honourable member interjecting:

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: The most important thing that people understand in this chamber is that when you are a cabinet minister, and many of the people on that side will probably never be cabinet ministers, you have conversations with your colleagues regularly about all sorts of matters, from the weather to various things. Everyone knows this report was coming. This was a very well-known fact. I had made two ministerial statements in the parliament about that. We had been through question time about these things. Yes, we had conversations in the months leading up to and during the report's release.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The leader is on two warnings. If he utters another sound outside standing orders, he will go from the chamber under the sessional order.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The member for Stuart is called to order and warned, and the member for Morialta is warned for the second and final time. Deputy leader.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:44): To the Minister for Mental Health: given the seriousness of the allegations made by the Spriggs family whom you had met with, and the Chief Psychiatrist, and as you say triggered this report, didn't you think it important that you would say to the Premier, 'I have the report now. I have read it. We have either been completely exonerated or these are the things that need to be done'? Did you at any time consider that it might be important to do that before he went on leave?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:44): Can I firstly correct the deputy leader about something she just said. I didn't meet—

The Hon. P. Caica interjecting:

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: Yes, I know.

The SPEAKER: The member for Colton is called to order.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: How could the waterboarder ever get anything wrong? What I would like to correct is that I met the Spriggs family the afternoon that I released the report. That is the first time I had the opportunity to speak to Mrs Spriggs and her children about the seriousness and apologised unconditionally on behalf of the South Australian government to the Spriggs family. It was important that when I went out and did the press conference that afternoon that I talked to them because they were the people who, with the support of the community visitor, were the ones who fought through the system to be able to bring this matter of elder abuse forward for our government and our state.

It is an important issue. It goes all the way up now to the commonwealth government, which has triggered a review into their aged-care accreditation processes. In fact, Ken Wyatt and I have discussed how we will work cooperatively in this space, and work to make sure that this is not happening anywhere else in Australia, because he has concerns that this is going on elsewhere across the country.

This is a matter that people who are contacting my electorate office say is not unique to Oakden, that this is a matter that happens in aged-care services around the country on a daily basis to their family and loved ones. We make no apologies for stepping into Oakden, closing it down, moving the residents to Northgate and ensuring that the people who are in the aged-care sector have the rights, the dignity and the respect that they deserve as older Australians.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:46): My question is also to the Minister for Mental Health. When was the minister made aware of the formal complaint made by the family of the late Bob Spriggs about his treatment at Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:46): I have answered that previously today. The community visitor wrote to me in October, and that was when I became aware of the matter.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:47): Supplementary: given the Spriggs family made a formal complaint in mid-2016 about significant overdosing and inappropriate restraints at Oakden, why did it take almost six months for the Chief Psychiatrist's review to be initiated?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:47): Once the community visitor wrote to me outlining his concerns about the complaint handling and the experiences of the Spriggs family, I wrote to NALHN asking them to give me a briefing on this matter and to investigate it further.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:47): What was the date of the letter of apology to the Spriggs family from the health department apologising for the standard of care to Mr Spriggs?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:47): I'm not sure which letter you're referring to.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The leader will be silent.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:48): Of all the letters of apology issued to the Spriggs family, who authorised and signed those letters?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:48): I am happy to inquire from the CE of Health about those matters.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:48): Can the minister confirm to the house that she personally never signed or authorised the letter of apology to the Spriggs family?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:48): I will ask the department, as I stated previously, but at the press conference, and when I met the Spriggs family in person, I offered on behalf of the SA government—

Mr van Holst Pellekaan interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The member for Stuart is warned for the second and final time.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: —an unconditional apology, personally, to all three family members of the Spriggs family, and I reiterated that today in my ministerial statement. I have continued to reiterate that, as has the Premier when we have met with a number of the families recently. The government of South Australia does not shirk away from the responsibility of correcting what has happened at Oakden and apologising unconditionally to those people whose family has been affected by it.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:49): Just confirming, did the minister ever personally sign a letter of apology to the Spriggs family?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:49): I don't recollect that I have. I have been talking to them personally. This is about personal relationships and personal conversations. It's a matter I will have to check, that the CE of Health hasn't written a letter to that effect, but she would have many pieces of correspondence across her desk every day.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:49): To the Minister for Mental Health: when was the minister made aware of the concerns of Lorraine Baff about her husband's treatment at Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:50): The first time I became aware of Mrs Baff having a consumer—that is, her husband—at the Oakden site was when I was a parliamentary secretary. It was in the media, really, that it came to light that it was Mrs Baff. In retrospect, we now know the Tony Zappia letter related to Mrs Baff, but until that came to light in the media I wouldn't have even known that it was related to the Baff case because it wasn't highlighted in the Zappia letter.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:50): Supplementary: when was the minister advised that a resident of Oakden had been admitted to the Royal Adelaide Hospital emergency department in February 2016 with very significant bruising to his hip for which there was no satisfactory explanation?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:51): I will need to take advice from the department about that, but at that time, as parliamentary secretary, incident management on a day-to-day basis is not something a parliamentary secretary would normally have access to.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:51): I have another question to the Minister for Mental Health. Following the minister's visit to Oakden on 10 February 2017, did the minister form the same view as the Chief Psychiatrist that the facility is more like a mental institution from the middle of last century?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:51): The member for Davenport gets up to his usual bedtime reading of selective quoting of reports.

Mr Knoll: At least he's read it.

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: Yes, at least he has read it, which is a good thing. It is a very important document. What I do know about this matter is that the report is an important document, and this government is acting on the report. We will be closing Oakden and we will be addressing the six recommendations that were taken to cabinet. We are in the process of forming a group to oversee that change in the model of care and how we conduct the future of this service for the betterment of people in our state moving forward.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (15:52): Again to the Minister for Mental Health: is the minister familiar with the factors required to create a best practice setting for providing treatment in older persons mental health services?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:52): Could you state the beginning of that again? I am having trouble hearing it.

Mr DULUK: Is the minister familiar with the factors required to create a best practice setting for providing treatment in older persons mental health services?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS: As a non-clinician, I rely on the advice of the clinical team within SA Health to provide me with that information. I do receive a number of opinions from a variety of different people in different sectors, both within the government and non-government sector, about how clinical frameworks can be framed. As we move into the creation of a new model of care in this space, all that information will be collated and considered by the appropriate team within SA Health.

SCHOOL ABSENTEEISM

Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (15:53): My question is to the Minister for Education. Acknowledging the importance of attending school every day, can the minister inform the house of statistics of the lowest, highest and average number of days of absenteeism on a per student basis in government schools last year? How many parents have been convicted for failing to send their children to school, and how will a conviction send a message to other parents in cases where non-attendance is an indicator of assistance being desperately needed, often in very complex family situations?

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills) (15:53): The numeric detail the member has asked for I will have to take on notice, but the average attendance rates, if you count attendance and authorised absences such as through illness, which is inevitable with children, is just over 97 per cent on any given day. We have a policy and we have the resources dedicated to supporting families and children to engage with school. This takes a variety of forms, including the 22 people engaged in attendance work, and now also including the nearly 60 wellbeing practitioners who are there to support particularly vulnerable families.

It is also about the FLO program that we run in nearly all our secondary schools, which is the Flexible Learning Options program that is about engaging students who are finding traditional schooling approaches difficult. We are absolutely dedicated not only to all children attending school but to maintaining school attendance until the end of school and completion with SACE.

On the subject of the SACE, of course, the changes in 2011 have resulted in a significantly higher number of students completing school, and that has meant much deeper engagement for a lot of students. That has been partly a function of the broadening of what is able to be recognised as part of your high school study and also a renewed focus for principals and teachers on the importance of completing school and the importance of working with each individual student to work out what barriers they have.

The member has asked specifically about prosecutions. We have taken two parents to court recently. They were both successful prosecutions, although the magistrates determined that one of those would not record a conviction and the other one did record a conviction. I don't want to go into a lot of detail about the individual circumstances of those families. I am extremely sensitive to the

fact that there are children who are caught up in those cases, who are attending school and who have friends and classmates who may well be interested in what is being recorded in the media.

However, I will say that we would never take a prosecution unless we had fully exhausted every other option of working with the family and that the representative number of days that are taken to court are representative of many, many, many days that have been missed for those kids. While I have sympathy of course for vulnerable families, I am well aware of the power of education in changing the path for those vulnerable families for the next generation, and I will not apologise for insisting that children belong at school. It is the only opportunity many have to change the course of their lives.

Any adult who is engaged with those children who does not support them to go to school is doing them a disservice. It may be for very many complex reasons that we may feel an enormous amount of compassion about; nonetheless, it is crucial that children attend school and it is also crucial that they complete school. This government has dedicated an enormous amount of policy work and an enormous number of resources and every single teacher and every single principal to make that happen for all our children.

SCHOOL ABSENTEEISM

Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (15:57): Supplementary: given that, what evidence does the minister have of the efficacy of the results of convictions?

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills) (15:57): Fortunately, in both cases the one thing that we really wanted to happen was that the kids went back to school, which they did. That is all we could ask of those kids, that they go back to school, and they have. It may be that there is also going to be an exemplar effect, that other families who have felt that, without a prosecution for a number of years, they were able to continue to ignore all the other efforts being made by their school and by the department. It may be that they reflect that they now need to take it more seriously. We will see whether we come across any evidence, but those children are now attending school where they were not previously, and that pleases me enormously.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (15:58): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Will the minister allow an independent forensic audit of her correspondence in both her electorate office and her ministerial office to identify correspondence from family members raising issues in relation to Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:58): I don't see what my electorate office has to do with this because they would forward any correspondence they receive on to the ministerial office as per normal, but the people opposite wouldn't understand that.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (15:58): My question is again to the Minister for Mental Health. Who conducted the investigation into concerns conveyed by the federal member for Makin in 2014 about a high risk of a severe injury or death at Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:59): The Zappia letter was actually about staffing levels at Clements ward—the substantive part of that letter—and that is what SA Health provided advice to me, as then parliamentary secretary, to respond on.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (15:59): Will the minister table in the house any written report into the investigation of the concerns raised by that letter in 2014?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:59): I have just answered that question.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (15:59): Supplementary: will the minister table in the house any written briefing which accompanied the departmental draft letter in response to the letter of the member for Makin in 2014?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (15:59): Yes, happy to.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (16:00): My question is again to the Minister for Mental Health. Before responding to the letter from the member for Makin, what did the minister do to satisfy herself that the concerns were being adequately addressed?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:00): To start with, the letter was written to the then minister at the time and, as parliamentary secretary, in due course, a briefing was provided to me that I then read and assessed: did it address the concerns in the letter? Yes, they were substantive about Clements ward and staffing matters. I was assured by the briefing. I undertook and signed the letter back to the unknown constituent at that point of time.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (16:00): Considering the letter of April 2015 was written on the letterhead of minister Snelling, did the minister, as the newly appointed parliamentary secretary to minister Snelling, consult the minister or his staff before responding to that letter from the senior federal member for Makin, raising very serious concerns?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:01): I don't recollect liaising with his staff at the time. I remember getting a file and being asked to deal with it.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (16:01): Supplementary: does the minister accept that more should have been done at that time to investigate the concerns referred to her by the federal member for Makin?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:01): No. The briefing provided the advice about the concerns about Clements and staffing. It addressed the concerns in the substantive part of the letter and I was happy with the information that I was provided with.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr TARZIA (Hartley) (16:01): Supplementary: since the Zappia letter was made public, did the minister contact Mr Zappia about the letter before contacting families?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:01): No, I have not contacted Mr Zappia lately.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:01): My question is to the Minister for Mental Health. Has the minister discussed with the Principal Community Visitor, Mr Maurice Corcoran, what he says are grave concerns about several pneumonia deaths, a choking incident and falls at Oaken?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:02): I have read various transcripts that the community visitor has made in the media over the last couple of weeks. If he has any concerns—we meet regularly—I'm sure he will bring them to my attention.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:02): The minister said that she has regular meetings with the Principal Community Visitor. Did he raise any of these concerns at any time during any of those meetings?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:02): Are you referring to the pneumonia issues?

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:02): The pneumonia, the falls or the choking incident?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:02): Not to my recollection. No, he hasn't raised those issues with me recently.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:02): My question is again to the Minister for Mental Health. Prior to the commencement of the Chief Psychiatrist's review of Oakden, did the minister seek from the Chief Psychiatrist any advice relating to the care of elderly patients in state care at that facility?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:03): I am happy to check my records on that. I don't recollect that I have, but I will check with the department.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:03): Prior to this review, did the minister receive any requests from the Northern Adelaide Local Health Network for measures to improve the service, including increased staffing at Oakden?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:03): Again, I am happy to take that and check with the department.

OAKDEN MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:03): Could the minister also take on notice what response her office gave to NALHN in relation to those requests?

The Hon. L.A. VLAHOS (Taylor—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse) (16:03): As I have said, I am happy to go back and check my records.

TOURISM

The Hon. P. CAICA (Colton) (16:03): My question is to the Minister for Tourism. How has the state government assisted in attracting new events and conferences to South Australia?

The SPEAKER: The nightwatchman.

The Hon. L.W.K. BIGNELL (Mawson—Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries, Minister for Forests, Minister for Tourism, Minister for Recreation and Sport, Minister for Racing) (16:04): Thank you very much, Mr Speaker. I thank the member for Colton for his question. Of course, tourism has been a hugely successful area of South Australia's economy, with great thanks to the Premier and the Treasurer for the support that they have been putting in. Not only did we go out and build the infrastructure—\$535 million for the Adelaide Oval and \$400 million for the Convention Centre, which will be finished in August this year—but we have seen follow-up spending by the private sector in terms of new accommodation that has been built around the place and other infrastructure.

In the past two budgets, the Treasurer has given \$70 million to the visitor economy so that we can get out and market South Australia and really sell the great benefits of this state to people around Australia and around the world. Off the back of that, we have attracted new airlines into South Australia. In December last year, we welcomed China Southern Airlines with the very first direct flights from mainland China into Adelaide, which was a huge boon for our state. That came on top of

Qatar Airways, which began their direct flights from Doha to Adelaide on 4 May last year, so we have just celebrated the first anniversary of that great air link.

When it comes to the area of conventions, we know that a conventional business delegate to South Australia will spend six times more than the average tourist to South Australia. Back in 2013, we started a convention bid fund, and we have increased the spend in that bid fund and secured many successful conferences. The biggest conference in the state's history will be held in South Australia in 2019. The World Routes conference will attract 3,300 delegates, and it's going to be terrific to have all the airlines in the world coming here to discuss where they fly around the world.

It will be great not just to have that many delegates here pumping around \$20 million into the economy but to have the real decision-makers of the aviation world here in Adelaide. It is the first time ever that this conference has been held in South Australia. In September this year, we will have the 68th International Astronautical Congress, which will have 3,000 delegates and \$18 million worth of economic impact. The 37th Australian Dental Congress will be here, and that is 3,500 delegates and \$10.4 million into the economy.

When we look at what has happened in the visitor economy in South Australia in the past year, it has increased from \$900 million: it has gone from \$5.4 billion to \$6.3 billion. That is \$2.49 million per day coming into the South Australian economy that we didn't have last year. Importantly, we have increased the number of jobs in the visitor economy by 4,000 in the past two years. That is a 14.6 per cent increase—the best percentage increase of any state or territory in Australia. Over that same period, Queensland went backwards by 5 per cent.

People can say, 'Well, South Australia is doing well because of the favourable Australian dollar,' but we are doing well because we are putting government resources into the infrastructure in South Australia, we are putting government resources into marketing and we are working side by side with those hardworking businesspeople out there right around this state who are doing such a fantastic job in the tourism sector, so I want to thank them. I know that I will see a lot of them next week at the Australian Tourism Exchange in Sydney where once again all these tourism operators will be working alongside the South Australian Tourism Commission to sell our wonderful state to people from right around the world whose job it is to sell holidays and conventions to their customers right around the world.

Grievance Debate

GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY

Mr DULUK (Davenport) (16:08): Today, I rise to speak about yet another failure of this Labor government, another failure to protect our state's most vulnerable and disadvantaged and yet another failure of this government and this Premier—a failure to demonstrate any responsibility or accountability for the conduct and disgraceful practices of departments and agencies that report to them, a failure of our Westminster system of government. So often has a crisis occurred that every South Australian is now so well versed in this government playbook.

First comes the apology, next the hero moment—the minister comes to the rescue, the minister has shone a light upon the problem—and then the blame game. Of course, it is not the government's responsibility to oversee the priorities or performances of bureaucrats who report to them. No, they are merely glorified paper pushers who sign letters, cut ribbons and throw tuna. The blame for repeated catastrophic failures lies foremost at the feet of bureaucrats. Finally, we get a promise for change, and this is the model of government that we see at the moment, and we see it time and time again after they hit that repeat button.

The lack of accountability demonstrates an attitude that is endemic and has permeated across not only their frontbenches but every member opposite. This is a government that simply no longer cares about the welfare of everyday South Australians. The Chief Psychiatrist's report on the Oakden review details the treatment and shocking conditions that vulnerable South Australians lived in in this facility. Sadly, it is another example of this government's inability to safeguard South Australians, young and old.

It is truly heartbreaking. It is heartbreaking to find myself once again reading about the heinous conditions these vulnerable people have been subjected to. It was not that long ago that I

was reading the report of the child protection royal commission. Reviewing that gut-wrenching report, I found it very difficult reading to work through. At that time, I hoped that I would never again have to read anything like it in regard to the behaviour and actions of the government and government departments.

Justice Nyland handed down the report into the catastrophic failures and systemic abuse of children in our care. Just nine months later, we read another report about how the South Australian government and the department have failed older persons in their care. South Australians expected more from their government back then, and they expect so much more from their government now. Enough of this blame game. When will it end?

Through 15 years of government, this government and the Premier have had the complete ability to choose all the staff in their departments. Every senior appointment in every government department has been hand-picked and signed off by the Premier and his senior ministers. The Premier and the government are responsible for the toxic culture in so many of our departments. The toxic culture in Families SA was created by those appointed by the government, and that same toxic culture, once again, is in the SA Health department that has overseen the Oakden crisis we are talking about so often at the moment.

The findings contained in the review into the Oakden facility are beyond reproach. The Chief Psychiatrist reports that the Oakden facility is 'more like a mental institution from the middle of last century' than a modern older person's mental health facility, and some of the practices used at Oakden were 'among the most abhorrent approaches to providing care to severely disturbed consumers that any of the review had encountered in well over 110 years of collective practice'.

It simply beggars belief that, in 2017, the practices at Oakden were those from over 110 years ago. I would be appalled if this were true of any modern facility, but it is galling for it to be operating here in South Australia under the nose of a government that has fallen asleep at the wheel. It is hard to comprehend that the minister was clueless about the conditions at Oakden or that the CEO of SA Health or NALHN had no idea.

I struggle to believe that nobody at any level made an effort to raise concerns in 2016, 2015, 2014 or any other year over the past decade. Why did they not report on the conditions? Why did they not request additional staff, additional funding or infrastructure improvements, or make any attempt to seek assistance that would have improved the conditions and outcomes for Oakden residents? It is a disgrace and a blight on this government and this minister that so many people got it so wrong for so many years.

NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK

Ms HILDYARD (Reynell) (16:13): I rise to speak about my support for volunteers during this National Volunteer Week, a week when the contributions of volunteers are recognised and we thank them for the work they do for our community. As members know, volunteers are the backbone of our community.

They provide support for our most vulnerable citizens. They deepen the vital work of our community and health organisations. They preserve our natural environment, respond to state and local emergencies, patrol our beaches and support families, carers and people experiencing disabilities or periods of ill health. They are involved in schools and engage others in the arts. They make a difference in the lives of many.

Being a leader is both inherently personal and deeply collective: collective in that we do our best work when we focus on a common goal for a great outcome for many, and personal in that, to lead and to give, we must make a personal decision to do something differently, to act. That is exactly what our volunteers do day in and day out. They are leaders who give generously of their time and effort in the service of others. That is remarkable, and I thank them for their generous hearts.

I know in my own southern community that thousands of hours of volunteer work are a key part of what makes our community such a great place to live and to work. I see the same people selflessly volunteering at schools, whether it be Morphett Vale, Christie Downs, Lonsdale Heights, Christies Beach, Port Noarlunga, Hackham West or O'Sullivan Beach primary; then at the Morphett Vale, O'Sullivan Beach, Lonsdale, Christies Beach or Reynella footy clubs; then at Christies Beach

Meals on Wheels; at CFS Morphett Vale; Christies Beach, Port Noarlunga and South Port surf lifesaving clubs; at our community centres; and for Rotary, Lions, Kiwanis, Zonta and so on.

Whenever I am asked about what I love about my role, I talk about these people and how their generosity inspires me. Thank you to all volunteers for their tireless work to make communities across our state the connected, kind and resilient places they are. Volunteering changes people's lives for the better through the work that volunteers do with and for others in our community and through the types of communities we create when we lend a hand to everyone within them.

Across South Australia, the volunteer work of South Australians equates to an incredible economic contribution to our state of \$4.9 billion each year. Our communities are stronger and better as a result of these contributions. On a week when we should be celebrating this effort, the federal Liberal government is clearly demonstrating that it does not recognise the importance of this work and that it does not similarly support volunteers. It recently announced \$23 million in cuts to the volunteering sector, cuts that will mean a cessation of designated funding to volunteering support services.

This means that funding for the Strengthening Communities program alone will shrink from \$40.5 million to \$28.4 million over the next four years. Volunteering support services are local community organisations that help organisations identify, place, train and manage volunteers. They ensure that those who wish to volunteer can find meaningful roles that harness the energy and talents of an individual to improve the lives of community members. They also work positively with the many organisations that rely on volunteers.

There are four of these services in our state: Southern Volunteering, based in Christies Beach, ably led by the wonderful Mel White and John Smith and supported by staff with vast expertise; Volunteering SA and NT; Northern Volunteering; and the Limestone Coast Volunteer Service. As a result of the federal government's impending cruel cuts, these outstanding services will no longer be able to fund training and recruitment, essential services that ensure that volunteers have the support they need to effectively undertake their roles and that organisations safely and positively engage them.

Volunteering does not just happen. Volunteer support services provide planning, support and leadership. Like any other sector, volunteering needs peak bodies to provide infrastructure for the organisations and personnel involved. Local organisations rely on these services, and their ongoing ability to do so is under threat. Last year, the State of Volunteering in Australia report found that 86 per cent of organisations involving volunteers were struggling to get the numbers they needed, with volunteers deterred by factors such as personal expense and a lack of flexibility. By further reducing funding for support services, this worrying figure will rise.

Southern Volunteering, an organisation that strongly contributes to the strength and vibrancy of our local community by providing support, training and services for volunteers and organisations engaging them in the south, is one of many organisations across our nation that will be stripped of vital federal funding. I know how hard and innovatively Southern Volunteering staff already work within limited budgets and I see the results they achieve through the delivery of their high-quality services.

This federal Liberal government is not providing organisations like Southern Volunteering with the stability to plan their work into the future. This work is transformative for our community, transformative for those who volunteer and transformative for those whom volunteers support. Despite these impending cuts, the federal social services minister has failed to provide organisations like this one with crucial information about the current dire funding situation. This Liberal government cut to services is enormous and its effects will be felt across our state.

I call on every member of this house and particularly on those opposite, many of whom I know support volunteers, to condemn these cuts to services and call on the federal Liberal government to reverse them.

