

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

Tuesday 2 June 2009

The **SPEAKER (Hon. J.J. Snelling)** took the chair at 11:00 and read prayers.

MENTAL HEALTH BILL

Consideration in committee of the Legislative Council's amendments.

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: This bill has now passed both houses, with agreement on the vast majority of the provisions. The bill is central to the reforms this government is putting in place in the mental health system. These include the limited treatment centres, which will be so important in regional areas of South Australia, as well as enabling community treatment orders as a first option to be put in place for those who become unwell. In addition, it introduces accountability through the position of chief psychiatrist, ensuring that there be monitoring and quality control in our system.

Members have recognised the importance of the bill in their contributions to the debate, and I thank them for their comments. At the same time, the government has been prepared to support amendments that add value to the bill by either clarifying the intent of certain provisions or enhancing accountability and transparency in the operation of the mental health system.

I will discuss each of the amendments that have been added in another place but I would say that, in regard to the increase in fines, the government is prepared to accept those amendments. These fines are primarily to provide a disincentive to people contemplating doing the wrong thing and, in the interests of the passage of the bill, we will agree to the amendments regarding these increased fines.

Members in another place have also supported amendments to establish a community visitor scheme in the bill rather than as was proposed in the regulations of the bill. The government will support these amendments in the interests of facilitating the passage of the bill.

It has previously been made clear that the government supports in principle the establishment of such a scheme, but did not wish to restrict it only to the mental health system and the facilities that are the responsibility of the Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse. However, as a first step towards the establishment of a community visitors scheme with a broader focus on the range of people who are vulnerable because of their inability to make decisions, the government will accept the amendments regarding the visitors scheme with a minor amendment.

The provisions for a community visitors scheme currently in the bill require that, for the purposes of any visit to a treatment centre, one of the community visitors must be a medical practitioner, a psychologist, a former medical practitioner or a psychologist. To require that one of the community visitors is in these categories is unnecessary and is likely to build into the scheme a degree of inflexibility which would hamper its development and practice. As far as I know, these qualifications are not required anywhere else in Australia, and I believe therefore that other jurisdictions would have recognised this impediment to this operation in this way.

It is certainly not the role of community visitors to second guess the medical treatment a patient is receiving. It is the role of a visitor to talk to the patients and ascertain whether or not they have any concerns about how they are being treated within the facility in the broader sense of the word and not just in regard to their medical treatment. Across Australia community visitors come from a range of backgrounds. However, one of the key characteristics they must have is a degree of empathy with patients and the capacity to engage them in discussion. A background in either medicine or psychology may be of assistance but is not necessary for this.

A range of professions currently contribute to the mental health system, and a background working in the system is not a necessary prerequisite for being a competent community visitor. Community visitors will be specifically trained for the role they are to undertake, and to require a particular professional background for some of the visitors is more likely to be a barrier to the establishment of a successful scheme than an advantage. The government is therefore prepared to accept the amendments regarding the introduction of the scheme but with the deletion of the provision requiring one of the visitors to be a current or former medical practitioner or psychologist.

The government is not prepared to agree to the amendment that establishes the offence of harbouring or assisting a patient at large. The impetus for this amendment has come, I understand,

from a Coroner's report into the death of an individual. The Minister for Health and I receive many recommendations each year from the Coroner and, whilst we respect his office, in determining whether or not particular recommendations should be adopted the relevance of the recommendation to the broader system has to be considered. In this case the recommendation will not be accepted as it is not consistent with the policy intent of the bill.

To clarify, harbouring is currently an offence under the Criminal Law Consolidation Act, an act obviously concerned with criminal behaviour. The Mental Health Bill, however, does not deal with those people who have been charged with a criminal offence and who use mental impairment for their defence. The bill is nothing to do with the criminal law as such. It aims to assist in reducing the degree of stigma still attached to mental illness and provide a means of helping people with their recovery.

The bill already establishes an offence in clause 98 of removing patients from treatment centres. If the harbouring offence were to remain in the bill, the reality is that it is the family and friends of the patient who are most likely to come within the ambit of the offence. If a patient leaves a treatment centre without permission, South Australia Police actively search for them. They are not just listed as a missing person but are actively sought. There are many reasons why a person in the community may provide accommodation or other assistance to a patient who has left a treatment centre without permission. Many well-meaning relatives, perhaps not speaking English as a first language, may be trapped without intent to be reckless, as recognised within the amendment.

People with serious mental illness can be very compelling in the arguments they may advance as to whether someone should help them. Elderly parents may be threatened by the patient and provide assistance because of fear. Aboriginal extended families may be more likely than other families to be caught up in the harbouring offence if it were to remain because of the extensive obligations to kin that are part and parcel of their family obligations.

If someone has left a treatment centre without permission, it is important that they return as soon as possible, and educating the community about why this is important and why it is sometimes necessary for someone to be placed on an order that involves a remission and treatment is likely to be more effective in the long term than criminalising the family and friends of people with mental illness.

Ian Bidmeade, the author of the report 'Paving the Way', which has provided the basis for this bill, is not supportive of the amendment made in another place. He said:

The last thing families dealing with the stress of mental illness need is a threat of prosecution for the very human behaviour of trying to protect a family member, albeit inappropriately. The legislation needs to reflect an understanding of the traumas of mental illness and avoid being punitive.

Other individuals and organisations with involvement in the mental health system, such as the Australian Nursing Federation and the Health Consumers Alliance, have expressed similar concerns, and it is for that reason that we oppose this amendment. The Mental Health Bill is not intended to further penalise and traumatise the families and friends of the seriously mentally ill: it is designed to assist them to obtain treatment and other help so that they can return to the community and lead their own lives. For these many reasons, this particular amendment is not supported by the government.

To summarise, the government will accept amendments Nos 1 to 13. We wish to delete subclause (3) in new clause 49C (part of amendment No. 14) relating to psychologists and medical practitioners. We agree to accept amendments Nos 15 to 23 and 25 to 29, but oppose amendment No. 24 relating to harbouring.

The CHAIR: Minister, can I conclude then that you are now formally moving that amendments Nos 1 to 13 be agreed to?

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: Yes.

Amendments Nos 1 to 13:

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: I move:

That the Legislative Council's amendments be agreed to.

Ms CHAPMAN: I place on record the opposition's appreciation of those members in another place who have obviously carefully considered both the merits of the legislation proposed generally and their overall endorsement, as we have in this place. They have presented a number

of amendments, and it appears that the government has identified that it will accept some and reject others. In view of the manner in which the government has dealt with this, I note its acceptance of the fine increases, and I do not think that requires any further comment.

The two matters I wish to address are the proposals of the government in respect of the community visitor scheme. Those in another place supported Ian Bidmeade's very strong recommendation in his report that there be a community visitor scheme. The government had wholesale rejected that as being part of the bill. As repeated by the minister here today, the government's argument was: 'We would leave it up to regulation, because we want this to have broader application in respect of areas other than mental health.' That is not an excuse. Clearly, it must be in the legislation, and we are pleased that the government has accepted that it now be in the legislation.

The minister raises the point that it would be inappropriate to require that one of those visiting be a former medical practitioner or a psychologist and that that requirement is not one that is imposed in other jurisdictions where community visitor schemes apply. Overall, the opposition has been very supportive of having a community visitor scheme. I think that there is some merit in what the minister says about that being, on balance, not as necessary. We say that it is very important to have the community visitor scheme in the framework of the legislation, and note that the minister's statement that those who will be undertaking a visitor scheme responsibility will be specifically trained provides some reassurance to the opposition.

We are keen for the bill to pass in this parliament. The government has indicated a number of areas of importance, including, central to the reform, the capacity for limited treatment centres to be ordained and to be able to apply, and this is a matter about which the opposition is completely in support of the government. It is on that basis that we accept the government's position in relation to the amendment in question, which will essentially allow the community visitors scheme to be part of the legislation. However, the requirement of a specifically qualified person will be deleted. In the interests of ensuring this bill is passed, the opposition will support that position.

The final matter is one which perhaps has not had the most attention during debate but is one that has been elevated to a point of difference—that is, the question of whether a penalty should be imposed for someone who harbours a person who absconds from a secure facility. I ask members to bear in mind that, particularly with the introduction of the new legislative framework that will operate, we are talking about a very narrow band of people in the community—people who are unwilling to undertake treatment—with the opportunity for community treatment orders to provide a new structure that will ensure secure care or detention in very limited circumstances.

However, the minister says that to impose a penalty on a person who may harbour someone in these circumstances penalises or traumatises those involved, and makes it comparable to the Criminal Law Consolidation Act, in which there is a harbouring offence. The minister also says that the people who will be harbouring that person are most likely to be family or friends. The opposition takes the view that, first, it is not exclusively in the criminal arena. One of the most important areas which does not involve criminal conduct of the absconder is that of children running away from home. There is the situation—and it is always a difficult one—where children run away from home to avoid a dangerous or abusive situation, and they are then at risk in the community, homeless or otherwise. As much as possible, Family and Community Services are there to support and protect them and in some circumstances, depending on age or vulnerability, they are taken into care by the department or by a representative of the department under that legislation; sometimes they are taken under the guardianship of the minister.

Ted Mullighan QC, who undertook a commission on behalf of this parliament to investigate children who were victims of abuse, particularly sexual abuse in institutions and other places, made very clear recommendations—many of which have not yet been acted upon. Obviously, the opposition hopes the government will give credence to these and will, as it has indicated, give legislative enforcement to those recommendations. It was very clear in that report that children who are left in the street are at risk, and one of the ways Mr Mullighan recommended dealing with that was to ensure that when anyone harboured a child in circumstances where the child was at risk there ought to be some criminal prosecution and penalty attached to it.

To all intents and purposes, the child in this example has not done anything wrong; they may be fleeing from a very unsatisfactory or dangerous situation. We are not criminalising or penalising that child and saying that we will put them into a criminal situation. Ted Mullighan QC said this is not safe for children and that we must protect them, and one of the mechanisms to do that is to introduce a penalty for a person in the community who harbours that child contrary to the

child's best interests and contrary to any direction that may be imposed by an authorised officer, usually through the Department for Families and Communities.

This is not a unique situation; it is not one (as the Australian Nursing Federation, for example, has presented to me and others in the opposition) that criminalises, demonises or continues to perpetuate the act of harbouring as though it must involve some criminal element as regards the escapee. No; that is not it at all. It is to protect that person. It is to protect, in this instance, a person who has, for whatever reason, been unwilling or unable to undertake treatment; who has been deemed by the medical professionals—and others who are going to be authorised under this bill—to be in need of secure care for their protection and recovery. That is what this is about. If someone in the community acts contrary to that, just as they might with a child, with the legislation that we hope to introduce to protect them, just as they might in harbouring a criminal, it is necessary to protect that person.

When the minister says, 'But, look, it's family and friends who are often left to provide for these people,' that is absolutely right. However, in the cases that have come to me, many of them want protection against the person who has absconded. It may be a family member. It may be the last of the family members to give them support. Often, as we know, when persons get to the stage of mental ill health where they need to have secure care, they are in desperate circumstances. Often their families and friends have given up on them and they hardly have a person in the world to care about them. They may go to the only person who is left and ask for accommodation and to be able to stay with them, and that person is really impotent to deal with the situation.

This will give the opportunity for a friend or relative, under this pressure, to say to the patient, 'Look, this is not safe for me either. You do need to return. You need to be able to go back and get this care. This is what has been identified. I know you take a different view but I will get into trouble, too, unless you do this.' In fact, this is a very important tool for the relative or friend who has been faced with the vexed question of whether they turn in the person who has come to them as a last resort. Quite the contrary, the opposition takes the view that it is very important. It is not lining up these people who are harbouring somebody as though they are harbouring a criminal; it is to ensure that we do everything possible to eliminate the capacity for the recalcitrant patient to avoid the secure care and treatment that has been directed to occur in the very limited circumstances that we have identified.

We see this as a protective mechanism. As I have indicated, it is a strong recommendation, made in other fields, by Commissioner Mullighan. He has looked at the circumstances, which I put in a similar category, of an innocent child who has left a home situation and is at large and at risk, as far as he is concerned dangerously so, and needs protection. If someone harbours that child in those circumstances that is an offence which is being proposed. We say to the government that this is an important matter and it is one which we would ask it to revisit, namely, to accept that this will be an instrument to assist in what is already a difficult area; to ensure that the professionals who are brought in to provide assessment, judgment, support, service and treatment in these matters actually have that support; and to ensure that people in the community have an obligation to ensure that occurs. The opposition will be opposing the government in its rejection of this amendment.

Motion carried.

Amendment No. 14:

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: I have made this point and I think the honourable member has agreed with amendment No. 14. We seek to delete subclause (3) of new clause 49C. I move:

That the Legislative Council's amendment No. 14 be agreed to, with the following amendment:

Delete subclause (3) of new clause 49C.

Motion carried.

Amendments Nos 15 to 23:

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: I move:

That the Legislative Council's amendments Nos 15 to 23 be agreed to.

Motion carried.

Amendment No. 24:

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: I move:

That the Legislative Council's amendment No. 24 be disagreed to.

I think we have had enough discussion on this matter. I understand the points that the deputy leader has made. We do have a point of difference on this issue in that we believe that—to keep faith with the experts in this area and those who know about mental health issues—it would not be appropriate to retain the issue of harbouring as recommended by another place. Therefore, we disagree with that amendment and seek to delete it.

Motion carried.

Amendments Nos 25 to 29:

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: I move:

That the Legislative Council's amendments Nos 25 to 29 be agreed to.

Motion carried.

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH: Madam Acting Speaker, I draw your attention to the state of the house.

A quorum having been formed:

ELECTORAL (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL

In committee.

(Continued from 14 May 2009. Page 2830.)

Clause 39.

Mrs REDMOND: I am just trying to get myself back into the space where we were in our discussion of clause 39. We were talking about new section 112C, which is inserted in clause 39 of the bill. As I read it, the clause provides that, if in any matter announced or published or caused to be announced or published by a person on behalf of any association, league, organisation or other body, it is claimed or suggested that a candidate supports the policies of that association or organisation, or it is expressly or impliedly advocated or suggested that the candidate should get first preferences, then that person is guilty of an offence.

The issue I think I was exploring at the time we last met in relation to this bill was whether that is to apply to a church, for instance. I have known of churches in the past whose sermons might have indicated that a particular candidate was the preferred candidate of a particular church and encouraged members to vote for that candidate. They impliedly indicated—if not expressly indicated—that a particular candidate was the candidate to whom the first preference vote should be given because their policies accorded with the policies of the church.

My reading of the section is that that would then be an offence. That seems to me to be an untenable proposition because I would have thought, apart from anything else, that it was the freedom of speech of any individual to get up and speak for whoever they want. This seems to say that if you are doing it as an individual it is all right but that, as soon as you start to do it as an organisation, it is no longer all right. I have some difficulty with that, and I think we were at the point of exploring that particular issue when we last met.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I do refer the committee to the terms of the bill and that is that, on my reading of it, the church would be free to say that it supports a particular candidate but what it would not be free to do is, without the consent of the candidate, to say that the candidate supports the church and its policies or principles or mission—a slightly different thing.

Mrs REDMOND: I still need some clarification on the point because, whilst I understand that the wording of it is intending a particular outcome, it still seems to me to say that, if an organisation claims or suggests—and, I take it, even impliedly proposes—that a candidate in an election supports the policies or activities of the association, then that person who has made that announcement, or that organisation on whose behalf that announcement is made, is guilty of an offence.

I do not understand how the Attorney argues that that conclusion is not the inevitable conclusion of subsection (1)(a), because it is an (a) or (b) situation. Subsection (1)(a) provides that if, in any matter announced or published by a person on behalf of an organisation, it is claimed or

suggested that a candidate in an election is associated with, or supports the policy or activities of, that association, the person is guilty of an offence, and there is a penalty.

Then you have to go on to subsection (2) which then provides that that does not apply if you can prove that the person gave you the authority to say it but, in the absence of an express authority from the person, it means that the church at large, or the Pope, for instance, could not make an announcement saying, 'We think you should support this particular group.' I do not understand what problem the legislation is trying to overcome and why my interpretation of this section is not what is going to happen.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I stand by what I said earlier. The mischief at which this is directed is an organisation claiming, without the candidate's consent, that a candidate supports the organisation's aims and work. It is not prohibiting an organisation endorsing a candidate. It does not stop a person on behalf of an organisation saying, 'Our organisation supports this candidate.' It is directed at a different mischief.

I can think of two examples to illustrate it to the member for Heysen. One is that, at the next state election, the Adelaide Institute (Dr Fredrick Toben's institute) could say, 'We are very pleased with the parliamentary Liberal Party's policies towards Jews and, in particular, we are pleased with the member for Heysen's stand regarding the Holocaust'. I think the member for Heysen will see that—

Mrs Redmond: I would be justly outraged.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: She would be justly outraged were that to occur, so that is the mischief at which this clause is directed. Another example is that, at the next election, let us say the Church of Scientology says, 'We are very pleased with the member for Croydon and his work in the state parliament. He has shown over his eight years as Attorney-General that his ideas are entirely compatible with Scientology, and we want him to continue to work supporting our organisation.' I do not think the Church of Scientology should be able to issue such a statement without my consent; it is at that mischief the clause is directed.

Mrs REDMOND: I am sorry to labour this point. I take what the Attorney's explanation encompasses. Does the clause (or any other clause) address the counter of that? The counter of that would be where an organisation does exactly the opposite and purports to make a statement not endorsing but castigating a candidate and saying, 'The member for Heysen's views are so far distant from the members of our organisation and what we stand for that we do not want anyone to vote for her.' This appears to be written to address one side of the proposition but not the other.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The answer to the member for Heysen's question is that that castigation is not caught by this provision or by the Electoral Act unless it is misleading—a completely different part of the act.

Mrs REDMOND: New subsection (3) then goes on to say that, where any matter is produced that would be an offence under subsection (1), every person is guilty of an offence if they are part of the organisation. Then I thought there was a bit that said that this was separate to the offence of being misleading.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Different from.

Mrs REDMOND: Different from—sorry; the Attorney is absolutely correct in correcting my grammar, as it is at home by my children—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Where did they learn that?

Mrs REDMOND: From their mother. I want to be clear about this. The new section will create a proposition whereby if one makes a statement, whether it be favourable or unfavourable to a candidate but which is misleading, that is already dealt with under the act and that has not changed. However, if one makes a statement that endorses a candidate in the sense that 'this candidate supports our views' then, in the absence of specific authority from the candidate to make that statement, that is an offence. However, it is not an offence to say that this candidate's views are absolutely the opposite of our organisation's. Am I understanding it correctly?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I think the member for Heysen summarises it correctly. I just add this rider: to be caught by the prohibition on misleading advertisements, it must be an electoral advertisement within the definition of electoral advertisement in the act.

Clause passed.

Clause 40 passed.

Clause 41.

Mrs REDMOND: The Attorney just touched on this issue of electoral advertisements. I note that the proposition in clause 41 amends 'Heading to electoral advertisements'. Section 113 deals with misleading advertising—and the previous clause just upped the penalties for the breach of that. Then 'Heading to electoral advertisements' is dealt with in section 114. It currently provides 'electoral matter is to be inserted in a newspaper', and it goes on to provide that you have to put the word 'advertisement' on it. That will now be substituted and, instead of 'newspaper', it will have the word 'journal'. That broadens it so that a trade journal, for instance, or something like that—the *Law Society Bulletin*, plumbers journal, all the different journals that, no doubt, all of us get across our desks every month—is now incorporated. Given the electronic age, does the bill address in any way the proposition of electoral advertising via the internet, Twitter, Facebook or any of the other things, because I have a suspicion that all of this will become irrelevant?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 24, lines 26-36—Delete clause 41 and substitute:

41—Substitution of section 114

Section 114—delete the section and substitute:

114—Heading to electoral advertisements

(1) This section applies to the publication of electoral matter in written form in—

(a) a journal; or

(b) an electronic publication on the Internet,

if payment or other consideration has been, or is to be, given for publication of the matter (whether the journal or electronic publication is published for sale or for distribution without charge).

(2) If this section applies to the publication of electoral matter, the publisher of the matter must cause the word 'advertisement' to be included as a headline to each article or paragraph containing the electoral matter—

(a) in the case of matter published in a journal—in letters not smaller than 10 point or long primer; or

(b) in the case of matter published in an electronic publication—in letters of a size that will be readily legible.

Maximum penalty:

(a) if the offender is a natural person—\$1,250.

(b) if the offender is a body corporate—\$5,000.

(3) In this section—

journal means a newspaper, magazine or other periodical.

This amendment seeks to achieve what the member for Heysen just canvassed.

Mr PISONI: Can the Attorney clarify whether the requirement to use the word 'advertisement' is only a requirement after the writs are written or whether it is a requirement for all advertisements; and whether that requirement also extends to advertisements that are paid for from global expenses which are not allowed to be political?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Section 114 applies all the time. Section 116 applies only during the election period. The answer is that if material published is an electoral matter—that is, it could influence the outcome of an election—then it should have the heading 'Advertisement'.

Mrs REDMOND: Does that mean that every time any member publishes, for instance, the standard photo that goes into local newspapers with 'Working for you in Croydon' (or whatever little sign) and there is a photo of a person and it states, 'member for Croydon' or 'member for Waite' (or wherever it is), it is captured by this and needs to bear the word 'advertisement'? I am sure that none of us is putting the word 'advertisement' on it at this stage?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I think the publication of our mere dials in the local newspaper would not be calculated to affect the outcome of an election and, therefore, would not require an advertisement. If there was an imperative vote for the member for Heysen, then, yes, it appears

that it requires the heading 'Advertisement'. I am also sure that the member for Heysen is right in saying that none of us does it.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: This clause is headed 'Electorate advertisements': what provisions in this clause guarantee that the material which is circulated about other candidates is fair, true and accurate? I want to bring to the attention of this committee—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: A course of conduct.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: —a scurrilous document—because it is untrue—which was circulated about me.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Is this 'Goodbye, Mr Invisible'?

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: The member responsible for this has never had the courage to come forward. The parliamentary library was misused. I have a document in front of me which states:

Mr Gunn has racked up over \$1.3 million in superannuation. Now he wants to have another turn.

It has here that it is a bogus cheque. It is a scurrilous document because the calculations are wrong and mischievous, and the parliamentary library was misused, and to this day those responsible in the library and elsewhere have not had the courage to provide me with the basis of that calculation. This document, which is a green paper, states:

PSA [Parliament of South Australia] pay the Hon. Graham Gunn MP or the bearer \$1,337,971.

It is dated 9 February 2002, and shows 'Signature: Taxpayer'. Then it has 'Stamp duty paid: The patient taxpayer'. It was misleading and untrue, and the member of parliament who went to the library has never had the courage to come forward and say it was him. This is the sort of material that was circulated about me, and it was done on the basis that it was accurate because it reads: 'Source: Parliamentary Library of South Australia'. People thought that because of that it was accurate. Of course, it was nowhere near accurate.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: So, what was the truth? Tell us the truthful figure.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: No, I do not have to. What I can say to the honourable member, as he knows and he did not say in his document, is that he and I are in a compulsory parliamentary superannuation scheme. It is a compulsory scheme, and we are required to pay 11.25 per cent of our salary. I do not have any problem with that whatsoever but, when someone sets out to try to impute that I am in receipt of improper payments or that I am scurrilous and untruthful, or that I have been receiving a benefit or have the potential to receive a benefit to which I am not entitled, I do not think it does democracy any good.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: What is the commutation figure?

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: If the honourable member wants to ask me privately I may even tell him, but I am not going to put it on the public record. It is nothing more than I am entitled to. I am one of those people—

An honourable member: They are rules we all agreed to.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: Yes. Let me ask the Attorney-General: is he the member who went to the library and sought the information? The parliamentary library would not tell me and, when I rang the then librarian and said, 'This is a scurrilous document and I want a copy of your calculations', he refused to give it to me. I said to him, 'I'll see you in hell. Your day is coming.' So I rang the then speaker and the speaker demanded the information, and it was refused.

This is the sort of scurrilous document that has been circulated, and I want to know whether these provisions will outlaw this practice. It was designed to show me in bad faith. It was not successful. The only fortunate thing was that people saw through it, and that it was a scurrilous, underhanded and nasty trick. They got up to other nasty tricks. I do not mind people saying anything about me which is true—anything about what I may or may not have done in my time as a member of parliament—as long as it is truthful and accurate. I never went down the track of personally vilifying people. I have never done it in the electorate.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: The Liberal head office did it on your behalf.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: No, it did not. If you want to talk about those sorts of things, you spent taxpayers' money and established an office and created a position with a bloke on full pay who made out he was a member of parliament.

Mr Pisoni: Where is he now?

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: Don Farrell funded him the first time, and he did his dough. Then the government funded him and they did their dough, so he has now gone to Victoria. Best of luck to him.

I think it is important that this house is aware that these sorts of practices are taking place. Can the Attorney give us an assurance that the Electoral Commissioner will step in and act when material that is blatantly untrue, misleading and scurrilous is circulated under the authorisation of the state secretary of the Labor Party of South Australia? It was not some ill-informed person. This was a deliberately contrived scheme. They went to the library, they concocted a story, then circulated it to try to make out this was some money-hungry, grasping person when, in the town of Port Augusta there was an ex-minister living in receipt of parliamentary superannuation. Prior to that, an ex-speaker lived in the town who was in receipt of parliamentary superannuation. There is nothing wrong with it. I am all in favour of it. However, to then concoct a story certainly does not do the electoral process any good.

I have never minded a fair, tough or rugged political campaign. I have always enjoyed it, because I reckon I could hold my own. However, I do not expect to have my personal reputation called into question or to hear that I am dishonest or that I have engaged in improper activity. I have been a very careful person in my business and other arrangements.

I have waited a long time for the opportunity to deal with this matter, because I regard it as a blight on the political scene. Those who have been engaged in it ought to be ashamed of themselves. Other documents were circulated on that occasion dealing with all sorts of other activities, which, to put it mildly, were juvenile. They were blaming me for all sorts of things.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Some began in London with a Harrods shopping bag.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: I have never bought anything at Harrods, for the benefit of the honourable member, and I have never had a Harrods shopping bag. That is another untruth. If the honourable member knew anything about me, he would know that I am a fairly frugal person; I am not one to go out and engage in extravagances.

However, I value my reputation and, contrary to what he or others have implied, I have had the privilege to be sent to this place 12 successive times, and I am on the record as being the second-longest serving member in this chamber. If I wanted to, I could have come back again. However, I have determined that it is in the interests of my party to bring younger people in here, and I will go back and enjoy the latter stage of my life as a farmer, which is an honourable profession, and do one or two other things.

I want to put this on the record. I say to those people in the parliamentary library: have the courage to own up to who asked them to prepare this document. I ask the Attorney whether it was him or to reveal which member of the Labor Party was the architect or instigated this sort of scurrilous and misleading material?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The answer is no, I did not. My recollection is that I did not ask the parliamentary library to make that calculation. The member for Stuart, I think, protests too much on the merits of that electoral advertisement. I imagine it could have been the subject of a complaint to the State Electoral Office at the time. I invite the member for Stuart to share with the house whether he made a complaint about it at the time. The gravamen of the member for Stuart's complaint seems to be that the Labor Party advertisement said that he was entitled to a commutation figure of—what was it, \$1.3 million—

The Hon. G.M. Gunn: Yes, million.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: —when he was entitled to a commutation payment slightly less—

An honourable member: Far less.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Well, far less. The matter could have been resolved, I would have thought, by the member for Stuart making that point publicly: that he was not entitled to \$1.3 million, that he was entitled to less, and to say what that figure was. However, I imagine he

took the view that the whole topic should have been private, that the Labor Party should not have been canvassing what he thinks are private matters and that, therefore, he was not going to respond to correct the record. That is a matter for him.

The implication of the member for Stuart's contribution is that the Australian Labor Party habitually does these dreadful, scurrilous things and that the Liberal Party of Australia does not. However, anyone who follows Australian politics knows that the Liberal Party is up there with the Labor Party in—

Mr Venning: Name one: give me one instance.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The most famous and most recent one was the people connected to Jackie Kelly letterboxing a leaflet in her electorate on the eve of the federal election saying that Islamic Jihad (or whoever it was) looks forward to the election of a Labor government. I hope that answers the member for Schubert's question. I think he already regrets asking the question.

The answer to the question from the member for Stuart is: no; I do not think I had anything to do with calculating his commutation figure. He may recall that in February 2002 I was engaged in a life or death struggle in the state district of Enfield. Had I not succeeded in what I was doing, Rob Kerin would have continued as premier, with the support of the Independent candidate in that seat, but, alas for the parliamentary Liberal Party, Mr Ralph Desmond Clarke was not elected; in fact, he came third—he did not even come second after being the sitting member for eight years.

My last point is that that publication from the Australian Labor Party in the state district of Stuart almost certainly would have been an electoral advertisement, and the member for Stuart had his remedy.

Mrs REDMOND: Further to the Attorney's response to the member for Stuart, it would be readily conceded that it was an electoral advertisement, and I suspect that it was probably misleading and certainly was intended to damage. My question then is: if the member for Stuart had made a complaint to the Electoral Commissioner, what powers does the Electoral Commissioner then have to make relevant inquiries and to force full disclosure from those people from whom she might make those relevant inquiries, such as the parliamentary library in the case of the member for Stuart?

I note the provisions under the sections dealing with misleading advertising in fact require that the Electoral Commissioner, if she takes proceedings to the Supreme Court, must satisfy the court beyond reasonable doubt, and that will be almost impossible unless the Electoral Commissioner is empowered not only to seek the evidence but also to compel its disclosure.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: There are two points I want to make about the contribution of the member for Heysen just then. The first is that this is a criminal offence provision and she appears to be advocating an override of the presumption against self-incrimination. She is saying that a statutory office holder in the midst of an election campaign should force a person who has published an electoral advertisement to incriminate themselves by supplying certain material. That is an odd suggestion coming from the member for Heysen in the light of her previous stand on these matters.

The second point is that I doubt whether any Electoral Commissioner, whomever that may be from time to time, would ever want the kind of authority canvassed by the member for Heysen because, frankly, during the course of an election campaign the Electoral Commissioner and her office are driven to distraction by the number of complaints candidates make about each other, the kind of trivia that seems important to candidates during those three or four weeks.

Mrs Redmond: Missing corflutes.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Missing corflutes—the member for Heysen anticipates correctly the point I was going on to make. I really do not think that the Electoral Commissioner should be diverted from her task into royal commission-type inquiries into the provenance of election material and the substratum of fact behind the material. After the election, if the candidate wishes to sue for defamation or canvass the possibility of a prosecution of the other candidate for publishing this material, well, that will be determined in the tranquillity of the post-election period, as I think it should be.

Mrs REDMOND: Just in response to the Attorney and by way of a further question, first, he did, at the very end, get away from the fact that it is not during the course of the election. I

anticipate that the circumstances outlined by the member for Stuart would probably, if pursued by him at all, have been pursued post the election. I accept the argument that it is far too busy during an election period, but my understanding of the way the system works is that, if in those sorts of circumstances the member made a complaint after the election and the Electoral Commissioner decided there was sufficient ground to take the matter to the court, there could be a prosecution. Indeed, there is power for the court to find that an advertisement was so misleading as to have interfered with the electoral process and there would have to be a new election.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: It has happened, as in Norwood.

Mrs REDMOND: Exactly. I do not see that that is a problem. The first part of the Attorney's response, where he indicated that I was suggesting that there be an overriding of the presumption against self-incrimination, is not my point at all. For instance, say it was authorised by the Australian Labor Party to put out a particular thing—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson interjecting:

Mrs REDMOND: Well, whoever. Say it was Michael Brown, Australian Labor Party, at whatever address. That is the misleading advertisement the Electoral Commissioner is going after. My question was: to what extent does the Electoral Commissioner have the power to go to, for instance, the parliamentary library, which is cited as the source of the information, and require not the person who might be self-incriminating but the parliamentary library, which may have unwittingly participated in the process. Maybe the parliamentary library is not the best example, because we may have separate protections within this building from other places.

Say they went to the office of the bureau of statistics, or something like that: to what extent can the Electoral Commissioner go to that third party provider of information who is cited as the source and not only request information as to whether they supplied the information but also compel that third party source to tell the Electoral Commissioner whether they did supply it, who did it, on what basis and all the other details that might be relevant to preparing a case?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: No, the Electoral Commissioner does not have the kind of power to compel or the authority that the member for Heysen would like. I rather doubt that the Electoral Commissioner wants it, and I do not think it would be a sensible decision of the house to give the Electoral Commissioner that kind of authority. In her deliberations, I imagine the refusal of the person who authorised the material to supply certain information would weigh on decisions she has to take under the act.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: Does that not then mean that the Attorney's previous answer that the member for Stuart had a remedy means that he has no remedy during the election process at all because the Electoral Commissioner, by the Attorney's own admission, has no power to source the accuracy of the information? The only remedy available to the member for Stuart in those circumstances is post election, and by then it may well be too late. Taking that one step further, if the remedy is post election I assume we are talking either defamation, which would be a private matter—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Or prosecution of the publisher.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: Which, in that circumstance, is the ALP, one assumes, or Mr Brown.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: In the member for Stuart's circumstance.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: Right, or the Court of Disputed Returns.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Yes; or the Court of Disputed Returns. A pretty good panoply of remedies, I would have thought.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: But if the ALP put out a document saying that, according to the parliamentary library the member for Stuart's superannuation entitlement was X, one would assume that, as long as the parliamentary library gave a document to the ALP saying that, there is no inaccuracy in the leaflet.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Yes, there is.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: If they have relied on a legitimate source from the parliamentary library, where is the inaccuracy? I am not in any way, shape or form trying to defend the Labor Party here; I am trying to establish what will be the law. If someone provides you with a document that is inaccurate and you do not know it is inaccurate, and you then quote it in an

election leaflet, does that fall foul of being an inaccurate advertisement or electoral material and, therefore, subject to dispute?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: If it has altered the course of the campaign and resulted in the member for Stuart's losing the seat—as it might, given that his seat in the past three elections has been decided by very few votes.

