HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, 6 May 2014

Opening of Parliament

The Fifty-Third Parliament of South Australia, having been prorogued until 8 May 2014, and the House of Assembly having been dissolved on 15 February 2014, general elections were held on 15 March 2014. By proclamation dated 17 April 2014, the new parliament was summoned to meet on 6 May 2014, and the First Session began on that date.

The house met at 11:00, pursuant to proclamation. The Clerk (Mr M.J. Lehman) read the proclamation summoning parliament.

GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION

At 11:05, in compliance with summons, the house proceeded to the Legislative Council chamber, where a commission was read appointing the Hon. Christopher John Kourakis, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of South Australia, and the Hon. Thomas Andrew Gray, a judge of the Supreme Court, to be commissioners for the opening of parliament.

MEMBERS, SWEARING IN

The house being again in its own chamber, at 11:14 His Honour Justice Kourakis (Chief Justice) attended and produced a commission from His Excellency the Governor appointing him to be a commissioner to administer to members of the House of Assembly the oath of allegiance or the affirmation in lieu thereof required by the Constitution Act. The commission was read by the Clerk, who then produced writs for the election of 47 members of the House of Assembly.

The oath of allegiance required by law (or the affirmation) was administered and subscribed to by members.

The commissioner retired.

SPEAKER, ELECTION

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (11:38): I remind the House of Assembly that it is now necessary to proceed to the election of a Speaker. I move:

That the member for Croydon take the chair of the house as Speaker.

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (11:38): Mr Clerk, I second the motion.

The CLERK: Are there any further nominations? Member for Croydon?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON (Croydon) (11:38): I accept the nomination.

The CLERK: I declare the member for Croydon elected as Speaker.

Honourable members: Hear, hear!

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson was escorted to the dais by the mover and the seconder of the motion.

The SPEAKER (11:39): In accordance with the standing orders and in compliance with the traditions of the house, I humbly accept nomination, and I look forward to a parliament in which the number of opposition questions in question time is two or three times the historical average and that ministers are heard in silence, or something like it. The Premier.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (11:39): Mr Speaker, it falls to me to offer the congratulations of at least this side of the house on your election to such high office. We have experienced your wise control of the house in the previous parliament, and it is a great pleasure to welcome you again to this important role in this parliament. We trust that you will conduct this

house in a fashion that adds to the civility of the discourse in the pursuit of what is in the best interest of the people of South Australia.

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (11:40): On behalf of Her Majesty's Loyal Opposition I too offer our heartfelt congratulations for your election to this high office of the Parliament of South Australia. We look forward to your impartial chairmanship of this chamber. Thank you, sir.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (11:40): I also, as Leader of Government Business in the house, offer my congratulations. You certainly had a firm control over the previous parliament in the time that you were in office. I always found, sir, when I was in that chair, that the rulings of Speaker Gunn were particularly useful.

The SPEAKER: I thank the house for its confidence, and I hope that the Leader of Government Business will be able to recall that it requires a motion after naming for us to get on with the business of the house; a matter that he singularly forgot in the last parliament. Thank you.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (11:42): I inform the house that His Excellency the Governor will be prepared to receive the house for the purposes of presenting the Speaker at 12:15 today. I move:

That the sitting of the house be suspended until 12:05pm.

Motion carried.

[Sitting suspended from 11:43 to 12:05]

PRESENTATION TO GOVERNOR

The SPEAKER (12:05): It is now my intention to proceed to Government House to present myself as Speaker to the Governor, and I invite all members to accompany me.

At 12.06, accompanied by a deputation of members, the Speaker proceeded to Government House.

On the house reassembling at 12:22:

The SPEAKER (12:22): Accompanied by a deputation of members, I proceeded to Government House for the purpose of presenting myself to His Excellency the Governor and informed His Excellency that, in pursuance of the powers conferred on the Assembly by section 34 of the Constitution Act, the House had this day proceeded to the election of Speaker and had done me the honour of election to that high office, in compliance with the other provisions of that same section. I presented myself to His Excellency as the Speaker and in the name of and on behalf of the Assembly laid claim to our undoubted rights and privileges and prayed that the most favourable construction might be put on all our proceedings.

His Excellency has been pleased to reply:

To the honourable the Speaker, and honourable members of the House of Assembly, I congratulate the honourable members of the House of Assembly on their choice of a Speaker. I readily assure you, Mr Speaker, of my confirmation of all the constitutional rights and privileges of the House of Assembly, the proceedings of which will always receive most favourable consideration.

His Excellency, Rear Admiral Kevin Scarce.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (12:24): I inform the House the Governor will attend in the Legislative Council at 2.30pm to make a speech setting out the reasons for calling the parliament together, and I move:

That the sitting of the house be suspended until 2.30pm.

[Sitting suspended from 12:25 to 14:30]

SUMMONS TO COUNCIL CHAMBER

A summons was received from His Excellency the Governor desiring the attendance of the house in the Legislative Council chamber, whither the Speaker and honourable members proceeded.

The house having returned to its own chamber, the Speaker resumed the chair at 15:17 and read prayers.

The SPEAKER: Honourable members, I respectfully acknowledge the traditional owners of this land upon which this parliament is assembled and the custodians of the sacred lands of our state.

CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES, ELECTION

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (15:18): | move:

That the member for Florey be appointed Chairman of Committees of the Whole House.

The SPEAKER: Is the motion seconded?

Honourable members: Yes.

The SPEAKER: Are there any other nominations? I will put the question.

Motion carried.

GOVERNOR'S SPEECH

The SPEAKER (15:19): I have to report that, in accordance with a summons from His Excellency the Governor, the house attended this day in the Legislative Council chamber, where His Excellency was pleased to make a speech to both houses of parliament. I have obtained a copy, which I now lay upon the table.

Ordered to be published.

Petitions

WATTLEBURY ROAD LEVEL CROSSING

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite): Presented a petition signed by 43 residents of Torrens Park, Mitcham and Lower Mitcham requesting the house to urge the government to take immediate action to considerably reduce the sound of the klaxon bells at the Wattlebury Road railway crossing.

Parliamentary Procedure

PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Speaker—

The following reports have been received and published pursuant to section 17(7) of the Parliamentary Committees Act 1991—

Parliamentary Committee on Occupational Safety, Rehabilitation and

Compensation—Inquiry into occupational violence in the health, hospitality and retail sectors

Economic and Finance Committee 83rd Report—Interim Report: South Australian Taxation System

Natural Resources Committee—

92nd Report—Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

93rd Report—Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

94th Report—South Australian Murray Darling Basin Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15 95th Report entitled South Australian Arid Lands Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

96th Report—South East Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

97th Report—Eyre Peninsula Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

98th Report—Northern and Yorke Natural Resources Management Board Levy Proposal 2014-15

Public Works Committee—

493rd Report—Patawalonga Lake System Sediment Management Project

494th Report—Wingfield Capelli Road Wastewater Pump Station Upgrade Project

495th Report—Gawler Wastewater Network Capacity Upgrade

496th Report—Riverine Recovery Project Pike Floodplain On-Ground Works

497th Report—Mount Gambier Prison Residential Units 84 Beds

498th Report—Myrtle Bank Cross Road Water Trunk Main

499th Report—Port Wakefield to Pine Point Water Supply Upgrade

500th Report—North East Road And Sudholz Road Intersection Upgrade

501st Report—Penola Southern Bypass

502nd Report—South Road/Richmond Road Intersection Upgrade and Richmond Road Widening

503rd Report—Rail Revitalisation Stage 2 Electrification of the Gawler Line

Auditor-General—Report on the Adelaide Oval redevelopment pursuant to section 9 of the Adelaide Oval Redevelopment and Management Act 2011 for the designated period 1 July 2013 to 31 December 2013 Report February 2014

Local Government Annual Reports—

Adelaide City Council Annual Report 2012-13

Adelaide Hills Council Annual Report 2012-13

Alexandrina Council Annual Report 2012-13

Berri Barmera Council Annual Report 2012-13

Campbelltown City Council Annual Report 2012-13

City of Holdfast Bay Annual Report 2012-13

City of Marion Annual Report 2012-13

City of Mount Gambier Annual Report 2012-13

City of Norwood Payneham and St Peters Annual Report 2012-13

City of Onkaparinga Annual Report 2012-13

City of Playford Annual Report 2012-13

City of Port Adelaide Enfield Annual Report 2012-13

City of Port Lincoln Annual Report 2012-13

City of Prospect Annual Report 2012-13

City of Salisbury Annual Report 2012-13

City of Tea Tree Gully Annual Report 2012-13

City of Victor Harbor Annual Report 2012-13

City of Whyalla Annual Report 2012-13

Clare and Gilbert Valleys Council Annual Report 2012-13

Coorong District Council Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Barunga West Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Ceduna Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Coober Pedy Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Elliston Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Grant Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Karoonda East Murray Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Loxton Waikerie Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Mount Remarkable Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Peterborough Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Streaky Bay Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of the Copper Coast Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Tumby Bay Annual Report 2012-13

District Council of Yankalilla Annual Report 2012-13

Kangaroo Island Council Annual Report 2012-13

Kingston District Council Annual Report 2012-13

Light Regional Council Annual Report 2012-13

Mid Murray Council Annual Report 2012-13

Naracoorte Lucindale Council Annual Report 2012-13

Northern Areas Council Annual Report 2012-13

Port Augusta City Council Annual Report 2012-13

Port Pirie Regional Council Annual Report 2012-13

Renmark Paringa Council Annual Report 2012-13

Roxby Council Annual Report 2012-13

The Barossa Council Annual Report 2012-13

The Rural City of Murray Bridge Annual Report 2012-13

Town of Gawler Annual Report 2012-13

Town of Walkerville Annual Report 2012-13

Wakefield Regional Council Annual Report 2012-13

Wudinna District Council Annual Report 2012-13

Yorke Peninsula Council Annual Report 2012-13

By the Premier (Hon. J.W. Weatherill)—

Agreement with the Member for Frome to Support Stable and Effective Government Remuneration Tribunal—

Determination No. 1 of 2014

Determination No. 2 of 2014—Ministers of the Crown and Officers and Members of Parliament Report 2014

By the Attorney-General (Hon. J.R. Rau)—

Criminal Law (Forensic Procedures) Act 2007, 23 January 2013 to 6 January 2014— Annual Report 2012-13

State Coroner—Annual Report 2012-13

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Bills of Sale—Application of Act to Certain Bills of Sale as if Certain Amendments
Not Made

Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration—Information and Particulars of Death Burial and Cremation—General

Civil Liability—Medical Examinations—Accredited Health Professionals

Cremation—Revocation of Regulations

Criminal Law (Sentencing)—General

Electoral—

How to Vote Cards

Nomination Deposit—How to Vote Cards

Expiation of Offences—

Arrangements—Enforcement Determination

Transitional Provisions—Enforcement Orders

Magistrates Court—Fees—Petty Sessions Division

Recreation Grounds (Regulations)—Adelaide Oval

Spent Convictions—Prescribed Exclusions

Victims of Crime—Fund and Levy—Victims of Crime Levy

Rules made under the following Acts—

District Court—

Civil—Amendment No. 27

Criminal—Amendment No. 4

Magistrates Court—

Amendment No. 45

Amendment No. 46

Amendment No. 47—Amendment No. 1

Amendment No. 48

Civil-

Amendment No. 1

Amendment No. 2

Amendment No. 3

Amendment No. 4

Supreme Court—

Civil—Amendment No. 25

Criminal—Amendment No. 3

Independent Commissioner Against Corruption Act—Amendment No. 1

Probate—Amendment No. 4

By the Minister for Planning (Hon. J.R. Rau)—

Development Plan Amendment—

Report on the interim operation of the Marion Council Local Heritage Placed Development Plan Amendment by the Council Report