STATE BORDER DISPUTE

Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (16:18): I rise to commend a passionate South-East local, Dale Edward Button (I will talk on Generations in Jazz next week) of Eight Mile Creek. Mulga Button, as

he is affectionately known, is adamant that the South Australian and Victorian border is still in dispute. As a proud South Australian, he believes that we should be fighting vigorously to have it correctly identified and the land reclaimed by South Australians. Taking a bit more of the beautiful Glenelg River and rich farming land would certainly be a benefit to us.

Most people may not know that about 1,800 square kilometres of South Australian land was incorrectly surveyed. The line that was agreed was the 141st degree of longitude and the actual position of the border is west of this longitude by approximately 2.96 to 3.3 kilometres. In February 1851, a firestorm came through wiping out many of the timber markers, which means that today the exact border is unknown and has been lost for over 161 years.

The story began when the survey of the South Australian-Victorian border began in 1847 to 1850. It was a three-year saga of dogged persistence through heavy rain, flooded swamps and harrowing escapes from the waterless Mallee country near the member for Chaffey's country and then the almost instantaneous destruction of the border markers by a devastating firestorm once they were put in place.

It was soon proven that the surveyed border was several kilometres west of the intended 141° east longitude sparking a 64-year long battle between the two states for possession of what was commonly called the disputed territory—that thin slice of land between two states. The immediate loss of the border markers to fire meant that even today, more than a century and a half after the survey was supposed to eliminate a lawless haven for criminals, a no-man's land still exists between the state of South Australia and Victoria.

Before the South Australian-Victorian border was surveyed, the east of Mount Gambier and north to the Little Desert had become a lawless haven for criminals. Some might say some still live down in those parts. It could have been in either the colony of South Australia or the Port Phillip District of New South Wales. The Port Phillip District was created as a separate colony of Victoria in 1850.

The men who pegged out the borderline through the vast Mallee desert nearly lost their lives three times. Once they were stranded without water by a thoughtless visitor to their camp at Scorpion Soak. Another time their leader, Edward Riggs White, was without water and near death and only survived by drinking the black stinking blood of his dying horse. The third time was when they had to ration water in a last desperate push to reach the Murray River. On reaching the river in 1950, White's men mutinied after they were ordered to re-enter the desert to clear scrub to the required width either side of the line.

The war of words over the disputed territory lasted 64 years. At the peak of the disagreement, South Australia threatened to invade Victoria and subdivide the disputed country, but the Victorian government threatened to arrest any such invaders and thus the threat was not put into action. Eventually, the Privy Council in London ruled that Wade and White's line was the legal border, but since the border markers were wiped out by fire the location of this line has been lost for 160 years.

Complicating the resurvey of Wade and White's line is the loss of their original field survey books, so there are no detailed notes on its location, only a few scattered fixes from other surveyors who came later. The border fence is certainly not on the border, but well inside the state of Victoria and Mulga Button would like this land back. I raise this on behalf of a dedicated and parochial South Australians.

MATURE-AGE AUSTRALIANS

The Hon. S.W. KEY (Ashford) (16:24): Recently, I have met with a number of constituents, either individually or in a group, regarding problems they have just because of their age. Interestingly, I have been looking at Bureau of Statistics information and what we have found, both from those statistics and from the occupational safety, rehabilitation and compensation committee that the members for Schubert and Fisher and I serve on, is that there are a number of issues for workers who are over the age of 45 years. Apparently this is the definition: you need to be over 45 years to be considered a mature-age worker.

I guess it is not surprising that I would receive a number of inquiries from people in the electorate because the proportion of people over 65 years in our state is very high, and this number

is expected to double by 2055. I also noted that the median age in South Australia is 39.5 years, but half of our population is older and the other half is younger. The only place that has a higher median age than South Australia is Tasmania, with 41.9 years, and again half the population is older and the other half is younger.

We also have a number of people who live in Greater Adelaide who are older, so certainly the electorate of Ashford (the new electorate of Badcoe) comes under that umbrella. As I said, it is not surprising that a number of the inquiries that I receive come under that issue of age and what services and supports are available to so-called older people over the age of 45.

These days there is not a fixed retirement age in Australia for retirement from paid work. For the people I meet, it usually coincides with whether or not they are eligible for the age pension, and although eligibility for the age pension has been 65 years for both men and women in recent years, there is going to be, as we know, a gradual increment until 2035 to a retirement age of 70 years for the eligible people.

The constituents I have met recently who have been ill or injured and are over 45 report to me that they are not able to work in paid work. Unfortunately, their eligibility for the aged pension, the disability support pension or for medical support has not been forthcoming. A lot of the issues that I am taking up along with the federal member for Hindmarsh, Steve Georganas, in particular, are about the gaps for people in that age group who are quite often ill or injured or have very difficult situations where they are not able to get back into or get into the paid workforce.

In some cases, the only social wage that has been offered is Newstart. With the criteria that are attached to Newstart support, they have found themselves in some situations with no money at all. With the lack of social housing that is available to some of them, this has put people in a very difficult situation. The other people who have come in to see me have been over 45 and self-employed, or had been self-employed, and have had problems accessing their insurance provisions, workers compensation (if it is available) and often different medical support just because of their age.

I have also spoken to a number of constituents who cannot get travel insurance because they are over the age of 70, and travel insurance or medical support is not available to them. More often I am having to spend a lot of time—quite willingly, I might add—trying to talk to people in the federal sphere about why, for different reasons, people are not eligible for the social wage.

Time expired.

BAROSSA VINTAGE FESTIVAL

Mr KNOLL (Schubert) (16:29): I rise today to talk about why my electorate is better than every single other one in this place. A couple of weeks ago we had a five-day festival in the Barossa called the Barossa Vintage Festival, which is the greatest celebration of everything that is Barossan, and this year's program was no exception. It is a festival that dates back to 1947 when the Barossa community would come together and celebrate the end of grape harvest and vintage. Frustratingly for many this year, with the late summer rains and the cooler temperatures, vintage was still well underway when the vintage festival was held.

The vintage festival is the longest running wine tourism event in Australia, and it has always been a celebration by Barossans for Barossans where we invite the rest of the world to come and celebrate with us. The festival aims to showcase the best of our food and wine and certainly our people. I thoroughly enjoyed watching the Barossa come alive with the wide range of events that were held over that period. There were 90 events in the 2017 program, and more than a third of them were free.

There were the traditional events, such as the Wine Chapters Auction and Lunch (both of which I attended), the Ziegenmarkt, long lunches, town celebrations and, of course, the Barossa scarecrows. I was a bit upset that Mr Schubert's scarecrow in front of the Schubert electorate office received only two votes in the local poll, but congratulations to Lyndoch on their winning entry, which was about 10 or 12-feet tall. It was yarn bombed and resplendent, keeping watch over the Lyndoch township.

There were some successful new events this year, including the Chook Shed Social Club, the Silver Raven Festival, the Feast, Folk and Fossick—which I did get along to on the Sunday

afternoon—and the Punkt zu Punkt, which is a 33-kilometre hill-climbing trail run through riesling country, which also took place on the Sunday. I know a number of people who got involved with it, and I really do not understand why they did. It was a punishing journey, and I hope they downed a decent glass of Eden Valley riesling afterwards.

The pinnacle of the event is always the vintage festival parade, which was on the Saturday morning, and over 100 floats represented various aspects of the Barossa. There were 1,200 participants who travelled along the Barossa Valley Way between Tanunda and Nuriootpa. Interestingly, that walk is somewhere between eight to 10 kilometres, and people line the entire length of the parade. In times gone by, wineries would pour wine to open, willing glasses on the side of the parade but, unfortunately, due to occupational health and safety regulations those fantastic days are long past.

This event more than any other highlights the tightly bound social fabric that makes the Barossa such a beautiful and enjoyable place to visit, and I want to put on the record my sincere congratulations to the Angaston town float that depicted the mural that exists on the side of the local Foodland. Angaston, which in my view is the best town in the Barossa, won the best float in the parade, and congratulations to everyone involved in that. I am sure I am going to receive some nasty correspondence from the residents of Tanunda and Nuriootpa.

The festival highlights how important the Barossa is to our state. It is estimated that somewhere around 50,000 people came to visit in the Barossa. The fact that this festival has been going for 70 years is a real testament to the strength of the social fabric and community of the valley and the fact that everybody gets involved to pitch in. Each individual event is organised by different groups within the valley, but the program this year was coordinated by Tourism Barossa, which is a great local organisation. I really want to pay tribute to its chairman, Mr Chris Pfeiffer, who I know got along to more events than I did, and to his board—but very specifically to the staff.

Andrew Dundon came on for the previous festival, but then had the proper two years to plan this year. Andrew, together with Cathy Wills, who is the CEO of tourism, Taryn Wills and Jess Greatwich, who went off prior to the festival starting to have her second child, did such a fantastic job. Andrew's vision, and his desire to try new and different things while keeping the heritage, was fantastic. I am so privileged to represent this area because it celebrates what is best about the Barossa and what is best about South Australia, and I look forward to inviting along members of parliament in two years' time.

CONTAINER DEPOSIT SCHEME

The Hon. P. CAICA (Colton) (16:34): South Australia has much of which to be proud, and today I want to focus on one particular aspect of that: the outstanding levels of recycling that go on in the state. In particular, I want to focus on the container deposit legislation. Members here would be aware that this legislation was introduced in 1975 by the then environment minister, Glen Broomhill, of the Dunstan government. The scheme became operational in 1977. By putting a price on soft drink and alcohol bottles, cans and plastics, an industry was created. What we witnessed was a sudden, dramatic change in behaviour.

I do not think that there would be too many people in this chamber, and in fact there might be none, who, when coming across a 10¢ piece on the ground, would not stop to pick up that 10¢. Perhaps there is a person in this chamber who would not stop to pick up that 10¢, but certainly most people would. Of course, that is what immediately happened with containers that had a deposit on them: people picked them up or stored them to the extent that very soon we no longer saw cans and bottles in the waste stream, no longer saw these items on the side of the road and no longer saw these containers in our waterways. Overnight, what was once regarded as rubbish became valuable.

It is amazing what a price on something can do to change behaviour, and that is why this government's position on a price on carbon is the correct position. A price on carbon would immediately bring about a change in behaviour. However, I digress—back to the container deposit legislation. Another major benefit of this legislation was the subsequent creation of the 100-plus recycling depots that we have across the state today. These depots would not have been established if it were not for the container deposit scheme. Today, these depots are collecting and taking a significant amount of recyclable material beyond the containers.

The weekend before last, I travelled to Sydney to watch our son James play his 100th game of Aussie Rules for the mighty UTS Bats. This should come as no surprise to anyone here, particularly those who know me, but whenever I am interstate I always have a look through the rubbish bins, both those that are public and those that are private. I do this to get an understanding of the recycling that occurs and the processes by which the state manages their container recycling.

I can tell you that in Sydney they have a lot of signs promoting recycling of these items and also espousing how well it is going and how effective it is. I can inform the house that my view is that New South Wales is not anywhere near matching their rhetoric. In Bondi Beach, where James lives, there is a three-bin public bin system: one is for general rubbish and litter, one is for containers and the other is for organic material. The reality is that everything is commingled. If you have a look through them, you see that they are not really applying what it is they want to apply for putting the items in those bins.

They also have a private collection system similar to ours, a three-bin system: general rubbish; cans, bottles and cardboards; and the other for organics. When looking through these bins, I can say that in many circumstances there is also significant commingling of all materials throughout all these bins. I do acknowledge that some people, probably many, try very hard, but when you have many people living in units, where bins are shared amongst those who live there, it makes it even more problematic to actually get it right.

For the life of me, I cannot understand why most state governments across Australia have refused to endorse a national scheme for container deposit that would be mirrored in state and territory legislation across Australia. Surely, they must be able to see the benefits of such a scheme. They need only look at the evidence—that evidence, of course, being South Australia. I do know the reason: interstate governments have consistently succumbed to the lobby of the major players, Coca-Cola Amatil and the beer and beverage industry, amongst others. They say that it would be cost prohibitive and adversely impact on their businesses. What absolute poppycock, I say.

I did have a look at the stores in Sydney when I was over there. A carton of beer at the major national bottle shops in Sydney costs the same as it does in Adelaide. A carton of Coke, for example, on sale at the two big supermarket chains, costs the same in Sydney as it does in Adelaide. The only real difference is that we see these items, these containers, in parks, in gutters and, of course, in landfill. I think it is time, in fact it is overdue, for Australian states to enact mirror legislation, which should be facilitated by the commonwealth, to put in place what would effectively become a national container deposit scheme. I urge all states in Australia, and indeed the national government, to pursue this very good initiative.

Bills

SUPPLY BILL 2017

Second Reading

Adjourned debate on second reading (resumed on motion).

Mr BELL (Mount Gambier) (16:39): I rise to make some brief comments on the Supply Bill. Just looking at it straight off, my concern is the amount being asked for this year compared with in other years. For the record, in 2014 the appropriation request was \$3.9 billion; in 2015, it was \$3.2 billion; in 2016, it was \$3.4 billion; and for some unexplained reason the Supply Bill is seeking \$5.9 billion.

One could guess about some of the reasons for this increase; however, until a budget is handed down, it is difficult to understand exactly what they are. It does concern me that this request is such a marked increase on previous years. I wonder whether it has anything to do with some impending developments that are to take place and due to come online, but we will know more about that as time goes on.

Last year's budget was listed as a jobs budget, as was the 2015 budget, yet the fact remains—a fact that Labor cannot deny—that South Australia's unemployment rate remains the highest or the second highest in the nation, depending on which month it goes in. This shows that the government's initiatives and incentives have failed. It concerns me greatly on a number of fronts

when I start looking at the raw numbers. We are collecting \$922 million more than in 2015-16 through GST revenue or, as is it more accurately known, horizontal fiscal equalisation.

When you start looking at some of the states that pay a dollar in GST and receive back only around 35¢ versus South Australia, which gets back about \$1.30 for every dollar it pays, you can see why they start getting a little bit jaded. I understand from when federalism came in the spread of the assets of all the people who live in the country, and not just which section you live in, and that you might have higher mineral deposits or mining opportunities. I do understand the spread, and of course it works really well when there is a three-year lag on the upscale of the horizontal fiscal equalisation, but it can be quite devastating on the downside, as we are seeing at the moment in Western Australia, where they are still being charged high GST recovery rates versus the actual budget in play at the moment.

This comes on top of the privatisation of the Motor Accident Commission, which was an asset of all South Australians. The total return from the privatisation of the Motor Accident Commission is now estimated to be about \$2.5 billion. Of this amount, \$1.16 billion assisted the net operating balance of the budgets in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17. The MAC dividend in 2016-17 of \$298 million compares with the estimated net operating surplus of \$300 million. In short, if we were not flogging off assets in this state there would be no net operating surplus.

Some of the important key performance indicators, according to the ABS and Labour Force, are quite rightly concerning. Private investment has fallen, from around 7 per cent in 1990 to a little under 5 per cent nowadays. We are not growing quickly enough and unemployment is running over 7 per cent, so it is quite a concern for South Australians. In my research, the real unemployment rate is closer to 12 per cent, with some areas, such as Gawler and Elizabeth, close to 40 per cent.

Some of our youngest and our brightest, those who are prepared to have a go, are leaving this state. There are also little indicators—such as the number of people who are defaulting on their power bills and having their power cut off—that give a real sense of where an economy is at. In 2012-13, 10,100 South Australian dwellings had their power cut off because they could not pay their bills. At the moment, it is well over a 50 per cent jump from where it was. People are struggling to meet their power payments, as well as their water bills, and according to my figures around 6,000 customers per year are receiving hardship payments.

We desperately need private sector input. It concerns me when the Premier talks about a political solution or public money, which of course is part of the scenario, but we really need to be supporting the private sector as well. Some recent animosity between some in the business community and the Premier is quite concerning from the point of view of attracting other investment into South Australia.

You do not have to research too hard to start seeing some of the doom and gloom. In August 2014, Arnott's biscuits cut 120 jobs in Adelaide; on 22 August 2014, Aldinga Turkeys axed 79 jobs at McLaren Flat; in September 2014, glassmaker ACI cut 60 jobs in Adelaide; in October 2014, Caroma Industries at Norwood closed its doors; and in November 2014 ABC television studios closed its doors after 55 years.

There is also Arrium, BHP, dairies, and SANTOS with 200 job losses in 2015, but of course the big one is Holden workers and the imminent closure of the Holden plant. There are also shipbuilding job losses at ASC, with what is called the Valley of Death. All the way through, there are large job cuts. In 2015, Fairfax cut about 35 full-time equivalents; SA Pathology has confirmed job losses; and it goes on and on and on.

My concerns come pretty much from the position of male full-time employment. I think we are going to be heading into seriously interesting times, where full-time employment for males is going in reverse. The male unemployment rate of around 7 per cent in 2017 and full-time employment growth in reverse is going to be a diabolical combination. After peaking at around 365,000 total male full-time employment in 2008—that was our high mark, when 365,000 males were employed full time in 2008—we are now at around 336,000. So, about 30,000 fewer full-time equivalent of males in work is starting to trend towards the 1990s recession, when it bottomed out at 313,000.

A lot of people talk about other genders and equity and all the rest of it, and I agree 100 per cent with that, but I want to talk a bit about full-time male employment. Job prospects for men in particular are poor, both in terms of quality and quantity. All the recent growth in male employment has been part-time, so we can expect underemployment to rise sharply with the automotive closure given the lack of full-time employment growth in industries where men predominate. While continued growth in employment in service industries has underpinned rising female participation in the workforce, much of this growth has been casual and part-time in nature, fuelling rising underemployment for women.

Employment growth in South Australia is largely concentrated in health, aged care and community services, providing limited opportunities for workers with decades of experience in manufacturing. For those who successfully make the transition into the service sector, it is often into less secure, part-time and casual jobs, fuelling the rise of underemployment. For many others, the risk of unemployment is high. Some will pick up part-time or casual work, where there will be a rise in underemployment, but for others it will lead to unemployment.

Around one-third of retrenched manufacturing workers typically experience long-term unemployment after retrenchment, particularly during periods of slow growth. It is true that in Australia there is a link between men's health and their vocation, and their security within that vocation. The underemployment and casualisation of the male workforce and the side effects or symptoms that we are going to start seeing out of that are of great concern to me.

Another thing I want to talk about is the lack of investment in regional South Australia. One example is mobile blackspot funding for phone towers. In June 2015, the federal government announced that it completed its \$100 million Mobile Black Spot Program and was going to deliver 499 new mobile phone towers across Australia. Most states allocated somewhere between \$30 million and \$50 million for mobile blackspot phone towers, with Western Australia allocating around \$85 million. You can imagine that there would be lots of blackspots in Western Australia because it is a very large slice of Australia. That \$85 million got them 338 towers.

South Australia contributed nothing in round 1. This is a Labor government that does not care about regional South Australia. Through the generosity of the federal government, we were allocated 11 towers—pretty ordinary. In round 2, we contributed a measly \$1.5 million. Just to put this into perspective, the next lowest was Queensland, with \$23.7 million, so a good \$22 million shortfall there. Queensland got 144 towers. Again, through the generosity of the federal government and some hard work by our federal MPs to secure a decent number of towers, even though the state Labor government was not spending anywhere near an adequate amount, we got 31 towers.

If this South Australian government were serious about the regions, they would invest properly in them and not just in a couple of their cherry-picked areas where they may hold one regional seat or an Independent seat. I am talking about the whole of regional South Australia. You just have to drive on country roads to see some of the disgraceful deterioration that this government have allowed to take place. If you do not want to travel too far, just travel up to Port Augusta and go past Yorkeys Crossing or some of the bridge infrastructure, such as the walk bridge across Spencer Gulf, and you will see the lack of care and attention to vital infrastructure in regional South Australia.

Mr Hughes interjecting:

Mr BELL: There might be a few reasons, but underfunding by the state Labor government shows that they do not care about regions. I will finish with a very concerning trend I see occurring within the Public Service, and that is the politicisation of the South Australian Public Service. I am drawn to a comment I read a while ago now by Rod Hook, who is a former senior South Australian public servant whose roles included chief executive of the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure.

Some credit Rod Hook's development of key infrastructure projects in Adelaide as the reason that Labor snuck back in at the last election. I think it probably had more to do with a gerrymandered electoral boundary than that but, anyway, Rod Hook was asked whether or not the South Australian Public Service had been politicised, and his answer was:

Hell yes, and at an alarming rate.

The apolitical nature of the public service is being dismantled before our very eyes. It is happening with barely a squeak, as far as I can see, from the Commissioner for Public Employment or the unions.

The fundamental premise of our system of government is that politicians are elected by the people for terms, usually three or four years. Governments are formed by the party garnering the majority support amongst those politicians. Those governments are then supported by an efficient and apolitical public service.

That at least was the public service I joined many years ago.

How sad would it be for our state if a prerequisite for a successful public sector career is for you to be encouraged to drop around to your local party sub-branch and sign up.

This follows on from some pretty serious developments that occurred around 2015 with the sacking of 11 senior executives within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. The Chief Executive of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet, Kym Winter-Dewhurst, a former Labor staffer, emailed staff to say he had made structural reforms—this is as quoted by InDaily—that would lead to better services with a new, nine-person management team. Most of those sacked without warning had 30 to 40 years of experience, and one executive was just one year into a five-year contract, which is extraordinary. The sacked executives were escorted out of their offices.

Appointments include Paul Flanagan, a former Labor government staffer, as the director of government communications, together with the executive director, implementation and delivery, Rik Morris, another former Labor government staffer. The latest appointment to raise the ire of the Public Service is that of Adele Young as the director of reform. Ms Young was the chief of staff of former Northern Territory Labor leader Clare Martin, an ALP strategist attributed with spearheading Labor's three Northern Territory election victories. She was also once exposed by *Media Watch* for using a fake name to call into an ABC radio program.

Enough said on that, but it is very concerning. I see at a local level as well that, if you are loyal to this Labor state government, you seem to progress a hell of a lot quicker than if you are not, and that is a true tragedy for our Public Service. With that, I conclude my remarks.

Mr TRELOAR (Flinders) (16:59): I rise today to speak to the Supply Bill and indicate, of course, that the opposition does support the bill. It is simply a mechanism to allow continued payment of public servants and public services until the Appropriation Bill, or what is more commonly known as the budget bill, is passed by parliament later this year after the budget. It is an overdraft, essentially.

The amount of money that the government is looking for in 2017 is \$5.9 billion, which is something of a blowout. In 2016, the appropriation was just \$3.44 billion, and in 2015 the appropriation amount requested was \$3.29 billion. In other words, the amount the government is looking for in its overdraft facility is increasing and it has been quite a jump since last year.

Last year's state budget was listed as a jobs budget, as was the budget in 2015. However, the fact that South Australia's unemployment rate remains the highest or the second highest in the nation, depending on which month's figures you look at, shows that the budgets and the governments have no correlation with the spin that goes with it. We have a seasonally adjusted unemployment rate of 7 per cent, which I understand is the highest in the nation.

Most concerning of all, the unemployment rate for 15 to 24 year olds is 17.3 per cent. This is a real concern. This is the age of my children, who are still in training but will ultimately be looking for work in South Australia. Actually, a correction for *Hansard*: my eldest son does have a job now, but he is finishing his studies while he is working. He is fortunate that he has had the opportunity to take a job in a regional area.