Mrs Redmond: How many times has he been elected?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Many. On this side of the chamber we have great respect for the member for Stuart. He has taken everything we have thrown at him and prevailed, and I congratulate him. However, had he lost by a handful of votes at the 2002 election he might have approached the Court of Disputed Returns saying that the material circulated was false and inaccurate to a material extent, that it had affected the outcome, and that he wished to have a fresh election. I would have thought that that was his remedy.

If we switch to the defamation remedy, I do not think the publisher of that advertisement could rely for his or her defence on the parliamentary library being the source. It is not fair to say that the statement is true that the parliamentary library says that the member for Stuart's commutation entitlement is, say, \$1.3 million if, in fact, it is not \$1.3 million. That is untrue and tends to damage the member for Stuart in the course of an election campaign. As a personal opinion, I do not think—

The Hon. I.F. Evans: He's still got time to take action on it.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Well, he did not lose.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: No; he has run out of time. At that time, before I reformed the defamation act, there was a six year limit, so that expired last year. It is no good to say that it was reasonable publication because the information came from the parliamentary library. Mind you, another mind may reach a different conclusion to me on that situation. All I am saying is that the member for Stuart does have remedies and, as I understand it, he chose not to avail himself of those remedies. However, whenever the opportunity arises in deliberations in this place, he rises to remind us of the monstrous injustice to which he was subjected.

Frankly, my response is rather like Shakespeare's *Henry V*: that we on this side in our flowing cups freshly remember the injustices done to the member for Stuart in the course of the vigorous campaigns for that state district in which he prevailed.

Mr PISONI: Getting back to section 114, I want to clarify that I heard the Attorney correctly, that the requirement for 'advertisement' is required in that section at any time. If it is calculated, I suppose the question is: who calculates that? How is the requirement determined, for example, if an individual who has no affiliation whatsoever with a political party or any political ambitions decides to run an advertisement; if a trade union decides to run an advertisement; if a business organisation decides to run an advertisement (outside of an election period; the writs are not called); or if the government decides to run an advertisement? Remember that it was this Premier in opposition who said (and I am paraphrasing here) that whenever you see a politician's face on a government ad it is a political advertisement. My question is: who determines whether the tag 'advertisement' is required, and is it required for government political advertising?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: It ends up as a question of fact for the court. The Electoral Commissioner will decide whether the advertisement merits prosecution. She would then retain the services of the Crown Solicitor's Office for that prosecution. The Crown Solicitor's Office would adjudicate the matter to determine whether or not it should be prosecuted, and then it would be up to the court.

As I said at the very outset of this committee deliberation, we would live in a totalitarian society if every provision on our statute book were enforced to the nth degree. We know that prosecution for breach of this provision would be reserved only for the very worst cases where mischief is done, where damage is done. Could the government be prosecuted for neglecting to place the word 'advertisement' above its ads, if those ads were calculated to affect the election result? Well, probably, but you would have to show some public interest in the prosecution. The public interest does not equate to the member for Unley being gleeful.

Mr PISONI: I think it is fair and reasonable for any of us, when we ask a question, to at least get from the minister the intention of the legislation. The legislation is coming here in his

name, so he should at least explain to this house whether or not it is the intention that government political advertising requires the term 'advertisement'. I do not think it is good enough for the Attorney to stand there and say that it is up to the commissioner. He drafted the legislation and he is responsible for it. He has intentions for the outcome of this legislation. He should be able to answer the question as to whether it is the intention of this legislation for paid government advertising that is deemed to be political advertising to be required to have the heading 'advertisement' when it is in a journal.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: If the facts come within the ambit of the provision and if there is a public interest in prosecuting, let the cards fall where they may.

Mrs REDMOND: We are technically, I think—since you were not here earlier, Madam Chair—dealing with the Attorney's proposed amendment to section 114, and I want to ask a specific question about that. That was moved shortly after I raised this issue of the extension of journals, not just newspapers—I think it is defined as 'newspaper, magazine or other periodical'—and then the Attorney's new provision that he is moving as an amendment is to include 'an electronic publication on the internet'.

In both the existing legislation and the Attorney's proposed amendment it is still necessary that there be payment or other consideration given for publication. That seems to me to be limiting—and I know little about the internet but my understanding is that one might have blogs, Twitter, Facebook and a whole lot of other things, as opposed to the sort of paid spam advertisements.

I think the Attorney's amendment captures the sort of spam advertisement going out to all and sundry but it does not appear to capture a whole lot of areas that are currently in vogue, such as Twitter, Facebook and personal blogs, as well as websites. Is there to be any control on any of that other electronic media that is not paid, or will we all be free to send out Twitter and whatever to our heart's content, as long as we are paying for it, and we do not have to put it as an advertisement?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The answer to the member for Heysen's question, as I took her question, is that if it is outside the election period and the material is not a paid for advertisement then section 114 does not apply. Apropos of the election period, though, I have amendments coming to section 116 which require, in the blogosphere, during the election campaign, attribution. You want to know that a real person of a real address is publishing the material and takes responsibility for it. But that is in the campaign period and that is an amendment to come.

Mr PISONI: You said, 'paid for advertisement'. I am wondering whether your amendment extends to multiple emailing. It could be done by volunteers; it could be a Labor Party message at election time that is sent out after a collection of emails. It could be designed to look like an email from the Premier or from the leader of a political party and it can go out to literally every resident of the state who has an email address. Under this section, and this amendment, would that be classified as an advertisement that would require the word 'advertisement' being used which you are hoping to cover in your amendment?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The key words are 'payment' or 'other consideration', and I think those words are plain.

Mrs REDMOND: Just following on again from that, I am just trying to get some clarity. Is it then the case that, if we go back to the previous federal election, for instance, when the previous prime minister, John Winston Howard, sent out SMS messages and—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: They were phone messages.

Mrs REDMOND: They were phone messages, whatever—they were paid for in the sense that there is a cost to sending out a message. Is that going to be captured? I know we are dealing with state law here and that that was a federal election, but would that activity be captured as an advertisement and would it be necessary to preface such a message-sending activity with the word 'advertisement'?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: No.

Amendment carried; clause as amended passed.

Clause 42.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 25, lines 2 to 5 [clause 42(2), inserted subsection (2a)]—Delete inserted subsection (2a) and substitute:

- (2a) A person must not exhibit an electoral advertisement by affixing it to a structure, fixture or vegetation that is situated on a road or road related area.

Maximum penalty: \$5,000.

Note—

This subsection only applies if the structure, fixture or vegetation is situated on land that is a road or road related area. It would not apply, for example, to material affixed to a fence, or to the wall of a building, that is situated on private land, even if the electoral advertisement is visible from a road or road related area.

This amendment is the first in a series of amendments—the others being government amendments 7, 11 and 12—and should be treated as the test amendment. Clause 42 of the bill amends section 115 of the Electoral Act to add a new subsection (2a) that prohibits the exhibition of an electoral advertisement on a public road, including any structure, fixture or vegetation on a public road, or in any public place. The main target of this amendment is the posting of corflute posters on telegraph and light poles, and other structures situated on or beside public roads.

Proposed subsection (2a) applies more broadly than that. The government intended to narrow the scope of the prohibition by creating appropriate exceptions by regulation. Proposed subsection 4 provides the Governor with this authority. Having consulted on this provision, the government has reconsidered its approach. The amendment deletes proposed subsection (2a) and replaces it with a new provision that prohibits a person exhibiting an electoral advertisement, but only if the person affixes it to a structure, fixture or vegetation that is situated on a road or road related area. The provision no longer prohibits electoral advertising in public places per se.

A note is inserted into section 115 to make clear that subsection (2a) only applies if the structure, fixture or vegetation is situated on land that is a road or a road related area and that it would not apply, for example, to material affixed to a fence or to the wall of a building that is situated on private land, even if the electoral advertisement is visible from a road. Likewise, new subsection (2a) will not prohibit the exhibition of an electoral advertisement from a motor vehicle.

The government is moving to ban the posting of corflutes because they are a blight on the environment. They can create a road safety hazard. Strictly, from a campaigning point of view, in my opinion, they are unnecessary.

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Not quite. The public does not like them; motorists do not like them; local councils do not like them; environmental groups do not like them. They preoccupy our candidates unnecessarily. I would be confident in saying that a great many members of the house don't like them, all for good reasons.

Mr Pisoni: I don't like your posters.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: You don't like my posters?

Mr Pisoni: Even the ones without the 'ALP' on them. How big was it? About that big.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: No; in 1993 I just didn't have 'Labor' on them.

Mr Pisoni: A real soldier of the party, you are!

Members interjecting:

The CHAIR: Order! The Attorney.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: We use them because we always have. Most important of all, we use them because our opponents do. No-one is going to stop using them until the law says that they cannot.

Mrs REDMOND: First of all, I thank the Attorney for at least improving the previous proposal by means of the current proposed amendment to make it clear in the legislation itself that it will not affect signs on cars.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Corflute Isobel!

Mrs REDMOND: The Attorney calls me 'Corflute Isobel', and I say once again that I hate having photos of myself taken. Indeed, photos of myself have recently been the subject of comment in my local newspaper because it was decided that my photo, which was taken (I think) when I was a candidate in about 2001, did not look old and wrinkly enough for the shadow minister for ageing, and I had—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: You had to update it.

Mrs REDMOND: —to update it, which I now have. I was in the process of doing it, in fact, when the issue arose. I hate having photos of myself taken and I hate, in particular, election time, when I have to drive to work and try not to look up because I hate seeing great big pictures of myself on every Stobie pole.

The Hon. G.M. Gunn: But you look sweet!

Mrs REDMOND: Thank you, member for Stuart. However, the point I want to make is that for me, personally, getting rid of corflutes would be an advantage, I think, not only because I do not like having to look at them myself—that is, pictures of me.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Because you are known and your opponent is not.

Mrs REDMOND: Because I am known and my opponent is not, as the Attorney correctly calls across the chamber. What I am proposing, although personally detrimental to me, I think, is something that I think is actually precious as part of our system, and that is the right of candidates to get their name and their image out there.

Great care must be taken about these photos because I know how many times I have been with people and they look at the photo that is up on the fence or whatever it is and say, 'Oh, I wouldn't vote for him; he looks like a used-car salesman,' or 'I wouldn't vote for her; she looks like a bitch!' People actually make their decision about who to vote for according to whether they like the look of the photo, and there have been studies done that actually show that it does have a big impact.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: A beard can lose a male candidate up to 5 per cent of the vote.

Mr Pisoni: It's worse for a woman!

Mrs REDMOND: The Attorney correctly points out that there have been studies showing that a beard is generally detrimental to male members of parliament. If you look around our state legislatures and our federal legislatures, there are very few guys with beards—and I suggest that the member for Unley is probably right that it would be even worse for a woman.

Members interjecting:

Mrs REDMOND: That's right. I would be prepared to countenance a suggestion, for instance, that there be even tighter limits than at the moment, where the corflutes cannot go up until the writs are issued and they have to come down within a very short time afterwards, and—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: And they do.

Mrs REDMOND: And they do—people are very conscientious. In my case, we absolutely drill our people about where they are and are not allowed to put them, about the height at which they can be put and about how they are not allowed to tamper with anyone else's corflutes—all those sorts of things.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: How many do you put up?

Mrs REDMOND: I have lost count of how many corflutes I have put up. However, the point is that, even if it were for a more restricted period, it is still an important part of the democratic process, in my view, to allow candidates—especially those who are seeking office for the first time—to be able to get their name and face out there in the community that they are seeking to represent.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: What's wrong with doorknocking?

Mrs REDMOND: The Attorney asks what is wrong with doorknocking. I say there is nothing wrong with doorknocking; in fact, I enjoy the process. Indeed, I have only ever had one person who was very angry and agitated. However, the Attorney would also appreciate that his electorate of Croydon may be slightly easier to doorknock than some of the 1,000 square kilometres that I represent.

Some of the places that I have doorknocked in the Peachey Belt, for instance, where you could literally step three steps from door to door, are somewhat easier to doorknock in that physical way than where I have to walk up a drive for 500 metres to get to the house and then back down the drive and half a kilometre to the next house, but the beauty of the area I represent makes that all worth while, and I enjoy doorknocking.

The point I want to make is that, notwithstanding what the Attorney says about people hating them, I do not think they hate them that much. They hate them if they are left there. They recognise that it is an election, and I think it is a rather fun part of our democratic process that we have this vibrant display of all these people wanting to seek election. They are up for a relatively short time and, as I said, I would be quite prepared to look at the option of tightening the time.

Councils are quite able to manage the issue of where they should be put, and to say that you are going to allow them only on private property I think just creates an even more difficult situation for the average candidate and, again, would give sitting members a distinct advantage. It would be an advantage for me personally to agree with your proposal, but it seems to me that what we should be about here, in dealing with the Electoral Act, is setting up a system which is as fair as possible.

For the time being at least it is my view that people still expect to see corflutes to know who is about and who is standing for election. Indeed, they complain if they do not think you have your poster out there and enough of them up in a particular area. So, I think it is a retrograde step, notwithstanding the improvement of allowing the signs on the cars, but I think the overall impact of the amendment to section 115 is one which would be adverse to the democratic process in this state.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Surely 'harm the democratic process' would be better.

Mrs REDMOND: No; 'adverse', I think.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: I do not like corflutes personally. I think they are an unnecessary part of the democratic process. I do not particularly like them because I think they pollute the community. On one occasion, it was to my advantage when the Labor Party stuck them on gum trees through Horrocks Pass and people took strong umbrage at it.

At one election, they left the corflute outside my office at Port Augusta for 12 months, and it was only because I was a very tolerant and reasonable person that I waited until after the 12 months and then contacted the council and said, 'Listen. I think this nonsense has gone on long enough'. They wanted me to react early, and I knew that was the trick, so we did not play the game until after 12 months. One council in my constituency—the District Council of Mount Remarkable—banned corflutes. I did not have a problem with that. We complied, but my opponents did not. The difficulty we had was to get the council to say, 'Hang on—

Mrs Redmond: You have to enforce it.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: —enforce it—because it is no good having a by-law if you are not going to enforce it. We had some hassle there, because I believe that I should comply with the laws. As I said, we did not want to upset my constituents at Mount Remarkable—good supporters that they were—so I took the responsible position. However, at the end of the day, these things are stuck up on Stobie poles. Fortunately, my photo on these things will not go up again because people have been known to write nasty things on them and deface them. I think the—

Mrs Redmond: Decorate them.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: Yes, I think the member—

Mrs Redmond: The member for Davenport has one.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: The member for Davenport has two special ones which he has had framed, because the way in which they rearranged them was quite unique. At the end of the day, I do not personally care if you ban the lot of them. It does not worry me a bit, because they are an expensive thing to put up. They get vandalised and, if it rains, they get damaged, so you have to pull them down and put them up again. Of course, I have always had the view that, when it comes 5 o'clock on election day, you should start taking them down. It is something with which the candidates can occupy themselves. I have got quite good at it. I got one of those things they use on boats. You just give them a pull. It has a hook on it to pull them down with.

Stacked in my garage on the farm, I have three or four elections of various photographs as my features have changed. I am not sure what I should do with them. I do not know whether I should auction them. People might want to buy them for party funds. I have lots of them there. I think it is appropriate—

Mr Hanna: Donate them to the local Green group; they can recycle them.

The Hon. G.M. GUNN: They're not normally my friends, the Green groups. I do not know why, but they are not normally my friends. I do not think there is anything wrong. I think they ought to be on the fence outside the polling booth, as you walk in, but, other than that, I do not think there is any political advantage or disadvantage in having them. Many people do object to them. My own personal view—I am very easy—is that I do not care whether another one is put up, except for the case at polling booths.

Mr VENNING: I have been in this place for many years now—19, in fact, in a few days—and I have a strong opinion about this matter. This part of the bill prohibits the use of posters. I do ask the question: why? They have always been there. In fact, it is practised all over the world. Although I believe some people would welcome their abolition, I think many people would miss them because it does flag that we are having an election. If we ban them from here, will we ban them from local government elections—and the same for federal elections—as well?

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: We don't have jurisdiction over that.

Mr VENNING: We don't, but I again ask why. Will we be the odd person out? I know people complain about them. As I said, I have been involved with this process for over 35 years, and my father before me. It was part of the ritual of getting out there early and putting up the posters in the right spots, our favourite spots. In the early days, we put them on trees, but not any more. When I write my book, there is many an amusing story I could tell about the putting up of posters—who springs you as you are doing it, the advice given and then later advice denied. It is all part of the ritual of elections. I think posters are a key part of the electoral process. As the new member for Frome will admit, he had a small poster but it was quite effective. People said, 'Who's this Brock bloke?' Well, there is his poster plastered all over the town.

Mr Hanna: Small but effective, did you say?

Mr VENNING: It was small but effective. The fact that he is here—

Mr Hanna: I thought you were talking about the candidate.

Mr VENNING: That too—both ways. I can recall returning from interstate and the election being called that day. I went straight to my office, but I was not fast enough because, within two hours of the election being called, the Democrats had a poster stuck right on the corner of my office post. It stayed there for the whole election campaign. The person concerned, Pam Reynolds, was the candidate and I had to look at her every day, which caused me a fair bit of grief, but they are the sorts of games we played. In that area, I won my majority. The Democrats were the threat. Labor was never a threat in my electorate. The Democrats were a threat to us and we certainly stole the march on them and we won that election very clearly.

It is now 19 years that I have had posters, and I have had people have a go at me about how old my posters are; and they say that it is about time I did a reprint. In my 19 years I have had four new issues of the poster—and they are expensive. As I said, I think they are very important for candidates who are not known. It is all very well for members like the Attorney-General and me because we have been around for a while.

What about new candidates who wish to have a go? How do they get known if they cannot do it via a press release, or whatever? It is all right for us. We have the trappings of office, so to speak. We are able to do things in order to create attention in the media. Without having to spend a lot of money, this is a good way in which to get posters out there to tell people who you are and to get some recognition for what you are doing and what your policies are. I think it is great that people can get recognition in this way.

It is important where these posters are placed. I am happy to concede that they should never be on trees. Even though they were on trees during the last election campaign, we never nailed them—like we did in the early days. We nailed them on trees and then pulled the nails out. We have what we call 'Liberal trees' all over the old electorate. We used to wire them to trees but now, of course, trees are out. Of course we have the various sizes—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Did you used to nail them?

Mr VENNING: In the early days we did, so they would not blow down; and then you could pull out the nails and there was not any rubbish. In hindsight, it was not a good move. I think it was rather barbaric, but that is what we did 30 years ago, before I was a member. They were made of masonite timber in those days and you had to use a nail—

Mr Pisoni: And paper, as well.

Mr VENNING: And paper. Posters were stuck on them, so you had to use a nail because they did not bend, unlike the modern corflute. We have come a long way in these matters. Trees are now sacred—and that is fair enough; we all agree with that.

I have always believed in pulling them down. There is nothing worse than an electoral poster after an election. I think it is very much to your detriment if you leave them up there. The word to my supporters is, 'If you see one up there on the Monday after the election, I want to know about it,' and it will be removed immediately; and it has been fairly well supported in that way.

I believe they can be recycled. I find school groups love them. Some have them because they like the political bit and they often use them for their own political business when they have an election for various school groups, including the student representative council. On several occasions I have conducted campaigns for them. We have had how-to-vote cards for the various candidates and they have used the reverse side of my poster to display their photograph.

There are a lot of uses for the posters, because corflute material is very useful. Rather than committing them to the bin, some painters use them as masking when they are painting. They are not totally useless. The schools love them. I cannot remember throwing any of my old posters in the bin. They have all been used.

It is part of the culture and I think we would miss it. I cannot understand why the Labor Party would support this issue. I believe in the two party system, particularly in this chamber. I do not understand why the Labor Party wants to do it. Both major parties are in a position of strength. Why would the Labor Party want to do this? Maybe it is democracy. Does the Attorney-General think anyone is excluded because of the cost of these posters? I do not believe they are. A small run can be done or they can be made out of cardboard; you do not have to use corflute. You can produce them yourself with a photocopier. I do not believe anyone is excluded on cost. I am amazed that the Labor Party would do this. I wonder who the strategist is who thought out this matter. I think it is wrong. I cannot support this part of the bill.

Mr PISONI: I am intrigued about subsection (2b). We have heard the Attorney-General tell us ad nauseam that people do not like them, they are not necessary and we will be doing everyone a favour getting rid of them. I am interested to know what will happen on 31 March 2014. Has the Attorney-General had some vision from above? Everyone has walked the road to Damascus and, suddenly, on 31 March they will decide that election posters are a damned good idea. That is when this clause expires.

The truth of the matter is that anyone who has been following the way the Rann government operates knows that they are really political opportunists, and good luck to them for that. When you are in government you have all the luxuries of incumbency, and one of those of course is that you do not need to win seats: you need to hold seats. If your candidates have been doing a good job they will, in fact, be known and consequently will not need election posters. So, I put it to the Attorney-General that either they are very concerned that their marginal seat candidates have not been working the electorates or, alternatively, they are so cocky that they feel they will be in government until the 2014 election, and when they are in opposition it probably would not be a bad idea for their candidates at election time to have election posters going up so they will be known.

Subsection (2b) would have to be the most cynical and blatant act that I have seen by a long shot in a long time—and that is saying something, living in a state run by Mike Rann and the Shop, Distributive and Allied Employees Association. I look forward to hearing the Attorney-General's explanation as to what will happen on 31 March 2014. I would like to know whether that is a date calculated on any form of science. My guess would be that, if it is science, it is political science. He knows that as the Y generation matures they will feel an appreciation for posters so, consequently, it will be his duty to ensure we do not rob them of the ability to have election posters at election time simply because those baby boomers in parliament in 2009 decided that for this period of time we will not have election posters.

My guess is that this is all about the Labor Party trying to disadvantage members of the public who want to make a run for parliament and represent their communities. They want their sitting members to have the advantage of incumbency that is exaggerated by the fact that it will be harder for non members of parliament to be heard and seen and for name recognition in an election campaign. We all know it is a very cheap and cost-effective way—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: I think Vanessa Vartto's posters will look magnificent.

Mr PISONI: I would ask for this commitment from the Attorney-General now. We all know she is an SDA candidate and a good mate of the minister and Mr Koutsantonis. And he nods: she is a good mate of Mr Koutsantonis as well. I would say that, if this is kicked out of the legislation and posters are allowed up, if the campaign organisers of the Labor candidate in Unley say to me that they will not put up election posters if I do not, I will agree. I will not put up election posters if the Labor Party does not put them up. It is a commitment now in *Hansard*.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson interjecting:

The CHAIR: Order!

Mr PISONI: Will you make that commitment as the campaign manager?

The CHAIR: Order!

Mr PISONI: Will you make that commitment? No, because you know that—

The CHAIR: Order!

Mr PISONI: —election posters are an advantage—

The CHAIR: Order!

Mr PISONI: —for non-incumbents.

The CHAIR: Member for Unley, you will resume your seat when the chair calls for order. I was about to ask you politely to wind up quickly so that we can report progress, because time has elapsed for the completion of this debate. Do you have any more points to make regarding that matter?

Mr PISONI: I am actually waiting for an answer to a question.

The CHAIR: That will have to happen when the debate is resumed.

Progress reported; committee to sit again.

[Sitting suspended from 13:00 to 14:00]

CROSS-BORDER JUSTICE BILL

His Excellency the Governor assented to the bill.

APPROPRIATION BILL

His Excellency the Governor, by message, recommended to the House of Assembly the appropriation of such amounts of money as may be required for the purposes mentioned in the bill.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

The SPEAKER: I direct that the following written answers to questions be distributed and printed in *Hansard*.

BARRIER HIGHWAY

169 The Hon. G.M. GUNN (Stuart) (30 September 2008). What plans are there for upgrading the Barrier Highway between Roseworthy and Tarlee?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy) : I provide the following information:

In May 2007, the Light Regional Council applied for and received \$6 million from the Australian Government, under the Auslink Strategic Regional Program, for pavement rehabilitation and hazard protection works on Main North Road between Gawler and Tarlee. Council and the

Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure have agreed to deliver the works under a partnering agreement.

In addition, funding of \$1.5 million has been allocated from the Rural Freight Improvement Program in the 2008-09 financial year to construct a southbound overtaking lane near Templers, which is also within the section of Main North Road proposed for pavement rehabilitation.

It is proposed that the pavement rehabilitation and the construction of the overtaking lane will be undertaken at the same time to minimise disruption to traffic.

The works are expected to be completed by the end of April 2009.

PUBLIC SCHOOL COMPUTERS

172 Mr PISONI (Unley) (30 September 2008). How many computers are there currently in South Australian government schools?

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide): Based on available data, in September 2008 the number of devices installed across South Australian Government Schools is estimated at 44,800.

TRUMPS

194 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008). What is the anticipated cost of implementing the second stage of the Transport Regulation and User Management Processing System?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

The TRUMPS project was made up of two components—the licensing component which went live in November 2005 and the registration component that went live in September 2007.

As at 30 June 2008 the total cost of introducing TRUMPS was \$17.4 million.

PIPALYATJARA ANANGU SCHOOL

207 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008). With respect to the contract DTEI-BM18 for the Pipalyatjara Anangu school:

- (a) has this project been completed and if so;
- (b) was it completed on time;
- (c) was it completed within budget;
- (d) who was the successful contractor; and
- (e) who 'signed off' on the completed project?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management): The Minister for Education has provided the following information:

(a) The new classroom block, Child Parent Centre, student ablution, staff ablution and canteen buildings have been transported to the Pipalyatjara Anangu School site. Practical completion for the classroom block was achieved on 12 November 2008. Practical completion for the remainder of the project was achieved on 12 December 2008.

(b) The building contractor experienced some difficulties due to the remote location of the site, as well as inclement weather, which meant that aspects of the project were not completed by mid November 2008 as was the programmed completion date.

(c) Final costings have yet to be reconciled.

(d) Murray River North P/L was the successful contractor with the buildings constructed at their Alice Springs building yard and transported to site.

(e) The project architect signed the Certificate of Completion allowing safe access and use for the school.

LONG LIFE ROADS PROGRAM

291 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008).

1. Of the \$8.850 million allocated for the Long Life Roads program in 2007-08, what percentage of works will go towards addressing the \$200 million backlog of road maintenance identified by the RAA in 2007?

2. What is the current road maintenance backlog value?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

The total \$8.850 million in the Long Life Roads Program in 2008-09 has been allocated to the first stage of the Penola Bypass. The first stage (the southern section of the total bypass) will provide a bypass for heavy vehicles transporting harvested blue gums and will remove an additional 220 heavy vehicles per day through the township of Penola.

In line with best practice asset management principles Australian State road authorities compare asset management related performance through the Smooth Travel Exposure indicator. This indicator shows a marked improvement in the performance of South Australian roads since 2002-03.

The maintenance program includes funds for routine road maintenance activities, road resurfacing and rehabilitation works, outback roads, and maintenance of ferries, bridges, pavement marking, guard fence, traffic signals and road lighting.

The total State road maintenance budget for 2008-09 is \$76.6 million. This compares to an expenditure of \$59.4 million in 2000-01 and \$62.5 million in 2001-02.

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT ASSETS

320 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008).

1. Which property, plant and equipment will be purchased under the \$676.879 million budgeted amount and the \$6.7 million in advances in 2008-09?

2. Which property, plant and equipment was purchased under the \$472.221 million actual expenditure and the \$6.519 million in advances in 2007-08?

3. What property, plant and equipment will be sold to bring an expected \$15.50 million in cash in 2008-09?

4. What property, plant and equipment was sold in the 2007-08 to bring in an estimated \$18.715 million in proceeds?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

1. Property, plant and equipment purchased under the \$676.879 million budgeted amount in 2008-09 are detailed in pages 25 to 33 (inclusive) of the 2008-09 Capital Investment Statement, Budget Paper 5. The \$6.7 million in advances in 2008-09 represents a repayment of a loan from the South Australian Government Employee Residential Properties (SAGERP) to the Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI).

2. Property, plant and equipment purchased under the \$472.221 million in 2007-08 are detailed in pages 27 to 33 (inclusive) of the 2007-08 Capital Investment Statement, Budget Paper 5. The \$6.519 million in advances in 2007-08 represents a repayment of a loan from SAGERP to DTEI.

3. Property, plant and equipment budgeted to be sold (\$15.5 million) in 2008-09 include:

- land, buildings and improvements sales target: \$15.295 million; and
- plant, equipment and vehicles target: \$0.255 million.

4. Property, plant and equipment actual proceeds in 2007-08 were:

- land and buildings sales: \$18.268 million; and
- plant and equipment sales: \$0.173 million.

TRUMPS

321 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008).

1. Will the \$1.5 million allocation to 'E-business enhancements—transport' address customer problems such as payments of motor vehicle registration over the phone?
2. Is the TRUMPS system now working as planned and what is being done to reduce waiting times for customers?
3. What is the problem with the issuing of registration stickers?
4. What has been the total cost to date of implementing the TRUMPS system?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

1. The E-business enhancements enable transactions to be accessed by the public through the internet and reduce the need to attend a customer service outlet or use the phone for some additional registration and licensing business.
2. TRUMPS is working as planned with approximately 100,000 transactions being performed each week. To improve queue lengths and waiting times, a queue management system was implemented in former Registration and Licensing Customer Service Centres during 2008.
3. There are currently no issues with the issuing of registration stickers.
4. As at 30 June 2008 \$17.4 million.

TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

327 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008).

1. Why was commonwealth revenue \$11.753 million less than budgeted for in 2007-08?
2. Is the \$248.930 million in commonwealth revenue for 2008-09 guaranteed for transport infrastructure services?
3. Which projects received less commonwealth funding than was budgeted for in 2007-08 and 2008-09?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

I note that the figures quoted in this question relate to Program 2—Transport Infrastructure Services only.

1. The 2007-08 Estimated Result was \$11.753 million less than the 2007-08 Original Budget due to expenditure on Australian Government funded investing projects being carried over to future years.
2. Yes.
3. Port River Expressway—Stages 2 and 3, road and rail bridges and the National Black Spot Program.

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT ASSETS

336 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008). What assets are to be sold by the department to provide \$300 million in income for 2008-09?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

The Department's budget for Investing Receipts from the sale of Property, Plant and Equipment for 2008-09 is \$15.550 million.

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT ASSETS

340 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (21 October 2008). Why there is a \$26.454 million increase in 'depreciation and amortisation expenses' in 2008-09?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

The increase is the result of an increased capital program from 2008-09 and the inclusion of rail assets transferred from TransAdelaide in 2007-08.

ABORIGINAL HERITAGE BRANCH

374 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (17 November 2008).

1. How has the administration of the Aboriginal Heritage Act been improved?
2. Were any more staff required to achieve this and if so how many and were any of these employees Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management): Processes have been developed to ensure that the Act is administered in a rigorous and streamlined manner, to provide timely and certain outcomes to applicants.

With a view to assisting negotiations between developers and Aboriginal groups, the Aboriginal Heritage Branch has: encouraged discussions between the parties before applications are received; engaged with Aboriginal communities and local Aboriginal Heritage Groups to support their involvement in the management of their culture and heritage; facilitated increased cooperation between heritage and native title parties across SA; and improved the management of heritage records through the digitisation of 7,700 sites on the State Register of Aboriginal Sites and Objects. These sites can have a significant influence on land management and are important to both Aboriginal people and developers.

In conjunction with Flinders University, workshops are being held across South Australia with Aboriginal groups to improve their skills to record and manage their own sites and plans are well progressed for training in heritage site monitoring. These workshops will result in participants achieving objective standards of performance and will provide certainty to developers that these standards will be met.

The workforce in the Aboriginal Heritage Branch has increased six to thirteen employees. The percentage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander staff has varied over the period from a high of 50 per cent to the present approximate 23 per cent.

TRAMLINE EXTENSION

379 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (17 November 2008). What was the final cost of upgrading the ETSA power supply to the Glenelg light rail extension project and how much did this differ from the original estimated cost?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

The total cost of upgrading the ETSA power supply for the Glenelg tram line extension project was \$1,306,905 which is below the original estimated cost of \$1.5 million.

RAILCAR REFURBISHMENT

383 Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (17 November 2008).

1. What is the lead time required on the replacement of major components for the 3000/3100 class rail cars?
2. What is the condition and life expectancy of the 3000 class rail car fleet?
3. How many 3000 class rail cars have been or will be refurbished, how long will this take and what will be the estimated total cost of this refurbishment?

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy): I provide the following information:

1. Lead time on major components for the 3000/3100 class railcars currently averages approximately three months. Inventory levels for spare parts take into account anticipated lead times.

2. As recently announced the 3000/3100 railcars are being refurbished as part of the Government's \$2 billion rail revitalisation project. Following refurbishment the approximate remaining lifespan of these railcars is 20 years.

The entire 3000/3100 class fleet consisting of 70 railcars will be refurbished at an estimated cost of \$37 million. The window replacement program has been completed. The full interior refurbishment program is a three year program of works.

BUSHFIRE PLANNING

460 The Hon. G.M. GUNN (Stuart) (10 March 2009).

1. What assurances are there that Departmental officers or inspectors will not be measuring firebreaks constructed when containing fires under the authority of the Country Fire Service?

2. What instructions have been given to Departmental inspectors in relation to firebreaks, access tracks and other fire prevention measures, and is it their aim to make hazard reduction and fire prevention as difficult as possible?

3. Will grazing on national parks to reduce combustible material be allowed so that the hazard to the public is reduced?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management):

1. During a fire emergency, the clearance of native vegetation deemed necessary to assist manage the fire is the responsibility of the SACFS. Such clearance is exempt from the provisions of the Native Vegetation Act.

2. The Native Vegetation Council has established a Fire Sub-Committee to consider and approve bushfire prevention plans developed by local bushfire prevention committees, groups of landholders, or individual landholders. The three-person committee includes the Deputy Chief Officer of the CFS, a person representing local government, and a person representing the Native Vegetation Council.

3. The committee has delegated authority from the Native Vegetation Council to approve clearance of native vegetation for fire protection purposes. The sub-committee was established to bring focused expertise to decisions relating to fire management, and to speed up the decision process.