Report on the interim operation of the Mitcham (City) Council Heritage Development Plan Amendment by the Council Report

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Development-

Inner Metropolitan Area Development—Relevant Authority—Development Assessment Commission

Schedule 10—Kangaroo Island—Tourism Development in Certain Conservation Zones

Swimming Pool Safety—Construction of Fences and Barriers State Procurement—Prescribed Public Authorities

By the Minister for Housing and Urban Development (Hon. J.R. Rau)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Housing and Urban Development (Administrative Arrangements)—Riverbank Authority

By the Minister for Industrial Relations (Hon. J.R. Rau)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Dangerous Substances—

Dangerous Goods Transport—Heavy Vehicle National Law—Converter Dolly

Revocation of Regulation 55

Explosives—Mining

Work Health and Safety—Miscellaneous Variations 2013

WorkCover Corporation—

Claims Management—Contractual Arrangements—Revocation of Regulations

Statutory Reserve and Insurance Assistance Funds—Contractual Arrangements—Revocation of Regulations

Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation—Revocation of Regulations

By the Minister for Health (Hon. J.J. Snelling)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Health and Community Services Complaints—Exclusion from Definition of Community Service

Health Care—Clinical Competencies and Scope of Practice

Motor Vehicles Accidents (Lifetime Support Scheme)—Disability Services— Medical Experts

```
By the Minister for Education and Child Development (Hon. J.M. Rankine)—
```

Regulations made under the following Acts—
Children's Protection—Assessment of Relevant History
SACE Board of South Australia—2014 Fees

By the Treasurer (Hon. A. Koutsantonis)-

Regulations made under the following Acts -

Public Corporations—Southern Select Super Corporation—Confidentiality— Review of Subsidiary Decisions

By the Minister for Finance (Hon. A. Koutsantonis)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Late Payment of Government Debts (Interest)—Prescribed Interest Rate Southern State Superannuation—Salary Sacrifice by Members of Certain Schemes—Exemptions for Casual Employee

Superannuation—Eligibility to Vote—Ballot Papers—Exclusions—Prescribed Authorities

Superannuation Funds Management Corporation of South Australia— Ballot Papers

Prescribed Public Authorities—

Commissioner for Consumer Affairs Health Services Charitable Gifts Board

By the Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy (Hon. A. Koutsantonis)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Australian Energy Market Commission Establishment—Consumer Advocacy Panel—Small to Medium Consumer—Grant Allocation Electricity—General—Transportation—Requirements for Aerial Lines

By the Minister for Disabilities (Hon. A. Piccolo)—

Consumer and Business Services 2012—2013—Annual Report 2012-13 Regulations made under the following Acts—

Authorised Betting Operations—Unclaimed Dividends and Winnings Casino—

Automated Table Gaming—Voluntary Pre-Commitment Code Voluntary Pre-Commitment Code

Disability Services—Assessment of Relevant History Fair Trading—

Farming Industry Dispute Resolution Code Motor Vehicle Industry Dispute Resolution Code Newsagency Industry Dispute Resolution Code

Gaming Machines—

Cash Facilities—Limitations

Prescribed Cash Facilities Limitations

Prescribed Duties

Trading Round—Voluntary Pre-Commitment Code

Voluntary Pre-Commitment Code

Independent Gambling Authority—Barring Orders

Land and Business (Sale and Conveyancing)—

Form Amendments—Fees

Forms—Vendor's Statement

Liquor Licensing—

Dry Areas—

Jamestown Area 1 and 2—Laura Area 1 and 2 Kingscote Area 1—Prospect Area 1

Paringa Area 1—Renmark Area 1 and 2 Port Augusta—Stirling North Area 1 Port Lincoln Area 1 Spalding Area 1—Wilmington Area 1 Unley Area 1—Whyalla

General—Definition of Regulated Premises

Residential Tenancies—

Conferral of Jurisdiction—South Australian Housing Trust

Inspections—Bond—Termination

Revocation of Rooming Houses Regulations

Second-hand Vehicle Dealers—Defects—Left-Hand Drive Configuration

Travel Agents—Travel Compensation Fund Substitution Trust Deed

Rules made under the following Acts-

Authorised Betting Operations-

Codes of Practice Revocation Notice 2013—Notice

Gambling Code of Practice 2013—Notice

Gambling Codes of Practice—In-Venue Messaging Variation Notice 2014

Casino-

Codes of Practice Revocation 2013—Notice

Gambling Regulation—Employee Training—Notice

Gambling Regulation—Systems Criteria—Notice

Gaming Machines—Codes of Practice Revocation Notice 2013—Notice State Lotteries—Codes of Practice Revocation Notice 2013—Notice

By the Minister for Police (Hon. A. Piccolo)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Firearms—Prohibited Firearm Accessories—Transfer and Borrow Exemptions

By the Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (Hon. L.W.K. Bignell)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Fisheries Management-

Abalone Fisheries—Western Zone Quota—Catch and Disposal Records

Demerit Points—Offences and Points

Fish Processors—Delivery of Abalone to Registered Fish Processor

General—Classes of Fishing Activities—Expiation Fees

Primary Industry Funding Schemes—Langhorne Creek Wine Industry Fund— Contributions to Fund

By the Minister for Tourism (Hon. L.W.K. Bignell)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Major Events-

ICC Cricket World Cup 2015—Controlled Area

Rolling Stones Concert

Rolling Stones October Concert

Santos Tour Down Under 2014

By the Minister for Forests (Hon. L.W.K. Bignell)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Forest Property—Fees—Revocation of Regulations

By the Minister for Local Government (Hon. G.G. Brock)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Local Government—

General-

Code of Conduct for Employees

```
General
```

Procedures at Meetings

Revocation of Regulations—Cemetery—Exhumation of Human Remains

Local Council By-Laws—

Adelaide City Council—No. 12—Miscellaneous Variation By-Law 2013

Berri Barmera Council-

No. 1—Permits and Penalties

No. 2—Moveable Signs

No. 3—Local Government Land

No. 4—Roads

No. 5-Dogs

The Barossa Council—

No. 1—Permits and Penalties

No. 2—Moveable Signs

No. 3—Local Government Land

No. 4—Roads

No. 5—Dogs

No. 6-Cats

No. 7—Nuisance Caused by Building Sites

The City of Burnside—No. 7—Lodging Houses

By the Minister for Social Housing (Hon. Z.L. Bettison)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Community Housing Providers (National Law) (South Australia)—Forms—Appeal Period

By the Minister for Manufacturing, Innovation and Trade (Hon. S.E. Close)—

Maralinga Lands Unnamed Conservation Park Board—Annual Report 2012-13

Natural Resources Management Board—

Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges Annual Report 2012-13

Alinytjara Wilurara Annual Report 2012-13

Eyre Peninsula Annual Report 2012-13

Kangaroo Island Annual Report 2012-13

South Australian Arid Lands Annual Report 2012-13

South East Annual Report 2012-13

Natural Resources Management Council—Annual Report 2012-13

Regulations made under the following Acts-

Aboriginal Lands Trust—General

Water Industry—Save the River Murray Levy—Exemptions

By the Minister for the Public Sector (Hon. S.E. Close)—

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Freedom of Information—Exempt Agency—Small Business Commissioner

Public Sector—Employees—Education and Early Childhood Services Registration and Standards Board of South Australia

Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability)—Lifetime Support Authority Employment

By the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure (Hon. S.C. Mullighan)—

AustralAsia Railway Corporation—Annual Report 2012-13

Regulations made under the following Acts—

Heavy Vehicle National Law (South Australia)—

Expiation Fees—Offences

Fees

Heavy Vehicle National Law—Interstate Provisions

Savings, Transitional and Declaratory—Exemptions

Motor Vehicles—

Accident Towing Roster Scheme—Heavy Vehicle National Law— Standards Compliance

Classification of Driver's Licences

Demerit Points—Tram Stops
Exemptions for Qualified Supervising Drivers—Fees Reduction—Notices of Disqualification

High Powered Vehicles—Guidelines for Disclosure of Information

Learner's Permit and Provisional Licences—Miscellaneous Variations

Passenger Transport—Maximum Fares—Metropolitan Taxis

Road Traffic-

Heavy Vehicle National Law—Revocation

Light Vehicle Mass and Loading Requirements—Limits—Size and

Projections—Placing and Securing

Miscellaneous—

Average Speed Amendment Act Variations—Speed Camera Devices

Expiation Fees—Tram Stops—Warning Triangles

Expiation Fees—Wheeled Recreational Device

Heavy Vehicle National Law Variations

Road Rules—Ancillary and Miscellaneous Provisions—

Bicycle Storage Area

Exemptions—Approved Seatbelts

Heavy Vehicle National Law Variations

Stop Line

Vehicle Standards—Revocation

Rules made under the following Acts—

Road Traffic-

Australian Road Rules-Definition of Bicycle Miscellaneous Variations Light Vehicle Standards

Ministerial Statement

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (15:31): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: Members of the house would be aware that, following the state election, the government reached agreement with the Independent member for Frome to establish a stable and effective government that works productively for all South Australians.

This agreement contains a number of important elements, but first and foremost it provides for stability of government while rightly acknowledging the independence of the member for Frome. It outlines mechanisms to support meaningful and respectful communication with the member for Frome and reforms to election and parliamentary processes to improve the effectiveness, transparency and accountability of candidates, members and political parties to the standards that should be expected of them.

The agreement also includes charters for small business and for stronger regional policy. These charters outline commitments and initiatives that will ensure that the government is more responsive to the needs of South Australia's regional communities and the small business community. With regard to small business, there is no doubt that small businesses contribute enormously to our economy and to our community. This charter will assist to further improve the operating environment for small businesses in South Australia by reducing regulation, keeping business costs low, and providing tangible information and assistance to businesses.

The agreement also supports our regions. While the government has provided substantial resources to our regions over many years, it is clear we can do more. Under the charter for stronger regional policy, the regions will benefit from improvements to the improved coordination of regional activities, greater transparency regarding the resources provided to the regions, and improved access to government and decision-making processes. Further information regarding the implementation of these commitments over the forward estimates and other commitments with respect to the electorate of Frome will be made clear in the budget.

VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS, WORKERS COMPENSATION

The SPEAKER: The Deputy Premier is like the greyhound in the slips.

The Hon. J.R. RAU (Enfield—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Justice Reform, Minister for Planning, Minister for Housing and Urban Development, Minister for Industrial Relations) (15:34): Yes, thank you very much, Mr Speaker, and congratulations on your re-election. I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. J.R. RAU: The state government values the important role of our volunteer firefighters and the role they play in keeping our community safe. This fire danger season was the busiest on record and kept hundreds of CFS staff and volunteers constantly active. It is remarkable that no lives were lost, and property damage was significantly minimised thanks to the efforts of the CFS and the emergency services.

Before the state election, the government made a commitment to review amendments that were made late last year to the Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1986 in relation to presumptive legislation for our emergency service firefighters. Today, I will update the house on progress made by the government and relevant parties since the election.