It is paramount that we keep in mind in this state the primary reason that we are all here, and that is to find jobs and a future for the next generation, for our children. Unfortunately, we have got to a position in this state now where we are seeing in some parts, both in metropolitan and in regional areas, that generational unemployment has become the norm.

We need to create an environment that fosters and encourages investment. Businesses must have the confidence to invest, to reinvest or to start up. What we have seen is that the cost of doing business in this state has really put a dampener on that investment and that confidence. Business are having to deal with not only the cost but also the time that regulation and red tape

consumes each and every day. These are businesses that simply want to go about and do their business, provide services, build things, make things, grow things and sell things. The regulations that are imposed upon them from above, from both state and federal governments, really need to be addressed.

In addition, we are very conscious of the costs those businesses confront. Of course, electricity has been in the news for most of the last year. We all talked much about the 28 September blackout. In fact, parts of Eyre Peninsula experienced a rather tenuous power supply in the months leading up to that event. I think what ultimately happened was that the situation on Eyre Peninsula became the canary in the coalmine for what was about to happen in the rest of the state and, in fact, over the rest of the country.

I have to think to myself that somebody must have been asleep at the wheel, otherwise we would not have got ourselves into this pickle, and I see no real resolution to that. Ultimately, the test will come next summer, which is really only six months away, when the next bout of hot weather comes and our capacity to provide electricity for our households and our businesses will surely be tested.

There is an issue with the quality of the line on Eyre Peninsula. I know ElectraNet provides the main transmission line down from Port Augusta, through Whyalla to Yadnari, and from there it branches out west to Wudinna and south to Port Lincoln. Essentially, that line is at capacity. It is probably at the end of its useful life, truth be known, but it is certainly at capacity. I know ElectraNet has done a lot of work in relation to the upgrade or duplication of that line, whichever it may be, but they really have not been able to justify any further expenditure without being able to see for themselves any added demand. It becomes a chicken and egg situation: without extra demand ElectraNet are not going to provide any more electricity and without any electricity there is not going to be any extra demand.

What is potentially a game-changer has been on the boil for the last six or eight years, and I have certainly been part of it. Last week, approvals were announced for Iron Road to progress their mining proposal. Significant conditions have been attached to those approvals—127, I believe, which is nowhere near as many as Rex Minerals had imposed upon them—but the fact that government approvals have been gained means it is a step closer.

It is a significant deposit of magnetite that has been known about for a long time. It has been known since the 1960s that iron ore in a magnetite form existed in the Warramboos district, so it was no surprise that somebody came looking once again to the landowners. I guess they had seen a number of exploration companies come and go over those five decades and had, in a way, assumed that the same situation would result; however, Iron Road has regressed significantly from that. As I said, they have gained their government approvals, but it is by no means a done deal yet.

There are significant challenges ahead for Iron Road, not the least of which is the raising of capital. The latest figure I saw just last week is that it is estimated that they will need to raise \$4.8 billion, which is a lot of money when starting from scratch—and they do need to start from scratch because none of the necessary infrastructure is in place. They will have to build from scratch. They will need to develop the mine site at Warramboos.

They will need to develop a freight and infrastructure corridor, which will consist of a railway line; a roadway, I assume; an electricity line; and, importantly for all mine operations, a water pipe as well. None of that is in place, nor is an export port facility available to them, so it would be a brand-new port facility, which at this stage is being proposed for Cape Hardy, just south of Port Neill. This is not going to happen without some angst; in fact, there has been a deal of angst even getting it this far.

I was involved with the community consultative group at Warramboos. I have been to many meetings over the last half a dozen years or so; I was pleased to be part of those, but they were difficult. They involved landowners and business owners from the Warramboos district. They also involved local government and Iron Road themselves. Everybody sitting around the table knew each other well and we were all feeling the pain of this proposal and how it might impact on families and businesses that had been in this particular district sometimes for generations or since European

settlement. So, there was significant interest and investment not only in the community but also in the businesses they ran.

Without putting too fine a point on it, the most difficult thing of all for everybody in business, whether it be a farming business or a business in town, has been the uncertainty that comes with a mining proposal because with these approvals the uncertainty still remains. It becomes difficult for a farmer or landowner to make a decision about a significant capital investment, for example, whether to renew a fence, invest in a new tractor or air seeder, build a new shed, or even in some cases (and I know this has been the situation) whether or not they should build a new house on their property that is their home.

If there was one thing I could do—and I know the Mining Act is likely to be up for debate in this place later this year—it would be to find a way to remove some of that uncertainty and perhaps assist landowners and farmers in their negotiations with the mining company because it is problematic at the moment. We are in uncharted waters, in the sense that, apart from Rex Minerals, which seems to have gone relatively quiet for the moment, it is the first time for a long time that we have seen significant mining proposals within the agricultural zone of South Australia. So, it becomes more sensitive, more problematic. There are more receptors, if you like, more people involved and more businesses affected.

Regarding the conditions that are imposed, many of them will be about the environmental management of the mine, and hopefully that will give the surrounding landowners some comfort that the environment they are gaining a living from, gaining production from, will remain intact. I guess after all that, what it may also do is provide the opportunity for significant investment into infrastructure.

What I am talking about is investment into electricity, which I have touched on already, and investment into water. For a long time, ever since European settlement, Eyre Peninsula has battled scarcity of water. One of the real challenges in the early days was sourcing, catching and providing enough water for the settlement. Now that the population has reached 30,000 in the electorate of Flinders, and if you add Whyalla there is another 25,000, there are over 50,000 people in the electorate of Flinders and all those people need access to utilities, particularly water.

At the moment, we are sourcing the majority of our water, probably 85 per cent of it, from the southern basins which are underground basins, underground lenses, situated west and south of Port Lincoln. It is a finite resource. It is recharged every year. Of course, that recharge is dependent upon natural rainfall, which we have not had much of this year. We are still looking for the break in the season. I might come back to that if I have time.

The supply is supplemented by the River Murray. A few years ago, SA Water extended the pipeline that went from Whyalla to Iron Knob, and they extended that onto Kimba, so we are actually hooked into the state water supply. Investment into electricity and water could occur as a result of this mine development because ultimately Iron Road will need a water supply greater than what is currently available. I understand they have identified a body of water to the east or south-east of the mine site that is saline, but it is not as salty as sea water. It is underground water, so they are looking to extract that, desalinate it and use it at the mine site.

Another issue is transport infrastructure. Theoretically, this rail freight corridor will provide rail transport for the ore, which will be processed at the plant, because it is magnetite and needs processing into a purer form to be transported by rail to the new port site at Cape Hardy. Farmers have been saying to me, and have been crying out for years, for more competitiveness in the supply chain. We are committed to exporting on Eyre Peninsula. We are too far removed for any domestic markets, those large domestic markets of the Eastern States. We are a long way away from them via road, so we are committed to export.

The majority of our export grain goes out of Port Lincoln, with another half a million tonnes also going out of Thevenard. Potentially, another port could offer that very competition that farmers have been looking for for so long. I have to say that the facility at Thevenard is looking a bit tired, and I am hoping for some investment there because it is after all the second busiest port in South Australia, exporting not just grain but gypsum, mineral sands and salt. It is averaging 2½ ships a week.

The issue with Port Lincoln now is that the city has grown and the freight task has greatly increased. Last season, Eyre Peninsula grew three million tonnes of grain, not to say they will do it again this year, but certainly the trend is upward. The production figures are upward, and with modern farming systems I have no doubt that will continue, providing adequate rain falls. A lot of the grain brought into Port Lincoln by road currently goes down Liverpool Street, the main shopping centre, on road trains.

Of late, I have been seeing some triples come down the coast—which is one of the more efficient ways to cart grain—but we are taking these road trains, both doubles and triples, through the built-up area, the main shopping precinct of Port Lincoln. All credit goes to the drivers because they manage it very well, but if the tonnage continues to increase then it becomes problematic for the town and the ability to hold that grain and ship it out of Port Lincoln.

In summary, as a result of some good seasons, the tuna quota is still being caught and other fishing quotas are being caught and the prawn industry is doing relatively well. There has been a bit of a hiccup in the oyster industry last year with Pacific Oyster Mortality Syndrome being discovered in Tasmania, but all those West Coast growers are now producing world-famous oysters—often colloquially known as Coffin Bay oysters. In fact, they are sourced from Denial Bay all the way around to Cowell, so a better term would probably be 'West Coast oysters'.

In the past, all those oyster growers have sourced their spat, their small oysters, from Tasmania. They grow them out in the racks, handle them a number of times and grade and shift them from one site to another, depending on the nutrient flows. It is a lot of work and it is labour intensive, but they have not been able to source that spat from Tasmania as per usual.

What has happened since is that the two existing spat production hatcheries have ramped up their systems and are looking to produce more. SARDI has been producing spat at West Beach, and there is a brand-new facility currently being built at Cowell that will come online later in the year. The shortfall is expected to be made up, but not in time for there to be significant production impact during the remaining part of this year. So, you heard it first in this place, Deputy Speaker—your oysters at Christmas time will be much more expensive and they will be much scarcer.

I noticed that SACOSS recently wrote to all MPs, and we all would have received the same letter. They were talking about the access to the internet and how regional South Australia, in particular, is much worse than the Adelaide metropolitan area in relation to access, in relation to affordability and in relation to the ability of people to use the internet. We are all in this place au fait with the internet. We all use email every day, we use our phones, we use Facebook and we access the internet every day. Why it is so critically important for equal internet access to be available in country South Australia is because of two primary state government responsibilities: health and education.

Without good, reliable and affordable internet access, the provision of those primary services in health and education are compromised, and I will give you an example. We have many smaller and remote area schools on Eyre Peninsula. Often, they are senior students studying subjects in their senior years using Open Access. The schools are not big enough to have a dedicated teacher for each and every subject. For example, a year 11 student at Calca who wants to do physics will need to do it via the internet, and they need that opportunity. At the moment, it is very difficult, it is very expensive for the schools and it is intermittent in its supply. It is a case of giving our country students and our country residents equal opportunity to those of their city counterparts.

In closing, and I will be writing to the Minister for Health on this, I visited the Ceduna Koonibba health premises a couple of weeks ago. We have a magnificent new hospital in Adelaide, which we heard will be opened later of this year, but at the Ceduna Koonibba health premises, which is in the town of Koonibba, there has been no doctor for the last 18 months. The roof leaks, there are termites in the floor, mice in the ceiling and they have shovels adjacent the doors to kill the snakes. Is that fair?

Mr WILLIAMS (MacKillop) (17:19): It is my pleasure to rise to contribute to the debate on the Supply Bill for the ensuing few months, probably five or six months, until the completion of the parliamentary budgetary process. The Supply Bill is a particular instrument to allow the functioning of government to carry on before the budget has even been brought down or debated and approved

by the parliament. It is an important instrument, and it gives members of the house the opportunity to debate a range of issues regarding the way the revenues derived by the state government are expended on goods and services for the benefit of the people of South Australia.

I note that a number of my colleagues have been arguing during the whole of this debate that there are serious problems with the way taxpayers' money is expended in South Australia, particularly in regional South Australia. We have seen the winding back, the downgrading, of a lot of services provided to people who live in regional South Australia over the life of this government. It is made worse because we have seen a significant increase in the taxes imposed on those very people, and there has been a definite shift in the revenue-raising burden on regional South Australians at the same time as there has been a reduction in the amount of taxation expenditure in regional South Australia on a whole range of goods and services.

I will not go over the same ground that many of my colleagues have, but there are a couple of things I would like to highlight that make the point I have just been arguing. I noticed in recent days, in the local South Australian daily newspaper, a story about South Australia's share of drug action teams. I think something like 40 of these teams have been established throughout the nation, and only two in South Australia: one on Lower Eyre Peninsula, in the member for Flinders' electorate, and one in the Lower South-East, probably in the member for Mount Gambier's electorate. In fact, I think it is in his electorate, but it will service the wider South-East, hopefully including parts of my electorate.

The scourge of ice, as we all know, is very prevalent. Tests done by SA Water show that the use of this drug in Adelaide is the second highest of all the capitals in the nation where this testing has been done, yet there has been no move to establish a drug action team in metropolitan Adelaide. The federal minister said that money is there to be distributed amongst people who apply for it, but there seemed to be little interest from South Australia.

The minister—and I will come back to this minister because it is minister Vlahos who is involved in this—was reported in *The Advertiser* last week as saying that South Australia was not consulted and that is why we had not applied. 'South Australia was not consulted.' Well, somebody knew it was happening, because in both the South-East of the state and Lower Eyre Peninsula the people knew what was happening. They applied and were successful in getting grants, yet in metropolitan Adelaide, nobody seemed to bother. I think this highlights the lack of care of this government.

The Hon. T.R. Kenyon interjecting:

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Do you need my protection, member for MacKillop?

Mr WILLIAMS: I think I am old enough and ugly enough and have been here long enough to survive—

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will throw myself between you and your antagonists.

Mr WILLIAMS: —a few barbs from the member for Newland. He is best ignored, and I will continue to do that. On a daily basis, the government argues that South Australia has been unfairly treated by the commonwealth government in Canberra, the Coalition government. A glaring example of the reason South Australia appears to be unfairly treated is that we have a government that does not really care and is not doing its job. There are lots of other examples that I may have time to come back to.

Another issue that has been highlighted in the local press lately is the Department of Environment chasing an investigation into those who resurrected the Marree Man in the Far North of South Australia. I totally agree with the sentiment expressed by the opinion writers in *The Advertiser*: what a waste of money. I do not expect much more from the minister responsible. He has proven, over lots of issues, to be a minister who, in my opinion, does some very strange things. I would have thought that other people in the government, at the head of this government, would have seen that common sense should prevail in this matter and asked why the agency was wasting its resources on that sort of exercise, to try to chase down the people who resurrected what has become an iconic tourism attraction in the Far North of South Australia.

The last time I was in Marree, I could not believe the amount of accommodation built to support its tourism sector. The number of beds available and the number of people who flock to the town is unbelievable. They hop on a plane, fly over the area to look at the Marree Man. It would be a great pity if it were allowed to disappear literally into the dust of the Far North and no longer be something that attracts people.

Again, we read on a fairly regular basis about this government's lack of funding for the Coroner's office. This impacts a significant number of people, particularly those who have had some sort of disaster befall their family. Constituents in my electorate have experienced such circumstances over the years, where they have had to wait for months and months to tidy up loose ends after losing a loved one through unfortunate circumstances. It is an ongoing matter. Here we are, debating a bill that will allow the continuation of the government over the ensuing months. All these things highlight where the government has failed.

The funding for the drainage board in my electorate is abysmal and does not meet the cost of maintaining a very important asset to the state of South Australia. Virtually all the drainage system in the state is within the electorate of MacKillop. There is a very small portion in the neighbouring seat of Mount Gambier. It is the most important piece of agricultural infrastructure in the state, and its maintenance has been let go because the government knows that it will never win the seat of MacKillop, so it does not care. It is an incredibly important and valuable piece of infrastructure for the state. It allows the derivation of a huge agricultural income in that part of the state, and it would not occur without the effective workings of that drainage system. It is one of the things that I look forward to being corrected after a change of government, which I believe will happen in a little under 12 months' time.

Along with other things that I have argued about many times—NRM levies and emergency services levies—one matter that was raised in my electorate just recently was the transfer of public housing to community housing groups. I do not have a problem with that per se except that this government, in doing this, knows full well that it will shift an incredible cost burden onto local councils and has failed to do anything about it. The Wattle Range Council in my electorate believes that the cost impact on that council alone will be about \$90,000 a year.

In my opinion, it is untenable for the state to impose those sorts of costs onto councils right across the state where public housing is being transferred to community housing groups. The state government should bite the bullet and make it a policy that, whenever public housing is transferred to a community housing group, it continues to pick up and pay the council rates on those properties. That is just a snippet of the issues where I think this government has its priorities wrong and where the sorts of services that should be provided by government to the community are not being provided.

I could talk about electricity, and I may get time to come back to that. As I go around my electorate and talk to the various communities, I believe that the biggest issues facing the average household in South Australia at the moment are electricity prices and the lack of security of electricity supply. It is a huge impost on home owners and it is probably an even bigger impost on business. All the blame for that lies at the feet of this government.

I want to spend a bit of time talking about the Oakden facility for aged mental health patients. This issue says more about this government than probably any other issue that has come to light in the now reasonably extensive time I have spent in this place. From time to time, we see issues arise where somebody has taken their eye off the ball and there has been an untoward outcome. That is putting it pretty mildly in this case. What not just frustrates me but also infuriates me and the people of South Australia is the government's response.

I know that the government does not want to see that a scalp has been achieved by the opposition in having a ministerial resignation or sacking over an incident, but I want to spend a few minutes talking about the responsibility of being a minister. The people have given us incredible powers through our parliamentary process and our democratic system. They have given this parliament the power to tax the people to provide the essential services. In giving us those powers, they have—and they have every right to have—an expectation that those spending that money do so in an efficient and effective way.

That is where the convention of ministerial responsibility has come from. It has been a give and take. The powers have been given to the parliament, and particularly to the executive government, to impose tax on the populace, and in return there is an expectation that the decision-making processes will be correct, that they will be for the benefit of the people, that the administration thereafter, in implementing those decisions, will be both efficient and effective and that the taxes raised will be spent wisely.

The only way that that trust can be maintained is when the ministers, the executive government, actually do the right thing. When they fail to do the right thing, the whole trust breaks down. It is not good enough for the government, the Premier and his ministers to say, 'We have done a wonderful job because as soon as a stuff-up was brought to our attention, we responded.' The way our democratic system works is much more complex than that. The expectation of a minister is not to be an administrator, not just to respond when something untoward has been brought to their attention. A significant part of the responsibility of a minister is to protect the interests of the public and to protect the interests of the taxpayer.

The ministers can only do that when they do not just sit and take advice from their bureaucrats from the agencies. They have to probe and probe and probe. We had minister Vlahos tell the parliament in question time today that, when the matter was raised with her by her federal colleague that there was a shortage of staff at the Oakden centre, she went to the agency and sought a briefing, and she said she got an answer back from the agency saying, 'No, everything is under control and the staffing level is okay.'

I must admit that if I had received a letter from one of my federal colleagues that pointed out that things were so bad that there was a significant risk of injury or even death, I would have done more than just ask the agency who was seemingly at fault, who was being accused of being at fault, for their response. I would have asked a bit more than that. I would have said, 'Okay, you're telling me that the staffing levels are fine. On what basis do you make that claim? How do you justify that? How do the staffing levels here compare with other similar institutions either here, in South Australia, or interstate?'

It is the minister's responsibility to get to the bottom of the issue, and here is a very clear case of the minister being given a warning light. It was not as though it was somebody out of the blue; it was one of her own Labor Party colleagues at the federal level who raised the matter on behalf of one of their constituents, and raised it in pretty stark terms, which should have sounded real alarm bells and which should have signalled to the minister that the minister had to do a little bit more than say to the agency, 'Can you draft a letter for me to sign and send back to the federal member?'

If ministers are to go through life believing that their responsibility starts and ends with basically being a clerk, getting an inquiry from a constituent, going to the agency and getting them to write an answer, putting their signature on the bottom of the letter and saying, 'The matter is closed. I've finished with it,' if that is where the level of ministerial responsibility starts and ends, God help us all and God help our democratic institution.

It means a lot more than that. That is why historically we have had the convention that when this sort of problem arises the responsible minister either does the right thing and resigns or is sacked by the Premier. It is only when those sorts of actions are taken that ministers will get to understand what their responsibilities are and will indeed pester, probe and get to the bottom of the question. It seems to me that ministers in this government have become absolutely lazy.

On numerous occasions, I have called out across the house, not just on this issue but on other issues as well, 'What do ministers in this government actually do? What do they do?' If they are not there to protect the interests of the taxpayer, of the public, what do they do? They are just a spokesperson for an agency. Who protects the community from the agency that has got it wrong? Nobody can deny that in this case the agency has got it terribly wrong and, if the minister is not the person who can get to the bottom of it after the alarm bells have been sounded, who is?

That is the fundamental of our democratic system: ministers are responsible to the parliament. The executive government is responsible to the people, and we should not have to wait four years for the people to have an opportunity to get rid of an incompetent minister. A competent

Premier would have done that already. This is one of the most serious matters I have come across in almost 20 years in this place, and the way in which this government has handled it is an absolute disgrace. I can only hope and pray that from the Premier down they pay the appropriate price in March next year.

Debate adjourned on motion of Hon. G.G. Brock.

At 17:40 the house adjourned until Wednesday 10 May 2017 at 11:00.

*Answers to Questions***BUSHFIRE PREPAREDNESS**

223 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 102—

1. How will the bushfire planning and response effort engage with property owners in high risk bushfire areas such as the Mount Lofty Ranges to reduce red tape for EPA and local government burning off requirements to allow property owners to reduce bushfire fuel loads on private properties?
2. How will the bushfire planning and response effort engage with property owners in high risk bushfire areas such as the Mount Lofty Ranges to actively reduce fuel loads on government owned land adjacent to private properties, such as roadside verges, nature strips, parks and conservation areas?
3. What negotiations has the Minister for Emergency Services undertaken with the Minister for the Environment in relation to new EPA policy and regulations regarding burning-off in the open to reduce fuel loads in high risk bushfire areas?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

1. The Country Fire Service (CFS) has advised that engagement with property owners at the local level is undertaken by local government. In metropolitan areas, each local council has the power to issue permits for burning in the open, or to identify areas within their council area by general notice published in a newspaper where burning can be conducted. Questions around EPA policy will need to be directed to the Minister for Sustainability, Environment and Conservation.
2. The CFS has advised that this is a matter for the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources and local government to consider. However, guidance on the reduction of bushfire fuels on crown or council land is provided on the relevant bushfire management area plan.
3. The CFS has been consulted by the EPA and has had input to the development of the new EPA policy and regulations for burning in the open. CFS welcomes the changes as they reinforce the need for safety when burning off and do not compromise the ability of the CFS or the general community to conduct burning in the open for training or bushfire hazard reduction.

GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES

224 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 102—list all grants and subsidies and the amounts for each grant recipient budgeted in 2016-17 provided through Program 1: Fire and Emergency Services Strategic Services and Business Support?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

The grants and subsidies provided through Program 1: fire and emergency services strategic services and business support include the following:

Julian Burton Burns Trust (\$0.250 million)

The Julian Burton Burns Trust is provided with funding of \$0.250 million in 2016-17 for community education initiatives such as the Burnsafes project.

Regional Capability Community Fund (\$0.500 million)

The \$0.500 million Regional Capability Community Fund consists of \$0.470 million in grants issued to 273 recipients, plus \$0.030 million provided for the administration and support of the 2016-17 program. The program is a co-funded model which provides amounts of up to \$2,500 to each recipient. Due to the number of small grants provided and the confidentiality of the applicants, a listing of grant recipients for this program has not been provided.

Commonwealth Natural Disaster Resilience Program 2015-17 (\$4.176 million).

Successful applications for the 2015-17 Commonwealth Natural Disaster Resilience Program are yet to be announced, therefore there are no details of grant recipients for this program.

BUSHFIRE PREPAREDNESS

226 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 5, page 42—is the Minister for Emergency Services briefed by the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources on the 'on-grounds works program of bushfire mitigation strategies' undertaken by the department's fire management officers and does the Minister or the Department for Emergency Services provide any direction or input to this program?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

The SA Country Fire Service (CFS) has been engaged at all levels of planning for the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources (DEWNR) on-ground bushfire mitigation works program. DEWNR and the CFS have entered into a resource sharing arrangement for the preparation of the state's bushfire management area plans which provide direction to the implementation of bushfire mitigation works.