4. The Chief Officer of the CFS is on the record that he is satisfied that these arrangements are working effectively.

5. Conservation Reserves in South Australia are set aside under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972 for public benefit and enjoyment and to provide for the conservation of wildlife in a natural environment. The Department for Environment and Heritage, which is responsible for the management of these reserves, will consider fuel management options that align with these objectives. This can include grazing, but only in limited cases where, combined with other management objectives, it is considered desirable.

PORT AUGUSTA SPORTING PRECINCT

463 The Hon. G.M. GUNN (Stuart) (10 March 2009). Will the State Government provide financial support to the proposed sporting oval precinct at Port Augusta and if so, how much and over what period?

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT (Lee—Minister for Police, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing): The State Government is committed to promoting the social, physical and economic benefits of participating in recreation and sport activities. Access to quality facilities is an important part of this commitment, providing many people with the opportunity to actively participate in recreation and sport.

The Community Recreation and Sport Facilities Program (CRSFP) is a State Government funding initiative that provides financial assistance to eligible organisations throughout the state to ensure the provision of sustainable recreation and sport facilities that meet community needs. Funding for active recreation and sport infrastructure is made available on an annual basis through

the Office for Recreation and Sport (ORS), and applications from eligible organisations are considered as part of a formal assessment process.

The next round of the CRSFP opens on 29 August 2009 and interested organisations are encouraged to apply. For significant projects such as a proposed sporting oval precinct in Port Augusta, applicants may wish to consider discussing their proposals with the Funding Services team at ORS before submitting a formal application.

MITSUBISHI MOTORS

465 Mr HANNA (Mitchell) (31 March 2009). How much funding is the government entitled to recover from Mitsubishi in respect of the Tonsley Plant closure and how much has been actually recovered?

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations): The Government is entitled to recover \$35 million plus interest of \$34,865.75 from Mitsubishi Motors Australia Limited (MMAL) calculated from the date Mitsubishi announced its closure (5 February 2008) to the date that the money was actually repaid (10 February 2008).

These amounts were recovered in full from Mitsubishi on 10 February 2008.

APY LANDS

467 Mr HANNA (Mitchell) (31 March 2009).

1. Who has the grazing rights on the APY lands?
2. Who approved these individual rights and how were they acquired?
3. Which individuals are paid by external pastoral companies and graziers to agist cattle on these lands?
4. How much are they paid to agist cattle and where does this money go?
5. How much of this money is paid to communities or traditional owners?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management): The APY Lands is owned by all Anangu held in trust and managed by the APY Executive (sections 4A & 15 and Schedule 1 of the APY Land Rights Act 1981) (the Act).

The APY Executive has statutory powers to manage and utilise the lands in the best interests of all Anangu, including the granting of leases and licenses over any part of the lands (section 6 of the Act).

Prior to the granting of any leases and licenses, the APY Executive is required to consult with traditional owners to ensure they are managing and utilising any part of the lands in a culturally responsible manner (section 7 of the Act).

According to the APY Annual Report for 2007-08 (at p16), grazing rights are held by:

- the Hudson Lennon Family for the Watinuma area, and
- Donald Fraser for the Kenmore Park area.

Traditional owner identification and consultations are continuing in relation to grazing rights over Bully's Paddock, Lennon's Swamp, Yellow Dam, Perentie Bore, No.13 West and Corkwood Bore.

Subject to consultations with traditional owners, the APY Executive approves the granting of grazing licenses and leases (sections 6(2)(b) and 7 of the Act).

Mr Donald Fraser runs his own herd, and provides agistment grazing to pastoral companies operating outside of the APY Lands.

I understand that Mr Donald Fraser is the only APY Lands based licensee who agists cattle to external pastoral companies and graziers for a fee.

Contractually determined fees are paid by the grazing licensee to the licensor (APY) who then applies and acquits these monies.

APY LANDS

In reply to **Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett)** (17 November 2008).

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management): The reduction in the 'Aboriginal Community Essential Services Grants' between 2006-07 and 2007-08 is primarily due to a number of Aboriginal Communities no longer requiring funding for purchasing generator fuel in 2007-08 to operate their own stand-alone Power Stations due to their connection to the new Central Power Station on the APY Lands.

The reduction in the 'Anangu Pitjantjatjara Operating Grant' from 2006-07 to 2007-08 is due to the processing of additional 'one-off' payments in 2006-07 for funding towards the APY 25th Year Celebration, consultation concerning APY Lands Rights legislation and reimbursement of legal costs re APY Land Rights matters.

PAEDOPHILE TASK FORCE

In reply to **Mrs REDMOND (Heysen)** (18 February 2008).

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT (Lee—Minister for Police, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing): The Acting Deputy Commissioner of Police has advised that the Paedophile Task Force (PTF) is a temporary taskforce within the SAPOL Sexual Crime Investigation Branch. The PTF was originally established in 2004 with four positions. In 2005, staffing levels were increased to 15 sworn police positions and 10 non-sworn positions.

The positions were filled on a secondment basis, with a minimum tenure of two years. Selection of staff followed a registration of interest process. Staffing establishment has remained constant until January 2009 when an additional four investigators were seconded to the PTF to assist with current workloads.

I am further advised one PTF police officer is currently absent on WorkCover leave due to psychological injury. Another PTF member has previously been absent on WorkCover leave due to psychological injury but is now successfully re-integrating into the workplace.

I am also advised as at 1 March 2009, in the four and half years since the PTF commenced, staff changes are as follows:

- 23 members have completed their secondment and subsequently transferred to other positions within SAPOL;
- 5 members have undertaken professional development during their secondment to PTF and transferred to criminal investigation positions;
- 5 members have been promoted from PTF to higher level positions within SAPOL and other government departments;
- 2 members have resigned to pursue careers outside of SAPOL (real estate and nursing); and
- 2 have retired.

GOVERNMENT TENDERS

In reply to **Mr HANNA (Mitchell)** (29 April 2009).

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT (Lee—Minister for Police, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing): The State Government has let a contract for the development of the State Aquatic Centre and GP Plus at Marion. The contract does not include the development of housing at the Domain site, railway station or any other location.

The tender process used to select Candetti Constructions Pty Ltd was based on a selected tender process. The three companies invited to submit a tender were Candetti Constructions, Boulderstone and Built Environs who were the builders in the three teams previously considered for the procurement process.

The three parties were initially invited to submit proposals in accordance with recognised Early Contractor Involvement (ECI) principles and these submissions were assessed. This initial assessment involved consideration of the nominated design teams, construction teams, associated

fees, builders profits and some limited preliminaries. Comments from the parties about their ability to meet the required timeframes for completion of construction were also considered.

In parallel with this process, the State Government also developed a reference design and a cost plan for the Aquatic Centre, which was provided to all three parties to further explore time and cost parameters. Further information was then sought from all three parties on these aspects.

The State Government has received and followed the legal and probity advice of the Crown Solicitor's Office at all stages of the procurement process leading to the awarding of the contract to Candetti Constructions Pty Ltd.

TOURISM SPONSORSHIP REVENUE

In reply to **Mr PISONI (Unley)** (28 October 2008).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide): The increase in sponsorship revenue in 2007-08 was due to three main reasons:

- The Tour Down Under became a part of the 'Pro-Tour' for the first time in 2007-08
- The staging of biennial events such as the Panasonic World Solar Challenge and Tasting Australia which were not held in 2006-07
- Inflationary increases included within existing sponsorship agreements.

CHILD ABUSE

In reply to **Mr PISONI (Unley)** (27 June 2008) (Estimates Committee B).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide): The Department of Education and Children's Services has provided the following information:

1. The current system for making mandatory reports in South Australia is through the Child Abuse Report Line (CARL) which is a unit within the Department for Families and Communities (DFC).

The Department of Education and Children's Services (DECS) does not maintain a central data base of notifications additional to that held by DFC.

2. As the confidentiality of the identity of the mandatory notifier is assured under the Child Protection Act 1993, there are no records maintained in DECS about the number of students that have been subject to a mandatory report.

Individual learning plans are put in place in situations where concerns are identified and students require further support in their learning or wellbeing.

3. It is not possible to answer this question as there is no database of such assistance. Schools make decisions locally as part of their daily business to respond to emerging and varying needs for additional support for children and students. To maintain the confidentiality of mandatory notification, schools would not record any decisions as related to a mandatory notification status.

SCHOOLS, STAFFING

In reply to **Mr PISONI (Unley)** (27 June 2008) (Estimates Committee B).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide): An analysis of the 2007 Resource Entitlement Statement (RES) shows that approximately 87 per cent of RES funding is allocated for school-based salaries. Of this, 79 per cent of funding relates to classroom staffing, including teachers, principals and school leadership positions. In practice the percentages of actual salaries may be higher as schools can convert grants and other sources of funding into salaries.

The average per-capita funding in 2008-09 is \$11,568. The table below shows indicative average per capita funding according to the Index of Educational Disadvantage based on the relative percentages of resources provided to schools through the annual Resource Entitlement Statements

Table 1: Indicative Average Per Capita Funding by Index of Disadvantage 2008-09

Index of Disadvantage	Primary School Students	Secondary School Students
1	\$13,809	\$13,532
2	\$12,546	\$13,543
3	\$11,584	\$13,089
4	\$11,105	\$12,063
5	\$10,435	\$11,735
6	\$9,948	\$11,311
7	\$9,670	\$10,899

CHILD ABUSE

In reply to **Mr PISONI (Unley)** (27 June 2008) (Estimates Committee B).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide): The Department of Education and Children's Services has provided the following information:

The requirements for mandated notifiers are consistent between the Department of Health and the Department of Education and Children's Services. If a notifier believes a child or young person is being abused or neglected they must ring the Child Abuse Report Line (CARL) in the Department for Families and Communities (DFC).

What happens at CARL in determining the most appropriate response is based on the information provided by the notifier and any other information recorded through previous notifications.

The responses to mandatory notifications are managed by DFC. The higher the risk to a child's safety, the more immediate and comprehensive the response will be from statutory authorities.

SURPLUS EMPLOYEES

In reply to various members (27 June 2008) (Estimates Committee B).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide):

Minister for Education and Children's Services:

The Department of Education and Children's Services has provided the following information:

Surplus PSM Act Employees as at 30 June 2008

Department/Agency	Position Title	Classification	TEC Cost
Department of Education and Children's Services	Administrative Officer	ASO1	\$46,689
	Project Officer, Accommodation	OPS2	\$53,732
	Studio Co-ordinator	OPS3	\$61,904
	Personal Assistant	ASO3	\$61,904
	Cataloguing Librarian	PO1	\$70,628
	Records Management Officer	ASO4	\$69,128
	Graphic Designer	TGO2	\$69,128
	Senior Project Officer	ASO5	\$82,547
	Communications Officer	ASO5	\$82,547
	Project Officer	ASO6	\$90,603
	Manager, Personnel Counsellors	ASO6	\$90,603
	Co-ordinator, Special Projects	ASO6	\$90,603

Department/Agency	Position Title	Classification	TEC Cost
	District Co-ordinator, Family Day Care	ASO6	\$90,603
	IT Corporate Project Director	MAS3	\$112,410
			TOTAL \$1,073,029 (total per Dept/Agency)

Office of the SACE has provided the following information:

Department/Agency	Position Title	Classification	TEC Cost
SACE Board of SA	Nil	Nil	Nil
			TOTAL: \$0.00

Surplus Employees as at 30 June 2008:

Minister for Tourism:

The South Australian Tourism Commission, the Adelaide Entertainments Corporation and the Adelaide Convention Centre have provided the following information:

Department/Agency	Position Title	Classification	TEC Cost
South Australian Tourism Commission	Nil	Nil	Nil
Adelaide Entertainment Corporation	Nil	Nil	Nil
Adelaide Convention Centre	Nil	Nil	Nil
			TOTAL: \$0.00

GRANT EXPENDITURE

In reply to various members (27 June 2007) (Estimates Committee B).

The Hon. J.D. LOMAX-SMITH (Adelaide—Minister for Education, Minister for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Minister for Tourism, Minister for the City of Adelaide):

Minister for City of Adelaide

2006-07

Program 12-Capital City

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Adelaide Airport	\$950,000	Solar Panels at new International Airport	Y
Australia and New Zealand Solar Energy	\$40,000	Contribution towards Green Cities Festival	Y
Total	\$990,000		

2007-08

Program 12-Capital City

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Conservation Council of South Australia	\$50,000 First invoice (\$22,727 ex GST) paid June 2008 (paid in 2 instalments, the first in 2007-08, the 2 nd instalment to be paid in 2008-09)	Funding to undertake a 'Green Hubs' climate change behaviour change pilot project.	Y
Total	\$22,727		

Tourism Portfolio

Adelaide Convention Centre

The Adelaide Convention Centre did not administer any grants for 2006-07 and 2007-08.

Adelaide Entertainment Centre

The Adelaide Entertainment Centre did not administer any grants for 2006-07 and 2007-08.

South Australian Tourism Commission

South Australian Tourism Commission—Tourism Development Fund Grants

2006-07

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Angorichina Village	21,000	Sinking of a bore to source additional water from the artesian system and installation of infrastructure to pump the water into the existing system	Yes
Arid Lands Recovery Centre	12,000	Upgrade of the Arid Recovery Tourism Operation includes, VIC display, signage, portable display/interpretation material & Tourism Feasibility Study.	Yes
Bendleby Ranges Toilet/Shower Upgrade	21,500	Convert existing showers to disabled access shower & toilet; add 2 new unisex showers & toilets at Bendleby Ranges as part of an overall upgrade of facilities at Bendleby Ranges to cater for increased tourism demand.	Yes
Cliffstone River Retreat	50,000	Infrastructure associated with the construction of four floating cabins, access road, car parking, power and telephone services and the effluent disposal system. Provisional approval has been granted by Council subject to installation of a septic treatment and effluent disposal (STED) system with the SATC assistance from the TDF to be used for this and the ETSA and telecom installations.	Yes

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Coober Pedy Racing Club	21,500	Redevelopment of facilities at Coober Pedy Racing Club including opening up of existing refreshments and food service area, relocation of bar area and enlarging cool room, installation of roller doors, covering of outside area with shadecloth, building of disabled toilet, upgrading existing toilets and removal of unwanted and rundown buildings.	Yes
Gum Creek Station	18,500	Infrastructure associated with construction of Kankana Ecolodge—a remotely located accommodation facility designed to provide high yielding, nature based accommodation for 8-10 people, set in mountainous bushland with sweeping views of the Flinders Ranges and beyond.	Yes
DC Kapunda & Light	35,000	Stage two of Kapunda Tourist and Leisure Park project involves the purchase and siting of 2 new cabins, including relevant infrastructure to cater for additional demand of the park, the building of a new caretaker's residence, surround and entry road and the relocation of some permanent sites. (Grant relates only to cabins and associated infrastructure). The full project will eventually include 30 new cabins, 9 irrigated golf course greens, a 38 megalitre lake which would irrigate the golf course and other facilities and the restoration of the memorial gardens.	Yes
DC Mid Murray	30,000	Extension of the Mannum Wharf at Mannum to accommodate five commercial tourism operators (Marion, Princess, Venturer, Jester Cruises and Proud Mary) and reduce docking times in peak periods. In conjunction with this, disability access pathways from car park to wharf and to Mary Ann Reserve will be constructed.	Yes
Mount Benson Town Hall Committee	10,000	Installation of two public access barbecues and paving of pavillion at Mt Benson Town Hall and installation of clear PVC roll down blinds to extend season and improve commercial viability of project.	Yes
DC Goyder	19,000	Installation of a fire detection system in the Paxton Square Cottages at Burra to comply with relevant building code legislation.	Yes
KI Pelican Tours	30,000	Upgrade the penguin viewing and pelican feeding areas at Kingscote Wharf including new boardwalk and landscaping.	Yes
Penneshaw Penguin Centre	12,000	Construction of Weather proof viewing shelter, including signage for Penguin viewing	Yes
DC Port Pirie	20,000	Construction of two viewing platforms to be located in Precinct One within the \$2.7 million redevelopment of the Port Pirie Waterfront.	Yes

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Wakefield Regional Council	15,000	Two stage development of Port Wakefield Caravan Park to cater for additional demand and improve facilities. Project includes enviro cycle (waste management system), water and electricity upgrades, while stage 2 includes roadworks, landscaping, walking trail and purchase of 5 new cabins and the development of 4 new sites.	Yes
Rawnsley Park Station	26,000	Assistance with material and construction of walking track for proposed guided walking tour of the foothills of Rawnsley Bluff.	Yes
River Murray Urban Users Local Action Planning Committee Inc	10,000	Design, manufacture and installation of directional and campsite marker signage along canoe trails, training of canoe hire operators and production of product and services guide containing information about local services such as accommodation facilities, outlets for supplies, restaurants etc. Project is in partnership with Canoe SA, Uni SA, DEH and Riverland Tourism.	Yes
Terowie Citizens Assoc Inc	25,000	Development of an interpretive walking trail in the Terowie Rail yard precinct, including purchase and laying of gravel, planting of low native shrubs along path, interpretive signs, and directional signage.	Yes
City of Onkaparinga	90,000	Additional infrastructure associated with the relocation of Willunga Farmers' Market to 'Willunga Square'.	Yes
Wilpena Pound Resort	90,000	Construction of new Reception area for Wilpena Pound Resort.	Yes
Woomera Board	22,500	Redevelopment of Old Kindergarten site in Woomera to house observatory including refurbishment of toilet facilities, disabled entrances, restoration of carpark, cleanup and painting of building, and purchase of observatory dome, new telescope and display materials.	Yes
DC Yorke Peninsula	40,000	Construction of additional toilet facilities at camping reserves throughout Yorke Peninsula. Specifically, 6 eco-friendly toilets are planned for Pt Julia, The Gap near Cape Elizabeth, Gleeson's Landing (2) , Burner Beach and Daily Heads.	Yes
Coorong Wilderness Lodge	120,000	Contribution towards entrance area and construction of accommodation facilities at Coorong Wilderness Lodge	Yes
Flinders Ranges By Bike Inc	40,000	Infrastructure associated with establishment of cycling trail in Flinders Ranges	Yes
DC Mid Murray	15,000	Infrastructure associated with electricity supply to Pedal Prix Track at Murray Bridge	Yes
Rattler Trail Inc	46,000	Upgrade of trail from Riverton to Auburn as alternative route to Mawson Trail-south of Riesling Trail.	Yes
Southern Ocean Lodge	500,000*	Infrastructure upgrades to the access road and power, as well as infrastructure for water and wastewater containment. * This funding is being paid progressively (as works are completed) over two financial years (2006-07 & 2007-08) with \$500,000 each year.	Yes

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
City of Port Lincoln	175,000	Contribution towards street scaping around new Hotel Development at Port Lincoln	Yes
DC Robe	15,000	Upgrade of Robe Customs House including painting and carpet	Yes
DC Kangaroo Island	45,000	Contribution towards upgrade of Conference facilities on KI to cater for larger conferences— including chairs, tables etc.	Yes

South Australian Tourism Commission—Tourism Development Fund Grants

2007-08

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Adventure Bay Charters	20,000	Platform for Swim with the Tuna tours	Yes
Angorichina Homestead	20,000	Ensuite Facilities for accommodation at Homestead	Yes
Bartagunya Proprietors	14,000	Development of toilet, shower and camp kitchen facilities to cater for campers and cyclists at Bartagunyah property in the Southern Flinders Ranges	Yes
Belcunda Homestead	15,000	Renovation of an existing homestead to cater for groups using Mawson and Heysen Trails. Grant monies specifically for rewiring and upgrade of plumbing to meet OH & S regulations.	Yes
DC Streaky Bay	40,000	Infrastructure for visitor facilities at Whistling Rocks and Blowholes on Cape Bauer Loop including pathways, disabled access, viewing platforms, interpretive signage, upgrade of parking and provision of toilet facilities.	Yes
City of Onkaparinga	50,000	Extension of Coast to Vines Rail trail at McLaren Vale	Yes
Coober Pedy Racing Club	18,500	Upgrade to facilities at Coober Pedy Racing Club—2 nd Year of two year grant	Yes
Copley Cabin & Caravan Park	40,000	Connection of planned new cabins at Copley Caravan Park to biocycle wastewater treatment system and redirection of existing septic overflow trenches into the system.	Yes
Gum Creek Station	38,500	Infrastructure associated with construction of Idandanoo Ecolodge facility.	Yes
DC Kapunda & Light	5,000	Final payment for two year grant for infrastructure associated with Stage two of Kapunda Tourist and Leisure Park project. Involves the purchase and siting of 2 new cabins, including relevant infrastructure to cater for additional demand	Yes
KI Council	10,000	Installation of self-registration stations across Kangaroo Island for the collection of fees for camping at Council camping grounds. Funds raised from the self-registration stations will be used for the upgrade and maintenance of the facilities in the long term.	Yes

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
City of Mount Gambier	40,000	Refurbishment of VIC entry and reception areas including replacement of floor coverings, new wall units for brochure and retail displays, upgrade of counter painting etc. Upgrade of technology and hardware associated with the Christina Smith 3D display	Yes
Southern Mallee District Council	40,000	Provide infrastructure for the donated disabled public toilets facility and blackwater dump point at the Lameroo Lake Roberts Recreational Reserve including electrical and plumbing connections, water meters, signage, lighting, trenching, and pump station.	Yes
DC Mid Murray	10,000	Final payment of two year grant for extension of wharf for Mary Ann Reserve at Mannum	Yes
City of Onkaparinga	260,000	Upgrade of ex-McLaren Vale Visitors Centre to create regional food and wine centre. This will incorporate space for workshops, retail, as well as providing tours and bookings for visitors to the region.	Yes
DC Orroroo	25,000	Development of four integrated interpretive trails (vehicle, cycle or walking) in and around Orroroo including interpretive signage, trail development and access, barbeque shelters and a boardwalk	Yes
DC Karoonda East Murray	1,000	Design and production of interpretive signage in Pioneer Park at Karoonda	Yes
City of Port Pirie	20,000	Final Payment of 2 year grant for waterfront redevelopment project	Yes
DC Yorke Peninsula	40,000	Development of sustainable recycling and subsurface irrigation systems in Port Vincent Foreshore and Stansbury Caravan Parks.	Yes
KI Council	25,000	Continuation of development plan for Reeves Point including recreational areas, birdwatching and interpretive signage.	Yes
Riesling Trail Inc	90,000	Extension of the Riesling trail 8.5 kms northwards from Clare to old Barinia Siding which will see it run the full length of the Clare Valley Wine Region.	Yes
Coorong Wilderness Lodge	185,000	Final contribution towards entrance area and construction of accommodation facilities at Coorong Wilderness Lodge	Yes
City of Port Lincoln	25,000	Final contribution of 2-year grant towards street scaping around new Hotel Development at Port Lincoln	Yes
Southern Ocean Lodge	500,000*	Infrastructure upgrades to the access road and power, as well as infrastructure for water and wastewater containment. * This funding is being paid progressively (as works are completed) over two financial years (2006-07 & 2007-08) with \$500,000 each year.	Yes
Lions Club Yorke Peninsula	11000	Construction of rail platform at Kadina for YP Rail	Yes
DC Alexandrina	15000	Upgrade of Goolwa Boat Ramp	Yes

Also included is the attached spreadsheet outlining the 2006-07 and 2007-08 Visitor Information Centre Grants (that achieve Level 1 Accreditation).

Organisation	Amount of Grant \$	Name/Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Glenelg Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Port Adelaide Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Rundle Mall Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Adelaide Hills Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Mt Lofty Summit Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Barossa Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Gawler Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Burra Visitor Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Clare Valley Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Kapunda Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Ceduna Gateway Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Elliston Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Port Lincoln Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Whyalla Maritime Museum & Visitor Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
McLaren Vale & Fleurieu Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Signal Point & Goolwa Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Strathalbyn Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Victor Harbor Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Yankalilla Bay Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Cooper Pedy Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Flinders Ranges Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Hawker Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Leigh Creek Regional Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Port Augusta Visitor Information Centre—Wadlata Outback Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Port Pirie Regional Tourism & Arts Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Roxby Downs Cultural Precinct	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Wilpena Pound Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y

Organisation	Amount of Grant \$	Name/Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
Woomera Heritage & Visitor Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Kangaroo Island Gateway Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Beachport Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Bordertown Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Millicent Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Naracoorte Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Penola/Coonawarra Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Robe Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
The Lady Nelson Visitor Information & Discovery Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Mannum Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Murray Bridge Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Barmera Visitor Information & Travel Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Berri Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Loxton Visitor Information Centre & Gallery	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Renmark Paringa Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Waikerie Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Harvest Corner Visitor Information Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
The Farm Shed Museum & Tourist Centre	6,000	Annual Grant to Visitor Information Centres	Y
Total Visitor Information Centre Grants	270,000		

Education Portfolio

The SACE Board of SA

The SACE Board of SA did not administer any grants for 2006-07 and 2007-08.

Department of Education and Children's Services

The lists for 2007-07 and 2007-08 are attached.