The house will recall that, on 19 June 2013, I introduced the Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation (Firefighters) Amendment Bill 2013. With support from both sides of politics, this bill was passed by both houses late last year. The bill—one of the most progressive in the country on the issue of presumptive legislation, matched only by the Tasmanian government— removes the onus of proof on paid firefighters as well as a cohort of CFS volunteer firefighters who attend a number of fires comparable to their metropolitan fire service counterparts.

Let me be very clear: no firefighter, whether volunteer or paid, is precluded from lodging a workers compensation claim under the current arrangements for cancer compensation. What changed with the government amendments last year is that all MFS and CFS firefighters who meet particular thresholds are no longer subject to the onus of proof when seeking compensation.

Mr Williams interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Would the Deputy Premier be seated. The member for MacKillop will now withdraw the imputation of misleading.

Mr WILLIAMS: I withdraw my inappropriate claim that the minister was misleading the house, sir.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Deputy Premier.

The Hon. J.R. RAU: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr Speaker. Since the state election, the Hon. Tony Piccolo (Minister for Emergency Services), the member for Frome and myself have discussed the legislation and the demands of the volunteers, and have met with interested parties from the following: the Country Fire Service, the CFS Volunteers Association, the Metropolitan Fire Service, the United Firefighters Union and SAFECOM, as well as others. It has been agreed that the assumptions made in the original actuarial report commissioned by the government would be reviewed by a working group of interested parties, and costings for various presumptive legislation options would be again developed by an independent actuarial specialist.

The process of reviewing the assumptions is already well underway and is progressing free of any political involvement. The government will seek to appoint an independent actuarial specialist agreed upon by the working group.

It is imperative that the review process is undertaken with transparency and in a timely manner. I expect the working group will agree upon the various assumptions within a matter of weeks and that the independent review will be complete within about three months, allowing the government to consider its options and meet its commitment to the volunteers by the end of September.

The Minister for Emergency Services and I, together with the member for Frome, again thank the CFS volunteers and the various parties for their engagement with the government in recent weeks, and we look forward to working further with them. I look forward to updating the house as this matter is progressed.

Ms CHAPMAN: Point of order, sir.

The SPEAKER: The first point of order of the parliament.

Ms CHAPMAN: Yes, if I may, sir. The minister appears to address the member for Frome by his representation of his electorate. My understanding is that, if appointed as a minister, they should be addressed by their most senior title—the minister for regional development and local government. That should be recognised by the Deputy Premier, and he should know better.

The SPEAKER: It has always been my practice that members may use either. I introduced every member to the Governor today by his or her electorate, not by the executive office he or she holds.

Ms CHAPMAN: Thank you, member for Croydon.

The SPEAKER: It's a pleasure. There is one in the back of the net! Deputy Premier.

The Hon. J.R. RAU: Mr Speaker, the honourable minister and I will have a chat a bit later but I am sure he was not offended by the reference to his great electorate.

Parliamentary Procedure

SESSIONAL ORDERS

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (15:49): | move:

That standing orders be so far suspended as to enable me to move sessional orders for a direction to leave the chamber and a time limit for answers to questions without notice.

Motion carried.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (15:50): I move:

That standing orders be and remain so far suspended so as to provide that (sessional orders 7 and 8 in the members' opening day guide):

- The Speaker may direct a disorderly member to leave the chamber for up to one hour. The direction shall not be open to debate or dissent, and if the member does not leave the chamber immediately, the Speaker may name the speaker in accordance with standing order 138.
- 2. A member who has been directed to leave the chamber under this sessional order is excluded from the house and its galleries for up to one hour. However, the member may enter the chamber during the ringing of the bells for the purposes of forming a quorum, an absolute majority, or voting in a division. Once the Speaker or Chairman of Committees has declared the presence of a quorum or the business for which an absolute majority was required has concluded or result of the division has been declared, the member must immediately withdraw from the chamber for the remainder of the period of the exclusion.
- During the periods for asking questions without notice an answer to a question must not exceed four minutes. The Speaker has discretion to extend the time for a minister's answer if the answer is interrupted.

The SPEAKER: Is there any debate?

Mr MARSHALL: We support the motion, sir.

The SPEAKER: Members will observe we have a new timing device at either end of the chamber which makes the Crvena Zvezda stopwatch redundant. It has gone the way of the whole Soviet era and now there will be no Speaker's discretion whatsoever. It will be apparent to all members of the chamber. Are there any questions?

An honourable member interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Like any field umpire I have the discretion to call time on.

Question Time

AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:52): My question is to the Premier. Why did the Premier reject the federal plan for the automotive manufacturing sector prior to the election despite today committing the full \$12 million state contribution to this federal plan?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (15:52): Because they offered us more money. In fact, this is exactly the reason why you stand up and you fight against something which is inadequate. We held out because the first proposition was pathetically low. This, frankly, is still inadequate, but it does represent a starting point; it means that we can put something in the order of \$100 million into this effort. We did ask for something in the order of \$400 million over four years, but \$100 million is a respectable start and there is no reason why we shouldn't get cracking. That's why we are prepared to do that.

Just consider what the federal government has actually saved through no longer involving themselves in automotive assistance—about \$1.3 billion of savings has been made as a consequence of them no longer providing support to the car industry in this country. Now, the notion that only \$100 million should be provided—less than that; most of the \$100 million actually comes from us: our 60 and their (in the order of) 40. The fact that they are only putting in such a paltry sum, given the amount that they are actually saving, I think—

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: It's a start. I'm not going to turn my nose up at 40—

Mr Marshall: It's a paltry start!

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: You only need to look at those numbers—\$1.3 billion and we're—

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: I'm not going to turn my nose up at \$40 million, frankly. I'll grab that and we'll continue to fight it. But this is what you do when you stand up and fight for your state. You have to know when to fight and when to talk—

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: I wouldn't roll over and have my tummy tickled. But what I was prepared to do was to stand up and ensure that we got a better deal for South Australia. Now, there is much more to be done. I did raise with the Prime Minister at the Council of Australian Governments meeting just last Friday that I believe that the Federal Government had—what is that actually doing? How much longer have I got?

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: Oh, I see. I did raise with the Prime Minister that what occurred to me was a lack of urgency and a lack of adequacy in the response that was actually being made by the commonwealth. He assured me that there would be a further response to South Australia. That is quite unnerving, sir, I must say. That is quite an unnerving—

The SPEAKER: Both black dots go anticlockwise.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: I think this is a very subtle mind game that is being played by the opposition seeking to unnerve the speaker.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: What an extraordinary thing! Anyway, I will try and keep my focus, but the commonwealth government through the Prime Minister has offered more. He believes that there will be a further response on the way. There will need to be, because the scale of the challenge in front of us is significant, but \$100 million, or at least the commonwealth's \$40 million as we understand it, is a respectable start and we will get on with the work.

AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:56): A supplementary, sir. Given that the Premier has outlined to the house his commitment to the federal plan, is he, in fact, abandoning the \$393 million jobs plan that he took to the electorate only a few weeks ago?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (15:56): No and, in fact, our jobs plan is the only comprehensive plan which has been put on the table to respond to the challenge associated with the closure of Holden's and now Toyota, and we will continue to pursue that plan. Indeed, that will be our blueprint for what we do with the money, and if you see what the commonwealth has announced today, or what we understand they have announced—it was announced while I was in here without reference to us, which seems to be a little pattern that has emerged, but nevertheless we will not be churlish about that—it seemed to pick up on substantial elements of the job plan that we submitted to the inquiry process that is undertaken by the commonwealth, chaired by Mr Ian Macfarlane.

There are all sorts of things to criticise about the commonwealth's response, but I think it is appropriate at this time for us to get on with doing the positive things that we can. We are not going to abandon our claims. We think in the fullness of time it will become obvious to the commonwealth that what they are offering is inadequate because the scale of the challenge is, indeed, dramatic. In fact, I understand that, while some of our experts had estimated that something in the order of 25 per cent of the component manufacturers may be able to survive the process of the closure of the car industry, Holden's own estimate is more like 5 per cent. Some of the upper bound estimates of the number of jobs at stake—13,000—may, sadly, come to pass, so that is a dramatic challenge for us, but we will begin the task. We are not abandoning our jobs plan. It is our blueprint for the way in which we will approach the task of transforming the South Australian economy.

AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (15:58): Further supplementary, sir. Given that the Premier has just outlined to the house that he is not abandoning the \$393 million jobs plan that he took to the election, can he tell us how it is going to be funded and whether it will be included in the state budget?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (15:58): Well, it is a plan over four years.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: It is: our \$60 million, a request of the commonwealth of \$333 million—given they are saving \$1.3 billion, not an unreasonable ask I would have thought. There is obviously a task to be done which begins now. We have resources in the order of \$100 million. We will get cracking on that and we will continue to advance our claims for the balance of the sums and you will see how we deal with further allocation of resources throughout the various budgets which will be fashioned by the new Treasurer and will be brought to the attention of the house in due course.

Can I say that a critical element of the jobs plan is the Jobs Accelerator Fund that was mentioned earlier in the Governor's speech. That is a critical element, to make sure that businesses have access to capital. I am very pleased to say that a number of banks—first with Bendigo Adelaide, but a number of other banks—are prepared to come on board to add their financial commitment to match the state government's commitment to allow us to actually back the transformation plans of these government enterprises.

Ms Chapman: How much?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: Rather than ask the carping, cynical questions, what about getting in behind this initiative and supporting South Australia?

The Hon. J.M. Rankine interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The Minister for Education need not prompt the Premier with further questions that were not asked, and I call her to order. The member for Taylor.

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Mrs VLAHOS (Taylor) (16:00): My question is to the Minister for Youth. Can the minister inform the house on how the South Australian government supports young people to be involved and engaged in the community, particularly those living in regional South Australia?

The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay—Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion, Minister for Social Housing, Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Youth, Minister for Volunteers, Minister for Veterans' Affairs) (16:00): I thank the member for her question. The South Australian government's new Leadership, Engagement and Partnerships youth development program, known as LEAP, was developed in 2013 as a program that facilitates a positive approach to youth development and participation across the state.

The LEAP program allows eligible organisations to apply for funding, which will be put towards developing and delivering programs of activities for young people aged 12 to 25, which aim to support South Australia's youth population in achieving their goals and help them to realise their full potential. LEAP is underpinned by a positive approach to youth development which incorporates youth participation. Young people are provided with formal and informal learning opportunities to develop life skills and also to engage with and influence their local communities.

The program is being delivered as a two-year pilot, with two separate rounds of funding. Eligible organisations—including not-for-profit; non-government; local councils and government; independent, Catholic and special schools—have been able to apply for up to \$15,000 (GST exclusive) of first round funding to deliver their program and an additional \$1,000 of supplementary funding for programs that engage young people experiencing disadvantage to offset the cost of engaging these participants.

Round one closed on 10 January 2014 and a total of 25 applications were received. These were assessed by a panel, consisting of representatives from the University of South Australia, the Adelaide City Council and the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, against their ability to meet the eligibility criteria and the key mandatory elements required to develop a LEAP program.

At the recommendation of the panel, a total of \$123,550 in first round funding has been awarded to nine successful applicants. I am very pleased to advise the house that the District Council of Mount Barker, along with the District Council of Streaky Bay, the Migrant Resource Centre and Ocean View College are amongst the nine organisations that successfully obtained a one-off grant to be delivered in 2014-15.