PINERY BUSHFIRES

227 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 5, page 12 and page 15—

1. What are the cost recovery details of the \$2.8 million for the Pinery fires in 2016-17?
2. How has the \$8.5 million allocated to assist the community to recover from the November 2015 Pinery bushfire been expended?
3. How many of the following have been provided to individuals?
 - (a) Relief and recovery grants;
 - (b) \$700 immediate emergency assistance funding;
 - (c) Temporary living assistance; and
 - (d) Grant funding for re-establishment purposes?
4. How much of this funding has been expended directly from the State Government Budget rather than from the State Emergency Relief Fund?
5. List all grants provided to primary producers affected by the Pinery bushfire since November 2015?
6. How many staff have been engaged to undertake case management and mental health services directly for the Pinery bushfire victims?
7. List the programs and the funding received for community rebuilding and resilience as a result of the Pinery bushfire?
8. When will South Australia receive the reimbursement from the commonwealth government for the Pinery bushfire under the national disaster relief and recovery arrangements and will the reimbursement be \$3.4 million or more?

The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay—Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion, Minister for Social Housing, Minister for the Status of Women, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Minister for Youth, Minister for Volunteers): I have been advised:

1. I am unaware of any cost recovery in conjunction with this event. The commonwealth will make a contribution to the relief and recovery efforts under the Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements (NDRRA).
2. The \$8.5 million was allocated to the following purposes:
 - \$1 million donation to Pinery Fire Appeal managed by the State Emergency Relief Fund (SERF);
 - \$0.3 million for the appointment of a Local Recovery Coordinator for 12 months;
 - \$0.3 million for the appointment of a Community Development Officer for two years (including \$50,000 for initial small recovery programs);
 - \$1.625 million for grants (emergency accommodation grants, emergency grants, bereavement grants, re-establishment grants) and Relief/Recovery Centre operating costs) to be administered by the South Australian Housing Trust through Housing SA;
 - \$0.27 million for relief and recovery short-term emergency resourcing within the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion (DCSI);
 - \$4.635 million for a Primary Producer Recovery Grant program and outsourced community and industry engagement program to be administered by Primary Industries and Resources SA;
 - \$0.26 million for a community mental health outreach program for 12 months, to be administered by SA Health;
 - \$0.05 million for community development programs and projects for up to two years, to be administered by the State Recovery Office, DCSI;
 - \$0.055 million for Australian Red Cross volunteer activities.
3. With regard to the Pinery bushfire:
 - 1,193 individuals were paid immediate emergency grants of \$280 per adult and \$140 per child up to a maximum of \$700 per household, totalling \$670,310;
 - 17 families were provided with immediate emergency accommodation; and

- 14 re-establishment grants, totalling \$140,000 were paid for re-establishment purposes. In addition, two bereavement grants, totalling \$20,000 were paid.

4. All of the \$8.5 million was from the 2016-17 State Budget.

5. Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (PIRSA) advises that recovery grants up to \$10,000 have been made available to assist primary producers in the Clare and Gilbert Valleys and Light, Mallala and Wakefield local government areas who suffered direct damage as a result of the Pinery bushfire on 25 November 2015.

The grants have helped re-establish eligible primary producer businesses by reimbursing costs associated with the bushfire, including the cost of equipment hire, removing debris and livestock, repairs to farm infrastructure and essential plant and equipment, stabilisation of land, salvaging crops and maintenance of livestock health, purchase of fodder for livestock and water cartage.

As at 21 October 2016, 31 grants have been approved, totalling \$300,000.

6. Following the establishment of the Pinery Fire Recovery Centre, six staff were initially engaged to provide case management services. Case management resources reduced to five people in April 2016 as service demands declined and then to three in July 2016 as demand reduced further.

Since 26 September 2016, case management services have been provided by one staff member.

As part of the Pinery fire recovery, there have been programs and events delivered which focus on various aspects of recovery for the region.

7. Funding of \$1,000,000 over three years has been applied to community development programs. This has supported programs such as:

- Blue Light Disco
- Dr Rob Gordon presentation on Community Recovery and associated free DVD
- Freeling Support Group
- Fire Season Ready Expo
- Firey Women's Program
- Gals Aloud—Strategic Planning Event
- Gardens Alive at Anlaby—Garden Regeneration
- Grant Writing workshop
- Horse SA Pinery Fire Horse Owner Get Togethers
- Listen With Purpose booklet
- Make-up & Self Esteem workshop
- Men's BBQ's (five held)
- Men's Watch
- Morning and Afternoon Teas at Primary Schools
- PIRSA Think Tank
- Road to Resilience – women's resilience event
- St Johns First Aid Course (free)
- St John's Mental Health First Aid Course (free)
- Suicide Prevention Program development in Light Region
- Tarlee Girls Night Out
- Tree Removal program
- Waste Management issues

8. Claims under the NDRRA are submitted to the commonwealth Attorney-General's Department by 31 March in the financial year following the expenditure. Ordinarily, it would be expected that payment would be received later in the same financial year as the claim is lodged.

Expenditure related to the Pinery bushfire will primarily be incurred across both 2015-16 and 2016-17; therefore it would be expected that commonwealth reimbursement would occur in 2017 and 2018 for each of these years. However, as the commonwealth has paused the processing of claims until it has completed a review of

outstanding claims from previous years, it is not expected that any funding through the NDRRA will be received in the 2016-17 financial year.

PINERY BUSHFIRES

268 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 5, page 12 and page 15—

1. What are the cost recovery details of the \$2.8 million for the Pinery bushfire for 2016-17?
2. How has the \$8.5 million allocated to assist the community to recover from the November 2015 Pinery bushfire been expended?
3. How many of the following have been provided to individuals:
 - (a) Relief and recovery grants;
 - (b) \$700 immediate emergency assistance funding;
 - (c) Temporary living assistance; and
 - (d) Grant funding for re-establishment purposes?
4. How much of this funding has been expended directly from the State Government 2016-17 budget rather than from the State Emergency Relief Fund?
5. List the grants for primary producers that have been provided as a result of the Pinery bushfire since November 2015.
6. How many staff have been engaged to undertake case management and mental health services directly for the Pinery bushfire victims?
7. List the programs and the funding in 2016-17 received for community rebuilding and resilience as a result of the Pinery bushfire.
8. When will South Australia receive the reimbursement from the commonwealth government for the Pinery bushfire under the national disaster relief and recovery arrangements and will the reimbursement be \$3.4 million or will it be more?
9. What was the total amount collected from the community in donations for the Sampson Flat and Pinery fires?
10. How many individuals and community organisations applied for and how many received financial assistance as a result of the Sampson Flat and Pinery fires?
11. What was the total amount paid in grants as a result of these two fires and what was the largest grant amount paid?
12. What is the total and annual per annum cost for administering the State Emergency Relief Fund?

The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay—Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion, Minister for Social Housing, Minister for the Status of Women, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Minister for Youth, Minister for Volunteers): I have been advised:

1. I am unaware of any cost recovery in conjunction with this event. The commonwealth will make a contribution to the relief and recovery efforts under the Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements (NDRRA).
2. The \$8.5 million was allocated to the following purposes:
 - \$1 million donation to Pinery Fire Appeal managed by the State Emergency Relief Fund (SERF);
 - \$0.3 million for the appointment of a Local Recovery Coordinator for 12 months;
 - \$0.3 million for the appointment of a Community Development Officer for two years (including \$50,000 for initial small recovery programs);
 - \$1.625 million for grants (emergency accommodation grants, emergency grants, bereavement grants, re-establishment grants) and Relief/Recovery Centre operating costs) to be administered by the South Australian Housing Trust through Housing SA;
 - \$0.27 million for relief and recovery short-term emergency resourcing within the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion (DCSI);
 - \$4.635 million for a Primary Producer Recovery Grant program and outsourced community and industry engagement program to be administered by Primary Industries and Resources SA;
 - \$0.26 million for a community mental health outreach program for 12 months, to be administered by SA Health;

- \$0.05 million for community development programs and projects for up to two years, to be administered by the State Recovery Office, DCSI;
- \$0.055 million for Australian Red Cross volunteer activities.

3. With regard to the Pinery bushfire:

- 1,193 individuals were paid immediate emergency grants of \$280 per adult and \$140 per child up to a maximum of \$700 per household, totalling \$670,310;
- 17 families were provided with immediate emergency accommodation; and
- 14 re-establishment grants, totalling \$140,000 were paid for re-establishment purposes. In addition, two bereavement grants, totalling \$20,000 were paid.

4. All of the \$8.5 million was from the 2016-17 State Budget.

5. Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (PIRSA) advises that recovery grants up to \$10,000 have been made available to assist primary producers in the Clare and Gilbert Valleys and Light, Mallala and Wakefield local government areas who suffered direct damage as a result of the Pinery bushfire on 25 November 2015.

The grants have helped re-establish eligible primary producer businesses by reimbursing costs associated with the bushfire, including the cost of equipment hire, removing debris and livestock, repairs to farm infrastructure and essential plant and equipment, stabilisation of land, salvaging crops and maintenance of livestock health, purchase of fodder for livestock and water cartage.

As at 21 October 2016, 31 grants have been approved, totalling \$300,000.

6. Following the establishment of the Pinery Fire Recovery Centre, six staff were initially engaged to provide case management services. Case management resources reduced to five people in April 2016 as service demands declined and then to three in July 2016 as demand reduced further.

Since 26 September 2016, case management services have been provided by one staff member.

As part of the Pinery Fire Recovery, there have been programs and events delivered which focus on various aspects of recovery for the region.

7. Funding of \$1,000,000 over three years has been applied to community development programs. This has supported programs such as:

- Blue Light Disco
- Dr Rob Gordon presentation on Community Recovery and associated free DVD
- Freeling Support Group
- Fire Season Ready Expo
- Firey Women's Program
- Gals Aloud—Strategic Planning Event
- Gardens Alive at Anlaby—Garden Regeneration
- Grant Writing workshop
- Horse SA Pinery Fire Horse Owner Get Togethers
- Listen With Purpose booklet
- Make-up & Self Esteem workshop
- Men's BBQ's (five held)
- Men's Watch
- Morning and Afternoon Teas at Primary Schools
- PIRSA Think Tank
- Road to Resilience – women's resilience event
- St Johns First Aid Course (free)
- St John's Mental Health First Aid Course (free)
- Suicide Prevention Program development in Light Region
- Tarlee Girls Night Out
- Tree Removal program

- Waste Management issues

8. Claims under the NDRRA are submitted to the commonwealth Attorney-General's Department by 31 March in the financial year following the expenditure. Ordinarily, it would be expected that payment would be received later in the same financial year as the claim is lodged.

Expenditure related to the Pinery bushfire will primarily be incurred across both 2015-16 and 2016-17; therefore it would be expected that commonwealth reimbursement would occur in 2017 and 2018 for each of these years. However, as the commonwealth has paused the processing of claims until it has completed a review of outstanding claims from previous years, it is not expected that any funding through the NDRRA will be received in the 2016-17 financial year.

9. The total amount of donations collected from the community through the Sampson Flat Bushfire Relief Appeal was \$1,929,341.07.

As at 25 January 2017, \$1,183,078.15 has been donated from the South Australian community to the Pinery Fire Relief Appeal, with an additional \$1 million donated by the state government.

10. With regard to applications to the State Emergency Relief Fund, 453 applications were received requesting a payment from the Sampson Flat bushfire donations and 419 applicants received a payment. Thirteen of these applications were received from community organisations, with 12 organisations receiving a payment.

As at 25 January 2017, there were 591 applications received requesting a payment from the Pinery fire donations and 553 of the applicants received a payment. Sixteen of these applications were from community organisations, with fifteen organisations receiving a payment and one application withdrawn.

11. The total amount of donations distributed to people affected by the Sampson Flat bushfire was \$1,949,876.00, which included the interest accrued on donations. A family who lost their home in the Sampson Flat bushfire received the highest payment totalling \$21,900.

As at 25 January 2017, the total amount of donations distributed to people affected by the Pinery fire was \$2,198,833.00, which included interest accrued on donations. The highest amount of funds, totalling \$25,600, was provided to a person severely injured in the fire.

12. Under Section 37 of the *Emergency Management Act 2004*, no donated monies can be used to defray the administrative costs of administering the fund.

As the State Emergency Relief Fund is an administered item under DCSI, all administrative costs associated with the State Emergency Relief Fund Committee and collection and distribution of public donations are absorbed within existing departmental resources.

EMERGENCY SERVICES EXPENDITURE

233 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 5, page 35—

1. How much funding will be provided for the two bulk water carrier pods to support SAMFS teams operating in remote locations without reticulated water supply?

2. What is the total project cost of the two bulk water carrier pods?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

1. Funding of \$165,000 has been provided to the South Australian Metropolitan Fire Service (SAMFS) for two bulk water carrier pods.

Through negotiation, the SAMFS have also been able to upgrade an existing tanker so that the service now has three identical fit for purpose bulk water carrier pods. This additional work was undertaken within the allocated project funds.

2. The total project cost of the bulk water carrier pods is \$165,000.

EMERGENCY SERVICES EXPENDITURE

234 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 93—why are the SAMFS long term provisions on 2016-17 set at \$51.357 million when in 2015-16 it was set at \$2.423 million and what is being done to reduce levels of non-current liabilities?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

The budget adjustment to reinstate the workers compensation provision is the continuation of the estimated cost of future claims as a result of the introduction of presumptive legislation for firefighters with specific cancers.

VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS, WORKERS COMPENSATION

235 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 86—

1. Why was there such a large accounting error which caused a \$46.3 million overestimate in expenses in the 2015-16 estimated results for the reinstatement of workers compensation provisions during 2015-16 to recognise presumptive legislation for SAMFS firefighters with specific cancers?

2. Has the money allocated for workers compensation provisions during 2015-16 to recognise presumptive legislation for SAMFS firefighters with specific cancers which hasn't been expended been reallocated to other emergency services expenditure items and if so, where has that money been spent?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

1. The budget adjustment to reinstate the workers compensation provision is the continuation of the estimated cost of future claims as a result of the introduction of presumptive legislation for firefighters with specific cancers.

2. The workers compensation provision in the financial statements is an estimate of the cost of claims rather than an allocation of funds. The provision is adjusted to reflect changes in the estimate of the cost of claims based on actuarial valuations. Agencies will continue to be provided funds each year to meet the cost of claims arising from this legislated coverage for firefighters with specific cancers.

JUVENILE FIRELIGHTERS INTERVENTION PROGRAM

236 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 83—how many participants were involved in the Juvenile Firelighters Intervention Program in 2015-16?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

During 2015-16, the Juvenile Firelighters Intervention Program worked with 58 children and their families. The program was highly successful. During 2015-16, evaluations were undertaken with 37 families whose children had finished the program in the 12 months prior, and not one child had relapsed into more fire lighting – representing a 100% success rate.'

METROPOLITAN FIRE SERVICE

237 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 83—does SAMFS training include dealing with fires and explosions resulting from potential terrorist attacks in suburban areas and if so, can an overview be provided?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

All SA Metropolitan Fire Service (SAMFS) recruit firefighters are trained using nationally recognised training and are awarded the Certificate II in Public Safety (Firefighting and Emergency Operations) at the completion of their training program and probationary period. A unit of this qualification is Respond to Urban Fire.

Further, all SAMFS firefighters undergo compulsory study and training for the first six years of their career. Included within their studies are the following units:

- Suppress Urban Fire
- Render Hazardous Material Incidents Safe

In addition, all SAMFS station officers are trained to assess risks at emergency incidents, determine appropriate control measures to mitigate the risks present, and to develop and implement an appropriate incident action plan to control the emergency incident. Exercise Team Spirit is a multi-agency exercise that focuses on terrorist incidents. The SAMFS is actively involved in Exercise Team Spirit, both in writing and participating in this discussion/practical exercise.

METROPOLITAN FIRE SERVICE

238 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 79—what is the expected total project cost for the replacement of telecommunications equipment across SAMFS and emergency services?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

In 2016-17, the expected project costs for the replacement of telecommunications equipment is \$206,000 for Metropolitan Fire Service (MFS), \$1,747,000 for Country Fire Service (CFS) and \$397,000 for State Emergency Service (SES).

The 'replacement of telecommunication equipment' as detailed in the MFS's annual programs is an ongoing program to ensure defective and/or obsolete radio hardware is replaced in a timely manner. This ensures that firefighting personnel have reliable robust equipment when they need it.

The amount of \$206,000 in 2016-17 is the remainder of a VHF radio replacement project of a total cost of \$405,000 over the last two financial years. The procurement of this equipment was through an existing CFS procurement contract.

This VHF network will serve as a redundant MFS dispatch system and allow better interoperability between the MFS and the CFS in joint campaign incidents such as large bushfires.

EMERGENCY SERVICES EXPENDITURE

239 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 2, page 79—what was the total amount of funding which has been transferred from the Community Emergency Services Fund to the South Australian Metropolitan Fire Service for 2015-16 and what is the total amount of funding to be transferred in 2016-17?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

The total amount of funding which was transferred from the Community Emergency Services Fund to the South Australian Metropolitan Fire Service for 2015-16 was \$136.443 million. The total amount of funding to be transferred from the Community Emergency Services Fund to the South Australian Metropolitan Fire Service in 2016-17 is \$136.381 million.

FLOOD RELIEF OPERATIONS

240 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 5, page 40—

1. How much of the \$5 million allocated to establish the Flood Resilience SA Program to enhance flood response and incidental management capabilities is funded from the commonwealth government?
2. What are the program and funding details of the additional \$1 million in funding provided to SES for flood response and incident management capabilities for 2016-17, and in particular—
 - (a) What is the expended amount for flood response equipment and temporary flood protection systems; and,
 - (b) What is the expended amount for rapid deployment mobile flood barriers and high-volume pumps?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): The Minister for Emergency Services has been advised:

1. As part of the 2016-17 budget process, \$5.5 million was allocated over four years for the establishment of the Flood Resilience SA program. This program is fully funded by the state government and will be recovered through the emergency services levy. There is no commonwealth funding component.
2. Of the \$1 million provided in 2016-17 for Flood Resilience SA, \$0.5 million is operating expenditure and will provide for the development and establishment of the program. The remaining \$0.5 million is allocated to capital investment. The SES is currently in the procurement phase for flood equipment and actual costs are yet to be determined.

ABORIGINAL LANDS TRUST

249 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 4, page 67—list all contractual arrangements and the amounts received by the Aboriginal Lands Trust for 2014-15 and 2015-16.

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): I have been advised by the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation:

The Aboriginal Lands Trust received the grants and contributions listed below in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 financial years.

Grants and Contributions 2014-15

Program/Project	Funding Source	\$
Indigenous Engagement and Participation in Natural Resource Management	Northern & Yorke Natural Resource Management Board	\$249,000
Aboriginal Learning on Country – Mount Lofty Region	DEWNR	\$188,182
Aboriginal Learning on Country – Kungun & Gerard Community	Murray Darling NRM	\$250,500

Program/Project	Funding Source	\$
Aboriginal Learning on Country – Coorong Community	Coorong District Council	\$4,600
Wardang Island Indigenous Protected Areas	Department of the Environment and Energy	\$100,000
Yappala Indigenous Protected Areas	Department of the Environment and Energy	\$172,400
West Coast Water Meters	DPTI	\$136,364
Belair National Park revegetation work	DEWNR	\$7,017
Other Grants & contributions	Various NGOs	\$17,340
Yalata Aerodrome Safety Program	Department of Infrastructure and Transport (Australia)	\$11,954
Aboriginal Lands Trust Administration	Department of State Development	\$1,094,225
50 Year Celebration of Aboriginal Lands Trust	Department of State Development	\$50,000
Compliance with Statutory obligations of the ALT under the new Act (Manager Commercial Development & Legal Services & upgrade of facilities)	Department of State Development	\$452,000
Total		\$2,733,582

Grants and Contributions 2015-16

Program/Project	Funding Source	\$
Aboriginal Learning on Country – Mount Lofty Region	DEWNR	\$54,000
Aboriginal Learning on Country – Gerard Community	Murray Darling NRM	\$184,000
Wardang Island Indigenous Protected Areas	Department of the Environment and Energy	\$157,938
Yappala Indigenous Protected Areas	Department of the Environment and Energy	\$168,243
Head of Bight	DPTI	\$20,000
Head of Bight Whale Watching Centre – Boardwalks and viewing platforms	Indigenous Land Corporation	\$86,385
Other Grants & contributions	Various Government and NGOs	\$54,605
Wanilla Forest Fire Mitigation	SAFECOM	\$19,000
Aboriginal Lands Trust Administration	Department of State Development	\$1,121,581
Phase 2 of the Transitional requirements to new ALT Act	Department of State Development	\$400,000
TOTAL		\$2,265,752

ABORIGINAL LANDS TRUST

251 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (27 September 2016). In reference to 2016-17 Budget Paper 4, Volume 4, page 67—why did electricity costs incurred by the Aboriginal Lands Trust increase by more than sixfold during 2015 and why did sundry expenses increase by more than \$73,000?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): The Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation has been advised:

The Aboriginal Lands Trust, under the *Aboriginal Lands Trust Act 2013*, has the responsibility to manage its freehold estate. Part of this responsibility is to maintain the existing infrastructure on the lands. The expenditure identified as electricity costs includes costs associated with the upgrade of the lighting for the Yalata airstrip to ensure it meets the safety requirements.

The increase in sundry expenses is related to the following:

- SA Water costs associated with water supply at Davenport and Glossop properties;
- Fencing costs for the Umeewarra site at Davenport; and
- The establishment of an Asbestos Register, removal and disposal of asbestos for the Yalata lands.

TRANSFORMING HEALTH

In reply to **Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition)** (9 September 2015).

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries): The skill to which the member refers is a standard employment requirement of all ANMF staff.

AMBUS

In reply to **Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett)** (18 October 2016).

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries): I am advised:

Originally, eight staff were trained for the trial and initial operations of the AmBus. They operated as two dedicated AmBus crews overseen by two Patient Transport Services Team Leaders. An extra thirteen staff have now been selected to work on the AmBus and will receive the required heavy rigid vehicle licensing and SAAS driver training. Staff must also undergo clinical, manual tasks and operational training to operate in the AmBus.

TRANSFORMING HEALTH

In reply to **Mr DULUK (Davenport)** (2 November 2016).

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries): I am advised:

1. The value of the Deloitte contract extension was less than \$11 million.
2. Disengagement costs capped at \$4,195,425 (GST inclusive) were payable to Deloitte if the company had not been retained as Transforming Health's implementation partner at the conclusions of the first three months of the contract.

ALINTA ENERGY

In reply to **Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart)** (3 November 2016).

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): I remind the member for Stuart that cabinet documents are confidential and as such will not be discussed in the house.

All Regional Impact Assessment Statement Reports prepared by government agencies are required to be published and are publically accessible through the Primary Industries and Regions SA website.

HEAVY VEHICLES

In reply to **Mr TRELOAR (Flinders)** (15 November 2016).

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): I have been provided with the following advice:

1. Consultation on this matter does not lie within the domain of the state government. All consultation was undertaken by the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) in accordance with the Heavy Vehicle National Law.