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
WOODVILLE HIGH SCHOOL	38,000.00	HOMEWORK CENTRE	Y
VARIOUS TEACHERS	2,000.00	DRMS	N
AMATA ANANGU SCHOOL	18,750.00	ANTEP FUNDS*07/08	Y
ERNABELLA ANANGU SCHOOL	37,500.00	ANTEP FUNDS*07/08	Y
INDULKANA ANANGU SCHOOL	37,500.00	ANTEP FUNDS*07/08	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
MIMILI ANANGU SCHOOL	37,500.00	ANTEP FUNDS*07/08	Y
PIPALYATJARA ANANGU SCHOOL	18,750.00	ANTEP FUNDS*07/08	Y
ANANGU EDUCATION SERVICES	90,773.28	ESL-ILSS GRT*2007	N
ANANGU EDUCATION SERVICES	150,125.00	ESL-ILSS GRT*2008	N
MCDONALD PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	3,000.00	ESTAB GRT/REIMB*BLINDS	N
VARIOUS TEACHERS	12,000.00	ACCOMM ALLOWANCE*GRT08	N
DTF SUPPORT OPERATIONS	11,000.00	AUSSIE SPORTS	N
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	2,530.00	CO LOCATION EC	N
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	2,497.00	CO LOCATION EC	N
CENTRAL NTH ADEL HEALTH SVCE	32,035.17	CO LOCATION EC	N
VARIOUS HOME SUPERVISORS	10,000.00	HOME SUPERVISOR*2007	Y
ARTS SA	46,200.00	COUNTRY AREA PROGRAM	N
VARIOUS COUNTRY STUDENT TEACHERS	321,820.00	CATEGORY 1-3 SCH*07PT2	Y
VARIOUS COUNTRY TEACHERS	46,000.00	COUNTRY TCH PRACTICUMS	Y
VARIOUS TEACHERS	20,000.00	NEW BEGINNINGS*2008	Y
VARIOUS COUNTRY TEACHERS	11,250.00	NEW SCHOLARSHIP*07PT2	Y
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	28,600.00	OPERATING FUNDING	Y
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	11,000.00	OPERATING FUNDING	Y
SA PUBLIC SCHLS MUSIC SOCY INC	5,500.00	FESTIVAL MUSIC PROGRAM	Y
SA PUBLIC SCHLS MUSIC SOCY INC	8,427.50	FESTIVAL MUSIC PROGRAM	Y
CEASA INC	11,000.00	FULLTIME RELEASE SCH	Y
CEASA INC	22,000.00	FULLTIME RELEASE SCH	Y
CEASA INC	77,000.00	FULLTIME RELEASE SCH	Y
CEASA INC	77,000.00	FULLTIME RELEASE SCH	Y
LAP ASSOC	11,500.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
AUSTN CHILDREN'S PERFORMING	11,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
SA ASSOC OF SCHL PARENT'S CLUB	52,088.30	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
FAMILY LIFE SA	185,009.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
SPELD (SA) INC	109,255.30	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
FEDERATION OF P&F ASSOC OF SA	21,051.80	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
SA ASSOC OF S/SCHL ORG INC	2,593.37	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SA ASSOC OF S/SCHL ORG INC	94,304.10	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
SA ASSOC OF S/SCHL ORG INC	29,459.10	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
FAMILY LIFE SA	22,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
STTARS INC	3,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
EDUCATION.AU LTD	36,236.20	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
CHILDREN'S WEEK ASSOC OF SA INC	19,690.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S DAY COMM	700.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
ALEXANDRINA COUNCIL	5,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
AUTISM ASSOC OF SA INC	16,500.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
ISOLATED CHILD PARENT ASSOC SA	4,600.20	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
GIFTED & TALENTED CHILDN ASSOC	5,750.80	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
GIFTED & TALENTED CHILDN ASSOC	5,750.80	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
CITY OF VICTOR HARBOR	44,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
MCEETYA	38,930.65	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE TRUST	56,100.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
AUSTN CHILDREN'S TV FOUNDATION	754.40	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
AUSTN CHILDREN'S TV FOUNDATION	22,000.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	21,076.00	GRANT OTHER ORGS	Y
ABERFOYLE PARK HIGH SCHOOL	700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ALLENBY GARDENS PS	2,500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ARDTORNISH PRIMARY SCHOOL	350.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ARDTORNISH PRIMARY SCHOOL	850.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
AUGUSTA PARK C/HOOD SVCS CTR	537.10	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
BELLEVUE HEIGHTS PS	1,520.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
BERTRAM HAWKER KGTN	900.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
CALLINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	850.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
CAMPBELLTOWN PRE-SCHL CTR	700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
CHALLA GARDENS PS	1,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
COOBER PEDY AREA SCHOOL	1,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
COOMANDOOK AREA SCHOOL	1,300.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
CRYSTAL BROOK KINDERGARTEN	250.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
DERNANCOURT PRIMARY SCHOOL	450.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
DOVER KINDERGARTEN	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
EAST MURRAY AREA SCHOOL	320.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ELIZABETH DOWNS PS	2,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ELIZABETH O'GRADY KG TN	900.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ELIZABETH PARK PS	900.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
ELSIE EY KINDERGARTEN	600.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
FLAGSTAFF HILL PS	440.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
GLADSTONE HIGH SCHOOL	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
GLENELG PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
HACKHAM SOUTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
HALLETT COVE EAST PS	680.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
HAWTHORNDENE PRIMARY SCHOOL	600.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
JAMESTOWN PRE-SCHL KG TN	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KADINA PRE-SCHOOL CENTRE INC	300.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KADINA PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,050.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KAPUNDA KINDERGARTEN	1,100.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KARCULTABY AREA SCHOOL	550.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KAROONDA AREA SCHOOL	760.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KARRENDI PRIMARY SCHOOL	950.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KAURNA PLAINS PRE-SCHOOL	800.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
KEITH AREA SCHOOL	1,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
LOCK AREA SCHOOL	1,300.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
LOXTON PRE-SCHOOL CTR INC	800.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
LYNDOCH PRIMARY SCHOOL	850.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
MAGILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,850.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
MCKAY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	850.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
MCLAREN VALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	465.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
MINLATON & DISTRICT KGTN	800.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
MODBURY SCHOOL CPC-7	1,300.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE PRE-SCHL KGTN	1,300.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
NAIRNE PRIMARY SCHOOL	700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
NOARLUNGA DOWNS PS	800.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
NORTH INGLE PRIMARY SCHOOL	900.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
O'SULLIVAN BEACH PS	840.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PARA HILLS WEST PS	1,600.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PARINGA PARK PS	280.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PENNINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	2,700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PORT AUGUSTA SECONDARY SCHL	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PORT LINCOLN SPECIAL SCHOOL	400.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
PROSPECT KINDERGARTEN	2,200.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
REYNELLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	600.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
SALISBURY NORTH WEST PS	600.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
SEACLIFF COMMUNITY KGTN	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
BRIARS SPECIAL EARLY LEARNING	700.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
TUMBY BAY AREA SCHOOL	500.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WALLAROO PRE-SCHL CTR INC	750.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WESTBOURNE PARK PS	400.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WILLIAM LIGHT R-12 SCHOOL	958.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WILLUNGA PRESCHOOL	330.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WINDSOR GARDENS VOC COLLEGE	990.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WIRREANDA HIGH SCHOOL	980.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
WOODCROFT PRIMARY SCHOOL	2,000.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
OPEN ACCESS COLLEGE	1,200.00	PARENTS IN EDUCATION	Y
SOUTHERN FUTURES INC	28,974.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SOUTHERN FUTURES INC	28,974.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SOUTHERN FUTURES INC	28,974.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SOUTHERN FUTURES INC	28,974.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	17,215.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CARLTON SCHOOL	2,200.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
BOWDEN BROMPTON COMMUNITY SCHL	4,400.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
BOWDEN BROMPTON COMMUNITY SCHL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
EDWARD JOHN EYRE HS	1,650.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
EDWARD JOHN EYRE HS	21,769.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
EDWARD JOHN EYRE HS	11,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
ENFIELD HIGH SCHOOL	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
STUART HIGH SCHOOL	5,973.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SMITHFIELD PLAINS HS	21,694.20	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
ROSS SMITH SECONDARY SCHOOL	10,847.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PARAFIELD GARDENS HS	16,270.65	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WILLUNGA HIGH SCHOOL	1,085.70	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PORT AUGUSTA SECONDARY SCHL	27,500.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WILLUNGA HIGH SCHOOL	21,694.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PORT AUGUSTA SECONDARY SCHL	3,615.70	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CRAIGMORE HIGH SCHOOL	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WINDSOR GARDENS VOC COLLEGE	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
JOHN PIRIE SECONDARY SCHOOL	5,500.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SALISBURY HIGH SCHOOL	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
JOHN PIRIE SECONDARY SCHOOL	18,788.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
JOHN PIRIE SECONDARY SCHOOL	22,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
LE FEVRE HIGH SCHOOL	16,270.65	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
LE FEVRE HIGH SCHOOL	22,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WOODVILLE HIGH SCHOOL	16,270.65	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
GAWLER HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SALISBURY EAST HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SEAVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SEAVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	3,615.70	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SEAFORD 6-12 SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
FREMONT-ELIZABETH CITY HS	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
FINDON HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SEATON HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WIRREANDA HIGH SCHOOL	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WIRREANDA HIGH SCHOOL	19,250.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
WIRREANDA HIGH SCHOOL	3,729.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PARALOWIE SCHOOL	16,270.65	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
REYNELLA EAST HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
REYNELLA EAST HIGH SCHOOL	22,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
ABERFOYLE PARK HIGH SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CHRISTIES BCH HS/STHN VOC COLL	5,500.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PARA WEST ADULT CAMPUS	10,847.10	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
PARA WEST ADULT CAMPUS	22,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CHRISTIES BCH HS/STHN VOC COLL	37,964.85	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CHRISTIES BCH HS/STHN VOC COLL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CHRISTIES BCH HS/STHN VOC COLL	3,615.70	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CHRISTIES BCH HS/STHN VOC COLL	3,615.70	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
HALLETT COVE SCHOOL	5,423.55	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
BASSANI L	6,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CITY OF PLAYFORD	6,600.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	11,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	1,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	1,225.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	1,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	55.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	11,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	22,000.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	4,999.50	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	1,540.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	4,950.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	4,950.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	4,950.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	814.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	814.00	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
MISSION AUST	1,128.24	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
CENTRAL NTH ADEL HEALTH SVCE	3,349.50	ICAN (INNCOMACTNETWK)	Y
AUSTN BUREAU OF STATS	7,440.00	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
EDUCATION & THE ARTS, DEPT OF	110,338.00	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
EDUCATION.AU LTD	1,247.05	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
EDUCATION.AU LTD	44,099.50	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
CURRICULUM CORPRN	48,094.28	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
MCEETYA	741,693.70	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
MCEETYA	16,692.30	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
MCEETYA	39,903.60	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
ACER LTD	4,213.00	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
ACER LTD	8,712.00	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
ACER LTD	5,819.00	MCEETYA FUNDING COMM	Y
REVISE SCHEME INC	2,200.00	MIN CONSULT CTTEE	Y
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	46,748.05	OPERATING GRANTS	Y
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	94,710.00	OPERATING GRANTS	Y
CURRICULUM CORPRN	187,550.00	OPERATING GRANTS	Y
ST MICHAEL'S COLLEGE	1,100.00	08 MEC GRANT/047798	Y
ENDEAVOUR COLLEGE	2,750.00	08 MEC GRANT/047800	Y
EAST MURRAY AREA SCHOOL	1,200.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047769	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
YOUTH EDUCATION CENTRE	2,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047770	Y
COORARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,700.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047771	Y
RAMCO PRIMARY SCHOOL	3,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047772	Y
RENMARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,790.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047773	Y
BERRI PRIMARY SCHOOL	185.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047774	Y
MANSFIELD PARK PS	550.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047775	Y
CADELL PRIMARY SCHOOL	3,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047776	Y
TUMBY BAY AREA SCHOOL	1,800.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047777	Y
NORWOOD MORIALTA HIGH SCHOOL	3,025.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047778	Y
LE FEVRE HIGH SCHOOL	550.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047779	Y
OPEN ACCESS COLLEGE	1,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047780	Y
WILLIAM LIGHT R-12 SCHOOL	1,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047781	Y
INGLE FARM EAST PS	1,350.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047782	Y
ELIZABETH PARK PS	4,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047783	Y
MODBURY HIGH SCHOOL	600.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047784	Y
UNDERDALE HIGH SCHOOL	1,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047785	Y
KARCULTABY AREA SCHOOL	2,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047786	Y
BELLEVUE HEIGHTS PS	1,050.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047787	Y
NORTH HAVEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	2,500.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047788	Y
THE PINES PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047789	Y
KAURNA PLAINS SCHOOL	3,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047790	Y
FLAGSTAFF HILL KGTN	950.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047791	Y
ST BERNADETTE'S SCHOOL	825.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047792	Y
OPEN ACCESS COLLEGE	2,000.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047793	Y
BLACKFRIARS PRIORY SCHOOL	1,100.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047794	Y
OUR LADY SACRED HEART COLLEGE	1,650.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047795	Y
ROSTREVOR COLLEGE	550.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047795	Y
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	1,100.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047797	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN SPRING HEAD TRINITY LUTHERAN	1,100.00	2008 MEC GRANT/047799	Y
ADEL JAPANESE COMM SCHOOL	825.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048564	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH	4,400.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048565	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF ST GEORGE	8,195.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048566	Y
SCHOOL OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE	6,270.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048567	Y
CHINESE CULTURE & EDUC CTR SA	2,200.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048568	Y
BULGARIAN EDU & FRIEND SOC INC	2,200.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048569	Y
CROATIAN SPORTS CTR OF SA INC	2,640.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048570	Y
SERBIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,500.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048571	Y
LAC-VIET VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	4,200.00	2008 NEEDS GRANT/048572	Y
ADEL JAPANESE COMM SCHOOL	8,360.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045932	Y
ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D'ADEL INC	11,871.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045933	Y
BEIT SHALOM HEBREW LANG SCHL	1,086.80	ESB STATE GRANT/045934	Y
BO DE VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	6,353.60	ESB STATE GRANT/045935	Y
DAC LO VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHL	89,870.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045936	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (ADEL)	1,504.80	ESB STATE GRANT/045937	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE	5,852.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045938	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH	5,350.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045939	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF ST GEORGE	11,035.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045940	Y
GREEK ORTH COM OF NOR E/SUB	9,614.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045941	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF SA INC	36,366.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045942	Y
GREEK ORTH PARISH OF ST ANDREW	3,929.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045943	Y
GREEK PAR & GUARD SOC OF VIRG	2,675.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045944	Y
OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOC SA INC	49,407.60	ESB STATE GRANT/045945	Y
ITALIAN DIDAC CNTRE OF SA INC	20,231.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045946	Y
LATVIAN SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE INC	1,839.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045947	Y
CHINESE SCHOOL OF CHINESE	10,951.60	ESB STATE GRANT/045948	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
RIVERLAND SINGH SOCIETY	1,254.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045949	Y
SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	10,617.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045950	Y
SCHOOL OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE	3,845.60	ESB STATE GRANT/045951	Y
SCHOOL OF ST NICHOLAS PARISH	3,929.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045952	Y
SERBIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	6,992.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045953	Y
ST DIMITRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX	9,112.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045954	Y
ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	4,765.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045955	Y
ST SPYRIDON GREEK ORTH COM INC	10,868.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045956	Y
UKRAINIAN COMMUNITY SCHOOL	752.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045957	Y
VIETNAMESE COMM IN AUST	46,983.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045958	Y
CHINESE CULTURE & EDUC CTR SA	21,401.60	ESB STATE GRANT/045959	Y
SIKH SOCIETY OF SA INC	1,003.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045960	Y
BULGARIAN EDU & FRIEND SOC INC	1,504.80	ESB STATE GRANT/045961	Y
ADELAIDE KOREAN LANGUAGE SCHL	2,842.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045962	Y
POLISH SCHOOL 'TADEUSZ KOSC'	2,758.80	ESB STATE GRANT/045963	Y
PARISH OF ST RAPHAEL GREEK SCH	5,183.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045964	Y
SUKULU NA BARI ASSOC INC	3,093.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045965	Y
GURU NANAK SOCY OF AUST SCHL	2,675.20	ESB STATE GRANT/045966	Y
CROATIAN SPORTS CTR OF SA INC	1,504.80	ESB STATE GRANT/045967	Y
CHINESE WELFARE SVCES SA INC	2,090.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045968	Y
AFGHAN UNITED ASSOC OF SA INC	22,906.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045969	Y
HINDI SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE	752.40	ESB STATE GRANT/045970	Y
ADELAIDE LITHUANIAN SCHOOL	836.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045971	Y
AFGHAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	3,268.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045972	Y
PAPUA NEW GUINEA ETHNIC SCHOOL	760.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045973	Y
CEYLON TAMIL ASSOC OF SA INC	1,672.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045974	Y
GABRIELA MISTRAL SPAN SCHOOL	1,292.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045975	Y
CHINESE ASSOC OF SA INC -	8,512.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045976	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CROATIAN CATHOLIC CENTRE	5,396.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045977	Y
EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	4,788.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045978	Y
ETHNIC SCHL OF ARAB LANGUAGE	6,080.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045979	Y
FREE SERBIAN ORTH CHC COM SCHL	3,648.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045980	Y
HELLENIOS AKADEMIA INC	2,128.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045981	Y
IMMANUEL GREEK SCHOOL	4,864.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045982	Y
GREEK SCHLS OF PAR & GUARD P/A	7,752.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045983	Y
HUNGARIAN COMM SCHL ADEL INC	912.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045984	Y
AIAPE M/GAMB SCHL OF ITAL LANG	1,064.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045985	Y
LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	3,420.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045986	Y
KOREAN PRESB CHURCH OF ADEL	7,144.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045987	Y
LAC LONG VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCH	26,980.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045988	Y
LAO ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,672.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045989	Y
MACEDONIAN ORTHODOX SCHOOL	1,368.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045990	Y
MALTESE LANGUAGE SCHL OF ADEL	2,128.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045991	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE ISLAMIC & ICES	1,672.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045992	Y
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,064.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045993	Y
RENMARK GRK ORTH A/NOON SCHL	4,256.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045994	Y
RUSSIAN MOLOKAN SCHOOL INC	5,928.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045995	Y
SLAVIC BAPTIST CHURCH SCHL OF	1,748.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045996	Y
TATAR—BASHKURT ETHNIC SCHOOL	836.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045997	Y
THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	5,320.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045998	Y
AL-FAROOA ARAB EDUC CENTRE	4,636.00	ESB STATE GRANT/045999	Y
ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SERBIAN	1,748.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046000	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF BERRI SA	2,432.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046001	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (I/F)	988.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046002	Y
SOUTHERN AREA POLISH SCHOOL	3,648.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046003	Y
TURKISTAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,824.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046004	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ADELAIDE TATAR ETHNIC SCHOOL	608.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046005	Y
NORTHERN SUB KHMER ETHNIC SCHL	2,660.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046006	Y
NEW ERA PERS LANG & CUL SCHL	4,256.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046007	Y
MARONITE ARABIC SCHOOL OF SA	1,216.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046008	Y
BANGLA SCHOOL	1,368.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046009	Y
ADEL SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	4,028.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046010	Y
SPANISH CLUB OF SA INC	1,520.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046011	Y
THANG TIEN SCHOOL	1,900.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046012	Y
AUSTRALIAN DRUZE ARABIC SCHOOL	6,688.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046013	Y
POLISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL	2,660.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046014	Y
EL HODA ARABIC SCHOOL	1,900.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046015	Y
PORT LINC HELLENIC ASS GRK SCH	380.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046016	Y
SYRIAN SCHOOL OF SA	1,748.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046017	Y
SUDANESE ETHNIC SCHL OF SA INC	6,460.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046018	Y
NUER COMMUNITY ASSOC OF SA SCH	1,064.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046019	Y
FIJIAN SCHL OF LANG & CULTURE	1,368.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046020	Y
ETHIOPIAN COMM SCHL OF ETH LAN	2,128.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046021	Y
OM HINDI SCHOOL	1,064.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046022	Y
PEACE AND HARMONY NETWORK	3,876.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046023	Y
BANGLADESHI COMMUNITY SCHOOL	2,052.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046024	Y
SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	5,092.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046025	Y
SWEDISH CLUB OF SA	1,292.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046026	Y
LAC-VIET VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	2,432.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046027	Y
ASSOC OF UKRAINIANS IN SA INC	2,736.00	ESB STATE GRANT/046028	Y
CHINESE CULTURE & EDUC CTR SA	790.40	GST ON 2007 PERCAPITA	Y
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	15,785.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ADELAIDE TRAINING & EMPL CTR	1,292.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE NTHN ADEL	4,664.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE— SPENCER	550.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	30,911.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BURC COLLEGE	3,127.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
CEDAR COLLEGE	20,524.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	26,573.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	6,031.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	26,354.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	5,582.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	32,775.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	16,036.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	25,278.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	4,684.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	24,574.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	4,237.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	305.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	9,519.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	16,809.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	3,885.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	20,713.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	28,475.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	36,512.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	39,718.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	10,365.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	18,271.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	24,489.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	27,863.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	3,289.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	2,236.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	13,223.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	8,646.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	3,461.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	70,020.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	11,312.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	30,497.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	17,644.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	15,588.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	37,147.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	31,663.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	22,118.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	55,430.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	9,541.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	14,463.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	20,276.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	25,132.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	47,198.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	36,457.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	32,771.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	23,177.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	7,588.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	28,351.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	83,441.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	10,840.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	8,748.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	14,800.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	3,983.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	8,164.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	20,445.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	41,859.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	200,164.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	78,424.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	2,406,222.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	469,345.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	8,497.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	41,867.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ADELAIDE TRAINING & EMPL CTR	85,638.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE NTHN ADEL	89,877.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE—SPENCER	25,012.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	18,944.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	167,377.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ADELAIDE TRAINING & EMPL CTR	54,688.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE NTHN ADEL	84,760.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN TECH COLLEGE—SPENCER	35,544.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	450,840.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BURC COLLEGE	148,045.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CEDAR COLLEGE	281,146.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	295,812.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	73,513.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	212,466.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	150,948.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	299,315.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	357,177.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	380,426.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	32,216.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	354,962.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	21,910.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	68,295.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	177,556.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	135,164.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	85,585.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	96,372.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	251,271.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	399,476.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	520,588.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	58,806.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	161,927.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	414,964.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	249,582.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	51,313.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST SPYRIDON COLLEGE	66,896.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	235,555.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	269,967.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	38,887.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	326,133.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	44,700.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	657,713.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	168,967.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	356,989.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	339,222.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	326,594.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	447,935.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	336,534.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	382,309.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	127,465.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	245,961.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	287,500.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	526,991.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	318,850.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	457,985.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	127,306.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	58,165.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	336,057.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	658,146.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	193,520.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	220,781.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	474,749.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	25,000.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	247,276.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	185,664.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	593,678.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	2,029,913.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	5,352,289.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	435,107.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	3,847,077.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	439,363.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	540,830.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BURC COLLEGE	101,161.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
CEDAR COLLEGE	324,899.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	435,696.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	211,513.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	243,189.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	356,404.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	308,855.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	507,776.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	846,978.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	47,668.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	707,408.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	55,576.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	199,382.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	418,334.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	129,164.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	163,852.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	452,171.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	960,337.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	1,032,520.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	141,518.30	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	325,157.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	715,184.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	506,699.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	88,331.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	542,414.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	556,986.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	93,386.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	777,803.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	127,998.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	971,836.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	347,595.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	674,429.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	790,479.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	652,416.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	861,196.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	693,803.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	806,817.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	366,208.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	377,780.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	433,048.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	981,959.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	640,037.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	812,666.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	235,708.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	89,889.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	621,571.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	1,527,876.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	315,137.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	427,462.20	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	862,262.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	93,226.10	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	518,592.80	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	303,006.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	4,330,071.90	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	865,381.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	9,870,514.50	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	9,900,000.00	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
SA COMM FOR CATHOLIC SCHLS INC	7,888,885.40	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	1,167,954.70	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	37,956.60	NON GVT SCH PER CAP	N
AUSTN PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	8,911.50	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,466.13	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	35,332.84	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	11,777.61	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SMALL SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA	18,669.00	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	36,904.50	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	36,904.50	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA SPEC SCHLS PRINCIPALS ASSOC	18,042.20	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
SA AREA SCHOOL LEADER'S ASSOC	21,402.00	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y
PRESCHOOL DIRECTORS ASSOC SA	27,063.30	PRINCIPALS ASSO PYMT	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2007-08 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
COMMUNITY BUSINESS BUREAU INC	15,000.00	GRANT	Y
SA SCIENCE TEACHERS ASSOC INC	11,000.00	SPEC GRANT—EXT ORG	Y
MUSICA VIVA AUST	22,000.00	SPEC GRANT—EXT ORG	Y
SA SCIENCE TEACHERS ASSOC INC	11,000.00	SPEC GRANT—EXT ORG	Y
CHILDREN, YOUTH & WOMEN'S HLTH	1,257,850.00	SPECIAL SCHLS-STATE	Y
CHILD & YOUTH HEALTH	1,200,375.00	SPECIAL SCHLS-STATE	Y
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
NORTH EAST EARLY LEARNING PROG	1,253.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
RIDLEY GROVE ELP	1,523.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	609.40	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
ECHUNGA TOY LIBRARY	500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
CLARE TOY LIBRARY	3,500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
NORTH EAST EARLY LEARNING PROG	4,500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
CLARE TOY LIBRARY	3,500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
NORTH EAST EARLY LEARNING PROG	4,500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
GOODWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES	2,475.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
NORTH EAST EARLY LEARNING PROG	4,500.00	OPERATING—TOY LIB	N
DFEEST SHARED SERVICES	431,000.00	TRADE SCHOOLS	N
	149,996,593.59		

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
PORT AUGUSTA CONGRESS FAITH CO	16,400.00	Grant	Y
SMALL SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA	3,000.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SOUTHERN FUTURES INC	45,200.00	Grant	N
SMALL SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA	18,307.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
FUSION AUST LTD	65,600.00	Grant	Y
AUSTN PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	8,911.50	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
LAP ASSOC	10,454.55	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	19,513.00	Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
NORTHERN FUTURES INC	13,490.00	Grant	N
QUALITY LINCS INC	5,000.00	Grant	Y
QUALITY LINCS INC	40,871.00	Grant	Y
QUALITY LINCS INC	9,911.50	Grant	Y
AUSTN PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	8,911.50	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CEASA INC	70,000.00	Middle School Conference Funding	Y
CEASA INC	70,000.00	Middle School Conference Funding	Y
BAGSTER COMMUNITY HOUSE	40,200.00	Grant	Y
AUSTN SCHOOL SPORTS COUNCIL	14,708.00	Grant	N
CEASA INC	22,000.00	Middle School Conference Funding	Y
CEASA INC	77,000.00	Middle School Conference Funding	Y
CEASA INC	77,000.00	Middle School Conference Funding	Y
SA ASSOC OF SCHL PARENT CLUBS	47,363.00	Operating Grant	Y
SPELD (SA) INC	99,323.00	Operating Grant	Y
FAMILY LIFE SA	4,394.35	Operating Grant	Y
FAMILY LIFE SA	75,000.00	Operating Grant	Y
FAMILY LIFE SA	113,190.00	Operating Grant	Y
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	31,271.25	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA PRIMARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	10,423.75	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
MATHEMATICAL ASSOC OF SA	2,249.00	Grant	N
MATHEMATICAL ASSOC OF SA	2,249.00	Grant	N
MATHEMATICAL ASSOC OF SA	2,149.00	Grant	N
KURA YERLO COUNCIL INC	12,790.98	Grant	N
KURA YERLO COUNCIL INC	2,500.00	Grant	N
KURA YERLO COUNCIL INC	11,071.36	Grant	N
BAIKIE J	1,050.00	Grant	N
YACHAD ACCELERATED LEARNNG PROJ	30,000.00	Grant	N
YACHAD ACCELERATED LEARNNG PROJ	30,000.00	Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
UNITINGCARE WESLEY PT ADEL INC	48,902.00	Grant	Y
SA ASSOC OF S/SCHL ORG INC	91,851.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	74,622.57	Grant	Y
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	68,771.05	Grant	Y
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	75,642.15	Grant	Y
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	72,656.41	Grant	Y
SNAICC	10,000.00	Grant	N
PORT PIRIE YOUTH SECTOR NETWK	46,325.00	Grant	N
PORT PIRIE YOUTH SECTOR NETWK	46,325.00	Grant	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	221,601.00	School Card Grant	N
UNIVERSITY OF SA FINANCE UNIT	8,085.00	Grant	N
SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	35,928.50	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
AUSTN BUREAU OF STATS	6,895.00	Grant	N
SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	69,952.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CURRICULUM CORPRN	43,722.07	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CURRICULUM CORPRN	170,500.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CURRICULUM CORPRN	77,800.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CURRICULUM CORPRN	61,107.62	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
RECONCILIATION SA INC	5,000.00	Grant	N
RELATIONSHIPS AUST (SA) INC	75,000.00	Grant	Y
BOYSTOWN	68,475.00	Grant	Y
SA SPEC SCH PRINCIPALS ASSOC	15,968.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
TAFE SA ADELAIDE SOUTH	5,777.25	Grant	N
TAFE SA REGIONAL—PT LINCOLN	5,777.25	Grant	N
TAFE SA REGIONAL—PT LINCOLN	3,500.00	Grant	N
TAFE SA REGIONAL—PT LINCOLN	713.00	Grant	N
DFEEST	33,000.00	Grant	N
EDUCATION & THE ARTS, DEPT OF	149,296.00	Grant	N
EDUCATION & THE ARTS, DEPT OF	2,417.00	Grant	N
WOMEN'S STUDIES RESOURCE CTR	23,500.00	Grant	Y
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	354,232.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	446,582.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
BURC COLLEGE	52,633.00	NGS July Funding payment	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CEDAR COLLEGE	214,533.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	367,767.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11&12	172,248.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	205,435.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	311,326.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	277,141.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	386,607.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	734,747.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	58,317.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	565,831.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	48,832.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	27,635.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	124,536.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	377,322.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	108,500.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	123,941.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	385,722.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	873,487.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	128,535.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	253,439.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	589,370.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	442,239.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	68,547.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	481,033.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	454,776.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	68,391.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	708,854.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	64,102.00	NGS July Funding payment	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	908,735.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	311,124.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	563,658.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	579,565.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	560,260.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	738,042.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	719,174.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	695,881.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	296,107.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	314,339.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	398,860.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	856,174.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	495,895.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	596,593.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	204,018.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	68,504.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
THE LIGHT COMMUNITY MONTESSORI	34,756.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	535,675.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	1,278,232.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	276,854.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	379,400.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	778,359.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	85,917.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	435,103.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	238,188.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	1,012,198.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	3,924,123.00	NGS July Funding payment	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	717,988.00	NGS July Funding payment	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	4,380,838.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	8,195,033.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	836,714.00	06 JULY PAYMENT	N
ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D'ADEL INC	1,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
BO DE VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	4,500.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE	8,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	5,500.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
ADELAIDE LITHUANIAN SCHOOL	2,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
CROATIAN CATHOLIC CENTRE	4,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	3,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	2,000.00	Ethnic Schools Needs Funding	Y
CITY OF PLAYFORD	30,000.00	Grant	N
CITY OF PLAYFORD	22,000.00	Grant	N
PRIMARY SCHOOL SPORT-SAPSASA	4,400.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
LAC LONG VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCH	12,274.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D'ADEL INC	5,548.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BEIT SHALOM HEBREW LANG SCHL	532.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BO DE VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	3,078.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
DAC LO VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHL	36,670.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (ADEL)	836.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE	1,862.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH	2,774.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF ST GEORGE	5,358.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTH COM OF NOR E/SUB	4,560.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF SA INC	17,176.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
GREEK PAR & GUARD SOC OF VIRG	1,102.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK SCHLS OF PAR & GUARD P/A	3,686.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOC SA INC	21,698.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ITALIAN DIDAC CNTRE OF SA INC	9,994.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CHINESE SCHOOL OF CHINESE	5,624.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
RENMARK TURKISH PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,520.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
RIVERLAND SINGH SOCIETY	532.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	4,598.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SCHOOL OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE	1,254.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SCHOOL OF ST NICHOLAS PARISH	2,318.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SERBIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	3,572.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ST DIMITRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX	3,952.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	2,090.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ST SPYRIDON GREEK ORTH COM INC	4,864.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
UKRAINIAN COMMUNITY SCHOOL	608.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
VIETNAMESE COMM IN AUST	20,102.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BULGARIAN EDU & FRIEND SOC INC	494.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ADELAIDE KOREAN LANGUAGE SCHL	1,292.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
POLISH SCHOOL TADEUSZ KOSC'	1,178.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
PARISH OF ST RAPHAEL GREEK SCH	2,356.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SUKULU NA BARI ASSOC INC	988.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GURU NANAK SOCY OF AUST SCHL	950.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CHINESE WELFARE SVCES SA INC	874.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ARIANA FARSI SCHOOL	10,602.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
HINDI SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE	418.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ADEL JAPANESE COMM SCHOOL	3,610.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ADELAIDE LITHUANIAN SCHOOL	456.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
PAPUA NEW GUINEA ETHNIC SCHOOL	266.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CEYLON TAMIL ASSOC OF SA INC	836.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GABRIELA MISTRAL SPAN SCHOOL	684.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CHINESE ASSOC OF SA INC—	3,420.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CROATIAN CATHOLIC CENTRE	2,584.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	2,394.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ETHNIC SCHL OF ARAB LANGUAGE	3,116.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
FREE SERBIAN ORTH CHC COM SCHL	1,634.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
HELLENIOS AKADEMIA INC	1,330.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
IMMANUEL GREEK SCHOOL	2,204.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK COMM OF C/PEDY SCHOOL	456.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
HUNGARIAN COMM SCHL ADEL INC	418.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
AIAPE M/GAMB SCHL OF ITAL LANG	836.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	1,330.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
KOREAN PRESB CHURCH OF ADEL	3,268.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE ISLAMIC & ICES	798.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	570.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
RUSSIAN MOLOKAN SCHOOL INC	2,926.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SLAVIC BAPTIST CHURCH SCHL OF	760.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
TATAR—BASHKURT ETHNIC SCHOOL	418.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	2,128.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
AL-FAROOA ARAB EDUC CENTRE	2,166.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SERBIAN	722.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF BERRI SA	1,292.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (I/F)	608.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SOUTHERN AREA POLISH SCHOOL	1,862.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
TURKISTAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	836.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SIKH SOCIETY OF SA INC	418.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ADELAIDE TATAR ETHNIC SCHOOL	304.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
NORTHERN SUB KHMER ETHNIC SCHL	1,444.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
NEW ERA PERS LANG & CUL SCHL	2,052.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
MARONITE ARABIC SCHOOL OF SA	608.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BANGLA SCHOOL	608.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ADEL SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	1,938.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
THANG TIEN SCHOOL	1,140.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
AUSTRALIAN DRUZE ARABIC SCHOOL	3,496.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
POLISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL	1,216.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
PORT LINC HELLENIC ASS GRK SCH	266.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
NUER COMMUNITY ASSOC OF SA SCH	760.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
FIJIAN SCHL OF LANG & CULTURE	1,102.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
OM HINDI SCHOOL	532.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BANGLADESHI COMMUNITY SCHOOL	874.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	2,318.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SWEDISH CLUB OF SA	722.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
LAC-VIET VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	1,140.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
GREEK ORTH PARISH OF ST ANDREW	1,254.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CROATIAN SPORTS CTR OF SA INC	570.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
RENMARK GRK ORTH A/NOON SCHL	2,166.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
LATVIAN SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE INC	646.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
ETHIOPIAN COMM SCHL OF ETH LAN	798.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
MALTESE LANGUAGE SCHL OF ADEL	456.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
EL HODA ARABIC SCHOOL	1,216.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SUDANESE ETHNIC SCHL OF SA INC	2,926.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
MACEDONIAN ORTHODOX SCHOOL	646.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
MACEDONIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	380.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
SYRIAN SCHOOL OF SA	836.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
AFGHAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,406.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ASSOCN OF UKRAINIANS IN SA INC	608.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CHINESE CULTURE & EDUC CTR SA	7,904.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
PEACE AND HARMONY NETWORK	1,254.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
CENTRO COMMUNITARIO ESPANOL	684.00	First Semester Ethnic Schools Funding	Y
BANKSIA PARK FAMILY CTR	230.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
OCEAN VIEW P-12 COLL—TAPEROO	250.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ROSARY SCHOOL	310.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ROSE PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	250.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ST BERNADETTE'S SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
AUSTN SCHOOL SPORTS COUNCIL	16,178.80	2007/2008 ANNUAL GRANT	N
EDUCATION.AU LTD	32,941.82	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
EDUCATION.AU LTD	37,789.09	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
SA SECONDARY PRINCIPALS ASSOC	35,928.50	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	10,000.00	Grant	Y
WOMEN'S STUDIES RESOURCE CTR	23,500.00	Grant	Y
CARCLEW YOUTH ARTS CENTRE INC	26,000.00	Grant	Y
DOWN SYNDROME SOCY OF SA	4,500.00	Sp Education Funding	Y
SECONDARY SCHOOL SPORT SA	4,400.00	Grant	N
CITY OF PLAYFORD	3,375.00	Grant	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	2,509.00	Grant	N
Teachers accommodation allowance	6,000.00	Accommodation Allowance	N
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	18,484.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	19,562.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
BURC COLLEGE	2,550.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
CEDAR COLLEGE	17,296.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	Y
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	17,548.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	8,692.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	21,724.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	9,076.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	16,296.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	15,932.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	24,608.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	2,248.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	28,328.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	2,688.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	128.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	8,094.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	13,798.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	4,714.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	20,168.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	33,168.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	37,422.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	34,478.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	14,004.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	18,308.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	31,902.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	20,244.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	3,120.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	14,756.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	7,836.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	3,784.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	63,694.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	11,608.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	23,320.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	15,672.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	17,090.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	33,842.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	34,476.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	17,202.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	57,194.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	10,334.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	15,854.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	16,466.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	20,979.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	48,092.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	36,118.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	26,940.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	22,350.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	5,536.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	29,988.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	80,724.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	8,700.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	12,526.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	13,790.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	2,476.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	10,658.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	14,256.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	38,130.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	197,616.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	76,834.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	2,115,864.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	450,012.00	NGS SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING	N
SA AREA SCHOOL LEADER'S ASSOC	20,968.00	ANNUAL GRT*2006-07	N
SA STATE SCHLS ADMIN OFF ASSOC	13,100.00	ANNUAL GRT*2006-07	Y
AUSTN QUAL F/WORK ADV BOARD	17,160.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
PRIMARY HEALTH CARE SERVICES	3,100.00	Bike Project funding	N
TAFE SA TEA TREE GULLY CAMPUS	2,000.00	Child Care Grant Funding	N
VARIOUS COUNTRY STUDENT TEACHERS	449,000.00	Country Student Teacher	N
VARIOUS COUNTRY TEACHERS	35,250.00	Country Teacher Practicum	N
VARIOUS COUNTRY TEACHERS	40,000.00	Country Teacher Scholarship	N
DIST COUNCIL OF COOBER PEDY	552.00	Grant	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	81,066.42	Grant	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	71,404.57	Grant	N
CITY OF MARION	77,500.00	Grant	N
CHILDREN, YOUTH & WOMEN'S HLTH	82,121.00	Grant	Y
VARIOUS TEACHERS	4,000.00	DRMS Grant	N
LAC LONG VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCH	15,162.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ETHNIC SCHL OF ARAB LANGUAGE	2,356.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (ADEL)	988.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE	2,508.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH	3,648.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF ST GEORGE	6,308.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTH COM OF NOR E/SUB	4,294.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF SA INC	15,960.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTH PARISH OF ST ANDREW	1,064.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK PAR & GUARD SOC OF VIRG	646.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
OVERSEAS CHINESE ASSOC SA INC	23,028.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ITALIAN DIDAC CNTRE OF SA INC	9,310.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
LATVIAN SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE INC	722.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
POLISH EDUC SOC OF SA INC	1,254.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CHINESE SCHOOL OF CHINESE	5,472.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
REMARK TURKISH PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,558.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
RIVERLAND SINGH SOCIETY	456.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SCHOOL FOR THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	4,864.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SCHOOL OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE	1,368.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SCHOOL OF ST NICHOLAS PARISH	2,774.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ST DIMITRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX	2,432.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ST MARY'S VIETNAMESE SCHOOL	2,660.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ST SPYRIDON GREEK ORTH COM INC	4,750.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
UKRAINIAN COMMUNITY SCHOOL	532.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
VIETNAMESE COMM IN AUST	22,040.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK ORTH COMM OF BERRI SA	1,368.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CHINESE CULTURE & EDUC CTR SA	11,248.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BULGARIAN EDU & FRIEND SOC INC	722.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ADELAIDE KOREAN LANGUAGE SCHL	1,102.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
POLISH SCHOOL TADEUSZ KOSC	1,596.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
PARISH OF ST RAPHAEL GREEK SCH	2,280.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GURU NANAK SOCY OF AUST SCHL	570.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CROATIAN SPORTS CTR OF SA INC	570.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CHINESE WELFARE SVCES SA INC	1,026.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
HINDI SCHOOL OF ADELAIDE	532.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ADEL JAPANESE COMM SCHOOL	3,458.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ADELAIDE LITHUANIAN SCHOOL	380.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
AFGHAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,634.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
PAPUA NEW GUINEA ETHNIC SCHOOL	304.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CEYLON TAMIL ASSOC OF SA INC	760.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GABRIELA MISTRAL SPAN SCHOOL	1,178.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CHINESE ASSOC OF SA INC—	4,940.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CROATIAN CATHOLIC CENTRE	3,344.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
EGYPTIAN COPTIC SCHOOL	2,394.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
FREE SERBIAN ORTH CHC COM SCHL	1,634.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
HELLENIOS AKADEMIA INC	1,482.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
IMMANUEL GREEK SCHOOL	1,710.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
GREEK COMM OF C/PEDY SCHOOL	494.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
GREEK SCHLS OF PAR & GUARD P/A	3,610.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
HUNGARIAN COMM SCHL ADEL INC	874.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
AIAPE M/GAMB SCHL OF ITAL LANG	608.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
LET'S TALK TOGETHER ASSOC INC	1,216.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
KOREAN PRESB CHURCH OF ADEL	2,964.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
MACEDONIAN ORTHODOX SCHOOL	1,064.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
MALTESE LANGUAGE SCHL OF ADEL	912.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	760.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
REMARK GRK ORTH A/NOON SCHL	2,166.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
RUSSIAN MOLOKAN SCHOOL INC	2,508.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SERBIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	3,952.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SLAVIC BAPTIST CHURCH SCHL OF	684.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ALBANIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	760.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
THE ARABIC LANGUAGE SCHOOL	2,508.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
AL-FAROOA ARAB EDUC CENTRE	2,356.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SERBIAN	798.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
FILIPINO ETHNIC SCHL SA (I/F)	684.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SOUTHERN AREA POLISH SCHOOL	1,786.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
TURKISTAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	1,254.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SIKH SOCIETY OF SA INC	380.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ADELAIDE TATAR ETHNIC SCHOOL	266.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
AFTER SCHOOL GREEK CLASSES AT	1,178.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
NORTHERN SUB KHMER ETHNIC SCHL	1,140.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BOSINA & HERC MUSLIM SOC SA IN	532.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
NEW ERA PERS LANG & CUL SCHL	2,280.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
MARONITE ARABIC SCHOOL OF SA	1,292.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BANGLA SCHOOL	608.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ADEL SRI LANKA BUDD VIHARA INC	1,862.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
MACEDONIAN ETHNIC SCHOOL	760.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
CENTRO COMMUNITARIO ESPANOL	798.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
THANG TIEN SCHOOL	722.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
AUSTRALIAN DRUZE ARABIC SCHOOL	3,762.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
POLISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL	1,140.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SPIRIT OF DAVID ADEL HEBREW SC	1,558.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
PORT LINC HELLENIC ASS GRK SCH	266.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SYRIAN SCHOOL OF SA	1,520.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
NUER COMMUNITY ASSOC OF SA SCH	608.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SUKULU NA BARI ASSOC INC	988.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
VITI ASSOC SA INC	1,786.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ETHIOPIAN COMM SCHL OF ETH LAN	456.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
OM HINDI SCHOOL	988.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
PEACE AND HARMONY NETWORK	2,128.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BANGLADESHI COMMUNITY SCHOOL	950.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SOMALI ETHNIC SCHOOL OF SA	2,546.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE ISLAMIC & ICES	950.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
EL HODA ARABIC SCHOOL	608.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SUDANESE ETHNIC SCHL OF SA INC	3,496.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ARIANA FARSI SCHOOL	7,410.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
ALLIANCE FRANCAISE D'ADEL INC	4,674.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BEIT SHALOM HEBREW LANG SCHL	380.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
BO DE VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHOOL	2,926.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
DAC LO VIETNAMESE ETHNIC SCHL	34,884.00	Ethnic Schools Second Semester	Y
SA PUBLIC SCHLS MUSIC SOCY INC	20,000.00	Festival of Music	N
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	214.00	Grant	N
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	360.00	Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	2,270.00	Grant	N
FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES DEP FOR	96,000.00	Grant	N
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	500.00	HIS 3066/041348	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	500.00	HIS 3067/041349	N
KIRTON POINT PRIMARY SCHOOL	250.00	HIS 3069/041351	N
COOPER PEDY AREA SCHOOL	250.00	HIS 3070/041352	N
VARIOUS HOME SUPERVISORS	11,000.00	Home Supervisor Grant	N
MCEETYA	674,226.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
MCEETYA	63,018.40	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
MCEETYA	31,000.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
MCEETYA	18,138.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
MUSICA VIVA AUST	20,000.00	Grant	N
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	56,200.00	Grant	N
PORT ADELAIDE F/BALL CLUB LTD	30,000.00	Grant	N
PORT ADELAIDE F/BALL CLUB LTD	30,000.00	Grant	N
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	30,000.00	Grant	N
SERVICE TO YOUTH COUNCIL INC	3,204.55	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	7,141.11	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	6,330.12	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	7,212.54	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	261,757.78	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	245,081.56	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	323,375.40	Grant	N
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF AUST	245,916.05	Grant	N
SA FILM CORPRN	25,000.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	79,903.45	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	123,386.89	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	105,505.23	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	2,571,750.00	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	2,571,750.00	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	58,804.07	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	170,438.21	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	71,563.71	Student Concession grants	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	2,571,750.00	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	87,540.30	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	89,553.58	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	5,521.24	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	120,357.51	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	197,434.09	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	2,571,750.00	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	38,673.81	Student Concession grants	N
DTEI-PUBLIC TRANSPORT DIVN	121,999.93	Student Concession grants	N
ARTS SA	46,200.00	Grant	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	814.80	Grant	N
PREMIER & CABINET DEPT OF	2,000.00	Grant	N
PREMIER & CABINET DEPT OF	200,000.00	Grant	N
AUSTN COUNCIL FOR EDUC RES LTD	16,345.45	Grant	N
AUSTN COUNCIL FOR EDUC RES LTD	19,615.45	Grant	N
AUSTN COUNCIL FOR EDUC RES LTD	26,410.00	Grant	N
DISTRICT COUNCIL OF ROBE	5,000.00	Grant	N
ALBERTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,000.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
BANKSIA PARK FAMILY CTR	520.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
BERRI PRIMARY SCHOOL	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
CLARE PRIMARY SCHOOL	2,700.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
DERNANCOURT PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,250.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
EAST TORRENS PRIMARY SCHOOL	900.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ENFIELD HIGH SCHOOL	1,090.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
FLAXMILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	3,950.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
FLINDERS VIEW PS	1,200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
FRASER PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
FULHAM GARDENS PS	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
LOCKLEYS PRIMARY SCHOOL	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MAGILL JUNIOR PS	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
MEADOWS PRIMARY SCHOOL	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MURRAY BRIDGE CHILD CARE CTR	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
OCEAN VIEW P-12 COLL—TAPEROO	500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
POINT PEARCE ABORIGINAL SCHOOL	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
PORT BROUGHTON AREA SCHOOL	700.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
RENMARK CHILDREN'S CENTRE	1,250.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
RENMARK HIGH SCHOOL	2,150.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
STIRLING NORTH C/HD SVCS CTR	4,060.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
THEBARTON SENIOR COLLEGE	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
TINTINARA AREA SCHOOL	1,360.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
UNLEY HIGH SCHOOL	1,000.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
WILLIAM LIGHT R-12 SCHOOL	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
WINDSOR GARDENS VOC COLLEGE	720.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
WOODVILLE HIGH SCHOOL	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
CHRISTIAN BROTHERS' COLLEGE	2,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ENDEAVOUR COLLEGE	1,000.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MARYMOUNT COLLEGE	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MASSADA COLLEGE	500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ROSTREVOR COLLEGE	750.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ST BERNADETTE'S SCHOOL	550.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ST JOSEPH'S SCHOOL—HINDMARSH	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ST MARTIN DE PORRES SCHL	1,500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	900.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ROSE PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	500.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
ROSARY SCHOOL	460.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
KINGSTON COMMUNITY SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
LOXTON HIGH SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
NURIOOTPA HIGH SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
VICTOR HARBOR HIGH SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
CORNERSTONE COLLEGE	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
UNITY COLLEGE INC	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
JAMESTOWN COMMUNITY SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MILLICENT HIGH SCHOOL	200.00	Multicultural Education Grant	Y
MISSION AUST	37,800.00	Grant	N
PRINCIPAL PANEL TRAINING PMC	8,670.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
AUSTN CHILDREN'S TELEVSN FDTN	20,000.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
AUSTN CHILDREN'S TELEVSN FDTN	1,495.35	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
SA SPEC SCH PRINCIPALS ASSOC	3,000.00	National Conference	N
VARIOUS TEACHERS	30,000.00	NEW BEGINNINGS	N
BANKSIA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	2,400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
EASTERN FLEURIEU R-12 SCHL	2,400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
COORARA PRIMARY SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KANGARILLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
LOXTON PRE-SCHOOL CTR INC	2,160.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST JOSEPH'S SCHL—PT LINCOLN	2,000.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
UNLEY KINDERGARTEN	2,400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WIN NEWBY KINDERGARTEN	2,400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WOODCROFT HEIGHTS PRE-SCHL	1,600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ALLENDALE EAST AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
AUGUSTA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CRYSTAL BROOK KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MAGILL JUNIOR PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MAGILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MOUNT PLEASANT & DIST KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WOODVILLE WEST KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ALLENBY GARDENS PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ALLENDALE EAST AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ASCOT PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
AUGUSTA PARK C/HOOD SVCS CTR	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
AUGUSTA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BLAIR ATHOL PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BURNSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CLARENDON PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CRYSTAL BROOK KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
DAVOREN PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
EDITHBURGH PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
FLINDERS VIEW PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
FORBES PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
FULHAM NORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
GLANDORE COMMUNITY KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
GOODWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HACKHAM EAST KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HALLETT COVE SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HALLETT COVE SOUTH PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HAWTHORNDENE KGTN INC	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HEATHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KADINA PRE-SCHOOL CENTRE INC	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KANGARILLA PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KEITH AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KELLER ROAD PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KIRINARI KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KIRTON POINT PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KURRALTA PARK COMMUNITY KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MAGILL JUNIOR PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MAGILL PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MATER CHRISTI SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MCDONALD PARK JUNIOR PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MCKAY CHILDREN'S CENTRE	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MILTABURRA CHILDREN'S CENTRE	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MINLATON & DISTRICT KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MOONTA AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MOORAK PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MORGAN KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MOUNT PLEASANT & DIST KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
NARACORTE PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
NORTH INGLE CHILD PARENT CTR	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PARA HILLS WEST PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PLYMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PORT BROUGHTON KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PROSPECT PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ROBE SOLDIERS' MEMORIAL KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ROSEWORTHY PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SMITHFIELD PLAINS KGTN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SNOWTOWN AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST AGNES PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST BRIGID'S SCHOOL—EVANSTON	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST COLUMBA'S MEMORIAL SCHL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST FRANCIS DE SALES SCHL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST JAKOBI LUTHERAN SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST MARY MAGDALENE'S SCHL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WEST BEACH KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WILLIAMSTOWN PS	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WILLSDEN PRIMARY SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WOODVILLE WEST KINDERGARTEN	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WOOMERA AREA SCHOOL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PARA HILLS WEST PRE-SCHL	75.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ALLENBY GARDENS PS	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ARDROSSAN AREA SCHOOL	640.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ATHELSTONE PRIMARY SCHOOL	500.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
AUBURN PRIMARY SCHOOL	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BARMERA KINDERGARTEN	440.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BELAIR JUNIOR PS	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BOOBOROWIE PRIMARY SCHOOL	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
BROMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CAFE ENFIELD CHILD CARE CTR	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CEDUNA AREA SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
CRAIGMORE SOUTH PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ELIZABETH DOWNS PS	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
FLINDERS VIEW PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
GRANGE PRIMARY SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
GREENWITH KINDERGARTEN	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HAHNDORF PRIMARY SCHOOL	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
HAPPY VALLEY KINDERGARTEN	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HAWKER AREA SCHOOL	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
INVESTIGATOR COLLEGE—GOOLWA	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KARCULTABY AREA SCHOOL	1,200.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KIMBA AREA SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KIRINARI KINDERGARTEN	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
KOOLUNGA PRIMARY SCHOOL	560.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
LAMEROO & DISTRICT KG TN	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
LEIGH CREEK AREA SCHOOL	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MAGILL JUNIOR PS	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MILTABURRA AREA SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
MITCHAM JUNIOR PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
NAIRNE PRIMARY SCHOOL	960.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
NEWBERY PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL	880.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
OUR LADY SACRED HEART COLLEGE	1,200.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PENNINGTON JUNIOR PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PLYMPTON PRIMARY SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PORT PIRIE WEST PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
PORT NOARLUNGA PS	480.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SACRED HEART COLLEGE—MIDDLE	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SETTLERS FARM PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST ANTHONY'S SCHL EDWARDSTOWN	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST COLUMBA COLLEGE	1,040.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST FRANCIS DE SALES SCHL	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	240.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST JOSEPH'S SCHL-HECTORVILLE	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST JOSEPH'S SCHOOL—CLARE	520.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST MARK'S COLLEGE	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SWALLOWCLIFFE JUNIOR PS	1,200.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	440.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
THE PINES JUNIOR PS	600.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
VICTOR HARBOR PS	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WARRADALE PRIMARY SCHOOL	400.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
WUDINNA AREA SCHOOL	960.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
YALATA ANANGU SCHOOL	800.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
ST MICHAEL'S COLLEGE	700.00	Numeracy and Literacy	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	163,000.00	Operating Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	826,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	826,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	826,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	826,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
SENIOR SEC ASSESSMT BOARD SA	975,000.00	Operating Grant	N
ETHNIC SCHOOLS ASSOC OF SA INC	15,160.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
PLAYGROUP SA INC	19,750.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
PLAYGROUP SA INC	19,750.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	N
FED OF CATH SCHL PARENT COMMS	11,251.82	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
DTF SUPPORT OPERATIONS	30,000.00	Grant	N
DTF SUPPORT OPERATIONS	40,000.00	Grant	N
ADELAIDE FESTIVAL CENTRE TRUST	51,000.00	Contribution to Operating Costs	Y
WYNBRING JIDA MACS INC	800.00	Scholarship Fund	N
WYNBRING JIDA MACS INC	800.00	Scholarship Fund	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	85,823.19	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	73,542.79	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	65,407.94	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	90,970.38	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	70,355.71	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	80,110.30	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	77,503.00	Grant for Salaries	N
CATHOLIC EDUCATION SA	70,331.51	Grant for Salaries	N
CHILDREN'S WEEK ASSOC OF SA INC	10,200.00	Specific Project Grant Funding	Y
FED OF CATH SCHL PARENT COMMS	8,160.00	Specific Project Grant Funding	Y
ANNESLEY COLLEGE	216,632.00	NGS State Grant	N
BETHANY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	258,679.00	NGS State Grant	N
BURC COLLEGE	79,232.00	NGS State Grant	N
CEDAR COLLEGE	209,048.00	NGS State Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
CRAIGMORE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	200,579.00	NGS State Grant	N
EYNESBURY COLLEGE YRS 11 & 12	102,621.00	NGS State Grant	N
HARVEST CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	111,745.00	NGS State Grant	N
HERITAGE COLLEGE INC	153,100.00	NGS State Grant	N
HORIZON CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	125,590.00	NGS State Grant	N
ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF SA LTD	275,190.00	NGS State Grant	N
KING'S BAPTIST GRAMMAR SCHL	367,860.00	NGS State Grant	N
KIRINARI COMMUNITY SCHOOL INC	4,036.00	NGS State Grant	N
MARY MACKILLOP COLLEGE	355,652.00	NGS State Grant	N
MASSADA COLLEGE	23,472.00	NGS State Grant	N
MELROSE PARK SCHOOL	21,710.00	NGS State Grant	N
MID NORTH CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	135,426.00	NGS State Grant	N
MOUNT BARKER WALDORF SCHOOL	168,926.00	NGS State Grant	N
MUIRDEN SENIOR SECONDARY	60,041.00	NGS State Grant	N
MURRAY BRIDGE CHRISTIAN	90,021.00	NGS State Grant	N
MURRAYLANDS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	204,020.00	NGS State Grant	N
PEDARE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	413,709.00	NGS State Grant	N
PEMBROKE SCHOOL	467,541.00	NGS State Grant	N
PILGRIM SCHOOL	55,722.00	NGS State Grant	N
PORTSIDE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	170,549.00	NGS State Grant	N
PRINCE ALFRED COLLEGE	339,441.00	NGS State Grant	N
PULTENEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	215,957.00	NGS State Grant	N
RIVERLAND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	46,677.00	NGS State Grant	N
SCOTCH COLLEGE	223,460.00	NGS State Grant	N
SEYMOUR COLLEGE	268,633.00	NGS State Grant	N
SOUTHERN MONTESSORI SCHOOL	53,356.00	NGS State Grant	N
SOUTHERN VALES CHRISTIAN	306,136.00	NGS State Grant	N
SOUTHERN YORKE PENINSULA	102,782.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE	138,383.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST ANDREW'S SCHOOL	140,385.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST DOMINIC'S PRIORY COLLEGE	315,335.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST GEORGE COLLEGE INC	449,078.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST JOHN'S GRAMMAR SCHOOL	287,835.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST MARY'S COLLEGE	383,711.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST PAUL'S COLLEGE	185,204.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGE	352,257.00	NGS State Grant	N
ST PETER'S COLLEGIATE GIRLS'	179,890.00	NGS State Grant	N