The successful programs for the first round of funding have a strong focus on social inclusion by involving the following groups of young people: those who live in regional South Australia; those who identify as being Indigenous; those with multicultural backgrounds, with particular emphasis on recently arrived migrants and those on protection visas; low-income families; carers; and people with disabilities. I think that members of this house can agree that an investment in young people is an important one. The LEAP program is an investment that reaches young people of all backgrounds and circumstances across our community.

The SPEAKER: The member for MacKillop has a supplementary, I take it.

Mr WILLIAMS: No, sir. I am not sure whether what I am seeking is a point of order or a point of clarification. I note that the mother parliament, the House of Commons, does not allow ministers to read answers to questions, the principle being that it expects ministers to be across their brief and not simply to be parroting something that the bureaucracy has generated for them. I am just wondering, sir, if you can point out to our house whether we accept that principle that ministers should be across their brief and not simply parroting something that the bureaucracy has generated or whether we would allow ministers to simply read from a brief that has been prepared by somebody else. It goes to the heart of responsible government, sir.

The SPEAKER: The member for MacKillop might recall previous parliaments. He has not had the privilege of being a minister, so we do not know what his policy would be if he were a minister in question time, but I do remember when asking questions to me, even very short questions, he

read them off notes prepared by opposition staff, and on one occasion hilariously didn't read them correctly. It has been the custom of the house to allow ministers to use copious notes, and I am sure that is what the minister was doing.

Mr WILLIAMS: I can assure you, sir, no bureaucrat ever wrote a question for me.

The SPEAKER: No, they are not bureaucrats: they are opposition staff. They are not appointed under the Public Sector Management Act. Does the Deputy Premier have a point of order?

The Hon. J.R. RAU: Mr Speaker, a point of order arising from the last point of whatever it was: I understand that there is actually according to the standing orders—and you might assist us with this—no differentiation between a minister and any other member reading things.

The SPEAKER: Indeed.

The Hon. J.R. RAU: And if one were to form a view hypothetically that ministers shouldn't be allowed to read anything, then I assume that it would follow that nobody could read anything.

The SPEAKER: Yes, and I am looking forward to the maiden speeches and perhaps I could apply the member for MacKillop's dictum to the maiden speeches if he wishes. The member for Morphett.

HOUSING SA WAITING LIST

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:06): My question is to the Minister for Social Housing. Can the minister tell the house how many people are on the category one priority waiting list for Housing SA properties?

The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay—Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion, Minister for Social Housing, Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Youth, Minister for Volunteers, Minister for Veterans' Affairs) (16:07): I thank the member for his question. I know that he has a genuine interest in the needs of people for social housing. That list does change from day to day, and I do not have the current figure in front of me; however, I am happy to take the question on notice and will bring back an answer for the member.

The SPEAKER: The member for Morphett has a supplementary, I take it?

HOUSING SA WAITING LIST

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:07): I do; thank you, Mr Speaker. A supplementary. Can the minister tell the house why, on the Housing SA website, it says it is impossible to tell how long you will be on a waiting list no matter what priority you are?

The Hon. J.M. Rankine interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The Minister for Education is the first member warned in the Fifty-Third Parliament. Minister for Social Housing.

The Hon. Z.L. BETTISON (Ramsay—Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion, Minister for Social Housing, Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Youth, Minister for Volunteers, Minister for Veterans' Affairs) (16:07): Once again, I thank the member for his question. As you know, we have a category one waiting list for social housing and that category is determined by people whose needs are most vulnerable and, therefore, the needs of those who are vulnerable and the number of people who are vulnerable will change from day to day.

COMMISSIONER FOR PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

The Hon. I.F. EVANS (Davenport) (16:08): My question is to the Minister for the Public Sector. Can the minister assure the house that when the current Commissioner for Public Employment concludes his term she will ensure the position is publicly advertised and that there is an open and merit-based appointment process for the new position?

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Manufacturing, Innovation and Trade, Minister for the Public Sector) (16:08): I thank the member for his question. I am yet to turn my mind to such an eventuality and will take the question on board. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FOR PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

The Hon. I.F. EVANS (Davenport) (16:09): A supplementary. Why can't the minister give the house a guarantee that it will be advertised and there will be an open, merit-based appointment process for that position?

The Hon. S.E. CLOSE (Port Adelaide—Minister for Manufacturing, Innovation and Trade, Minister for the Public Sector) (16:09): I will take advice on the most appropriate ways in order to undertake a merit-based approach to determination. I know that advertisement is not the only way to do that, for example.

ROAD SAFETY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:09): My question is to the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure. Will the minister confirm that every project recommended by the road safety initiatives advisory group, which was established to guide the expenditure of the \$100 million from the Motor Accident Commission, will be completed?

Mr Pengilly interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Would the minister be seated, please? It is with a heavy heart that I call the member for Finniss to order. Minister.

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:10): I thank the deputy leader for her question. I am yet to see the list in its entirety, let alone form a judgement on it.

The SPEAKER: Member for Bragg.

ROAD SAFETY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:10): Thank you, sir. Again, to the Minister for Transport: to your knowledge, minister, has the advisory group been asked to review its priorities and proposals since the March state election?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:11): I am aware that an initial pool of projects was recommended by the group, and that was the subject of some commitments made before the election. But as to the remainder of the projects, I am yet to see them and form a judgement on them.

The SPEAKER: Deputy leader, you can ask another question—owing to my largesse.

ROAD SAFETY

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:11): Thank you. Your generosity astounds me, but I am grateful for it. Minister, whilst there may be some proposals to be allocated, of those that were announced during the election campaign, has there been any review of those proposals to be replaced with other proposals?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:11): I would have to check on that. There are obviously many people involved in the planning and execution of these projects, and I would have to assure myself of the status of all those projects before I brought back an answer to the house.

The SPEAKER: Members may have observed that the mechanical system for counting down the four minutes has failed already. Fortunately—and this is a happy outcome—the Clerk and I can see the monitor. It is displayed for us, but not for you. Deputy leader.

SOUTH ROAD UPGRADES

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:12): My question again is to the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure. Is the state government considering the introduction of tolls to help upgrade South Road?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:12): We have made our position against the introduction of tolls on South Road pretty clear, Mr Speaker.

Ms CHAPMAN: Supplementary.

The SPEAKER: A supplementary from the member for Bragg.

SOUTH ROAD UPGRADES

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:13): So, is that a yes or a no?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:13): I spoke fairly plainly, Mr Speaker, and I thought I gave neither.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: The leader is really testing my patience. The Deputy leader.

BUTE TO KULPARA ROAD UPGRADE

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:13): My question again is to the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure. What is the cost, minister, of the government's proposed upgrade of the Bute to Kulpara road, and when will this be delivered?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:13): Unfortunately, I do not have that figure with me, Mr Speaker, but I am happy to provide it to the house in due course.

The SPEAKER: Splendid: we will hold you to that. Deputy leader.

LAURA TO GLADSTONE ROAD UPGRADE

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:13): My question again is to the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure. What is the cost of the government's promised upgrade of the Laura to Gladstone road, and when will this be delivered?

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Does the Deputy leader wish to complete her question?

Ms CHAPMAN: Thank you. In the event, minister, that you do not know what the cost would be, have you been asked to give any advice to the government since the signing of the agreement between the Minister for Local Government and the Premier?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN (Lee—Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning, Minister Assisting the Minister for Housing and Urban Development) (16:14): Could I seek your direction, Mr Speaker? I'm not sure which question I'm meant to be answering.

The SPEAKER: Could the deputy leader reformulate the question?

Ms CHAPMAN: My question was: what is the cost to government of the Laura to Gladstone Road proposal, and if you don't know, has there been any—

The Hon. J.W. Weatherill interjecting:

Ms CHAPMAN: Do you need to help him, Jay, or do you want to interpret it?

The SPEAKER: So what is the cost of the Laura to Gladstone Road—

Ms CHAPMAN: And if the minister doesn't know, has he received any request for advice to provide the Premier the actual cost, given that's in the agreement?

The Hon. S.C. MULLIGHAN: I am happy to provide that cost to the house as well.

Mr Marshall interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Well, I think he actually is answering the question, leader. The member for Goyder.

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (16:15): My question is to the Minister for Regional Development. As a member of the cabinet, does the Independent status of the member extend to introducing private members' bills or amending government legislation?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome—Minister for Regional Development, Minister for Local Government) (16:16): Thank you, Mr Speaker, and I thank the member for Goyder for his question. Part of my agreement has already been tabled. It has been made public; it is on the website. You are very aware, as everybody else is, that if I am in cabinet itself and in discussions within the cabinet, I am going to be bound by cabinet solidarity, as I would have been if I had gone on the other side. If there are any issues there with any legislation, I can advise the Premier at the appropriate time and then be able to not take part in it, and then I can speak against it.

I will have to come back to you on the matter of introducing private members' issues. But can I also say to the members on the other side that we have been out there working with your members at different locations across the whole of the region, and what we want to be able to do moving forward is work with all the local members out there to get some job opportunities for regional South Australia. Part of the agreement with the state government is to provide a \$39 million project for the next financial year, which is going to the budget. The projects that have been agreed to will be looked at in the budget over the next four years. The other—

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: Excuse me-

Ms Chapman: The Bute to Kulpara Road would be a good start.

The SPEAKER: The member for Bragg is called to order.

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: The other issue is for the next three years we have \$29 million per year specifically for job creation opportunities in the regions. My focus is directly to create jobs in the regions, and I have been with most members on the other side there in the regions. I have been out there for four weeks; every week I have been in the regions. I want to be able to work with the local members, the RDAs, the councils, the federal government and private industry to get the best opportunities for regional South Australia.

The SPEAKER: A supplementary from the leader.

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (16:18): My supplementary, of course, is to the Minister for Regional Development. The Minister for Regional Development has outlined that there was an agreement between himself and the Premier that has been tabled in the parliament today. Were there any other components to that agreement that were not contained in that document that has been tabled today?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome—Minister for Regional Development, Minister for Local Government) (16:18): The agreement has been tabled today. It was in four parts: it was some of the concessions for the electorate of Frome, commitments for regional South Australia, the charters, and also for better government and so forth. It doesn't stop at those preclusions; it allows for extra discussions to go forward. One of the things the Premier has just indicated and presented to the parliament a minute ago is the Cabinet Taskforce, or cabinet committee, which will have (and I stand corrected, Mr Speaker, if I can just refer to my notes as to who is on that committee) five ministers on it. They will be meeting on a regular basis, probably six times on an annual basis.

Ms Redmond: So the answer is no.

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: Excuse me. That committee will be looking at having the lead responsibility for overseeing the portfolios delivered in the agreement, with the Independent member being myself as the Independent member for Frome: to support stable and effective government; coordinating, reviewing and advising cabinet on strategy to promote regional development within the

state; reviewing and prioritising proposed uses of the jobs acceleration fund, in particular the additional \$10 million to facilitate regional development—

The SPEAKER: Point of order from the member for Heysen.

Ms REDMOND: On the question of relevance, sir. The question from the leader was whether the entirety of the agreement between the Premier and the member for Frome has been tabled, and the answer being given by the member for Frome has nothing whatsoever to do with that question.

The SPEAKER: Is the member for Frome outlining to the house parts of the agreement that weren't disclosed in the ministerial statement?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: Yes, thank you, Mr Speaker. I am outlining the other parts of the ministerial statement made by the Premier.