Primary producers operating fatigue-regulated heavy vehicles within 160 kilometres of their base still have the benefit of a 'logbook' exemption.

In February 2015, the NHVR created a single National Primary Production Work Diary Exemption (Notice) 2015.

Under this notice *anyone* driving a fatigue-regulated heavy vehicle to transport primary produce between a primary production facility and a point of sale, processing or distribution facility is not required to keep or record information in a National Driver Work Diary (Work Diary).

The exemption covers the return journey and allows drivers to transport other agricultural items (e.g. fertiliser, equipment, etc.) on that journey. The cancellation of the previous South Australian (SA) exemption notices occurred after the national notice was created to remove unnecessary duplication and avoid confusion in industry.

In accordance with the Heavy Vehicle National Law, on 14 December 2015, the NHVR advertised its intention to cancel the SA exemption notices in *The Australian* newspaper. This advertisement invited submissions on why industry believed the notices should not be cancelled.

There were no submissions made to the NHVR to retain the SA exemption notices in response to the advertisement.

2. Specific consultation with the South Australian Agricultural Bureau (SAAB) occurred in early February 2016.

A representative from the SAAB assisted the NHVR in drafting text for an NHVR Industry Update which advised industry of the upcoming cancellations. This was published on the NHVR website on 23 February 2016 and was also circulated to members of the NHVR's stakeholder list.

The NHVR also discussed the cancellation of the notices with the South Australian Road Transport Association and with the South Australian Apiarists' Association (SAAA) and sought their input into the public notification. In the case of the SAAA, the NHVR provided additional materials to be circulated to SAAA members.

Since the revocation of the exemption notices, the NHVR has been in regular contact with Primary Producers South Australia, Grain Producers South Australia and the Apple and Pear Growers Association of South Australia.

Working directly with these organisations, the NHVR has created and published a template to assist members record local area work when working under the National Primary Production Work Diary Exemption (Notice) 2015 (No. 2). In addition, and in collaboration with the Primary Industries and Regions SA, the NHVR has developed a specific fact sheet on primary production work diary requirements.

TRANSFORMING HEALTH

In reply to **Mr KNOLL (Schubert)** (1 December 2016).

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries): I am advised:

1. The total cost to mail letters to the Flinders Medical Centre, Noarlunga Hospital and The Queen Elizabeth Hospital catchment areas was \$83,722.09 (excluding GST).

2. The communications strategy, which included letters to residents as an approved communications tactic, was approved by Premier's Communications Advisory Group (PCAG).

PCAG did not raise any concerns about statements and information contained in the communications strategy.

3. PCAG delegated approval of final versions of tactics contained in the strategy to Government Communications Advice and no statements were rejected.

EDUCATION AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AUDIT

In reply to **Mr GARDNER (Morialta)** (28 February 2017).

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills): I have been advised:

Out of the five recommendations made, the Department for Education and Child Development has taken steps to implement four of them. Schools and sites have been provided with direction and information to ensure the recommendations are being implemented. Compliance activity is underway through the Education Complaint Unit, which will be completed by 30 June 2017.

One of the recommendations is still being considered as it involves the installation of a new computer system. Progress on this Education Management System project will be available in late 2017.

EDUCATION AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

In reply to **Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (28 February 2017).

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills): I have been advised:

All state government schools have been granted the authority to engage a third party provider through the *Education Act 1972* (the Act).

RANGEVIEW DRIVE, CAREY GULLY

In reply to **Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** (28 February 2017).

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills): The Minister for Sustainability, Environment and Conservation has provided the following advice:

Local council is the authority to address this particular issue under Section 254 of the *Local Government Act 1999* as well as domestic squalor guidelines under the *SA Public Health Act 2011*. However, I can confirm that on 1 July 2017, provisions under the *Local Nuisance and Litter Control Act 2016* will come into effect which will provide councils with better tools in dealing with these types of issues.

The new provisions will enable councils to clean up squalid properties where there has been non-compliance with a council notice requiring clean-up. Council is then able to seek compensation from the owner of the property for clean-up costs.

ROAD FUNDING

In reply to **Mr PEDERICK (Hammond)** (2 March 2017).

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister for Housing and Urban Development): I have been provided with the following advice:

During the September storm events, the roads in the Langhorne Creek region experienced extensive flooding. The roads under the care and control of the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure are the Belvidere/Milang Road and the Strathalbyn/Wellington Road.

At the time of the September storm events, and shortly after, approximately \$50,000 was spent on:

- Traffic management (road closures and detours)
- Clean up works
- Repair of water damaged road pavement
- Repair of damaged road shoulders.

A further \$30,000 road pavement repair works are planned to be completed on the Belvidere/Milang Road before the end of this financial year.

Estimates Replies

GOVERNMENT PATENTS

In reply to **Ms SANDERSON (Adelaide)** (23 July 2015).

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): The Minister for Science and Information Economy has advised that the government doesn't register patents.

CORPORATE OVERHEAD COSTS

In reply to **Mr DULUK (Davenport)** (28 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): The following information is provided on behalf of all ministers. The Department of Treasury and Finance advises that the preparation of program and sub-program information for the budget papers is an extensive process where each agency allocates its budget (including corporate overhead costs) over the various programs of the agency.

The allocation process is undertaken at the agency level in a manner that best reflects the nature of the business operations of the agency. Whilst all agencies maintain a rolling 5 years of forward estimates, the estimates (including corporate overhead costs) are not allocated across programs beyond the current budget year.

As a result, a breakdown of agency budgets in an Agency Statement format over each year of the forward estimates does not exist. Information would only exist for the 2016-17 budget year as well as the 2015-16 budget and estimated result and 2014-15 actual as published in agency statements.

TARGETED VOLUNTARY SEPARATION PACKAGES

In reply to **Mr DULUK (Davenport)** (28 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): The Department of Treasury and Finance advises that information on TVSP's can be obtained from the Auditor-General's Annual Report to parliament.

There is no budget over the forward estimates and any packages offered are to be funded within existing agency budgets.

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY

In reply to **Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition)** (28 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy): The South Australian Financing Authority advises that 3,097 vehicles were disposed of in the 2015-16 financial year for a value of \$53.4 million (ex GST).

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

In reply to **Mr GARDNER (Morialta)** (29 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills): I have been advised of the following:

The collection and reporting of data for the number of paid primary contact staff in preschools is sourced from the Annual Census of preschool services conducted in August each year. Comparing the base staffing allocations to DECD preschools that are utilised for employing primary contact staff (FTE) in term 3 2016 with the allocations in term 3 2015 provides evidence of an increase of 127.1 FTE.

It is anticipated that the 2016 census data, which is currently being validated, will provide confirmation of the 2016-17 target of 1,620 primary contact staff having been met.

GRANT EXPENDITURE

In reply to **Mr GARDNER (Morialta)** (29 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills):

Minister for Higher Education and Skills

For the forward estimates, the following reflects grant program operating expenditure budgets as at August 2016.

Program Description	2016-17 Budget \$,000	2017-18 Budget \$,000	2018-19 Budget \$,000	2019-20 Budget \$,000	2020-21 Budget \$,000
ABILITIES FOR ALL	-	199	199	199	199
ABORIGINAL ACCESS CENTRE	6,230	6,230	6,397	6,557	6,721
ABORIGINAL APPRENTICESHIP PGM	172	383	383	383	383
ABORIGINAL TRAINEESHIP	94	94	97	100	102
ABORIGINAL WKFCE PARTICIPATION	163	75	75	75	75
ADULT COMM ENGAGEMENT (ACE)	3,332	3,332	3,332	3,332	3,332
APY INTERPRETER PROGRAM	211	212	217	223	228
APY LANDS	3,071	3,080	3,156	3,234	3,315
ARTS EDUCATION	200	200	-	-	-
BUILDING FAMILY OPPORTUNITIES	300	-	-	-	-
CAREERS SA	50	50	50	50	50
COMMUNITY SVCS- NO HOURS	727	926	971	996	1,021
COMMUNITY SVCS- VET IN SCHOOLS	1,000	1,005	1,030	1,056	1,082
CONNECT ABORIG PPLE TO MINING	300	-	-	-	-
COUNTRY ARTS SA GRANTS	110	112	115	118	121
CSIP CRITICAL SKILLS FUND	2,255	2,311	2,369	2,428	2,489
CSIP GTO SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,935	1,984	2,033	2,084	2,136
DESTINATION ADELAIDE	560	371	381	-	-
DIGITAL LITERACY	-	30	30	30	30
DISABILITY SUPPORT SERVICES	-	540	540	554	567
DOME	211	211	60	-	-
ENHANCED ONLINE LEARNING SYS	210	-	-	-	-
EQUAL REMUNERATION ORDER SUPP	504	581	750	785	804
HELPMANN ACADEMY	100	-	-	-	-
JOBS FIRST	5,237	9,788	7,599	7,599	7,599
MARITIME SKILLS TRAINING	133	148	148	148	148
PAYMENT TO DEFENCE SA	60	1,129	1,129	1,129	1,129
PAYMENTS TO EXTERNAL PROVIDERS	45,469	48,240	56,147	58,677	65,245
RES&ENG SKILLS ALLIANCE(RESA)	423	436	436	436	436
RESEARCH ANALYSIS & PRICING	11	21	21	21	21
RETRENCHED WORKERS	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
SA GROUP TRAINING	1,354	1,388	1,423	1,458	1,495
SKILLS FOR ALL IN REGIONS	1,398	2,299	1,862	1,833	1,879
SKILLS IN THE WORKPLACE	7,541	1,762	1,762	1,762	1,762

Program Description	2016-17 Budget \$,000	2017-18 Budget \$,000	2018-19 Budget \$,000	2019-20 Budget \$,000	2020-21 Budget \$,000
STUDENT SERVICES	-	4,239	4,345	4,454	4,565
STUDENT VET FEE HELP	1,750	200	200	205	210
TAUONDI	2,175	2,175	2,175	2,175	2,175
TRAINEE TRAVEL & ACCOMM SUBS	1,150	1,186	1,223	1,261	1,294
WORKFORCE WIZARD MAINT COSTS	12	17	17	17	17

2015-16

The following provides information with regards to grants of \$10,000 or more:

Department of State Development

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Abilities for All			
BEDFORD PHOENIX INCORPORATED	50	Is designed to meet the needs of people with a disability who are employed or supported by a variety of industries and agencies.	Y
BEDFORD PHOENIX INCORPORATED	150	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY	Y
ABORIGINAL APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM			
ATEC	15	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment	Y
NORTH EAST DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	10	Aboriginal apprenticeship incentive	Y
SOUTHERN FLINDERS ENTERPRISES	24	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment in Coober Pedy.	Y
ADULT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (ACE)			
ABERFOYLE COMMUNITY CENTRE INC	26	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ADVANCING WHYALLA INC	48	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ALDINGA COMMUNITY CENTRE INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ALLIANCE OF U3AS IN SA INC	53	Funding for U3A organisations to facilitate learning experiences for older South Australians	Y
ANGLICAN COMMUNITY CARE INC	98	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ANGLICAN COMMUNITY CARE INC	41	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
BAGSTER ROAD COMMUNITY CENTRE	83	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
BAGSTER ROAD COMMUNITY CENTRE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
BEACH ROAD ARTWORKS INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CAREER EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CARITAS COLLEGE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CATHERINE HOUSE INC	39	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CENTACARE CATHOLIC FAMILY SERV	15	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CENTACARE CATHOLIC FAMILY SVS	68	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CHRISTIE DOWNS COMMUNITY HOUSE	16	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CORP OF THE CITY OF MARION	105	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
CITY OF SALISBURY	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	360	Grant to Community Centres SA to implement the Workforce Development Plan to build the capacity of the Adult Community Education (ACE) sector	Y
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	197	Grants to upskill existing workers to improve company and industry innovation, productivity and sustainability.	Y
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	85	Funding for foundation skills training in community centres, to transition participants to further training, employment and or volunteering.	Y
COMMUNITY HOUSE PT LINCOLN INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ELIZABETH COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS PROJECT	38	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ENCOUNTER CENTRE INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
EYRE FUTURES INC	63	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
FINDING WORKABLE SOLUTIONS INC	32	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
GAWLER COMMUNITY HOUSE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
HACKHAM WEST COMMUNITY CENTRE	16	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
HEWETT CENTRE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
HUTT STREET CENTRE	63	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
HUTT STREET CENTRE	15	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
KURA YERLO INCORPORATED	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
LUTHERAN COMMUNITY CARE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
MARIONLIFE COMMUNITY SERVICES	12	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MIDWAY ROAD COMMUNITY HOUSE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MILANG & DISTRICT COMMUNITY	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MISSION AUSTRALIA	155	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MORELLA COMMUNITY CENTRE INC	144	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MORELLA COMMUNITY CENTRE INC	35	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MOUNT BARKER COMMUNITY CENTRE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE	14	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
MUSLIM WOMEN'S ASSOC OF SA INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
NARACOORTE LUCINDALE COUNCIL	12	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
NEW DAY PROGRAM INC	12	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
NORTHERN AREA COMMUNITY &	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOCIATION	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
PARALOWIE R-12 COMMUNITY CTR	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
PLAZA YOUTH CENTRE INC	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
POORAKA FARM COMMUNITY CENTRE	104	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
POORAKA FARM COMMUNITY CENTRE	25	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
RIVERLAND DIV OF GEN PRACTICE INC	31	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
REYNELLA NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE	41	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ST VINCENT DE PAUL SOCIETY	15	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
ST VINCENT DE PAUL SOCIETY SA	63	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SYP COMMUNITY TELECCENTRE INC	13	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
TAUONDI INCORPORATED	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
THE HUT COMMUNITY CENTRE	85	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
THE HUT COMMUNITY CENTRE	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
THE JUNCTION COMMUNITY CENTRE	50	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	60	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	15	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY IN AUST	40	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY IN AUST	10	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
WEST COAST HOMECARE	19	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
WHALERS PENINSULA COMMUNITY	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
WHITELION INCORPORATED	20	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
YWCA OF ADELAIDE INC	43	Grant to support Adult Community Education (ACE) Foundation Skills accredited and non-accredited training programs across South Australia.	Y
BUILDING FAMILY OPPORTUNITIES			
BAPTIST CARE (SA) INC	150	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITING CARE WESLEY COUNTRY SA	212	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY COUNTRY SA	10	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	350	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	175	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	569	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	394	To address long-term unemployment, intergenerational family joblessness and economic disadvantage by providing intensive whole of life services.	Y
CAREER SA			
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	27	Funding for Upskilling ACE providers in career services	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CONNECT ABORIGINAL PEOPLE TO MINING			
ABORIGINAL ENTERPRISES IN	10	To support Aboriginal businesses attend the Aboriginal Enterprises in Mining, Exploration and Energy Conference to be held in South Australia	Y
ACCESS WORKING CAREERS	13	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment in Mining Industry	Y
ANGLICARE SA INC	23	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment in Mining Industry	Y
PRICE WATERHOUSE COOPERS	23	Aboriginal Employment Industry Cluster projects	Y
QUEENSLAND UNIVERSITY	10	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment in Mining Industry	Y
THE RAWLINGS FAMILY TRUST	21	Aboriginal Employment Industry Cluster projects	Y
THE RAWLINGS FAMILY TRUST	15	Grant to support Aboriginal people into employment in Mining Industry	Y
CRITICAL SKILLS FUND			
Various Employers undertaking apprentices and trainees	308	A completion bonus of up to \$2,000 to employers for each apprentice or trainee that complete with a qualification listed on the Critical Skills List.	N
Various Employers undertaking apprentices and trainees	665	A completion bonus of up to \$2,000 to employers for each apprentice or trainee that complete with a qualification listed on the Critical Skills List.	N
Various Employers undertaking apprentices and trainees	557	A completion bonus of up to \$2,000 to employers for each apprentice or trainee that complete with a qualification listed on the Critical Skills List.	N
Various Employers undertaking apprentices and trainees	307	A completion bonus of up to \$2,000 to employers for each apprentice or trainee that complete with a qualification listed on the Critical Skills List.	N
Various Employers undertaking apprentices and trainees	62	A completion bonus of up to \$2,000 to employers for each apprentice or trainee that complete with a qualification listed on the Critical Skills List.	N
CSIP GTO SUPPORT PROGRAM			
AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRY GROUP	286	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
HIA GRP APPRENTICESHIP SCHEME	110	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
MASTER BUILDERS ASS OF SA INC	164	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
MASTER PLUMBERS ASSOCIATION	101	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
MEGT (AUSTRALIA) LTD	148	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
MTA GROUP TRAINING SCHEME INC	694	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
PLUMBING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION	44	The funds are provided to assist group training organisations (GTOs) employ and mentor Apprentices/Trainees and are commensurate with the Payroll Tax liability incurred by the GTO for Apprentices/Trainees during the Term	Y
DATA AND ANALYSIS			
BRAND SOUTH AUSTRALIA	10	Sponsorship Agreement for Entrepreneurs Week Opening Event	Y
DOME			
DOME ASSOCIATION INC	295	Funding supports office accommodation for DOME to enable DOME to deliver employment assistance services for mature age South Australians.	Y
EQUAL REMUNERATION ORDER SUPP			
AUSTRALIAN REFUGEE ASSOC INC	10	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
BAPTIST CARE SA INC	22	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
CAREER EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC	12	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	48	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
DOME ASSOCIATION INC	16	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
EYRE FUTURES INC	21	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
HUTT STREET CENTRE	13	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
MISSION AUSTRALIA	19	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
MORELLA COMMUNITY CENTRE INC	11	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	23	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
UNITINGCARE WESLEY COUNTRY SA	26	ERO is a supplementation payment to NGOs that receive grant or program funding from the Government that employed SACS sector workers under the SCHCDS Award to deliver these services and as a consequence are impacted by the FWA decision.	Y
HELPMANN ACADEMY			
HELPMANN ACADEMY	200	Funding for advancing supporting and promoting education and training in the visual and performing arts	Y
HIGHER ED			
FLINDERS UNIVERSITY	13	The scholarship eases the financial burden of recipients' studying in Education (to reflect Dr Such's lifelong interest in education) and Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (to reflect the Government's STEM priorities). The State will benefit from the skills and expertise of engineering students in areas of workforce need. Attracts students into Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM).	Y
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE	30	To undertake a research placement at one of the Centre's participating South Australian research institutions	Y
THE FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SA	14	The scholarship eases the financial burden of recipients' studying in Education (to reflect Dr Such's lifelong interest in education) and Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (to reflect the Government's STEM priorities). The State will benefit from the skills and expertise of engineering students in areas of workforce need. Attracts students into Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM).	Y
THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	20	A scholarship for an Indonesian student undertaking a higher research degree in the area of food production systems and value chains between South Australia and Indonesia	Y
THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	15	The Scholarship will be offered for three consecutive years to a student commencing either a Masters by Research or a PhD in Mathematics or Science. To mark the occasion of Singapore's 50th anniversary of independence, the South Australian Government in partnership with the University of Adelaide is providing a scholarship honouring the distinguished career of Singaporean President and University of Adelaide Maths graduate, Dr Tony Tan Keng Yam. The scholarship encourages educational and cultural exchange between SA and Singapore in areas of mutual benefit	Y
JOBS FIRST			
ACCESS WORKING CAREERS	14	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
ALLSTAFF TRAINING CONSULTANTS	21	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
ALLSTAFF TRAINING CONSULTANTS	42	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
A TEC—ADEL TRAIN & EMPL CTRE	225	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
A TEC—ADEL TRAIN & EMPL CTRE	18	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
AUCTUS BUSINESS TRAINING	12	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
AUCTUS BUSINESS TRAINING	10	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
AUSTRALIAN FISHERIES ACADEMY	27	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
AUSTRALIAN RED CROSS	62	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
AUSTRALIAN TRAINING ALLIANCE	19	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
BEDFORD INDUSTRIES INCORP	304	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
CAREY TRAINING PTY LTD	60	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
CAREY TRAINING PTY LTD	33	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
CIVIL TRAIN—SA	20	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
CIVIL TRAIN—SA	40	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
D C HEALEY PTY LTD	26	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
D C HEALEY PTY LTD	24	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
DOME ASSOCIATION INC	65	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
DOME ASSOCIATION INC	33	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
EQUALS INTERNATIONAL (AUST)	124	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
EQUALS INTERNATIONAL (AUST)	111	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
HESSEL PTY LTD	16	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY TRAINING	151	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY TRAINING	58	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
INDEPENDENT INSTITUTE OF FOOD	50	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
INDEPENDENT INSTITUTE OF FOOD	28	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
IS AUSTRALIA (SA) PTY LTD	61	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
MAXIMA TRAINING SERVICES	81	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
		organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	
MAXIMA TRAINING SERVICES	11	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
MORGAN & HAY PTY LTD	11	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
MORGAN & HAY PTY LTD	18	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
NORGROVE TRAINING PTY LTD	206	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
NORGROVE TRAINING PTY LTD	79	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	65	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	11	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
QUALITY TRAINING & HOSPITALITY	204	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
QUALITY TRAINING & HOSPITALITY	35	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
RAMSDEN TELECOMMUNICATIONS	115	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
RAMSDEN TELECOMMUNICATIONS	77	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
RED EARTH TRAINING SOLUTIONS	47	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
RED EARTH TRAINING SOLUTIONS	23	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AUST	123	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
SERVICE SKILLS IND ALLIANCE	27	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
SERVICE SKILLS SA	169	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
SERVICE SKILLS SA	88	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
TIME EDUCATION & TRAINING P/L	78	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
TIME EDUCATION & TRAINING P/L	18	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
TRANSPORT TRAINING SOLUTIONS	75	Jobs First Employment Projects are tailored activities developed in partnership with employers, training organisations and regional stakeholders to assist job seekers to obtain and sustain a job	Y
TRANSPORT TRAINING SOLUTIONS	101	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
VOCTRAN PTY LTD	14	To deliver tailored employment projects to Job Seekers	Y
MARITIME SKILLS TRAINING			