Name of Grant Recipient	Amount of 2006-07 Grant	Purpose of Grant	Subject to Grant Agreement (Y/N)
ST PETER'S WOODLANDS GRAMMAR	177,113.00	NGS State Grant	N
SUNEDEN SPECIAL SCHOOL	163,540.00	NGS State Grant	N
SUNRISE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	424,003.00	NGS State Grant	N
BETHESDA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	338,811.00	NGS State Grant	N
TEMPLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	462,863.00	NGS State Grant	N
THE HILLS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY	102,818.00	NGS State Grant	N
THE HILLS MONTESSORI SCHOOL	48,470.00	NGS State Grant	N
TORRENS VALLEY CHRISTIAN SCHL	274,451.00	NGS State Grant	N
TYNDALE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	712,460.00	NGS State Grant	N
UNIVERSITY SENIOR COLLEGE	133,065.00	NGS State Grant	N
WALFORD ANGLICAN SCHOOL FOR	175,927.00	NGS State Grant	N
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	341,523.00	NGS State Grant	N
WHYALLA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	35,665.00	NGS State Grant	N
WILDERNESS SCHOOL	238,465.00	NGS State Grant	N
WILLUNGA WALDORF SCHOOL	157,527.00	NGS State Grant	N
WOODCROFT COLLEGE INC	507,592.00	NGS State Grant	N
SA ANGLICAN SCHOOLS SYSTEM LTD	1,719,740.00	NGS State Grant	N
LUTHERAN SCHLS ASSOC OF SA INC	4,650,293.00	NGS State Grant	N
SA CONF OF THE SDA CHURCH	410,755.00	NGS State Grant	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	NGS State Grant	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	9,000,000.00	NGS State Grant	N
SA COM FOR CATH SCHOOLS INC	3,422,196.00	NGS State Grant	N
CHILD & YOUTH HEALTH	1,091,250.00	Grant for Access Assistants	Y
CHILD & YOUTH HEALTH	305,050.00	Grant for Access Assistants	Y
TARPEENA PRIMARY SCHOOL	250.00	Workshop grants	N
ALLENDALE EAST AREA SCHOOL	500.00	Workshop grants	N
MODBURY SCHOOL CPC-7	250.00	Workshop grants	N
SALISBURY HIGH SCHOOL	500.00	Workshop grants	N
HACKHAM WEST PRIMARY SCHOOL	250.00	Workshop grants	N
CHRISTIES BEACH PS	250.00	Workshop grants	N
ST COLUMBA COLLEGE	500.00	Workshop grants	N
PRESCOTT PRIMARY SCHOOL—STHN	250.00	Workshop grants	N
CARITAS COLLEGE	250.00	Workshop grants	N
	154,006,061.28		

AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORT

The SPEAKER: I lay on the table a supplementary report of the Auditor-General for the year ended 30 June 2008—Agency Audit Reports and a Matter of Specific Audit Comment, June 2009.

Ordered to be published.

RENEWABLE ENERGY

The Hon. M.D. RANN (Ramsay—Premier, Minister for Economic Development, Minister for Social Inclusion, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Sustainability and Climate Change) (14:03): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. M.D. RANN: South Australia currently leads the nation in renewable energy generation. We have excelled in the renewable energy sector and are rightly proud of our record, but we have not exhausted our potential to develop a world-leading renewable energy industry in South Australia—and I want to put the emphasis on the word 'industry'.

Today I am laying the groundwork to help make this vision a reality, with the aim of making renewable energy the next pillar of our state's economy. People would know that, in terms of the diversification of our economy, we went hell for leather to win defence contracts, to get mining exploration going and to attract overseas students and we believe that renewable energy, building on what we have achieved already, is another important pillar for our future economy.

Renewable energy is a global growth industry. Established technologies are changing and improving rapidly. New technologies are coming online and demand is expanding for component parts at a fast pace. We have an opportunity to capitalise on this robust new sector, providing our state with increased growth in green jobs, while taking care of our environment and playing our part to address climate change.

This is a win-win proposition. I therefore have no hesitation in moving to establish renewable energy as the next big growth sector of the South Australian economy, to take its place alongside our other key economic drivers including mining and defence. Australia is well positioned for renewable energy expansion. We currently host 56 per cent of the nation's wind power, 90 per cent of its geothermal investment and nearly 30 per cent of its grid-connected solar systems, which is by far the highest in Australia. Our current target as a state is to generate 20 per cent of our electricity from renewable energy by 2014. This target was considered tough when it was first set, but South Australia is well on its way to meeting this target nearly a year ahead of schedule.

South Australia is also in line to be more than six years ahead of the commonwealth's nationally-mandated target of achieving 20 per cent of electricity generation through renewable sources by 2020. Obviously South Australia is endowed with world-class natural renewable energy resources in wind, solar and geothermal. Tapping these resources has required substantial capital investment. To attract this investment this government put in place the most supportive regulatory frameworks for renewable energy development in Australia.

For wind, we have a land use planning system and policies that investors regard as Australia's best practice for speed, transparency and predictability. For geothermal, our legislation provides a clear, straightforward set of rules supported by streamlined administration. For solar, we introduced the first solar feed-in law in Australia under which householders and small energy consumers using solar panels are paid at a premium rate for excess electricity which they feed back into the grid. Investment in this sector reduces greenhouse gas emissions and helps to identify low emissions energy sources for the future. It also creates jobs for South Australians now and into the future.

For this reason, in its recent economic statement, the Economic Development Board (EDB) recommended that South Australia further develop its renewable energy industry to firmly establish itself as Australia's leading clean energy state. The EDB recommended that South Australia 'build on its green credentials and its unique natural advantages in generating solar, wind, wave and geothermal electricity with the dual objectives of achieving emission reductions and building economic activity in the state'. Acting on the EDB's advice, this government is committing not only to maintain South Australia's leading edge in renewable energy investment but in launching a major expansion in the industry that drives innovation, actively seeks new investment and promotes the growth of green jobs.

Today I am announcing new initiatives that expand our current foundation and set us up to achieve these ends. First, the government is raising its current bar, setting a new target that aims to generate one-third of our state's electricity from renewable energy by 2020. This new target is consistent with advice received by two independent experts. In international terms, this target will keep South Australia at the forefront of jurisdictions supporting renewable energy. The new target will be supported by a new \$20 million renewable energy fund to support the acceleration of investment into renewable energy.

The first application of the fund will be for geothermal energy development, an area where South Australia is aiming to be truly an international leader. The fund will provide \$1.6 million over two years to create the South Australian Centre of Excellence for Geothermal Research, partnering with the University of Adelaide and other institutions to make Adelaide a hub for geothermal research. This centre will work closely with the geothermal industry, which has already concentrated more than 90 per cent of its international investment into South Australia.

In addition, the Renewable Energy Fund will be applied to supporting activities that give South Australia an edge in competing for and attracting renewable energy investment. The fund may be applied to activities such as providing information to assist potential investors in forms such as renewable resource atlases; commissioning advice on international best practice in regulation; providing direct support to key projects, supporting technologies not covered by commonwealth programs, such as small scale renewables and use of renewable heat (for example, tri-generation, which converts heat into cooling); and commissioning research and feasibility studies.

Recommendations on the application of remaining funds will come to me from the new RenewablesSA Board, an independent board of experts led by Bruce Carter, the chair of the Economic Development Board. The board will develop strategic advice for the government on renewable energy policy, issues and opportunities. It will also prepare a new framework for attracting investment and oversee its implementation.

The RenewablesSA Board will be supported by a new Renewable Energy Commissioner. The commissioner will provide policy and planning leadership, and develop and oversee the implementation of detailed strategies to increase investment in renewable energy. A similar role in renewable energy that Andrew Fletcher currently undertakes in terms of Defence SA in winning billions of dollars worth of investment in defence projects and contracts for our state.

An important early task for RenewablesSA will be to encourage direct investment in all the various stages of the technology development process, from research and development through to downstream activities such as manufacturing, distribution and related services.

What I am announcing today is a means by which we can achieve both environmental and economic results. Indeed, the renewable energy sector is the clearest example of how the two can be brought together. A booming renewable energy industry means jobs for South Australians.

With the guidance of RenewablesSA, South Australia aims to further increase its share of renewable energy investment and remain Australia's green energy powerhouse, creating sustainable jobs for the future.

PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the table:

By the Premier (Hon. M.D. Rann)—

Remuneration Tribunal, Determination and Report—No. 3 of 2009—Ministers of the Crown and Officers and Members of Parliament

By the Minister for Transport (Hon. P.F. Conlon)—

Regulations under the following Act—
Road Traffic—Crossings

By the Attorney-General (Hon. M.J. Atkinson)—

Supreme Court of South Australia, Judges of—Report 2008
Summary Offences Act—
Dangerous Area Declarations—1 January to 31 March 2009—Return
Road Block Establishment Authorisations—1 January to 31 March 2009—Return
Regulations under the following Acts—

Bill of Sale—Bills of Sale
 Community Titles—Plans and Maps
 Legal Practitioners—Fees
 Real Property—General
 Strata Titles—
 Fees
 Plans and Maps

By the Minister for Environment and Conservation (Hon. J.W. Weatherill)—

Maralinga Lands Unnamed Conservation Park Board—Report 2007-08

By the Minister for Families and Communities (Hon. J.M. Rankine)—

Death in Custody—Findings of Deputy Coroner—Minister for Consumer Affairs
 Response—18 May 2009
 Regulations under the following Act—
 Liquor Licensing—
 Birdwood High School
 Mannum

By the Minister for Industrial Relations (Hon. P. Caica)—

Regulations under the following Acts—
 Daylight Savings—Revocation
 Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation—Claims and Registration—Registration of
 Employers

By the Minister for Gambling (Hon. A. Koutsantonis)—

Approved Licensing Agreement between SkyCity Adelaide Pty Ltd and the Minister for
 Gambling—Third Amending Agreement
 Codes of Practice under the following Act—
 Authorised Betting Operations—
 Advertising (Inducements)—Variation
 Responsible Gambling (Inducements)—Variation

By the Minister for Employment, Training and Further Education (Hon. M.F. O'Brien)—

Flinders University—Report 2008
 University of Adelaide—
 Report 2008
 Financial Statements 2008

SWINE FLU

The Hon. J.D. HILL (Karna—Minister for Health, Minister for the Southern Suburbs, Minister Assisting the Premier in the Arts) (14:13): I seek leave to make a ministerial statement.

Leave granted.

The Hon. J.D. HILL: H1N1 influenza has now emerged in Australia, after being detected across the Americas and much of Europe and Asia over the past two months. H1N1, or swine flu, as it is known, is a new type of human influenza virus which causes respiratory disease and was first detected in April this year in Mexico and the USA. This virus is spread from person to person in the same way that seasonal flu is passed on, through airborne droplets produced by an infected person coughing or sneezing.

In late April, the pandemic alert level was raised by the World Health Organisation from phase 4 to phase 5, as cases began to emerge across the globe. According to the World Health Organisation's latest report, there have been 17,410 cases of swine flu recorded across 62 countries, including 115 deaths.

Australia's first case of swine flu was reported on 9 May, in Queensland in a person who had travelled from the United States and, fortunately, that person is now fully recovered. Here in

South Australia our state's first case was reported on 21 May. A 15 year old girl from Eynesbury College tested positive for swine flu. Her 40 year old mother and her 12 year old brother, who attends Blackfriars Priory, also contracted the virus.

Both Eynesbury College and Blackfriars were closed for seven days to contain any potential spread of the flu. Both schools have now reopened. So far, that action seems to have been successful, with no new cases emerging from either school. Meanwhile, another four South Australians have also tested positive to swine flu, bringing the total number of cases in our state to seven.

Those four people were all passengers on board the *Pacific Dawn* cruise ship which docked in Sydney on 25 May. A number of cases have emerged across the nation from that voyage. Four other South Australians are currently being tested for swine flu. These people are quarantined at home pending those results. Nationwide, there have been 407 confirmed cases of swine flu. I want to stress that there have been no deaths recorded from swine flu in Australia at this stage.

The commonwealth government's pandemic alert was raised from 'delay' to 'contain' on 22 May 2009, an acknowledgement that swine flu had arrived in Australia. The aim of this phase has been to contain the spread of the virus within Australia through strong measures, including the closing of affected schools for a seven-day period where a case has been detected.

In this state, we have also requested that schools, preschools and childcare centres ask that children returning from overseas locations where swine flu is widespread in the community to stay at home for seven days. Most importantly, the quarantine process is helping us to stop the spread of swine flu to the most vulnerable in our community while there is no vaccine for this virus.

So far, the virus seems to be mild and no worse in virulence to a normal seasonal flu. None of the South Australians with the virus have been hospitalised and all are recovering well. That said, as with normal seasonal flu, there will be mortality associated with this illness, and we need to take sensible precautions to limit the spread and ensure that treatment is available, particularly for those with risk factors, such as those with respiratory disease.

Federal health authorities, with their state counterparts, including SA Health, are constantly reviewing the appropriate phase of action in light of the number of cases across the nation. Under the Pandemic Action Plan, Victoria is currently considering moving to a 'sustain' phase, which would involve a greater focus on treatment of the disease and prevention in regard to vulnerable groups. This would be an understandable decision given the prevalence of the virus now in Victoria. I understand that there are over 300 cases in that state.

The 'sustain' phase would focus on contact tracing and testing on a household rather than on a community contacts basis. It would therefore deliver more resources for the treatment and prevention of the disease, particularly amongst the most vulnerable groups, such as the elderly, children and those with chronic disease. The approach to school and workplace closures would also change, but it would still be considered on a case-by-case basis.

If the rate of new cases continues, it is likely that Australia will move to this stage over the coming days or weeks. Of course, the production of a vaccine will be a key step in reducing the threat of this potential pandemic. The commonwealth has already placed an order with CSL for the first batch of human swine flu vaccination which the company has suggested could be ready within months.

In South Australia, this government is working with federal authorities and other states to ensure that our community is protected as much as possible, particularly people with chronic disease, the elderly and the very young. The state government has put more resources into our Communicable Disease Control Branch, with extra staff to assist our existing team. A dedicated hotline for GPs has been set up by SA Health to provide GPs who are on the front line of this spread of virus to have access to the latest information and advice. In addition to this hotline, regular bulletins are being sent to GP clinics with the latest updates. GP representatives have also been regularly briefed by SA Health officials.

The state government is also planning for dedicated flu clinics to ease the load on our emergency departments and GPs. These would be initially at the Royal Adelaide, Flinders, the Lyell McEwin and the Women's and Children's, but at other sites if necessary. In country South Australia, Berri, Mount Gambier, Port Lincoln, Whyalla and Port Augusta are designated flu hospitals and are ready to respond as needed. These flu hospitals will have dedicated high

dependency beds set aside for ill patients in the event of a serious flu pandemic and, of course, hospital beds across all country hospitals will be used as required by country patients.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the hard-working people within the SA Health system, particularly the managers of communicable diseases within the department, South Australian GPs and our public hospital doctors and nurses for all their efforts over the past fortnight. I also want to thank the principals, staff, students and parents of Eynesbury College and Blackfriars Priory for their fast response, patience and tolerance during their period of quarantine. The two schools acted quickly in the best interests of their students and were very cooperative with officials during this difficult period.

Finally, I want to remind the community that the commonwealth has a national hotline for swine flu which can be contacted on 180 2007. Please remember that the best thing we can do this winter—and all members should pass this on to their electorate where they can—to protect our families, friends, workmates and ourselves is to wash, wipe and cover so that we do not infect another.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

Ms CICCARELLO (Norwood) (14:23): I bring up the 333rd report of the committee on the Police Academy redevelopment.

Report received and ordered to be published.

VISITORS

The SPEAKER: I draw to honourable members' attention members of the Australian Retired Persons Association in the gallery today who are guests of the member for Florey.

QUESTION TIME

CREDIT RATING

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite—Leader of the Opposition) (14:25): Why is the Treasurer now promoting the view that the state's credit rating is at risk of downgrade when revenues are set to hold or increase? In the recent federal budget, special purpose payments to South Australia were significantly higher than previously budgeted, more than compensating for declining revenue from GST.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations) (14:25): I have said before, and this now highlights the fact, that the Leader of the Opposition cannot read a government balance sheet and understand the very basics of the funding that the state receives. We have a number of sources of revenue. We have fees and charges, which are part of our own source revenue. The other component of that, of course, is state based taxation.

Mr Pengilly: Land tax.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Yes, land tax—exactly. Then we receive two lots of money from the federal government. We receive special purpose payments from the commonwealth which, in effect, are tied grants or for a specific area of activity. Then we get GST. The Premier and I at a COAG meeting earlier this year signed the next four or five-year round of funding. One component of that, of course, is the health care agreement. That is the next stage of funding for us to continue providing the services that the commonwealth has already funded us for, plus growth money. That simply enables us to do what we currently are funded by the commonwealth to do.

The next component of money is the discretionary money that we get from the commonwealth and we choose what we want to spend that on. It was previously called untied grants. That is down quite considerably—about \$800 million a year—and our own source revenue is down \$200 million plus. So, we are down in the order of nearly \$4 billion over the forward estimates period. That is a hole.

Mr Griffiths: What about the money for infrastructure projects?

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Infrastructure is a separate entity. Again, they just do not understand—

The Hon. P.F. Conlon: You don't spend infrastructure money in hospitals.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Yes. These people, eight months out from an election, purporting to be an alternate government, and the shadow finance minister says, 'What about spending capital money?' You cannot spend capital money on medical, police or education services; you get capital money from the commonwealth to spend on capital. For the Leader of the Opposition, the shadow treasurer of this state, in budget week to ask such a woefully inadequate, wrong and silly question, to suggest that the \$4 billion that we have received—

Ms CHAPMAN: On a point of order, Mr Speaker, the minister is clearly impugning the motive of the questioner and indulging in debate.

The SPEAKER: Order! There is no point of order.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: The commonwealth government has funded this state for decades through special purpose payments. That is where the Minister for Health gets his health money, separate from the money that we put in; it is where the education minister gets her money for teaching, separate from what we put in. The COAG agreement that the Premier signed—

Ms Chapman: What's the answer?

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: —is the continuation of those programs plus growth money. We are \$4 billion down across the forward estimates over the next four to five years. That is why our AAA credit rating is at risk. That has occurred as we know in the back end of last year and the early part of this year. It has been a dramatic drop away. The IMF in June or July last year was predicating global growth of some 3.5-plus per cent from memory; it is now predicating worldwide contraction, the first time for many years.

Even the leader himself on radio yesterday said when referring to the budget:

...it's a very important Budget for the state this one, in the most difficult of times.