The SPEAKER: Splendid.

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: It also forms part of the agreement going forward.

The SPEAKER: Has the minister finished?

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (16:20): Further supplementary.

The SPEAKER: Further supplementary from the leader.

Mr MARSHALL: Was there any specific commitment made by the Premier to you regarding Nyrstar, over and above what has been contained in the document tabled today? If so, can you outline to the house what the nature of that commitment was?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (16:20): Yes, I can—

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: In the context of the negotiations with the member for Frome, the question of the future of Nyrstar arose, and I made a communication with the member for Frome about the attitude of the state government in respect of those negotiations. That was information and material that the member for Frome requested of me to assist him in his deliberation. So, it does not represent part of the agreement that was struck and has been reduced to writing and has been put before the house, but it was relevant information that the member for Frome requested to assist him in his deliberations.

That is a confidential communication. It is confidential because it contains important commercial terms which are presently the subject of very detailed negotiations which are at a very advanced stage. We hope to conclude them very soon.

Of course, they are directed at securing a future for Nyrstar in Port Pirie, and a bright future for not only Port Pirie but the surrounding areas within the southern Flinders. This is a very important matter that the member for Frome, of course, wanted to be satisfied about as he was embarking upon deliberations about the future of Nyrstar. I must say questions were raised about the future of Nyrstar in the course of that period and it was natural that the member for Frome wanted to satisfy himself about what the state government's attitude to the future of Nyrstar was.

As it happens, one of the risks that the member for Frome was concerned about—that is, the attitude of the federal government—has sadly come to pass. Notwithstanding the federal government had given a commitment to Nyrstar and to, indeed, the South Australian government that they would be a financial backer of some of the risks of this project, they have since withdrawn—inexplicably—from their commitment. The South Australian government stands ready to conclude an agreement to secure the future of Nyrstar and Port Pirie.

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (16:23): Supplementary, sir.

The SPEAKER: Before we go to the supplementary, owing to the sledging of the Premier as he rose to give that answer, I call to order the members for Mount Gambier; Mitchell; MacKillop; Finniss, who is warned for the first time; and Heysen, who is merely called to order. Leader.

Mr MARSHALL: Thank you; my supplementary is to the Premier. Can the Premier outline to the house whether anything in the commitment made to the member for Frome, which is subject to this commercial-in-confidence arrangement, commits this state to a greater liability than has already been disclosed publicly?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (16:24): As I have said earlier, the negotiations with Nyrstar are at an advanced stage. Indeed, just last week, I met with Nyrstar executives in London to take a further step in those negotiations. I hope to be in a position within the coming weeks to be able to report publicly and, indeed, to this house the successful conclusion of those negotiations, and I am more than happy to answer all of your questions at that time. I don't want to offer any further information in the public sphere which may have an adverse bearing on our ability to reach that final agreement.

MEMBER FOR FROME, GOVERNMENT AGREEMENT

Mr MARSHALL (Dunstan—Leader of the Opposition) (16:24): A further supplementary, sir.

The SPEAKER: A further supplementary; the leader

Mr MARSHALL: When the Premier made that commitment to the member for Frome during negotiations subsequent to the election, did he undertake any due diligence or seek any Treasury or crown law advice that would help him in making that decision which has, clearly, further encumbered our state in terms of the commitment that was made to the member for Frome?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (16:25): I am not going to go into any of the details of the nature of the communications we gave at that stage. Suffice to say that I think the member for Frome was seeking to understand the state government's position in relation to this very important matter and we were very pleased to do that. It should go without saying that any of the commitments that I made as leader of the Labor Party at that point—because we were in caretaker mode and we could not commit the government—

Members interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: —were made on the basis of the best available advice that I was able to avail myself of, always motivated by what was in the best interest of South Australia and, in particular, what was in the interest of this crucial region of South Australia.

I cannot imagine that those opposite would suggest that we as a government would have done anything other than used every possible endeavour to secure the future of Nyrstar, because that goes to the heart of securing the future of Port Pirie. The costs and damage associated with the inability of securing the future for Nyrstar would be astronomical. It is unimaginable that we would walk away from the opportunity to clean up Port Pirie by getting this new technology to not only avoid the closure of Port Pirie but secure a bright and prosperous future for that enterprise and the future of Port Pirie.

The SPEAKER: Owing to interjections during that answer, I call the deputy leader and the member for Unley to order. The member for Goyder.

MARINE PARKS

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (16:27): My question is again to the Minister for Regional Development. Does the minister support the government's marine park policy and, if he does not, what level of change is he trying to implement?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome—Minister for Regional Development, Minister for Local Government) (16:27): I thank the member for Goyder for the question. As the member for Goyder and others on the other side know, the marine park legislation went through last year during the previous sitting of parliament. I have been out into the regions, as I said earlier. I have spoken to mayors on the West Coast, I have spoken to mayors down in the South-East, down Mount Gambier way, and I have indicated to them that if they are going to complain about something, bring some facts and figures back in. It is no good discussing anything hypothetical.

I have indicated to the mayors on the West Coast that if they have an issue there and there is some justification on economic development loss, then bring that back and I will look at it. Hypothetically, I will not be going into any issues regarding whether I will support a future direction

or not. It depends on what happens within the parliament here and if somebody brings back a review into the marine parks policy.

The SPEAKER: Member for Goyder.

MARINE PARKS

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (16:28): Supplementary if I may, Mr Speaker, to the minister. Given his comment about facts and figures, has the minister received a briefing on the economic impact to regional areas of the 19 marine parks and, if not, has he sought a briefing?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome—Minister for Regional Development, Minister for Local Government) (16:29): Thank you to the member for Goyder. I have not asked for a briefing on the 19 marine parks but there is some work being done by the mayors on the West Coast and also for the Upper Spencer Gulf regarding marine parks there. I am waiting for that information to come back to me and I am not going to divulge anything. I am going to the electorate of Finniss in the next week and we will have a look at the areas there.

It is not in my portfolio, but when I have been out into the regions and spoken to the local members out there we have had that dialogue. It is not in my portfolio, and certainly I am prepared to look at it, but I am not going to do anything unless I have some facts and figures on the whole thing. Regional Development Australia in the Mid North is working on some issues in other areas for the member for Stuart—on the forests—and for us up there, so I understand that. Certainly, I have not asked for the whole 19, but there is some stuff on marine parks coming back, from about five. If there are any more to come in, I am happy to look at those.

BLACK SPOT FUNDING

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (16:30): My question is again to the Minister for Regional Development. Does the minister believe that there should be an increase in black spot funding, particularly in regional South Australia?

The Hon. G.G. BROCK (Frome—Minister for Regional Development, Minister for Local Government) (16:30): I thank the member for Goyder. Again, I am sure that all members in this house here would be very conscious of any road issues across all of South Australia.

Ms Chapman: Not across there, they're not.

The SPEAKER: The member for Bragg is warned.

The Hon. G.G. BROCK: I would hope everybody in this chamber is very concerned about road safety, full stop. It is not my portfolio—and I am not pushing it across—but in regional South Australia we have an opportunity. If I can just refer to the notes about the budget coming up, part of it is developing the regional budget paper to allow communities to clearly identify the funding provided to regional South Australia. When that comes out we will be able to see exactly what is being allocated to regional South Australia. As for any road maintenance out there, part of my agreement is to get some more money into road maintenance across regional South Australia. I will leave the black spot issue to the minister relevant to road safety.

BLACK SPOT FUNDING

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (16:31): Supplementary, again to the minister. Given the minister's response, is he able to put a figure against the level of increased funding for black spot road funding support that he has sought from the Minister for Transport and from the Treasurer?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:31): The government is in the process of formulating the budget. All the—

Ms Chapman: What's he asking?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS: He's asking about how the budget bits are going. The government is working collaboratively with the entire ministry and, indeed, I look forward to members opposite coming to me to ask questions about where their priorities are for spending in their electorates. Perhaps one day the member for Dunstan can come to me and say, 'Tom, I need your

help.' I think it is completely inappropriate for us to be debating the budget before the budget is introduced.

PENRICE SODA

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite) (16:32): My question is to the Minister for Manufacturing, Innovation and Trade. Is the taxpayer exposed to any financial risk as a result of any loans or grants to Penrice Soda or contingent liabilities such as site remediation now that the company is in administration?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:32): The government did what it could to help Penrice Soda. The advice that I have—and I will make sure I will correct it if it is incorrect—is that we are unsecured creditors. We gave Penrice Soda royalty relief. Given the long list of people seeking redress through proceedings that are in place now, I am not sure what the total amount of forgone royalties will be, but I will get that amount and get back to the house. The government makes no apology for doing that.

The government has very few tools at its disposal to help companies like Penrice Soda. The one that we could introduce very, very quickly, which is very effective, was royalty relief. I think that was a very good measure. I know that the former member for Schubert was very keen for me to be involved in that; in fact, he lobbied on behalf of Penrice Soda for royalty relief. It is something that the government was very happy to do. In terms of our ability to regain those forgone royalties, I have to seek more advice, but it does not look good, given the state of what is being told to creditors now. Mr Speaker, I will get a detailed answer to the house and give the member a briefing.

PENRICE SODA

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite) (16:34): Supplementary question: I thank the minister for offering to come back to the house, but could he tell us either now or in that answer what the estimated total dollar value of any risk to the taxpayer from Penrice Soda administration is, including any remediation issues, if any?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:34): Yes, the risk issues would be a bit harder to put a quantum on, but I will get the very best advice from State Development back to the house. The risk issue is one aspect in terms of remediation costs; the forgone royalties is another, so I will get a detailed answer for you on both those aspects.

PHILIP KENNEDY CENTRE

Dr McFetridge (Morphett) (16:34): My question is to is the Minister for Health. Did the CEO of Southern Cross Care write to the minister on 7 April 2014 seeking an urgent meeting about the future of the Philip Kennedy Centre, and did the minister meet with the CEO?

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (16:35): He did. I had previously met with him and, in the letter of 7 or 9 April—I can't remember exactly which date it was—he sought a meeting. The next communication I had from him was last week—last Thursday morning when he rang my office, having been in receipt of the letter for just a few weeks—to inform me that the board of Southern Cross Care had taken the decision to close the Philip Kennedy hospice at Largs Bay. I am extremely disappointed with that decision.

I had offered to continue the government funding of the Philip Kennedy hospice until at least December. My department was in discussions with Southern Cross Care about how funding to the hospice would continue and what form palliative care services to the people of the Lefevre Peninsula would take into the new year. Mr Larpent and the board of Southern Cross Care took the decision to end those discussions unilaterally and to close the hospice—a decision which I think was made in bad faith.

PHILIP KENNEDY CENTRE

Dr McFetridge (Morphett) (16:36): A supplementary question to the Minister for Health. Is the state government's policy document 'Palliative Care Services Plan 2009-16', which recommended major changes to the Philip Kennedy Centre, still state government policy?

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (16:35): Yes, it is, but obviously there is no palliative care service at Philip Kennedy. That section is now redundant. That \$1.1 million that was being provided to Southern Cross Care to fund those palliative care services will now go to another organisation or organisations to ensure continuity of palliative care services to the people of the western suburbs.

ROYAL ADELAIDE HOSPITAL

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:37): My question is again to the Minister for Health. Does the minister stand by his statement on 5AA on 2 May this year that the new Royal Adelaide Hospital will open in April 2016?