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ACCESS TRAINING CENTRE	94	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY	N
ASC AWD SHIPBUILDER PTY LTD	294	Maritime Skills Centre to fund the skills and training requirements of the Air Warfare Destroyer Project (AWD Project) workforce	Y
CAREER EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC	12	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY. DSD administers the Alinta Worker Training Support Package, which assists workers to retrain to gain alternative employment.	Y
COOKE PRECAST CONCRETE PTY LTD	52	Maritime Skills Centre—Minister's commitment of up to \$7.029M to fund the skills and training requirements of the Air Warfare Destroyer Project (AWD Project) workforce, until its completion in 2018-19.	Y
CORPORATE CARS AUSTRALIA	221	Maritime Skills Centre—Minister's commitment of up to \$7.029M to fund the skills and training requirements of the Air Warfare Destroyer Project (AWD Project) workforce, until its completion in 2018-19.	Y
COURTESY DRIVING SCHOOL	58	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY	N
COURTESY DRIVING SCHOOL	64	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY. DSD administers the Alinta Worker Training Support Package, which assists workers to retrain to gain alternative employment.	Y
DANNY'S EZY LEARN DRIVING SCHL	28	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY	N
INDUSTRY PATHWAYS	22	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY	N
PEER Tec	13	Funding provided to training organisations for retrenched workers from ALINTA ENERGY. DSD administers the Alinta Worker Training Support Package, which assists workers to retrain to gain alternative employment.	Y
OTHER ONE-OFF			
Skills One Pty Ltd	10	Sponsorship of National Skills Week 2016	Y
REMOTE INDIG PUBLIC INTERNET			
MAGEDATA	29	Upskilling of Aboriginal Job Seekers	Y
OODNADATTA ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	15	Commonwealth funded program to provide public internet access and training for remote Aboriginal communities.	Y
RES&ENG SKILLS ALLIANCE(RESA)			
MINERAL RESOURCES & HEAVY	436	The purpose to promote workforce planning and development of the minerals, resources and heavy engineering sectors.	Y
RETRENCHED WORKERS			
TACTICAL TRAINING AUST PTY LTD	21	Funding for to supports retrenched workers in the automotive and manufacturing industries through a range of support programs.	N
TIME EDUCATION & TRAINING P/L	22	Funding for to supports retrenched workers in the automotive and manufacturing industries through a range of support programs.	N
TRANSPORT TRAINING SOLUTIONS	11	Funding for to supports retrenched workers in the automotive and manufacturing industries through a range of support programs.	N
SA GROUP TRAINING			
AFL SPORTS READY LTD	13	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
A TEC—ADEL TRAIN & EMPL CTRE	38	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
		groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	
AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRY GROUP	60	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
CAREER EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC	131	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
GROUP TRAINING AUSTRALIA (SA)	88	To deliver initiatives aimed at extending and fostering group training arrangements and quality traineeships and apprenticeships in South Australia	Y
GROUP TRAINING EMPLOYMENT	68	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
HIA GRP APPRENTICESHIP SCHEME	25	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
MASTER BUILDERS ASSOC SA P/L	46	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
MAXIMA GROUP TRAINING	105	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
MEGT (AUSTRALIA) LTD	39	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
MTA GROUP TRAINING SCHEME INC	188	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
MURRAYLANDS TRAINING	20	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
PEER TEC	148	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
PLUMBING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION	20	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
STATEWIDE GROUP TRAINING (SA)	58	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
SUNRAYSIA & MURRAY GROUP	24	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
TRAINEE & APPRENTICE PLACEMENT	97	To provide pastoral care and support to apprentices and trainees in areas of State priority, and for targeted groups, being those who are indigenous and/or have a disability.	Y
SKILLS FOR ALL IN REGIONS			
4LIFE PTY LTD (4LIFE COLLEGE)	12	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
		employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	
ART EMPLOYMENT PTY LTD	24	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
ACCESS WORKING CAREERS	14	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
ART EMPLOYMENT PTY LTD	91	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
ATEC—ADEL TRAIN & EMPL CTRE	25	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects t developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
AUSTRALIAN NURSING FEDERATION	23	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
BEDFORD PHOENIX INCORPORATED	300	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
CAREER PARTNERS PLUS INC	25	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
CAREERS AUSTRALIA EDUCATION	86	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
CHILD CARE SERVICES TRAINING	12	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
CITY OF ONKAPARINGA	376	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs	Y
COMPLETE PERSONNEL SA PTY LTD	14	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs	Y
DOME ASSOCIATION INC	15	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
DWA EMPLOYMENT SERVICES PTY LTD	150	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs	Y
ENABLE CONSULTATION SERVICES	41	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
FTH SKILLS COUNCIL	59	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY TRAINING	160	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
HUTT STREET CENTRE	31	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
JCAM CREATIVE PTY LTD	49	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
KARINGAL INC	32	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
NORGROVE TRAINING PTY LTD	21	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
NORTH EAST DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	237	Funding for Industry Leadership Group and Regional Network Support.	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	691	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA LIMESTONE COAST JOBS FIRST	330	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA ADELAIDE HILLS FLEURIEU & KANGAROO ISLAND	352	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA BAROSSA	83	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA FAR NORTH	255	To provide job seekers with career services to support access to training and employment opportunities and assist local employers with their recruitment needs.	Y
RDA MURRAYLANDS & RIVERLAND	883	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA WHYALLA & EYRE PENINSULA	443	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
RDA YORKE & MID NORTH	642	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y
SERVICE SKILLS IND ALLIANCE	20	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
SERVICE SKILLS SA	22	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
TARGETT RETAIL TRAINING PTY LTD	29	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
TRANSPORT DIST TRAINING SA INC	43	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
TRANSPORT TRAINING SOLUTIONS	47	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
WESTERN FUTURES	366	The purpose of the Skills for Jobs in Regions Program is to improve the employability of local jobseekers by developing new skills, matched to local industry needs.	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
WISE EMPLOYMENT LTD	61	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
YWCA OF ADELAIDE INC	16	The Strategic Employment Fund (SEF) connects job seekers to available work following participation in employment projects developed with employers who are prepared to commit to jobs.	Y
SKILLS IN THE WORKPLACE			
COMMUNITY CENTRES SA INC	411	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
COMMUNITY SERVICE & HEALTH	13	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
DEFENCE TEAMING CENTRE	238	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
DRAKES SUPERMARKETS	10	Grant funded through the Workforce Development Program. The aim of the Workforce Development Program is to provide support for industry-led projects that address current and future workforce and skills development needs.	Y
FTH SKILLS COUNCIL	16	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
GRAIN PRODUCERS SA LTD	22	Grant funded through the Workforce Development Program. The aim of the Workforce Development Program is to provide support for industry-led projects that address current and future workforce and skills development needs.	Y
QUALITY TRAINING & HOSPITALITY	19	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
RISING SUN PICTURES PTY LTD	10	Funding through Workplace Development program for workforce development activities.	Y
SA POWER NETWORKS	13	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC	45	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
SEELEY INTERNATIONAL PTY LTD	136	Grant funded through the Workforce Development Program. The aim of the Workforce Development Program is to provide support for industry-led projects that address current and future workforce and skills development needs.	Y
SMART FABRICATION PTY LTD	44	Grant funded through the Workforce Development Program. The aim of the Workforce Development Program is to provide support for industry-led projects	Y

Name of Grant Program and Recipient	Amount paid to date \$,000	Purpose of Grants	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
		that address current and future workforce and skills development needs.	
SOUTH AUSTRALIAN WINE INDUSTRY	11	Grant funded through the Workforce Development Program. The aim of the Workforce Development Program is to provide support for industry-led projects that address current and future workforce and skills development needs.	Y
SOUTHERN CROSS CARE (SA&NT) INC	83	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace (Skill Sets) program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
WHYALLA AGED CARE INCORPORATED	17	Funding for the Retrenched Workers Program. The South Australian Government supports retrenched workers in the automotive and manufacturing industries through a range of support programs. These are paid on invoice, not under a funding instrument.	Y
WORK'N OZ	55	Grant funded through Skills in the Workplace program that is a co-investment with employers/enterprises in training to improve company and industry innovation, competitiveness, productivity, profitably and sustainability.	Y
TAUONDI			
TAUONDI INCORPORATED	2,225	To deliver a training program to members of the Indigenous Community in South Australia, with the aim to increase skill levels and develop pathways to further education and employment.	Y
TRAINEE TRAVEL & ACCOMM SUBS			
VARIOUS APPRENTICES AND TRAINEES	1,046	Apprentice and trainees travel and accommodation Allowance.	N
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON			
UCL SCHOOL OF ENERGY AND	87	To establish, operate and maintain the Adelaide Campus in Adelaide to 2017.	Y
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON	262	To establish, operate and maintain the Adelaide Campus in Adelaide to 2017.	Y
VET IN SCHOOLS(NON-GOVT)			
ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT	21	Support them to deliver Vocational Education and Training (VET) in Schools Programs in their schools.	Y
SACCS SPECIFIC EDUCATION GRANT	828	Support them to deliver Vocational Education and Training (VET) in Schools Programs in their schools.	Y

GRANT EXPENDITURE

In reply to **Mr GARDNER (Morialta)** (29 July 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Education and Child Development, Minister for Higher Education and Skills):

Minister for Education and Child Development

2015-16

The below table lists grants and subsidy expenses of \$10,000 or more for the Department for Education and Child Development for the 2015-16 financial year.

The amounts include expenses to entities both internal and external to the South Australian Government.

Department for Education and Child Development

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
ABERFOYLE HUB PRESCHOOL-TAYLORS R	\$484,002.17
ABERFOYLE HUB R-7 SCHOOL	\$3,541,216.36
ABERFOYLE PARK CAMPUS PRESCHOOL	\$511,103.65

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
ABERFOYLE PARK HIGH SCHOOL	\$11,577,771.69
ABORIGINAL FAMILY SUPPORT SERVICE	\$8,993,457.00
ACACIA KINDERGARTEN	\$553,662.05
ACARA	\$895,480.00
ADAMS ROAD CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$609,397.64
ADELAIDE EAST EDUCATION CENTRE	\$2,462,932.59
ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE TRUST	\$52,275.00
ADELAIDE HIGH SCHOOL	\$14,775,365.92
ADELAIDE JAPANESE COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$20,755.00
ADELAIDE MIETHKE PRESCHOOL	\$483,882.47
ADELAIDE MONTESSORI-MORPHETTVILLE	\$53,108.75
ADELAIDE NORTH SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$6,892,769.17
ADELAIDE RUSSIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	\$35,630.00
ADELAIDE SECONDARY SCHOOL OF ENGLISH	\$9,287,481.64
ADELAIDE SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	\$26,425.00
ADELAIDE TAMIL ASSOC INC	\$10,710.00
ADELAIDE WEST SPECIAL EDUC CENTRE	\$3,732,151.52
ADELAIDE YOUTH ORCHESTRA INC	\$20,000.00
AFGHAN UNITED ASSOC OF SA INC	\$31,850.00
AGNES GOODE KINDERGARTEN INC	\$449,809.32
AIRDALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,094,626.84
AKUNA KINDERGARTEN	\$493,920.29
AL SALAM ARABIC SCHOOL INC	\$30,660.00
ALBERTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,247,488.14
ALDGATE KINDERGARTEN INC	\$372,138.28
ALDGATE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,489,270.78
ALDINGA BEACH CC	\$791,929.21
ALDINGA BEACH R-7 SCHOOL	\$6,643,031.03
ALDINGA COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$556,579.99
AL-FAROOQ ARABIC SCHOOL	\$34,825.00
ALLENBY GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,668,321.19
ALLENDALE EAST AREA SCHOOL	\$2,982,503.59
ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D ADELAIDE	\$22,610.00
AMATA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$3,072,263.78
ANANGU EDUCATION SERVICES—ERNABELLA OFFICE	\$545,000.00
ANDAMOOKA PLAYCENTRE	\$965,255.93
ANDREWS FARM COMMUNITY PRESCHOOL	\$471,204.47
ANGASTON KINDERGARTEN	\$354,490.89
ANGASTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,711,273.06
ANGLE VALE PRESCHOOL	\$490,760.16
ANGLE VALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,378,142.44
ANGLICAN COMMUNITY CARE INC	\$5,586,700.00
ANGLICARESA	\$9,712,570.00
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	\$435,199.55
ANNESLEY EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$51,465.00
ANZAC REMEMBRANCE APPEAL	\$200,000.00
ARBURY PARK OUTDOOR SCHOOL	\$1,357,966.30
ARDROSSAN & DISTRICT COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN INC	\$197,907.15
ARDROSSAN AREA SCHOOL	\$3,076,293.51
ARDTORNISH CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$593,104.69
ARDTORNISH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,278,407.82
ASBESTOS DISEASES SOCIETY SA	\$17,520.00
ASCOT PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$226,940.05
ASCOT PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,738,742.03
ASHTON KINDERGARTEN	\$231,987.99
ASSOC OF INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS	\$2,275,367.90

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
ATHELSTONE PRESCHOOL	\$427,070.82
ATHELSTONE SCHOOL	\$3,455,402.29
AUBURN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$787,641.67
AUGUSTA PARK CHILDHOOD SERVICES CENTRE	\$537,467.16
AUGUSTA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,611,398.55
AUSTRALIAN CHILDREN'S PERFORMING	\$15,000.00
AUSTRALIAN CHILDREN'S TV FOUNDATION	\$23,900.00
AUSTRALIAN SCIENCE & MATHS SCHOOL	\$6,142,632.46
AUSTRALIAN UNITARIAN DRUZE COMMINC	\$12,250.00
AUTISM ASSOC OF SA INC	\$1,776,926.00
AUTISM INTERVENTION-BLACKWOOD	\$496,666.71
BABTHORPE MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	\$18,286.00
BADEN PATTINSON KINDERGARTEN	\$398,527.76
BAINS ROAD PRESCHOOL	\$734,821.88
BALAKLAVA COMMUNITY CHILDREN CENTRE	\$452,623.36
BALAKLAVA HIGH SCHOOL	\$4,631,821.82
BALAKLAVA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,700,149.61
BALHARRY MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$296,822.85
BALLARA PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$424,367.39
BANKSIA PARK INTERNATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	\$8,734,343.18
BANKSIA PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$219,820.35
BANKSIA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,099,147.03
BAPTIST CARE (SA) INC	\$2,621,120.00
BARBARA KIKER MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$525,476.50
BARKER KINDERGARTEN	\$313,661.72
BARKUMA INC	\$846,000.00
BARMERA KINDERGARTEN INC	\$455,947.43
BARMERA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,969,043.32
BASKET RANGE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$617,172.72
BEACHPORT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$902,578.87
BEAFIELD EDUCATION CENTRE	\$12,760.00
BELAIR PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,703,190.45
BELLEVUE HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,525,009.43
BERRI COMMUNITY PRESCHOOL	\$477,132.42
BERRI PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,984,231.79
BERTRAM HAWKER KINDERGARTEN	\$459,438.05
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$6,647,865.41
BHUTANESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	\$11,515.00
BIRDWOOD HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,193,035.46
BIRDWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,659,442.50
BISHOP KINDERGARTEN	\$393,829.32
BLACK FOREST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,237,345.25
BLACKWOOD COMMUNITY CCC	\$32,081.50
BLACKWOOD HIGH SCHOOL	\$9,252,391.21
BLACKWOOD KINDERGARTEN	\$366,979.46
BLACKWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,318,327.29
BLAIR ATHOL NORTH B-7 SCHOOL	\$6,514,225.36
BLAKES CROSSING CHRISTIAN	\$1,513,050.10
BLAKEVIEW PRESCHOOL	\$398,761.29
BLAKEVIEW PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,053,608.19
BLANCHETOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$629,647.12
BLYTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$777,020.13
BOOBOROWIE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$511,350.98
BOOLEROO CENTRE & DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$497,728.30
BOOLEROO CENTRE DISTRICT SCHOOL	\$3,262,815.63
BORDERTOWN HIGH SCHOOL	\$3,939,148.99

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
BORDERTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,462,991.02
BOWDEN BROMPTON COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$4,610,108.85
BRAEVIEW PRIMARY SCHOOL OSHC	\$13,284.09
BRAEVIEW SCHOOL R-7	\$4,350,447.42
BRAHMA LODGE KINDERGARTEN INC	\$304,804.70
BRAHMA LODGE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,859,111.17
BRENTWOOD DRIVE KINDERGARTEN	\$222,875.61
BRIDGEWATER KINDERGARTEN INC	\$236,993.48
BRIDGEWATER PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,906,660.37
BRIGHTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,052,620.29
BRIGHTON SECONDARY SCHOOL	\$15,210,907.64
BRINKWORTH PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$160,156.29
BRINKWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$588,822.76
BROMPTON CHILDREN'S CENTRE INC	\$29,027.00
BROMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,028,699.67
BROOKLYN PARK PRIVATE KINDGTN	\$13,015.00
BURNSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,170,112.59
BURRA COMMUNITY AREA SCHOOL	\$3,194,705.52
BURRA PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$355,439.92
BURRANDIES ABORIGINAL CORPRN	\$76,750.00
BURTON PARK PRESCHOOL	\$737,036.97
BURTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,512,728.19
BURUNDI INTAMBA GASIMBO ASSOC	\$19,705.00
BUTE & DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$321,158.91
BUTE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$809,570.29
CADELL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$569,130.26
CAFE ENFIELD CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$500,547.21
CALLINGTON KINDERGARTEN	\$273,301.70
CALLINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$899,995.69
CALVARY KINDERGARTEN	\$21,702.50
CAMBRAI AREA SCHOOL	\$1,631,363.78
CAMPBELL PAGE	\$10,000.00
CAMPBELLTOWN PRESCHOOL	\$403,955.89
CAMPBELLTOWN PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$537,490.22
CARA INCORPORATED	\$2,600,987.31
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	\$127,932.30
CARERS ASSOCIATION OF SA	\$10,000.00
CARLTON SCHOOL	\$2,365,004.84
CAROL MURRAY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$455,273.74
CATHOLIC CHURCH ENDOWMENT SOC	\$7,829,918.00
CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF PT PIRIE	\$2,652,992.00
CATHOLIC EDUCATION CENTRE	\$2,885,103.86
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	\$495,208,739.08
CEASA INC	\$35,878.73
CEDAR COLLEGE	\$7,879,668.35
CEDARS MONTESSORI PRESCHOOL	\$12,106.00
CEDUNA AREA SCHOOL	\$8,734,671.23
CENTACARE CATHOLIC FAMILY SERVICE	\$23,000.00
CHALLA GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,304,254.66
CHANCERY LANE MONTESSORI PRESC	\$56,191.75
CHANDLERS HILL KINDERGARTEN	\$417,321.78
CHARLES CAMPBELL COLLEGE	\$11,758,130.80
CHILDREN'S ALTERNATIVE SUPPORT COSTS AND SUBSIDIES	\$129,263,643.62
CHILDRENS WEEK ASSOC OF SA INC	\$52,000.00
CHINESE ASSOC OF SA INC—CHINESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	\$18,235.00
CHINESE SCHOOL OF SA INC	\$13,860.00

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
CHINESE WELFARE SERVICES SA INC	\$14,210.00
CHRISTIE DOWNS KINDERGARTEN	\$484,796.24
CHRISTIE DOWNS SCHOOLS	\$5,899,556.23
CHRISTIES BEACH HIGH SCHOOL & STH VOC	\$13,529,592.16
CHRISTIES BEACH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,335,777.14
CHRISTIES NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$389,947.91
CITY OF SALISBURY	\$31,865.00
CITY WEST CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$31,410.00
CLAPHAM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,638,766.16
CLARE HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,438,055.52
CLARE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,780,759.02
CLARE VALLEY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$586,048.17
CLARENCE GARDENS KINDERGARTEN	\$334,054.46
CLARENCE PARK COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$328,376.16
CLARENDON KINDERGARTEN	\$173,742.29
CLARENDON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,005,794.39
CLEVE AREA SCHOOL	\$4,021,403.47
CLEVE DISTRICT CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$489,781.50
CLOVELLY PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,202,607.80
COBDOGLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,237,570.26
COLONEL LIGHT GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,538,425.60
COMMUNITY KIDS ASHFORD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$10,692.50
COMMUNITY KIDS GREENACRES EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$13,877.25
COMMUNITY LIVING AUSTRALIA	\$57,804.00
COMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,077,104.95
CONCORDIA COLLEGE EARLY	\$28,820.50
CONNECTING FOSTER CARERS—SA	\$92,396.00
COOPER PEDY AREA SCHOOL	\$5,084,820.42
COOMANDOOK & DISTRICTS KINDERGARTEN	\$149,626.54
COOMANDOOK AREA SCHOOL	\$2,484,882.65
COONALPYN KINDERGARTEN INC	\$174,902.01
COONALPYN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$732,270.89
COORARA PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$235,893.00
COORARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,666,468.08
CORA BARCLAY CENTRE	\$571,029.00
COROMANDEL VALLEY KINDERGARTEN	\$196,139.43
COROMANDEL VALLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,591,042.05
COWANDILLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,884,687.37
COWELL AREA SCHOOL	\$2,976,803.89
COWELL EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTRE	\$494,290.45
CRAFERS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,686,434.94
CRAIGBURN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,276,859.16
CRAIGMORE CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$594,675.11
CRAIGMORE HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,775,895.22
CRAIGMORE SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,315,735.60
CRANSTON STREET KINDERGARTEN	\$400,003.85
CREATE FOUNDATION SOUTH AUST	\$372,072.00
CREATIVE GARDEN EARLY LEARNING CENTRE NORTH LAKE	\$64,520.00
CROSSWAYS LUTHERAN SCHOOL	\$15,000.00
CRYSTAL BROOK KINDERGARTEN	\$268,709.69
CRYSTAL BROOK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,009,932.98
CUMBERLAND PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN INC	\$398,130.01
CUMMINS AREA SCHOOL	\$5,387,252.62
CURRAMULKA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$522,580.50
DARLINGTON KINDERGARTEN/PRESCHOOL	\$568,852.99
DARLINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,196,033.16

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
DEPT FOR COMMUNITIES & SOCIAL INCLUSION	\$497,748.00
DEPT OF ENVIRONMENT WATER & NATURAL RESOURCES	\$40,000.00
DEPT OF STATE DEVELOPMENT	\$969,000.00
DERNANCOURT KINDERGARTEN	\$380,142.94
DERNANCOURT SCHOOL R-7	\$3,553,812.44
DOMINO SERVITE COLLEGE INC	\$201,466.65
DOROTHY HUGHES KINDERGARTEN	\$441,159.60
DOVER KINDERGARTEN	\$438,888.93
DOWN SYNDROME SOCIETY OF SA	\$80,418.50
DPTI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES	\$12,719,644.01
DUNBAR TERRACE KINDERGARTEN	\$419,254.37
EAST ADELAIDE SCHOOL	\$5,978,186.07
EAST MARDEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,631,989.73
EAST MURRAY AREA SCHOOL	\$1,237,752.68
EAST PARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,118,476.29
EAST TORRENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,665,278.16
EASTERN FLEURIEU R-12 SCHOOL	\$16,413,286.11
ECHUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,029,941.71
EDEN HILLS KINDERGARTEN	\$358,001.97
EDEN HILLS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,594,405.50
EDITHBURGH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$480,245.71
EDMUND RICE EDUCATION AUST	\$6,044,581.95
EDUCATION ADELAIDE	\$75,000.00
EDUCATION SERVICES AUSTRALIA	\$227,609.68
EDWARD JOHN EYRE HIGH SCHOOL	\$5,796,124.95
EDWARDSTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,521,199.20
ECSRS BOARD OF SA	\$5,531,866.00
EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	\$20,860.00
ELIZABETH DOWNS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,052,349.73
ELIZABETH EAST KINDERGARTEN	\$371,260.24
ELIZABETH EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,757,180.89
ELIZABETH GROVE CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$385,011.28
ELIZABETH GROVE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,643,596.84
ELIZABETH NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$7,004,639.56
ELIZABETH O'GRADY KINDERGARTEN	\$471,538.93
ELIZABETH PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,515,356.45
ELIZABETH SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,686,509.53
ELIZABETH VALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,849,792.57
ELLISTON AREA SCHOOL	\$1,457,200.04
ELLISTON RSL MEMORIAL CC	\$143,217.64
ELSIE EY KINDERGARTEN	\$1,016,732.75
EMMAUS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	\$6,814,803.41
EMPLOYMENT OPTIONS INC	\$20,000.00
ENCOUNTER LUTHERAN SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$66,917.00
ENFIELD FOLLAND PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$411,880.61
ENFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,742,776.53
ERNABELLA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$3,742,017.65
ERRINGTON SPECIAL EDUCATION CENTRE	\$4,377,527.14
ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	\$174,485.45
EUDUNDA AREA SCHOOL	\$2,618,013.67
EUDUNDA COMMUNITY PRESCHOOL	\$176,843.75
EVANSTON GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,741,205.39
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	\$1,560,900.10
FAIRVIEW PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$349,448.86
FAIRVIEW PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,733,820.04
FAMILY DAY CARE/HACC—SUPPORT SUBSIDIES AND CHILD CARE ASSISTANCE	\$22,215,002.23