So, he actually acknowledges that we are in the most difficult of times. That is why our AAA credit rating is at risk. How about this for a quote from the Leader of the Opposition? He said:

What happened is that the government has an expenses problem. During the good times they failed to tighten their belt. They let things go, and now revenues are declining—

He just said that they are not declining; yesterday, they were declining—

and they have been caught short.

The guy says one thing one day and another thing the next day—but it gets better. If members can make sense of this comment, please come up to me and tell me:

It's like any other business: you must use the good times to make hay so that when things turn down you can go forward.

What does that mean? 'Like a business, you use the good times to make hay,' I assume to go crazy, and then, when things turn down, you go forward. What does that mean? Honestly! That was after a two week break in the lead-up to a state budget.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: The shadow treasurer goes on holiday; I have never known that to occur in the lead-up to a state budget.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Anyway, he comes back—

Mr Pengilly: One rule for yourself and another for everyone else.

The SPEAKER: Order, the member for Finniss!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: I was working. But I am not in opposition. I do not think I ever went overseas in the lead-up—

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Sorry?

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: I was not on holiday: I was seeing Moody's and banks—one week.

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms Chapman: One week?

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: I was only away a week. I saw a lot of other people, too.

The SPEAKER: The deputy leader will come to order!

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: It doesn't bother me. All I am saying is that when I was a shadow minister we used to attack government right up to budget day. That is sort of what oppositions do. You guys went on a break for a couple of weeks. You went walkabout, and I just find that extraordinary. I would not have done that in opposition. Anyway, what it says is that the Leader of the Opposition comes back yesterday and makes statements that no-one can make sense of. He walks into this place today—

The Hon. P.F. Conlon: And contradicts himself.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: —and contradicts himself from a day earlier, completely misunderstands the nature of state finances and, embarrassingly, confuses sources of revenue. To compound matters, the would-be treasurer sitting over there thinks he can spend capital on doctors, nurses and teachers. Months out from an election, as the alternative government, you are a rabble and a shambles.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

FORESTRY

The Hon. L. STEVENS (Little Para) (14:33): My question is to the Minister for Forests. What initiative is the state government leading to ensure the sustainable development of plantation forestry?

The Hon. P. CAICA (Colton—Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries, Minister for Industrial Relations, Minister for Forests, Minister for Regional Development) (14:33): The government and, I presume, everyone in the house are committed to the continuing development of a productive and sustainable forestry industry that provides optimal results to the South Australian economy through future investment in jobs, particularly in regional South Australia.

Mr Williams interjecting:

The Hon. P. CAICA: Don't you support the forestry industry, Mitch? That is our fault, is it? You have to be kidding me. I apologise for responding to interjections, sir, which is just as disorderly as making them. We on this side and, I would have thought, those on the other side might have been interested in this, given that, to a very great extent, their core constituency is located in the areas where forests are

The government (and I will rephrase it), but apparently not the opposition, is acutely aware of the growing consumer preference for sustainably grown forest products. There is undoubtedly a broad consumer expectation that forest managers are aware of the critical need to look after the environment and engage with the communities in which they operate.

It is for this reason the government recently completed a review of previous forestry industry guidelines in consultation with key industries and other participants in this important sector, leading to the release of the new Guidelines for Plantation Forestry in South Australia 2009.

Since plantation forestry began in South Australia the industry has maintained a very high standard of land management, environment protection and viability of the forest estate, and in recent years there has been an expansion in areas established to plantation forestry in the higher rainfall regions of South Australia.

The Guidelines for Plantation Forestry in South Australia 2009 promote planning, establishment, management and harvesting of forest plantations in accordance with principles of

ecologically sustainable forest practices. The guidelines are influenced by the commonwealth government's forest practices in the National Principles Related to Wood Production in Plantations statement. I know the opposition spokesperson is familiar with these principles.

The content of the guidelines is also consistent with other state forest management codes of practice or their equivalent, and they have been prepared to complement and refer to relevant commonwealth and state legislation standards, codes of practice and other guidelines. The guidelines include, amongst other things, proposed new buffer widths for unplanted strips of land adjacent to water courses, where water quality is the primary concern. This aspect brings the new guidelines into line with other state forest management codes of practice or their equivalent.

I think this is important: with the emergence of carbon offset programs, bio-energy and forests established for natural resource management benefits, further potential exists for sustainable growth of the plantation forest industry in South Australia. Forest management practices which are consistent with the guidelines will help to ensure that the forest industry in our state maintains a capacity to provide job and investment opportunities while simultaneously helping to deliver significant environmental outcomes that will benefit all South Australians.

STATE AQUATIC CENTRE

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite—Leader of the Opposition) (14:37): My question is to the Premier. What probity matters have been discussed with the Crown Solicitor with regard to the government's handling of the Marion aquatic centre project? In June 2008 the Premier announced that the Aqua43 consortium would build the new aquatic centre as a PPP. Yesterday Mr Jim Hallion, CEO of the Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure, told the Budget and Finance Committee that he and Mr Rod Hook had met with the Crown Solicitor, Mr Stretton, regarding probity issues relating to the project.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations) (14:37): I will get a fuller answer for the leader on that question, as it is understandably an appropriate question. Many members will recall when a previous government—in fact, I think it may have been the member for Davenport—listed the Marion pool as a potential PPP. Is that correct?

Members interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: No, I am not having a crack. I am just asking a question.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: No, I am actually being constructive. I am just giving the history.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: When we came into office we had tried to—is that Kevin Naughton smirking there?

The Hon. P.F. Conlon: He must have got his indemnity.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Yes. When we came into government we put out the project for a PPP, and there was a finance gap. From memory, the commonwealth government had put more money into it, and I recall a number of instances where we looked for probity advice. Initially, from memory—and I will get a more detailed answer—it was to do with changing the various levels of government support for the project, because a medical health centre was involved, the scope of the project changed and, to get the numbers to work, from memory, we had to increase government involvement in the project. We obviously would check (and I insisted on this) to make sure that, given that we were dealing with the private sector, from a probity perspective that was all above board.

My guess is that the specific issue that was raised yesterday (and I have not seen the question or answer) would have related to the fact that, in the end, the advice from Treasury and Finance was that the final deal we attempted to do with the preferred tenderer, where one of the consortium members (from memory it was Macquarie Leisure that was to be the operator of the pool) pulled out of the consortium, really did make our ability to make this a PPP very difficult. In the end, the advice from Treasury was that there was not value for money in trying to make a PPP work: it would be better for the budget if we treat it simply as a government build project and that we bring it onto balance sheet and construct it as a project.

At that point, the building company (from memory, Candetti) was part of the successful consortia that won the right to negotiate through to see whether or not they could conclude a PPP. There was an option there. We could have awarded a contract to Candetti to build, but the cabinet took the view (and the Minister for Infrastructure had referred this project to me for my management and consideration) that we would have to re-compete this project and we looked at some options, including whether or not we paid a consideration to Candetti for his intellectual property and then have other tenderers tender against that (a lot of work had been put into it) or whether we just simply went out in a selected tender process where Candetti could compete against the other builders.

From memory, we received some probity advice on whether or not we could go straight to Candetti and do a deal; sign a contract. I think we would have obtained probity advice as to whether or not we could offer to purchase his IP. I think that would be the mix of the probity advice that we sought. From memory (I stand to be corrected), the probity advice from Simon Stretton is that we could have negotiated directly with the builder, Candetti. However, the government (as it has always done) believes in competition and ensuring that the market has an opportunity to play and to give us the best price. Candetti won it—and, I must say, Mr Candetti has gone through hoops for many months, if not years, on this project.

To cut a long story short, we have landed the project, it will be constructed, and probity advice is sought, as it always is. I do it, as does, I know, the Minister for Infrastructure and other ministers. Whenever we are concerned that there may or may not be issues that could amount to potential problems involving the private sector, we always obtain probity advice from our Crown Solicitor.

INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

Ms FOX (Bright) (14:42): My question is to the Minister for Employment, Training and Further Education. How are international student enrolments faring during the current global financial crisis?

The Hon. M.F. O'BRIEN (Napier—Minister for Employment, Training and Further Education, Minister for Road Safety, Minister for Science and Information Economy) (14:43): South Australia's international education industry continues to defy the international economic downturn, with a record 24 per cent jump in overseas student enrolments in the first three months of the year. This is higher than the national average increase in international student enrolments of 20.8 per cent. The 24 per cent hike has been our strongest growth rate in seven years and, if it continues, we are on target to attract a record 32,000 international students by the end of the year. The international education industry is South Australia's fourth largest export industry and contributed about \$740 million to the state's economy in the 2007-08 year.

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge South Australia's university and training providers for the highly professional international office marketing and recruitment programs that have been responsible for this growth.

As part of the state budget, the state government is also providing \$500,000 for primary and high school fees to be waived for dependants of international higher degree by research students studying at all South Australian universities. This is a positive initiative, which will rectify the disadvantage we have faced against other states offering fee waivers. It means that we will be in a position of parity with our major interstate competitors and it will provide an opportunity to expand our research and innovation base. The fee waiver applies only to higher degree by research students who are sponsored by scholarships from their home countries.

The scheme, to be funded over four years, will boost university efforts to attract the best and brightest students from countries such as Malaysia, Vietnam and the United Arab Emirates. The figures released by Australian Education International are firm evidence that our state is well positioned through our University City Project and our Education Adelaide marketing arm to further improve our position in the international student market. In addition, with the fee waiver I expect the interest in studying in South Australia to continue to grow in the vitally important higher degree by research segment.

I would like to add that this segment is crucially important in boosting the international rankings of our three universities. The more research we can have done by higher degree students in our South Australian universities the higher our ranking in comparison with universities internationally and the more attractive Adelaide is as a destination for overseas students.

ROYAL ADELAIDE HOSPITAL

Mr HAMILTON-SMITH (Waite—Leader of the Opposition) (14:46): My question is to the Treasurer. Has the government further shifted its position on the proposed funding arrangement for the hospital at the rail yards from a public-private partnership financing arrangement to a government debt and build model? On 28 June 2007 during budget estimates the Treasurer said:

All this debate about debt becomes irrelevant. The debt is held by the private sector, and we effectively take a long-term lease payment over it.

The Treasurer has since advised that there will be a mix of PPP and government funding, but on 26 May the Treasurer stated publicly:

The infrastructure around rail electrification and the extensions of rail (in partnership with the Rudd government), new hospitals, desalination plants, these major projects, will be funded by debt, and that is a good thing.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations) (14:47): I am not quite sure that I follow that. The rail project is funded as a government debt/state government build project. The desalination plant will be a publicly-funded, debt-financed utility built by the private sector and maintained and operated by the private sector. The hospital's \$220 million research facility, which the minister has been an absolute champion of and for, together with the professionals in this sector, will be debt funded on the rail yard site.

As for the government's hospital in itself, as we first said, if I can recap, we have always wanted that to be a private sector public-private partnership provided that it met the value for money test. The very first thing you do in all these exercises is that you see whether or not you get value for money by engaging the private sector, or whether you get better value for money by doing it as a government build project. That method is used in all PPPs by all sides of politics since they have been utilised in government.

What has occurred, of course, since my first statements and the minister's first statements on this is the global financial crisis. That has meant that capital has dried up globally, and even state governments have been in some serious difficulty in terms of raising their capital needs to borrow as a state government to build capital infrastructure. Indeed, the state of Queensland led the argument and the request that the commonwealth provide its banking guarantee behind state governments for our bond issuances to ensure that we can get them away in the marketplace given that the commonwealth was already there.

I might just add to that, at this stage, and I said this in a press conference recently, what we are seeing is an improvement in global capital markets. Now, whether or not this will be the beginning of recovery (the green shoots theory) or whether it is just a temporary aberration and the capital markets continue to remain constrained, we will not know for some months. We are seeing—certainly in Australia—a significant improvement in the ability of various entities to raise capital. I think the ANZ Bank itself has recently got away quite a sizeable placement. From this state government's point of view, we are not, at this stage, of a need to utilise the commonwealth guarantee.

We are able, at this stage, to source our borrowing needs without paying the premium of the commonwealth guarantee. It is nice to know that it is there if it gets tough to borrow, but at this stage, with what would appear to be the loosening and beginning of the proper functioning of world capital markets, that may not be needed.

What does this mean for the hospital? The hospital is \$1.7 billion. One would want at least two, and preferably three, good solid, strong consortia to bid, so that you have that competitive tension. What has concerned the government, and I have articulated this in a number of forums, I think, including this place, is that the ability, certainly of a couple of months ago, and probably even just a month ago, for two or three consortia to raise, separately, their own parcel of \$1.7 billion would be very difficult, and certainly in the early part of this year, at that particular point, nigh on impossible.

What we are doing is we are going to the expression of interest in the very near future and we will be asking for consortia that have formed, or are forming, to provide some information on their finance and capabilities in the EOI. I am hopeful that over the next few months, as we go through this process, the world capital markets will get back to proper functioning and the full \$1.7 billion can be provided by the capital markets.

If that is not the case, the option that the government does have, which is a variation of an option that was used up in Queensland, I think, where Queensland had trouble getting the private sector to be able to get away much more than \$300 million or \$400 million, they actually had their own financing authority provide a large proportion of capital to the private consortia.

We will look at, but only if necessary, a capital contribution from the state if there is an overall difficulty in raising the sum total. What does that mean for the project? What it would mean is that the rental stream from the private operator would be discounted by the value of the equity grant to the project, but whatever we did, it would be, in my opinion, a no-strings-attached grant so that the risk still stayed with the private sector.

We have a number of models. We have had the Royal Bank of Canada giving us advice, from memory, on the various options available. They are options that are being used in other states. But I am quietly hopeful, given the period that it will take to take this project through to tender, that by the time we get to selecting the successful consortia or downsizing the list to a competitive three there will be a return to normality of global markets and we will get this project away fully financed by the private sector.

RESPIRE HOUSING

Ms SIMMONS (Morialta) (14:53): My question is to the Minister for Housing. Can she inform the house of exciting new initiatives which will deliver respite housing for people with a disability?

The Hon. J.M. RANKINE (Wright—Minister for Families and Communities, Minister for Northern Suburbs, Minister for Housing, Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability) (14:53): I thank the member for Morialta for her question. Today I would like to inform the house of a project at Park Holme which highlights how cooperative partnerships deliver good outcomes for our community.

As members would know, the Community Accommodation Respite Agency (CARA) is the largest provider of respite services in South Australia. The South Australian government provides something like \$13 million annually for the provision of respite services through CARA, and they do a great job in helping to alleviate the pressures families feel from time to time when a member of their family has a disability.

A third and important partner has joined with CARA and Housing SA in providing another respite opportunity. I recently visited a Housing SA property at Park Holme that will be converted into a six bedroom disability-friendly respite house, and I spoke with Westfield regional manager, Malcolm Creswell and CARA chief executive, Greg Box.

Mr Creswell told me how Westfield had become interested in the project when staff were considering how Westfield could more effectively contribute to our community and how staff could support community initiatives. Westfield has joined the increasing number of business organisations that allow staff to volunteer. It also wanted the company to become more involved than simply making a monetary donation with no further involvement. As part of a normal conversation, mention was made by a staff member that he and his wife had some free time for a social activity because their child, who had a disability, was accessing respite. This simple exchange has resulted in Westfield partnering with Housing SA and CARA in this most recent development.

The construction work in refurbishing this Housing SA property is being undertaken and managed by Westfield, and staff are being involved in the upgrade and development of the outdoor recreation areas. We know that it is vitally important that families have the opportunity for a break from the demands of full-time care of their child with a disability. The provision of the Weroona Avenue property means that young people with a disability will have more opportunities to socialise with people their own age and enjoy a short-term stay out of their normal environment. Respite will be available at the house from Friday through to Tuesday morning, including school and public holidays.

I would like to congratulate everyone involved in this project and remind members about the wonderful contribution that Housing SA makes on a continual basis in making properties available for people with disabilities. I would like to thank Westfield for its major contribution, and CARA for its ongoing care and support for people with disabilities and their families.

TRAMLINE EXTENSIONS

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (14:56): Did the Minister for Transport take into account the need to remove an estimated 450,000 tonnes of contaminated soil from the rail yard site in the city's west end when he made the decision to construct a tramline extension down North Terrace and onto Port Road? Four things are happening there contemporaneously. A site remediation of rail yards was to be done last year and is continuing now. A rail yard relocation is to be completed by June 2010 and, at the same site, the tram extension is to be completed by early 2010. The construction of the medical research facility at the rail yards is also to commence in early 2010.

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy) (14:57): I will have to check that, but I congratulate the deputy leader. She does seem to be across our very good program for works. I might go on to help her further and say that, with the additions—

An honourable member interjecting:

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: Yes, I just said it. I think she has pretty much got the program right. Yes, it is our program, so we did think about it. I can go on further and say that, after that, we will be rolling out a government stimulus package that you voted against. Further, we will be rolling out \$600 million in public transport that your other leader came over and opposed here just last week. We will be rolling out a billion dollars in transport spend in a year for the first time in the history of the state. Yes, we have a big program, and thank you for pointing it out.

DESERT SPIRIT CUP

Ms BREUER (Giles) (14:58): My question is to the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation. What was the significance of the Desert Spirit Cup held at the Melbourne Cricket Ground on Sunday 31 May? At the previous weekend's match at AAMI Stadium, the mighty Crows won against Carlton, followed by another magnificent win this weekend.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Point of order, Mr Speaker. That question is clearly out of order.

The SPEAKER: No, the question is in order, including the comments.

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL (Cheltenham—Minister for Environment and Conservation, Minister for Early Childhood Development, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, Minister Assisting the Premier in Cabinet Business and Public Sector Management) (14:59): I thank the honourable member for her question, but I had anticipated that it would be asked in a slightly different form. Football means a lot to many of us, although at about three-quarter time in Melbourne on Sunday, it was beginning to lose a lot of its flavour for me. However, it occupies a very important place in the lives of people in remote Aboriginal communities; it is very much a part of their social structure. It is a key aspect for many communities in their strategies to deal with social and health issues, including substance abuse, domestic violence and chronic health issues, not to mention boredom, which is a big issue in remote communities.

For a number of years now the SANFL, with the assistance of the Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing, has been supporting an indigenous game between APY Thunder and Maralinga Eagles as a curtain-raiser to an AFL match at Football Park. This Saturday, before the last Maralinga and APY teams met again at Football Park, there was much more at stake than just the outcome of that game because the players were playing for the opportunity—12 from each of the sides—to be part of a composite team of Anangu called the Anangu All Stars who would fight for the Desert Spirit Cup.

On Sunday afternoon I was very privileged to watch two teams of young men from two remote Aboriginal communities battle it out. The Yuendumu Magpies were representing and playing in the Magpies strip and the Anangu All Stars played in the Port Power strip. It was an incredible game of football. In fact, the main game was a bit of a disappointment. I think halfway through the main game some of us thought of drafting some of the Anangu All Stars.

Nevertheless, I am proud to say that the All Stars turned out to hand out a pretty substantial beating to Yuendumu Magpies by eight goals. It was much more than just a game of football. It was incredible to see the way in which not only the Maralinga boys and the APY boys bonded during that period they had together but also to see the relationship they formed with the boys from Yuendumu. It united the communities around a team of young men.

The Anangu team was an interesting team because two police officers, a pastor and a youth worker played in it. It drew from a broad range of professions. They learnt lots of lessons. They had to be disciplined and they had to set some important goals. They were all on their very best behaviour. They are on their way back now, and I hope that remains the case.

Many of those young men are likely to be leaders of the future. Football plays an incredibly important role in that community and some of the young men who are leaders in their football teams are also likely to be leaders in their community. One of the things we all acknowledge is that the solutions for Aboriginal people are going to have to come from Aboriginal people themselves.

We know that strong leadership, especially in these remote communities, is absolutely vital. We need to find a way of finding those good young men, building a bit of self-esteem and showing them what it can be like to strive for a goal and achieve it. Playing on the MCG is an extraordinary goal. They will never forget that moment, and I will never forget the moment I had a kick with Gavin Wanganeen in the middle of the MCG.

An honourable member: How did you go?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: Yes; I got one kick. It was good. That will be—

The Hon. K.O. Foley: Was it a decent kick?

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: It was a pretty ordinary kick, but I had a kick with Gavin Wanganeen on the MCG and not many people here can say that.

The Hon. K.O. Foley: I wonder whether Gavin Wanganeen is saying, 'I had a kick with Jay Weatherill.'

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: No, I don't think he is. Also, what impressed me about this is that it was a magnificent example of the corporate sector coming good. We had BHP Billiton and Tiger Airways, who were particularly pleased to see that the best player on the team was Jacob Tiger. They were pretty thrilled with that.

An honourable member interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: That's right. No, they did not get the chance to select who was the best player but they—

The Hon. P.F. Conlon interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: That's right. So, AXA also chipped in, the state government provided some support, but the lion's share of the funding came from the private sector and that is a wonderful thing. I thank all of the officers of the Aboriginal Affairs Division. Some of the people up there travelled with the boys and made sure that that all worked.

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

The Hon. J.W. WEATHERILL: They are on their way home now. They are on the plane. I hope that they manage to travel safely back to their communities.

JUDICIAL OFFICERS

Mrs REDMOND (Heysen) (15:05): Did the Attorney-General, or any member of his staff or anyone else at his request, have any discussions with the member for Newland or any member of his staff in order to arrange for the question on notice in the name of the member for Newland which currently appears on the *Notice Paper*? Out of 481 questions on the *Notice Paper* of the House of Assembly, 480 are from members of the opposition or Independent members and only one question (from the member for Newland) is from a government member of parliament.

The SPEAKER: I am not comfortable with this line of questioning. However, the member for Heysen has put it on the record and I do not want to deny the Attorney-General an opportunity to respond, but I am inclined to rule the question out of order. I do not see that the Attorney-General has any responsibility for questions placed on the *Notice Paper* or questions asked by—

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

Mrs REDMOND: Are you ruling it out of order or not?

The SPEAKER: Order! Yes, I rule it out of order.

MINISTERIAL STAFF

Mr GRIFFITHS (Goyder) (15:06): I ask the Treasurer: will you force ministers to cut their ministerial staff budgets in the same way as you are asking government departments to cut jobs? On ABC Radio on 27 May 2009 in response to a question about public sector job cuts, the Treasurer said:

What I say to the Public Service is that the whole community, whether it's public servants, factory workers at General Motors which are on a three day week, we are all in this together and we have to pull and tighten together. We have to take hard decisions and I expect the Public Service to be as prepared to adjust as the factory workers at Holden's and other people facing significant cutbacks.

According to state budget papers there are 207 personal staff employed by government ministers, up from 147 staff when the government came to office. This is an increase of 41 per cent.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations) (15:07): If that is the most far-reaching and probing question that I can get from the shadow finance minister in the week leading into the budget, I am somewhat stunned. However, it is not a bad idea and I might have a look at it. I assume you are also offering up the leader's staff for budget cuts as well?

Members interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: No, our staff, but not theirs. I would have thought after their efforts in the last couple of weeks that they might want to reduce their staff a little. If the member is offering up the leader's staff in a spirit of cooperation, let's have a look at it.

POLICE INFRASTRUCTURE

Mrs GERAGHTY (Torrens) (15:08): My question is to the Minister for Police. How is the Rann government contributing to increased safety and more jobs for South Australia?

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT (Lee—Minister for Police, Minister for Emergency Services, Minister for Recreation, Sport and Racing) (15:08): The Rann Labor government has invested and continues to invest hundreds of millions of dollars in new police infrastructure for the South Australian community. Under our watch we have delivered the largest ever police station construction program that South Australia has ever seen.

Since 2002 new police stations have been opened at Golden Grove, Aldinga, Gawler, Mount Barker, Victor Harbor, Berri, Port Lincoln, Newton, Blakeview, Hallett Cove and Pooraka. We have no intention of slowing, with more than \$118 million allocated towards a brand new state-of-the-art police academy at Fort Largs and a new police headquarters to be located at 100 Angas Street in the city, new police facilities at Roxby Downs and Murray Bridge, and the expansion of the Christie's Beach Police Station, which is due to commence within the next few weeks.

This is not only about delivering new and upgraded infrastructure to facilitate future growth and cater for the ever increasing numbers of police serving the people of South Australia but also about this government spending on infrastructure to generate jobs and keep our economy ticking over in these difficult times. These capital improvements will ensure that police have quality facilities that match the outstanding work they do every day. We now have more than 4,250 full-time equivalent police officers in South Australia—549 more than when we took office in March 2002, when there were only 3,701 police. In this financial year alone, 227 cadets graduated from the Fort Largs Police Academy, with a further 133 cadets currently in training.

New police stations across South Australia, supported by record levels of funding for SAPOL and the highest ever number of police officers in the state's history, are all concrete evidence of this government's commitment to ensuring the safety and security of the community.

We know, through their comments to the media, that those opposite do not support our investment in police infrastructure but, in our view, it is critical to provide the necessary resources for our hardworking police force. We also believe that it is a good thing to do for our police, who deserve acknowledgement and our thanks for their efforts in driving down crime rates—and members opposite hate that. The Rann government's commitment to our police—

Mr WILLIAMS: On a point of order, the minister is obviously debating the question.

The SPEAKER: I will listen to what the minister is saying more closely, but I do not think that he was.

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir.

Mr WILLIAMS: The minister was making gratuitous comments about what the opposition believes, hates or whatever, and that sounds like debate to me.

The SPEAKER: By 'gratuitous' you mean that he was not being remunerated for it, I guess. I did not hear anything like that from the Minister for Police. I will listen to what he has to say.

The Hon. M.J. WRIGHT: The Rann government's commitment to our police is in stark contrast to the failures of the previous Liberal government, which allowed police stations to close and police numbers to fall to appalling levels.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order! The minister is debating, gratuitously or otherwise, and is out of order. The Minister for Transport.

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: Despite our very good behaviour, the opposition has a barrage of inane interjections. I just say that you cannot ask for one standing order to be observed and not others.

The SPEAKER: I ask all members to observe standing orders, which have been made for all our benefit.

TRUMPS

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (15:12): Does the Minister for Transport stand by his statement that TRUMPS (Transport Regulation User Management Processing System) is working as planned? I have been given two documents today, one of which is an answer to a question on notice in which the minister says that TRUMPS is working 'as planned'. The other document is the Auditor-General supplementary report. On page 38, it states:

...matters identified by Audit included:

- discrepancies between bank records and TRUMPS were not followed up and corrected on a timely basis
- processing errors occurred (ie duplicate postings/missing postings/reversals) requiring correcting journals
- system reporting did not support amounts recorded in the reconciliation
- the Department relied on manual spreadsheets to control discrepancies and errors and manual review processes rather than system reports and automated processes

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. P.F. CONLON (Elder—Minister for Transport, Minister for Infrastructure, Minister for Energy) (15:13): I have the gist of the question.

The SPEAKER: Yes; I do not think we need anything else.

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: For the benefit of the member for Morphett, what the Auditor-General does is look back over time, and he has looked back—

Mr Williams interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: —and that is what he has done on this occasion. I am sure—

Mr Williams interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order! The member for MacKillop will come to order!

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: I am happy to sit down. This is the fellow, with his inane interjections and his laughter, who is always saying, 'Answer the question,' but will not let you talk. The truth is—

Mr Williams interjecting:

The Hon. P.F. CONLON: That's it. I'm done. That's fine.

VISAS

Ms CHAPMAN (Bragg—Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (15:14): My question is to the Minister for Industry and Trade.

The Hon. K.O. Foley: That's me.

Ms CHAPMAN: That's it—wakey, wakey! What action did the minister take to oppose the federal government's change to Regional 457 visas? On Friday 15 May 2009, the federal government announced changes to Regional 457 visas, including the exclusion of many occupations related to the mines and energy sector. For example, this was to include crane operators, plant operators, mining construction workers and structural steel construction workers.

It was claimed that this was to protect local employment. However, the number of visas for workers within regional South Australia from July 2008 until March 2009 was for only 40 persons. A further amendment by the federal government requires that these workers can be accessed only under a labour agreement. However, these take six to nine months to negotiate and need the approval of the department.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY (Port Adelaide—Deputy Premier, Treasurer, Minister for Industry and Trade, Minister for Federal/State Relations) (15:15): I say to the members for Frome, Giles and Stuart that it is a role and responsibility of the national government to macro manage the 457 scheme.

Ms Chapman: They changed the rules.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Yes.

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order! I have called the deputy leader to order.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Can I answer the first question before she gives me two or three extra ones? The federal government takes the view, as does this government, that, if there is an increase in unemployment and a contraction in the labour market, the need for certain skill sectors is less, and that the opportunity—

The Hon. P.F. Conlon: It doesn't make sense.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: It doesn't make sense, does it? Therefore, the opportunity for Australians to access jobs should increase. The 457 visas are a mechanism, of which we have been fully supportive, addressing a need to fill important skill gaps in our economy. We have been very aggressive as a state government in attracting 457 visa applications into South Australia where there have been skill deficits. It is the responsibility of the national government to make a judgment as to whether or not those skill shortages will recede in a recession.

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Are you saying I should have done something?

Ms Chapman: Absolutely.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Why should I do something?

Ms Chapman interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Who says it's not a good idea?

The SPEAKER: Order! The Deputy Premier will take his seat. This is not an opportunity to engage in debate. The deputy leader has asked her question and the Deputy Premier is providing a fairly straightforward answer. He is not engaging in invective or debate. The deputy leader really has to learn to sit still, be quiet and allow the Deputy Premier to answer the question. If there are other things she wants to ask arising from the Deputy Premier's answer I am more than happy to give her the call, but I cannot have a situation where there is this ongoing dialogue between one side and the other while the Deputy Premier is trying to answer the question. The Deputy Premier.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: Thank you, sir. I am not sure that there is an issue. The Leader of the Opposition by shaking her head is saying we should not be giving jobs to local people in Port Pirie, Port Augusta or Whyalla who want access to the jobs. That is the sense of what she asked, the way I heard the question, unless I misheard it.

I will get a response from my department. We monitor very carefully and liaise with industry as to its needs and try to get a take on what we expect to be the skills gap areas, and we have a constant dialogue with the national government and the immigration department to ensure that we can meet that. We have a very good business migration unit and a meet and greet program. We work closely with industry. I am aware of many industry sectors that have used 457 visas when they have not had skills available, and, equally, where there has not been as much demand for 457, the argument has been that those areas should not be included. I will reread the question, and if I have misunderstood it I would be surprised, but—

Ms Chapman: The mining industry.

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: The mining industry. The mining industry has slowed down. If the federal government has reduced the number of 457 visas for this period, as unemployment goes up, excuse us on this side, but if it is Port Augusta, Port Pirie or Whyalla and we can have a job for an Australian before we have one for the holder of a 457 visa we are supportive of that, because what we are all about is the person in Port Pirie, the young lad in Port Augusta and the woman in Whyalla: we want those people to have first access to those jobs.

If the Deputy Leader of the Liberal Party is now advocating that a job should go to someone from overseas when there is a fully qualified available person in Port Pirie, Port Augusta or Whyalla, shame on her. We are proud Australians on this side of the chamber, who will put Australian jobs first. If we can get a young man or woman in Port Pirie, Whyalla or, indeed, Port Augusta into a job that we do not need to have filled by someone from overseas, that is what we are all about. We are all about jobs for Australians and, when those Australians are not available, then we will use the 457 visa scheme. So, pro jobs and—

Members interjecting:

The SPEAKER: Order!

The Hon. K.O. FOLEY: I will look forward to you explaining that one when you are campaigning in the seats of Frome, Stuart and Giles during the next election.

GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING

Mrs REDMOND (Heysen) (15:21): My question is to the Premier. How much money has the government spent on television and radio advertising over the past two years featuring the Premier, and is the total expenditure greater than that spent on road safety advertising?

The Hon. M.D. RANN (Ramsay—Premier, Minister for Economic Development, Minister for Social Inclusion, Minister for the Arts, Minister for Sustainability and Climate Change) (15:21): Anything that I can do to help get key messages across, I am prepared to make that sacrifice.

WORKCOVER, RETIREMENT AGE

Dr McFETRIDGE (Morphett) (15:22): My question is to the Minister for Industrial Relations. What is the projected impact on WorkCover and the state government's WorkCover compensation scheme as a result of the Rudd government's increasing the retirement age from 65 to 67 years of age?

The Hon. P. CAICA (Colton—Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries, Minister for Industrial Relations, Minister for Forests, Minister for Regional Development) (15:22): I do not have those figures in front of me. It is one of the issues that I am investigating and, when I have investigated it, I will bring the answer back to the member in the house.

DENTAL THERAPISTS

Mr BIGNELL (Mawson) (15:22): My question is to the Minister for Health. How will new regulations allowing dental therapists to treat adults help to make dental care more accessible to South Australians?

The Hon. J.D. HILL (Kaurana—Minister for Health, Minister for the Southern Suburbs, Minister Assisting the Premier in the Arts) (15:22): I thank the member for Mawson for this

important question and I acknowledge his great advocacy for his constituents in relation to health issues and, in particular, dental health. Yesterday, state cabinet agreed to take to Executive Council a proposal to ensure that South Australians will receive access to more affordable basic dental treatment with shorter waiting times. Changes will be made to regulations under the Dental Practice Act 2001 which will mean that, from 1 July, dentists will be able to refer adult patients to dental therapists for basic treatments such as check-ups, preventative services and fillings. These are matters that dental therapists are trained to perform.

The referring dentist will oversee all treatments, providing strong supervision of the therapists. However, this change will free up valuable dentists' time, allowing them to concentrate on more complex treatments such as root canal fillings and oral surgery. Dental therapists have been the backbone of the state's school dental service for 40 years, where they now provide check-ups, preventative services and fillings for more than 100,000 children and young people each year.

The complexity of dental treatment does not suddenly change the day a person turns 18. So, there is absolutely no technical reason why dental therapists should not be able to treat adults. These changes will mean that dental therapists can use their education, training and experience to treat adults. These changes follow 12 months of consultation with a whole range of stakeholders, including the Australian Dental Association, the Dental Board of South Australia, the Dental School at the University of Adelaide and all the relevant professional association and consumer groups.