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (16:37): Yes, I do.

VICTIMS OF CRIME COMPENSATION SCHEME

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:37): My question is to the Attorney-General. To access the increased maximum payments under the Victims of Crime Compensation Scheme as per the government's election commitment, what is the date from which an offence will need to have been committed?

The Hon. J.R. RAU (Enfield—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Justice Reform, Minister for Planning, Minister for Housing and Urban Development, Minister for Industrial Relations) (16:37): I thank the honourable member for her question. The honourable member is quite right in pointing out that we made an election commitment that there would be an increase in the maximum payment along with, I might add, other payments—payments to classes of damage which previously had not been compensable and various other things.

The idea is that we are going to be bringing legislation to this parliament, and the absolute detail of the legislation, including operative dates, will obviously be contained in that legislation when it is drafted, and I will bring it here as quickly as I can. In answer to your question, I would have to check but I think our election promise said that it would commence in 2016, if I remember correctly.

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. J.R. RAU: Payment, yes, and it is conventional that the date that a change in payment occurs is the same date that the change in entitlement occurs. Otherwise what you have is people cherry picking and holding back applications in the hope that they are able to jump the queue, hang onto their application for a period of time, and then drop it into the next period. So the logical point in time at which you should have that barrier is the point in time at which the payment changes. Otherwise I would assume everybody between now and whatever that date is would be holding back their applications in order to able to make a big rush at the new arrangements.

Now, whilst that is not a matter that we have got to the point of drafting, that is what happens in every other scheme that I am aware of. You would be aware, for example, in the Motor Accident Commission arrangements, when changes were made there they were not retrospective changes. The scale which was to be applied to people who were involved in motor vehicle accidents applied from a certain day and it applied from accidents that occurred on that day and beyond. So that is where I expect it to go, but obviously the final form of the legislation is a matter for the parliament. All I can do is indicate to you what I anticipate is consistent with our policy announcement and will be brought to the parliament.

WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

Ms SANDERSON (Adelaide) (16:40): My question is to the Treasurer. Is the government still planning to sell the Women's and Children's Hospital car parking facility?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:40): You are going to have to wait and see till the budget.

WATARRU ANANGU SCHOOL

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:40): My question is to the Minister for Education and Child Development. How much damage was caused at the recent break-in and vandalism at the Watarru Anangu School and will the school reopen?

The Hon. J.M. RANKINE (Wright—Minister for Education and Child Development) (16:40): Sir, I do not have details in relation to that, but I am happy to get that information and bring it back to the house.

APY LANDS, TRUANCY OFFICERS

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:40): My question, again, is to the Minister for Education and Child Development. Why was the state department for Education and Child Development so uncooperative with the federal government's placement of truancy officers on the APY lands (and they were; trust me)?

The Hon. J.M. RANKINE (Wright—Minister for Education and Child Development) (16:41): I do not accept the premise of the question. In fact, we have cooperated with the federal government. What we did point out to the federal government—and we were insistent upon—was that people who were engaged on the APY lands to work with school-age children had to have a criminal history clearance. We were not prepared for anyone to go in there and be engaged. That was the problem. That was the delay. Apart from that I corresponded with the federal minister. We were supportive of them providing truancy officers. It is yet to be seen whether that has any impact whatsoever but, in fact, we were not prepared for the federal government to engage anyone who had not been properly screened to work with children.

ABORIGINAL AFFAIRS AND RECONCILIATION DIVISION

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:42): My question is to the Premier. Has a senior public servant in the Department of Premier and Cabinet's Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation Division been stood aside pending investigations of alleged corruption and misuse of public office by the department's CEO?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Premier) (16:42): I thank the honourable member for his question. I am unaware of the fact of that matter, if it indeed is a fact, but I will make inquiries and bring back an answer.

APY LANDS

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:42): My question is to the Minister for Police. What is the government doing to combat the increased incidence of drug dealing and prostitution on the APY lands?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:42): I would like to thank the member for the question. I have no advice to me to suggest that what you have said is correct so—

Dr McFETRIDGE: Ask the ACC. Ask the AFP. Ask SAPOL.

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: As I said, I have no advice on that matter and, if that is the case, I will find out and I will seek advice on what actions have been taken.

APY LANDS, FAMILY WELLBEING CENTRES

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (16:43): My question is to the Minister for Communities and Social Inclusion. Have the Family Wellbeing Centres on the APY lands been opened and, if so, which department is coordinating the operation? If they are not operating, why not?

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health

Industries) (16:43): At least one of them has been opened. Well, it certainly is running and is run by the department of health. There is a second one, I think, which is run by the Department of Communities and Social Inclusion from memory, but I will happily get back to the member for Morphett with a full answer.

POLICE CADETS

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:43): My question is for the Minister for Police. Can the minister advise if police cadets are counted towards the government's promised recruitment of the additional 300 police officers?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:44): Thank you for the question. Assuming that they pass and are sworn in, they would be counted.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:44): Again to the Minister for Correctional Services, in relation to the Ombudsman's report for Mr Jason Fox, does the minister agree with the Ombudsman's report that Mr Fox was detained contrary to law?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:44): I would like to thank the honourable member for his question. As the member would be aware, the Ombudsman has prepared a report. We have until 13 June to respond to that report. I will be happy to table that report when I respond to it.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:45): Supplementary: given the minister's answer, has the minister sought advice from his department if there have been any other prisoners detained in similar circumstances without the appropriate ministerial approval?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:45): I would like to thank the honourable member for the question. The only one which has been brought to my attention is the one about Mr Fox and I am pursuing a response.

Mr van Holst Pellekaan: Have you sought advice?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: If I can answer the question without interruption that would help. The only matter which has been—

Members interjecting:

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: As I indicated, the only matter which has been brought to my attention and which I am aware of is the case of Mr Fox. There are other investigations ongoing at the moment, and when they are reported on I will advise the house.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:46): Can the Minister for Correctional Services explain what plans the government has to increase the bed capacity in our prisons?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:46): I would like to thank the honourable member for the question. The first thing we need to remember with correctional services is that our primary obligation is to ensure that any court decision to put somebody into custody is actually undertaken. We need to make sure that the community is kept safe—that is our primary objective.

It is well known that we are at capacity and, on some days, over capacity. The strategy will be twofold: to build some increased capacity in the existing facilities and also, as the budget allows, to build new facilities.

Mr Goldsworthy: Put in containers.

The SPEAKER: The member for Kavel was a serious agitator in the previous parliament and I call him to order for his interruption of the minister's answer, and I know the Attorney-General agrees with me. The member for Stuart.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:47): A supplementary question: given the minister's answer, can the minister confirm if police officers have been required to transfer prisoners refused bail to other police stations because some of the cells have been used to hold prisoners and, if yes, is this having any impact on police activities?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:47): I would like to thank the honourable member for the question. My advice is that both corrections and the police work very closely together and our aim is to make—

Ms Chapman: Both are running around with prisoners in the back of the van.

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: Mr Speaker, as I mentioned in the previous answer, the important thing is that, if a court makes a decision that somebody has to be held in custody, we put them in custody. I know the member for Bragg doesn't agree, but that is our primary objective.

Mr Marshall: You should apologise for that.

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: Apologise for what?

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Has the minister finished?

The Hon. A. PICCOLO: No, I haven't actually. I can advise the house that both corrections and police work closely to achieve that objective and I am advised that, while it is not desirable, it is working to make sure we keep our streets safe.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

The SPEAKER: A supplementary.

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN (Stuart) (16:48): A point of clarification: does that mean that—

The SPEAKER: No, is it a supplementary?

Mr VAN HOLST PELLEKAAN: A supplementary: can the minister confirm then that his answer is yes: that prisoners refused bail are being moved from police station to police station and that it is affecting police services?

The SPEAKER: Supplementary. The Minister for Correctional Services.

The Hon. A. PICCOLO (Light—Minister for Disabilities, Minister for Police, Minister for Correctional Services, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Road Safety) (16:48): Again, I have not received that advice.

FLEET SA

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite) (16:48): My question is to the Treasurer. What percentage of the government vehicle fleet presently comprises locally manufactured Holden vehicles, and does the government have any plans to increase that percentage of Holdens in the fleet or to turn vehicles over more regularly between now and 2017 to help the company?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:49): I hope it's a vast a majority. I will check and get back to the house. I certainly think that the Holden brand makes a perfectly good vehicle: a vehicle that we are all very proud of, a vehicle that has got me into a lot of trouble in the past. I have to say, given its status as one of the fastest selling and highest grossing vehicles in Australia, it makes a very good investment for the South Australian government, especially in resales. I certainly encourage people to buy a Holden. It is a very good vehicle. I will come back to the house

with the exact percentages that we have in Fleet SA. I encourage all the new members who have come into the parliament to buy a Holden.

An honourable member interjecting:

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS: Good. Excellent. No, that is right. John Howard let us down terribly with Mitsubishi. Kevin Rudd wasn't in time to save Mitsubishi. However, we all expect Holden to be manufacturing motor vehicles until 2017 at a bare minimum. It is a very well-made car that is selling. I think it has captured the imagination of consumers across the country, which is the irony in the decision that Prime Minister Abbott took when he chose not to invest in South Australia's automotive industry.

PUBLIC SECTOR CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS

The Hon. I.F. EVANS (Davenport) (16:50): My question is to the Attorney-General. When government agencies require public servants who are leaving the service of the government to sign confidentiality agreements about matters that have been in dispute with their employer, is it acceptable to the government for the confidentiality agreement to require the public servant not to go to ICAC?

The Hon. J.R. RAU (Enfield—Deputy Premier, Attorney-General, Minister for Justice Reform, Minister for Planning, Minister for Housing and Urban Development, Minister for Industrial Relations) (16:51): Yes, I thank the honourable member for the question. Can I say first of all, I am not aware of any such documents. I don't have any role in providing advice personally in relation to separations between individual employees and the state government. All I can say is that if such a document were to exist, I would be very interested to see it, because I would wonder whether such a document would first of all be enforceable, given the state of the legislation, but secondly it would indicate to me that there was some unsatisfactory advice being given around the place and that that needed to be fixed up. I take the honourable member's question very seriously. I think if there were such a thing going on, I would invite the honourable member to provide me with the details so that I can make the appropriate investigations.

MILLSWOOD RAILWAY STATION

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (16:52): My question is to the Minister for Transport. Minister, is the \$400,000 Millswood train station trial that was promised during the election contingent upon the government's car park tax being implemented?

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:52): The government is committed to meeting all of its election commitments. If the opposition is intimating through the Deputy Leader of the Opposition that they are going to change precedent which is many decades old in this place and not support budget measures of the government, then they should articulate that quickly.

The SPEAKER: I am pleased to announce a track record—43 beans in every cup, 43 opposition questions.

Ministerial Statement

FLEET SA

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS (West Torrens—Treasurer, Minister for Finance, Minister for State Development, Minister for Mineral Resources and Energy, Minister for Small Business, Minister for Automotive Transformation) (16:53): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. A. KOUTSANTONIS: I am advised that currently over 99 per cent of passenger vehicles purchased are Australian made. I am advised that, of the total passenger vehicle fleet of approximately 4,400 vehicles, 99.6 per cent are Australian made and 82 per cent of those vehicles are from Holden.