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
FARRELL FLAT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$573,271.80
FEDERATION OF P&F ASSOC OF SA	\$45,200.00
FELIXSTOW COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$1,049,654.71
FINDON HIGH SCHOOL	\$5,191,844.95
FISK STREET PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,932,993.85
FLAGSTAFF HILL KINDERGARTEN	\$211,714.82
FLAGSTAFF HILL R-7 SCHOOL	\$3,954,162.39
FLAGSTAFF OVAL KINDERGARTEN	\$489,961.60
FLAXMILL SCHOOL P-7	\$3,910,252.95
FLINDERS CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$518,356.30
FLINDERS PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,308,417.18
FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SA	\$272,099.09
FLINDERS VIEW PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,235,654.53
FORBES CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$536,008.57
FORBES PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,512,922.60
FRANCES PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$769,031.50
FRASER PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,000,295.17
FREELING PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,052,306.93
FREGON ANANGU SCHOOL	\$2,354,417.91
FRIEDA CORPE COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$408,275.92
FULHAM GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,552,258.88
FULHAM NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,619,632.21
FULHAM PARK PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$400,613.68
GARDEN COLLEGE	\$1,838,439.75
GAWLER & DISTRICT COLLEGE B-12	\$15,913,297.01
GAWLER EAST PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$464,982.95
GAWLER EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,742,896.29
GAWLER PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,197,836.00
GEORGETOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$562,496.98
GERANIUM KINDERGARTEN	\$203,880.56
GERANIUM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$615,530.89
GILLES PLAINS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,862,138.94
GILLES STREET PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,502,118.14
GLADIGAU PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$419,189.45
GLADSTONE HIGH SCHOOL	\$3,133,006.90
GLADSTONE KINDERGARTEN	\$184,222.17
GLADSTONE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,165,483.50
GLANDORE COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$465,658.47
GLANDORE KINDERGARTEN & LONG DAY CC	\$23,728.75
GLEESON COLLEGE	\$19,745.15
GLEN OSMOND PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,090,831.35
GLENBURNIE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$992,188.55
GLENCOE CENTRAL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$956,398.78
GLENCOE DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$167,973.10
GLENELG PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,445,779.95
GLENUNGA INTERNATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	\$16,885,083.75
GLOSSOP HIGH SCHOOL	\$9,358,532.54
GLOSSOP PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,251,603.76
GOLDEN GROVE HIGH SCHOOL	\$15,990,393.63
GOLDEN GROVE KINDERGARTEN	\$525,490.26
GOLDEN GROVE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,140,734.21
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-ABERFOYLE PARK	\$16,227.25
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-ANGLE PARK	\$38,092.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-BLAKEVIEW	\$31,379.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-CAMPBELLTOWN	\$16,727.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-EVANSTON PARK	\$48,924.75

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-HOPE VALLY	\$12,625.00
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-INGLE FARM KYLIE	\$18,533.25
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-MAWSON LAKES	\$29,385.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PARAFIELD GARDEN	\$18,118.75
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PARALOWIE	\$26,257.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-PAYNEHAM	\$10,639.00
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-POORAKA	\$15,167.25
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SALISBURY NORTH	\$25,410.00
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SEMAPHORE PARK	\$12,068.00
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SEMAPHORE SOUTH	\$16,371.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SHEIDOW PARK	\$12,367.00
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-SMITHFIELD	\$48,940.50
GOODSTART EARLY LEARNING-WHYALLA	\$17,172.50
GOODWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,317,208.35
GOOLWA CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$521,287.18
GOOLWA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,436,842.44
GORDON EDUCATION CENTRE	\$3,108,421.85
GOWRIE TRAINING CENTRE	\$115,180.00
GRANGE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,925,739.91
GRANT HIGH SCHOOL	\$8,963,295.30
GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH	\$17,080.00
GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY & PARISH OF NORWOOD & EASTERN SUBURBS INC	\$23,450.00
GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY & PARISH OF ST GEORGE THEBARTON & WESTERN SUBURBS INC	\$12,985.00
GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY OF SA INC	\$60,480.00
GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY OF THE NATIVITY OF CHRIST PT ADELAIDE & ENVIRONS	\$15,855.00
GREENOCK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,254,376.78
GREENWITH CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$34,082.50
GREENWITH KINDERGARTEN	\$553,766.85
GREENWITH PRIMARY SCHOOL/COUNCIL	\$5,773,215.17
GREY WARD CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$172,050.55
GROVE KINDERGARTEN	\$356,594.46
GUIDE DOGS ASSOC OF SA & NT	\$123,998.50
GULFVIEW HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,116,139.54
GUMERACHA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$872,142.89
HACKHAM EAST KINDERGARTEN	\$300,426.76
HACKHAM EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,948,058.13
HACKHAM WEST CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$351,572.88
HACKHAM WEST R-7 SCHOOL	\$1,833,687.11
HACKNEY KINDERGARTEN	\$449,024.66
HAHNDORF PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,503,698.03
HALIFAX ST CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$179,451.94
HALLETT COVE EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,980,102.92
HALLETT COVE KARRARA KINDERGARTEN	\$405,324.34
HALLETT COVE PRESCHOOL	\$434,909.65
HALLETT COVE SCHOOL	\$13,272,628.99
HALLETT COVE SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,967,980.16
HAMILTON SECONDARY COLLEGE	\$13,157,509.57
HAMLEY BRIDGE KINDERGARTEN	\$188,113.57
HAMLEY BRIDGE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$967,361.46
HAMPSTEAD PRESCHOOL	\$312,623.26
HAMPSTEAD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,127,584.08
HAPPY VALLEY KINDERGARTEN	\$433,042.29
HAPPY VALLEY SCHOOL	\$2,656,968.92

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$4,417,019.00
HAWKER AREA SCHOOL	\$1,306,137.03
HAWKER CHILDHOOD SERVICES CENTRE	\$169,733.70
HAWTHORNDENE KINDERGARTEN INC	\$374,634.66
HAWTHORNDENE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,995,141.40
HEATHFIELD HIGH SCHOOL	\$9,145,597.27
HEATHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$858,222.24
HENDON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,935,695.41
HENLEY BEACH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,455,317.90
HENLEY COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$471,755.22
HENLEY HIGH SCHOOL	\$14,864,702.69
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	\$3,595,845.60
HEWETT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,420,168.60
HIGHBURY PRESCHOOL	\$475,777.10
HIGHBURY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,403,605.56
HIGHGATE SCHOOL	\$4,989,730.63
HILLBANK COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$19,972.50
HILLCREST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,938,852.14
HINCKS AVENUE CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$802,995.96
HINCKS AVENUE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,671,856.25
HOLDEN HILL KINDERGARTEN	\$237,911.64
HOPE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE INC	\$7,336,611.61
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$5,234,280.30
HUNTFIELD HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,866,951.81
IGNATIUS EARLY YEARS	\$58,333.00
IMMANUEL PRIMARY SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$55,268.75
INCLUSIVE DIRECTIONS INC	\$413,191.50
INDULKANA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$2,269,085.37
INGLE FARM COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$848,075.54
INGLE FARM EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,169,380.35
INGLE FARM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,511,953.96
INSPIRED BUY LTD	\$90,000.00
ISB BLOCK GRANT AUTHORITY: BER	\$464,749.89
ISLAMIC COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$80,410.00
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA	\$5,767,251.02
ISLAMIC INFORMATION CENTRE OF SA	\$59,185.00
JAMES W PARKER	\$44,000.00
JAMESTOWN COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$315,876.59
JAMESTOWN COMMUNITY SCHOOL/CANTEEN	\$3,652,234.36
JB CLELAND KINDERGARTEN INC	\$518,308.48
JEAN BONYTHON KINDERGARTEN	\$374,107.00
JEAN HORAN KINDERGARTEN	\$412,421.86
JERVOIS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,229,057.67
JOANNE DOHNT	\$10,680.11
JOHN HARTLEY SCHOOL B-7	\$7,462,998.53
JOHN PIRIE SECONDARY SCHOOL	\$8,907,507.87
JUDY'S CHILDCARE & EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$27,691.25
JULIA FARR ASSOCIATION	\$128,000.00
JUNCTION AUSTRALIA	\$4,611,952.00
KADINA MEMORIAL SCHOOL	\$13,382,122.58
KADINA PRESCHOOL CENTRE INC	\$514,071.68
KALANGADOO PRESCHOOL	\$151,868.52
KALANGADOO PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$530,246.57
KALAYA CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$744,618.68
KANGARILLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$758,169.30
KANGAROO INN AREA SCHOOL	\$2,147,734.64

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
KANGAROO ISLAND CHILD SERVICES CENTRE	\$366,403.68
KANGAROO ISLAND COMMUNITY EDUCATION	\$10,506,640.01
KAPUNDA HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,151,281.48
KAPUNDA KINDERGARTEN	\$342,324.21
KAPUNDA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,097,630.87
KARCULTABY AREA SCHOOL	\$1,814,860.45
KAROONDA AREA SCHOOL	\$2,796,077.44
KARRENDI PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,108,944.91
KATHLEEN MELLOR PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$207,152.92
KAURNA PLAINS CC/PRESCHOOL	\$591,786.08
KAURNA PLAINS SCHOOL	\$2,268,700.49
KAURNA WARRA KARRPANTHI	\$10,000.00
KEITH AREA SCHOOL	\$4,154,983.28
KEITH WAR MEM COMMUNITY CENTRE KINDERGARTEN	\$311,987.23
KEITHCOT FARM CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$596,345.94
KEITHCOT FARM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,179,399.18
KELLER ROAD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,071,632.47
KENMORE PARK ANANGU SCHOOL	\$1,088,521.10
KENSINGTON GARDENS PRESCHOOL	\$418,099.54
KERSBROOK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$867,239.02
KEY ASSETS AUSTRALIA	\$6,936,416.00
KEYNETON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$644,807.92
KIDDYWINKS CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$19,418.25
KIDMAN PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,628,838.50
KILKENNY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,972,328.90
KILPARRIN TEACHING AND ASSESSMENT SCHOOL AND SERVICES	\$3,246,697.03
KIMBA AREA SCHOOL	\$2,770,346.32
KIMBA COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$246,349.81
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHOOL	\$8,860,946.26
KINGSTON COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$4,422,579.84
KINGSTON PRESCHOOL&KINDERGARTEN	\$353,467.09
KINGSTON-ON-MURRAY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$595,601.08
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	\$408,580.50
KIRINARI KINDERGARTEN	\$197,100.15
KIRTON POINT CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$723,692.33
KIRTON POINT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,377,158.15
KLEMZIG KINDERGARTEN	\$518,289.35
KLEMZIG PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,919,641.74
KONGORONG PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$858,328.74
KOOLUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$470,914.70
KOONIBBA ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	\$1,161,924.11
KURRALTA PARK COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$450,038.22
LADY GOWRIE CC—UNDERDALE	\$18,156.25
LADY GOWRIE CCC INC-THEBARTON	\$111,220.00
LAKE WANGARY PRESCHOOL	\$150,768.00
LAKE WANGARY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$976,417.14
LAKE WINDEMERE B-7 SCHOOL	\$7,114,478.54
LAMEROO & DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$167,972.57
LAMEROO REGIONAL COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$2,882,447.72
LANTANA KINDERGARTEN	\$589,621.73
LARGS BAY SCHOOL	\$4,208,239.75
LARGS NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$537,922.79
LAURA PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$317,799.80
LAURA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$864,084.21
LE FEVRE HIGH SCHOOL	\$7,771,490.18
LE FEVRE KINDERGARTEN INC	\$407,203.50

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
LE FEVRE PENINSULA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,044,860.64
LEARNING SANCTUARY THEBARTON	\$15,300.00
LEARNING SANCTUARY-LITTLE HAMPTON	\$17,515.00
LEIGH CREEK AREA SCHOOL	\$2,436,330.49
LEIGH CREEK KINDERGARTEN	\$142,415.42
LENSWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,210,449.91
LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	\$27,475.00
LIBERMAN KINDERGARTEN INC	\$202,037.78
LIFE WITHOUT BARRIERS	\$8,364,737.00
LIGHT PASS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$823,228.49
LINCOLN GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,611,863.28
LINDEN PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$8,129,705.09
LITTLEHAMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,977,065.97
LOBETHAL COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN INC	\$321,980.98
LOBETHAL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,568,284.57
LOCK AREA SCHOOL	\$1,592,851.56
LOCK EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$178,699.69
LOCKLEYS CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$619,853.54
LOCKLEYS NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,918,720.02
LOCKLEYS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,480,428.20
LONG STREET PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,140,316.25
LONSDALE HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,772,565.67
LOXTON HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,790,205.60
LOXTON HIGH SCHOOL COUNCIL INC	\$20,000.00
LOXTON NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$71,974.93
LOXTON NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,101,297.41
LOXTON PRESCHOOL CENTRE INC	\$477,275.20
LOXTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,684,377.16
LUCINDALE AREA SCHOOL	\$2,874,116.92
LUCY MORICE KINDERGARTEN	\$500,970.56
LUTHERAN COMMUNITY CARE	\$2,109,340.00
LUTHERAN SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	\$130,791,807.42
LYNDOCH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,831,661.92
LYNDOCH VALLEY FAMILY CENTRE	\$290,191.33
MACCLESFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$959,367.90
MADGE SEXTON KINDERGARTEN	\$516,562.56
MADISON PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$360,376.63
MADISON PARK SCHOOL	\$3,323,842.44
MAGILL KINDERGARTEN	\$407,384.80
MAGILL R-7 SCHOOL	\$6,719,909.66
MAITLAND AREA SCHOOL	\$3,500,986.42
MAITLAND KINDERGARTEN	\$399,973.41
MAITLAND LUTHERAN SCHOOL	\$18,050.00
MALLALA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,026,267.69
MANNUM COMMUNITY COLLEGE—HIGH	\$5,504,658.30
MANNUM COMMUNITY COLLEGE—PRIMARY	\$85,000.00
MANNUM KINDERGARTEN	\$447,347.80
MANOORA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$579,370.27
MANOR FARM KINDERGARTEN	\$383,017.83
MARDEN SENIOR COLLEGE	\$7,456,336.54
MARGARET IVES COMMUNITY CC INC	\$262,414.14
MARGARET LOHMEYER KINDERGARTEN	\$333,130.97
MARION PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,587,979.51
MARK OLIPHANT COLLEGE B-12	\$18,273,224.57
MARREE ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	\$1,061,447.83
MARRYATVILLE HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,832,964.71

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
MARRYATVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,107,792.46
MARY BYWATERS MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$476,530.52
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	\$4,024,336.95
MAWSON LAKES PRESCHOOL	\$674,025.31
MAWSON LAKES SCHOOL	\$6,718,149.31
MCARTHUR PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$219,317.72
MCDONALD PARK SCHOOL	\$5,403,361.56
MCKAY CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$315,240.21
MCKELLAR STEWART KINDERGARTEN	\$402,096.97
MCLAREN FLAT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,058,684.89
MCLAREN VALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,040,923.21
MCRITCHIE CRESCENT CHILD SERVICES CENTRE	\$388,437.67
MEADOWS & DISTRICTS KINDERGARTEN	\$234,604.96
MEADOWS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,345,656.59
MEDIA CENTRE FOR EDUCATION RESEARCH AUSTRALIA LTD	\$150,000.00
MELALEUCA PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$410,687.97
MELALEUCA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,671,592.76
MELROSE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$525,727.30
MEMORIAL OVAL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,686,858.81
MENINGIE AREA SCHOOL	\$3,531,379.77
MENINGIE PRESCHOOL	\$201,944.40
MERIDIAN SCHOOL INC	\$1,245,476.60
MICHELLE DE GARIS MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$430,447.64
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	\$3,844,423.80
MIL LEL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,081,764.83
MILLICENT HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,583,263.12
MILLICENT NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$362,775.65
MILLICENT NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,567,096.32
MILTABURRA AREA SCHOOL	\$1,511,150.97
MIMILI ANANGU SCHOOL	\$1,833,478.18
MINDA INC VACATION CARE	\$30,845.35
MINLATON & DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$307,927.86
MINLATON DISTRICT SCHOOL	\$3,774,507.69
MINTABIE AREA SCHOOL	\$616,673.27
MINYA BUNHII CHILD CARE & KINDERGARTEN	\$159,564.76
MISSION AUSTRALIA	\$18,000.00
MITCHAM GIRLS HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,188,639.89
MITCHAM PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$502,361.46
MITCHAM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,695,525.35
MITCHAM VILLAGE KINDERGARTEN	\$345,399.23
MITCHELL PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$431,250.53
MIWI-INYERI PELEPI-AMBI	\$25,000.00
MOANA KINDERGARTEN	\$423,844.34
MOANA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,102,791.09
MODBURY HIGH SCHOOL	\$10,595,989.87
MODBURY KINDERGARTEN	\$212,940.65
MODBURY NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$454,796.54
MODBURY SCHOOL CHILD PARENT CENTRE-7	\$2,369,464.52
MODBURY SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,332,040.86
MODBURY SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$5,878,083.66
MODBURY SPECIAL SCHOOL VAC & OSHC	\$52,543.26
MODBURY WEST SCHOOL	\$3,708,122.75
MONASH KINDERGARTEN	\$178,541.86
MONASH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,293,642.14
MOONTA AREA SCHOOL/OSHC	\$7,095,636.75
MOONTA KINDERGARTEN INC	\$405,889.01

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
MOORAK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,237,071.45
MOOROOK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$726,110.84
MORGAN KINDERGARTEN	\$139,119.91
MORGAN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$672,349.69
MORPHETT VALE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$10,710.00
MORPHETT VALE EAST KINDERGARTEN	\$336,368.20
MORPHETT VALE EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,578,599.99
MORPHETT VALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,693,658.99
MOUNT BARKER HIGH SCHOOL	\$7,894,071.24
MOUNT BARKER KINDERGARTEN	\$410,827.06
MOUNT BARKER PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,159,560.54
MOUNT BARKER SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,248,447.73
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	\$2,581,484.65
MOUNT BURR PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,073,565.31
MOUNT COMPASS AREA SCHOOL	\$4,991,232.80
MOUNT COMPASS PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$204,398.62
MOUNT GAMBIER HIGH SCHOOL	\$10,262,797.55
MOUNT GAMBIER NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,248,083.43
MOUNT PLEASANT & DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$212,773.61
MOUNT PLEASANT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$858,970.95
MT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL PRESCHOOL	\$31,240.00
MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	\$1,240,739.21
MULGA STREET PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,637,594.58
MUNDULLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$848,703.00
MUNNO PARA KINDERGARTEN	\$432,123.14
MUNNO PARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,322,039.98
MURPUTJA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$1,242,188.23
MURRAY BRIDGE HIGH SCHOOL	\$14,087,002.67
MURRAY BRIDGE NORTH SCHOOL R-7	\$6,510,327.03
MURRAY BRIDGE PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$402,604.92
MURRAY BRIDGE SOUTH KINDERGARTEN	\$994,555.44
MURRAY BRIDGE SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,601,899.57
MURRAY BRIDGE SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$967,903.16
MURRAYLANDS CC MURRAY BRIDGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$44,670.00
MUSICA VIVA AUSTRALIA	\$23,636.37
MYLOR PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$709,129.99
MYPOLONGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,443,886.60
MYPONGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,483,456.36
NAILSWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,472,738.90
NAIRNE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,544,158.51
NANGWARRY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,062,856.74
NAPPERBY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$888,599.50
NARACOORTE HIGH SCHOOL	\$5,407,057.98
NARACOORTE NORTH KINDERGARTEN	\$415,812.35
NARACOORTE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,135,050.25
NARACOORTE SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,472,478.03
NAVIGATOR COLLEGE	\$18,484.60
NAZARETH EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTRE	\$77,668.50
NETA KRANZ CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$414,895.10
NETHERBY KINDERGARTEN	\$355,945.84
NETLEY KINDERGARTEN	\$331,049.59
NEWBERY PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,748,669.27
NEWLAND PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$442,342.89
NGURA YADURIRN CHILD & FAMILY CENTRE	\$835,139.70
NICOLSON AVENUE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,076,324.78
NOARLUNGA DOWNS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,614,755.15

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
NORRIE STUART CHILD SERVICES CENTRE	\$301,697.46
NORTH ADELAIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,820,791.40
NORTH HAVEN KINDERGARTEN	\$303,549.53
NORTH HAVEN SCHOOL	\$2,872,798.12
NORTH INGLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,021,552.37
NORTHERN ADELAIDE SENIOR COLLEGE	\$8,371,031.77
NORTHERN ADVANCED MANUFACTURING	\$100,000.00
NORTHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,138,049.43
NORTHGATE CHILDREN'S SERVICES	\$123,306.00
NORTON SUMMIT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$842,351.45
NORWOOD MORIALTA HIGH SCHOOL	\$15,073,862.99
NORWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,347,901.89
NOVITA CHILDREN'S SERVICES INC	\$2,210,126.00
NURIOOTPA COMMUNITY CHILD CENTRE	\$589,101.54
NURIOOTPA HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,104,921.97
NURIOOTPA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,295,667.21
OAK VALLEY ANANGU SCHOOL	\$1,063,075.53
OAKBANK AREA SCHOOL	\$3,646,852.17
OAKBANK KINDERGARTEN	\$177,902.14
OAKLANDS ESTATE KINDERGARTEN	\$397,322.85
OASIS COMMUNITY CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$22,280.00
OCEAN VIEW COLLEGE CHILDREN CENTRE	\$556,871.46
OCEAN VIEW P-12 COLLEGE—TAPEROO	\$10,546,965.30
O'HALLORAN HILL KINDERGARTEN	\$198,182.03
OLD NOARLUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,644,610.63
ONE TREE HILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,475,090.47
OODNADATTA ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	\$1,734,440.49
OPEN ACCESS COLLEGE	\$15,154,323.42
ORROROO AREA SCHOOL	\$2,396,307.50
ORROROO KINDERGARTEN	\$150,531.98
O'SULLIVAN BEACH KINDERGARTEN	\$586,706.25
O'SULLIVAN BEACH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,778,376.65
OUR LADY OF THE VISITATION SCHOOL	\$16,302.00
OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOC SA INC	\$93,065.00
OWEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,075,349.54
PADTHAWAY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$952,171.84
PALMER PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$632,429.42
PARA HILLS HIGH SCHOOL	\$8,498,454.64
PARA HILLS SCHOOL P-7	\$3,599,529.47
PARA HILLS WEST PRESCHOOL	\$355,538.04
PARA HILLS WEST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,704,877.96
PARA VISTA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,607,633.13
PARACOMBE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,001,672.58
PARADISE KINDERGARTEN	\$389,104.26
PARADISE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,016,331.19
PARAFIELD GARDENS CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$912,883.92
PARAFIELD GARDENS HIGH SCHOOL	\$11,139,473.45
PARAFIELD GARDENS R-7 SCHOOL	\$6,699,976.14
PARALOWIE KINDERGARTEN	\$472,945.83
PARALOWIE SCHOOL	\$16,229,016.99
PARINGA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,890,741.03
PARKS CHILDREN CENTRE	\$627,767.16
PARKSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,061,815.63
PASADENA HIGH SCHOOL	\$3,459,148.52
PASADENA KINDERGARTEN	\$432,571.43
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	\$8,301,642.25