In addition, over the next four years the state government will recruit an extra 25 dental therapists into the public dental service to provide 13,000 more dental visits a year to eligible South Australian adults. The appointment of these new dental therapists and the expanded role they will be able to play will mean thousands more treatments a year and even further waiting list reductions. These changes will also mean savings for people who see private dentists who choose to employ dental therapists, as the services of a therapist are often a less expensive option for basic dental care. While dental therapists will never take the place of dentists, dentists will now be able to identify appropriate patients, prescribe a course of treatment and then refer them to a dental therapist for a faster and more affordable treatment.

The changes are part of the state government's health workforce reform agenda, which is contained in our South Australian Health Care Plan, one of the aims of which is the creation of new roles to free up the time of highly skilled professionals, such as dentists, to care for those most in need. Since 2003 the restorative dentistry waiting list for pensioners and other low-income earners has been reduced from 49 months (then) to 18 months in April this year. The number of people on the restorative dentistry waiting list has been reduced from 82,000 in mid-2002 to 32,561 in April this year.

Let me make this clear, under the last state Liberal government people were waiting 49 months for restorative dental care—over four years. They are now waiting 18 months. That is still too long, and the reforms we are making will drive that waiting time even lower. We would be able to do even more, of course, if the opposition's colleagues in Canberra allowed the federal government to pass legislation which would provide more money for further services to pensioners. These changes aim to reduce these waiting times even further for public patients and make private dental care more accessible and affordable.

GRIEVANCE DEBATE

MURRAY RIVER, LOWER LAKES

Mr PEDERICK (Hammond) (15:26): I rise again today to talk about the plight of the Lower Lakes and the lack of action by both the state and federal governments in regard to keeping this environmental icon alive and well. I note that only in the last week a grand announcement was made by the banks of the Murrumbidgee. The so-called South Australian Senator, Senator Wong, with her Eastern States/Queensland leader, Prime Minister Rudd, by her side announced a grand plan whereby they allegedly purchased 240 gigalitres of water for the Murray-Darling Basin. The sad thing is that none of this alleged water will ever reach the Lower Lakes. In fact, if that water they purchased does become available it will deliver only 107 gigalitres to the Macquarie Marshes in New South Wales—a very long way from the damage that is occurring in the Lower Lakes as we speak.

In regard to the Lower Lakes and the options being taken by the government, we are getting very mixed messages from the government. Six or seven weeks ago government

bureaucrats went around talking to community groups about how issues would be managed in regard to the Wellington weir and the issue of sea water ingress into the lakes. A slide was put up at those meetings stating: 'The Wellington weir does not equal sea water.' It is interesting to note that when asked on Radio 891 last week whether sea water would follow the weir as night follows day, Premier Rann answered, 'It would have to.'

Well, hang on, who is doing what? The community is told one thing. I believe they are being bluffed into thinking that, 'Oh, yes, we'll just have the weir; that doesn't mean sea water.' I firmly believe that it does and the Premier has said so. In fact, it is interesting to note that, on the weekend, Allan Holmes, the head of the Department for Environment and Heritage, said that sea water may not be the best response for acidification in the Lower Lakes and indicated that bioremediation is the preferred option. If the government had talked to community leaders and members around the lakes a lot more in the last 18 months it would have realised that bioremediation was a real option. Belatedly, it has taken up that option.

The engineering of the Wellington weir seems to have progressed somewhat. It has been known that the weir will sink by one metre perhaps every 12 or 18 months, but at a meeting the other night Ross Carter from SA Water indicated that the weir would only sink half a metre in three years. It is a three year temporary time frame. I do not know whether the silt has got harder or the rocks have got lighter.

It was also acknowledged at that meeting that 220 gigalitres would get the lakes through another winter, away from triggers of too high salinity and the acidity level in the lakes, and that includes the 50 gigalitres that Peter Garrett wanted as the trade-off for the Goolwa-Clayton bund, which will only be delivered in January, which I found very interesting.

There were also issues related to the lakes. I went down to the roadworks side the other day, the causeway out to Pomanda Island. A calf, which had fallen down a two foot wide, one metre deep crack and could not get out, was dead, and they are trying to build a road over this. There are major engineering problems just getting to the weir site.

Another question that I wonder will be answered, when the minister always says that there is no water to bring down for the lakes, is will there be water available behind the weir? That includes the 70 gigalitres for the weir pool from Pomanda Island to Blanchetown, plus the 350 gigalitres that will be needed annually for dilution flows.

It is also sad to see the plight of stock and domestic supply, which is non-existent for many people, on Point Sturt and Hindmarsh Island, and the government just fobs these people off. It is outrageous.

Time expired.

GARDEN WISE FORUM

Ms SIMMONS (Morialta) (15:31): I rise today to inform the house of a very successful forum, Garden Wise, that I held in the electorate of Morialta last month, in partnership with Campbelltown City Council and one of our very successful local businesses, Newton's Building and Landscape Supplies.

As a passionate gardener myself, I have been aware, when I have been out and about in the community and doorknocking locally, that many people have not developed an understanding of how to adapt their gardens to the current drought conditions, and in fact have let their gardens deteriorate during the very harsh and hot summers of recent years.

At the invitation of this local business in my electorate, Newton's, I visited the landscape and building supply company in February and I was very impressed by the owners, Frank Ferella and Rob Rende, and their young purchasing manager, Daniel Phillips, who had managed to change their whole business to try to educate their customers to cope with these emerging South Australian conditions.

They convinced me, for example, that lawns were not evil and should use no more water than any other well managed drought-tolerant garden, and that there are great drought-tolerant grasses available, as well as native Australian plants, and even many drought-tolerant exotics that can be grown in harmony with our current environmental conditions.

The forum grew out of this meeting and was attended by over 150 constituents, which was the limit we could have at the hall. We had another 20 on the waiting list, I think. I would like to thank the Minister for Water Security, the Hon. Karlene Maywald, for opening the event; the master

of ceremonies, horticulturalist and friend of mine, Michael Keelan; and the guest speakers, David Chester from SA Water, who gave us an overview of water usage and irrigation in South Australia and outlined the benefits of efficient irrigation systems in our gardens, Mr Peter Brunt, who talked about home irrigation systems in even more detail, including water sensors, drip irrigation and scheduling systems, in particular the new carpet drip irrigators which can be laid under lawns and, of course, the benefits of water tanks, and Kym Syrus from Gardens Gurus, who advised us on the huge number of drought-proof plants available in South Australia, the importance of mulching, the different soil types and fertilisers available and, of course, who showed us magnificent slides of the many roses he has developed in recent years. If anyone in the house does not know of Kym's roses, they really are magnificent and sent all over Australia and even overseas.

Although no products were sold on the night and we emphasised the educational benefits of this forum, we were delighted to have displays and products, together with free literature, from Davey Water Products, Team Poly water tanks, Jeffries compost and mulch experts, Toro Australia irrigation specialists and Netafim smart water solutions. All these companies gave very generously of their time and knowledge, and they really were very informative on the night. The feedback from people who attended has been extremely positive.

I would also like to thank Sophie Thomson, the award-winning gardening author and journalist, for coming to sign her beautiful book *From the Ground Up*, which I can highly recommend to the house, as it relates particularly to the growing of gardens in South Australia.

This was a team effort, and I have to mention Campbelltown Mayor Simon Brewer and CEO Paul Di Iulio, and their staff, who gave unstintingly of their time and arranged free native plants for all who attended, as well as providing a beautiful supper prepared by the Bedford Industries catering department, which I am always happy to plug. They always do a fantastic job. Please use them whenever you can.

A special thanks must go to Daniel Phillips from Newton's, and Peter Cushway, who gave many hours of their own time to ensure that this forum was an amazing success. I have had such positive feedback from all of my constituents who attended that I would encourage other members to try to provide something similar in their own electorate.

GREAT SOUTHERN

Mr PENGILLY (Finniss) (15:36): Members in this place will no doubt be aware of what has transpired over the last few weeks with the collapse of Timbercorp and (a couple of weeks ago) Great Southern, both managed investment schemes with interests in South Australia. Indeed, Great Southern has a substantial interest in olives and, more particularly, grapes through the Barossa and up into the Riverland and also Clare. It has I think about 50,000 hectares of blue gum plantations in the South-East and several thousand hectares on Kangaroo Island. I would like to spend just a couple of minutes talking about what the collapse of Great Southern means to that area of my electorate.

The forestry industry on Kangaroo Island employs 30 to 40 people seasonally. This year, the administrators will probably not seek to plant land that was bought last year. The whole issue of blue gums on Kangaroo Island has been charged with a fair bit of emotion over a number of years. In a previous life, I had to adjudicate on planning applications. The problem that the council had, and still has, is that, under the general farming zone, blue gum forestry plantations are permissible and there is no way to stop them. So they were damned if they did and damned if they didn't, and they wore a considerable amount of flak—and still are—over the approval process for, in the main, blue gum plantations.

What we need out of these forestry plantations is a long-term sustainable future. The worry is that we do not know where we are going to go with these blue gum plantations. Some of them are not far away from maturity. Great Southern did have a plan in place to put in a mill, chip them and then move them offshore with a barge, and so on. That never came to fruition, and there are those in the community who say that it was never going to come to fruition and that it was always a nightmare waiting to happen.

It has taken up some of the most productive, high rainfall agricultural country in South Australia. My desire is either to have those blue gum plantations harvested and chipped or we may have to look at some scheme whereby that land is returned to agriculture to produce food and fibre for a hungry world. I absolutely totally and categorically reject any thought that anyone may have—if they do—of these blue gum plantations being sold to overseas interests, ultimately becoming carbon credits and absolutely useless. That will do no-one any good. The greenies in the

community, whether they be on the island or the mainland, need to look at this as well because those blue gum plantations will spread seeds into national parks and amongst the native vegetation. That is an inherent danger.

The fire potential from blue gum plantations when they are near maturity is significant; in the early stages, it is not because they do not burn. I have seen that with my own eyes. More to the point, the island needs an economic way of transporting both these radiata pines and also the blue gum woodchips to the mainland. Until the government of the day comes to grips with the fact that we need an extension of the national highway to Kangaroo Island across that sea lane, it will not be economic to produce those forestry products.

The house has heard me on this before and will hear me again: it is absolutely ludicrous that last week we found \$5 million to outfit a government office for the Department of Trade and Industry, yet we cannot find money to subsidise a transport system to and from Kangaroo Island.

When you get on the punt across the Murray, it does not cost you a cracker. You go over free of charge. People in the metropolitan area enjoy a highly subsidised transport system, as members know. We have to have good public transport; I am all for it. However, the people of Kangaroo Island are being held to ransom. It is damaging the primary production sector, the tourism sector and local residents. Even more people could move through the Fleurieu Peninsula and advance the Fleurieu's economy and that of Kangaroo Island if only the governments of the day come to their senses and do something about this transport corridor. It is totally unrealistic.

Time expired.

HACKHAM EAST PRIMARY SCHOOL

Mr BIGNELL (Mawson) (15:42): I rise today to congratulate and commend the school community at the Hackham East Primary School who do a wonderful job in many areas, both within their school community and in the wider community. Last year, I went to one of the school assemblies at Hackham East. They had a rather large boys' choir, which is unusual for anyone who knows boys in their late primary school years, as being in the choir is not necessarily the coolest thing to do. However, at Hackham East they split the choir into a boys' choir and a girls' choir. About 45 boys were attracted to joining the boys' choir.

Better still, some students from New Zealand had moved here and brought with them some Maori traditions. They taught their classmates how to do the haka. Not only were I and the rest of the people at the assembly treated to some fantastic singing by the boys' choir, but they also performed the haka. At the end of their performance I gave a speech and made a presentation. I said that I was sure the Premier, who grew up in New Zealand, would be fascinated to see a group of South Australian school students doing the haka, so I invited them into Parliament House. We took them up to the Balcony Room, and the Premier, the Minister for Education and a few other members of parliament came along. These students did a fantastic job with the singing performance and doing the haka. At the end of it, the Premier congratulated them and rubbed noses with the Maori leader from the local community. It was a fantastic morning here at Parliament House.

In February or March I got a phone call from the Premier's office that Her Excellency the Governor-General, Ms Quentin Bryce AC, was coming to Adelaide and there was to be a morning tea hosted by Her Excellency. The Premier's office mentioned that the Premier had been greatly impressed by the singing of the students of Hackham East and asked whether it might be possible for the students to come along and perform for Her Excellency and the very large gathering that morning. The member for Heysen was one of those in the audience, as were the Premier and several ministers.

The students did an outstanding job. I must say they were treated very well by the protocol department in the Premier's office. They had their own tables roped off from the rest of the guests that morning. I sat with each of the five tables and suggested to them that they had their VIP area roped off so that the other people did not come over and pinch their doughnuts. But they were very well behaved, and they sat there with their doughnuts and their sandwiches, and their fresh fruit and orange juice and everything else. Before Her Excellency arrived they sang three or four songs and got rousing applause from all those hundreds of people there. I must admit that I had a bit of a tear in my eye, to see these kids whom I have become close to over the past three or four years, to see them up there performing for such a big audience, at the Convention Centre, and to have played a role in that is something they can be very proud of.

So, today I would like to pay a tribute to Bob Thiele, the principal of Hackham East, who has done a terrific job in getting his students involved in the performing arts. The whole school is involved in a musical each year, and, if you do not have an on-stage role, you will be guaranteed to have a backstage role, either in audio or lighting or something like that. That is performed down at the Hopgood Theatre at Noarlunga, and it really is an amazing production each year.

To all the students, the 47 students who came to the Convention Centre for Her Excellency's morning tea, I say thank you very much for your time, and I am sure it was a fantastic honour not only to sing but also to then meet Her Excellency, who came and spoke to each student individually afterwards and mentioned to them that they should strive for great things in their lives and gave them a fantastic message about not just going to parliament to sing but actually stand for parliament and get in there and make a difference in the future.

Also, the choirmaster, Jarrod Lamshed, has done a fantastic job with these students, not only with the singing but also these students in his class raised nearly \$2,000 for the McGuinness McDermott Foundation earlier this year by shaving their heads. To the accompanist on the day, Ms Cheryl McVickar, thank you for playing the piano at the performance, and thank you to the assistant choirmaster, Mrs Dianne Fairweather, who also played a very important role.

Time expired.

BAROSSA VALLEY ROADS

Mr VENNING (Schubert) (15:47): Today I rise to express my concern at the state's spiralling road toll. The Barossa lost its sixth for the year last week, a young lad who did the eulogy for his friend the week before. Why? What can we do? There are so many questions. The RAA's 'Vision for South Australian Roads' was released in April, and I have to say that, with regard to their recommendations and analysis of roads in the Barossa Valley, I was not at all surprised.

I have spoken numerous times in this house about the state of the Barossa Valley Way—the main route for freight, tourists and the locals throughout the Barossa, and the recommendations support what I have known and have been advocating for a long time. These two young men lost their lives in separate incidents along this stretch of road, and it makes one wonder whether these tragedies would have occurred if the road conditions had been improved. Hitting trees seems to be the main problem. In government we removed many problem trees along the Lyndoch to Gawler road, and more were earmarked. But we have not removed those. They are still there. The RAA's 'Vision for South Australian Roads' report states:

The Barossa Valley Way is currently rated well below standard by the Australian Road Assessment Program's accepted four-star standard, with almost 75 per cent of the road rated at only two stars.

The report goes on to state that:

Roadside hazards and a large number of unprotected curves are also of major concern. In the five years to 2007, more than 20 per cent of all crashes occurring on the Barossa Valley Way occurred as a result of run off road crashes.

This has been proven true in the worst possible way. The two young Barossa men, both under the age of 20, who were killed in the past two weeks slammed into trees on the side of the road. I have been calling for extra funding for this road specifically for many years, along with funding for other dangerous roads in the Barossa, and the response I have received from the other side of the house, particularly since the Northern Expressway project has been under way, is that the government has spent more money on roads within the Schubert electorate than many others. That is the only response I got.

Well, I ask the state Rann Labor government, in the wake of the spate of recent fatal crashes that has taken place: what price do you put on a life? It is blatantly clear to all who travel along the Barossa Valley Highway that it is in serious need of an upgrade, and the RAA has rated it as two stars for years. What will it take to see some action?

Two weeks ago there was a further fatality on another heavily utilised road in the Barossa, at a dangerous level crossing on Railway Terrace, the main road which connects Nuriootpa to Angaston. I have been concerned about this level crossing for some time. It is on a sharp bend in the road, and there was another fatality there just four years ago.

Despite this, they missed out on funding from the Level Crossing Black Spot Program and any other funding to make it safer. I understand that the council has now been awarded funding to upgrade another crossing in the area, and that crossing was probably as dangerous as this one.

Surely, the government could have provided funding to upgrade more than one crossing in the area, especially when it clearly poses a danger to motorists.

I make this simple suggestion: that the government implement the cuts to government advertising the Premier announced in the 2006-07 budget, rather than defer them, and spend the money on improving the safety of our roads, especially at black spots such as these.

To many, these young Barossa men, killed so tragically in the past couple of weeks, may just be another road statistic, but to many I know, because I have been their representative for so long (last night there was a huge public meeting in the Barossa attended by 250 people), they are so much more than a statistic: they are sons, brothers, nephews, colleagues and friends. They were living, breathing human beings whose lives were filled with potential and hope, but they have now been cruelly wiped out.

In these difficult financial times, when we see the Treasurer trying to convince the rating agencies about our credit rating and see debt increasing to stimulate the economy via infrastructure expenditure, I fear that the country will be forgotten again. We are expanding the O-Bahn, extending the southern rail corridor, refurbishing the Belair line and extending the tram service. These are big ticket items, but where are they? They are all in the city, once again demonstrating the city centric attitude of the Rann Labor government.

In order to save lives so that South Australia has a future and invest in infrastructure for the future of the state, the government needs to spend more money on country roads—and it needs to do it now. I hope that the budget on Thursday contains some funds to upgrade at least the Barossa Valley Way, and I ask again: what price do you put on life?

I know that factors other than the roads must be considered in this spate of fatal accidents—speed, high performance cars, sports mufflers and poor driver attitude, especially the feeling of invincibility inherent in our youth. How do we know? We were all young once, but we did not have cars like the young ones have today. This is a sad, serious matter. To all the families affected by these tragedies, I extend my sincere condolences.

COUNTRY EDUCATION

Ms BREUER (Giles) (15:52): I was very disturbed to hear the member for Schubert's grievance. It is a terribly sad situation, and it is mind-boggling to think that this young man gave the eulogy for his friend a couple of weeks before. I am sorry, member for Schubert, but I think that it does not matter how much money the state government puts into roads, repairing them and whatever, the answer is not in the roads but in how we educate these young people about the dangers and how they drive.

It makes me so sad because it is a major issue for country youth, and it is a major killer of our young people. I think that we all have a responsibility to do what we can to educate them and prevent this sort of tragedy happening not only to them but also to their families and their community. I know that when you lose someone in a country community it affects everyone, not just the family. I feel very sad about the whole situation.

Today, I want to talk about country education, which has been a passion of mine for many years, long before I got into this place. In my previous life, I was involved with TAFE, and I feel very strongly about education for young people in our country areas, which I think is important and cannot be neglected because we live in country regions.

I am very aware of the issues involved in sending our young people to university in Adelaide when they finish their schooling. I must say that I was terribly concerned about the latest federal Labor government's policy in relation to the two year wait for eligibility for an allowance, and I do not think that the implications for country people have been thought through. I know that many of our young people work their butts off for 12 months after they leave school so that they can go to university in Adelaide because their families just cannot afford to send them.

The means test is the same means test for those in Adelaide, and there is no consideration for the fact that country people have to send their children to Adelaide, pay their accommodation, pay their living expenses, pay their transport and buy them a new computer. All those issues are not taken into account, so I am concerned about this policy.

I know that more country scholarships will be offered, but they will still not help all the middle income families with two or three children left at home who just will not be able to afford to send their young ones to Adelaide. Again, this means that we will not get those skills back into our

country regions when they finish their education. So, I ask the federal government seriously to look at this issue and reconsider what is being proposed.

The issue of country schools is very dear to my heart, and I am very angry to hear the opposition spokesperson on education move that we look at this issue of community consultation; he moved a motion in this place today regarding community consultation. I am sick to death of outside people coming into our communities and creating angst. There is an issue in our three towns, Whyalla, Port Augusta and Port Pirie, and a review has been going on. I have expressed my concern for a long time about this and the way the process has been operated, but I do not want people coming in, stirring the pot in our communities. That is what has happened in Port Pirie.

I do not agree with the way the process has gone. I have said right through; I have known for two years this was happening in my community. I have urged the steering committee to consult with our community and not just our education community—not just our teachers and our school communities—but the whole community. I know there have been some issues with that. However, it is too late to sit back and start carrying on about this when for the first time we have the opportunity to have some beautiful facilities in our communities.

There is a problem with education in these country towns. There are some issues there; more people are going to the private school system, because they have concerns about the state of our schools, etc. If we start bringing people pushing a political viewpoint into our communities we will lose that opportunity to improve our facilities and communities. I am not happy about the fact that 19 sites could close in Whyalla, but I am happy about the fact that we have the potential to have a brand new, state-of-the-art high school, and we should not turn our back on tradition. If you ask a young person what they would prefer: a dog-eared copy of a Famous Five novel or a brand new DVD of *The Terminator*, I know what the majority of young people will choose. If you offer them their old, weather-beaten school, with lots of tradition and heritage (and it is very important to me; I am going to lose my school, Whyalla High School) versus a brand new, state-of-the-art, brilliantly resourced school, I know what the young people will opt for in most cases.

We must not let this opportunity pass by and we must not get caught up in the hype and political angst and carry-on which is going on now in Port Pirie and which is spreading somewhat to Whyalla, although I am trying to keep control of it. Let us think this through clearly; let us think about what is best for our young people and take this opportunity while we can and the federal money is available.

ELECTORAL (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL

In committee (resumed on motion).

(Continued from page 2867.)

Clause 42.

The CHAIR: We were dealing with amendment No. 6 moved by the Attorney-General. We had had a number of questions and comments on it, and I think the member for Unley had asked a question to which the Attorney may now be able to reply.

Mr PISONI: My question was: first of all, what happens on 31 March 2014 that changes the need for this requirement or this amendment to disappear, so that electoral posters may once again be used for state elections? As I recall, prior to the lunch break I was saying that it appears to me as though the minister is expecting a conversion like that which happened on the road to Damascus (I know he likes those biblical terms) and that, all of a sudden, South Australians are no longer going to dislike—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: No, I didn't say that; you're just making it up as you go along.

Mr PISONI: The minister interjects, 'No, I didn't say that', but the way the act reads (and the explanations that the minister has given previously) is that this will all happen because it is what South Australians want: they do not want election posters displayed on public property, they do not like them, and we are putting this legislation in place because the people of South Australia want it. However, what some might consider to be a fairly strong argument unravels rapidly at midnight on 31 March 2014 at about the time the pumpkin comes out, which is usually about midnight.

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

Mr PISONI: I am talking about the story of Cinderella.

Mr Hanna: It came out earlier to get her to the ball.

Mr PISONI: Yes, it could very well have come out earlier to get her to the ball. I think what the member for Mitchell was inferring was that the Labor Party would have had its ball and be anticipating that it will be in office at least until then. It may be in opposition, of course, so it realises that it would need election posters for its unknown candidates for the election in 2018 and, consequently, it would want to use that option because it would not want any incumbent member to have an advantage against a challenger. Consequently, that is why we are seeing—

Mrs Redmond: My, it's a cynical view of the world!

Mr PISONI: The member for Heysen says it is cynical. I have been in politics for only a short time. However, I am a fast learner and I have learnt that this is a very cynical government.

An honourable member: Arrogant.

Mr PISONI: Yes, an arrogant government, and it will do anything to hold onto power. We have to remember that this is a party that is run by the SDA, one of the most ruthless unions in the Labor Party. Good luck to them, I say. To wield that sort of power and for everyone from the Left to have to bend over and do what they say—that is the way they run their party, and that is fine. That is the democratic process, I would suggest.

I return to my question, and I ask the minister to be quite specific. I would like to know why this requirement has a sunset clause and what is it that happens on 31 March that means it will no longer be required or is no longer relevant?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The government accepts that the ban on corflute posters on Stobie poles and the like will be controversial. People of goodwill will have different views about it. We acknowledge that it is a restriction on freedom of expression, but we propose to impose it for all the reasons that we have given. After a couple of elections with a ban on corflutes, it will be for another parliament (which, no doubt, will consist of 69 world experts on electoral matters) to decide whether the ban should continue. When I was at university, people like the member for Unley—people who had a liberal approach to economic matters—used to regard sunset clauses in legislation as a tremendous innovation—

Mr Pisoni interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: —yes, that is probably true—that regulation, made by one generation, should not sit on the statute book and strangle another society or economy years down the track. We do not say that our view should prevail forever. So, we have put a sunset clause on it.

Mr Pisoni: What about the rest of the bill?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: If you do not like it, member for Unley, take the sunset clause out.

Mr VENNING: I have a couple of questions to the Attorney-General in relation to the signs. I know that his second reading explanation does address these magnetised signs on cars. I presume then that he is going to allow them, but is there any restriction on the size of the magnetised sign? I presume there is. What about signs on campaign offices—not electorate offices but campaign offices? What about signs on vans and mobile offices, such as caravans that are moving around—

Mrs Redmond: Kombi vans.

Mr VENNING: Or Kombi vans. We see one regularly in the car park—not from this side of the chamber. Also, I understand that any sign is allowable on private property. It does not matter what size it is, or anything, if it is on private property I presume that this bill does not apply. However, does that also include property owned by semi-private people, such as some government enterprises? Would it also apply to them? What is private property in relation to these signs?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The answer to most of the member for Schubert's questions are in existing section 115 of the act.

Mr Pengilly interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Most of them do not change; that is right.

Mr PENGILLY: During the course of his questions, the member for Schubert referred to campaign offices as opposed to electorate offices. That means that there is absolutely nothing to stop a candidate having signs on his campaign office, correct?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Yes, campaign offices are in the clear. They do not have restrictions from this act. I should add that they do have restrictions from planning. I can recall an officer at Charles Sturt council who did not particularly like me. He rang me to tell me that, if I put a corflute on my home, which was then 69 Wilpena Terrace, he could have it taken down under the Development Act, which, frankly—

Mr Pisoni interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: That was the one with. It may be, member for Unley, that we still had the 1993 posters left over at that time. In fact, you are probably right, it probably was a 1993 poster without 'Labor' on it but with 'Michael Atkinson, your local MP'.

Mr Pisoni: Was it 'Michael' or 'Mick'?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Yes, it might have read 'Mick'. The Electoral Act is not the only regulation of these signs. Having said that, during the campaign period I do not really see that a council could stop a householder displaying a standard Stobie pole corflute on the householder's home.

Mr PENGILLY: As a follow-up to that previous question, does the new act prohibit the use of signs being towed by an aeroplane, such as used at Football Park, which is private property?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: No, it does not apply. It applies only to signs affixed to poles on the road. That is what we think is the mischief. The Liberals say that it is not a mischief. That is the mischief the government is trying to address. I am happy for the Parliamentary Liberal Party to go on the record supporting corflute signs. I have a list of names and addresses of people who have complained to me about corflute signs in previous elections and now I can tell them what the position of the two parties is.

Mr PENGILLY: For the record, I wish to make it quite clear that I was referring to non-corflute signs. I was talking to signs behind aeroplanes and advertising signs on offices, not corflutes.

Mr VENNING: My last question is to the Attorney-General. Does the Local Government Act have jurisdiction over this act in relation to whether you can or cannot put up posters, and can councils ban the use of posters, because in my time in here one has?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The matter is most uncertain. There is a very long Crown Solicitor's Office opinion on this matter.

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Possibly. One approach to this was to stop state MP/local government conflict at election time by passing a law that provided that corflutes were not to be regulated in any way by local government, and that was one way that some members of parliament would like us to go. The other way is to say: let us ban them from poles on the road, and that is what we are doing.

Mr PISONI: Picking up on the point that the Attorney made earlier regarding the list of people that he will be writing to who have complained about corflutes, are they within or outside of his electorate and will he be using his global allowance to do that, and is he aware that it is in breach of treasury and finance rules to write to people using the global allowance, as he admitted to doing in a previous committee regarding the remarks made by a senator in the national parliament? Perhaps I will ask another question, but the Attorney might like to clarify whether you do or do not breach the rules of global allowance in writing to people outside of your electorate.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Can I answer that?

Mr PISONI: I am not finished yet. I am still on my feet.

The CHAIR: Order! Member for Unley, I remind you that you have been indulged by the chair in being allowed to have this question. Please proceed precisely and concisely.

Mr PISONI: I would like to ask some questions of clarification on signage. I believe that in answer to the member for Finniss's question about an aeroplane towing a sign the Attorney said

that that was allowed and that it could be larger than one square metre. I would have thought that if it could not be then it would be a bit pointless. So, that is something that I would like clarified.

I would also like clarified whether there is any restriction on the number of campaign offices that a candidate may have in a single electorate or elsewhere. I would also be very keen to have some clarification of the section of the act which provides 'the exhibition of the name of a candidate or the name of a political party (or both) at or near an office or room'. This is where it is exempt to this one square metre rule. What exactly does 'near' mean? Is it 50 metres, 15 metres, 100 metres?

I know from the experience that I have had on polling booths and elsewhere that tape measures are often brought out, and I am just wondering if, in a situation where there was a dispute about what 'near' meant, in addressing the amendments to the act through the amendment bill the Attorney might like to clarify what 'near' means when it comes to signage for campaign offices.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The member for Unley thinks I am responsible to the committee to give him legal opinions on existing provisions of the act. This is the first time in seven years as Attorney-General that I have brought a bill to the house to amend the Electoral Act. I am simply not responsible to the committee or to the member for Unley—

Mr Pisoni interjecting:

The CHAIR: Order, member for Unley!

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: —for providing legal opinions as to the meaning of provisions of the 1985 act. I would have thought that the member for Unley knew more about the procedures of the chamber to prevent him from asking such silly questions.

Mr Pisoni interjecting:

The CHAIR: Order, member for Unley!

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The second thing is that the provisions of the 1985 act seem to me to be reasonably plain. As to the hoarding in the air, because it is not attached to a building, it is not caught by the provision. That would be obvious to an intelligent reader looking at the act.

To answer the member for Unley's first question, he seems to have a fixation on what you can use your global allowance for. Has the member for Unley suffered some trauma at the hands of that section of the Public Service that handles the global allowance? People from all over South Australia—and from outside South Australia—write to me about matters connected with my portfolio, or even not connected with my portfolio. If I did not reply to them, the member for Unley would be on *Today Tonight* saying what a terrible politician I am and how I do not respond to them. Of course I send letters to people who do not live in my electorate who have written to me. Of course I send letters to people who live outside South Australia who have written to me. It is plain sense.

Members interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: What a revelation!

The CHAIR: Order! This has become very disorderly and we need to focus on the bill rather than debate. The member for Heysen.

Mrs REDMOND: Madam Chair, I just want to respond to the issue that the Attorney was canvassing before that last little bit, about the prevention of putting corflutes on Stobie poles. I accept some of what the Attorney has said. I accept that there can be some Stobie poles where placing the hoardings would be a nuisance or a potential traffic hazard, but that is the very reason why local councils developed by-laws. Indeed, the member—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson interjecting:

Mrs REDMOND: True. Now you have distracted me. The member for Torrens and I have recently been dealing with this issue of council by-laws on the Legislative Review Committee, and through the Local Government Association, to ensure that there is some sort of consistency so that we do not have the situation that the member for Stuart was talking about earlier, where a particular council within his electorate said that it was not having corflutes, and he was not allowed to put corflutes there. He obeyed the council and other people did not.

Surely, Attorney, that is the most reasonable approach, so that councils that know the local area can determine and say that under their by-laws you are allowed to put corflutes out. I would not even mind if they said, 'There's a limit of 200 corflutes for your electorate', or whatever, and tightened the time, as I said before, but it still seems to me unreasonable to say that it is not going to be allowable to put out corflutes for just the next two elections and then miraculously that is no longer going to be a proposition.

Is the Attorney prepared to move on this issue at all in terms of coming to something which would be acceptable to all parties, that is that, in consultation with the Local Government Association, we could come to some sort of agreement on possible limits on how many corflutes one could put out—for example, how close they could be to intersections and so on—but nevertheless allowing them to be put onto Stobie poles.

I accept that we do not want them on vegetation and I do not think that any councils would still allow them on vegetation under the bylaws. I do not think they create a problem on Stobie poles and other fences on ovals and things that are commonly used for notices around a district. I do not think that the community sees that as an eyesore any more than the announcement of the current show that is on at the theatre or when the next footy match is. All those signs are put up for a brief amount of time in designated spots, so why would that not be a more reasonable approach?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I am not going to be the Attorney-General who set up the 'corflute police'; I am not going to give public servants or local government officials the job of going around an electorate and counting the number of corflutes, it would just be a further field for disputation and distraction from the real issue of the elections. I believe corflutes do not convey any useful information to electors in making up their mind as to who should govern or who should be their local MP. Accordingly, I have moved the bill that I have moved. If you do not like it, vote against it, and that is what the opposition is doing. In fact, the opposition is voting against the whole bill, so I really do not know why this committee stage is taking a record time.

Mr HANNA: We are dealing with the section of the legislation concerning electoral signs or posters. It is true that a lot of people are annoyed by them, but I also find that some people see a real value in them because they get some general sense of who is up for election in their area. Indeed, for many people it reminds them of exactly which electorate they are in when they see the names on the posters. So, they have some merit. On the other hand, I completely agree with the Attorney-General in his opening remarks when he talked about the visual pollution and the fact that people object to so many signs being around.

I have a different solution and, therefore, intend to move an amendment. Rather than simply banning them from roadways altogether—which is going to favour parties that have allies in business, for example, where signs can then be displayed in shop windows and so on—and rather than favour any particular party through that means, I think it is better to limit the number of signs per candidate in each electorate, and that is what my amendment does. It provides for a limit of 200 per candidate, and there is a device in there effectively to make it 200 per party per candidate. So, you cannot say there are 15 Labor candidates for the Legislative Council, therefore, we will have 15 times 200.