Grievance Debate

MALLEE PRESCRIBED WELLS AREA WATER ALLOCATION PLAN

Mr WHETSTONE (Chaffey) (16:53): Today I rise to speak about a new part of the electorate of Chaffey, which is the Mallee, and I would like to talk about the Mallee Wells water allocation plan. The water allocation plan was adopted in 2012 and it has had serious impact on industry. It has had serious impact on the region's food production, specifically its potato, onion, carrot and vegetable production.

The towns that will be impacted by this water allocation plan are Alawoona, Peebinga, Halidon, Karoonda, Lameroo, Geranium, Pinnaroo and, in particular, Parilla. Parilla is probably the area that is most seriously affected by this Mallee Wells WAP, and it has been lumbered with this water allocation plan that has inequity and has been inconsistent in its release and introduction into what is considered one of the prime broadacre horticulture regions in this country.

The implementation of the WAP does not match the studies, input and recommendations put to the department. The recommendations have been ignored. The experts on the ground—the scientists and the people with the knowledge—gave their input to the department on just exactly how that WAP will be implemented. At the moment, there is a 9,000 megalitre PAV and they have allocated only 7,000 megalitres. It has confused the industry and confused the growers, because noone at this moment knows exactly what their allocations are going to be. Over time, that allocation has changed.

From one property to the next property there are different allocations and different restrictions. I have spoken to many growers who have had their entire allocation taken away from them. Some farmers have had between 50 per cent and 30 per cent of their allocation taken away from them. I guess it is good management to look at how we can safely draw that underground water from the aguifer, but the confusion is running rife.

Over time, when I was the new member for the region, I consulted with the member for Hammond (because Hammond was previously part of the Southern Mallee). Steven Marshall, the member for Dunstan, has engaged with the growers—

The SPEAKER: I think we will just call him the member for Dunstan.

Mr WHETSTONE: The member for Dunstan has engaged with industry and growers and has listened, unlike the department and the current minister. The member for Dunstan has seen the issues and has sought a moratorium on the implementation of that water allocation plan. That is a commitment he put to those people prior to the last state election, and they were buoyed by the consultation that he and this party gave them.

That region is the largest fresh washed potato growing area in the Southern Hemisphere. They are producing 80 per cent of the nation's fresh potatoes. The businesses developed by that water allocation plan are in disarray. People are not able to extend their management plans and cannot look into the future with any knowledge of just exactly what it is going to mean. I will read the report from HAL (Horticulture Australia Ltd), and it states:

The reduction in allocation is extreme, sudden and unjustified by the current or likely long-term resource condition...and a review of the allocation assignments with a view to a more equitable distribution across the management and border sharing areas is recommended.

At the moment, we are dealing with a department headed by a minister who is not prepared to engage in any form of consultation with those growers. The potato and onion industries are in disarray because they do not know exactly. As I said, \$171 million is generated in that region by fresh washed potatoes, and I think it is outrageous. The industry has engaged the Australian Groundwater Technologies group to carry out a review of that water allocation plan.

Time expired.

VICTORY CHURCH

Ms BEDFORD (Florey) (16:59): I would like to share with the house some of the experiences we have had in the break between the end of the year and the election. One of the events I attended in the electorate recently was the 20th anniversary of the Victory Church. The Victory Church had humble beginnings: it started with Kath and Tony Rainbow and 11 other people

on Sunday 27 February 1994. It began in the Sunnybrook Community Centre, which is a small community house in the electorate of Wright, where they rented a room for a Sunday morning service 20 years ago. During celebrations, we saw the original keyboard that was used at that service, a tiny little keyboard which obviously belted out a great number of songs over its time before it was superseded by the fantastic musical group that now entertains the churchgoers.

We also heard stories from those present at the first services; they were certainly small in attendance, but for what they lacked in numbers they had in heart and planning and resolve. After two years at Sunnybrook, which they outgrew very quickly, they moved to the Wynn Vale Drive community centre which they rented for Sunday morning and night services. The church had a close friendship with the King's Baptist Grammar School, who graciously allowed them to use some school classrooms for their children's ministry and mid-week meetings.

In February 1998, Victory signed a three-year lease for the old Home Hardware building at 308 Nelson Road in the electorate of Florey. It was there that I first became aware of this vibrant church community and their work. The Rainbow family has a strong sense of service and they have been great to know over the ensuing years. The church purchased the building in 2002, and after two renovations to the original building during this time, the congregation continued to grow and the church began to look for new premises.

In 2008, they signed a lease for the old Skate FX building at 5 Maxwell Road, very close to the Parafield Airport. After major renovations, they held their first service there on the first Sunday in July in 2009. The church has grown from 13 people to over 1,800 people in 20 years, and when they say 1,800 people, the church's services are enormous and well worth a visit, sir, if you are ever out our way on a Sunday.

They have a youth group on Friday nights with over 150 children. Years 5 to 12 attend Victory Kids, which has over 200 children attending each Sunday. KIDGO is a school holiday program and has over 950 kids attending over the three days of each school holiday. They have programs with all sorts of activities for women, for men, for over-55s, playgroups for mums; they run marriage courses, parenting courses, counselling courses, a four-by-four group (I'm not sure what that means but I'm sure it's very, very good), a bike riding group, and there is a fortnightly outreach program at the Salisbury training centre.

There is also a free sausage sizzle and tea and coffee for commuters and the homeless. They have the Origin Coffee Bar open Monday to Saturday between 9am and 3pm, and they have cheap food and a great outdoor playground for children. This is only a very small, limited outline of some of the programs they provide for the community.

It is a family church, engaging people of all ages from all walks of life. Everyone is welcome and an inordinate amount of work goes into each service there. Car park attendants, welcomers, ushers—all tasks are willingly undertaken. They have a shop and a welcome lounge and no-one has to go home without connecting to as many people as they wish. Each service has a vibrant music and creative team, and young people (the future of any group or organisation) participate in large numbers in the singing and dancing in what really looks like a mosh pit. I must admit, it is great fun for everybody.

Victory Church had many visitors from interstate and overseas on their celebration day. Because it was a significant anniversary, every message reinforced the vision of Tony and Kath Rainbow and told of the belief they had in their vision of making a difference. No matter the challenges, they had faith in what they were doing and that what they were doing was necessary work. After the first 20 years of their calling, they are surrounded by the success of their endeavours, and a church full of enthusiasm proves that from little things, big things do grow.

I congratulate most sincerely Tony, Kath and the entire Victory Community Church for their successes to date, and wish them all the very best for all their future endeavours. It is a great privilege to be able to join them from time to time, and I have also had the opportunity to refer some constituents to them, and I am pleased to report that everyone I have referred to that church has had a welcoming place offered to them and has been given great hope in being part of the community in such a loving environment.

FLEURIEU PENINSULA ORGANISATIONS

Mr PENGILLY (Finniss) (17:03): Thank you, sir, and first let me congratulate you on your re-election to the Chair's position. It seems to have been achieved without the ritual bloodletting of that of the President in another place. However, I raise two issues today in relation to two organisations on the south coast of the Fleurieu Peninsula, one being Encounter Centre and the other one being the Southern Fleurieu Cancer Support Group. The government pretends that it gives a lot of consideration to volunteers, but in this case, the state government is planning to cut off funding to both organisations, and it is going to prove just about impossible for these organisations to continue.

The Encounter Centre has been going since 1974. It is largely a volunteer organisation. There are only three or four people who work there who are paid. The rest is all done by voluntary work. The Encounter Centre looks after the disabled people of the southern Fleurieu Peninsula. It does an immensely great job. It has, from time to time, had its hiccups but is, at the moment, and has been for some years under Mr Bruce Lindqvist, running particularly well.

The board, Mr Lindqvist and others are seriously worried that a reduction or a loss of funding on 30 June will mean that the Encounter Centre no longer operates. This is going to have huge ramifications for considerable numbers of disabled people who attend that facility. It is their way of putting into the community, so to speak. It gives them an aim of getting to the Encounter Centre on their specified days. I am talking about, in many cases, seriously disabled people who cannot cope for themselves and who need the stimulation in a mutual environment.

I will talk about one of the people who goes there called Mr Phillip Waller, who I knew from when he used to live on Kangaroo Island. He came out of extremely bad circumstances and has absolutely blossomed since he has been able to attend the Encounter Centre.

I say to the government: if you want to cut the funding to this place and you think that you are doing a great job with volunteers and looking after disabled people, you are effectively going to cripple a seriously large number of people in the community down around Victor Harbor and the south coast who, through no fault of their own, are in the position they are in and will be left with nothing to go to and nothing to do. You are also going to have people out of work, simply because the volunteers cannot run the place without the funding. That is one issue.

The other issue I want to draw attention to is the Southern Fleurieu Cancer Support and Resource Group's service of running people to Adelaide. There was discussion in this place last year on PATS (the Patient Assistance Transport Scheme), and that is still in the melting pot as I understand.

These Fleurieu cancer support group cars run people back and forth to Adelaide with, once again, volunteer drivers who do a magnificent job. They are keen to go and they have in the past been able to get some funding for transporting the people from Flinders Medical Centre and also the Royal Adelaide Hospital, largely. Now they have been advised that that has been cut off. The local communities and service clubs, etc., put amounts of money into the Southern Fleurieu Cancer Support and Resource Group holistically but, more particularly, they put money into assisting the volunteer car service which brings people up.

If you live in the bush, you do not have public transport, and you do not have to live far out of Adelaide not to have public transport. These people are from across my electorate and the electorates of other people in here: Flinders, Chaffey, whatever. The reality is you cannot just jump on public transport—a train or a bus—come up for an appointment at 11 o'clock and get back home again. It just does not work. In this case, the Premier Stateliner does not get to Adelaide until 11 or 11:30, and it leaves again early. People cannot slot into these positions, so these cars are absolutely critical to the wellbeing of cancer sufferers who have to come to Adelaide for specialised treatment.

We do have chemotherapy chairs down in the South Coast District Hospital, which went in over the last year or two and which have been successful, but there is much more to cancer treatment than having those chemotherapy chairs down there. It will be decidedly disastrous if this service has to stop.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Member for Little Para.

ELIZABETH VALE SOCCER CLUB

Mr ODENWALDER (Little Para) (17:08): Thank you, Madam Deputy Speaker, and let me be the first to congratulate you on your elevation to this august position. I just want to speak briefly today about an event I attended on Saturday night in my electorate. It was the celebration and the grand opening of the refurbished clubrooms of the Elizabeth Vale Soccer Club.

I have spoken many times in this place about my love of soccer, for a start, and the importance of junior soccer, particularly, as far as I can see, in the northern suburbs. The Elizabeth Vale Soccer Club is a club that has remained close to my heart, largely because it was the club where my son Jimmy started what he calls his 'career' with the under 6s. He has since moved on, but we still have fond memories of young Jimmy running around with the rest of them like a little pack of dogs with their coach Bert Fuda, who is still quite a character around the place and a character in local junior soccer.

As I said, soccer is important in the northern suburbs, particularly due to the history of British migration there. There are certain clubs that engender a certain kind of loyalty and have a certain place in the fabric of the local community up in the North. The 'Downs' is one of them and I know there is a really strong rivalry between the Elizabeth Downs Soccer Club and the Elizabeth Vale Soccer Club which reaches a head once a year with their senior's match, which is one of the big events in local soccer.