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	\$8,852,491.80
PEMBROKE SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$33,175.00
PENNESHAW PRESCHOOL	\$146,847.77
PENNINGTON KINDERGARTEN	\$389,672.12
PENNINGTON SCHOOL R-7	\$4,927,487.94
PENOLA HIGH SCHOOL	\$2,431,043.42
PENOLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,944,527.11
PENONG PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,026,027.20
PETERBOROUGH COMMUNITY PRESCHOOL/KINDERGARTEN	\$404,211.92
PETERBOROUGH HIGH SCHOOL	\$2,336,018.79
PETERBOROUGH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,580,644.83
PILGRIM SCHOOL	\$1,640,242.30
PIMPALA KINDERGARTEN	\$378,756.81
PIMPALA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,473,767.48
PINNACLE COLLEGE	\$5,724,938.90
PINNAROO KINDERGARTEN	\$145,234.92
PINNAROO PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,482,883.77
PIPALYATJARA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$2,191,778.88
PLAYFORD INTERNATIONAL COLLEGE	\$12,362,896.39
PLAYFORD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,614,739.40
PLAYGROUP SA INC	\$118,000.00
PLYMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,225,048.86
PLYMPTON SOUTH KINDERGARTEN	\$413,347.88
POINT PEARCE ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	\$757,290.21
POONINDIE COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTRE	\$1,187,359.10
POONINDIE KINDERGARTEN	\$166,032.35
POORAKA COMMUNITY CCC	\$10,728.75
POORAKA COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$380,101.48
POORAKA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,094,944.57
PORT ADELAIDE FOOTBALL CLUB LTD	\$50,000.00
PORT AUGUSTA CITY COUNCIL	\$70,000.00
PORT AUGUSTA SECONDARY SCHOOL	\$9,368,474.72
PORT AUGUSTA SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$1,993,548.58
PORT AUGUSTA WEST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,484,713.51
PORT BROUGHTON AREA SCHOOL	\$2,680,748.84
PORT BROUGHTON KINDERGARTEN	\$203,460.07
PORT ELLIOT KINDERGARTEN	\$443,775.41
PORT ELLIOT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,507,958.13
PORT KENNY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$189,651.73
PORT LINCOLN ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY COUNCIL	\$55,012.21
PORT LINCOLN CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$561,606.04
PORT LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL	\$9,967,924.07
PORT LINCOLN JUNIOR PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,847,918.33
PORT LINCOLN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,793,843.51
PORT LINCOLN SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$1,548,590.92
PORT NEILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$580,218.01
PORT NOARLUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,939,881.98
PORT PIRIE COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$270,283.45
PORT PIRIE WEST CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$530,677.82
PORT PIRIE WEST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,435,599.82
PORT PIRIE YOUTH SECTOR NETWORK	\$25,500.00
PORT VINCENT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$500,902.61
PORT WAKEFIELD KINDERGARTEN	\$144,659.84
PORT WAKEFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$908,794.78
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$75,281.50
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$7,346,198.46

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
PRECIOUS CARGO EDUCATION-LOCKLEYS	\$18,508.00
PRECIOUS CARGO WESTBOURNE PARK	\$11,642.50
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	\$6,738,871.95
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$57,439.00
PROSPECT KINDERGARTEN	\$539,204.83
PROSPECT NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,070,786.30
PROSPECT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,140,373.37
PT AUGUSTA WEST CHILD SVC CENTRE	\$379,227.66
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	\$5,769,945.56
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$61,115.00
QUORN AREA SCHOOL	\$2,924,305.54
QUORN KINDERGARTEN	\$172,457.81
RAINBOW CCC WESTFIELD MARION	\$13,012.50
RAISING LITERACY AUSTRALIA	\$619,000.00
RAMCO PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$987,230.07
RAPID BAY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$619,994.64
RAUKKAN ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	\$918,542.55
RECONCILIATION SA INC	\$50,000.00
REDWOOD PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,973,139.83
RE-ENGAGE YOUTH SERVICES INC	\$67,000.00
REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AUSTRALIA	\$40,700.00
REIDY PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,027,117.21
RELATIONSHIPS AUSTRALIA SOUTH	\$587,709.92
REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDREN CENTRE	\$142,800.00
RENDELSHAM PRESCHOOL	\$137,895.73
RENDELSHAM PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$579,921.18
RENMARK CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$572,967.32
RENMARK HIGH SCHOOL	\$7,056,676.00
RENMARK KINDER RESORT	\$24,287.50
RENMARK NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,055,654.86
RENMARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,265,745.80
RENMARK WEST PRESCHOOL	\$146,889.29
RENMARK WEST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,821,478.14
RENOWN PARK PRESCHOOL	\$213,940.56
REYNELLA EAST COLLEGE	\$18,565,141.57
REYNELLA KINDERGARTEN	\$183,254.43
REYNELLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,759,965.74
REYNELLA SOUTH SCHOOL	\$1,931,531.02
RICE REMOTE & ISOLATED CHILDRENS EXERCISE	\$47,600.00
RICHMOND PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,669,305.43
RIDGEHAVEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,958,416.96
RISDON PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,129,384.01
RISDON PARK SOUTH KINDERGARTEN	\$456,171.60
RIVERDALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,842,594.36
RIVERGUM COLLEGE	\$854,058.75
RIVERLAND SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$2,744,159.06
RIVERTON & DISTRICT HIGH SCHOOL	\$3,008,276.67
RIVERTON KINDERGARTEN	\$340,215.01
RIVERTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,351,481.22
RIVERVIEW PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$431,901.61
ROBE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,041,241.33
ROBE SOLDIERS' MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$166,739.39
ROBERTSTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$587,579.13
ROMA MITCHELL SECONDARY COLLEGE	\$17,017,798.33
ROSE PARK PRESCHOOL	\$378,509.27
ROSE PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,680,605.67

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
ROSEWORTHY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,236,111.12
ROSTREVOR KINDERGARTEN	\$419,391.56
ROXBY DOWNS AREA SCHOOL	\$7,806,720.76
ROXBY DOWNS CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$50,000.00
ROXBY DOWNS KINDERGARTEN	\$500,466.54
ROYAL ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF SA	\$100,000.00
RUSSIAN MOLOKAN SCHOOL INC	\$17,500.00
SA ABORIGINAL SPORTS TRAINING	\$12,500.00
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM INC	\$48,656,870.35
SA ASSOC OF SCHOOL PARENT'S CLUB	\$67,324.10
SA BANGLADESHI COMMUNITY	\$10,465.00
SA CONFERENCE OF THE SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH	\$9,061,963.36
SA ICE SPORTS FEDERATION INC	\$58,675.90
SA INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS BLOCK	\$6,110,591.27
SA NETBALL ASSOC INC	\$25,000.00
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	\$153,791.00
SA SCHOOL FOR VISION IMPAIRED CHILDREN	\$4,019,033.09
SA SCIENCE TEACHERS ASSOC INC	\$10,000.00
SA SPECIAL SCHOOLS PRINCIPALS ASSOC	\$17,848.18
SACE BOARD OF SA	\$19,447,000.00
SADDLEWORTH EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$193,189.86
SADDLEWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$997,687.27
SAHMRI	\$250,000.00
SALISBURY DOWNS PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$407,395.25
SALISBURY DOWNS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,850,955.97
SALISBURY EAST HIGH SCHOOL	\$8,135,586.40
SALISBURY HEIGHTS PRESCHOOL	\$490,320.04
SALISBURY HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,384,775.73
SALISBURY HIGH SCHOOL	\$11,853,038.33
SALISBURY KINDERGARTEN	\$472,883.84
SALISBURY NORTH R-7 SCHOOL	\$6,266,276.90
SALISBURY PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$336,178.34
SALISBURY PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,100,663.02
SALISBURY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,444,458.69
SANDY CREEK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$918,489.03
SASPA-SA SECONDARY	\$107,893.45
SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	\$26,985.00
SCHOOL OF LANGUAGES	\$3,659,806.50
SCHOOL SPORT AUSTRALIA	\$12,637.97
SCIWORLD	\$50,000.00
SCOTCH COLLEGE ADELAIDE	\$5,927,691.41
SCOTCH COLLEGE PRESCHOOL	\$58,185.00
SCOTT CREEK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$789,374.30
SCSEEC	\$742,987.50
SEACLIFF COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$400,335.28
SEACLIFF PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,447,566.07
SEAFORD 6-12 SCHOOL	\$11,432,866.84
SEAFORD DISTRICT CCC INC	\$27,640.00
SEAFORD K-7 CAMPUS KINDY	\$460,465.41
SEAFORD PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,922,358.50
SEAFORD RISE CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$540,285.58
SEAFORD RISE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,758,221.39
SEATON HIGH SCHOOL	\$10,075,322.33
SEATON PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,628,090.50
SEAVIEW CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	\$154,910.80
SEAVIEW DOWNS KINDERGARTEN	\$332,690.38

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
SEAVIEW DOWNS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,291,890.00
SEAVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,634,852.89
SERBIAN ORTHODOX CHURCH&SCHOOL	\$15,435.00
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	\$637,409.00
SETTLERS FARM CAMPUS R-7	\$7,453,697.45
SETTLERS FARM CAMPUS-PRESCHOOL	\$875,255.15
SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST SCHOOLS	\$2,392,467.00
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	\$4,344,551.15
SEYMOUR COLLEGE PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$45,075.00
SHEIDOW PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL/CANTEEN	\$2,562,955.17
SIR THOMAS PLAYFORD KINDERGARTEN	\$528,757.23
SMITHFIELD PLAINS KINDERGARTEN	\$536,686.43
SNOWTOWN AREA SCHOOL	\$1,093,735.63
SNOWTOWN PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$68,033.91
SOLOMONTOWN KINDERGARTEN	\$441,317.05
SOLOMONTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,247,965.26
SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	\$10,605.00
SOMERTON PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$399,362.25
SOUTH AUSTRALIAN MUSEUM	\$64,000.00
SOUTH DOWNS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,968,061.41
SOUTHERN ADELAIDE HEALTH SERVICE	\$100,000.00
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	\$2,002,806.91
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$45,488.75
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN COMM	\$6,716,783.60
SPALDING PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$714,291.38
SPELD (SA) INC	\$120,110.00
SPRINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$666,431.63
ST AGNES PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,306,719.76
ST ALOYSIUS COLLEGE	\$12,031,502.46
ST ANDREW'S EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$67,853.00
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	\$2,183,490.66
ST DIMITRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX	\$11,060.00
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	\$6,637,919.55
ST GEORGE COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$28,450.00
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	\$3,807,783.30
ST HELEN'S PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$485,714.07
ST JAMES' PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$370,439.13
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	\$6,510,536.09
ST LEONARDS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,115,980.14
ST MARGARET'S KINDERGARTEN—WOODVILLE	\$315,006.75
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	\$8,099,352.16
ST PATRICK'S TECHNICAL COLLEGE—NORTHERN ADELAIDE	\$19,745.15
ST PAULS COLLEGE	\$1,757,579.00
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	\$7,523,246.50
ST PETERS COLLEGE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$48,208.00
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	\$3,905,131.20
ST PETER'S GIRLS SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$46,564.00
ST PETERS LUTHERAN BLACKWOOD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$19,790.00
ST PETERS WOODLANDS GRAMM EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$70,683.00
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	\$4,355,537.91
ST PHILLIP'S PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$422,231.14
ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	\$675,600.56
STANSBURY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$675,840.25
STAR OF THE SEA SCHOOL	\$16,500.00
STEPPING STONE-ANGLE VALE	\$10,537.00
STEPPING STONE-KIDMAN PARK	\$17,057.50

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
STIRLING DISTRICT KINDERGARTEN	\$450,188.58
STIRLING EAST KINDERGARTEN	\$334,570.43
STIRLING EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,103,175.57
STIRLING NORTH CHILDHOOD SERVICES CENTRE	\$394,862.36
STIRLING NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,507,334.23
STRADBROKE SCHOOL	\$7,006,100.86
STRATHALBYN KINDERGARTEN	\$632,919.27
STREAKY BAY AREA SCHOOL	\$3,839,810.46
STREAKY BAY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$271,559.89
STUART HIGH SCHOOL	\$3,575,258.24
STURT CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$31,217.50
STURT STREET COMMUNITY SCHOOL	\$2,227,734.03
SUDANESE ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA INC	\$18,900.00
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$3,095,510.02
SUNRISE CHRIST SCHOOL WHYALLA	\$55,162.50
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$9,285,434.75
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL MORPHETT VALE EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$45,850.00
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL WHYALLA	\$1,727,184.10
SURREY DOWNS KINDERGARTEN	\$287,793.66
SURREY DOWNS R-7 SCHOOL	\$2,738,217.76
SUTTONTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,086,740.65
SWALLOWCLIFFE R-7 SCHOOL	\$6,415,955.82
SWAN REACH & AREA KINDERGARTEN	\$157,249.23
SWAN REACH AREA SCHOOL	\$1,930,548.07
SYC LTD	\$1,998,227.00
TAIKURRENDI CHILDREN & FAMILY CENTRE	\$705,159.67
TAILEM BEND KINDERGARTEN	\$378,691.75
TAILEM BEND PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,899,074.40
TANTANOOLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$459,941.75
TANUNDA KINDERGARTEN ASSOC	\$382,620.68
TANUNDA LUTHERAN EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$52,652.75
TANUNDA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,658,064.81
TARLEE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$553,391.82
TEA TREE GULLY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,485,671.36
TELETHON INSTITUTE FOR CHILD HEALTH RESEARCH	\$417,669.43
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	\$10,551,414.70
TENISON WOODS EARLY LEARNING	\$97,032.50
THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	\$16,065.00
THE AUSTRALIAN CENTRE FOR SOCIAL	\$955,000.00
THE BANTU ETHNIC COMMUNITY OF SA	\$14,945.00
THE BRIARS SPECIAL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$1,758,095.12
THE GROVE EDUCATION CENTRE	\$2,791,719.09
THE GUMS CHILDCARE CENTRE PTY LTD	\$31,515.00
THE HEIGHTS SCHOOL	\$14,090,488.11
THE HILLS CHRIST COMMUNITY	\$40,825.50
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	\$3,654,674.01
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	\$1,235,768.45
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL CCC	\$23,519.00
THE KOREAN PURE PRESBYTERIAN	\$15,295.00
THE LADY GEORGE KINDERGARTEN	\$374,043.54
THE LEARNING SANCTUARY NORWOOD	\$15,267.50
THE MID NORTH EDUCATION CENTRE	\$1,586,549.91
THE PINES SCHOOL	\$6,481,822.12
THE ROYAL INSTITUTION OF AUST	\$185,000.00
THE SALVATION ARMY	\$3,973,264.00
THE SCIENCE EXCHANGE	\$60,000.00

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
THE SMITH FAMILY	\$100,000.00
THE UNITED VIETNAMESE BUDDHIST	\$10,675.00
THE VIETNAMESE CATHOLIC	\$164,535.00
THEBARTON SENIOR COLLEGE	\$14,885,770.08
THIELE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,339,970.22
THORNDON PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$384,864.73
THORNDON PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,659,182.20
TIME FOR KIDS INC	\$193,684.00
TINTINARA AREA SCHOOL	\$2,371,842.01
TJUTJUNAKU WORKA TJUTA INC	\$11,000.00
TORRENS VALLEY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$316,507.62
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$6,250,260.35
TORRENSVILLE PRESCHOOL CENTRE	\$412,900.75
TORRENSVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,898,742.12
TORRENSVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL OSHC	\$12,737.75
TOWNSEND HOUSE INC	\$204,415.50
TRINITY GARDENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$7,381,178.58
TROTT PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$426,077.72
TRURO PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$762,584.27
TUMBY BAY AREA SCHOOL	\$3,301,818.53
TUMBY BAY KINDERGARTEN	\$432,065.47
TURKISTAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	\$13,475.00
TWO WELLS COMMUNITY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$476,849.97
TWO WELLS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,236,473.00
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	\$16,304,030.50
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-MURRAY BRIDGE INC	\$5,614,065.50
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL-STRATHALBYN	\$908,293.50
UNDERDALE HIGH SCHOOL	\$6,692,626.67
UNGARRA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$630,002.15
UNITING CARE WESLEY COUNTRY	\$5,417,640.00
UNITINGCARE WESLEY ADELAIDE	\$241,841.00
UNIVERSITY OF SA FINANCE UNIT	\$56,000.00
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA	\$226,151.74
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	\$3,262,526.71
UNLEY HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,458,892.02
UNLEY KINDERGARTEN	\$331,189.58
UNLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,255,479.76
UPPER STURT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$698,729.28
URAILDA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,108,001.38
URRBRAE AGRICULTURAL HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,404,033.27
VALE PARK PRESCHOOL	\$494,949.33
VALE PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,644,100.59
VALLEY VIEW KINDERGARTEN	\$497,962.17
VALLEY VIEW SECONDARY SCHOOL	\$4,336,476.91
VICTOR HARBOR CHILD CARE CENTRE	\$38,847.50
VICTOR HARBOR COMMUNITY KINDERGARTEN	\$461,558.00
VICTOR HARBOR HIGH SCHOOL	\$9,715,364.78
VICTOR HARBOR PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$6,498,065.74
VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY IN AUST	\$58,660.00
VIRGINIA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,372,991.23
VIXEN PRODUCTIONS	\$45,000.00
WAIKERIE HIGH SCHOOL	\$4,771,118.48
WAIKERIE PRESCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$442,433.27
WAIKERIE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,567,412.07
WAIKERIE UNITING CHURCH	\$12,000.00
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR GIRLS	\$3,345,760.25

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
WALFORD EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$28,990.00
WALKERVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,145,653.71
WALLAROO MINES PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,649,047.55
WALLAROO PRESCHOOL CENTRE INC	\$363,574.72
WALLAROO PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,016,122.94
WANDANA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,163,085.63
WAROOKA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,196,701.61
WARRADALE COMMUNITY CC—WARRADALE	\$11,932.50
WARRADALE KINDERGARTEN	\$415,698.68
WARRADALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,225,756.05
WARRADALE URBAN CAMP SCHOOL	\$313,092.83
WARRIAPPENDI SCHOOL	\$2,215,810.38
WASLEYS PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$501,066.97
WATERVALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$861,354.64
WATTLE PARK KINDERGARTEN	\$195,397.24
WEST BEACH KINDERGARTEN	\$420,894.70
WEST BEACH PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,420,522.87
WEST LAKES KINDERGARTEN & EARLY CC	\$446,737.80
WEST LAKES SHORE KINDERGARTEN	\$483,438.59
WEST LAKES SHORE PRIMARY SCHOOL OSHC	\$11,098.70
WEST LAKES SHORE SCHOOL R-7	\$5,578,093.52
WESTBOURNE PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$4,652,729.63
WESTMINSTER EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$98,019.50
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	\$8,190,388.66
WESTPORT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,454,593.30
WHYALLA HIGH SCHOOL	\$5,688,279.33
WHYALLA SPECIAL SCHOOL	\$1,940,815.11
WHYALLA STUART CAMPUS R-7	\$1,622,580.56
WHYALLA STUART ECC KINDERGARTEN	\$607,381.24
WHYALLA TOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,240,609.62
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	\$4,929,585.95
WILDERNESS SCHOOL EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	\$34,954.00
WILLIAM LIGHT R-12 SCHOOL	\$6,021,798.61
WILLIAMSTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,412,566.53
WILLOW CLOSE PRE SCHOOL CENTRE	\$556,963.26
WILLSDEN CHILDHOOD SERVICES CENTRE	\$254,060.95
WILLSDEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,079,795.68
WILLUNGA HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,157,018.88
WILLUNGA PRESCHOOL	\$463,293.76
WILLUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,734,194.46
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	\$3,323,996.80
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL KINDERGARTEN	\$39,988.75
WILMINGTON KINDERGARTEN	\$137,496.34
WILMINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$982,242.43
WILTJA PROGRAM	\$44,000.00
WIN NEWBY KINDERGARTEN	\$441,411.49
WINDSOR GARDENS VOCATIONAL COLLEGE	\$7,580,485.02
WINKIE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$264,297.84
WIRRABARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$496,629.31
WIRREANDA HIGH SCHOOL	\$11,395,643.57
WOMENS & CHILDRENS HEALTH	\$2,955,000.00
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	\$12,777,861.75
WOODCROFT HEIGHTS CHILDRENS CENTRE	\$760,303.10
WOODCROFT LITTLE LEARNERS	\$14,006.50
WOODCROFT PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$7,029,265.51
WOODEND CHILDREN'S CENTRE	\$516,437.24

DECD & DECD ADMINISTERED ITEMS GROSS GRANT & SUBSIDY EXPENSES \$10,000 OR MORE FOR 2015-16	
VENDOR NAME/CATEGORY	TOTAL
WOODEND PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$5,598,132.16
WOODEND PRIMARY SCHOOL OSHC	\$17,005.94
WOODSIDE PRESCHOOL PLAYCENTRE	\$296,599.41
WOODSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$2,250,616.21
WOODVILLE GARDENS B-7 SCHOOL	\$7,533,483.95
WOODVILLE HIGH SCHOOL	\$12,828,599.76
WOODVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$3,302,147.70
WOODVILLE WEST KINDERGARTEN	\$380,262.54
WOOMERA AREA SCHOOL	\$841,201.81
WUDINNA AREA SCHOOL	\$3,050,778.82
WUDINNA RSL MEMORIAL KINDERGARTEN	\$246,879.09
WYNN VALE COMMUNITY HOUSE KINDERGARTEN	\$396,448.40
WYNN VALE R-7 SCHOOL	\$3,069,345.45
YAHL PRIMARY SCHOOL	\$1,084,620.70
YALATA ANANGU SCHOOL	\$2,047,537.39
YANKALILLA AREA SCHOOL	\$4,732,076.74
YANKALILLA COMMUNITY CHILD CENTRE	\$318,728.81
YORKETOWN AREA SCHOOL	\$3,934,945.89
YORKETOWN COMMUNITY CC	\$396,139.70
YOUTH EDUCATION CENTRE	\$3,978,753.47
YOUTH SPACE INC	\$18,000.00
YUNTA RURAL SCHOOL	\$182,241.73

MODBURY HOSPITAL

In reply to **Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition)** (1 August 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries):

1. As part of the Transforming Health program several services moved between Modbury Hospital and the Lyell McEwin Hospital and some wards are currently closed for redevelopment.

2. Modbury Hospital had a total of 174 funded beds. The expected number of beds at Modbury Hospital (post-Transforming Health) will be 144 funded beds. It should be noted however, that overall the Northern Adelaide Local Health Network will have a net increase of beds as a result of Transforming Health. This will allow more people in our north and north eastern suburbs to be treated closer to home.

RETURNTOWORKSA

In reply to **Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition)** (1 August 2016). (Estimates Committee A)

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Health Industries): Around \$7.4 million in revenue from ReturnToWorkSA was recognised in 2015-16 for the provision of services to hospital patients that were identified as workers compensation claimants.