If there is one particular party, they can have 200 posters in that electorate and, if there is an Independent, they can have 200 posters as well. First of all, this will put everybody on a level playing field; secondly, it will mean that we are reducing that visual pollution problem; and, thirdly, I very much doubt that there is much marginal value in having more than 200 posters up anyway—and that applies in country or metropolitan electorates.

The other thing that I will say while I am on my feet is that one can only be cynical about the time frame in the legislation, drafted and introduced by the Attorney, to have a measure which greatly limits the display of election signs for two elections, which many would guess is the probable period of Labor's continuing tenure in office. To have that, and then for the status quo to be resumed, one can only be cynical about the motives.

I have said from the outset that I see this legislation as being designed to make it harder for Independents and smaller parties to gain traction and get into parliament. I think this particular section is a good example of that. So, I do feel strongly that election signs probably have got out of hand, but I also feel that the better answer to the visual pollution problem is to limit the number to each person.

Dr McFETRIDGE: Attorney, in relation to election posters at polling places on election day, will this amendment eliminate all of those as well? And what about the wrap-arounds that are being used nowadays?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I think the bill, if passed in its current form, will stop just about every kind of post or wrap-around at a polling booth, because they will all be on fences or poles. But there is provision in the bill for exemptions, and so if the opposition wanted to come to the government and exempt by regulation polling booths we would be open to that.

Mrs REDMOND: On that issue, I would have thought that some of what we would normally think of as public places are going to be owned by community groups, such as halls and things, that can often be owned not by the council but by a private school or a community group. I would have thought that you then have the problem that some polling places would be allowed to have the declaration and some not. Is that the case?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Our provisions are aimed at removing corflutes from the roads. We are not so concerned with—

Mrs Redmond: Bunting.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Yes, not so concerned with bunting; and whether the signs go in the window of a community hall is a matter for the organisation that owns the hall.

Amendment carried.

Mr HANNA: For the reasons I have explained, I move the amendment in my name, as follows:

Page 25, lines 2 to 6 [clause 42(2), inserted subsections (2a) and (2b)]—Delete inserted subsections (2a) and (2b) and substitute:

- (2a) A person must not, within any electoral district relating to a House of Assembly election, exhibit or cause to be exhibited more than 200 electoral advertisements on public roads (including structures, fixtures or vegetation on public roads) or in other public places.
Maximum penalty: \$5,000.
- (2b) For the purposes of subsection (2a), an electoral advertisement will—
- (a) if it relates to a particular registered political party, or to a candidate who belongs to a particular registered political party—be taken to be exhibited by the registered officer of the party; and
- (b) if it relates to a candidate who is not a member of a registered political party—be taken to be exhibited by the candidate.
- (2c) A person referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) of subsection (2b)—
- (a) must, before being charged with a contravention of subsection (2a), be given written notice of the alleged contravention; and
- (b) may only be charged in relation to the contravention if it is not remedied within 7 days after the notice is given.

The committee divided on the amendment:

AYES (16)

Chapman, V.A.
Gunn, G.M.
Maywald, K.A.
Pederick, A.S.
Pisoni, D.G.
Williams, M.R.

Evans, I.F.
Hamilton-Smith, M.L.J.
McEwen, R.J.
Penfold, E.M.
Redmond, I.M.

Goldsworthy, M.R.
Hanna, K. (teller)
McFetridge, D.
Pengilly, M.
Venning, I.H.

NOES (26)

Atkinson, M.J. (teller)
Breuer, L.R.
Foley, K.O.
Hill, J.D.
Koutsantonis, A.

Bedford, F.E.
Caica, P.
Fox, C.C.
Kenyon, T.R.
Lomax-Smith, J.D.

Bignell, L.W.
Ciccarello, V.
Geraghty, R.K.
Key, S.W.
O'Brien, M.F.

NOES (26)

Piccolo, T.
Rann, M.D.
Snelling, J.J.
White, P.L.

Portolesi, G.
Rau, J.R.
Stevens, L.
Wright, M.J.

Rankine, J.M.
Simmons, L.A.
Weatherill, J.W.

Majority of 10 for the noes.

Amendment thus negated.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 25, lines 12 to 16 [clause 42(4), inserted subsection (5)]—Delete inserted subsection (5) and substitute:

(5) in this section—

road means an area that is open to or used by the public and is developed for, or has as one of its main uses, the driving or riding of motor vehicles;

road related area means—

- (a) the shoulder of a road
- (b) an area that divides a road;
- (c) a footpath or nature strip adjacent to a road.

This amendment is consequential on our previous government amendment, and that is that the previous amendment deletes the definition of public place so the definition is no longer relevant. It also inserts a definition of road and road related area, both of which are relevant to our previous amendment.

Amendment carried; clause as amended passed.

Clause 43.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 25, after line 18—Insert:

- (a1) Section 116(1)—after 'television' insert:
or by publication or broadcast on the Internet

The government amendments Nos 8, 9 and 10 address the same matter. I propose that this amendment be treated as the test amendment for the three. Section 116(1) provides that a person must not, during an election period, publish material consisting of or containing commentary on any candidate, party or issues being submitted to voters in written form or by radio or television unless the material is accompanied by a statement of the person who takes responsibility for the publication of the material.

Section 116(2) provides exceptions to the disclosure requirement in subsection (1). These are: the publication in a newspaper of a leading article; the publication of a report of certain meetings; the publication in a newspaper of an article, letter, report or other matter if the newspaper contains a statement to the effect that the person whose name and address appears in the statement takes responsibility for the publication of all electoral material published in the newspaper; a news service; or current affairs program on radio or TV.

Government amendment No. 8 amends section 116(1) so the requirement to include a statement will also apply to material consisting of, or containing, commentary on any candidate, party or issues being submitted to voters that is published or broadcast on the internet.

Mrs REDMOND: I know that the internet is a very broad term, but again I ask the question: will it apply to telecommunication by mobile phone? I would assume it is not caught by that. I wonder whether the term 'internet' is actually broad enough to capture everything that we presumably will be taking about like blogs, Wikipedia, Facebook, Twitter and all the other things that could occur.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Yes. We aim to catch web pages and, therefore, it would cover blog sites, Wikipedia and internet newspapers such as Adelaidenow, but we do not want to

go into twittering because that is too much like individual communication over a mobile phone. So, that is where we are putting the boundary.

Mrs REDMOND: I take it that the essence of what you are trying to do does not change section 116 of the act, except to try to cover that extra area, but I am a little concerned about the idea that it will inhibit an individual's use of their own web page. Since most members of parliament now have a web page, why is it not reasonable for a member of parliament to be able to put on their web page, to which someone has to go to look at, whatever is appropriate, in terms of their political commentary, without necessarily complying with section 116?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: There needs to be common sense in the application of any law. For instance, dealing with the Electoral Act, strictly, there is a legal requirement that when you send out direct mail during an election campaign—and by 'direct mail' I mean a letter from a candidate to people on the electoral roll—you have at the bottom 'Written and authorised by' and the name of the very person who is sending the letter. So, if I send a letter to Mr Joe Bloggs of Coglin Street, Brompton on my letterhead 'Michael Atkinson, Member for Croydon', and I address him 'Dear Joe' and down the bottom I write 'Yours sincerely, Michael Atkinson' and I sign it 'Mick' and send him a cheery little note about common interests—so, it is clearly a letter from me—strictly, under the Electoral Act, if it is in the election period, I then have to go on and add at the bottom 'Written and authorised by Michael Atkinson of 488 Port Road, Welland'. Clearly, to omit that last line is not a great mischief.

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Okay. The member for Heysen makes a good point, and I will come to that. So, in this area, if a voter has accessed a website of, say, Isobel Redmond and there is political commentary about the merits of her Labor opponent in Heysen, clearly, the author of that is Isobel Redmond. It is Isobel Redmond who is taking responsibility for that commentary. It does not lie in the mouth of the voter to complain to the Electoral Commissioner that he accessed the member for Heysen's web page, there was criticism of the Labor candidate and, astonishingly, it did not bear the tag line 'Written and authorised by Isobel Redmond'. You have to just exercise some common sense here.

The member for Heysen is right that there is a problem with websites that are phoney, that are masquerading as the website of the candidate or member. We have seen that with Daniel Gannon in Rob Lucas's office, with Rob Lucas's knowledge and consent, fraudulently publishing online material that purported to come from the Premier. Fraud—and Rob Lucas knew about it. That is something that will be dealt with elsewhere in the law. In the bill we have an out clause by regulation to exempt prescribed material from this requirement where it would be onerous or silly to require it—and the direct mail letter or the websites are good examples of that.

Amendment carried.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 25—

After line 22—Insert:

- (1a) Section 116(2)(a)—delete 'newspaper' and substitute:
journal, or an electronic publication on the Internet,
- (1b) Section 116(2)(c)—delete paragraph (c)
- (1c) Section 116(2)(d)—after 'television' insert:
or broadcast on the Internet

After line 24—Insert:

- (3) Section 116—after subsection (2) insert:
(3) In this section—
journal means a newspaper, magazine or other periodical.

Amendments carried; clause as amended passed.

Clauses 44 and 45 passed.

Schedule 1.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 26, lines 14 to 16 [Schedule 1 Part 2]—Delete schedule 1 Part 2

Mrs REDMOND: I have two questions on the transitional provision. We have now dealt with clause 44, but I was going to comment on the way through that I was puzzled by the provision in section 119 that allows for an offender to be removed from a polling booth. The section provides 'a person who contravenes subsection (1) can be removed', and we have added 'including a candidate or a scrutineer'. I thought, 'Well, were they not people beforehand?' I gather that there may have been some dispute about whether there was an authority for the Electoral Commissioner or someone to remove someone who was misbehaving, but it rather does imply that, of necessity, candidates are not necessarily people.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: We are sorry; we did not mean that.

Mrs REDMOND: I gather that you did not. First, I want to ask a question about clause 2 of part 1 of the schedule, that is, the amendment to the Constitution Act and the provision that changes the existing requirement for the review of the boundaries to commence within three months and pushes that all the way out to two years. Three months does seem a little too soon after the election, especially considering that you would need time for the postal votes to come in, all the sorting out to be done as to what the votes were, how many electors there were, who cast their votes and all sorts of things like that, so I appreciate the need to move it from three months.

However, given that we have a fixed four-year term and given that, normally, the review of boundaries will take a good 12 months, the new timetable would seem to get us way over to the other side of that four-year term. I am just wondering whether the Attorney could explain the rationale behind that shift. Whilst I appreciate the need to shift from the very quick end of three months, why would we move it out for two years?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: The aim of the change is so that the Electoral Districts Boundaries Commission can take into account the material generated by the census.

Mrs REDMOND: Are our electoral four-year terms currently in line with—and, if so, where—the census and the release of data from the commonwealth statistician from the census?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: We are not properly aligned at the moment. We will cope with it. We will more often than not get the census material by carrying this amendment, but there will be some years where the timing of the redistribution will inevitably be awkward.

Mr PENGILLY: I am not trying to read the Attorney's mind, I would not dare. I am very much of the view that going out to two years, with regard to the census, or whatever, is irrelevant. I think that two years is far too long. I note what the member for Heysen said, that in her opinion three months is too short. Well, it may be, but six months would seem to me to be a reasonable amount of time. But going out to two years, given the machinations of electorates, political parties and heaven knows what else, seems an extraordinarily long time.

I know that in the case of my own electorate, where I had to lose some 4,000 electors as a result of the redistribution, and judging by the numbers of changes of electors that I get from the electoral people monthly—I seem to sign somewhere between 300 and 500 on almost a monthly basis—I have an enormous number of transient people, and a fair number deceased as well, by virtue of the—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: God's waiting room.

Mr PENGILLY: Yes, you may well call it that, but the demographics of my area are such that I do have significant numbers of people who pass away. I would put on the record that I think that two years is far too long. It may suit the bureaucrats and those who are organising all of this, but at the end of the day it boils down to whether we, as elected members of parliament, should be calling the tune on this. I think two years is too long.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: This is the advice we received from the deputy commissioner, and we accepted his advice. There may be some force in what the member for Finnis says. Clearly, it is unsatisfactory that a House of Assembly member is elected on one set of boundaries and then within months of being elected may find that he or she has lost 4,000 constituents to another state district—

Mrs Redmond interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: And will lose them at the next election, that is right. The member for Heysen is right to say that—and has picked up 4,000 others from another state district

to take effect at the next election. If the member wishes to be elected he or she will have to start serving those 4,000 new constituents from the very moment that the Boundaries Commission reports. I think it is somewhat unsatisfactory that this happens so early in the term.

Moreover, that it happens so early means that up-to-date statistical information is not available in formulating the boundaries. So, we think that a redistribution closer to the projected general election is better. Experience may show us that the member for Finniss is right and it should be under two years, but that is what we are going with.

Mr PENGILLY: Just as a follow-up, I will lose the township of Goolwa and will take in Mount Compass and Sellicks Beach. Sellicks Beach is almost foreign country to the rest of the Fleurieu Peninsula, with the exception that it is connected to Myponga through the school and the sporting clubs. Indeed, there is quite a movement there.

The people of Sellicks Beach—and I use this as an example—have really not heard of myself as the member for Finniss. I have had this discussion with the member for Kaurna. I get on very well with the member for Kaurna, who currently services Sellicks Beach, but it is in my interest to make the people in Sellicks Beach aware of my presence.

Whether that person is a member of the Australian Labor Party or the Liberal Party, or whatever party, they may only find out a reasonably short time before the election—and when I say a reasonably short time, six to 12 months—that they are going to have that area in their electorate, which not only makes it difficult for the candidate, from whichever party, but it also makes it difficult for people in those areas—and I am using Sellicks Beach as an example, not specifically because it is my electorate—to realise that they are going in to another electorate at the next state election.

I can see that in due course, in my current electorate, the seat will congregate around the South Coast, just by virtue of numbers. I am concerned that we are not giving a fair go to the people who live in areas that are going to change, nor indeed are we giving a fair go to candidates, from whatever political party, who are standing as candidates at the next election by shortening that timeframe.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: If I can interpret the words of the member for Finniss to the committee, what he is really saying is that the member into whose electorate these new constituents are introduced does not get the full value of incumbency if the redistribution occurs too late. My argument would be that we are not here to give members or candidates the advantage of incumbency; that is not a proper consideration for the parliament.

Mr PENGILLY: I do not disagree with that at all. I would make the point that it is difficult—and take us right out of the equation—for the electorate at large because, as the Attorney would well know, there are many people who could not care less about politics and find voting an absolute pain in the posterior; however, that is the system that we have, it is the best system that we have come up with and it works quite well. But I would reiterate the point that it is difficult for some to come to grips with.

Mrs REDMOND: I have one other question on the transitional provisions relating to part 3. I notice that the Attorney's amendment seems to now delete part 2 of the schedule, but part 3, concerning the transitional provisions, basically states that a political party that is newly registered when this act comes into force is not going to have to re-prove its registration until the end of September next year. There have been some recent new parties and my question is really about the proof of registration for those sorts of parties. We are aware of the FREE Party that the bikies have formed, and I note that, in the *Government Gazette* in the last couple of weeks, a Royalties for Regions Party has just formed and appears to have one member of parliament as its basis for formation.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: And that is?

Mrs REDMOND: The National member of the National Socialist government on that side of the house. My question is: is there an opportunity at the moment for people to run around as a National or an Independent member, or whatever, and register all sorts of parties that might be legitimised by having one member of parliament rather than having the necessary number of members to substantiate their existence and therefore be registered for the next state election? I take it that that is the case.

The other part of the question is: just what is the status of numerous parties registered to one actual party? Do they each have to prove their membership? You could obviously do it with one member of parliament. The FREE Party, for instance, does not have a member of parliament

at this stage, so it has to come up with the requisite number of members of parliament. I know that there has been discussion in the earlier part of the debate about the nature of affiliated organisations. So, the Labor Party has country Labor and could have city Labor, metropolitan Labor and western suburbs Labor, or whatever. Similarly, the Liberal Party could form country Liberal, and so on. What is the status of those? Does each such registration require either a member of parliament or a separate group of people to be registered separate to—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Separate from.

Mrs REDMOND: —no, different from but separate to—the people who have registered the Labor Party, for instance? Can the Labor Party simply go on ad nauseam registering various groups as parties, or does it have to have separate groups to substantiate its registration?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: An MP can be relied upon by two or more parties and, indeed, subject to the consent of the commissioner, the party could have many secondary names or identities.

Amendment carried; schedule as amended passed.

Clause 5.

The CHAIR: We now return to clause 5 which was postponed, so there is no vote of the committee in relation to that. However, the Attorney, indicating an amendment to clause 5, has also indicated an amendment to clause 7, which has been considered; therefore clause 7 will have to be reconsidered. In the meantime, we can go straight to clause 5 and the Attorney can move the amendment standing in his name. I have also received an amendment from the member for Mitchell.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 4, after line 21 [clause 5(3)]—Insert:

- (d) provide a person who is a nominated candidate in an election with an up-to-date copy of the electoral roll for—
 - (i) in the case of person who is a candidate in an election for a House of Assembly district—that district; or
 - (ii) in the case of a person who is a candidate in a Legislative Council election—the Legislative Council district.
- (2a) If, in accordance with an electoral redistribution under the Constitution Act 1934, the area of a House of Assembly district (the relevant district) will, from the day on which the general election of members of the House of Assembly is next held, be altered to include any part of the area of another House of Assembly district, the Electoral Commissioner must, on request, provide an up-to-date copy of the electoral roll for that other House of Assembly district to—
 - (a) the current House of Assembly member for the relevant district;
 - (b) any person who is a nominated candidate for an election in the relevant district.

Amendment No. 1 contains two new subsections to be inserted into section 26. The first is a new paragraph (d) of section 26(2). As amended by this bill, section 26(2) requires the Electoral Commissioner to provide members of parliament and the registered officers of registered political parties up-to-date copies of the rolls.

During the debate on clause 5 of the bill, some members expressed concern that candidates were not also entitled to up-to-date copies of the relevant roll. New paragraph (d) provides that the Electoral Commissioner must, on request, provide a person who is a nominated candidate in an election with an up-to-date copy of the relevant electoral roll, being, in the case of a candidate for the House of Assembly district, a copy of that district's roll, and, in the case of a candidate for the Legislative Council, the Legislative Council roll.

Admittedly, this does not go as far as the member suggested. To be entitled to the role under section 26(2), a person must be a nominated candidate. To extend it further, to say a person who intends to run as a candidate would, in the government's view, render section 26(2) impossible to enforce. Any person could claim, at any point after an election, that he or she intended contesting the next election and would therefore become entitled to up-to-date copies of the roll. Come the next election, they could claim simply that they have changed their mind, and it would be most difficult, if not impossible, to establish otherwise.

New subsection (2a) addresses a concern raised by the member for Mitchell. It provides that, where the area of a House of Assembly district will, from the day on which a general election is next held, be altered to include any part of the area of another House of Assembly district, the Electoral Commissioner must on request provide an up-to-date copy of the electoral roll for that other district to the current House of Assembly member and any person nominated as a candidate for that district.

Mrs REDMOND: I thank the Attorney because I think he has made a genuine effort to fix the problem. Whilst I understand his reasoning in saying that he is only going to allow the access to the roll for an actual candidate and the difficulty that might come about from people simply saying that they intend to be a candidate, that still seems to me to be problematic, because presumably a person will only be recognised as a candidate once the writs are issued and they have nominated for the election, and that is only going to leave them about two weeks before the election, which is going to be way too late. It might be all right for mailing, say, but even then people like Salmat and so on (and candidates are likely to use that rather than Australia Post) are going to have difficulty with that short time frame in terms of making that useful. Certainly, for doorknocking purposes and so on I would suggest that it is too short a time.

However, I understand the point the Attorney is trying to make. Indeed, I think the member for Mitchell had in mind something along the lines of making it a requirement that it could be obtained for 'political but no other purposes' or some such phrase so that the mischief that we are trying to avoid is addressed. People might declare themselves as intending to be candidates, get the electoral roll and then use it for commercial purposes. At the end of the day, nothing in the Attorney's proposition, whilst it is an improvement, addresses the problem of the use of the roll for commercial purposes once the candidate has got that roll. That is the first point that I want to make.

My second point relates to the second provision which deals with the changing boundaries. Pardon me if I sound cynical, but it takes us back to the bit that we were just dealing with about why we are now going to push out so late the consideration of where the new boundaries will be. If the obligation under this clause is for the candidate or member to be given the new boundaries, the effect of the previous amendment will be that those new boundaries will not be available until so late that it becomes no different from what currently happens. Therefore, I find it a slightly cynical exercise that that is occurring. At least, I will concede, Attorney, that it is an improvement on what we currently have in your amendment originally proposed by the bill.

Mr HANNA: I humbly suggest to the Attorney that, if he is going to maintain the course with the amendment that he has drafted, he consider some sort of transmission of the roll clause such as I have included in my amendment. Obviously, the Attorney-General and I are thinking along the same lines with our respective amendments to clause 5, but I included a clause which makes it an offence to provide a roll to another person knowing or having a reason to suspect that the roll will be used by that other person for other than a political communication.

The Attorney's amendments only make it an offence for the person who has received a copy of the roll under this section. In other words, if the person who is a nominated candidate does the wrong thing with the information, they are guilty of an offence, but they could presumably pass it on and, if they do that, that other person would not be committing an offence.

The other question I have for the Attorney is in relation to nominated candidates. Surely, we can enable candidates when they are normally selected for elections, perhaps six or 12 months out from an election, to have access to the roll for these areas. It should not rely on the writ being issued for the election before someone is called a candidate, otherwise the section becomes superfluous.

Amendment carried.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 4, after line 32, [clause 5(3)]—Insert:

- (4) If a copy of the roll is provided to a person under this section, a person who uses that copy of the roll, or information contained in that copy of the roll, for a purpose other than a State, Federal or local government purpose is guilty of an offence.

Maximum penalty: \$10,000.

This amendment addresses a concern raised during debate on clause 5. It seeks to insert a new subsection (4) in section 26. I hope this is self-explanatory by reference to the previous debate. It is

intended to prevent the misuse of roll information provided under section 26(2). I think the example we were using was a Kentucky Fried Chicken franchise at Jetty Road, Glenelg.

Dr McFetridge: Anzac Highway.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Anzac Highway, Glenelg. The point I was trying to make is that this proprietor could claim to be a candidate for Morphett at the next election. Therefore, under what was being argued by the other side, he would be entitled to an up-to-date copy of the roll and accumulated monthly updates. He could then write to all the new people in the area advertising his wares. I expressed the point of view that I thought this was wrong and that there ought to be some provision in the act to stop misuse of information.

Mrs REDMOND: I want to clarify the effect of the proposal in amendment No. 2 and take up the issue the member for Mitchell addressed in his previous comment. The way I read new subsection (4) is that, if a copy of a roll is provided to a person under this section (who could be a candidate, a member, a party or whatever), a person who uses that copy of the roll for a purpose other than state, federal or local government purposes is guilty of an offence. So, that would capture someone who used it for a commercial purpose, and I presume it would also capture, for instance, a candidate who may legitimately have obtained the roll but misused it by passing it onto someone for a commercial offence. Would it capture someone who inadvertently allowed someone access to it for other than a federal, state or local government offence?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: My advice is that it will catch people down the chain.

Amendment carried.

Mr HANNA: I move:

Page 4, after line 21 [clause 5(3)]—Insert:

- (d) provide a person who intends to be a candidate in an election with an up-to-date copy of the electoral roll for—
 - (i) in the case of a person who intends to be a candidate in an election for a House of Assembly district—that district; or
 - (ii) in the case of a person who intends to be a candidate in a Legislative Council election—the Legislative Council district.
- (2a) If, in accordance with an electoral redistribution under the *Constitution Act 1934*, the area of a House of Assembly district (the *relevant district*) will, from the day on which a general election of members of the House of Assembly is next held, be altered to include any part of the area of another House of Assembly district, the Electoral Commissioner must, on request, provide an up-to-date copy of the electoral roll for that other House of Assembly district to—
 - (a) the current House of Assembly member for the relevant district;
 - (b) any person who intends to be a candidate for an election in the relevant district.

I move this amendment because we have not really been able to resolve the question of when a candidate would be able to receive an up-to-date copy of the roll. There may be an implication in the Attorney-General's amendment to suggest that it is only after the issue of the writs and somebody is formally nominated as a candidate that they are able to get the roll. That renders the permission superfluous because it will be of very little use, in the final three or four weeks, to people who want to communicate with that electorate, and that includes current members who, unless they have Liberal or Labor colleagues, will not be able to communicate with new electors in a new part of their electorate after a redistribution.

That is why in my amendment I have said that a person who 'intends' to be a candidate in an election should be eligible to receive a copy. Maybe this needs to be worked through because the current unsatisfactory situation remains that, where my boundaries have changed, there are people I want to look after and communicate with because they will be determining whether or not they vote for me. I want to be able to communicate with them, even though I am not, in terms of the Attorney-General's amendment, a nominated candidate for an election in that electorate.

The Attorney-General provides, I suppose, an incumbency clause to help sitting members with the problem I brought to the house; nonetheless, we are left with an uneven playing field, and that is part of the problem.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I understand the criticism from members opposite that I will not give everyone who puts up his hand to say he is going to be a candidate the roll and monthly updates. I understand the criticism. I understand the member for Mitchell saying that I am making it easy for sitting members, including members whose electorates have changed, but I am making it hard for people who are not members of parliament.

I stand by that prohibition because of the enormous risk of misuse of the roll if we give it to everyone who says he or she is going to be a candidate. However, let us pause for a minute and think how many people who are going to be challengers in a state district at the next election—genuinely going to be challengers—cannot get the roll before nomination.

The truth of the matter is that the vast majority of them will get the roll. The vast majority of them will get it in electronic form, and they will get it from some political ally. We know that there are many personal friendships and informal alliances in parliament and that there are any number of sources for a genuine candidate to get hold of the electoral roll for the seat for which he or she is not the member.

Mr Hanna interjecting:

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I am not sure that that is right, because the prohibition is on using it for other than state, federal or local government purposes. Well, let us take the celebrated friendship of Nick Xenophon and the member for Mitchell. Now, if the member for Mitchell wants access for his friends—for allied candidates—to state districts for which they intend to run at the next election, he tees up access through his good friend Nick Xenophon who is a member of the upper house and who has access to the electronic roll for the whole of the state. Let us be realistic about it: very few candidates will be disadvantaged by this provision. If they are genuine candidates they will end up with the roll.

The CHAIR: Can I clarify for the member for Mitchell? Because of the timing of this, it is a little difficult to handle it. The amendment moved by the member for Mitchell effectively amends the Attorney's amendment which has been passed and which is now the motion. I suggest that the member for Mitchell either formally say that he moves that that amendment be replaced or point out the words that are different from the Attorney's now motion.

Mr HANNA: I do not mind if it is taken as an amendment to the amendment, but it was on file as an amendment in its own right, and in my remarks I pointed out the difference between someone who is a nominated candidate and someone who intends to be a candidate.

The CHAIR: I understand that; it is merely a technical issue. I clarify that your amendment effectively inserts into the now motion the words 'who intends to be a candidate'.

Mr HANNA: That is right.

The CHAIR: The advice of the table officer is that the impact is to insert 'who intends to be a candidate in an election' into the first line of (d) and the first line of (2a)(b).

Mr HANNA: Do not forget the placita.

Mrs REDMOND: Wherever the words 'who is a candidate' appear in the Attorney-General's provision, the member for Mitchell's amendment proposes to substitute the words 'who intends to be a candidate'. It appears four times.

The CHAIR: Is that the effect of your amendment?

Mr HANNA: Spot on.

The CHAIR: We will record that as being the amendment: to insert those words wherever appearing.

Mrs REDMOND: I indicate that I am minded to support the proposal by the member for Mitchell, because it seems to me that it does address the issue that I spoke to earlier. I recognise that there is some difficulty, but the Attorney's existing proposal is so limiting that a genuine candidate will not get access to the rolls until too late.

It seems to me that the balance tips in favour of the member for Mitchell's proposal that we allow it to be for a person who intends to be a candidate, because we have already passed the Attorney's other provision which will punish misuse. Therefore, if we already have a provision in there that, if you use this for any improper purpose, that is, other than a federal, state or local election, there is a penalty of potentially \$10,000 for that misuse, then you create a better level

playing field (to use the member for Mitchell's term) by allowing someone who says they want to be a candidate and who wants the rolls to get the rolls at whatever time suits them rather than in the last two weeks before an election, when it may be too late to be of any use but at the same time being aware that if they then misuse that access to the roll once they have it they are liable to a penalty under the provision we have already inserted into the legislation.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: I am aware of the member for Heysen's and the member for Mitchell's comments in moving this, but I think there is another solution to this. It seems to me that, if an amendment is passed that provides for those intending to be a candidate, the problem will arise over what level of intention will trigger the clause. It seems to me that the simplest thing to do, given that we have fixed term elections, is give the Electoral Commissioner the authority under the various legislation to open the nominations for seats six months before and have the closing date at the normal time. Anyone who then—

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson interjecting:

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: You could do that anyway. Then someone has to pay the nomination fee and go through the process to actually nominate. Attorney, I raised this issue before. You are being flippant; I appreciate you just got back from your exciting trip to Greece and all those places that we mere mortals do not get to go to. The simple fact is that you could simply go to a commercial agent and say, 'I have the whole electoral roll; if you give me \$20,000 that'll cover the \$10,000 fine and I'll pocket the other \$10,000.' That is available under the act.

I think the penalty is a nonsense. I believe that the penalty should be \$10,000 plus any commercial gain. I think the solution to it is to make the person nominate rather than (with due respect to the member for Mitchell's amendment) have the intention to nominate. I think they should nominate and be given a longer nomination period, because I support the principle that a candidate should be treated equally.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Now you're in opposition you do.

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: No; I have always supported it. In government, from memory, our side supported the Independents having to disclose donations, which is not required. So, we have always argued for equality of candidates.

The Hon. M.J. Atkinson: Nice try!

The Hon. I.F. EVANS: I just think that all candidates should be treated equally and, once candidates have nominated and get the roll, I would give them a longer nomination period. I see no problem with that. I think that is the solution to it.

Amendment negatived.

Mr HANNA: I move:

Page 4, after line 32 [clause 5(3)]—Insert:

- (4) A person who is provided with a copy of a roll under this section must not—
 - (a) use the roll, or information obtained from the roll, for other than political communication; or
 - (b) provide the roll, or information obtained from the roll, to another person knowing or having reason to suspect that the roll or information will be used by that other person for other than political communication.

Maximum penalty: \$10,000 or imprisonment for two years.

This is where I have different wording in respect of the Attorney-General when one considers how the roll might properly be put to use. The penalty I am suggesting should be for using it for other than a political communication. The Attorney-General has said that it may be used for a state, federal or local government purpose. I am curious about the wording, because that suggests that it may not merely be political, but the federal, state or local government administration sending out letters to people. I am not sure if that is what was intended but it seems to me that, if we follow the implied wording in the constitution and talk about political communication, we are sure to be on firm ground.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I do not really think that much turns on the definition.

The CHAIR: The member for Mitchell, again, we have the difficulty that you had effectively filed an alternate amendment to the Attorney's amendment. We have now passed the Attorney's

amendment. I am just trying to see the form that your amendment should take. Is it, in fact, to delete the words currently in the clause as a result the Attorney's amendment and to substitute your words instead? I cannot quite come to grips with it.

Mr HANNA: Because I am in an agreeable mood, I seek leave to withdraw my amendment. I have made my point and put it on the record. It is there for consideration by others should they wish to take it up. I do not think that we should be legislating for council administrations to be using the roll in that way, but the committee has made its decision, after all, in terms of the version that it would prefer in respect of the penalty for misuse.

Leave granted; amendment withdrawn.

Clause as amended passed.

Clause 7—reconsidered.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Page 5, after line 10—Insert:

(2a) Section 27A(3)—delete subsection (3)

The member for Davenport will be pleased that he has lived to see, after seven long years (eight maybe), the fulfilment of our common intention. Section 27A(3) provides an elector with the option of requesting that his or her details, sex, place of birth and now date of birth are not disclosed to a person of a prescribed class under section 27A(2). It was the government's intention that subsection (3) be deleted. Owing to an oversight this was not included in the bill as introduced.

Mr HANNA: Does this clause mean that people will have no choice but to volunteer those personal details to members of parliament?

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: Yes.

The committee divided on the amendment:

AYES (35)

Atkinson, M.J. (teller)	Bedford, F.E.	Bignell, L.W.
Breuer, L.R.	Caica, P.	Ciccarello, V.
Evans, I.F.	Fox, C.C.	Geraghty, R.K.
Goldsworthy, M.R.	Griffiths, S.P.	Gunn, G.M.
Hill, J.D.	Kenyon, T.R.	Key, S.W.
Koutsantonis, A.	Lomax-Smith, J.D.	McFetridge, D.
O'Brien, M.F.	Pederick, A.S.	Pengilly, M.
Piccolo, T.	Pisoni, D.G.	Portolesi, G.
Rann, M.D.	Rau, J.R.	Redmond, I.M.
Simmons, L.A.	Snelling, J.J.	Stevens, L.
Venning, I.H.	Weatherill, J.W.	White, P.L.
Williams, M.R.	Wright, M.J.	

NOES (3)

Brock, G.G.	Hanna, K. (teller)	Maywald, K.A.
-------------	--------------------	---------------

Majority of 32 for the ayes.

Amendment thus carried; clause as amended passed.

Long title.

The Hon. M.J. ATKINSON: I move:

Delete 'and the Local Government Act 1999'

This amendment is consequential.

Mr HANNA: While we are dealing with the long title, perhaps we could refer to the bill as the incumbency law.

Amendment carried; long title as amended passed.

Bill reported with amendments.

Bill read a third time and passed.

MOTOR VEHICLES (MISCELLANEOUS) AMENDMENT BILL

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

SOUTHERN STATE SUPERANNUATION BILL

The Legislative Council agreed to the bill without any amendment.

At 17:47 the house adjourned until Wednesday 3 June 2009 at 11:00.