Para Hills is another one of those clubs, which I believe is in the seat of Playford in the health minister's electorate. But I think Elizabeth Vale Soccer Club has to be close to the top of the list. It was established in 1961 and it was one of the founding members of the South Australian Amateur Soccer League. It has a rich history and it currently has about 500 members: 60 senior men's players, 30 senior women's players and 10 junior teams in the Elizabeth and Districts Junior Soccer Association.

I was really proud and pleased that this club received \$200,000 from the state government under the Facilities Grant Program and, credit where credit is due, they received over \$200,000 from the City of Playford. This means that the club has been completely transformed. It has new change rooms, a new kitchen, and an entirely re-fitted hall and bar area. This means that not only can the club be a hub for local soccer, as it has been since 1961, but it can also contribute to the community in many other ways and people can hire out this really high quality facility. Sadly, high quality facilities like that are few and far between in the northern suburbs, and this is now one of them. It joins Elizabeth Downs Soccer Club which also recently received similar funding.

I want to congratulate Steve Woodward and the board for pursuing this project and for securing such a large amount of money. This is also largely due to the former board member, Adam Driscoll who, along with the City of Playford, guided the project and made it happen. Again, I want to congratulate all involved and I hope that they have another 50 years of success in the local soccer league.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Member for Flinders.

EYRE PENINSULA WATER SUPPLY

Mr TRELOAR (Flinders) (17:12): Thank you Madam Deputy Speaker and I, too, congratulate you on your elevation to your position. Welcome back to all members of parliament—those who have been re-elected—and congratulations also to the new members, a number of whom have sat their first day today.

I want to talk today about the water supply on Eyre Peninsula, a topic that I have discussed often in this place. It is certainly not the first and it will not be the last time that I speak on this topic. I want to bring up an inquiry that was conducted by the Natural Resources Committee during the last parliament, a very comprehensive inquiry which was ably led and diligently pursued by all the committee members, and which gave the opportunity for many Eyre Peninsula residents to air their concerns about the ongoing viability of the water resource and the management of that resource. It also gave the opportunity for government departments to give their side of the story.

In the end the inquiry came up with 12 succinct recommendations, and the obligation, as I understood it, was for the minister for environment and water to make a response to those recommendations within four months. The minister obliged and did that within a day or two of the

due date, and in his response he indicated that of the 12 recommendations made by the Natural Resources Committee he agreed with just three, partially agreed with another three, and disagreed with the remaining six. I have to wonder what sort of response this is. It seems to be rather tokenistic, particularly as the minister indicated that action had already been taken to address the three recommendations that he agreed with.

It seems to me that after a big effort by a responsible standing committee of this of parliament the response was rather tokenistic, particularly when we consider that SA Water have indicated in their own supply and demand statement that by 2018, which is only four years away (within the expected life of this newly elected current government), the demand on the Eyre Peninsula water supply will exceed existing supply.

I think we should step back, take a breath and take very seriously what this is saying. It means that within four years the population and industry of the Eyre Peninsula will require more water than SA Water is currently able to provide. We are desperately in need of what I call 'new water' to take the Eyre Peninsula into the future. Currently, 85 per cent of our water resource is drawn from what we call the southern basins. A big part of the inquiry and of the evidence they received was around the management of those basins and the decline in water levels and the environment sustaining those basins.

We have seen the collapse of a couple of significant basins already, including Robinson Basin in Streaky Bay and the Polda Basin near Elliston (in between Lock and Elliston). There are certainly indications that the southern basins west and south of Port Lincoln are also in decline. I believe that the pressure will not come off those basins. The pressure on the extraction rates that are exceeding recharge and leading to the decline of these basins will not be relieved until new water is sourced. So, here we have the situation where 85 per cent of our water is sourced from basins, a renewable supply that is being drawn on unsustainably. The other 15 per cent of our reticulated supply comes from the River Murray, which, of course, has its own issues.

Particularly given that way back in 2002 the government made a commitment to build the desal plant on the Eyre Peninsula (that is more than 12 years ago now, and the commitment was written in blood by the then minister, or so he said), and we are yet to see any indication from this government that there is any intention whatsoever to supplement the water supply on the Eyre Peninsula, I urge this government to address this as a matter of urgency, because if we do not collectively address this then there is a whole portion of the western part of this state that is going to be in dire straits.

OLDER WORKER ENTITLEMENTS

The Hon. S.W. KEY (Ashford) (17:17): Can I offer my congratulations to the Speaker and also to you, member for Florey, as the Deputy Speaker. I would like to also acknowledge all the members in this place and congratulate them, some of them on coming back, and also the new members. I would particularly like to acknowledge the member for Fisher.

For quite some time now—I am not sure whether this is sort of age-related on a personal level—I have been really interested in issues to do with older workers in the paid workforce and their access to work related entitlements. I had the opportunity in the previous parliament on the occupational safety, rehabilitation and compensation committee to have a look at some of the issues related to our committee and older workers. We were very successful in speaking to the commissioner for the ageing, Susan Ryan, and also getting her to come to Adelaide to be involved in making a submission to our committee.

This interest has gone on for quite some time. There seems to be a lot of discussion at the moment about accessibility to the age pension and what age that should be. That is understandable and it is certainly part of government planning. I understand that, but when you look at the research about older workers, there are different views about what an older worker is. I think some of it depends on your perspective and perhaps how old you are.

Some people say that young workers are workers that are under 40 or 45; I can understand that one. Others say that people should take the reference point with regard to older workers from the age of 50 to 55 and, alternatively, in other reports we are talking about 60 to 65 as pre-retirement age. The World Health Organisation, United Nations and the Australian Bureau of Statistics—I

thought I may be able to get a definition from them—classify people over the age of 45 as being older workers or older job seekers, particularly in the employment area.

There is also a position that is put by some researchers, and having a sociology background I must say that I did get a bit bogged down with some of the reports saying that chronological age is not a relevant marker. This probably makes sense, because an individual worker's ability to work depends on a number of factors: obviously, the work being performed—and you just have to look at some of the examples we have had in the media recently about an older nurse and how long they can last in that pretty demanding job, or a plumber, as compared with someone who works as a construction worker. It obviously depends on the individual and, as the sociologists say, their chronological, biological and physiological attitude really does matter, not to mention people's health levels and family responsibilities.

One of the themes that I am looking forward to working on in the coming term of parliament is actually trying to make sense of how we can support and access older workers to the paid workforce. There is obviously a real challenge with regard to job availability, workforce and industry planning. There are a number of entitlements like insurance and superannuation that need to be addressed, not to mention training and retraining.

So, in the scheme of things, I think it would be worth having parliament address the issue of older workers—not just because of the debate with regard to access to the aged pension, but I think we need to look at the fact that in South Australia we do have a number of workers over the age of 45, or people that would like to have jobs. There are people who have been made redundant; people who, for all sorts of different reasons (particularly health reasons), have not been able to stay in the industry or the profession that they started out in, and I think that this is really an emphasis that we need to look at.

I am very concerned about the changing family responsibilities for many people. Maybe now we have to add grandchildren to the list of responsibilities, whereas before it might have been looking after ageing parents or ageing friends, and also people's level of ability and disability, because there are certain correlations between age and ability and disability.

Parliamentary Procedure

SITTINGS AND BUSINESS

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:23): I move:

That standing orders be and remain so far suspended as to enable the introduction of government bills prior to the Address in Reply being adopted.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: We do not have an absolute majority. Ring the bells.

An absolute majority of the whole number of members being present:

Motion carried.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING: I will just inform the house that I have a number of motions and it would be helpful if members do not leave the chamber, otherwise we are going to have to keep ringing the bells every time. I move:

That standing orders be and remain so far suspended as to enable me to move for the adoption of session orders in relation to the time of meeting and adjournment of the house, a right of reply, the delivery of messages and private members business without notice.

Motion carried.

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING: I move:

That standing orders be and so far remain suspended as to provide for the meeting of the house on Tuesdays and Wednesdays at 11am, the extension of sitting beyond 6pm, the moving of the motion for the adjournment of the house before 5.30pm, a citizen's right of reply, the delivery of messages by the Clerk and receipt of messages by the Speaker when the house is not sitting, and private members business times and procedures as set out in the document distributed to members.

Parliamentary Committees

STANDING ORDERS COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:27): | move:

That a Standing Orders Committee be appointed consisting of Mr Bell, Mr Hughes, Mr Kenyon and Mr Williams to act with the Speaker.

Motion carried.

PUBLISHING COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:28): I move:

That a Publishing Committee be appointed consisting of Ms Hildyard, Mr Kenyon, Mr Odenwalder, Mr Pederick and Mr Treloar.

Motion carried.

JOINT PARLIAMENTARY SERVICE COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:28): | move:

That Mr Gardner and Mr Kenyon be appointed to act with the Speaker on the Joint Parliamentary Service Committee, that Ms Bedford be appointed the alternate member to the committee to the Speaker, Mrs Vlahos alternate member to Mr Kenyon, and Mr Pengilly alternate member to Mr Gardner and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

ECONOMIC AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:28): I move:

That the Hon. P Caica, the Hon. I.F. Evans, Mr Hamilton-Smith, Ms Hildyard, Mr Odenwalder, Mr Picton and Mr Pisoni be appointed to the Economic and Finance Committee.

Motion carried.

ENVIRONMENT, RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:29): | move:

That Mr Griffiths, Mr Hughes and Mr Kenyon be appointed to the Environment, Resources and Development Committee and that the message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:29): | move:

That Mr Gee, the Hon. S.W. Key, Mr Picton and Mr van Holst Pellekaan be appointed to the Natural Resources Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE ON OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY, REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:29): | move:

That the Hon. S.W. Key, the Hon. Mr Knoll and Ms Hildyard be appointed to the Parliamentary Committee on Occupational Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation, and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:30): I move:

That the Hon. P. Caica, Ms Digance, Mr Pengilly, Ms Wortley and Mr Whetstone be appointed to the Public Works Committee.

Motion carried.

LEGISLATIVE REVIEW COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:30): | move:

That Ms Digance, Mr Odenwalder and Mrs Redmond be appointed to the Legislative Review Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:30): I move:

That Ms Hildyard, Mr Pederick and Ms Wortley be appointed to the Social Development Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

STATUTORY OFFICERS COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:30): I move:

That the Hon. M.J. Atkinson, the Hon. J.R. Rau and Mr Wingard be appointed to the Statutory Officers Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

ABORIGINAL LANDS PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:31): | move:

That Mr Gee and Mr Hughes, nominated by the minister, and Dr McFetridge, nominated by the Leader of the Opposition, be appointed to the Aboriginal Lands Parliamentary Standing Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

CRIME AND PUBLIC INTEGRITY POLICY COMMITTEE

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:31): | move:

That Mr Odenwalder, Mr Picton and Mr Tarzia be appointed to the Crime and Public Integrity Committee and that a message be sent to the Legislative Council transmitting the foregoing resolution.

Motion carried.

Address in Reply

ADDRESS IN REPLY

The Hon. J.J. SNELLING (Playford—Minister for Health, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Defence Industries, Minister for Health Industries) (17:32): I nominate the member for Elder to move an Address in Reply to the Governor's opening speech and move:

That consideration of the Address in Reply be made an order of the day for Wednesday next.

Motion carried.

The SPEAKER: A splendid choice, if I may say so.

At 17:32 the house adjourned until Wednesday 7 May 2014 at 11:00.